880A026

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

FEB

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT, vs.

JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

OCT 0 9 1987

SUPERIOR COURT NO. A-090435

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING **REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL**

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ROOM 800 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME /5 OF 101 (PAGES 2020 TO 2199, INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUN	TY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT WEST C	HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
4		
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA,)
6		PLAINTIFF,)
7	VS.) NO. A-090435
8	JOSEPH HUNT,	
9		DEFENDANT.)
10		,
11	REPORTERS!	DAILY TRANSCRIPT
12	TUESDAY, D	ECEMBER 2, 1986
13	VO	LUME 15
14	(PAGES 2020 T	0 2199, INCLUSIVE)
15	APPEARANCES:	
16	FOR THE PEOPLE:	IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
17		BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 main street
18		SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 santa monica boulevard
20		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 AND
21		RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ.
22		10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD Los angeles, california 90024
23		
24		
25		ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932
26		SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS
27		STATUTAL ALL ONTERS
28		
1	8	

,

28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	 10	Q	œ	7	თ	σı	4	ω	N	
																				VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS (CONTINUED)		PROCEEDINGS		P.M.	A.M.	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 1986 VOLUME 15 PAGES 2020
																	 			2020				2071	2020	TO 2199

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 1986; 10:35 A.M. 1 DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED 3 EXCEPT MR. CHIER IS NOT PRESENT.) 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 6 7. IN CHAMBERS:) 8 MR. BARENS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT A CHAIR FOR YOU? 10 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS ALL RIGHT. I CAN SIT HERE. THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO DISMISS -- THIS LADY THAT 11 12 WE HAD IN HERE DURING THE HARDSHIP HEARINGS, HER NAME IS 13 MRS. PEARL FEDERER, SHE IS A POSTAL EMPLOYEE AND YOU ALL 14 AGREED THAT SHE SHOULD SERVE AND NOW SHE COMES ALONG WITH 15 ANOTHER LETTER SAYING: "IT IS REQUESTED THAT MRS. PEARL 16 FEDERER. A POSTAL EMPLOYEE, BE EXCUSED FROM JURY DUTY FOR THE 17 MONTH OF DECEMBER AS HAS BEEN THE PAST PRACTICE FOR POSTAL 18 EMPLOYEES DURING THIS MONTH." 19 DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING OF THAT? 20 WELL, AT ANY RATE, HAVE YOU ANY FEELING ABOUT WHAT 21 WE OUGHT TO DO ABOUT HER? 22 MR. BARENS: I DEFER TO YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: I THINK SHE OUGHT TO SERVE. I DON'T THINK 24 BECAUSE SHE IS NEEDED IN THE POST OFFICE, THEY CAN GET SOME-25 BODY ELSE TO REPLACE HER. 26 WHAT IS YOUR FEELING? 27 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH COUNSEL? 28 I HAVE A FEELING ABOUT HER IN PARTICULAR.

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.) 1 MR. BARENS: BOTH SIDES WILL STIPULATE THAT SHE BE 2 EXCUSED, YOUR HONOR. 3 4 IS THAT AGREEABLE, MR. WAPNER? 5 MR. WAPNER: YES, THAT IS AGREEABLE. I DISCUSSED THE 6 MATTER WITH MR. BARENS AND IT IS AGREEABLE. 7 THE COURT: INCIDENTALLY, I AM GLAD YOU CAME IN, I 8 THOUGHT -- MAYBE I AM MISTAKEN BUT I THOUGHT YOU MADE A REMARK THAT THE PEOPLE HAVE THE BURDEN OF PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE 9 10 DOUBT ON THE PENALTY PHASE. WHERE DID YOU GET THAT FROM? MR. WAPNER: I DID NOT MAKE THAT REMARK. I BELIEVE THAT 11 MR. CHIER MADE THE REMARK AND THE FIRST TIME THAT HE MADE IT, 12 I DIDN'T OBJECT BECAUSE HE QUALIFIED IT BY SAYING "ON CERTAIN 13 EVIDENCE." AND IT IS TRUE IF THERE IS EVIDENCE INTRODUCED 14 15 OF OTHER CRIMES, FOR EXAMPLE --16 THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT. 17 MR. WAPNER: -- THEN YOU HAVE TO PROVE THE OTHER CRIMES 18 BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. BUT THERE IS NO BEYOND A REASONABLE 19 DOUBT STANDARD AS FAR AS --THE COURT: DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT? 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

2A-1

MR. BARENS: YES, [DO. 1 THE COURT: BECAUSE I WILL REFER TO A CASE. THE BURDEN OF 2 3 PROOF IN A CALIFORNIA DEATH PENALTY WHICH DOES NOT SPECIFY 4 THE BURDEN OF PROOF FOR THE PENALTY PHASE BUT DOES REQUIRE 5 A BEYOND-A-REASONABLE-DOUBT STANDARD FOR PROVING SPECIAL 6 CIRCUMSTANCES AND THEY REQUIRE THE JURY TO CONSIDER, TO TAKE 7 INTO ACCOUNT ALL MITIGATING AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES IN 8 DETERMINING WHETHER TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY IS 9 CONSTITUTIONAL. 10 THEN THERE IS A CASE THAT SAYS THE STATE DOES NOT 11 HAVE TO PROVE THE DEATH PENALTY IS APPROPRIATE BEYOND A 12 REASONABLE DOUBT. 13 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. I THINK THE STANDARD IS SOMETHING 14 TO THE EFFECT THAT THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES SUBSTANTIALLY 15 OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES. 16 MR. BARENS: I WOULD CONCUR. I HAVE ALSO VIEWED THIS 17 AS A SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHED TEST. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANTED TO BE SURE THAT 19 THAT IS NOT MISUNDERSTOOD. 20 (IN CAMERA PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BUT . 21 NOT TRANSCRIBED AT THE ORDER OF THE 22 COURT. NOTES SEALED.) 23 24 25 26 27 28

2022-A

1	(THE PRIOR GAG ORDER HAVING BEEN
2	RECINDED, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
3	ARE NOW INCLUDED IN THE RECORD:)
4	(THE FOLLOWING IN CAMERA HEARING WAS
5	HELD IN CHAMBERS IN THE PRESENCE OF
6	MR. BARENS, MR. WAPNER AND THE
7	DEFENDANT.)
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, YOU WANTED A CONFERENCE IN
9	CHAMBERS?
10	MR. WAPNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. I WANTED TO DISCLOSE TO
11	THE COURT AND TO MR. BARENS AND MR. HUNT, THAT THERE HAS BEEN
12	A MURDER IN HOLLYWOOD IN EARLY OCTOBER THAT DEAN KARNY, WHO
13	WAS A WITNESS IN THIS CASE, IS A POSSIBLE SUSPECT IN THAT
14	MURDER.
15	THE MURDER IS CURRENTLY UNDER INVESTIGATION. I
16	HAVE NO REPORTS, AS YET. SO I DON'T HAVE ANY REPORTS TO TURN
17	OVER.
18	AND AS SOON AS I GET REPORTS FROM THE DETECTIVES
19	THAT ARE HANDLING THAT CASE, I WILL FORWARD THEM TO THE DEFENSE
20	IN THIS CASE.
21	THIS CAME TO OUR ATTENTION ABOUT THREE WEEKS
22	AGO. AND THE REASON THAT IT HAS BEEN UNTIL NOW, UNTIL WE
23	HAVE DISCLOSED IT, IS THAT THE INVESTIGATION WAS ONGOING AND
24	WE DID NOT WANT TO DO ANYTHING IN THE MEANTIME, TO COMPROMISE
25	THE INTEGRITY OF THE INVESTIGATION.
26	IT STILL IS CONTINUING. AND AS SOON AS WE HAVE
27	THE COURT: WHAT DETAILS DO YOU HAVE ABOUT THIS MURDER?
28	MR. WAPNER: WELL, I HAVE CERTAIN DETAILS ABOUT IT. BUT

2022-B

1	SINCE I DON'T WANT TO MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS THAT ARE
2	INACCURATE. I HAVE DETAILS ABOUT WHERE AND APPROXIMATELY WHEN
3	IT OCCURRED AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE THAT WILL BE IN THE
4	REPORTS THAT ARE FORTHCOMING.
5	MR. BARENS: MIGHT I INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR?
6	THE COURT: YES.
7	MR. BARENS: MR. WAPNER, IS THIS A MURDER THAT ALLEGEDLY
8	OCCURRED AT THE HOLLYWOODLAND MOTEL?
9	MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT NAME OF THE MOTEL.
10	WHAT WAS THE NAME?
11	MR. BARENS: HOLLYWOODLAND MOTEL.
12	THE COURT REPORTER: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT?
13	MR. BARENS: IT IS HOLLYWOODLAND, I BELIEVE ONE WORD,
14	MOTEL.
15	MR. WAPNER: AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE THAT IS LOCATED?
16	MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE, IN HOLLYWOOD.
17	MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO FOLLOW
18	UP ON THE COURT'S QUESTION, WHICH IS HOW DOES MR. BARENS HAVE
19	THAT INFORMATION?
20	MR. BARENS: I WOULD LIKE TO DECLINE TO REVEAL THE SOURCE
21	OF THE INFORMATION FOR NOW, EXCEPT TO SAY THAT IT DID NOT COME
22	TO ME THROUGH ANY OFFICIAL CHANNEL, BUT RATHER A STORY,
23	, BASICALLY.
24	WELL, I SUPPOSE I CAN BE CANDID ON THE RECORD.
25	HOWEVER
26	THE COURT: THIS WILL BE SEALED.
27	MR. BARENS: I REQUEST THAT IT BE SEALED. MR. WAPNER,
28	UPON RETURNING FROM COURT YESTERDAY, YOU WILL RECALL THAT I

2022-C

LEFT ABOUT A QUARTER OF 2:00. 1 WHEN I CAME IN, MY RECEPTIONIST MARIA, WHO OPENS 2 ALL OF THE MAIL FOR US, OPENED AN ENVELOPE AND HANDED ME AN 3 ENVELOPE THAT HAD MY ADDRESS STENCILED ON THE FRONT OF IT, 4 INSTEAD OF BEING HANDWRITTEN OR TYPED. 5 SOMEONE HAD STENCILED -- AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO 6 BRING IT TO COURT, TO SHOW HIS HONOR AND COUNSEL. 7 THERE WAS NO RETURN ADDRESS. IT WAS POSTMARKED, 8 I BELIEVE, NOVEMBER 24TH. AND IT WAS JUST ADDRESSED TO ME. 9 THEN SHE HAD OPENED IT SOMEWHAT CAREFULLY AND 10 AVOIDED HANDLING IT BECAUSE IT LOOKED PECULIAR TO US. 11 INSIDE, THERE WAS A SINGLE SHEET OF PAPER WHERE 12 SOMEONE HAD STENCILED IN BLACK INK, A MESSAGE THAT SAYS -- AND 13 I AM NOT QUOTING VERBATIM BECAUSE I DID NOT MEMORIZE THE 14 15 MESSAGE. BUT IT SAID THAT THERE WAS A COVER-UP BY THE 16

16 BUT IT SAID THAT THERE WAS A COVER-OF BT THE
17 HOLLYWOOD POLICE DEPARTMENT REGARDING A MURDER AT THE
18 HOLLYWOODLAND MOTEL REGARDING DEAN KARNY.

19AND THEN WHERE IT WOULD BE SIGNED IT SAID "FRIEND20OF HONEST COP."

21 NOW, I RECEIVED THAT YESTERDAY ABOUT 2:00 O'CLOCK
22 OR 2:30.
23 AT THAT TIME, I ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT OUR
24 INVESTIGATOR, A MAN NAMED BILL WELCH, WHO HAS DONE INVESTIGATIONS

25 FOR US ON THIS MATTER SINCE IT STARTED.

3

28

2022-D

1	I WAS UNABLE TO REACH MR. WELCH FOR FOLLOW-UP
2	PURPOSES ON THIS EITHER LAST NIGHT OR THIS MORNING.
3	IT IS THE DEFENSE'S INTENT, OBVIOUSLY, TO TRY TO
4	INVESTIGATE THE SOURCE OF THAT PIECE OF PAPER. I HANDLED THE
5	PIECE OF PAPER CAREFULLY.
6	I WOULD BE WILLING UNDER THE COURT'S SUPERVISION
7	TO MAKE THE ORIGINAL AVAILABLE TO THE P.D. FOR FINGERPRINTING.
8	HOW I HANDLED IT WAS TO PUT A PAPER CLIP ONCE I HAD
9	REALIZED WHAT IT WAS ON THE UPPER LEFT-HAND CORNER AND
10	HANDLED IT VIA THE PAPER CLIP ONLY, ALTHOUGH I AM SURE MY
11	FINGERPRINTS WERE ON THERE BEFORE I PAPER-CLIPPED IT.
12	BUT I WOULD BE HAPPY IF THE PEOPLE WOULD MAKE
13	KNOWN TO THE DEFENSE WHATEVER PRINTS THEY WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY
14	ON THE PIECE OF PAPER.
15	I WOULD BE HAPPY TO SHARE FOR PURPOSES OF COMMON
16	INVESTIGATION THAT PIECE OF PAPER WITH THE PEOPLE, ALONG WITH
17	THE ENVELOPE. WOULD YOU AGREE TO THAT, MR. WAPNER?
18	MR. WAPNER: WELL, WHAT I THINK IS THAT THE ORIGINAL
19	SHOULD BE TUREND OVER TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND KEPT BY THE
20	POLICE DEPARTMENT. OBVIOUSLY, MR. BARENS CAN HAVE A COPY.
21	WHAT YOU NEED FOR THE PURPOSES OF YOUR INVESTIGATION IS NOT
22	THE ORIGINAL PIECE OF PAPER BUT
23	THE COURT: I THINK IT IS YOUR DUTY ANYWAY TO TURN IT
24	OVER BECAUSE IT INVOLVES A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION.
25	MR. BARENS: YES.
26	I WILL BE CANDID WITH YOUR HONOR, UNTIL MR. WAPNER
27	ADDRESSED IT THIS MORNING, MY INITIAL REACTION WAS THAT PERHAPS
28	IT WAS A CRANK TYPE THING, EVEN THOUGH WE WERE GOING TO SPEND

A LIMITED AMOUNT OF INVESTIGATION DOLLARS ON IT. 1 THE COURT: AND NOW YOU KNOW IT ISN'T? 2 MR. BARENS: NOW I REALIZE THAT THERE IS SOME SUBSTANCE 3 TO IT. 4 I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TURN IT OVER. WOULD THE PEOPLE, 5 HOWEVER, AGREE THAT THEY WOULD BE PROVIDED INFORMATION 6 CONCERNING ANY PRINTS LOCATED ON THE ORIGINAL? 7 MR. WAPNER: OF COURSE. 8 MR. BARENS: AND NOW I HAVE NOT MADE A COPY OF THIS 9 BECAUSE I WAS AFRAID TO COPY IT OUT OF CONCERN THAT THE MACHINE 10 COULD SOMEHOW CORRUPT THE ORIGINAL. WELL, I DON'T KNOW --11 MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING MORE ABOUT IT THAN 12 YOU DO. THE ONLY REASON I'M SMILING IS I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING 13 MORE ABOUT IT THAN YOU DO. 14 MR. BARENS: MY UNDERSTANDING IS IF A COPY IS MADE ON 15 A FLASH SYSTEM, RATHER THAN A ROLLING SYSTEM, IT DOESN'T 16 17 CORRUPT IT. WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS BRING IT TOMORROW. 18 THE WAY WE ARE PROCEEDING TODAY IS I AM HERE IN 19 THE MORNING AND MR. CHIER THE AFTERNOON AND I WAS GOING TO 20 BRING IT TOMORROW. I WILL BRING IT TOMORROW MORNING AND MAKE 21 IT AVAILABLE TO MR. WAPNER OR TO THE JUDGE. 22 23 MR. WAPNER: NO. THE COURT: WELL, SINCE IT IS AN INVESTIGATION, I HAVE 24 NOTHING TO DO WITH THAT ASPECT OF IT. 25 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. 26 THE COURT: THAT IS NOT BEFORE ME. 27 BUT YOU GIVE IT TO HIM AND HE WILL ARRANGE EITHER 28

2022-F

1	TO GET A COPY TO YOU OR WHATEVER AFTER THEY HAVE TAKEN THE
2	FINGERPRINTS. IN FACT, IT COULD BE RELEASED BACK TO YOU.
3	MR. BARENS: I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.
4	OR CERTAINLY, I WILL ACCEPT A REPRESENTATION THAT
5	I WILL GET A COPY OF BOTH THE DOCUMENT AND THE ENVELOPE IT CAME
6	IN, MR. WAPNER.
7	MR. WAPNER: I WILL MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE THAT
8	DOCUMENT TAKEN FROM MR. BARENS AND PUT IN THE HANDS OF THE
9	POLICE. EXACTLY HOW THAT IS DONE, I AM NOT SURE. I WILL TALK
10	TO MR. BARENS AND MAKE THAT ARRANGEMENT.
11	THE COURT: HE WILL GET THAT BACK OR HE WILL GET BACK
12	SOME COPY OF IT SO HE CAN KEEP IT FOR HIS RECORDS.
13	MR. WAPNER: I THINK THAT PROBABLY NEITHER MR. BARENS
14	NOR MYSELF WANTS TO BE IN THE POSITION OF BEING IN THE CHAIN
15	OF CUSTODY IN TERMS OF BRINGING IT FROM HIS OFFICE AND TO THE
16	COURT AND THEN GIVING IT TO SOME POLICE OFFICER, SO I MAY MAKE
17	SOME ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE IT PICKED UP FROM HIS OFFICE.
18	MR. BARENS: I AM GOING TO BE GOING DIRECTLY BACK TO MY
19	OFFICE WHEN WE BREAK TODAY AND I WILL BE THERE UNTIL AT LEAST
20	7:30 TONIGHT.
21	THE COURT: DOESN'T IT SAY SOMETHING ABOUT A COVER-UP?
22	MR. BARENS: IT SAID "COVER-UP AT HOLLYWOOD POLICE
23	DEPARTMENT REGARDING DEAN KARNY MURDER OR HOMICIDE AT THE
24	HOLLYWOODLAND MOTEL" AND IT CONCLUDES "FRIEND OF HONEST COP."
25	I MIGHT INDICATE AS WELL THAT ASIDE FROM IT BEING
26	STENCILED ON THE CORRESPONDENCE SIDE, IT LOOKS LIKE SOMEONE
27	HAD TAKEN A PENCIL AND MADE LINES TO LINE UP THE STRAIGHT LINING
28	OF THE STENCILED LETTERS OR INTERSECT WHERE THE PRINTING PART

ł

2022-G

1 OR THE STENCILING PART IS. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN YOU FOLLOW IT UP. 3 LET'S GET THE SHOWON THE ROAD. 4 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT, BEFORE WE ADJOURN FROM CHAMBERS. I WOULD PLEASE REQUEST THE COURT ISSUE A GAG ORDER AS FAR AS 5 6 THIS INFORMATION IS CONCERNED, AS WE DID WITH THE OTHER. 7 THE COURT: IS THAT AGREEABLE? 8 MR. BARENS: THAT IS AGREEABLE TO ME. 9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER OF THE 10 COURT. 11 MR. WAPNER: SO THAT THE ORDER IS THAT THIS INFORMATION 12 NOT BE DISCLOSED TO ANY THIRD PARTY. 13 THE COURT: AND WE WILL SEAL THE TRANSCRIPT. 14 MR. BARENS: BEFORE WE PROCEED, YOUR HONOR. COULD [15 JUST QUICKLY CALL MY OFFICE? IT WILL TAKE A MINUTE. I WISH 16 TO TELL MY OFFICER MANAGER TO SECURE THAT DOCUMENT. I HAD LEFT 17 IT SOMEWHAT CASUALLY ON MY DESK WHEN I LEFT THIS MORNING. 18 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. USE MY PHONE. 19 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS WHILE MR. BARENS 20 MAKES TELEPHONE CALL.) 21 THE COURT: HOW DO THEY KNOW YOU ARE INTERESTED IN 22 THAT? 23 MR. BARENS: IN THE KARNY MATTER, OBVIOUSLY MR. KARNY 24 IS THE PEOPLE'S PRIMARY WITNESS. MY SECRETARY TELLS ME THAT 25 ONE OF THE COUNSEL IN THE SAN FRANCISCO CASE CALLED THIS 26 MORNING REFERABLE TO THIS MATTER. 27 THE COURT: IS THAT RIGHT? 28 MR. WAPNER: TO WHICH MATTER?

2022-H

1	MR. BARENS: WELL, ONE OF THE COUNSEL FOR ONE OF THE
2	OTHER DEFENDANTS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO CASE, MY SECRETARY JUST
3	ADVISED ME, CALLED SAYING HE UNDERSTOOD THERE WAS SOME NEW
4	EVIDENCE IN THE L.A. CASE THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO THE DEFENSE.
5	I COULD THINK OF NOTHING ELSE HE COULD BE REFERRING TO.
6	MR. WAPNER: WHO WAS THE ATTORNEY WHO CALLED?
7	MR. BARENS: IT WAS FOR MR. DOSTI.
8	MR. WAPNER: WAS THAT MR. PARNES?
9	MR. BARENS: PINES, IS IT?
10	MR. WAPNER: PARNES.
11	MR. BARENS: PARNES. I AM SORRY.
12	I AM TRYING TO BE AS CANDID AS I CAN BE, MR. WAPNER,
13	IN WHAT I AM HEARING ABOUT THIS AND THIS IS THE FIRST I HAVE
14	HEARD FROM HIM IN SOME TIME.
15	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE IT VERY MUCH THAT YOU VOLUNTEERED
16	THAT INFORMATION.
17	ALL RIGHT, SHALL WE GET THE SHOW ON THE ROAD?
18	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
19	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
20	IN OPEN COURT:)
21	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, A POINT OF CLARIFICATION. MR.
22	HUNT HAS ASKED ME IF THE OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THE
23	GAG ORDER NOW IN EXISTENCE, IF HE CAN DISCUSS THEM WITH MR.
24	KELLY, WHO IS HIS COUNSEL IN SAN FRANCISCO.
25	THE COURT: I THINK THAT WOULD BE INDICATED, YES.
26	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS THIS PARTICULAR
27	INFORMATION IS CONCERNED, THERE IS AN APPEARANCE SCHEDULED IN
28	THAT MATTER ON THIS FRIDAY. I WOULD ASK THE COURT PLEASE TO

)

1	DEFER A RULING ON WHETHER OR NOT MR. HUNT CAN DISCUSS THAT
2	WITH HIS COUNSEL UNTIL I HAVE A CHANCE TO TALK TO MR. VANCE.
3	I BELIEVE
4	WELL, I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN
5	ON FRIDAY IN THAT CASE BUT, OBVIOUSLY, NOW MR. PARNES HAS SOME
6	INKLING OF WHAT IS GOING ON AND IF YOU CAN JUST DEFER RULING
7	ON THAT UNTIL TOMORROW OR EVEN THIS AFTERNOON, ALTHOUGH MR.
8	BARENS WON'T BE HERE.
9	MR. BARENS: THE ONLY POINT, OBVIOUSLY, THE DEFENSE IS
10	MAKING I DON'T KNOW HOW MR. HUNT AND HIS COUNSEL CAN PROPERLY
11	PREPARE FOR THE HEARING IF MR. HUNT ISN'T ABLE TO FULLY
12	DISCUSS THINGS HE IS AWARE OF IN THIS CASE WITH HIS OWN LAWYER.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

):

2022-1

MR. WAPNER: MY ONLY CONCERN IS OBVIOUSLY, THAT MR. 1 KELLY IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE ORDER OF THIS COURT THAT HE NOT 2 DISCLOSE TO ANYONE. THEREFORE, ONCE IT IS DISCLOSED TO MR. 3 4 KELLY, WE HAVE NO CONTROL. 5 THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T WE CONDITIONALLY SAY THAT HE MAY DISCUSS IT, PROVIDED WE GET A COMMITMENT FROM MR. KELLY 6 7 THAT HE WILL NOT DISCLOSE IT TO ANY THIRD PARTIES. 8 MR. BARENS: MR. HUNT. I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND WHAT 9 HIS HONOR HAS INDICATED, THAT MR. KELLY MUST MAKE A COMMITMENT. BY THAT, I WOULD LIKE A WRITTEN LETTER FROM MR. KELLY ADDRESSED 10 TO HIS HONOR INDICATING THAT THE SUBJECT OF THE GAG ORDER THAT 11 12 YOU DISCUSSED WITH HIM, HE WILL NOT DISCUSS WITH ANY THIRD 13 PERSON. WITHOUT EXCEPTION OR CONDITION. THE DEFENDANT: SHOULD HIS HONOR BE IN RECEIPT OF THAT 14 15 BEFORE WE DISCUSS ANYTHING? 16 THE COURT: YOU WILL KNOW WHETHER IT IS IN ACCORD WITH 17 THIS. MR. BARENS: I WOULD LIKE THAT SENT DOWN FORTHWITH. 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: ALL RIGHT. 20 THE COURT: OKAY, THANK YOU. LET'S GET MISS FIDERER 21 IN. PLEASE. 22 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR FIDERER ENTERS THE 23 COURTROOM.) 24 THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 25 MS. FIDERER: ALL RIGHT. 26 THE COURT: YOU CAN GO TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM TO TELL 27 THEM YOU ARE AVAILABLE FOR SOME OTHER CASE. 28 MS. FIDERER: BUT NOT DURING THE MONTH OF DECEMBER.

2023

4A

2024

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. TELL THEM YOU WILL COME IN IN 1 2 JANUARY. 3 MS. FIDERER: THANK YOU. (PROSPECTIVE JUROR FIDERER EXITS THE 4 5 COURTROOM.) (PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLOUGHBY ENTERS 6 7 THE COURTROOM.) THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. WILLOUGHBY. HAVE YOU READ 8 9 ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS CASE? 10 MR. WILLOUGHBY: VERY LITTLE. THE COURT: VERY LITTLE? 11 12 MR. WILLOUGHBY: VERY LITTLE. THE COURT: WHAT HAVE YOU READ? 13 MR. WILLOUGHBY: WELL, I AM NOT EVEN SURE WHAT THE CASE 14 15 IS. I HAVE HEARD RUMORS ABOUT --THE COURT: YOU MEAN FROM OTHER JURORS? 16 17 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 18 THE COURT: BUT HAVE YOU ACTUALLY READ ANYTHING IN THE 19 NEWSPAPER OR A PUBLICATION? MR. WILLOUGHBY: JUST THE PIECE ABOUT THE REPORTERS BEING 20 21 THROWN OUT THE LAST DAY I WAS HERE. THE COURT: YOU MEAN AT THE TIME WE HAD SOME DISCUSSION 22 IN CHAMBERS AND WE ISSUED A GAG ORDER AND REQUESTED COUNSEL --23 MR. WILLOUGHBY: THAT'S RIGHT. 24 25 THE COURT: BUT OTHER THAN THAT, YOU READ NOTHING ABOUT 26 IT EXCEPT IN THE DAILY NEWS? 27 MR. WILLOUGHBY: RIGHT. THE COURT: BUT NO FACTS WERE DISCUSSED AS TO THIS 28

1 PARTICULAR CASE? 2 MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO. 3 THE COURT: OTHER THAN THAT, HAVE YOU HEARD ANYTHING 4 AT ALL ABOUT THIS CASE, OTHER THAN WHAT I TOLD YOU WHEN YOU 5 WERE ALL HERE? 6 MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WOULD SUGGEST IN THE FUTURE, 8 IF THERE IS ANYTHING TO READ ABOUT THE CASE, DON'T READ IT. 9 IF IT IS ON THE RADIO, DON'T LISTEN TO IT. IF 10 IT IS ON TELEVISION. DON'T LOOK AT IT IF YOU CAN. ALL RIGHT? 11 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 12 THE COURT: BECAUSE WE WANT TO HAVE NOTHING AT ALL THAT 13 YOU HAVE HEARD IN ANY WAY WHICH MAKES AN IMPRESSION UPON YOU 14 SO THAT YOU WOULD PREJUDGE THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. 15 MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 17 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT I TOLD YOU -- WELL, I WILL 18 BRIEFLY REVIEW THE NATURE OF THE CASE WE ARE ABOUT TO TRY. 19 THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN CHARGED WITH A MURDER. THE MURDER 20 WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 21 NOW, MURDERS IN THE FIRST DEGREE DON'T NECESSARILY 22 ENTAIL THE DEATH PENALTY. IT IS ONLY WHEN THE MURDER IS 23 COMMITTED UNDER CERTAIN SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE 24 LEGISLATURE SAYS THAT THE DEATH PENALTY MIGHT BE IMPLIED IN 25 THAT PARTICULAR CASE, ONE OF WHICH IS A MURDER COMMITTED IN 26 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY OR BURGLARY OR A KIDNAPPING OR A RAPE 27 OR A CHILD MOLESTATION WHERE THE CHILD DIES OR MULTIPLE 28 MURDERS.

THERE ARE 19 OF THEM THAT THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID 1 IN THOSE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE CASES, THE DEATH PENALTY WOULD 2 BE APPLICABLE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 3 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 4 THE COURT: SO THE FUNCTION OF THE JURY IN THIS PARTICULAR 5 CASE, IF YOU ARE SELECTED AND YOU ARE ON THE JURY, WOULD BE 6 FIRST, TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY 7 8 OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE. IF THEY SAY HE IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST 9 DEGREE OR HE IS INNOCENT, AS THE CASE MAY BE OR IF HE IS GUILTY 10 OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THEN THEY HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION 11 12 TO DECIDE. THAT QUESTION IS, WAS THAT MURDER COMMITTED IN 13 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, WHICH WOULD QUALIFY FOR A POSSIBLE 14 DEATH PENALTY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 15 16 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. THE COURT: AND IF THEY SAY YES, IT IS TRUE THAT IT WAS 17 COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THEN THAT SAME JURY 18 19 LISTENS TO THE TESTIMONY AND LISTENS TO OTHER TESTIMONY AS 20 TO THE PENALTY PHASE. AND THEN THE JURY, HAVING HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, 21 WILL THEN HAVE TO MAKE UP ITS MIND IF IT IS GOING TO BE LIFE 22 WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH, ONE OF THE TWO OF THEM. 23 WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, IT INVOLVES 24 BOTH. NOW, ON THE GUILT PHASE WHERE YOU DETERMINE WHETHER 25 HE IS GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY, THE MATTER OF PENALTY IS NOT 26 DISCUSSED AND YOU SHOULD NEVER TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. 27 IT IS ONLY DURING THE PENALTY PHASE OF IT. 28

1 THE DEFENDANT WILL PRODUCE TESTIMONY WHICH WOULD 2 BE FAVORABLE TO HIM AND THE PROSECUTION, I ASSUME, WILL PRODUCE 3 EVIDENCE THAT WOULD BE UNFAVORABLE. IN OTHER WORDS, IT WOULD 4 MITIGATING AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. DO YOU UNDERSTAND 5 THAT? 6 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 7 10 11 12	
 3 EVIDENCE THAT WOULD BE UNFAVORABLE. IN OTHER WORDS, IT WOULD 4 MITIGATING AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. DO YOU UNDERSTAND 5 THAT? 6 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 7 8 9 10 11 12 	
 MITIGATING AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 9 10 11 12 	Ξ
5 THAT? 6 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 7	
6 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. 7 8 9 10 11 12	
7 8 9 10 11 12	
8 9 10 11 12 12	
9 10 11 12	
10 11 12	
11 12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	4
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	THE COURT: SO, WE DON'T WANT YOU TO MAKE UP YOUR MIND
2	UNTIL YOU HEAR ALL OF THAT TESTIMONY. THEN YOU WILL DECIDE
3	WHAT IT IS GOING TO BE. RIGHT?
4	MR. WILLOUGHBY: SURE.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW I AM GOING TO ASK YOU A SERIES
6	OF QUESTIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPLORING THE STATE OF YOUR
7	MIND AS TO OPINION OR FEELINGS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY. ALL
8	RIGHT?
9	NOW, THE FIRST QUESTION I AM GOING TO ASK YOU IS
10	THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS RELATE TO THE GUILT PHASE OF THE
.11	TRIAL, NOT THE PENALTY. JUST THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL.
12	FIRST, DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY
13	THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS
14	TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT?
15	MR. WILLOUGHBY: YOU MEAN IF I KNEW THAT HE WAS GUILTY,
16	WOULD I
17	THE COURT: NO. THE PURPOSE OF THE QUESTION WOULD BE
18	TO DETERMINE WHETHER, BECAUSE YOU HAVE A FEELING ABOUT THE
19	DEATH PENALTY, IS THAT GOING TO AFFECT YOU IN DETERMINING THE
20	GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT?
21	MR. WILLOUGHBY: WELL, I DON'T BELIEVE IN THE DEATH
22	PENALTY. BUT AS FAR AS THE GUILT GOES, I THINK I COULD FIND
23	HIM GUILTY IF I KNEW THAT HE WAS
24	THE COURT: SO YOUR ANSWER IS NO, YOUR OPINION ON THE
25	DEATH PENALTY WOULD NOT AFFECT YOU IN DETERMINING WHETHER OR
26	NOT HE IS GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?
27	MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO. I DON'T BELIEVE IT WOULD.
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE SECOND QUESTION RELATES

2028

4B

Ļ

ſ

ALSO IN CONNECTION WITH THE GUILT PHASE. I TOLD YOU THAT IF
YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE,
THEN YOU DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT
IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. THAT HAS
TO BE A SEPARATE FINDING.

NOW, THE QUESTION THAT I WILL ASK YOU IS, DO YOU
HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT
YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH
OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES ALLEGED IN THE CASE?

MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO. I DON'T THINK IT WOULD AFFECT THAT
PART OF IT, EITHER.

12 THE COURT: YES. DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING
13 THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO
14 IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY
15 BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?

MR. WILLOUGHBY: NO, DEFINITELY NOT.

17 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION WITH RESPECT TO -18 ALL RIGHT. THE NEXT QUESTION IS THE SAME SUBJECT BUT A
19 DIFFERENT ASPECT OF THE SAME QUESTION.

20 DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH 21 PENALTY SO THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT 22 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY 23 BE PRESENTED?

24

16

MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES, I DO. YES.

THE COURT: SO WHAT YOU WOULD DO IF I UNDERSTAND YOU,
ON THE GUILT PHASE, IF YOU FOUND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF MURDER
IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND YOU FIND THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING
THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY IN THE PENALTY PHASE, YOU WILL NEVER

VOTE THE DEATH PENALTY BUT YOU MIGHT VOTE LIFE WITHOUT THE 1 2 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? 3 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES, SIR. 4 THE COURT: IS THAT CORRECT? 5 MR. WILLOUGHBY: YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 6 7 MR. BARENS: MIGHT I INQUIRE BRIEFLY? 8 THE COURT: YES, SURELY. 9 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING, MR. 10 WILLOUGHBY. I AM ARTHUR BARENS. I REPRESENT MR. HUNT, THE 11 DEFENDANT. 12 OF CONCERN TO THE COURT AND ALL COUNSEL AT THIS TIME, IS THE FACT THAT BOTH THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE AND THE 13 14 DEFENSE ARE ENTITLED TO NEUTRAL JURORS OR A JURY AS NEUTRAL 15 AS WE HUMANS GET. 16 THAT MEANS A PERSON, THE WAY I SEE IT, WHO BOTH 17 ON THE ISSUE OF GUILT AND INNOCENCE IS NEUTRAL AND ON THE 18 PENALTY PHASE, THE SECOND PHASE THAT HIS HONOR SPOKE ABOUT 19 IN TERMS OF THE PENALTY PHASE, IS NEUTRAL, WHETHER IT SHOULD 20 BE LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR THE DEATH PENALTY. 21 IN THIS CONTEXT, I BELIEVE WHAT WE ARE TALKING 22 ABOUT, IS YOUR ABILITY WITH AN OPEN MIND, TO CONSIDER BOTH 23 PENALTIES AND A WILLINGNESS ON YOUR PART AS A JUROR, TO 24 CONSIDER LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AND TO CONSIDER 25 THE DEATH PENALTY AS AN ALTERNATIVE. 26 IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN THIS CASE, COULD YOU 27 CONSIDER THOSE TWO POSSIBILITIES? 28 MR. WILLOUGHBY: TRUTHFULLY, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT I

1 COULD. I DON'T THINK I COULD CONSIDER THE DEATH PENALTY. 2 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR 4 FRANKNESS AND CANDOR. 5 YOU SEE, BECAUSE OF WHAT YOU HAVE SAID, YOU DO 6 NOT QUALIFY AS A JUROR IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE BECAUSE OF YOUR 7 ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY. 8 MR. WILLOUGHBY: SORRY. 9 THE COURT: YOU WILL QUALIFY VERY WELL FOR SOME OTHER 10 CASE. YOU TELL THE JURY CLERK IN THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM THAT 11 YOU ARE NOT TO BE A JUROR ON THIS CASE BUT YOU CAN QUALIFY 12 FOR SOME OTHER CASE. 13 MR. WILLOUGHBY: OKAY. 14 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE, SORRY 15 TO KEEP YOU WAITING ALL THIS TIME. 16 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR WILLOUGHBY EXITS THE 17 COURTROOM.) 18 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTE ENTERS THE 19 COURTROOM.) 20 THE COURT: MR. WINTE? 21 MR. WINTE: YES. 22 THE COURT: MR. WINTE, WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 23 MR. WINTE: VAN NUYS. 24 THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS 25 CASE IN ANY PUBLICATION OR ANY NEWSPAPER? 26 MR. WINTE: NO. 27 THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT EXCEPT WHAT 28 I TOLD YOU WHEN ALL OF YOU WERE HERE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO?

MR. WINTE: THAT'S RIGHT. THE COURT: YOU HAVE NOT DISCUSSED IT WITH ANY OF THE OTHER JURORS, IS THAT RIGHT? MR. WINTE: UH-HUH. THE COURT: KEEP IT UP. DON'T TALK TO ANYBODY ABOUT IT OR READ ANYTHING. IF YOU SEE ANYTHING IN THE NEWSPAPER WHICH REMINDS YOU OF THIS CASE, DON'T READ IT. IF YOU HEAR ANYTHING ON THE RADIO OR TELEVISION, DON'T LOOK AT IT OR LISTEN TO IT. MR. WINTE: YES, SIR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. BRIEFLY, I AM GOING TO TELL YOU WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT. I WILL ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS. THE PURPOSE OF ALL OF THOSE QUESTIONS WILL BE TO DISCOVER YOUR ATTITUDES AND YOUR OPINIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY. FIRST, LET ME TELL YOU THE PROCEDURES. THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN ACCUSED OF COMMITTING A MURDER. THAT MURDER WAS IN THE FIRST DEGREE, BEING COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.

1	NOW DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY CERTAIN
2	SIGNIFICANCE. IT HAS CERTAIN SIGNIFICANCE BECAUSE THE
3	LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT IF A MURDER IS COMMITTED, EVEN IF
4	IT IS A DELIBERATE, PLANNED MURDER, THAT DOESN'T CALL FOR
5	THE DEATH PENALTY. IT ONLY HAS TO BE COMMITTED UNDER CERTAIN
6	CIRCUMSTANCES, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, THEY SAY, LIKE COMMITTED
7	DURING A ROBBERY, COMMITTED DURING A BURGLARY, COMMITTED
8	DURING A KIDNAPPING, COMMITTED DURING A RAPE; DO YOU
9	UNDERSTAND?
10	MR. WINTE: UH-HUH.
11	THE COURT: AND COMMITTED DURING A TORTURE OR THAT HE
12	COMMITTED MULTIPLE MURDERS; DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
13	THERE ARE 19 OF THOSE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE CASES
14	WHERE THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID IN THESE CASES THE DEATH PENALTY
15	MAY BE IMPOSED, DEPENDING UPON ALL OF THE FACTS THAT I WILL
16	TELL YOU ABOUT; DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
17	MR. WINTE: UH-HUH.
18	THE COURT: SO IF YOU ARE A JUROR SELECTED IN THIS CASE,
19	THE JURY WILL FIRST HAVE TO DETERMINE WHAT WE CALL THE GUILT
20	PHASE AND THEY WILL HEAR EVIDENCE ON THE GUILT PHASE. DURING
21	THE GUILT PHASE, EVIDENCE WILL BE PRESENTED AS TO THE FACTS
22	OF THE ALLEGED MURDER AND THE JURY WILL HAVE TO DETERMINE
23	FIRST WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT HAD COMMITTED THE MURDER,
24	MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE. AND IF THE JURY DECIDES THAT
25	IT HAS BEEN COMMITTED, THEN THEY HAVE TO DECIDE THE AUXILIARY
26	QUESTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THAT MURDER WAS COMMITTED DURING
27	THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THAT IS THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE
28	I TOLD YOU ABOUT; DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

Ì

1

MR. WINTE: UH-HUH.

THE COURT: AND IF THEY SAY YES, IT WAS COMMITTED DURING 2 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THEN WE GO INTO THE SECOND PHASE 3 OF THE TRIAL WITH THE SAME JURY. THE SAME JURY THEN WILL 4 LISTEN TO THE TESTIMONY, OTHER TESTIMONY BY THE DEFENDANT 5 TO MITIGATE THE OFFENSE, TO SHOW FAVORABLE ASPECTS ABOUT HIM 6 AND HIS BACKGROUND, AND THE PROSECUTION WILL SHOW AGGRAVATING 7 CIRCUMSTANCES TO AGGRAVATE THE OFFENSE, TO SHOW THAT HE IS 8 A BAD MAN, SO TO SPEAK, OR ANYTHING WHICH WOULD BE UNFAVORABLE 9 TO HIM WILL BE PRESENTED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND ANYTHING 10 FAVORABLE WILL BE PRESENTED BY THE DEFENSE. 11

NOT ONLY DO YOU CONSIDER THE OFFENSE ITSELF WHICH 12 YOU HAVE ALREADY HEARD THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THAT, YOU CONSIDER 13 THAT ALSO ON THE PENALTY PHASE, THE NATURE OF THE OFFENSE 14 OF WHICH HE HAS BEEN CONVICTED AND YOU ALSO CONSIDER THE 15 DEFENDANT'S CHARACTER, HIS BACKGROUND, HIS HISTORY, HIS MENTAL 16 AND PHYSICAL CONDITION, ANYTHING THAT RELATES TO THE DEFENDANT, 17 YOU WILL BE HEARING ABOUT, INCLUDING HIS AGE, WHICH IS A FACTOR 18 TO BE CONSIDERED. AND ALSO WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS ANY PRIOR 19 FELONY RECORD HE MIGHT HAVE. IF HE HASN'T, THAT IS FAVORABLE 20 TO HIM. IF HE HAS, THAT IS UNFAVORABLE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 21 MR. WINTE: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT. 22

23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NOW I AM GOING TO ASK YOU A SERIES
24 OF QUESTIONS. THIS IS ALL TO DETERMINE ONCE MORE YOUR STATE
25 OF MIND AND YOUR OPINIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY.

26 NOW THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS APPLY TO THE GUILT 27 PHASE OF THE TRIAL.

28

FIRST: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE

DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL
 DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT?
 MR. WINTE: NO.

4 THE COURT: NOW THE SECOND QUESTION ALSO IS AS TO THE 5 GUILT PHASE AND HAS TO DO WITH THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES I 6 TOLD YOU ABOUT, WAS IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A 7 ROBBERY: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY 8 THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION 9 CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE?

MR. WINTE: NO.

THE COURT: NOW, THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS ARE ON THE 11 ASSUMPTION THAT THE JURY HAS FOUND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF 12 MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THEY FOUND THE SPECIAL 13 CIRCUMSTANCE TO BE TRUE, THESE HAVE TO DO WITH THE PENALTY 14 ASPECT AND THE FIRST QUESTION UNDER THE PENALTY ASPECT IS: 15 DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT 16 YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY 17 REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY 18 PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 19

MR. WINTE: NO.

THE COURT: AND THE NEXT QUESTION IS ANOTHER ASPECT
OF THAT SAME QUESTION: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING
THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE
IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS
OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE
OF THE TRIAL?

MR. WINTE: NO.

28

27

20

10

1.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND LASTLY, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT

THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT TAKE PLACE IN 1 THIS CASE AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN 2 THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 3 MR. WINTE: UH-HUH, THAT'S RIGHT. 4 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. WINTE, I AM ARTHUR BARENS AND I REPRESENT 6 THE DEFENDANT, JOE HUNT, IN THIS MATTER. 7 IT IS MY DUTY AT THIS PART OF THE PROCEEDINGS 8 TO INOUIRE AS TO YOUR VIEWS ON THE DEATH PENALTY. THERE ARE 9 NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWERS TO MY QUESTIONS AND NO GOOD OR BAD 10 ANSWERS. WE ARE JUST INTERESTED IN YOUR OPINIONS THIS MORNING. 11 MR. WINTE: UH-HUH. 12 MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY 13 AS A GENERAL PROPOSITION IN OUR SOCIETY? 14 MR. WINTE: WELL, I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE IT. 15 MR. BARENS: AND COULD YOU TELL ME WHY YOU FEEL THAT 16 WAY, SIR? 17 MR. WINTE: WELL, I BELIEVE, MY OPINION THERE, I THINK 18 WHERE AN INDIVIDUAL THAT TAKES THE LIFE SHOULD GIVE HIS OWN 19 THEN, TO ME, BECAUSE LIFE IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING A PERSON 20 HAS. 21 MR. BARENS: ABSOLUTELY, SIR. 22 MR. WINTE: AND IF HE LOSES IT UNDER CERTAIN 23 CIRCUMSTANCES, THEN THERE SHOULD BE A PENALTY FOR THAT TO 24 THE INDIVIDUAL THAT CREATES IT. 25 MR. BARENS: WHEN YOU SAY "CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES," 26 MR. WINTE, LET ME TRY TO FOCUS IN ON THAT ALONG WITH YOU. 27 IF YOU HAD A SITUATION WHERE THERE WAS AN INTENTIONAL KILLING 28

5-4

1 DURING A ROBBERY SOMEWHAT LIKE HIS HONOR SPOKE ABOUT THAT
2 MAKES SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, IN OTHER WORDS, SOMEONE HAS SHOT
3 TO DEATH SOMEONE, LET'S SAY, AND THE PERSON THAT DID THE
4 SHOOTING DID IT BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO GET SOMETHING AWAY
5 FROM THE VICTIM, MONEY OR PROPERTY OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE;
6 IT IS YOUR OPINION, ISN'T IT, THAT THAT TYPE OF PERSON SHOULD
7 GET THE DEATH PENALTY?

MR. WINTE: YES, SIR.

5

8

16

9 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THAT QUESTION
10 BECAUSE IF THOSE ARE THE ONLY FACTS THAT HE HAS GIVEN AND
11 THE QUESTION DOESN'T LIMIT IT TO THOSE FACTS, IN OTHER WORDS,
12 WITHOUT TELLING HIM, WITHOUT KNOWING MORE.

MR. BARENS: I PLAN TO EXPAND IT. I WOULD LIKE TO FIRST
QUALIFY THE BIAS AND GO ON TO THE BIGGER QUESTION I BELIEVE
YOUR HONOR WILL PUT.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT IS NOT A COMPLETE QUESTION.

17 WE ASSUME THAT THERE HAS BEEN A MURDER IN THE
18 FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.
19 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THEN WE ARE TO CONSIDER AT THE PENALTY PHASE 20 21 OF THE TRIAL WHERE WE HAVE OTHER EVIDENCE THAT WILL BE ADDUCED. AS I TOLD YOU, THERE WILL BE THINGS FAVORABLE TO 22 THE DEFENDANT AND UNFAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT, EVERYTHING 23 ABOUT HIS BACKGROUND AND EVERYTHING ELSE MUST BE CONSIDERED 24 BY THE JURY BEFORE THEY CAN MAKE UP THEIR MINDS AS TO WHETHER 25 OR NOT IT SHOULD BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY 26 OF PAROLE OR DEATH: WILL YOU FOLLOW THAT? 27 MR. WINTE: YES, SIR. 28

[
1	MR. BARENS: AS I UNDERSTAND IT, YOU HAVE A BELIEF THAT
2	IF YOU TAKE A LIFE YOUR LIFE SHOULD BE TAKEN?
3	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR.
4	MR. BARENS: NOW, WOULD THAT BELIEF THAT YOU HAVE, WHICH
5	IS AN ENTIRELY UNDERSTANDABLE BELIEF, SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIR
6	YOUR ABILITY TO CONSIDER FACTORS LIKE THE DEFENDANT'S AGE
7	OR WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT HAD A PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD
8	OR WOULD YOUR BELIEF SYSTEM SAY TO YOU THAT AUTOMATICALLY,
9	SINCE HE TOOK A LIFE HE IS GOING TO HAVE TO SACRIFICE HIS
10	LIFE?
11	MR. WINTE: NO, SIR.
12	IT WOULD BE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ISSUE
13	THAT IS BEING BROUGHT UP AT THE TIME. BEING HIS BACKGROUND,
14	ALONG THOSE LINES, PRIOR TO THAT, SHOULD HAVE NO BEARING ON
15	IT WHATSOEVER.
16	THE COURT: WELL, SUPPOSE I INSTRUCT YOU THAT YOU MUST
17	CONSIDER ON THE PENALTY PHASE EVERYTHING ABOUT THE DEFENDANT,
18	THE FAVORABLE ASPECTS
19	MR. WINTE: OH.
20	THE COURT: WHICH WOULD MITIGATE THE OFFENSE; DO
21	YOU SEE WHAT I MEAN?
22	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR, I DO.
23	THE COURT: WOULD YOU CONSIDER ALSO THE AGGRAVATING
24	CIRCUMSTANCES? IN OTHER WORDS, SUPPOSE HE HAD A CLEAN RECORD
25	AND HAD NEVER BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE LAW AND HIS AGE AND HE
26	LIVED AN EXEMPLARY LIFE, A FINE LIFE AND EVERYTHING ELSE BEFORE
27	THIS, WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT BEFORE YOU MAKE UP YOUR MIND
28	AS TO WHAT THE PENALTY WOULD BE?

5A-1

1	MR. WINTE: I BELIEVE I WOULD, YES.
2	THE COURT: THAT IS THE LAW AND I AM TELLING YOU YOU
3	MUST DO THAT.
4	MR. WINTE: UH-HUH.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
6	MR. BARENS: SIR, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	AND THE DEFENDANT UNDER OUR SYSTEM ARE ENTITLED TO AS NEUTRAL
8	A JUROR AS WE CAN GET FOR BOTH THE GUILT PHASE AND THE PENALTY
9	PHASE.
10	MR. WINTE: UH-HUH.
11	MR. BARENS: I AM CONCERNED, MR. WINTE, THAT YOUR BELIEF
12	SYSTEM MAY GIVE ME A LITTLE BIT WHERE I AM AT A DISADVANTAGE
13	TO BEGIN WITH IN APPROACHING YOU, IF WE ARE EVER TO GET TO
14	THE PENALTY PHASE, THAT I AM GOING TO HAVE A HARDER JOB THAN
15	THE PROSECUTOR IS TO CONVINCE YOU THAT MY CLIENT SHOULD GET
16	LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, RATHER THAN THE DEATH
17	PENALTY, BECAUSE YOU HAVE THE BELIEF SYSTEM THAT YOU HAVE,
18	WHICH I DON'T I AM NOT SAYING I DISAGREE WITH I AM JUST
19	SAYING I AM INTERESTED IN GETTING MY CLIENT AS NEUTRAL A JUROR
20	AS POSSIBLE.
21	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR.
22	MR. BARENS: DO YOU FEEL I WOULD HAVE A HARDER TIME
23	CONVINCING YOU THAT HE SHOULD GET LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
24	OF PAROLE THAN THE PROSECUTOR WOULD CONVINCING YOU HE SHOULD
25	GET THE DEATH PENALTY?
26	MR. WINTE: NO, SIR, I DON'T THINK SO.
27	
28	

F0

ļ

6A-1

1	MR. BARENS: IN OTHER WORDS, AS HIS HONOR HAS SAID, YOU
2	NEED TO CONSIDER BACKGROUND AND LACK OR PRESENCE OF PRIOR
3	CRIMINAL RECORD. YOU ARE SAYING YOU WOULD CONSIDER THOSE
4	FACTORS?
5	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR.
6	MR. BARENS: IS IT POSSIBLE IN YOUR MIND SIR, THAT YOUR
7	CONSIDERATION OF THOSE FACTORS COULD OVERCOME YOUR PRIOR
8	BELIEF SYSTEM THAT THERE SHOULD BE A LIFE FOR A LIFE?
9	MR. WINTE: I DON'T THINK SO.
10	MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T THINK THAT COULD HAPPEN, DO YOU?
11	MR. WINTE: NO.
12	THE COURT: DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?
13	MR. WINTE: I THINK SO.
14	MR. BARENS: COULD WE HAVE IT READ BACK, YOUR HONOR?
15	THE COURT: YES.
16	(THE RECORD WAS READ BY THE REPORTER.)
17	MR. BARENS: AND COULD WE PLEASE HAVE THE ANSWER READ?
18	(THE RECORD WAS READ BY THE REPORTER.)
19	MR. BARENS: ISN'T THAT IN FACT HOW YOU REALLY FEEL,
20	SIR, THE WAY YOU ANSWERED JUST NOW?
21	THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT MR. BARENS IS ASKING
22	YOU IS, WITH YOUR MIND SET OUT TO THE DEATH PENALTY IS IT
23	SUCH THAT UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES WOULD YOU VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT
24	POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE?
25	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR. I WOULD.
26	THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU MEAN WHEN YOU ANSWERED NO TO
27	HIS LAST QUESTION?
28	MR. WINTE: WELL, MAYBE I MISUNDERSTOOD IT.

6A-2

THE COURT: OR MAYBE YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IT. 1 MR. WINTE: I COULD HAVE MISUNDERSTOOD IT THEN. 2 MR. BARENS: MR. WINTE, I DON'T WANT IN ANY WAY TO 3 TRICK OR MISLEAD YOU. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT BOTH HIS HONOR 4 AND MYSELF UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT OF VIEW. 5 SO. I AM GOING TO RESPECTFULLY TRY TO RESTATE THAT 6 7 QUESTION TO YOU. 8 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. MR. BARENS: MR. WINTE, ALTHOUGH THE JUDGE IS GOING TO 9 SAY TO YOU THAT YOU NEED TO CONSIDER THE AGE OF THE DEFENDANT 10 AND WHETHER OR NOT HE HAD A PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD AMONG OTHER 11 FACTORS, IF WE GET TO A PENALTY PHASE, IT IS MY FEELING THAT 12 EVEN THOUGH YOU MIGHT CONSIDER THOSE FACTORS, THAT YOUR BELIEF 13 IS THAT IF YOU TAKE A LIFE, YOU SHOULD SACRIFICE A LIFE AND 14 THAT THAT BELIEF WOULD TOTALLY OVERWHELM ANY OF THOSE 15 CONSIDERATIONS AND THAT YOU WOULD FIND IN THOSE INSTANCES THAT 16 THERE SHOULD BE A DEATH PENALTY. IS THAT HOW YOU FEEL, SIR? 17 18 MR. WINTE: I WOULD SAY THAT IT WOULD NOT OVERWHELM, 19 NO. IF I UNDERSTAND THAT PART RIGHT. MR. BARENS: COULD YOU TELL ME WHAT THE CORRECT 20 21 STATEMENT WOULD BE, IF MY STATEMENT ABOUT IT OVERWHELMING IS 22 THAT CORRECT? CAN YOU ANSWER THAT? WHAT WOULD A CORRECT 23 STATEMENT BE? MR. WINTE: WELL, EVERYTHING WOULD HAVE TO BE TAKEN 24 INTO CONSIDERATION AS IT IS GIVEN, JUST LIKE THE JUDGE MENTIONED 25 IN REGARD TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF HIS AGE AND OTHER THINGS 26 THAT ARE GOING TO BE INVOLVED. IT ALL HAS TO BE TAKEN IN. 27 MR. BARENS: DO YOU BELIEVE, MR. WINTE, THAT IF YOU 28

2041

6 B

HAD A CASE OF A FIRST DEGREE MURDER FOR A GAIN OF SOME KIND 1 BY THE DEFENSE, THAT THERE COULD EVER BE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE 2 3 YOU WOULD VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AS 4 OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY? 5 MR. WINTE: I DON'T THINK SO. MR. BARENS: YOU NEVER COULD DO THAT? 6 7 MR. WINTE: NO. 8 MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD ALWAYS VOTE FOR THE DEATH 9 PENALTY? 10 THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES, 11 YOU COULD VOTE LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AND YOU 12 COULD ALSO VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, IRRESPECTIVE OF WHAT 13 THE BACKGROUND AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE THAT ARE FAVORABLE 14 TO THE DEFENDANT? IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN OR --15 MR. WINTE: WELL, I BELIEVE -- THERE AGAIN, THERE ARE 16 GOING TO BE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD BE BROUGHT OUT, WHETHER 17 IT IS GOING TO BE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. 18 MR. BARENS: [KNOW THAT, SIR. BUT WHAT YOU HAVE TOLD 19 ME EARLIER AND WHAT I BELIEVE YOU REALLY FEEL IN YOUR HEART. 20 IS THAT IF YOU HAD A DEFENDANT THAT WAS CONVICTED OF FIRST 21 DEGREE MURDER DURING A ROBBERY, WHERE THE DEFENDANT GAINED 22 SOMETHING BY THAT COLD BLOODED MURDER, THAT YOU WOULD ALWAYS 23 VOTE THE -- THAT THAT GUY SHOULD GET THE DEATH PENALTY? 24 MR. WINTE: YES. HE WOULD. I WOULD GO WITH THAT. 25 THE COURT: IRRESPECTIVE OF THE PENALTY PHASE THAT WE 26 TALKED ABOUT IN THE BEGINNING, ALL THE THINGS YOU MIGHT HAVE 27 HEARD THEN? 28 IS YOUR MIND MADE UP THAT IF A MAN IS CONVICTED

6-3

6 - 4

OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS DURING THE COURSE 1 2 OF A ROBBERY, UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU WOULD NOT VOTE 3 ANYTHING BUT THE DEATH PENALTY? MR. WINTE: THE DEATH PENALTY. I BELIEVE THAT, YES. 4 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 6 MR. WAPNER: NOTHING. 7 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IN VIEW OF YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY, UNFORTUNATELY, YOU DON'T 9 10 QUALIFY FOR A TRIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE. AND SURE, YOU MIGHT BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY AND A LOT OF PEOPLE DO. BUT THAT 11 12 BELIEF WON'T BE SUFFICIENT TO OVERCOME A LOT OF THE EVIDENCE 13 WHICH WOULD SHOW GOOD THINGS ABOUT THE DEFENDANT, IN OTHER 14 WORDS. THE FAVORABLE ASPECTS OF THE DEFENDANT'S LIFE WHICH 15 WOULD BE PRESENTED TO YOU IF YOU HAD AN OPEN MIND AND YOU 16 MIGHT CONSIDER LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. 17 BUT YOU SAY THAT IN ALL CASES, NO MATTER WHAT IT 18 IS, YOU WOULD ALWAYS VOTE THE DEATH PENALTY. UNFORTUNATELY, 19 YOU DON'T QUALIFY. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

6-5

1	MR. WINTE: YES, SIR.
2	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU CAN TELL THE CLERK
3	IN THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM THAT YOU ARE VERY QUALIFIED FOR SOME
4	OTHER CASE BUT NOT THIS ONE.
5	MR. WINTE: THANK YOU.
6	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTE EXITS THE
7	COURTROOM.)
8	MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE APPRECIATES YOUR HELP, YOUR
9	HONOR.
10	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR WODEHOUSE ENTERS
11	THE COURTROOM.)
12	THE COURT: IS IT WODEHOUSE?
13	MR. WODEHOUSE: WODEHOUSE.
14	THE COURT: WASN'T P. G. WODEHOUSE A FAMOUS ENGLISH
15	WRITER?
16	MR. WODEHOUSE: YES.
17	THE COURT: NO RELATION?
18	MR. WODEHOUSE: HE WAS MY DAD'S SECOND COUSIN. WAS A
19	RELATION.
20	THE COURT: VERY GOOD. IS IT WODEHOUSE?
21	MR. WODEHOUSE: IN ENGLAND THEY USED TO SAY WODEHOUSE.
2 2	THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE, MR. WODEHOUSE?
23	MR. WODEHOUSE: NEAR BUNDY AND MONTANA, IN THAT AREA.
24	THE COURT: WEST LOS ANGELES?
25	MR. WODEHOUSE: WEST LOS ANGELES, JUST ACROSS THE BORDER
26	FROM SANTA MONICA.
27	THE COURT: YES. MR. WODEHOUSE, HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING
28	AT ALL ABOUT THIS CASE?

1 MR. WODEHOUSE: NO. I DON'T KNOW WHICH CASE THIS IS. 2 THE COURT: I SEE, YOU HAVE NOT DISCUSSED IT WITH ANY 3 OF THE OTHER PROSPECTIVE JURORS? 4 MR. WODEHOUSE: NO, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT 5 IT. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU CONTINUE NOT TO TALK ABOUT 7 IT OR NOT TO READ ANYTHING ABOUT IT. 8 IF YOU SEE ANYTHING IN THE NEWSPAPER OR ON 9 TELEVISION OR THE RADIO, DON'T LISTEN TO IT. DON'T HEAR IT. 10 MR. WODEHOUSE: YES, SIR. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU WERE 12 ALL HERE SEVERAL WEEKS AGO AND I TOLD YOU GENERALLY WHAT THE 13 CASE WAS ABOUT? 14 MR. WODEHOUSE: YES. 15 THE COURT: NOW, JUST TO REPEAT IT IN BRIEF, THE 16 DEFENDANT IS CHARGED WITH THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME OF 17 MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING 18 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 19 MR. WODEHOUSE: YES. 20 THE COURT: NOW, COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY 21 ADDS SPECIAL SIGNIFICANCE IN THE LAW. THE LEGISLATURE HAS 22 SAID IN FACT, THAT MERELY COMMITTING A MURDER IN THE FIRST 23 DEGREE DOESN'T CALL FOR THE PENALTY OF LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY 24 OF PAROLE OR DEATH. 25 IT IS ONLY WHEN IT IS COMMITTED UNDER CERTAIN 26 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT IT THEN QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH 27 PENALTY. 28 WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, I MEAN ONE

1 OF TWO THINGS, LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH 2 IN THE GAS CHAMBER.

NOW, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT WHEN A ROBBERY OR WHEN A MURDER IS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY OR A BURGLARY OR A KIDNAPPING OR CHILD MOLESTATION WHERE A CHILD DIES AS A RESULT OF IT OR TORTURE OR MULTIPLE MURDERS OR ANY NUMBER OF OTHER INSTANCES -- THERE ARE 19 OF THEM --8 THAT THOSE INSTANCES, A POSSIBLE DEATH PENALTY INVOLVED.

9

MR. WODEHOUSE: YES, SIR.

10 THE COURT: NOW, WE HAVE TWO PHASES OF THE TRIAL. THE
11 FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL IF YOU ARE A JUROR, IS THAT THE JURY
12 DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED A CRIME OF
13 MURDER AND IF IT WAS MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE. THEN THEY
14 ANSWER A SPECIAL QUESTION, IS IT TRUE OR FALSE THAT THE MURDER
15 WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.

16 IF THEY SAY YES, IT IS TRUE, THEN WE ENTER INTO
17 ANOTHER PHASE OF THE TRIAL KNOWN AS THE PENALTY PHASE WHERE
18 THE SAME JURY LISTENS TO OTHER TESTIMONY, A LOT OF OTHER
19 TESTIMONY.

THAT TESTIMONY HAS TO DO WITH FIRST, WHAT WE CALL
THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES, CIRCUMSTANCES ABOUT THE
DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND, HIS YOUTH, HIS LACK OF CRIMINAL
RECORD, HIS UPBRINGING AND EVERYTHING NECESSARY AND ABOUT HIM
AND THE COURSE OF HIS LIFE UP UNTIL THIS TIME. IT WILL BE
PRESENTED BY THE DEFENDANT.

THE PROSECUTION ON THE OTHER HAND, WILL PRESENT WHAT IS KNOWN AS AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES, CIRCUMSTANCES TO SHOW THAT HE IS NOT SUCH A GOOD MAN AFTER ALL AND PRODUCE 1 EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY.

2 IT IS THEN THAT THE JURORS ARE IN WHAT WE CALL
3 THE PENALTY PHASE. AND AFTER ALL THE TESTIMONY HAS BEEN HEARD
4 ON THAT ASPECT OF IT, THE JURY THEN RETIRES TO THE JURY ROOM
5 TO DETERMINE WHAT THE PENALTY SHOULD BE.

THEY WILL THEN CONSIDER ALL OF THE TESTIMONY THEY HEARD OF THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. THEY WILL CONSIDER THE AGE OF THE DEFENDANT, HIS LACK OF CRIMINAL RECORD OR HIS CRIMINAL RECORD IF HE HAS ANY, HIS HISTORY, HIS BACKGROUND AND HIS MENTAL CONDITION AND HIS PHYSICAL CONDITION AND ALL THOSE FACTORS WHICH WILL BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BY THE JURY BEFORE THEY REACH A CONCLUSION, SHOULD IT BE LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR SHOULD IT BE DEATH.

NOW, WITH THAT BACKGROUND, I WANT TO EXPLORE YOUR
MIND. THERE ARE CERTAIN QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU TO
WHICH ANSWERS WILL BE YES OR NO TO DETERMINE WHAT YOUR ATTITUDE IS
TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT YOU QUALIFY
AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE.

1 THE COURT: NOW THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS RELATE ONLY 2 TO THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL. 3 THE FIRST QUESTION IS: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION 4 REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING 5 AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? 6 7 MR. WODEHOUSE: GOSH, IT IS HARD FOR ME TO ANSWER JUST 8 YES OR NO. 9 THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE GOT TO KNOW, YES OR NO. 10 TELL US WHAT YOUR ATTITUDE IS TOWARDS THE DEATH 11 PENALTY. 12 MR. WODEHOUSE: WELL, MAY I MAKE A LITTLE STATEMENT? 13 THE COURT: YES. 14 MR. WODEHOUSE: MY OPINION IS, IF SOMEBODY KILLS SOMEBODY 15 FOR, LIKE A ROBBERY OR IT ISN'T NECESSARY, HE IS NOT DEFENDING 16 HIMSELF, I THINK THAT HE SHOULD GET THE DEATH PENALTY, 17 INCLUDING MYSELF; THAT IS MY OPINION. 18 THE COURT: WELL, BUT YOU SEE THE LAW IS -- OF COURSE, 19 I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT IS YOUR OPINION. 20 MR. WODEHOUSE: YES, SIR. 21 THE COURT: YOU MEAN UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES, NO MATTER 22 WHAT THE NATURE OF THE CRIME WAS, HOW IT WAS COMMITTED, ALL OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES ABOUT THE CRIME, ALL OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES 23 24 ABOUT THE BACKGROUND OF THE DEFENDANT, GOOD AND BAD, IRRESPECTIVE 25 OF ALL OF THAT, YOU WILL JUST THROW IT ASIDE AND SAY NO, ONLY 26 THE DEATH PENALTY? 27 MR. WODEHOUSE: NO, NOT QUITE THAT FAR, SIR. 28 BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT HIS BACKGROUND, I DON'T

7 - 1

THINK THAT BEARS IF HE TAKES SOMEBODY'S LIFE. 1 THE COURT: SUPPOSE I TELL YOU THAT YOU MUST CONSIDER 2 HIS BACKGROUND, YOU MUST CONSIDER THE AGE, YOU MUST CONSIDER 3 THE LACK OF CRIMINAL RECORD, YOU MUST CONSIDER HIS HISTORY 4 AND HIS -- SUPPOSE HE HAD A VERY EXEMPLARY AND FINE LIFE BEFORE 5 THE ALLEGED COMMISSION OF THE OFFENSE, ALL OF THOSE THINGS 6 MUST BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION. YOU MEAN YOU WILL NOT 7 CONSIDER THAT AT ALL, IS THAT THE IDEA? 8 MR. WODEHOUSE: NO, I WOULDN'T -- IF I AM NOT SAYING 9 YES OR NO, JUDGE --10 THE COURT: WELL, SUPPOSE I TELL YOU BEFORE YOU REACH 11 YOUR DECISION --12 MR. WODEHOUSE: YES. 13 THE COURT: -- AS TO WHETHER IT BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT 14 OR DEATH, THAT YOU MUST CONSIDER THAT, YOU MEAN YOU WILL NOT 15 CONSIDER IT, IS THAT THE IDEA? 16 MR. WODEHOUSE: HIS BACKGROUND AND MITIGATING 17 CIRCUMSTANCES YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 18 THE COURT: YES. 19 MR. WODEHOUSE: I WOULD CONSIDER, I WOULD CONSIDER THEM 20 BUT --21 THE COURT: YOU WOULDN'T CONSIDER THEM VERY MUCH? 22 MR. WODEHOUSE: I WOULD STILL BE PREJUDICED AND USE 23 24 MY OWN OPINION. 25 THE COURT: AND STILL VOTE THE DEATH PENALTY? MR. WODEHOUSE: I AM SURE I WOULD. 26 27 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 28 THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS?

7-?

1	MR. WAPNER: NO.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
3	FRANKNESS AND CANDOR.
4	MR. WODEHOUSE: WELL, THANK YOU.
5	THE COURT: IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WE HAVE TO HAVE
6	A JUROR WHOSE OPINION
7	MR. WODEHOUSE: I UNDERSTAND, YES, SIR.
8	THE COURT: WHOSE OPINION ON THE DEATH PENALTY IS
9	SUCH HE WOULD HAVE AN OPEN MIND ON THE PENALTY PHASE
10	MR. WODEHOUSE: YES.
11	THE COURT: EVEN IF HE BELIEVES IN THE DEATH PENALTY.
12	MR. WODEHOUSE: YES, SIR.
13	THE COURT: SO I WILL ASK YOU TO GO BACK TO THE JURY
14	ASSEMBLY ROOM AND TELL THE CLERK THERE THAT YOU QUALIFY IN
15	SOME OTHER CASE BUT NOT IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE.
16	MR. WODEHOUSE: I UNDERSTAND. YES. THANK YOU.
17	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR FRANKNESS AND
18	CANDOR.
19	MR. WODEHOUSE: ALL RIGHT, SIR.
20	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR WODEHOUSE EXITED
21	THE COURTROOM.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- T

(PROSPECTIVE JUROR RAINE ENTERED THE 1 COURTROOM.) 2 THE COURT: IS THAT MRS. RAINE? 3 MS. RAINE: YES. 4 THE COURT: MRS. RAINE , WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 5 MS. RAINE: PACIFIC PALISADES. 6 THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS 7 CASE? 8 MS. RAINE: NO. 9 I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT -- I DON'T HAVE AN IDEA 10 WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL TELL YOU WHAT IT IS ALL 12 ABOUT. 13 AT ANY RATE, YOU HAVEN'T READ ANYTHING ABOUT IT 14 AND HAVEN'T DISCUSSED IT WITH ANY OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS, 15 HAVE YOU? 16 MS. RAINE: NO. 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FINE. I WILL TELL YOU WHAT IT 18 IS ALL ABOUT. 19 MS. RAINE: ALL RIGHT, FINE, BECAUSE I WASN'T HERE THE 20 DAY YOU EXPLAINED IT. 21 THE COURT: I SEE. NOW LET ME EXPLAIN IT TO YOU: THE 22 DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE, JOE HUNT, IS CHARGED WITH THE 23 COMMISSION OF THE CRIME OF MURDER, THAT IT IS MURDER IN THE 24 FIRST DEGREE AND THAT THAT MURDER WAS COMMITTED DURING THE 25 COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 26 NOW IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS SOME SPECIAL 27 SIGNIFICANCE BECAUSE THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID IN EFFECT THAT 28

2051

7 A

- -

MERELY COMMITTING A MURDER, MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE DOESN'T 1 OUALIFY IT FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. IT IS ONLY WHEN IT IS 2 COMMITTED UNDER CERTAIN SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT IT DOES 3 OUALIFY FOR THE DEATH PENALTY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 4 MS. RAINE: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 5 THE COURT: CASES LIKE A MURDER COMMITTED DURING THE 6 COURSE OF A ROBBERY, LIKE THIS ONE, OR A CASE COMMITTED IN 7 THE COURSE OF A BURGLARY, COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A RAPE, 8 COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A KIDNAPPING, COMMITTED IN THE 9 COURSE OF A TORTURE OR MULTIPLE MURDERS, ALL OF THOSE TOGETHER 10 WITH TEN OR MORE OTHERS QUALIFY FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF THE 11 DEATH PENALTY. 12 WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, THE DEATH 13 PENALTY CONSISTS OF TWO THINGS. THE JURY, WHEN IT COMES TO 14 THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL, WILL DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT UNDER 15 ALL OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH I AM GOING TO OUTLINE TO YOU, 16 WHETHER IT SHOULD BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY 17 OF PAROLE OR SHOULD IT BE DEATH; DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 18 MS. RAINE: YES. 19 THE COURT: NOW, IF YOU ARE SELECTED AS A JUROR, THE 20 JURY FIRST WILL HAVE TO DETERMINE AT THE FIRST PHASE OF THE 21 22 TRIAL, THE GUILT PHASE WHERE THE JURY DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED A MURDER AND WHETHER IT IS MURDER 23

24 IN THE FIRST DEGREE.

25 IF THEY DECIDE YES, A MURDER WAS COMMITTED AND
26 IT IS MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THEN THEY HAVE AN
27 AUXILIARY QUESTION TO ANSWER: IS IT TRUE OR IS IT FALSE THAT
28 IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY?

2052

7 - 5

IF THEY SAY YES, IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE 1 2 OF A ROBBERY, THEN THEY GO TO A SECOND PHASE OF THE TRIAL 3 KNOWN AS THE PENALTY PHASE. THE PENALTY PHASE IS ONE WHERE WE HAVE A NEW TRIAL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN ADDITION TO WHICH 4 5 YOU HAVE ALREADY HEARD ON THE GUILT PHASE, WHERE THE DEFENDANT WILL SHOW HIS BACKGROUND, HIS EDUCATION AND HIS AGE, HIS LACK 6 7 OF ANY CRIMINAL RECORD, WHICH WE CALL MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES, AND THE PROSECUTION WILL SHOW AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES TO 8 9 SHOW UNFAVORABLE THINGS ABOUT HIM, THAT HE IS A BAD MAN ESSENTIALLY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 10

11

MS. RAINE: UH-HUH.

12 THE COURT: NOW, THE JURY HEARS ALL OF THAT, TAKES INTO 13 CONSIDERATION HIS BACKGROUND, HIS HISTORY AND HIS AGE, HIS 14 LACK OF RECORD, IF ANY, ET CETERA, ET CETERA, THEN THEY MAKE 15 UP THEIR MINDS ON THE PENALTY PHASE WHETHER IT SHOULD BE LIFE 16 IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR SHOULD IT 17 BE DEATH, THAT IS ON THE PENALTY PHASE.

18 YOU HAVE ALREADY DECIDED IS HE GUILTY OF MURDER
19 IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND AT THE PENALTY PHASE, YOU SHOULD
20 DECIDE WHICH OF THOSE TWO PENALTIES SHOULD BE IMPOSED.

21 NOW, ALL OF THOSE CONSIDERATIONS THAT I HAVE
22 INDICATED, HIS MENTAL AND PHYSICAL CONDITION ARE WHAT YOU
23 CONSIDER DURING THAT PARTICULAR PHASE; DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
24 MS. RAINE: YES.

THE COURT: NOW, THE QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU
RELATE TO YOUR STATE OF MIND, YOUR OPINION, YOUR BELIEFS WITH
RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY AND HOW THEY WOULD AFFECT YOU,
YOU BEING A JUROR ON THIS PARTICULAR CASE.

NOW THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS WHICH I AM GOING TO 1 ASK YOU RELATE TO THE GUILT PHASE OF IT: DO YOU HAVE ANY 2 OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU 3 FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE 4 OF THE DEFENDANT? REMEMBER, THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL 5 IS GUILT OR INNOCENCE. 6 DO YOU. BECAUSE OF THE BELIEFS THAT YOU HOLD AS 7 TO THE DEATH PENALTY, WOULD YOU BE IMPARTIAL IN DECIDING WHETHER 8 OR NOT HE IS GUILTY OR INNOCENT? 9 MS. RAINE: WELL, I BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY BUT 10 THAT WOULDN'T AFFECT WHETHER --11 THE COURT: THE DEATH PENALTY HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH 12 IT -- I MEAN, HAVE YOU GOT SUCH A FEELING ABOUT THE DEATH 13 PENALTY THAT YOU --14 MS. RAINE: THAT I WOULD? 15 THE COURT: -- THAT YOU COULD NOT DECIDE THE QUESTION 16 OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT IMPARTIALLY? 17 MS. RAINE: NO. 18 THE COURT: SO YOUR ANSWER TO THAT IS NO; IS THAT RIGHT? 19 MS. RAINE: YES. 20 THE COURT: ALSO, WITH RESPECT TO THE GUILT PHASE OF 21 IT. REMEMBER I TOLD YOU THAT IF YOU FOUND HIM GUILTY OF FIRST 22 DEGREE MURDER AND THEN YOU DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS TRUE OR 23 FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY 24 AND NOW MY QUESTION IS: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING 25 THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN 26 IMPARTIAL DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE 27 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE? 28

1	MS. RAINE: NO.
2	THE COURT: NOW THERE ARE TWO QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO
3	ASK YOU THAT TOUCH UPON THE PENALTY PHASE. YOU HAVE ALREADY
4	DECIDED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST
5	DEGREE AND IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY
6	AND THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH THE PENALTY PHASE
7	AND THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS:
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2055

8 FO

A-1

1	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE
2	DEATH PENALTY, THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE
3	THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE
4	PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
5	MS. RAINE: NO.
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE NEXT QUESTION IS A
7	DIFFERENT ASPECT OF THE SAME THING THAT I HAVE ASKED YOU. DO
8	YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT
9	YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
10	OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED
11	IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
12	MS. RAINE: NO.
13	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE
14	OF THE DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT OCCUR IN THIS CASE?
15	MS. RAINE: YES.
16	THE COURT: AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED
17	ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
18	MS. RAINE: YES.
19	THE COURT: GOOD.
20	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MISS RAINE, I AM
21	ARTHUR BARENS. I REPRESENT THE DEFENDANT, JOE HUNT.
22	AND AS HIS HONOR DID, IT IS MY DUTY AT THIS PART
23	OF THE PROCEEDINGS, TO ASK YOU ABOUT YOUR POINT OF VIEW
24	CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY.
25	NOW, PARENTHETICALLY, THERE ARE NO RIGHT OR WRONG
26	ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU. AND THERE
27	ARE NO GOOD OR BAD ANSWERS. I AM JUST INTERESTED IN WHAT YOUR
28	OPINIONS ARE AND WHAT YOUR STATE OF MIND IS ABOUT THE DEATH

1	PENALTY.
2	HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY AS A
3	GENERAL PROPOSITION IN OUR SOCIETY?
4	MS. RAINE: I BELIVE THAT IT IS A GOOD THING.
5	MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A BELIEF AS TO WHEN IT SHOULD
6	BE APPLIED?
7	MS. RAINE: I BELIEVE WHEN SOMEONE HAS COMMITTED A MURDER.
8	MR. BARENS: AND
9	MS. RAINE: A PREMEDITATED MURDER, A MURDER THAT IS
10	MR. BARENS: AN INTENTIONAL MURDER?
11	MS. RAINE: UH-HUH.
12	MR. BARENS: SO WOULD IT BE YOUR OPINION THAT IN EVERY
13	INSTANCE WHERE YOU HAVE SOMEONE THAT COMMITS AN INTENTIONAL
14	MURDER, THAT THERE SHOULD BE A LIFE FOR A LIFE IN THAT
15	INSTANCE?
16	MS. RAINE: I AM AFRAID SO.
17	THE COURT: WHAT? PARDON ME?
18	MS. RAINE: YES.
19	MR. BARONS: NOW, THE JUDGE MAY SAY THAT
20	THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE. NOW, I TOLD YOU THAT THERE
21	WERE TWO PHASES OF THE TRIAL. THE FIRST PHASE IS THE GUILTY
22	OR NOT GUILTY, RIGHT?
23	MS. RAINE: RIGHT.
24	THE COURT: WAS IT DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY? IS
25	THAT RIGHT?
26	MS. RAINE: RIGHT.
27	THE COURT: WE ARE NOT STOPPING THERE. I TOLD YOU THAT.
28	WE GO ON TO A SECOND PHASE WHERE THE JURY DETERMINES, AFTER

8A-3

ł

1	LISTENING TO ALL OF THE THINGS GOOD AND BAD ABOUT THE									
2	DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND, THEY THEN HAVE TO MAKE UP THEIR MINDS									
3	WHETHER IT SHOULD BE ONE OR THE OTHER, LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY									
4	OF PAROLE OR DEATH.									
5	IS IT YOUR ANSWER THAT YOU WOULDN'T LISTEN TO									
6	ANYTHING ON THE SENTENCING PHASE? YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY									
7	VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY?									
8	MS. RAINE: NO. I WOULDN'T SAY THAT. NO, BASICALLY									
9	I									
10	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. AS TO THE DEATH PENALTY									
11	MS. RAINE: I HAVE TO SAY THAT PERHAPS I AM PARTIAL,									
12	YOU KNOW. PERHAPS I AM BIASED.									
13	THE COURT: WHAT YOU MEAN IS, I ASKED YOU THE QUESTION									
14	MS. RAINE: I KNOW THAT INTELLECTUALLY I SAID NO, I WOULD									
15	WEIGH IT. BUT EMOTIONALLY, IN ANSWER TO HIS QUESTION, MY									
16	RESPONSE EMOTIONALLY IS TO SAY THAT I BELIEVE IN A LIFE FOR									
17	A LIFE.									
18	AND I									
19	THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, IRRESPECTIVE OF THE SECOND									
20	PHASE OF THE TRIAL, IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENT WHAT									
21	TESTIMONY IS GIVEN? YOU WILL VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY?									
22	MS. RAINE: INTELLECTUALLY, NO. IT IS HARD FOR ME TO									
23	SAY IT.									
24	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. YOU MEAN EMOTIONALLY, YOU									
25	FEEL THAT INTELLECTUALLY									
26	MS. RAINE: I WOULD TRY TO BE									
27	THE COURT: YOU WOULD TRY TO BE DISPASSIONATE. BUT									
28	EMOTIONALLY, DEEP DOWN, YOU FEEL THAT YOU WANT TO IMPOSE THE									
1										

1 DEATH PENALTY, IS THAT RIGHT? 2 MS. RAINE: RIGHT. IN OTHER WORDS, IF I WERE DISCUSSING 3 THE DEATH PENALTY WITH MY FRIENDS, I WOULD BE ALL FOR IT, YOU 4 KNOW. A LIFE FOR A LIFE. 5 BUT INTELLECTUALLY AS A HUMAN BEING, A CARING HUMAN BEING, I WOULD TEND TO SAY NO. WE HAVE TO WEIGH IT. 6 7 THE COURT: BUT YOU DON'T THINK YOU COULD DO THAT? 8 MS. RAINE: I DON'T THINK SO. 9 THE COURT: YOU DON'T THINK YOU CAN WEIGH THE CONSIDER-10 ATIONS ON THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 11 MS. RAINE: WELL, I THINK THAT --12 THE COURT: BE FRANK ABOUT IT. 13 MS. RAINE: I AM VERY AMBIVALENT. I THINK SO YET --14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? 15 MR. WAPNER: I HAVE A FEW, YES. 16 MR. BARENS: I WILL RESERVE A MOTION. 17 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I WOULD PREFER THAT IF WE ARE GOING 18 TO GO ON, WE CONTINUE THE ORDER AND THAT MR. BARENS, IF HE 19 HAS ANY MORE QUESTIONS, ASK THEM. 20 THEN I WILL ASK MINE. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS 22 OF THIS WITNESS AND --23 MR. BARENS: I WILL PROCEED. I APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR 24 TOO, BECAUSE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT REAL SERIOUS STUFF HERE. 25 BOTH SIDES ARE ENTITLED TO A NEUTRAL JUROR ON THE 26 SUBJECT BOTH OF THE GUILT AND THE SUBJECT OF WHAT SHOULD 27 HAPPEN. THAT IS WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR, A JUROR WHO IS GOING 28 TO CONSIDER OPENMINDEDLY AND UNBIASEDLY, DEATH AND LIFE.

2059

8A-+

1 BY THE TIME WE EVER TALK ABOUT THIS, YOU WOULD 2 HAVE ALREADY BELIEVED THAT MY CLIENT HAD COMMITTED AN 3 INTENTIONAL, FIRST DEGREE MURDER DURING A ROBBERY? 4 WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS, I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS ANY POSSIBILITY IN THE WORLD THAT YOU WOULD CONSIDER ANYTHING 5 6 AT THAT POINT, EXCEPT THE DEATH PENALTY. 7 MR. WAPNER: I THINK IT IS IMPROPER TO STATE IT THAT 8 WAY, WHAT COUNSEL'S BELIEFS ARE. 9 THE COURT: WELL, ASK THE QUESTION. DON'T TELL HER HOW 10 YOU FEEL. 11 MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL? IS THERE ANY POSSIBILITY 12 THAT YOU WOULD CONSIDER IT, REALISTICALLY, ANYTHING BUT THE 13 DEATH PENALTY FOR SOMEBODY WHO HAD DONE THAT? 14 MS. RAINE: PROBABLY NOT. 15 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. NOW, WHEN YOU SAY "PROBABLY 16 NOT," I WANT TO SEE IF IT IS REALLY NOT. EARLIER ON, I BELIEVE 17 YOU TOLD HIS HONOR THAT EMOTIONALLY, FROM YOUR HEART, YOU 18 DIDN'T THINK YOU COULD EVER VOTE ANYTHING BUT THE DEATH PENALTY. 19 MS. RAINE: RIGHT. WITHOUT THINKING. 20 MR. BARENS: NOW, ALTHOUGH WE OPERATE ON AN INTELLECTUAL 21 LEVEL, WOULDN'T YOU BELIEVE YOU WOULD VOTE FROM YOUR HEART 22 ON HOW YOU FELT ON THAT LEVEL WHEN IT CAME TIME TO VOTE? 23 MS. RAINE: PROBABLY, YES. 24 MR. BARENS: AND ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU WOULD DO WHAT 25 YOUR HEART OF HEARTS SAID? 26 MS. RAINE: PROBABLY, YES. MAYBE I MISUNDERSTOOD. 27 UNLESS I HAVE ANSWERED WRONG. 28 I AM SAYING YES, I PROBABLY WOULD GO WITH THE DEATH

1 PENALTY. MR. BARENS: AND WOULDN'T YOUR HEART OF HEARTS TELL YOU 2 THAT FOR A PERSON WHO COMMITTED A COLD BLOODED MURDER, THAT 3 HE HAS GOT TO SACRIFICE HIS LIFE IN EVERY INSTANCE? WE HAVE 4 TO HAVE A LIFE FOR A LIFE? 5 MS. RAINE: I WOULD PROBABLY SAY YES. PROBABLY I WOULD 6 DO THAT. 7 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 8 THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS? 9 MR. WAPNER: A COUPLE. GOOD MORNING. I AM FRED 10 WAPNER, THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTING THIS CASE. 11 I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS FOR YOU. 12 FIRST OF ALL, DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IN THIS 13 PARTICULAR CASE, YOU WOULD NEVER GET TO THE QUESTION OF LIFE 14 OR DEATH UNLESS AND UNTIL YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY DECIDED THAT 15 THERE WAS AN INTENTIONAL MURDER COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE 16 17 OF A ROBBERY? MS. RAINE: YES. 18 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. SO THAT IS A PREREQUISITE, BEFORE 19 WE START THE ISSUE OF LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE 20 21 OR DEATH. 22 MS. RAINE: YES. MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. SECOND OF ALL, WHAT I WANT TO 23 ASK YOU IS, WAS YOUR HESITATION WHEN YOU USED THE WORD 24 "PROBABLY" AND YOU USED THE PHRASE "VERY AMBIVALENT" THAT --25 MS. RAINE: THAT I JUST FEEL THAT I CAN'T PREDICT WHAT 26 27 I WILL DO, ACTUALLY. AT TIMES, I HAVE SAID I WOULD DO SOMETHING. 28 THEN I WILL DO TOTALLY THE OPPOSITE.

8B

I JUST CAN'T PREDICT. I CAN'T SAY WHAT I WOULD 1 DO IN A GIVEN SITUATION. I THINK I AM PRETTY SURE THAT I WOULD 2 VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY IF I FELT SOMEBODY COMMITTED A COLD 3 4 BLOODED MURDER. I AM SURE OF IT. I AM SURE I WOULD. 5 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. HOW DO YOU DEFINE "COLD BLOODED 6 7 MURDER"? MS. RAINE: MURDER. TAKING A LIFE THAT IS NOT ACCIDENTAL. 8 TAKING A LIFE WILLINGLY WHEN SOMEBODY MEANS TO TAKE SOMEONE 9 ELSE'S LIFE FOR HIS OWN GAIN, WHATEVER THAT MIGHT BE. 10 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MISS RAINE. 11 THE COURT: WE THINK THAT YOU WOULD MAKE A VERY FINE 12 JUROR IN SOME OTHER CASE, UNFORTUNATELY NOT OUR DEATH PENALTY 13 CASE BECAUSE OF THE BELIEFS THAT YOU HAVE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 14 15 MS. RAINE: YES. THE COURT: WHAT I WILL ASK YOU TO DO IS TO GO BACK TO 16 THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM AND TELL THE CLERK THAT YOU QUALIFY 17 FOR SOME OTHER CASE BUT NOT A MURDER CASE OF THIS TYPE. 18 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR FRANKNESS AND CANDOR. 19 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR RAINE EXITS THE 20 COURTROOM.) 21 MR. BARENS: I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF WE COULD FINISH 22 THE NEXT JUROR THAT WE START BECAUSE I WON'T BE HERE THIS 23 24 AFTERNOON. MR. CHIER WILL BE HERE. THE COURT: WHY DO WE NEED TWO COUNSEL, IF YOU ARE 25 26 ALTERNATING? MR. BARENS: TODAY WE HAD AN APPEARANCE SCHEDULED IN 27 FEDERAL COURT. IT WAS A MATTER THAT MR. CHIER HAD PRIOR TO 28

1 THIS MATTER. 2 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAFFNER ENTERS THE 3 COURTROOM.) 4 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 5 MR. SCHAFFNER: WEST LOS ANGELES. 6 THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THE 7 CASE? 8 MR. SCHAFFNER: NO. 9 THE COURT: YOU HAVE NOT TALKED TO ANYBODY ABOUT IT 10 EXCEPT WHEN WE EXPLAINED IT TO ALL THE JURORS IN THE EARLY 11 SESSION? 12 MR. SCHAFFNER: THAT'S RIGHT. 13 THE COURT: LET ME BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE AND TELL YOU WHAT 14 IT IS ABOUT AND ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS WHICH WILL BE DESIGNED 15 TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE AN ATTITUDE TOWARD THE 16 DEATH PENALTY WHICH WOULD DISQUALIFY YOU FROM ACTING AS A TRIAL 17 JUROR IN THIS CASE. 18 FIRST, LET ME TELL YOU WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT. 19 THE DEFENDANT IS CHARGED WITH THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME OF 20 MURDER, MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE IN THAT IT WAS COMMITTED 21 DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 22 COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS 23 SPECIAL SIGNIFICANCE IN THIS CASE. WHERE ONE COMMITS A MURDER 24 OF THE FIRST DEGREE UNDER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES LIKE THE 25 COMMISSION OF A ROBBERY, DURING THE COMMISSION OF A ROBBERY 26 OR A KIDNAPPING OR DURING A RAPE OR A TORTURE OR A MULTIPLE 27 MURDER, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT ALL OF THOSE CASES 28 QUALIFY FOR SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. THERE ARE 19 OF THEM AND

I

1	THEY QUALIFY FOR THE DEATH PENALTY.
2	AND THE PURPOSE OF THIS IS TO DETERMINE WHAT YOUR
3	ATTITUDE IS TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY SO WE CAN SEE WHETHER
4	OR NOT YOU WILL QUALIFY AS A JUROR ON THIS PARTICULAR TYPE
5	OF CASE.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

9

L

THE COURT: NOW. THE JURY WHICH WILL BE SELECTED IN 1 THIS CASE WILL HAVE FIRST TO DETERMINE ON WHAT WE CALL THE 2 GUILT PHASE WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OR NOT 3 GUILTY OF THE CRIME OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THEN 4 IF THEY SAY YES, THEN THEY HAVE TO ANSWER THE QUESTION: WAS 5 IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY? 6 7 AND THE QUESTION IS PUT: IS IT TRUE OR IS IT FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY? 8 IF THEY SAY YES. IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A 9 ROBBERY, THAT QUALIFIES THE CASE FOR THE NEXT PHASE OF THE 10 TRIAL, WHICH IS THE PENALTY PHASE, WHAT SHOULD BE DONE. 11 AND THERE ARE ONE OF TWO CHOICES: IT WOULD BE 12 13 LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR IS IT DEATH, DEPENDING UPON A NUMBER OF FACTORS, WHICH I WILL 14 15 EXPLAIN TO YOU WHICH YOU MUST CONSIDER IN REACHING THAT PARTICULAR DECISION. 16 FIRST, ONE OF THE FACTORS IS THE FACT OF THE 17 CRIME ITSELF, WHICH YOU HAVE JUST HEARD. 18 19 MR. SCHAFFNER: EXCUSE ME? 20 THE COURT: THE FACT OF THE CRIME WHICH YOU HAVE HEARD, THE MURDER. 21 22 SECONDLY, THE BACKGROUND OF THE DEFENDANT, ANY 23 FELONIES, FOR INSTANCE, ANY FELONY CONVICTION, IF HE HAD ANY, 24 AND THE LACK OF IT AND HIS HISTORY, HIS PAST HISTORY, HIS 25 MENTAL AND PHYSICAL CONDITION AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH THE 26 COURT WILL TELL YOU ABOUT IF YOU ARE A JUROR ON THE CASE ON 27 THE SECOND PHASE OF THE TRIAL OR THE PENALTY PHASE. THEN YOU 28 MUST CONSIDER ALL OF THOSE FACTORS.

2065

9_ I

NOW, WHAT I WANT TO DO IS ASK YOU CERTAIN 1 SPECIFIC QUESTIONS TO SEE WHAT YOUR ATTITUDE IS AND EXPLORE 2 YOUR MIND, AS I SAID, WITH RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY. 3 NOW, THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS ARE RELATED ONLY 4 TO THE -- AND YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY -- ARE 5 RELATED ONLY TO THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL: DO YOU HAVE 6 ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT 7 YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR 8 INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? 9 MR. SCHAFFNER: WELL, I FEEL THAT IT IS NOT APPLIED 10 ENOUGH. 11 THE COURT: WELL, YOU SEE, WE WILL COME TO THAT LATER. 12 I AM ASKING YOU DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO THE 13 DEATH PENALTY, IS IT SUCH THAT YOU CANNOT IMPARTIALLY REACH 14 A DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? 15 MR. SCHAFFNER: THAT MAY BE POSSIBLE. 16 THE COURT: IN WHAT WAY? 17 MR. SCHAFFNER: IN WHAT WAY IS THAT IF A DETERMINATION 18 OF MURDER WAS RESOLVED -- RESOLVED, WE SUPPORT TOO MANY PEOPLE 19 IN PRISON, I FEEL, AND THAT IF THAT --20 THE COURT: WE HAVEN'T REACHED THAT POINT YET, YOU SEE. 21 IF YOU ARE A JUROR ON THE CASE AND EVEN BEFORE WE START THE 22 23 TRIAL --24 MR. SCHAFFNER: I AM NOT AGAINST IT --25 THE COURT: NO, NO. EVEN BEFORE WE START THE TRIAL, IS YOUR MIND SO 26 27 MADE UP THAT YOU ARE GOING TO VOTE INNOCENT OR GUILTY WITHOUT 28 HEARING THE EVIDENCE?

9 - 2

1	MR. SCHAFFNER: WELL, I GUESS NOT EXACTLY IF I WAS ON
2	THE JURY.
3	THE COURT: YOU WOULD FIRST LISTEN AND SEE WHETHER OR
4	NOT HE IS GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY, IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TELLING
5	ME?
6	MR. SCHAFFNER: YEAH, BUT I WOULD PROBABLY BE PARTIAL
7	TO A CERTAIN PUNISHMENT.
8	THE COURT: BE PARTIAL TO THE DEATH PENALTY, YOU MEAN?
9	MR. SCHAFFNER: IF IF THAT IS, YEAH, I THINK SO.
10	THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE
11	DEATH PENALTY?
12	MR. SCHAFFNER: I BELIEVE THAT
13	THE COURT: YOU BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY?
14	MR. SCHAFFNER; I BELIEVE IT HASN'T BEEN USED ENOUGH.
15	WE HAVE TOO MANY PEOPLE THAT GET OFF, THEY ARE NOT REALLY
16	DETERRED FROM IT. IT DOESN'T DETER ENOUGH PEOPLE. THERE
17	IS TOO MUCH CRIME GOING ON ALREADY.
18	THE COURT: YOU MEAN IT DOESN'T ACT AS A DETERRENT,
19	IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TELLING US?
20	MR. SCHAFFNER: NO.
21	IT SHOULD ACT MORE AS A DETERRENT BUT IT IS NOT
22	APPLIED.
23	THE COURT: I SEE. SO YOUR STATE OF MIND IS SUCH THAT
24	AUTOMATICALLY YOU ARE GOING TO VOTE THE DEATH PENALTY IF YOU
25	BELIEVE THAT THE MAN HAD COMMITTED A MURDER AND IT IS MURDER
26	IN THE FIRST DEGREE WITH DELIBERATE PLANNING?
27	MR. SCHAFFNER: YES.
28	THE COURT: AUTOMATICALLY?

2067

9 - 7

l

MR. SCHAFFNER: I DON'T SEE ANY -- THERE ARE TOO MANY PEOPLE KILLED, YOU KNOW, ON PURPOSE THAT MANY PEOPLE HAVE GOTTEN OFF ON JUST BECAUSE --THE COURT: THAT IS OTHER PEOPLE. I AM TALKING TO YOU NOW ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC CASE. JUST BECAUSE OTHER PEOPLE HAVE GOTTEN OFF DOESN'T MEAN THIS DEFENDANT SHOULD GET OFF OR HE SHOULDN'T GET OFF. IS YOUR STATE OF MIND THAT YOU ARE NOT IMPARTIAL AT THE MOMENT, ARE YOU? MR. SCHAFFNER: NO, I AM NOT.

9-4

THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS? 9A-1 1 MR. WAPNER: NO. 2 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR 4 FRANKNESS AND CANDOR. YOU GO BACK TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM 5 AND TELL THE CLERK THERE THAT YOU OUALIFY FOR SOME OTHER CASE 6 BUT NOT ON THIS TYPE OF CASE, ALL RIGHT? 7 MR. SCHAFFNER: OKAY. THANK YOU. 8 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. SCHAFFNER. 9 THE CLERK: THE NEXT IS JANET SCHULTZ. 10 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, SINCE MR. BARENS HAS TO LEAVE, 11 WE WILL NEVER FINISH THIS JUROR UNTIL AFTER LUNCH. 12 MR. BARENS: I WOULD APPRECIATE IT, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, WE WILL TAKE A RECESS. 14 THERE ARE FOUR MORE, ARE THERE? 15 MR. BARENS: THERE ARE FOUR MORE I SEE, YES, YOUR HONOR. 16 WE DID FIVE THIS MORNING. 17 THE COURT: HOW MANY HAVE YOU GOT FOR THIS AFTERNOON? 18 THE BAILIFF: WE HAVE FOUR LEFT OVER FROM THIS MORNING. 19 WE HAVE GOT SCHULTZ, SHAW, SHELBY AND 20 SILVERSTEIN. 21 THE BAILIFF: YES, THEN WE HAVE GOT SIX THIS AFTERNOON 22 SO WE HAVE TEN. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, TELL THEM TO COME BACK THIS 24 AFTERNOON, WILL YOU? 25 SORRY YOU AREN'T STAYING. WE GET MORE ACCOMPLISHED. 26 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, POSSIBLY I COULD RETURN. [27 WILL SEE HOW MY SCHEDULE IS. 28

9A-2 1	ONE LAST POINT OF CLARIFICATION
2	THE BAILIFF: JUDGE, 1:30 OR 1:45?
3	THE COURT: 1:30.
4	MR. BARENS: DOES THE GAG ORDER APPLY TO MR. BRODEY,
5	THE COUNSEL FOR MR. PITTMAN?
6	THE COURT: YES, I THINK IT WOULD, WOULDN'T IT?
7	MR. WAPNER: WE HAVE TO GET MR. BRODEY IN HERE AND
8	DISCLOSE IT TO HIM AND THEN HAVE HIM BOUND BY THE GAG ORDER,
9	OBVIOUSLY.
10	I WILL CALL MR. BRODEY'S OFFICE.
11	MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD, ONLY AS A PRACTICAL MATTER,
12	AS OUR OFFICES SPEAK OCCASIONALLY.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SURE.
14	MR. WAPNER: IF THE QUESTION IS, DOES THE GAG ORDER
15	APPLY TO MR. BARENS DISCLOSING IT TO MR. BRODEY, I THINK THE
16	ANSWER IS CLEARLY YES.
17	MR. BARENS: YES, I WILL NOT ADDRESS MR. BRODEY ON THE
18	SUBJECT UNTIL HE HAS
19	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU HAVE HIM IN HERE AND YOU CAN
20	ADDRESS HIM ON THE SUBJECT? YOU CAN TELL HIM ABOUT IT.
21	MR. WAPNER: THAT IS FINE.
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, OKAY?
23	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: SEE YOU THIS AFTERNOON, MR. BARENS.
25	MR. BARENS: I CAN'T PROMISE THAT, YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
27	(AT 12 NOON A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL
28	1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
i	

2071

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 1986; 1:35 P.M. 1 2 DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 3 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE 4 EXCEPT MR. BARENS IS NOT PRESENT.) 5 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 7 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE START, APROPOS OF 8 THE DISCUSSION THAT WE HAD WITH MR. BARENS IN CHAMBERS, I HAVE 9 A CALL PUT INTO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I HAVE ASKED THEM TO 10 CALL ME IN COURT AND INTERRUPT ME. 11 IF THIS CALL COMES IN, MAY I ASK THE COURT FOR 12 A BREAK? 13 THE COURT: SURELY. 14 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 15 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHULTZ ENTERS THE 16 COURTROOM.) 17 THE COURT: IS IT MISS SCHULTZ? 18 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 19 THE COURT: MISS SCHULTZ, GOOD AFTERNOON. WHERE DO YOU 20 LIVE? 21 MS. SCHULTZ: I LIVE IN WEST LOS ANGELES. 22 THE COURT: DID YOU EVER READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS 23 CASE IN THE NEWSPAPERS OR HEAR ANYTHING ABOUT IT ON THE RADIO 24 OR TELELVISION? 25 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 26 THE COURT: YOU NEVER TALKED TO ANY JURORS ABOUT THE 27 CASE? 28 MS. SCHULTZ: NO.

28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	œ	7	თ	տ	4	ω	N	
																								ABOUT IT. OKAY?	THE COURT: WELL, WHAT I WILL DO IS, TELL YOU SOMETHING	MS. SCHULTZ: NO.	THE COURT: YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT IT?

THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT, JOE HUNT, HAS BEEN ACCUSED
 OF COMMITTING A MURDER AND THAT IT IS MURDER IN THE FIRST
 DEGREE AND IT IS ALLEGED THAT THAT MURDER WAS COMMITTED DURING
 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.
 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS SOME SPECIAL

6 SIGNIFICANCE. THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT WHEN A MURDER
7 IS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY OR A BURGLARY OR RAPE
8 OR KIDNAPPING, TORTURE OR MULTIPLE MURDERS, TOGETHER WITH A
9 NUMBER OF OTHERS, IN THOSE PARTICULAR MURDERS, THAT THOSE
10 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES QUALIFY THEM FOR THE IMPOSITION POSSIBLY
11 OF A DEATH PENALTY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

MS. SCHULTZ: YES.

12

13 THE COURT: WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, THE
14 JURY ULTIMATELY CHOSEN TO TRY THIS CASE WILL FIRST HAVE TO
15 DECIDE FIRST WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OR NOT
16 GUILTY OF THE COMMISSION OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER AND IF THEY
17 SAY YES, THEN THEY HAVE A COLLATERAL QUESTION TO DECIDE: WAS
18 THAT MURDER COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY?

19IF IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY20AND THEY SO FIND, THEN WE COME TO A SECOND PHASE OF THE TRIAL.

21 THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL IS GUILTY OR NOT 22 GUILTY. IF IT IS GUILTY, THEN WE COME TO THE SECOND PHASE 23 OF THE TRIAL WHICH IS KNOWN AS THE PENALTY PHASE.

DURING THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL, BOTH SIDES, THAT IS, THE DEFENDANT AND THE PEOPLE WILL INTRODUCE ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY. THE PURPOSE OF THE TESTIMONY OF THE DEFENDANT WOULD BE TO SHOW THAT THERE ARE EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT THERE ARE FAVORABLE THINGS ABOUT HIM THAT THE JURY SHOULD KNOW. THE

PROSECUTION WILL TRY TO SHOW THAT THERE ARE UNFAVORABLE ASPECTS
ABOUT THE DEFENDANT THAT THE JURY OUGHT TO KNOW. AND ALL OF
THOSE FACTS ARE INTENDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF -- ARE FACTORS
WHICH THE JURY SHOULD CONSIDER IN DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT
ONE OF THE TWO PENALTIES I TOLD YOU ABOUT SHOULD BE IMPOSED;
DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

MS. SCHULTZ: RIGHT.

8 THE COURT: OKAY, NOW DURING THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL, 9 PENALTY IS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED AT ALL IN ANY WAY, JUST THE 10 MERITS OR FACTS OF THE CASE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT HE DID OR 11 DIDN'T COMMIT MURDER IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY; DO YOU UNDER-12 STAND?

13

7

MS. SCHULTZ: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW THE SECOND PHASE, AS I TOLD 14 YOU, IS THE PENALTY PHASE AND THE JURY WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT 15 TO CONSIDER OR SHOULD CONSIDER, OR WILL CONSIDER AND SHOULD 16 CONSIDER, AS I SAY, FIRST, THE AGE OF THE DEFENDANT, WHETHER 17 OR NOT THERE ARE ANY FELONY CONVICTIONS THAT HE HAS HAD, THE 18 ABSENCE OR THE PRESENCE OF THEM, HIS HISTORY, HIS BACKGROUND, 19 HIS MENTAL OR PHYSICAL CONDITION AND ALL OF THOSE -- AND ALSO 20 THE FACTS OF THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME ITSELF WHICH THEY 21 HAVE ALREADY HEARD WILL BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BY THE 22 JURY IN DETERMINING ONE OF THOSE TWO PENALTIES THAT I TOLD 23 24 YOU ABOUT.

25 NOW THE QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU ARE
26 DESIGNED FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRYING TO ASCERTAIN WHAT YOUR
27 STATE OF MIND IS ON THE QUESTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY, WHAT
28 YOUR BELIEF AND OPINION IS WITH RESPECT TO THAT. DO YOU

UNDERSTAND? NOW, THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU HAVE TO DO WITH THE GUILT PHASE. OF COURSE, THEY ONLY RELATE TO THAT PHASE. NOW, FOR EXAMPLE I AM GOING TO ASK YOU: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? MS. SCHULTZ: NO. THE COURT: NOW THE SECOND QUESTION -- IS STILL ON THE GUILT PHASE -- YOU REMEMBER I TOLD YOU ABOUT THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, WHICH IS TO DETERMINE IF IT WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, AND THE JURY WILL DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.

i

1	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH
2	PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL
3	DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL
4	CIRCUMSTANCES?
5	MS. SCHULTZ: NO.
6	THE COURT: NOW, THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO
7	ASK YOU RELATE TO THE PENALTY PHASE. THE JURORS ASSUMING
8	THAT THE JURORS HAVE ALREADY DECIDED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS
9	GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE COMMITTED DURING THE
10	COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THEN ON THE PENALTY PHASE, THESE ARE
11	THE QUESTIONS I WILL ASK YOU.
12	FIRST, DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING
13	THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE
14	THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE
15	PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
16	MS. SCHULTZ: NO.
17	THE COURT: THE NEXT QUESTION IS ALMOST EXACTLY LIKE
18	IT BUT IT RELATES TO LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF
19	PAROLE.
20	DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH
21	PENALTY, THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE IN PRISON
22	WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE
23	THAT MAY BE PRESENTED IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
24	MS. SCHULTZ: NO.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE LAST QUESTION IS, YOU
26	UNDERSTAND, DON'T YOU, THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY
27	MAY OR MAY NOT OCCUR IN THIS CASE AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS
28	HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH THAT PHASE

12-1

OF THE TRIAL? DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 1 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 3 MR. CHIER: MS. SCHULTZ, MY NAME IS RICHARD CHIER. 4 I REPRESENT MR. HUNT, ALONG WITH ANOTHER ATTORNEY. THIS IS 5 THE TIME AND PLACE TO ASK YOU A NUMBER OF PERSONAL QUESTIONS, 6 ALONG THE LINES OF THE JUDGE'S QUESTIONS. 7 WITHOUT INTENDING TO BE REPETITIOUS, I HAVE TO 8 SOMETIMES REPEAT SOME OF THE THINGS OR SAY THINGS PRELIMINARY 9 TO MY OUESTION. I JUST WANTED TO SAY TO YOU THAT WE DEPEND 10 UPON YOU PROSPECTIVE JURORS FOR CANDOR IN YOUR ANSWERS. 11 WE HAVE NEVER MET BEFORE. HERE WE ARE, HAVING 12 A DISCUSSION ABOUT SERIOUS MATTERS. BUT THE SYSTEM CAN ONLY 13 WORK IF YOU GIVE TRUTHFUL ANSWERS. 14 THIS IS NOT A PROCEEDING TO JUDGE YOU AS A PERSON. 15 IT IS JUST A PROCEEDING WHEREBY WE ARE TRYING TO FIND PEOPLE 16 TO SIT ON THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WHO ARE AS NEUTRAL AS CAN 17 BE. 18 MS. SCHULTZ: OKAY. 19 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. NOW, LET'S START BY ASKING YOU 20 IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SIT IN A CASE WHERE THE DEATH PENALTY 21 IS BEING SOUGHT BY THE PEOPLE? 22 MS. SCHULTZ: NO I WOULD NOT. 23 MR. CHIER: OKAY. HOW WOULD YOU ANSWER THIS QUESTION. 24 I AM A PERSON WHO IS: A, STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; 25 B. SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; C, OPPOSED TO THE 26 DEATH PENALTY; D, HAVE NOT REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT BEFORE 27 TODAY; OR E, OTHER. 28

MS. SCHULTZ: B. 1 MR. CHIER: SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY? 2 MS. SCHULTZ: B. 3 MR. CHIER: COULD YOU TELL US IN YOUR OWN WORDS 4 MS. SCHULTZ, HOW YOU SEE YOURSELF IN REGARD TO THE ISSUE OF 5 THE DEATH PENALTY? 6 MS. SCHULTZ: I BELIEVE THERE ARE CRIMES THAT WARRANT 7 THE DEATH PENALTY, CRIMES THAT INVOLVED INNOCENT PEOPLE LIKE 8 CHILDREN, LIKE JUST RANDOM KILLINGS AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE. 9 MR. CHIER: HOW ABOUT A BUSINESS KILLING? WOULD THAT 10 BE WITHIN --11 MS. SCHULTZ: I PERSONALLY WAS IN A BANK WHEN IT WAS 12 HELD UP AT GUNPOINT. I KNOW IT IS A FRIGHTENING SITUATION. 13 AND I THINK THAT I COULD BE FOR IT IN A SITUATION LIKE THAT. 14 MR. CHIER: WAS THERE A KILLING THAT TOOK PLACE? 15 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. BUT HE HAD A GUN. HE THREATENED 16 TO USE IT, YES. 17 MR. CHIER: OKAY. THIS IS A CASE WHERE THE PEOPLE HAVE 18 ALLEGED AGAINST MR. HUNT HERE, THAT AN INTENTIONAL MURDER 19 TOOK PLACE IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 20 GIVEN YOUR FEELINGS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY IN 21 PARTICULAR, DO YOU THINK THAT YOU ARE A PERSON WHO OUGHT TO 22 SIT ON THIS PARTICULAR JURY IN THIS CASE? 23 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 24 MR. CHIER: OKAY. DO YOU THINK THAT YOU MIGHT BE BIASED 25 IN FAVOR OF THE PROSECUTION BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE 26 CHARGES? 27 MS. SCHULTZ: POSSIBLY. 28

).

MR. CHIER: IS THERE ANY OTHER REASON WHY YOU THINK 1 THAT YOU WOULD NOT BE SUITABLE AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE? 2 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. I THINK THAT'S AN EXPERIENCE THAT 3 I WENT THROUGH THAT COULD POSSIBLY BE THE ONLY THING. 4 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. IT WAS A TYPE OF EXPERIENCE 5 THAT IS AWFULLY DIFFICULT TO PUT OUT OF YOUR MIND? 6 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 7 MR. CHIER: WERE YOU VERY CLOSE TO THE --8 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 9 MR. CHIER: WERE YOU THE PERSON THAT HAD THE IMMEDIATE 10 CONTACT WITH THE --11 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. I WAS NOT THE TELLER, NO. 12 I WAS A CUSTOMER IN THE BANK. BUT HE WAS NOT 13 TOO FAR FROM WHERE I WAS. IT WAS A SMALL BANK. 14 MR. CHIER: IT WAS NOT TOO LONG AGO THAT THIS HAPPENED? 15 MS. SCHULTZ: ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF AGO. 16 MR. CHIER: SO THE MEMORY OF THIS IS STILL VERY FRESH 17 IN YOUR MIND? 18 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 19 THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE? 20 MR. CHIER: I HAVE A MOTION. 21 THE COURT: YOU MAY MAKE YOUR MOTION AFTER THE DISTRICT 22 ATTORNEY GETS FINISHED. 23 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. 24 MR. WAPNER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MISS SCHULTZ. I AM FRED 25 WAPNER, THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTING THIS CASE. 26 MS. SCHULTZ: GOOD AFTERNOON. 27 MR. WAPNER: WHY IS IT THAT YOU WOULD NOT WANT TO SIT 28

OR LIKE TO SIT ON A CASE LIKE THIS? 1 MR. CHIER: SORRY. I THINK THAT SHE SAID THAT SHE DIDN'T 2 THINK SHE WAS SUITABLE. 3 THE COURT: NO. YOU ASKED HER WHETHER SHE WANTED TO 4 SIT ON THIS CASE AND SHE SAID NO. HE IS NOW ASKING A 5 FOLLOW-UP QUESTION THAT IS PROPER. 6 7 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 MS. SCHULTZ: WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION? MR. WAPNER: SURE. 9 THE COURT: HE ASKED YOU WHY YOU DON'T THINK YOU WANT 10 TO SIT ON A CASE OF THIS KIND. 11 MS. SCHULTZ: THE NATURE OF IT. AS I SAID, FROM BEING 12 HELD UP AT GUNPOINT. IT REMINDS ME OF THAT BANK INCIDENT. 13 THAT IS THE PRIMARY REASON. 14 THE COURT: WELL, THIS CASE WON'T BE THE KIND OF A CASE 15 THAT YOU EXPERIENCED. IT IS NOT A HOLDUP IN THE SENSE THAT 16 A BANK IS BEING ROBBED OR ANYBODY WAS HELD UP ON A STREET 17 18 OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. IT IS NOT THAT TYPE OF CASE. 19 MS. SCHULTZ: I UNDERSTOOD IT WAS A ROBBERY. THE COURT: WELL, IT WAS A ROBBERY BUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES 20 21 WERE ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU --22 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL THEN, I HAVE A DIFFERENT IMPRESSION OF WHAT THE CASE IS. 23 24 MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS IT ABOUT THE INCIDENT THAT YOU 25 WERE INVOLVED IN, THAT MAKES YOU -- STRIKE THAT. 26 WHAT IS IT ABOUT THE INCIDENT THAT YOU WERE 27 INVOLVED IN, THAT YOU THINK WOULD AFFECT YOU IN DECIDING THIS CASE? 28

MS. SCHULTZ: IT WAS AN INCIDENT WHERE YOU HAD NO CONTROL OVER THE OUTCOME. SOMEBODY HAD A PURPOSE OF ROBBERY AND THEY SAW FIT TO HAVE A GUN. I DIDN'T KNOW IF HE WOULD USE IT OR NOT. IT IS JUST THE IDEA THAT YOU COULD BE KILLED.

Ż

MR. WAPNER: IN THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE THAT YOU WERE 1 INVOLVED IN, I TAKE IT, YOU DIDN'T KNOW THE PERSON WHO HAD 2 3 THE GUN? MS. SCHULTZ: THAT'S CORRECT. 4 MR. WAPNER: AND IN THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE, HE DIDN'T 5 HAPPEN TO SHOOT THE GUN OR KILL ANYBODY WITH IT, RIGHT? 6 MS. SCHULTZ: THAT'S CORRECT. 7 MR. WAPNER: LET'S SAY FOR A MOMENT THAT YOU WERE A JUROR 8 ON THAT CASE AND, OF COURSE, IT WOULDN'T BE PROPER BECAUSE 9 YOU ARE A WITNESS. BUT SINCE THIS IS JUST A HYPOTHETICAL 10 QUESTION, LET'S SAY YOU ARE CALLED AS A JUROR ON THAT CASE 11 AND LET'S SAY THAT THAT PERSON HAD SHOT AND KILLED SOMEONE 12 13 IN THE BANK. OKAY? 14 MS. SCHULTZ: OKAY MR. WAPNER: AND THE JURY THEN FOUND HIM GUILTY OF 15 THE ROBBERY AND OF A MURDER THAT HAPPENED DURING A ROBBERY 16 AND THAT HE COMMITTED THE MURDER INTENTIONALLY, OKAY? 17 18 MS. SCHULTZ: OKAY. MR. WAPNER: NOW WE GET TO THE PHASE OF THAT CASE THAT 19 WE HAVE BEEN REFERRING TO AS THE PENALTY PHASE; WOULD YOU BE 20 ABLE TO LISTEN TO ANY EVIDENCE THAT WAS PRESENTED IN THE 21 PENALTY PHASE TO DETERMINE WHAT THE PUNISHMENT SHOULD BE OR 22 WOULD YOU HAVE ALREADY MADE UP YOUR MIND BASED ON THE FACTS 23 24 OF THE CASE, JUST OF THE ROBBERY ITSELF? 25 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD BE ABLE TO LISTEN TO THE FACTS, 26 WHATEVER THEY WERE. MR. WAPNER: IF YOU SAT ON THAT CASE AND THE JUDGE [N 27 THAT CASE TOLD YOU THAT YOU HAD THE CHOICE BETWEEN LIFE 28

2082

13-1

1 IMPRISONMENT AND DEATH IN THE GAS CHAMBER. WOULD YOU 2 AUTOMATICALLY PICK ONE OR THE OTHER? 3 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD HAVE TO PICK ONE OR THE OTHER, 4 WOULDN'T I? 5 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. BUT WOULD YOU AUTOMATICALLY PICK 6 DEATH WITHOUT KNOWING MORE? 7 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 8 MR. WAPNER: WOULD YOU AUTOMATICALLY PICK LIFE WITHOUT 9 KNOWING ANY MORE? 10 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 11 MR. WAPNER: WOULD YOU, IF YOU WERE A JUROR ON THIS CASE, 12 LISTEN TO THE THINGS ABOUT THAT PERSON WHO COMMITTED THAT 13 ROBBERY THAT WERE GOOD, LIKE MAYBE THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME 14 HE HAD EVER DONE THAT, HE HAD LIVED AN EXEMPLARY LIFE UP TO 15 THAT POINT, THINGS LIKE THAT? 16 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD LISTEN TO THEM, YES. 17 MR. WAPNER: AND ON THE OTHER HAND, WOULD YOU LISTEN 18 TO ANYTHING BAD THAT MIGHT BE INTRODUCED TO SHOW THAT HE SHOULD 19 GET THE DEATH PENALTY? 20 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 21 MR. WAPNER: AND DO YOU THINK THAT YOU ARE CAPABLE OF 22 RENDERING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION ON WHETHER THE PUNISHMENT 23 SHOULD BE LIFE OR IT SHOULD BE DEATH? 24 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 25 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. WHY DID YOU SAY THAT YOU WERE 26 POSSIBLY BLASED IN FAVOR OF THE PROSECUTION? 27 MS. SCHULTZ: BASED ON THE CRIME, I WAS, AS YOU SAY, 28 A WITNESS TO AT THE BANK, THAT SINCE I THOUGHT THIS WAS A

13 - 2

SIMILAR TYPE OF SITUATION, THAT I COULD UNDERSTAND THE 1 2 PROSECUTION EASIER. MR. WAPNER: AND IF IT TURNS OUT TO BE, IF THE FACTS 3 OF THIS CASE TURN OUT TO BE DIFFERENT, IT DIDN'T HAPPEN IN 4 A BANK, FOR EXAMPLE, IS THE CASE THAT YOU ARE A WITNESS TO, 5 NEVERTHELESS. GOING TO AFFECT YOU IN DECIDING THIS CASE? 6 7 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, IT WAS A ROBBERY AT GUNPOINT. 8 I MEAN IT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN A BANK BUT I THINK 9 I CAN HAVE EMPATHY FOR THE SITUATION. 10 MR. WAPNER: EMPATHY FOR THE VICTIM, FOR EXAMPLE? 11 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. MR. WAPNER: DOES THAT MEAN THAT YOU CAN'T TREAT THE 12 13 DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE FAIRLY? 14 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD SAY PROBABLY NOT, NO. 15 MR. WAPNER: WHAT ABOUT IT, WHAT IS THERE ABOUT IT? 16 OBVIOUSLY I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY 17 YOU HAVE EMPATHY FOR THE VICTIM. 18 WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THAT FACT ALONE THAT MAKES 19 YOU NOT ABLE TO BE FAIR TO THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE? 20 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, WHEN YOU ARE GOING ABOUT YOUR 21 BUSINESS, AND YOU KNOW, IN A NORMAL FASHION, NOT EXPECT ANY-22 THING TO OCCUR AND SOMEBODY COMES AT YOU WITH A GUN, FOR 23 WHATEVER PURPOSE, USUALLY TO ROB YOU OR TO HOLD YOU UP OR 24 WHATEVER, I THINK IT IS A SITUATION THAT IS TRAUMATIC AND 25 ALTHOUGH IT WASN'T ME PERSONALLY WHO HE WAS TAKING THE MONEY 26 FROM. I WAS -- I WAS THERE. 27 I WAS TOLD TO GET ON THE FLOOR AND NOT TO LOOK 28 AT HIM AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

13 - 3

1	MR. WAPNER: DOES THAT MEAN THAT IN EVERY CASE WHERE
2	THERE IS A ROBBERY EXCUSE ME A MURDER IN THE COURSE OF
3	A ROBBERY THAT YOU WOULD FIND THE PERSON GUILTY, REGARDLESS
4	OF THE EVIDENCE?
5	MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THE
6	QUESTION "IN EVERY CASE." WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THIS CASE AND
7	THIS IS THE CASE WHERE SHE WOULD BE A JUROR ON.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24	
25	
20	
28	
20	

13-5

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY ANSWER THE QUESTION.
2	MS. SCHULTZ: I AM NOT SAYING IN EVERY CASE.
3	IT IS JUST THAT I FEEL I UNDERSTAND MORE HOW A
4	VICTIM FEELS, THAT IS HOW I FEEL.
5	I KNOW MORE I KNOW MORE OF WHAT THEY WENT
6	THROUGH, PERHAPS.
7	THE COURT: DO YOU REMEMBER ME ASKING YOU THIS QUESTION:
8	DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT
9	YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY
10	REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY
11	PHASE OF THE TRIAL AND YOUR ANSWER WAS NO?
12	MS. SCHULTZ: THAT'S RIGHT.
13	THE COURT: HAVE YOU CHANGED YOUR MIND?
14	MS. SCHULTZ: NO.
15	I DON'T AUTOMATICALLY FEEL I WOULD VOTE SOMEBODY
16	THE DEATH PENALTY.
17	THE COURT: YOU WILL HEAR ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ON THE
18	PENALTY PHASE?
19	MS. SCHULTZ: YES.
20	THE COURT: PRO AND CON, GOOD AND BAD ABOUT THE DEFENDANT
21	AND THEN YOU WILL MAKE UP YOUR MIND
22	MS. SCHULTZ: YES.
23	THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO?
24	MS. SCHULTZ: YES.
25	THE COURT: YOU ARE SURE ABOUT THAT?
26	MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, I WOULD HEAR IT, YOU KNOW, BUT I
27	STILL HAVE MY FEELINGS.
28	THE COURT: YES, I UNDERSTAND. NOBODY WANTS TO DIVORCE

1 YOU FROM YOUR FEELINGS.

2	BUT WHAT HE WANTS TO KNOW IS HOW YOUR FEELINGS
3	ARE GOING TO AFFECT YOUR ACTING AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE.
4	ARE YOUR FEELINGS SUCH THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY
5	VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT
6	MAY BE ADDUCED AT THE TIME OF THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
7	MS. SCHULTZ: I CAN'T SAY I WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE
8	FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, NO.
9	I STILL HOLD WITH MY "NO."
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU HOLD "NO" WHAT, THAT YOU
11	WOULD NOT VOTE AUTOMATICALLY?
12	MS. SCHULTZ: YES.
13	THE COURT: WOULD YOU LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AND
14	THEN MAKE UP YOUR OWN MIND?
15	MS. SCHULTZ: YES.
16	THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THERE ARE TWO PENALTIES?
17	MS. SCHULTZ: YES, DEATH AND LIFE IN PRISON.
18	THE COURT: AND LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
19	OF PAROLE.
20	AND YOU WILL MAKE UP YOUR MIND AFTER YOU HAVE
21	HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE?
22	MS. SCHULTZ: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT.
23	THE COURT: YOU ARE SURE ABOUT THAT NOW?
24	MS. SCHULTZ: YES, I AM SURE ABOUT THAT BUT
25	THE COURT: BUT WHAT?
26	MS. SCHULTZ: BUT IT IS LIKE YOUR SAYING, BECAUSE I WENT
27	THROUGH THIS EXPERIENCE, THAT WILL AFFECT ME. BUT ALL YOUR
28	EXPERIENCES IN LIFE AFFECT YOU AND I FEEL THAT

1 THE COURT: THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. 2 BUT BECAUSE OF THAT PERSON'S EXPERIENCE AND YOUR 3 FEELINGS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY -- NOBODY IS TAKING THAT 4 AWAY FROM YOU AS TO THE DEATH PENALTY, HAVE IT. KEEP IT. 5 ALL RIGHT? MS. SCHULTZ: OKAY. 6 7 THE COURT: BUT WILL YOU EXERCISE THAT AND VOTE FOR THE 8 DEATH PENALTY IRRESPECTIVE, REGARDLESS OF ANY TESTIMONY THAT 9 MAY COME UP IN THIS CASE? 10 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 11 THE COURT: YOU WILL CONSIDER ALL OF THAT TESTIMONY? 12 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 MR. WAPNER: UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU MAY HAVE EMPATHY 15 FOR THE VICTIM IN THIS CASE, ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THAT 16 AND SET IT ASIDE IN TRYING TO DETERMINE WHAT THE APPROPRIATE 17 PUNISHMENT SHOULD BE? 18 LET ME BACK UP. MAYBE THAT IS NOT A GOOD QUESTION. 19 CAN YOU SET ASIDE YOUR FEELINGS BASED ON WHAT 20 HAPPENED TO YOU? 21 I MEAN IT MAY TURN OUT FROM THE EVIDENCE IN THIS 22 CASE, REGARDLESS OF YOUR OWN EXPERIENCE, THAT AFTER YOU LISTEN 23 TO THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU WOULD HAVE EMPATHY FOR THE VICTIM 24 AND, ON THE OTHER HAND, IT MAY TURN OUT AFTER YOU LISTEN TO 25 THE EVIDENCE THAT IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE 26 EMPATHY FOR THE VICTIM. SINE YOU DON'T KNOW THE EVIDENCE IN 27 THIS CASE, I AM NOT GOING TO ASK YOU HOW YOU WOULD JUDGE 28 THIS PARTICULAR PERSON. BUT WHAT I AM ASKING YOU IS: WHETHER

OR NOT YOU CAN PUT ASIDE THE GENERAL FEELINGS THAT YOU HAVE EXPRESSED AND DECIDE THIS CASE BASED ON THE FACTS IN THIS CASE AND THE LAW THAT THE JUDGE GIVES TO YOU? MS. SCHULTZ: YES.

1 /-

14A - 1MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU GIVEN ANY THOUGHT TO THE ISSUE 1 OF THE DEATH PENALTY BEFORE YOU WERE CALLED AS A JUROR IN 2 THIS CASE? 3 MS. SCHULTZ: JUST WHEN YOU HEAR THE RECENT VOTE, YOU 4 KNOW, WITH ROSE BIRD AND THINGS LIKE THAT. IT WAS IN THE 5 NEWS AND POLITICALLY, THINGS LIKE THAT. 6 MR. WAPNER: DID YOU HAVE ANY OPINIONS ON THAT ISSUE, 7 ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, ON THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY OR ROSE 8 BIRD. VIS A VIS THE DEATH PENALTY? 9 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, I AM FOR THE DEATH PENALTY AS A 10 PENALTY, YES. 11 MR. WAPNER: AND WHAT IS THAT BASED ON, IF YOU KNOW? 12 IS IT RELIGIOUS OR MORAL OR PHILOSOPHICAL OR POLITICAL? 13 MS. SCHULTZ: I THINK IT IS A MORAL BASIS. 14 MR. WAPNER: AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN IN FAVOR OF 15 THE DEATH PENALTY? 16 MS. SCHULTZ: FOR SOME TIME. I COULDN'T GIVE YOU A 17 NUMBER OF YEARS. 18 MR. WAPNER: AND CAN YOU TELL ME OR EXPLAIN TO ME A 19 LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT, WHAT THAT GENERAL STATEMENT MEANS 20 IN TERMS OF BEING IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY? 21 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, I BELIEVE THERE ARE CRIMES THAT 22 WARRANT THAT PENALTY. 23 MR. WAPNER: SUCH AS? 24 MS. SCHULTZ: SUCH AS MURDERS OF INNOCENT PEOPLE, WHEN 25 SOMEBODY ABDUCTS A CHILD AND TORTURES THEM AND MURDERS THEM. 26 THINGS LIKE THAT. 27 MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU SAY THERE ARE CRIMES THAT DESERVE 28

144 - 2

THAT PENALTY, IF A CRIME FIT INTO THAT CATEGORY, WOULD YOU 1 SAY WITHOUT MORE, THAT THAT PERSON DESERVES THE DEATH PENALTY? 2 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD LISTEN TO THE EVIDENCE. I DON'T 3 AUTOMATICALLY SAY, BASED ON SOMEBODY TELLING ME THAT THEY 4 DID THIS -- I MEAN, THAT PERSON COULD BE LYING TO ME. SO 5 I WOULD HAVE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT IT. 6 MR. WAPNER: IN OTHER WORDS, LET'S SAY, TAKING YOUR 7 EXAMPLE OF THE MURDER IN THE COURSE OF A CHILD ABDUCTION. 8 WHERE SOMEONE STEALS A CHILD AND DURING THE COURSE OF THE 9 KIDNAPPING OF THAT CHILD, THE PERSON INTENTIONALLY KILLS THEM 10 AND MAYBE ONE PERSON WHO DOES IT, KILLS THAT CHILD BY LET'S 11 SAY, SHOOTING THEM ONE TIME IN THE HEAD. 12 ANOTHER PERSON KILLS THAT CHILD IN SOME KIND OF 13 TORTUROUS MANNER, BRUTALLY WITH A LOT OF STABBINGS. CAN YOU 14 SEE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THOSE TWO INCIDENTS? 15 16 MS. SCHULTZ: I CAN SEE A DIFFERENCE IN THE WAY THAT THE DEATH OCCURRED. BUT I STILL THINK THAT THEY ARE TERRIBLE 17 18 CRIMES, BOTH OF THEM. MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU JUDGE EACH ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE 19 IF YOU WERE ON A JURY IN THOSE INDIVIDUAL CASES, EACH ONE 20 21 OF THEM INDIVIDUALLY IN TERMS OF WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN? 22 OR, WOULD YOU HAVE ALREADY MADE UP YOUR MIND. BASED ON THE NATURE OF THE CRIME, WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN TO THEM? 23 MS. SCHULTZ: I COULD LISTEN. I CAN LISTEN TO THE FACTS 24 25 AND DECIDE. I WOULD NATURALLY, BE HORRIFIED. I CAN'T SAY THAT I WOULD AUTOMATICALLY KILL THEM. 26 27 BUT THAT IS THE TYPE OF CRIME THAT APPEARS TO ME TO WARRANT 28 THAT TYPE OF PUNISHMENT.

14A - 3

MR. WAPNER: BUT EVEN IN THOSE CASES, WOULD YOU BE WILLING 1 2 TO LISTEN TO THINGS THAT THE PERSON CHARGED WITH THE CRIME 3 MIGHT HAVE TO SAY THAT THEY WOULD ARGUE SHOULD CAUSE YOU TO 4 SPARE THEIR LIFE? 5 MR. CHIER: OBJECTION. THIS IS AN IMPROPER TEST. THERE 6 IS NO QUESTION WHETHER A JUROR IS WILLING TO LISTEN. UNLESS 7 THEY PUT PLUGS IN THEIR EARS, THEY HAVE TO LISTEN, JUDGE. IT IS NOT PROPER. 8 MR. WAPNER: WELL, IF IT IS SEMANTIC DIFFERENCE, I WILL 9 10 CHANGE IT TO CONSIDER. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 12 MR. CHIER: IT WAS NOT A PROPER INQUIRY. 13 MR. WAPNER: WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CONSIDER THINGS THAT THAT PERSON PRESENTED TO YOU THAT WERE GOOD ABOUT HIM, 14 15 THAT HE WOULD ARGUE SHOULD CAUSE YOU TO CONSIDER SPARING HIS 16 LIFE? 17 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD LISTEN, YES. 18 MR. WAPNER: AND YOU WOULD CONSIDER THOSE THINGS? IN 19 OTHER WORDS, WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS, ARE YOU JUST LISTENING 20 AS AN INTELLECTUAL EXERCISE OR WOULD YOU REALLY PAY ATTENTION AND CONSIDER IT AND ANYTHING ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THOSE FACTORS 21 22 SHOULD CAUSE YOU TO SPARE HIS LIFE OR --23 MS. SCHULTZ: I WOULD FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE ON A CASE OF 24 THAT TYPE, NUMBER ONE. I WOULD LISTEN BECAUSE IT WOULD BE 25 PART OF MY FUNCTION AS A JUROR TO LISTEN AND TO COME TO A 26 DECISION BASED ON THE FACTS. 27 IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE ASKING, YES. I COULD. 28 BUT I WOULD BE VERY UNCOMFORTABLE IN THAT KIND OF A SITUATION.

1	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. I THINK THAT JURORS PROBABLY IN
2	THIS SITUATION GENERALLY WHERE YOU ARE ASKED TO MAKE A LIFE
3	OR DEATH DECISION, ARE GOING TO BE UNCOMFORTABLE. I GUESS
4	THE REAL INQUIRY IS WHETHER YOU ARE SO BIASED ONE WAY OR
5	ANOTHER THAT YOU COULDN'T BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES.
6	HOW DO YOU FEEL WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO THAT
7	QUESTION?
8	MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, YOU HAVE SOMEBODY'S LIFE IN YOUR
9	HANDS, NO MATTER THE CRIME. I WOULD HATE TO THINK THAT I
10	WOULD NOT BE FAIR, BASED ON THAT. BUT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

)

į

MR. WAPNER: BUT WHAT? 1 MS. SCHULTZ: IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT. IT IS A DIFFICULT 2 SITUATION. IT IS UNCOMFORTABLE. 3 THAT IS ABOUT IT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT ELSE TO SAY. 4 THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, IN LIFE, YOU HAVE TO MAKE 5 UNCOMFORTABLE DECISIONS, DON'T YOU? 6 MS. SCHULTZ: YES YOU DO. 7 THE COURT: NOW, IF YOU ARE SWORN AS A JUROR AND YOU 8 ARE CALLED UPON TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT 9 COMMITTED MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE IN THE COURSE OF A 10 ROBBERY, WOULD YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY ARRIVING AT A VERDICT, 11 IF THE EVIDENCE INDICATED IT? 12 MS. SCHULTZ: NO. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO WE ARE PAST THE MURDER 14 PHASE OF IT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 15 THE NEXT QUESTION IS, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO 16 WITH HIM? ONE OF TWO THINGS IS WHAT YOU DO WITH HIM, DEPENDING 17 ON THE EVIDENCE IN THE SECOND PART OF THE TRIAL, THE GOOD 18 THINGS AND THE BAD THINGS. 19 EVEN BEFORE YOU HEAR -- OR EVEN IF YOU DO HEAR 20 IT. YOU WILL DISREGARD ANY TESTIMONY FOR THE DEFENDANT IN 21 MAKING UP YOUR MIND AS TO WHAT THE PENALTY WOULD BE, IS THAT 22 RIGHT? 23 MS. SCHULTZ: WELL, I WOULD SAY NO. YOU KNOW, I WOULD 24 LISTEN TO THE GOOD, AS WELL AS THE BAD. 25 THE COURT: AND THEN MAKE UP YOUR MIND? 26 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 27 THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT GOING TO AUTOMATICALLY VOTE 28

1 FOR THE DEATH PENALTY BECAUSE HE COMMITTED A MURDER IN THE 2 COURSE OF A ROBBERY, ARE YOU? 3 MS. SCHULTZ: HOPEFULLY NOT, NO. 4 THE COURT: NOW, I WANT YOU TO BE PERFECTLY FRANK WITH 5 US. WOULD YOU OR WOULDN'T YOU? WOULD YOU CONSIDER EVERYTHING 6 YOU HEAR? 7 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 8 THE COURT: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? 9 MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR. MS. SCHULTZ, IT IS TRUE 10 THAT THIS ALLEGED ROBBERY IS NOT ALLEGED TO HAVE TAKEN PLACE 11 IN A BANK. 12 BUT IN A CASE WHERE THE PEOPLE ARE ALLEGING THAT 13 A ROBBERY TOOK PLACE IN THE VICTIM'S HOME AT GUNPOINT AND 14 WHERE A CLAIM IS BEING MADE THAT THE DEFENDANT PRIED PROPERTY 15 OUT OF THE VICTIM AT GUNPOINT AND THEREAFTER, HE WAS SHOT 16 AND KILLED, IS THIS THE TYPE OF CASE WHERE YOU WOULD GO INTO 17 THE PROCEEDINGS KIND OF TILTED IN FAVOR OF THE PROSECUTION, 18 BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE GOING IN? 19 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. I WOULD BE TILTED GOING IN. 20 MR. CHIER: TO THE POINT THAT IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST 21 INTEREST OF THE DEFENDANT IF SOMEBODY OTHER THAN YOURSELF 22 WERE A JUROR IN THIS CASE? 23 MS. SCHULTZ: YES. 24 MR. CHIER: I SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: MR. WAPNER? 26 MR. WAPNER: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 27 THE COURT: WOULD YOU WAIT OUTSIDE? WE ARE GOING TO 28 HAVE A DISCUSSION.

۱.

ţ. (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHULTZ EXITED THE 1 COURTROOM.) 2 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW IF WHAT SHE SAID 3 REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY WOULD NECESSARILY DISQUALIFY HER 4 UNDER EITHER THE WITT OR WITHERSPOON TEST. 5 BUT I THINK A GENERAL PROPOSITION, AS A CHALLENGE 6 FOR CAUSE, IF WE WERE ON THE GENERAL PART OF THE JURY 7 SELECTION, THAT SHE IS CHALLENGEABLE FOR CAUSE. 8 AND RATHER THAN WAIT UNTIL THAT POINT, I THINK 9 THAT THE BASIS IS REALLY IMMATERIAL. I THINK THE CHALLENGE 10 LIES. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN I WILL EXCUSE HER, GET 12 HER IN, PLEASE. 13 MR. CHIER: I THINK IT IS KIND OF OVERLAPPING, ACTUALLY, 14 JUDGE. IS A LITTLE OF THIS AND A LITTLE OF THAT. 15 I THINK YOU ARE RIGHT, MR. WAPNER. 16 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHULTZ RE-ENTERRED 17 THE COURTROOM.) 18 THE COURT: MISS SCHULTZ, I THINK THAT IN VIEW OF YOUR 19 UNHAPPY EXPERIENCE THAT YOU HAD WHICH YOU STATED YOUR MIND 20 IS PROBABLY SUCH THAT YOU COULDN'T BE ENTIRELY IMPARTIAL AS 21 A JUROR IN THIS CASE, THAT WE WILL EXCUSE YOU. 22 HOWEVER, YOU LOOK LIKE YOU CAN BE A VERY FINE 23 JUROR IN SOME OTHER TYPE OF CASE. SO, PLEASE GO BACK AND 24 TELL THE JURY CLERK THAT I SAID THAT YOU COULD SERVE IN SOME 25 OTHER TYPE OF CASE BUT NOT THIS ONE. 26 MS. SCHULTZ: OKAY. 27 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 28

n-1

(PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHULTZ EXITED THE 1 2 COURTROOM.) 3 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHAW ENTERED THE 4 COURTROOM.) THE COURT: IS IT MRS. SHAW? 5 6 MS. SHAW: YES. 7 THE COURT: MRS. SHAW, WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 8 MS. SHAW: PLAYA DEL REY. THE COURT: DID YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS 9 CASE OR DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT IT? 10 MS. SHAW: NO. 11 THE COURT: EXCEPT WHAT I SAID TO YOU AT THE TIME YOU 12 13 WERE ALL TOGETHER? 14 MS. SHAW: NO. I HAVE NOT READ ANYTHING. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. SHAW: I HAVE BEEN A BIT CURIOUS BUT I HAVE NOT 16 READ ANYTHING. 17 18 THE COURT: WELL, I WILL SATISFY YOUR CURIOSITY AND TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT IT NOW. 19 20 WHAT I WILL TELL YOU IS ONLY A NECESSARY, 21 PRELIMINARY BACKGROUND TO MY ASKING YOU WHAT YOUR ATTITUDE 22 IS TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY AND HOW IT MIGHT AFFECT YOUR 23 JUDGMENT IF YOU ARE SELECTED AS A JUROR. 24 FIRST, I WILL TELL YOU THAT THE CHARGE AGAINST 25 THIS DEFENDANT IS THAT HE COMMITTED A MURDER. IT WAS A MURDER 26 IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THAT THE MURDER WAS COMMITTED DURING 27 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 28 THE SIGNIFICANCE OF IT BEING COMMITTED IN THE

COURSE OF A ROBBERY IS THAT THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT IN THAT TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE ACCOMPANYING A MURDER, IT QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. IT IS NOT THAT IT SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT, BUT THAT IT QUALIFIES, IT IS THE KIND OF CASE WHERE THE DEATH PENALTY MAY BE REQUESTED. THE LEGISLATURE HAS ALSO SAID THAT A MURDER WHICH IS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A BURGLARY OR A RAPE OR A KIDNAPPING OR IF A CHILD HAS BEEN ABDUCTED OR MOLESTED AND KILLED, IN THE COURSE OF THAT, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF CASES WHERE THERE ARE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENT. THAT CASE QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MS. SHAW: I SEE, UH-HUH.

1.

15 FO

15-1

i

)

)

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, ONLY IN THOSE KINDS. IN OTHER
2	WORDS, YOU MIGHT HAVE A DELIBERATE, PLANNED MURDER, JUST A
3	MURDER, BUT THAT DOESN'T QUALIFY BY ITSELF FOR THE DEATH
4	PENALTY. IT HAS GOT TO BE ONE OF THOSE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES
5	SUCH AS IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, MURDER, KILLING IN THE
6	COURSE OF A RAPE, BURGLARY, KIDNAPPING AND SO ON AND SO FORTH.
7	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
8	MS. SHAW: YES.
9	THE COURT: YOU HAVE SOME DOUBT ABOUT IT?
10	MS. SHAW: YES, I DO HAVE A DOUBT.
11	WELL, IN THE COURSE OF ANY OF THOSE, YOU MEAN?
12	THE COURT: THAT IS THE IDEA. ONLY IN THE COURSE OF
13	THOSE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF ROBBERY, OR BURGLARY, OF
14	KIDNAPPING OR RAPE, THINGS OF THAT KIND, WHERE THE DEATH
15	PENALTY MAY BE SOUGHT; DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
16	MS. SHAW: UH-HUH.
17	THE COURT: MERELY BECAUSE THERE MIGHT BE A MURDER
18	DELIBERATELY PLANNED AND AN INTENTIONAL MURDER DOESN'T MEAN
19	THAT THE DEATH PENALTY CAN BE IMPOSED IN THAT TYPE OF CASE.
20	IT IS ONLY WHEN IT IS ACCOMPANIED UNDER THE
21	CIRCUMSTANCES OF A ROBBERY OR A BURGLARY OR SO ON AND SO FORTH;
22	DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
23	MS. SHAW: YES.
24	THE COURT: NOT EVERY FIRST DEGREE MURDER CALLS FOR THE
25	DEATH PENALTY OR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
26	OF PAROLE.
27	MS. SHAW: UH-HUH.
28	THE COURT: THERE ARE OTHER PENALTIES FOR THAT.

BUT IS THAT CLEAR? 1 MS. SHAW: DEPENDING UPON THE CIRCUMSTANCES. 2 THE COURT: DEPENDING UPON THE FINDING OF SPECIAL 3 CIRCUMSTANCES THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A 4 5 ROBBERY. IS THAT OKAY? MS. SHAW: YES. 6 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE REPLY WAS "DEPENDING 7 UPON THE CIRCUMSTANCES". 8 MS. SHAW: SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. 9 THE COURT: THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, WHETHER IT 10 OCCURRED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT; 11 12 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MS. SHAW: YES, I AM PRETTY SURE I DO. 13 THE COURT: WELL, IF THERE IS ANY DOUBT ABOUT IT, I WILL); 14 15 EXPLAIN. MS. SHAW: WELL, I DON'T MEAN TO -- OH, YES, I DO 16 UNDERSTAND THAT UNDER CERTAIN, UNDER THE SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES. 17 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. 18 MS. SHAW: I WANT TO BE SURE THAT I GET THEM MEMORIZED. 19 THE COURT: THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE IN THIS CASE 20 OCCURRED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY; IS THAT RIGHT? 21 22 MS. SHAW: YES, YES. OKAY. WILL THAT BE EXPLAINED? THAT IS EXPLAINED, ISN'T 23 24 IT. IN THE CASE? THE COURT: OH, YES, YES, SURE. 25 YOU WILL FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT THE FACTS ARE AND 26 YOU WILL FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT THE LAW IS AT THE CONCLUSION 27 28 OF THIS CASE.

15-2

15-5

i

Ľ

1

MS. SHAW: UH-HUH.

2	THE COURT: NOW THE FIRST THING THAT HAPPENS WHEN A JURY
3	IS SELECTED, THE JURY WILL HAVE TO DETERMINE FIRST WHETHER
4	OR NOT A MURDER HAD BEEN COMMITTED IN THE FIRST DEGREE. IF
5	THE JURY CONCLUDES THAT A MURDER HAS BEEN COMMITTED AND IT
6	IS A DELIBERATE, FIRST DEGREE MURDER, THEN THEY HAVE TO SAY
7	WHETHER IT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE
8	COURSE OF A ROBBERY. THERE ARE TWO FINDINGS THEY HAVE TO MAKE:
9	ONE, GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY. IF IT IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE
10	FIRST DEGREE, THEN THEY HAVE TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS
11	IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.
12	MS. SHAW: I UNDERSTAND.
13	THE COURT: IF THEY SAY IT WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE
14	OF A ROBBERY, THEN WE ENTER A SECOND PHASE.
15	THE FIRST PHASE IS THE GUILT PHASE.
16	THE SECOND PHASE IS THE PENALTY PHASE WHERE THE
17	JURY COMES INTO COURT AND THEY SIT IN THAT JURY BOX AND THEN
18	BOTH SIDES INTRODUCE EVIDENCE ON WHETHER OR NOT THE DEATH
19	PENALTY SHOULD BE IMPOSED OR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE
20	POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. THAT EVIDENCE WILL CONSIST OF FACTORS
21	BY THE DEFENSE OF THINGS WHICH ARE FAVORABLE TO HIM, THE GOOD
22	THINGS IN HIS LIFE, HIS HISTORY, HIS BACKGROUND, HIS CHARACTER,
23	WHETHER OR NOT HE HAS EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A FELONY AND HIS
24	AGE, AND THE FACTS OF THE CASE OF ITSELF, ALL OF THOSE MUST
25	BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BEFORE THE JURY MAKES UP ITS MIND
26	AS TO WHETHER IT SHOULD BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT OR DEATH; DO
27	YOU UNDERSTAND?
28	MS. SHAW: YES.

15-4

THE COURT: NOW, WHAT I WANT TO DO IS TO EXPLORE YOUR MIND WITH RESPECT TO YOUR OPINIONS AS TO THE DEATH PENALTY AND IF IT WOULD AFFECT YOU IN ANY WAY. MY FIRST QUESTION: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? MS. SHAW: NO. IT WOULD BE A VERY SERIOUS DECISION.

THE COURT: OH, OF COURSE, IT ALWAYS WILL BE SERIOUS. 1 BUT WOULD THAT IN ANY WAY AFFECT YOU? WOULD YOU 2 3 BE IMPARTIAL? MS. SHAW: BUT I FEEL I COULD BE IMPARTIAL IF IT IS 4 ABSOLUTELY -- IF I FEEL THAT IT IS BEYOND ANY DOUBT. 5 6 THE COURT: BEYOND ANY DOUBT? NO, NO. 7 MS. SHAW: ANY DOUBT. THE COURT: THAT IS NO GOOD. IT IS BEYOND A REASONABLE 8 9 DOUBT. MS. SHAW: ANY REASONABLE DOUBT? OKAY. 10 THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO FIND BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. 11 MS. SHAW: RIGHT, REASONABLE DOUBT. 12 13 THE COURT: YES. BUT I AM TALKING NOW ABOUT YOUR OPINION WITH 14 RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY: WOULD THAT IN ANY WAY AFFECT 15 16 YOU IN REACHING YOUR DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE 17 OF THE DEFENDANT? 18 MS. SHAW: NO. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, INCIDENTALLY, DO YOU HAVE AN 19 20 OPINION AS TO THE DEATH PENALTY? 21 MS. SHAW: WELL, I VOTED FOR IT. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MY SECOND QUESTION IS --23 MS. SHAW: NOT BECAUSE I -- I MEAN I DO VALUE HUMAN 24 LIFE. 25 THE COURT: YES, OF COURSE. LET ME ASK YOU THE SECOND QUESTION: DO YOU HAVE 26 ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT 27 28 YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH

15-5

OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE? 1 I TOLD YOU THE JURY HAS TO DECIDE TRUE OR FALSE 2 THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 3 WOULD YOUR FEELINGS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY IN 4 ANY WAY INTERFERE WITH YOUR MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION ON 5 6 THAT? MS. SHAW: NO. 7 THE COURT: NOW THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH 8 THE PENALTY PHASE. 9 10 NOW ASSUME NOW THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS COMMITTED IN 11 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY AND YOU NOW HAVE TO CONSIDER WHAT 12 13 PENALTY SHOULD BE IMPOSED AFTER YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE 14 EVIDENCE PRO AND CON AS TO THE DEFENDANT; DO YOU UNDERSTAND 15 THAT? MS. SHAW: YES. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW WE ARE OVER THE GUILT PHASE, 17 18 THEY HAVE DECIDED GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, 19 COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY AND NOW WE COME TO DECIDE WHAT PENALTY SHOULD BE IMPOSED: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN 20 21 OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY 22 VOTE TO IMPOSE IT, THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY 23 EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE 24 CASE? 25 MS. SHAW: NO. 26 THE COURT: NOW THE NEXT QUESTION IS SUBSTANTIALLY THE

26 THE COURT: NOW THE NEXT QUESTION IS SUBSTANTIALLY THE
27 SAME THING, ONLY IT RELATES TO LIFE IN PRISON: DO YOU HAVE
28 SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD

2104

15-8

AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY 1 OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED 2 AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE CASE? 3 MS. SHAW: NO, NOT AUTOMATICALLY. 4 THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT. 5 NOW YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH 6 PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT TAKE PLACE IN THIS CASE AND THAT THESE 7 8 OUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH 9 THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 10 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. 11 MR. WAPNER: IS THAT A YES? THE COURT: "UH-HUH" DOES THAT MEAN YES? 12 13 MS. SHAW: YES. 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 15 MR. CHIER: MISS SHAW, GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS 16 RICHARD CHIER AND I, WITH ANOTHER LAWYER, REPRESENT MR. HUNT. 17 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. MR. CHIER: AND ALTHOUGH WE HAVE NEVER MET BEFORE AND 18 I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT YOU, WE HAVE TO START TALKING ABOUT 19 20 SOME SERIOUS STUFF SUCH AS YOUR VIEWS ON THE DEATH PENALTY 21 AS IT MIGHT AFFECT OR NOT AFFECT YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AS A JUROR 22 IN THIS CASE. 23 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. 24 MR. CHIER: I WANT TO PREFACE WHAT I AM GOING TO SAY 25 BY MAKING SURE THAT YOU UNDERSTAND MR. HUNT IS PRESUMED TO 26 BE INNOCENT AT THIS POINT IN TIME. 27 MS. SHAW: YES. 28 MR. CHIER: RIGHT?

1 AND THAT THE FACT THAT WE ARE HAVING THIS TYPE 2 OF PROCEEDING SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED BY YOU AS A SUGGESTION 3 THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE IT DOWN THE LINE. IT IS JUST SOMETHING THAT NECESSARILY HAS TO BE DONE ON THIS END 4 5 RATHER THAN AT THE OTHER END, RIGHT? 6 MS. SHAW: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 7 MR. CHIER: I WANT TO ASK YOU THESE QUESTIONS, TO WHICH 8 THERE IS NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWER, MISS SHAW. THERE IS JUST --9 MS. SHAW: YEAH, RIGHT. 10 MR. CHIER: IT IS JUST YOUR MOST TRUTHFUL ANSWER. 11 MS. SHAW: THAT IS RIGHT, JUST ME. 12 MR. CHIER: IT IS MOSTLY ABOUT FEELINGS, YOUR FEELINGS, 13 YOUR EMOTIONAL ATTITUDES TOWARDS CERTAIN THINGS AS THESE 14 ATTITUDES MIGHT AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO DELIBERATE IN A NEUTRAL 15 KIND OF FRAME OF MIND. 16 SO WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, LET ME BEGIN BY ASKING 17 YOU TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION, WHICH IS AS GOOD AS ANY PLACE 18 TO START: HOW WOULD YOU ANSWER THIS QUESTION: I AM A PERSON 19 WHO IS: 20 STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY. Α. 21 SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY. Β. 22 с. OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY. 23 D. HAVEN'T REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT BEFORE NOW. 24 OR E. SOME OTHER ANSWER. 25 DO YOU HAVE ALL OF THOSE IN MIND? 26 MS. SHAW: YES. -- WHAT WAS THE FIRST THING AGAIN, 27 "STRONGLY"? 28 MR. CHIER: STRONGLY IN FAVOR.

1	MS. SHAW: IN FAVOR?
2	MR. CHIER: OR SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR.
3	IN FAVOR OF.
4	OPPOSED TO.
5	HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT.
6	OR OTHER.
7	MS. SHAW: WELL, I SAY STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF IT TO BE
8	ENFORCED ONLY, I MEAN WHEN IT IS NEEDED.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2 6	
27	
2 8	

į

164-1 MR. CHIER: OKAY. 1 MS. SHAW: I WOULD HATE TO SAY SOMEWHAT, BECAUSE THAT 2 IS NOT DEFINITE ENOUGH. 3 MR. CHIER: IT IS KIND OF TENTATIVE. SO, YOU ARE A 4 PERSON WHO HAS NO MISGIVINGS ABOUT THE NECESSITY FOR A DEATH 5 6 PENALTY? MS. SHAW: THAT'S IT. 7 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU THINK THAT THE DEATH 8 PENALTY IS AN APPROPRIATE THING TO HAVE IN CERTAIN CASES? 9 MS. SHAW: YES. 10 MR. CHIER: SO THAT IN AN APPROPRIATE CASE, YOU FEEL 11 STRONGLY THAT IT IS A GOOD THING TO HAVE? 12 MS. SHAW: I FEEL -- MY OPINION IS THAT IT DOES HELP 13 PREVENT SO MUCH CRIME. 14 MR. CHIER: OKAY. AND I ASSUME THAT BY VIRTUE OF YOUR 15 FEELINGS IN THAT REGARD, YOU VOTED FOR THE DEATH PENALTY? 16 MS. SHAW: YES I DID. 17 MR. CHIER: OKAY. IN THE BELIEF THAT CRIME WOULD BE 18 DETERRED? 19 MS. SHAW: YES. 20 MR. CHIER: OKAY. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF WAYS IN WHICH 21 A DEATH PENALTY CAN BE SEEN AS DETERRING CRIMES. DO YOU SEE 22 IT AS LIKE, STOPPING OTHER PEOPLE FROM DOING THE SAME THING? 23 24 IS THAT THE TYPE OF DETERRENT? 25 MS. SHAW: YES. 26 MR. CHIER: DO YOU SEE IT AS RIDDING SOCIETY OF UNDESIRABLE TYPES OF PERSONS WHO GO AROUND COMMITTING MURDERS 27 THAT --28

· C ^ · 2 MS. SHAW: NO. I DON'T FEEL THAT. 1 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. SO IT IS NOT A SOCIAL PURGING 2 OF ANY KIND? 3 MS. SHAW: NO. 4 MR. CHIER: ANY OTHER WAY IN WHICH YOU SEE IT AS A 5 DETERRENT? 6 MS. SHAW: WELL, JUST THAT THE PEOPLE WILL THINK TWICE, 7 A LONG TIME BEFORE THEY COMMIT A LOT OF CRIMES. 8 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. SO PRIMARILY AS A PUBLIC EXAMPLE 9 IN YOUR OPINION? IT HELPS TO STOP CRIME? 10 MS. SHAW: YES. 11 MR. CHIER: CIMES OF A SIMILAR NATURE, RIGHT? 12 MS. SHAW: YES. 13 MR. CHIER: OKAY. 14 MS. SHAW: I DON'T FEEL THAT PEOPLE SHOULD BE KILLED 15 JUST TO GET RID OF THEM BECAUSE THEY HAVE THEIR PROBLEMS THE 16 SAME AS I DO OR ANYBODY ELSE. 17 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT A PERSON'S 18 PROBLEMS AND BACKGROUND COULD, SOMETHING SHORT OF BEING 19 INSANE, COULD AFFECT THE WAY THE PERSON ACTS IN LIFE? 20 MS. SHAW: WELL, SURE. 21 MR. CHIER: SO WOULD YOU SAY THAT THERE ARE ANY 22 INSTANCES IN WHICH AN INTENTIONAL MURDER COULD BE COMMITTED 23 WHERE A DEATH PENALTY WOULD NOT BE THE ANSWER, NECESSARILY? 24 25 MS. SHAW: YES. 26 MR. CHIER: OKAY. IN ARRIVING AT SUCH A DECISION, ARE THERE ANY TYPES OF THINGS THAT YOU THINK ARE IMPORTANT TO 27 CONSIDER JUST BEFORE YOU HEAR ANY INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE COURT 28

AS TO WHAT -- JUST YOUR POINT OF VIEW AS TO WHAT THINGS YOU 164-3 1 THINK MIGHT MAKE A DIFFERENCE WHETHER A PERSON LIVES OR DIES 2 WHO HAS BEEN FOUND TO BE A MURDERER? ARE YOU ABLE TO FORMULATE 3 AN ANSWER? A MS. SHAW: YES. I AM JUST THINKING ABOUT IT. WELL. 5 THE EVIDENCE WOULD NEED TO BE QUITE -- THERE WOULD HAVE TO 6 BE EVIDENCE AS I SAID BEFORE, BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. 7 IT IS HARD FOR ME TO SAY. 8 MR. CHIER: WELL, LET ME ASK YOU SOME LESS OPEN-ENDED 9 QUESTIONS, THEN. MAYBE WE CAN GET TO THE SAME PLACE. 10 DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHEN THE JUDGE EXPLAINED THAT 11 THE PROCEDURE WHERE YOU HAVE THE FIRST STAGE AND THE SECOND 12 STAGE IN A CASE OF THIS TYPE --13 MS. SHAW: YES. 14 MR. CHIER: THE GUILT PHASE AND THE PENALTY PHASE? 15 MS. SHAW: YES. 16 MR. CHIER: THE PENALTY PHASE PART IS BASICALLY KIND 17 OF STARTING ALL OVER AGAIN. THIS TIME, YOU FOCUS NOT SO MUCH 18 ON THE GUILT. ALTHOUGH THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CRIME --19 ALTHOUGH IT COMES INTO IT, BUT MORE ON THE PERSON BECAUSE 20 NOW YOU ARE LOOKING FOR THE APPROPRIATE PENALTY FOR THIS PERSON 21 WHOM YOU HAVE JUST CONVICTED OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER. NOW, 22 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU CONVICT A PERSON OF FIRST 23 24 DEGREE MURDER THAT IT IS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. 25 MR. CHIER: THERE ARE NO --26 27 MS. SHAW: YES. I REALIZE THE ANSWER [GAVE WAS NOT REALLY VERY DEFINITE. 28

1	THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU SAY?
2	MS. SHAW: THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION THAT YOU ASKED
3	ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, WHAT IF IT WAS LET ME SEE
4	WHETHER A PERSON WHETHER THERE WAS CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE
5	DEATH PENALTY MIGHT NOT BE RECOMMENDED OR I MIGHT NOT FEEL
6	THAT IT SHOULD BE THAT THEY SHOULD GET THE DEATH PENALTY
7	RATHER THAN NOT.
8	MR. CHIER: LET ME SEE IF I COULD MAKE IT EASIER ON
9	ALL OF US, MS. SHAW.
10	MS. SHAW: SORRY.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
1	

MR. CHIER: DO YOU THINK THAT IF YOU WERE SELECTED AS 1 A JUROR IN THIS CASE OR A CASE OF THIS TYPE, THAT YOU COULD 2 MAINTAIN YOUR NEUTRALITY THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE CASE UP UNTIL 3 THE TIME THAT IT WAS TIME TO GO IN TO DELIBERATE? 4 MS. SHAW: YES. 5 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT IS THE REASON THAT --6 MS. SHAW: IT IS DIFFICULT FOR ME TO ANSWER THAT. 7 8 MR. CHIER: OKAY. MS. SHAW: BECAUSE I HAVE NOT HEARD ANYTHING. 9 10 MR. CHIER: WELL, I UNDERSTAND. WE ARE NOT ASKING YOU TO SAY HOW YOU WOULD VOTE BUT TO BASICALLY LOOK INTO YOURSELF 11 AND TO LET US KNOW IF YOU THINK YOU HAVE ANY HIDDEN AGENDAS 12 OR SECRET INTENTIONS THAT MIGHT CAUSE YOU TO BE BIASED OR 13 TILTED IN FAVOR OF ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER. 14 15 MS. SHAW: NO. MR. CHIER: BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY WENT IN THERE. 16 MS. SHAW: NO. 17 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. SO AT ALL TIMES, YOU WOULD BE 18 A PERSON WHO COULD STAY AS NEUTRAL AS WE ARE HUMANLY CAPABLE 19 OF BEING AND YOU WOULDN'T BRING TO THIS TASK ANY SECRET 20 21 INTENTIONS? MS. SHAW: NO. I DON'T BELIEVE IN LETTING YOUR 22 23 EMOTIONS RULE BECAUSE THIS IS A COURT OF LAW. 24 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. 25 MS. SHAW: THIS IS THE WAY I FEEL. I MEAN, IT SHOULD 26 BE OBJECTIVE. 27 MR. CHIER: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT A DEFENDANT IN A CASE 28 SUCH AS THIS CAN ONLY HAVE A FAIR TRIAL IF PEOPLE COME IN

AND ANNOUNCE THEIR NEUTRALITY? 1 MS. SHAW: YES. 2 MR. CHIER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 3 MS. SHAW: YES. 4 MR. CHIER: THAT IS WHY --5 MS. SHAW: THAT IS WHY I HAD DIFFICULTY ANSWERING THAT 6 QUESTION, I SUPPOSE. 7 MR. CHIER: WE WILL PASS FOR CAUSE. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANY OUESTIONS? 9 MR. WAPNER: YES. MS. SHAW, WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING THE 10 GUILT OR INNOCENCE IN THE FIRST PART OF THE CASE, YOU HAVE 11 TO MAKE THAT DECISION PUTTING OUT OF YOUR MIND ENTIRELY, THE 12 QUESTION OF PENALTY. DO YOU THINK YOU CAN DO THAT? 13 MS. SHAW: YES. 14 MR. WAPNER; IN OTHER WORDS --15 MS. SHAW: FIRST THINGS FIRST. 16 MR. WAPNER: EXACTLY. WE ARE SITTING HERE, SPENDING 17 A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY. 18 BUT WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING GUILT OR INNOCENCE, 19 YOU HAVE TO IN ESSENCE, PRETEND THAT YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING 20 ABOUT WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN TO HIM IF YOU FIND HIM GUILTY. DO 21 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 22 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. 23 24 MR. WAPNER: IS THAT YES? 25 MS. SHAW: YES, UH-HUH. MR. WAPNER: THAT IS HARD FOR THE REPORTER TO WRITE 26 DOWN. 27 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH. 28

MR. WAPNER: AND THE JUDGE WILL TELL YOU WHEN WE ARE 1 DOING THE GUILT PART OF THE TRIAL, THAT IN THAT PART OF THE 2 CASE, THE PROSECUTION IS REQUIRED TO PROVE THE DEFENDANT 3 GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, RIGHT? 4 MS. SHAW: YES. 5 MR. WAPNER: ORIGINALLY, YOUR STATEMENT TO THE JUDGE 6 WAS THAT IF YOU WERE GOING TO CONSIDER THE DEATH PENALTY, 7 THAT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE BEYOND ALL DOUBT. IS THAT WHAT YOU 8 ORIGINALLY SAID TO HIM BEFORE HE CORRECTED YOU? 9 MS. SHAW: YES. 10 MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU --11 MS. SHAW: DID I SAY THAT? 12 THE COURT: NO, NO. THAT IS NOT THE LAW. 13 MS. SHAW: I KNOW. YOU SAID THAT --14 THE COURT: ONLY ON THE GUILT PHASE OF IT THAT YOU HAVE 15 TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY AND IF IT 16 IS GUILTY, YOU HAVE TO DECIDE THAT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. 17 DO YOU GET THE IDEA? 18 19 MS. SHAW: YES. THE COURT: BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. BUT ON THE 20 PENALTY PHASE, THAT IS NOT THE LAW. 21 22 MS. SHAW: RIGHT. THE COURT: EXCEPT IN CERTAIN THINGS THAT YOU WILL LEARN 23 ABOUT LATER. 24 IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF IT, IT IS NOT BEYOND A 25 REASONABLE DOUBT. YOU ARE TO CONSIDER EVERYTHING PRO AND 26 27 CON AND THEN MAKE UP YOUR MIND. 28 MS. SHAW: UH-HUH.

MR. WAPNER: RIGHT NOW, WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT IS THE 1 GULLT PART OF THE TRIAL. WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT IS WHETHER, 2 SINCE YOU NOW KNOW -- BECAUSE WE ARE ASKING YOU THESE QUESTIONS, 3 THAT THERE MIGHT BE THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY IN THIS 4 CASE. WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING THE QUESTION OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE, 5 ARE YOU GOING TO REQUIRE THE PROSECUTION TO PROVE IT TO A 6 HIGHER STANDARD THAN PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? DO 7 YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION? 8 MS. SHAW: WELL, NO. I DON'T THINK --9 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. WHAT I AM ASKING YOU IS, BECAUSE 10 YOU HAVE IN THE BACK OF YOUR MIND THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE TO GET 11 TO THE QUESTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY, ARE YOU GOING TO REQUIRE 12 ME TO PROVE THE CASE TO YOU TO A HIGHER STANDARD THAN BEYOND 13 A REASONABLE DOUBT? 14 THE COURT: ON THE PENALTY PHASE? 15 MR. WAPNER: NO. I AM TALKING ABOUT THE GUILT PHASE, 16 YOUR HONOR. 17 MS. SHAW: BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? WELL, DEPENDS 18 UPON -- WOULD IT DEPEND UPON MY OPINION OF A REASONABLE DOUBT? 19 MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE JUDGE IS GOING TO GIVE YOU A 20 DEFINITION OF WHAT REASONABLE DOUBT IS THAT YOU MAY OR MAY 21 22 NOT FIND HELPFUL. MS. SHAW: OKAY. 23 MR. WAPNER: BUT THAT'S THE ONLY DEFINITION THAT YOU 24 25 ARE GOING TO GET. THE QUESTION IS, LET'S SAY THAT YOU HAVE TWO CASES. 26 AND THE FACTS ARE -- WHAT THE FACTS ARE, ARE NOT REALLY 27 IMPORTANT. BUT THE FACTS ARE THE SAME IN EACH CASE, EXCEPT 28

THAT IN ONE CASE WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING GUILT OR INNOCENCE. 1 YOU KNOW THAT THAT IS GOING TO BE THE END OF IT. 2 HE IS EITHER GUILTY OR HE IS NOT GUILTY. YOU 3 DON'T HAVE TO DO ANY MORE. 4 IN THE OTHER CASE, WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING GUILT 5 OR INNOCENCE, YOU KNOW THAT IF YOU FIND HIM GUILTY, THAT YOU 6 MIGHT HAVE TO DECIDE THE PENALTY. OKAY? 7 DO YOU HAVE THOSE TWO EXAMPLES IN MIND? 8 MS. SHAW: YES. 9 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. NOW, IN BOTH OF THOSE CASES ON THE 10 GUILT PART OF THE CASE, THE JUDGE IS GOING TO TELL YOU THAT 11 12 THE STANDARD OF PROOF IS THE SAME, THAT IS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 13 MS. SHAW: YES. 14 MR. WAPNER: IN THE ONE CASE, YOU KNOW YOU MIGHT 15 16 POSSIBLY HAVE TO GET TO THE QUESTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY. ARE YOU GOING TO SAY WELL, THAT REASONABLE DOUBT STANDARD 17 IS NOT HIGH ENOUGH AND IT HAS TO BE BEYOND ALL DOUBT? 18 19 MS. SHAW: NO. 20 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE SOME HESITATION ABOUT THAT? MS. SHAW: WELL, NO. 21 MR. WAPNER: ARE YOU SURE? 22 MS. SHAW: YES. 23 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. LET ME TALK TO YOU A LITTLE BIT 24 25 NOW ABOUT THE PENALTY PART OF THE CASE. 26 IF YOU WERE CHOSEN AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE, AFTER 27 YOU LISTENED TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ON BOTH PHASES OF THE 2**8** CASE, YOU WILL BE CALLED UPON TO MAKE A DECISION AS TO WHAT

THE APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT SHOULD BE, LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MS. SHAW: YES. MR. WAPNER: THE JUDGE WILL TELL YOU THAT ALTHOUGH YOU DELIBERATE ON THE CASE WITH 11 OTHER PEOPLE, YOU WILL BE REQUIRED TO VOICE AND VOTE YOUR OWN, INDIVIDUAL OPINION. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MS. SHAW: YES. MR. WAPNER: I AM ASKING YOU A QUESTION BASED ON MAYBE WHAT YOU COULD CONSIDER INTESTINAL FORTITUDE. IF YOU ARE DELIBERATING ON THE CASE AND YOU FEEL THAT BASED ON THE FACTS OF THE CASE THAT DEATH IS THE APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT, DO YOU THINK THAT YOU ARE THE KIND OF A PERSON WHO IS CAPABLE OF RENDERING THAT TYPE OF A VERDICT? MS. SHAW: YES.

17 FO

17-1

1	MR. WAPNER: IN OTHER WORDS, IF YOU FEEL THAT THE
2	FACTS WARRANT IT, ARE YOU THE KIND OF PERSON WHO CAN SIT IN
3	THE JURY BOX AND LOOK AT THE DEFENDANT AND SAY "MY VERDICT
4	IS THAT YOU SHOULD DIE?"
5	MR. CHIER: THAT HAS BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED, JUDGE.
6	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
7	MR. WAPNER: ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT QUESTION IS: ARE
8	YOU THE KIND OF PERSON WHO, IF THE FACTS WARRANTED IT, CAN
9	VOTE FOR THE PUNISHMENT OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE
10	POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE?
11	MS. SHAW: YES.
12	MR. WAPNER: OKAY, WITHOUT KNOWING ANYTHING ABOUT THE
13	PARTICULAR FACTS OF THIS CASE AND ONLY KNOWING THAT IN ORDER
14	TO GET TO THE PENALTY PHASE YOU WOULD HAVE TO FIND THAT THERE
15	WAS A MURDER, AN INTENTIONAL MURDER IN THE COURSE OF A
16	ROBBERY, DO YOU HAVE ANY BIAS ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AS TO
17	FOR THE DEATH PENALTY OR FOR LIFE IN PRISON?
18	MS. SHAW: NO.
19	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. PASS FOR CAUSE.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, PASS FOR CAUSE.
21	ALL RIGHT, MRS. SHAW, THE QUESTIONS NOW ARE ENDED.
22	MS. SHAW: ALL RIGHT.
23	THE COURT: WE FEEL THAT YOU ARE QUALIFIED TO SERVE AS
24	A TRIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE.
25	MS. SHAW: OH, YOU DO? ALL RIGHT.
26	THE COURT: SO WHAT WE ARE GOING THROUGH NOW, WE ARE
27	GOING THROUGH THE REST OF THE ALPHABET AND THAT MAY TAKE US
28	ALL OF THE REST OF TODAY AND ALL DAY TOMORROW, SO WHAT I AM

GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO IS TO COME BACK ON THURSDAY, THAT IS 1 THE 4TH OF DECEMBER, THURSDAY AND THAT IS AT 10:30 IN THE 2 3 MORNING, 10:30 A.M. 4 MS. SHAW: ALL RIGHT. THE COURT: AND THEN I THINK WE WILL BE READY FOR YOU 5 AND ALL OF THE JURORS WHO HAVE BEEN QUESTIONED THE SAME WAY 6 AS YOU HAVE BEEN, WHO HAVE BEEN PASSED AS PROPER JURORS, WILL 7 8 ALL COME IN HERE AND WE WILL START THE TRIAL, ALL RIGHT? 9 MS. SHAW: OKAY. 10 THE COURT: SO YOU COME TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM ON THURSDAY AT 10:30. 11 12 MS. SHAW: ALL RIGHT. 13 THE COURT: OKAY? 14 MS. SHAW: OKAY. THE COURT: AND WE WILL SEE YOU THEN. 15 16 MS. SHAW: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THE COURT: YOU CAN EVEN GO HOME AND COME BACK ON 17 18 THURSDAY. 19 MS. SHAW: THURSDAY AT WHAT TIME AGAIN? THE COURT: 10:30. 20 21 MS. SHAW: 10:30. ALL RIGHT. 22 THE COURT: 10:30 ON THURSDAY, NOT BEFORE. 23 MS. SHAW: THANK YOU. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. 25 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHAW EXITS THE 26 COURTROOM.) 27 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SHELBY ENTERS THE 28 COURTROOM.)

17-2

17-3

1	MS. SHELBY: HELLO.
2	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, MISS SHELBY.
3	THAT IS MISS SHELBY, IS IT?
4	MS. SHELBY: MISS.
5	THE COURT: MISS SHELBY, WHERE DO YOU LIVE, PLEASE?
6	MS. SHELBY: LOS ANGELES.
7	DO YOU WANT THE STREET NAME?
8	THE COURT: WHERE?
9	MS. SHELBY: IN LOS ANGELES.
10	THE COURT: WHAT PART?
11	MS. SHELBY: I LIVE ON BRONSON AVENUE.
12	THE COURT: OH, YES.
13	HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS CASE?
14	MS. SHELBY: I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
15	THE COURT: YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT IT EXCEPT FOR THE
16	FACTS OF WHAT I TOLD YOU?
17	MS. SHELBY: WHAT YOU TOLD US THE FIRST DAY I WAS HERE.
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND YOU MAINTAIN THAT LACK OF
19	INFORMATION FROM NOW ON. IN OTHER WORDS, DON'T READ ANYTHING
20	ABOUT IT IN THE NEWSPAPER OR LISTEN TO IT ON THE RADIO OR ON
21	TELEVISION, ALL RIGHT?
22	MS. SHELBY: YOU SAY HAVE I HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT IT?
23	THE COURT: NO, NO.
24	I SAID DON'T YOU LISTEN TO ANYTHING.
25	MS. SHELBY: THAT'S RIGHT.
26	THE COURT: JUST KEEP ON THAT WAY.
27	MS. SHELBY: THAT'S RIGHT. I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT
28	[Τ.

17 - 4THE COURT: JURORS AREN'T SUPPOSED TO READ ANYTHING OR LISTEN TO ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE IF THEY ARE POTENTIAL JURORS, OKAY? MS. SHELBY: ALL RIGHT. THE COURT: I DID TELL YOU SOMETHING ABOUT THE CASE AT THE TIME WE ALL MET HERE, REMEMBER, A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO? MS. SHELBY: RIGHT. THE COURT: WHAT I WANT TO DO IS TO SUMMARIZE SOME OF THE FACTS AND ASK YOU CERTAIN QUESTIONS. THE PURPOSE OF THESE QUESTIONS WILL BE TO DETERMINE WHAT YOUR STATE OF MIND IS WITH RESPECT TO YOUR OPINIONS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, ALL RIGHT?

MS. SHELBY: OKAY, UH-HUH. 1 THE COURT: NOW BY DEATH PENALTY, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT 2 THE DEATH PENALTY, THERE ARE SOME ASPECTS TO THAT WHICH I WILL 3 4 EXPLAIN TO YOU IN JUST A MINUTE. FIRST OF ALL, THE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE DEFENDANT, 5 THE CHARGE AGAINST HIM IS THAT HE COMMITTED A MURDER, THAT 6 IS FIRST DEGREE MURDER AND IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE 7 8 OF A ROBBERY. NOW IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS A SPECIAL 9 SIGNIFICANCE BECAUSE THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT THERE ARE 10 CERTAIN KINDS OF CRIMES, OF MURDERS WHERE THERE ARE CERTAIN 11 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED WHICH QUALIFY THOSE CASES FOR 12 13 THE DEATH PENALTY. MERELY BECAUSE A CRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED, LIKE 14 MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THAT DOESN'T AUTOMATICALLY QUALIFY 15 IT FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. AS A MATTER OF FACT, IT DOESN'T, 16 17 JUST DEATH BY ITSELF. IT IS ONLY WHEN THERE ARE ACCOMPANYING CIRCUMSTANCES, 18 THE TYPE OF SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT I AM GOING TO DESCRIBE 19 TO YOU THAT THE DEATH PENALTY MAY BE IMPOSED THAT IT MAY BE 20 21 REQUESTED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. 22 MS. SHELBY: YES, I UNDERSTAND. THE COURT: THOSE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES MAY BE ROBBERY 23 AS ALLEGED IN THIS CASE OR IN ANOTHER CASE BURGLARY, OR RAPE, 24 OR KIDNAPPING, OR A CHILD WHO HAS BEEN MOLESTED AND DIES IN 25 THE COURSE OF MOLESTATION, MULTIPLE MURDERS, TORTURE, CRIMES 26 OF THAT KIND, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID THAT THOSE CRIMES 27 28 QUALIFY UNDER THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT ARE DESCRIBED

17-6

FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, ALL RIGHT? 1 THE JURORS WHO ARE IMPANELED TO TRY THIS CASE WILL 2 FIRST HAVE TO DECIDE THE QUESTION OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF 3 THE DEFENDANT: DID HE COMMIT A MURDER? WAS IT A MURDER IN 4 THE FIRST DEGREE? 5 OR IF HE DID, THEN THEY HAVE A COLLATERAL QUESTION 6 TO ANSWER: IS IT TRUE OR IS IT FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED 7 8 DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY? IF THEY SAY YES, IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE 9 OF A ROBBERY, IF THEY SAY IT IS TRUE, THEN THAT SAME JURY HAS 10 TO CONSIDER WHAT THE PENALTY IS THAT THE DEFENDANT WILL SUFFER. 11 THERE ARE ONE OF TWO PENALTIES: EITHER LIFE 12 IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH. 13 NOW, BEFORE THE JURY DECIDES THAT, THEY ARE GOING TO HEAR 14 SOME MORE EVIDENCE ON THE QUESTION OF MITIGATION OR 15 AGGRAVATION, THINGS THAT ARE FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT WHICH 16 WOULD DISINCLINE THE JURY TO VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, AND 17 18 THINGS WHICH ARE UNFAVORABLE TO HIM WHICH MIGHT INCLINE THEM 19 TO VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF CONSIDERATIONS. THEY ALSO CONSIDER ALL OF THE TESTIMONY THEY 20 21 HEARD ON THE GUILT PHASE, ALL OF THAT, TOO, AND ANYTHING 22 RELATING TO THE CHARACTER OF THE DEFENDANT, HIS HISTORY, HIS 23 LACK OF CRIMINAL INVOLVEMENT IN THE PAST OR IF HE DID HAVE 24 CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN THE PAST, HIS BACKGROUND AND HIS HISTORY AND CHARACTER, HIS MENTAL AND PHYSICAL CONDITION, ALL OF THOSE 25 ARE TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE JURY ON THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE 26 27 TRIAL. 28 IN OTHER WORDS, THEY DON'T MAKE UP THEIR MINDS

17A

AS TO WHAT THE PENALTY WOULD BE UNTIL THEY HAVE BROUGHT IN
A VERDICT OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND THAT IT WAS
COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. BEFORE THEY MAKE UP
THEIR MINDS, THEY HAVE TO CONSIDER ALL OF THESE THINGS BEFORE
THEY MAKE UP THEIR MINDS. I AM SURE YOU UNDERSTAND.

MS. SHELBY: YES.

THE COURT: ON THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL, THE
QUESTION OF PENALTY OR PUNISHMENT IS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED IN
ANY WAY. IT DOESN'T ENTER INTO IT AT ALL. IT IS ONLY WHEN
THE JURY HAS COME IN WITH A VERDICT OF GUILT DURING THE COURSE
OF A ROBBERY, THEN IN THAT SECOND PHASE THEY WILL CONSIDER
ALL OF THE OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES AS TO WHAT THE PENALTY SHOULD
BE: DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

14

6

MS. SHELBY: YES.

15 THE COURT: NOW I AM GOING TO ASK YOU A SERIES OF
16 QUESTIONS TO EXPLORE YOUR MIND, YOUR FEELINGS, YOUR OPINIONS
17 ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY.

18 NOW, THE FIRST TWO QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK
19 YOU DON'T RELATE TO THE PENALTY AT ALL, EXCEPT YOUR STATE OF
20 MIND, YOUR STATE OF MIND AS TO THE DEATH PENALTY MIGHT
21 BE APPLICABLE.

SO THE FIRST QUESTION IS: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION
 REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING
 AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE
 DEFENDANT?

26

MS. SHELBY: NO, I DON'T THINK SO.

27 THE COURT: NOW, STILL ON THE GUILT PHASE OF IT -28 REMEMBER, I TOLD YOU THAT THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE TO

17-7

17-8

BE FOUND, AS I SAID, BY THE JURY, TRUE OR FALSE. 1 DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY 2 THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION 3 CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE? 4 MS. SHELBY: NO. 5 THE COURT: NOW THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH --6 ASSUMING THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE 7 FIRST DEGREE AND THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF 8 A ROBBERY, THEN YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER THE PENALTY AND AS I TOLD 9 YOU, THESE TWO QUESTIONS APPLY TO THE PENALTY PHASE: DO YOU 10 HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU 11 WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE THE DEATH PENALTY REGARDLESS OF THE 12 EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE 13 14 TRIAL? MS. SHELBY: NO, I DO NOT. 15 THE COURT: AND THE FIFTH QUESTION IS ALMOST EXACTLY 16 THE SAME BUT ONLY RELATES TO LIFE IMPRISONMENT: DO YOU HAVE 17 SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD 18 AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY 19 OF PAROLE. REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED 20 AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 21 22 MS. SHELBY: NO. 23 THE COURT: NOW YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE OF THE 24 DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT OCCUR IN THIS CASE AND THAT 25 THESE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT YOU REACH 26 THAT PHASE OF THE CASE? 27 MS. SHELBY: YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD. 28

MR. CHIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MS. SHELBY, MY NAME IS RICHARD CHIER. I AM ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS FOR MR. HUNT. MS. SHELBY: OKAY. MR. CHIER: AND THE JUDGE HAS ASKED YOU A SERIES OF QUESTIONS WHICH ARE DESIGNED BASICALLY TO DETECT ANY MAJOR TYPE OF REACTION, AUTOMATIC RESPONSES. THE QUESTIONS I WANT TO PUT TO YOU ARE OF A SIMILAR NATURE BUT THEY ARE DIFFERENT IN DEGREE RATHER THAN KIND AND I WANT TO JUST PREFACE MY REMARKS BY SAYING THAT THIS IS AWKWARD IN A WAY, NOT HAVING MET ANYBODY BEFORE --MS. SHELBY: YES. MR. CHIER: -- TO BE STARTING OFF A CONVERSATION ABOUT SOME DEEPLY HELD PERSONAL VIEWS. THE QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU HAVE NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWER AND THERE ARE NO GOOD OR BAD ANSWERS. THEY ARE NOT BEING ASKED TO JUDGE YOU AS A PERSON.

17-9

1,

1	THEY ARE GOING TO BE ASKED TO ONLY DETERMINE
2	TO HELP US DETERMINE WHETHER THIS IS THE KIND OF A CASE WHICH
3	YOU ARE SUITABLE ON AS A JUROR, FROM AN ATTITUDINAL STANDPOINT,
4	IF YOU HAVE THE RIGHT ATTITUDE. IT IS NOT AN ATTITUDE TEST.
5	ARE YOU IN FAVOR OF OR OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY?
6	MS. SHELBY: IS THAT A YES OR NO QUESTION?
7	MR. CHIER: LET ME REPHRASE IT, THEN. LET ME DO IT
8	THIS WAY. THIS MIGHT HELP YOU.
9	HOW WOULD YOU ANSWER THIS QUESTION: I AM A PERSON
10	WHO IS A, STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; B, SOMEWHAT
11	IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; C, OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY;
12	D, HAVE NOT REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT BEFORE NOW; OR E, OTHER?
13	DOES THAT HELP?
14	MS. SHELBY: NOT MUCH. BUT IT WOULD DEPEND UPON WHAT
15	THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE AFTER HEARING THE EVIDENCE. BUT I
16	THINK I AM IN FAVOR IN SOME CASES OF THE DEATH PENALTY. YES.
17	MR. CHIER: YES, WE SHOULD HAVE THE DEATH PENALTY? NO,
18	WE SHOULDN'T GO OUT KILLING EVERYBODY AROUND BUT IN CERTAIN
19	CIRCUMSTANCES, IT IS A GOOD IDEA THAT WE HAVE ONE AND IT SHOULD
20	BE USED?
21	MS. SHELBY: RIGHT. THAT IS HOW I FEEL ABOUT IT.
22	MR. CHIER: DOES THAT SUM IT UP FOR YOU?
23	MS. SHELBY: UH-HUH.
24	MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT MR. HUNT
25	IS AT THIS MOMENT IN TIME AND UNTIL THE JURY GOES OUT,
26	PRESUMED INNOCENT OF ANYTHING?
27	MS. SHELBY: THAT'S RIGHT.
28	MR. CHIER: AND THAT THE FACT THAT WE ARE HAVING THIS

184-1

1	THIS IS KIND OF LIKE HAVING THE FUNERAL BEFORE THE PATIENT
2	DIES OR THE PERSON DIES. WE HAVE TO HAVE IT NOW BECAUSE YOU
3	CAN'T HAVE IT LATER.
4	MS. SHELBY: RIGHT.
5	MR. CHIER: OKAY. WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR IS PEOPLE
6	THAT ARE ESSENTIALLY NEUTRAL AND DON'T HAVE LEANINGS FOR OR
7	AGAINST EITHER SIDE OR EITHER ISSUE, DEATH PENALTY OR AGAINST
8	THE DEATH PENALTY SO THAT THEY WOULD TAKE THIS HIDDEN AGENDA
9	OR THIS SECRET INTENT INTO THE JURY ROOM WITH THEM AND ONE
10	SIDE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE HANDICAPPED. DO YOU KNOW WHAT
11	I MEAN?
12	MS. SHELBY: YES.
13	MR. CHIER: OKAY. LET ME JUST ASK YOU THIS. IT CAN
14	PROBABLY WRAP THIS UP.
15	ARE YOU A PERSON WHO IS ESSENTIALLY NEUTRAL INSOFAR
16	AS BEING A JUROR IN THIS TYPE OF CASE? DO YOU SEE YOURSELF
17	AS BEING NEUTRAL?
18	MS. SHELBY: UNTIL I HEAR ALL OF THE EVIDENCE.
19	MR. CHIER: RIGHT, OF COURSE.
20	MS. SHELBY: RIGHT.
21	MR. CHIER: SO THAT AFTER YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE
22	EVIDENCE, ARE YOU GOING TO THEN SAY WELL, I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN
23	SECRETLY IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY, LET'S GAS THIS GUY?
24	MS. SHELBY: NO.
25	MR. CHIER: SO YOU WILL KEEP AN OPEN MIND RIGHT DOWN
26	TO THE VERY END?
27	MS. SHELBY: YES.
28	MR. CHIER: PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 1 2 MR. WAPNER: IS IT MISS SHELBY? 3 MS. SHELBY: YES. MR. WAPNER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I AM FRED WAPNER, THE 4 5 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTING THIS CASE, HAD YOU GIVEN 6 ANY THOUGHT TO THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY BEFORE YOU WERE 7 CALLED TO SERVE AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE? 8 MS. SHELBY: YES. 9 MR. WAPNER: AND WOULD THAT BE WHEN IT WAS ON THE BALLOT 10 OR SOME OTHER TIME? 11 MS. SHELBY: I WAS HERE ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF AGO 12 ON JURY DUTY FOR A MURDER TRIAL. AND I WAS ASKED SOME OF 13 THE SAME QUESTIONS. 14 MR. WAPNER: DID YOU ACTUALLY SIT ON THAT CASE? MS. SHELBY: I DID NOT. 15 16 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT, AND DID YOU MAKE IT THROUGH 17 THIS PART OF THE CASE AND INTO THE GENERAL PART OF THE JURY 18 SELECTION? 19 MS. SHELBY: I DID. 20 MR. WAPNER: OTHER THAN THAT ONE OTHER TIME, HAVING 21 THOUGHT ABOUT THESE QUESTIONS, HAVE YOU SPENT ANY TIME BETWEEN 22 THEN AND NOW OR BEFORE THEN THINKING ABOUT THE ISSUE OF THE 23 DEATH PENALTY? 24 MS. SHELBY: NO. 25 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU REMEMBER IT BEING ON THE BALLOT 26 AT ALL? 27 MS. SHELBY: I THINK SO. I MEAN, I AM NOT REALLY SURE. 28 I THINK I REMEMBER IT BEING ON THE BALLOT AT ONE TIME.

1	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY STRONGLY HELD BELIEFS ABOUT
2	THE DEATH PENALTY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, IN WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES
3	IT SHOULD BE USED OR NOT USED OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
4	MS. SHELBY: WELL, I GUESS YES. I DO.
5	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU TELL ME ABOUT THAT?
6	MS. SHELBY: IF THE EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED AND SHOWS
7	THAT THE PERSON I GUESS LIKE THE JUDGE SAYS TORTURED OR
8	KIDNAPPED OR MURDERED SOMEONE, THEN I WOULD IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES,
9	BELIEVE THAT THE PERSON SHOULD GET THE DEATH PENALTY.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2130

188 FO

ţ

MR. WAPNER: IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, YOU WILL NEVER 1 2 GET TO THE ISSUE OF WHAT PUNISHMENT SHOULD BE IMPOSED UNLESS 3 YOU HAVE FIRST DECIDED THAT THERE WAS AN INTENTIONAL MURDER 4 DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 5 MS. SHELBY: RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND THAT. 6 MR. WAPNER: IF YOU HAVE DECIDED THAT THERE WAS AN 7 INTENTIONAL MURDER IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, AS FAR AS YOU 8 ARE CONCERNED, IS THE INQUIRY OVER AS TO THE PUNISHMENT OR 9 IS IT JUST STARTING? OR, DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT QUESTION? 10 MR. CHIER: I DON'T THINK I UNDERSTAND IT. THE COURT: I ALREADY TOLD YOU THAT --11 12 MS. SHELBY: OKAY. BASED ON WHAT THE JUDGE SAYS, IF 13 HE IS FOUND GUILTY, THEN THERE IS MORE EVIDENCE. 14 THE COURT: THAT IS EXACTLY RIGHT. 15 MS. SHELBY: IT IS GIVEN TO MAKE OUR DECISION ON THE 16 PENALTY PHASE. 17 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. 18 MR. WAPNER: MY LAST QUESTION WAS DIRECTED TO WHETHER 19 OR NOT YOU WERE GOING TO PAY ATTENTION TO THAT EVIDENCE AND 20 WHETHER IT IS GOING TO MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE TO YOU OR WHETHER 21 YOUR MIND WOULD BE SOMEWHAT MADE UP ABOUT THE PUNISHMENT, 22 ONCE YOU HAVE ALREADY HEARD THAT HE WAS GUILTY OF A ROBBERY, 23 YOU DECIDED HE WAS GUILTY OF A ROBBERY AND AN INTENTIONAL 24 MURDER DURING A ROBBERY. 25 MS. SHELBY: NO. I THINK I WOULD NEED TO HEAR THE OTHER 26 EVIDENCE. 27 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. 28 MS. SHELBY: TO SAY THAT HE SHOULD BE GIVEN THE DEATH

2131

102.1

PENALTY. 1 MR. WAPNER: WHAT I AM SAYING IS, ONCE YOU KNOW THAT 2 THERE WAS AN INTENTIONAL MURDER DURING A ROBBERY, IS YOUR 3 MIND CLOSED TO ANYTHING ELSE OTHER THAN THE DEATH PENALTY 4 OR ANYTHING FLSE OTHER THAN LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? 5 IN OTHER WORDS, WILL YOU LISTEN TO THE SECOND 6 PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 7 MS. SHELBY: SURE. YES. I WOULD NOT JUST SAY THAT 8 THE JURY FOUND HIM GUILTY OF THIS AND HE SHOULD AUTOMATICALLY 9 GET THE DEATH PENALTY. I WOULD WANT TO LISTEN TO THE OTHER 10 11 PART. MR. WAPNER: THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT I WAS ASKING YOU. 12 MS. SHELBY: OKAY. 13 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 14 MR. WAPNER: NO, NOTHING FURTHER. 15 THE COURT: DO YOU PASS FOR CAUSE? 16 MR. WAPNER: YES. 17 THE COURT: YOU QUALIFY FOR BEING A JUROR ON THIS CASE. 18 SO. YOU WILL BE WITH A NUMBER OF OTHERS WHO WILL BE ACCEPTABLE 19 AS A TRIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE. 20 WHAT I WILL ASK YOU TO DO, UNTIL WE FINISH UP 21 WITH THE OTHERS WHICH WILL PROBABLY BE TOMORROW IS THAT ON 22 THURSDAY, YOU WILL ALL BE HERE TO START THE TRIAL. WE WILL 23 24 REALLY START PICKING THE JURY AND EVERYTHING ELSE. SO WHAT I WILL ASK YOU TO DO IS, COME BACK INTO THE JURY ASSEMBLY 25 ROOM ON THURSDAY. THAT IS DECEMBER THE 4TH AT 10:30 A.M. 26 OKAY? 27 28 MS. SHELBY: YES.

	1	THE COURT: MAKE A MENTAL NOTE OF IT AT 10:30 A.M. ON
	2	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 4TH IN THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM. SEE YOU
	3	THEN.
	4	MS. SHELBY: OKAY. THANK YOU.
	5	THE COURT: OKAY. WE WILL NOW RECESS.
	6	(RECESS.)
≓0	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
;	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
l,	27	
	28	

1	(WHEREUPON THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
2	HELD IN CHAMBERS.)
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THE RECORD WILL INDICATE THAT
4	COUNSEL AND THE DEFENDANT ARE IN CHAMBERS WITH THE COURT.
5	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, WITH RESPECT TO MR. HUNT'S
6	INQUIRY OF THIS MORNING ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE GAG ORDER
7	SHOULD INCLUDE A DISCUSSION OF THE INFORMATION WITH HIS
8	ATTORNEY, PARKER KELLY, WHO REPRESENTS HIM IN THE SAN MATEO
9	ACTION, I HAVE SPOKEN WITH JOHN VANCE, THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY
10	GENERAL PROSECUTING THAT CASE. HE INDICATES TO ME THAT THEY
11	INTEND TO MAKE A DISCLOSURE REGARDING THIS ON FRIDAY, WHICH
12	IS WHEN THEY HAVE AN APPEARANCE IN THAT CASE, AND SO I WOULD
13	ASK YOU TO ORDER MR. HUNT NOT TO DISCLOSE IT TO MR. KELLY
14	UNTIL THAT TIME BECAUSE AT THAT TIME, MR. KELLY THEN COULD
15	BE SUBJECT TO A GAG ORDER, IF ANY, IMPOSED BY THE JUDGE IN
16	THAT COURT.
17	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT?
18	MR. CHIER: COULD I CONFER WITH MY CLIENT? I DON'T
19	KNOW AT THIS POINT, JUDGE, WHAT IS GOING ON WITH MR. HUNT AND
20	HIS ATTORNEY IN THAT CASE BUT
21	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO FLY UP THERE TO FIND OUT FIRST?
22	MR. CHIER: NO. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO TALK TO MR. HUNT,
23	YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
25	(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. CHIER
26	AND THE DEFENDANT.)
27	MR. CHIER: THERE SEEMS TO BE LIKE A MISUNDERSTANDING
28	HERE. IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING, YOUR HONOR JUST SPOKE TO

19-1

ſ

19-2

2135

ļ

1	MR. KELLY AND THE COURT
2	THE COURT: I TOLD MR. KELLY THAT AS FAR AS I AM
3	CONCERNED, AND THERE HAS BEEN NO OBJECTION FROM HIM, HE ASKED
4	ABOUT IT, THAT HE CAN TALK TO HIS LAWYER ABOUT WHAT WE
5	DISCUSSED HERE, PROVIDED HE KEPT IT SECRET AND QUIET AND DID
6	NOT DISCLOSE IT TO ANYBODY ELSE.
7	MR. CHIER: AND DID HE AGREE TO THAT?
8	THE COURT: YES.
9	MR. WAPNER: THAT IS FINE. I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT.
10	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE DON'T NEED ANYTHING MORE HERE.
11	WE WILL GO OUTSIDE.
12	MR. CHIER: THAT IS IT THEN?
13	THE COURT: YES.
14	WE ARE GOING TO HAVE, TOMORROW AFTERNOON WE ARE
15	GOING TO HAVE ABOUT 70 JURORS. WE WILL NEED MORE JURORS, AS
16	YOU KNOW, PROBABLY.
17	MR. CHIER: WE WILL NEED 66 JUST WITH THE PEREMPTORIES
18	AND THE ALTERNATES, 52 AND 4 FOR EACH SIDE, JUDGE:
19	THE COURT: FOR EACH WHAT?
20	MR. CHIER: HE HAS FOR TO FOUR PEREMPTORIES HE HAS FOUR AND
21	I HAVE FOUR PEREMPTORIES THE ALTERNATES AND THAT WILL BE
22	EIGHT. THAT WILL BE 60.
23	MR. WAPNER: PLUS THE ORIGINAL, YOU HAVE TO COUNT THE
24	ORIGINAL 12. I MEAN WE START WITH 12 AND WE HAVE
25	MR. CHIER: I WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT BODIES. WE HAVE
26	RIGHT NOW LESS THAN 60 BODIES, WHICH IS LESS THAN THE TOTAL
27	NUMBER OF JURORS THAT WE WOULD HAVE REPRESENTED IF EVERYBODY
28	USED EVERY PEREMPTORY THAT THEY HAD.

20+1

1	MR. WAPNER: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
2	MR. COURT: ALL RIGHT. I DON'T KNOW AND NOBODY CAN
3	PREDICT AT THIS TIME HOW MANY PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES ARE GOING
4	TO BE EXERCISED. SO, WE'LL HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE AT LEAST
5	60. THAT IS 52 PLUS 4 PLUS 4.
6	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I WAS TALKING WITH MR. CHIER
7	AND WE THOUGHT MAYBE IT WOULD BE BEST FOR THE COURT TO SEAT
8	SIX ALTERNATES RATHER THAN FOUR.
9	WE DON'T HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION ON IT RIGHT NOW.
10	BUT MAYBE WE CAN ALL GIVE SOME THOUGHT TO IT.
11	MR. CHIER: YES, THERE IS A CASE DOWNTOWN WHERE THEY
12	HAVE FOUR ALTERNATES AND THEY USED ALL OF THE ALTERNATES AND
13	THE LAST ALTERNATE WHO WAS ON THE JURY, FOUND OUT THAT HE WAS
14	NOT BEING PAID BY HIS EMPLOYER. IT WAS AN 18-MONTH CASE.
15	THIS IS NOT AN 18-MONTH CASE, JUDGE BUT WHO WOULD
16	EVER HAVE ANTICIPATED THAT FOUR ALTERNATES WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN
17	ENOUGH.
18	THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THAT FOR THREE MONTHS, FOUR
19	ALTERNATES WILL BE ENOUGH.
20	MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I JUST WANT TO
21	THE COURT: LET'S SEE HOW MANY PEREMPTORIES WILL BE
22	EXERCISED AND WE'LL SEE HOW MANY WE HAVE LEFT. WE WILL SEE
23	IF WE HAVE SUFFICIENT LEFT OVER FOR SIX ALTERNATES, YOU CAN
24	HAVE SIX.
25	MR. CHIER: THANK YOU. OKAY.
26	(IN CAMERA PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BUT
27	NOT TRANSCRIBED AT THE ORDER OF THE
28	COURT. NOTES SEALED.)
1	

2136-A

1	(THE PRIOR GAG ORDER HAVING BEEN
2	RECINDED, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
3	ARE NOW INCLUDED IN THE RECORD:)
4	
5	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
6	IN CHAMBERS WITH MR. WAPNER, MR. BARENS,
7	MR. CHIER AND THE DEFENDANT PRESENT:)
8	MR. CHIER: I JUST WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING. WHEN I CAME
9	INTO COURT THIS AFTERNOON, I SPOKE TO MR. BARENS BRIEFLY.
10	HE TOLD ME THAT THERE WAS SOME DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CASE AND
11	I SHOULD SPEAK TO MR. WAPNER BECAUSE THERE HAD BEEN ANOTHER
12	GAG ORDER.
13	MR. HUNT SUBSEQUENTLY TOLD ME THAT MR. WAPNER WAS
14	IN THE PROCESS OF FLESHING OUT MR. HUNT'S DESCRIPTION OF
15	WHAT HAD TAKEN PLACE.
16	AND I HAVE CERTAINLY NO OBJECTION TO SUBMITTING
17	TO A GAG ORDER. I WANT TO SAY HOWEVER, THAT LAST NIGHT MR.
18	BARENS, I UNDERSTAND, MENTIONED THIS COMMUNICATION THAT WE
19	RECEIVED LAST NIGHT.
20	THE COURT REPORTER: IS THIS A SEALED PROCEEDINGS?
21	MR. WAPNER: I THINK THAT IT PROBABLY SHOULD BE SEALED.
22	THE COURT: YES.
23	MR. CHIER: HAVING OBVIOUSLY NEVER GOTTEN I MEAN,
24	NEVER GOTTEN INTO THIS TYPE OF A SITUATION OR HAVING NEVER
25	RECEIVED THIS KIND OF COMMUNICATION, I DIDN'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT
26	TO DO. I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO. I CALLED MR. BRUNON, MR.
27	BRAD BRUNON WHO I CONFER WITH IN THESE MATTERS.
28	IT WAS HIS ADVICE AFTER DISCUSSING IT WITH ME, THAT

20B

¢

2136-B

WE SHOULD DISCLOSE IT. AND I THOUGHT WE SHOULD DISCLOSE IT IMMEDIATELY SO THAT WE WOULD NOT BE IN A POSITION OF OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE. THE COURT: DISCLOSE IT? MR. CHIER: TO THE COURT. THE COURT: IT HAS ALREADY BEEN DISCLOSED. MR. CHIER: I WANTED YOU TO KNOW THAT PRIOR TO HAVING ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THIS, I DID CONFER WITH ANOTHER ATTORNEY WHICH I DO IN CASES WHERE I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWERS. THIS IS WHAT WAS DECIDED. SO, TO THAT LIMITED EXTENT, THERE WAS DISCLOSURE BEFORE THE GAG ORDER. MR. WAPNER: I DON'T THINK IT IS A PROBLEM. OBVIOUSLY, THERE WAS NO GAG ORDER IN EFFECT. MR. CHIER: BUT I WANTED TO TELL YOU THAT IT HAPPENED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. (RECESS.)

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 2 OPEN COURT:) 3 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SILVERSTEIN ENTERS 4 THE COURTROOM.) THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. IS THAT MISS SILVERSTEIN? 5 6 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ OR HEARD ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT 7 THIS CASE, EXCEPT FOR THE FACT THAT IT IS PENDING HERE NOW? 8 9 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 10 THE COURT: WHAT HAVE YOU HEARD OR READ? 11 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I READ. THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU READ? WHICH PUBLICATION? 12 13 MS. SILVERSTEIN: IN THE TIMES. 14 THE COURT: A FEW WEEKS AGO? MS. SILVERSTEIN: A FEW WEEKS AGO. THERE WAS A STORY 15 16 ABOUT A CLUB. 17 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER? 18 MS. SILVERSTEIN: THERE WAS A CLUB CALLED THE BBC. 19 THE COURT: UH-HUH. MS. SILVERSTEIN: AND SOMETHING ABOUT FIVE MEN WHO WERE 20 21 MEMBERS OF THE CLUB. THIS IS WHAT I REMEMBER ABOUT IT. 22 AND THIS WAS IN 1984 OR SOMETHING. 23 THEN A MEMBER OF THE CLUB DISAPPEARED AND SOMETHING 24 ABOUT A --25 THE COURT: A MEMBER DISAPPEARED? 26 MS. SILVERSTEIN: A MEMBER OF THE CLUB DISAPPEARED AND 27 THERE WAS AN INVESTMENT THAT WAS MADE. 28 MR. HUNT SUPPOSEDLY WANTED SOME MONEY BACK ON THE

20 - 2

INVESTMENT THAT WAS MADE. THE MEMBER THAT DISAPPEARED -- THE MEMBER OF THE CLUB THAT DISAPPEARED, HIS BODY HAS NEVER BEEN FOUND. THAT IS WHAT I AM REMEMBERING, SOMETHING TO THIS EFFECT.

THE COURT: DO YOU THINK IT IS POSSIBLE FOR YOU TO PUT 1 THAT ALL OUT OF YOUR MIND AND JUST BE GUIDED BY THE EVIDENCE 2 WHICH WILL BE DISCLOSED IN THIS CASE? 3 OF COURSE, YOUR RECOLLECTION OF WHAT HAPPENED 4 IS FAULTY. 5 MS. SILVERSTEIN: IT IS SKETCHY, YEAH, I KNOW, I MUST 6 ADMIT. 7 THE COURT: IT IS FAULTY. 8 MS. SILVERSTEIN: PROBABLY, YEAH. 9 LIKE I SAID --10 THE COURT: YOU DO THAT, YOU WANT TO FORGET IT 11 COMPLETELY, IS THAT IT? 12 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YOU WANT ME TO FORGET IT, IS THAT 13 WHAT YOU SAID? 14 THE COURT: YOU WANT TO FORGET ABOUT IT AND JUST BE 15 GUIDED BY THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. 16 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 17 THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU KNOW MANY TIMES YOU READ THINGS 18 IN THE NEWSPAPER. THEY MAY NOT BE RIGHT. THEY MAY BE AFFECTED 19 BY THE VIEWPOINT OF SOMEBODY WHO IS WRITING IT AND IT IS FOR 20 THAT REASON, IF YOU ARE A JUROR ON THE CASE, YOU ARE TO LISTEN 21 TO THE EVIDENCE AND ONLY BE GUIDED BY THE EVIDENCE AND NOTHING 22 YOU MIGHT HAVE READ OR HEARD OR SEEN ABOUT IT, YOU WILL NOT 23 BE GUIDED BY THAT. 24 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: I AM ASKING YOU TO FORGET ABOUT IT COMPLETELY 26 BECAUSE YOUR MEMORY OF IT IS NOT RIGHT, OKAY? 27 MS. SILVERSTEIN: OKAY. 28

TO1-1

THE COURT: DID YOU DISCUSS IT IN ANY WAY WITH ANY OF 1 THE OTHER JURORS, PROSPECTIVE JURORS IN THIS CASE? 2 MS. SILVERSTEIN: WITH ONE GIRL, YES. 3 THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU SAY TO HER? AND WHO IS SHE? 4 MS. SILVERSTEIN: SOMETHING ABOUT THE BBC BECAUSE I 5 DO NOT REMEMBER IT IN GREAT DEPTH OR DETAIL SO THAT IS ALL 6 7 THAT STUCK IN MY MIND. 8 THE COURT: YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE JUROR 9 WHOM YOU TALKED TO? 10 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, NO. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AGAIN, YOU ARE WILLING TO FORGET EVERYTHING YOU HAVE READ AND JUST BE GUIDED, AS I SAID, 12 13 BY WHAT YOU HEARD HERE IN THIS CASE UNDER OATH? MS. SILVERSTEIN: ESPECIALLY SINCE IT IS INACCURATE, 14 15 YOU SAY. 16 THE COURT: YES, WHAT YOU TOLD ME SO FAR WAS INACCURATE, 17 PART OF IT, AT ANY RATE. 18 ALL RIGHT, LET ME AGAIN BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE 19 NATURE OF THE CASE WE ARE CONSIDERING. 20 THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE IS CHARGED WITH THE 21 COMMISSION OF THE CRIME OF MURDER, FIRST DEGREE MURDER, AND 22 THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 23 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS SOME GREAT 24 SIGNIFICANCE BECAUSE THE LEGISLATURE HAS PICKED OUT CERTAIN 25 TYPES OF MURDERS UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE DEATH 26 PENALTY CAN BE REQUESTED OR CONSIDERED IN THAT PARTICULAR 27 TYPE OF CASE; DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 28 NOW, THE VARIOUS TYPES OF CASES, I WILL GIVE YOU

SOME EXAMPLES OF THOSE: A FIRST DEGREE MURDER COMMITTED IN 1 THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, WHICH WAS THIS CASE, OR A FIRST DEGREE 2 MURDER COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A BURGLARY OR A RAPE OR 3 WHERE A CHILD IS MOLESTED AND DIES OR IS KILLED OR IN THE 4 CASE OF MULTIPLE MURDERS OR IN THE CASE OF MURDER BY TORTURE; 5 THERE ARE 19 SUCH SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, WE CALL THEM, WHERE 6 THE LEGISLATURE SAYS THAT THAT PARTICULAR TYPE OF MURDER 7 OUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. 8

9 AND SINCE THIS IS ONE OF THE MURDERS THAT ALLEGEDLY
10 QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY, ASSUMING IT IS PROVED, WE
11 ARE INQUIRING NOW -- WE ARE GOING TO INQUIRE AS TO YOUR
12 ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE DEATH PENALTY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
13 MS. SILVERSTEIN: UH-HUH.

14 THE COURT: WHEN I TALK ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, THEY
15 WILL CONSIDER ONE OF TWO THINGS: WHETHER THE PENALTY SHOULD
16 BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR
17 ACTUAL DEATH; DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

(PROSPECTIVE JUROR SILVERSTEIN NODS HER HEAD UP AND DOWN.)

20 THE COURT: SO THE FIRST THING THAT THE JURORS WILL
21 BE CALLED UPON TO DECIDE FIRST IS WHAT WE CALL THE GUILT PHASE:
22 IS THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST
23 DEGREE.

24 IF HE IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE,
25 THEN THE JURY HAS TO DECIDE A COLLATERAL QUESTION: WAS THAT
26 MURDER COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY?

21A FO

27

28

18

1 NOW WE FINISH THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL. DURING 2 THE FIRST PHASE OF THE TRIAL THE QUESTION OF PENALTY OR 3 PUNISHMENT IS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED OR EVEN THOUGHT ABOUT; IS 4 THAT CLEAR? 5 IT IS ONLY ON THE SECOND PHASE, WHICH WE CALL THE 6 PENALTY PHASE, THAT THE JURORS, THE SAME JURY THEN LISTENS 7 TO THE EVIDENCE, MORE EVIDENCE ON BOTH SIDES. THE DEFENDANT'S 8 EVIDENCE WILL BE ALL OF THE THINGS ABOUT HIM WHICH ARE FAVORABLE. 9 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WILL SHOW ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ABOUT HIM 10 WHICH IS UNFAVORABLE, THAT HE IS A BAD MAN, AND SO ON. THE 11 DEFENDANT WILL ATTEMPT TO SHOW HE LED A CLEAN LIFE, IT IS A 12 GOOD LIFE HE HAS HAD, ANYTHING ABOUT HIS HISTORY AND HIS BACK-13 GROUND AND EVERYTHING THAT WILL BE FAVORABLE TO HIM WILL BE 14 PRESENTED BEFORE THE JURY AND EVERYTHING UNFAVORABLE WILL BE 15 PRESENTED BY THE PROSECUTION. 16 SO WE CALL WHAT THE DEFENDANT PRESENTS ON HIS OWN 17 BEHALF AS MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES. WHAT THE PROSECUTION 18 PRESENTS AGAINST HIM IS WHAT WE CALL AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES. 19 SO THAT THE JURY ON THE SECOND PHASE IN CONSIDER-20 ATION OF PENALTY, AS I TOLD YOU, CONSIDERS NOT ONLY ALL OF 21 THE FACTS THAT WE HEARD ON THE GUILT PHASE, ALL OF THE THINGS 22 YOU HEARD ABOUT. THEY WILL ALSO CONSIDER THESE OTHER THINGS 23 THAT I SPOKE ABOUT: HIS CHARACTER, HIS BACKGROUND, HIS 24 EDUCATION, HIS MEDICAL AND HIS PHYSICAL AND MENTAL CONDITION 25 AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. 26 EVERYTHING THAT IS FAVORABLE TO HIM, HE WILL PRESENT 27 IT IN HIS FAVOR AND THE PROSECUTION THE OTHER, AND THE JURY 28 WILL THEN DECIDE ONE OF THESE TWO THINGS: LIFE IMPRISONMENT

21A-2

1 WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH. THAT IS KNOWN 2 AS THE DEATH PENALTY ASPECT OF IT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND, OKAY? 3 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I AM GOING TO ASK YOU A SERIES 5 OF QUESTIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPLORING YOUR ATTITUDE 6 TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY, AS WILL COUNSEL. 7 DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY 8 THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS 9 TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? 10 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 11 THE COURT: ALSO, STILL ON THAT SAME GUILT PHASE OF IT, 12 DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD 13 PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION CONCERNING THE 14 TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES? 15 I TOLD YOU IF THEY FIND HIM GUILTY OF MURDER IN 16 THE FRIST DEGREE, THEN THEY DECIDE WHETHER THE SPECIAL 17 CIRCUMSTANCE IS PRESENT, WAS IT COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF 18 A ROBBERY. THE JURY MAKES A FINDING TRUE OR FALSE. DO YOU 19 UNDERSTAND? 20 NOW MY QUESTION IS: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION 21 REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING 22 AN IMPARTIAL DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE 23 SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE? 24 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I HAVE NO OPINION ON IT. 25 THE COURT: YOU MEAN IT WOULDN'T AFFECT YOU? 26 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I MEAN IT WOULDN'T AFFECT ME. 27 THE COURT: THAT IS WHATEVER YOUR OPINION IS ON THE 28 DEATH PENALTY?

21A-3

1	NOW, THESE TWO QUESTIONS PERTAIN TO THE PENALTY
2	PHASE OF THE TRIAL AFTER YOU HAVE DECIDED THAT THE DEFENDANT
3	IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS COMMITTED
4	IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. NOW THE FIRST QUESTION ON THAT
5	SUBJECT: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH
6	PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE THE DEATH
7	PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT
8	THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
9	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO.
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THE NEXT QUESTION IS THE SAME
11	EXCEPT IT APPLIES TO LIFE IMPRISONMENT: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN
12	OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD
13	AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY
14	OF PAROLE REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED
15	AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
16	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO.
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THE LAST QUESTION IS: YOU
18	UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT
19	BE CONSIDERED IN THIS CASE AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN
20	ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT YOU REACH THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
21	MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES.
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.
23	MR. CHIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	MISS SILVERSTEIN, MY NAME IS RICHARD CHIER. I
25	AM THE ATTORNEY FOR JOE HUNT HERE AND THIS PROCEEDING IS IN
26	AID OF A SEARCH FOR 12 NEUTRAL JURORS.
27	THE JUDGE HAS ASKED YOU SOME QUESTIONS TO DETERMINE
28	WHETHER THE WORDS "DEATH PENALTY" IS SOME KIND OF BUZZ WORD

WHERE IT EITHER TRIGGERS STRONG FEELINGS PRO DEATH OR STRONG 1 2 EFFLINGS ANTI-DEATH PENALTY. 3 I HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS THAT ARE ALONG THE SAME LINES BUT ARE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT IN DEGREE, WHEREAS 4 5 THERE MAY NOT BE AUTOMATIC RESPONSES TO THIS, THERE MAY BE SOME STRONG BIASES. 6 7 THE QUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU ARE NOT TO 8 TRICK YOU. THERE IS NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWER AND THERE IS 9 NO GOOD OR BAD ANSWER. IT IS NOT TO JUDGE YOU AS A PERSON. 10 IT IS ONLY TO DETERMINE WHETHER IN THE LIMITED CONTEXT OF THIS 11 CASE, YOU ARE A PERSON WHO IS QUALIFIED TO BE A JUROR OR NOT 12 AND THE ONLY THING THAT WOULD DISQUALIFY YOU ARE STRONG BIASES 13 FOR OR AGAINST THIS PARTICULAR SUBJECT MATTER. 14 AND SO ALLOW ME THEN TO ASK YOU THESE QUESTIONS 15 BEGINNING WITH SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PUBLICITY THAT YOU 16 HAVE BEEN EXPOSED TO IN THIS CASE. ARE YOU A SUBSCRIBER TO THE LOS ANGELES TIMES? 17 18 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 19 MR. CHIER: DO YOU REGULARLY GET THE SUNDAY PAPER? 20 MS. SILVERSTEIN: THE SUNDAY PAPER AS A RULE. YES.

21 MR. CHIER: DO YOU REMEMBER THAT ARTICLE, WAS THAT 22 IN A SUNDAY PAPER?

23 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES, IT WOULD BE THE SUNDAY PAPER I
 24 GET, YES.

25 MR. CHIER: DID YOU HAPPEN TO BUY THE ARTICLE -- I MEAN
26 THE ISSUE RANDOMLY OR DID SOMEBODY MENTION TO YOU THIS
27 PARTICULAR ARTICLE IN THE PAPER?

28

MS. SILVERSTEIN: I READ THE METRO SECTION, THE VIEW

1	SECTION AND THERE ARE CERTAIN SECTIONS I READ SO THAT IS WHAT
2	I READ, SO IT HAD TO BE IN ONE OF THOSE SECTIONS.
3	MR. CHIER: CRIMINAL LAWYERS, PROSECUTORS AND DEFENSE
4	ATTORNEYS ALWAYS TURN TO THE METRO SECTION TO SEE WHAT OUR
5	FRIENDS ARE DOING BUT
6	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I READ THE OBITUARIES, TOO, AND THE
7	METRO SECTION, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU.
8	MR. CHIER: LATELY, SOME OF MY FRIENDS APPEAR THERE,
9	тоо.
10	SO IT WAS JUST BASICALLY FORTUITOUS THAT YOU READ
11	THE PARTICULAR ISSUE WITH THAT PARTICULAR ARTICLE?
12	MS. SILVERSTEIN: IT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE FRONT PAGE OF
13	THE METRO BECAUSE I DON'T READ VERY MUCH OF IT.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ſ

: i

2146

i.

I

22-1	1	MR. CHIER: YOU DON'T ALWAYS READ THE SUNDAY EDITION,
	2	RIGHT?
	3	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. DO I ALWAYS READ IT?
	4	MR. CHIER: DO YOU ALWAYS READ IT?
	5	THE COURT: LET'S GET ON TO SOMETHING ELSE, WILL YOU
	6	PLEASE?
	7	MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU REMEMBER ANY OTHER
	8	DETAILS OR HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE ENTIRE ARTICLE?
	9	MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. IT WAS A LENGTHY ARTICLE. I
	10	READ THE ENTIRE ARTICLE.
	11	MR. CHIER: WERE THERE SOME OTHER THINGS MENTIONED IN
	12	THE ARTICLE THAT YOU HAVE NOT YOU KNOW, MENTIONED OR TOUCHED
	13	UPON TODAY? DO YOU REMEMBER THINGS OTHER THAN THE THINGS
ì	14	THAT YOU MENTIONED TODAY?
·	15	MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES I DO REMEMBER. BUT I THOUGHT
	16	THAT I DIDN'T THINK MR. HUNT WAS INVOLVED IN IT. I DON'T
	17	KNOW.
	18	MR. CHIER: WELL, I WILL TELL YOU WHAT
	19	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I REMEMBER THINGS ABOUT THE ARTICLE,
	20	THOUGH.
	21	MR. CHIER: IF YOU WOULD BE SO KIND AS TO JUST DISCLOSE
	22	EVERYTHING THAT YOU REMEMBER, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT IS
	23	CORRECT OR INCORRECT OR
	24	MS. SILVERSTEIN: BUT THE JUDGE TOLD ME TO FORGET ABOUT
	25	IT. YOU KNOW, IT WAS INACCURATE AND I SHOULD JUST DISMISS
	26	IT.
	27	THE COURT: HE WANTS TO KNOW WHAT ELSE YOU REMEMBER
	28	ABOUT IT, WHICH MAY

	2148
2 1	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I READ THAT THERE WAS AN IRANIAN THAT
2	WAS A MEMBER OF THIS CLUB, ONE OF THE FIVE IN THE CLUB.
3	MR. CHIER: UH-HUH?
4	MS. SILVERSTEIN: THERE WAS AN IRANIAN BOY WHO THEY
5	PLANNED TO KIDNAP WHEN I SAY "THEY" I DON'T REMEMBER WHO,
6	WHETHER ALL FIVE OF THEM OR WHETHER ONE OF OR WHETHER JUST
7	THE SON KIDNAPPED A DOCTOR, HIS FATHER.
8	I REMEMBER THAT THERE WAS A KIDNAPPING AND IN
9	THE PROCESS, THE FATHER DIED. HE WAS THROWN OVER SOMEWHERE
10	IN THE LOS ANGELES NATIONAL FOREST.
11	I AM TELLING YOU WHAT I REMEMBER OUT OF THIS ENTIRE
12	ARTICLE NOW.
13	MR. CHIER: OKAY.
14	MS. SILVERSTEIN: THE LOS ANGELES NATIONAL FOREST. THAT
15	IS ALL I REMEMBER.
16	MR. CHIER: OKAY. OBVIOUSLY, PEOPLE WHO READ, COME
17	AWAY FROM THE THINGS THEY READ WITH CERTAIN IMPRESSIONS.
18	WHAT WAS YOUR OVERALL IMPRESSION ABOUT THE MATTER
19	WHICH YOU READ?
20	MS. SILVERSTEIN: IT WAS COMPLICATED. THAT IS ALL I
21	KEPT THINKING OF. IT WAS A COMPLICATED THING. THAT IS WHAT
22	I READ.
23	MR. CHIER: SO THE OVERRIDING IMPRESSION WAS THAT OF
24	COMPLEXITY?
25	MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES.
26	MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU FORM ANY PARTICULAR
27	OPINION ABOUT THAT, ABOUT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED AS JOE HUNT?
28	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO.

2148

22-2

MR. CHIER: DO ANY PARTICULAR WORDS COME TO MIND, 1 ADJECTIVES THAT YOU REMEMBER WOULD DESCRIBE HUNT? YOU KNOW, 2 WAS IT SMART, DUMB, RICH, POOR, EDUCATED, ILLITERATE, OR 3 ANYTHING LIKE THAT? 4 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, JUST A MEMBER OF THE BBC. IT 5 WAS EYE-CATCHING, THIS BBC THING. 6 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE THING IN THESE 7 SITUATIONS IS TO HAVE -- FOR PEOPLE HAVING READ AN ARTICLE 8 WHICH YOU UNDERSTAND IS NOT EVIDENCE --9 MS. SILVERSTEIN: AND ALSO INACCURATE, TOO. 10 THE COURT: YES IT WAS. 11 MR. CHIER: YOU UNDERSTAND IT IS NOT EVIDENCE? 12 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 13 MR. CHIER: IT IS NOT TESTED BY ANY LAWS OF EVIDENCE. IT 14 IS NOT TESTED BY ANYTHING. IT IS NOT TESTED IN ANY WAY. 15 SO THAT WHAT GETS INTO THE PAPER IS OFTEN JUST 16 RUMOR AND GOSSIP AND EXAGGERATION, RIGHT? DO YOU UNDERSTAND 17 THAT, I AM SURE YOU DO? 18 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 19 MR. CHIER: SO THAT IF YOU WERE ULTIMATELY SELECTED 20 AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND HAVING READ THE STUFF IN THE PAPER 21 WHICH IS PROBABLY NOT EVEN ADMISSIBLE, NECESSARILY, COULD 22 YOU PUT ASIDE AS THE JUDGE HAS ASKED YOU TO DO, YOUR 23 RECOLLECTION OF THE CASE FROM THE PAPER AND JUST TRY THE CASE 24 ON THE EVIDENCE? 25 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I THINK I COULD DO IT. 26 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. THERE IS NOTHING THAT SO LIVES 27 SO VIVIDLY IN YOUR MEMORY THAT WOULD MAKE IT DIFFICULT IN 28

YOUR JUDGMENT, I TAKE IT? 1 MS. SILVERSTEIN: ON THIS ARTICLE? 2 MR. CHIER: YES. 3 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 4 MR. CHIER: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. LET ME THEN MOVE ON TO 5 THE AREA OF THE DEATH PENALTY AND ASK YOU HOW YOU WOULD ANSWER 6 THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: I AM A PERSON WHO IS A, STRONGLY 7 IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; B, SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR OF THE 8 DEATH PENALTY; C, OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY; D, HAVE NOT 9 REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT; E, OTHER. 10 MS. SILVERSTEIN: WELL, THERE ARE TWO ANSWERS. I HAVE 11 NOT REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT AND I AM SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR OF 12 THE DEATH PENALTY, I GUESS. 13 MR. CHIER: SO IT IS --14 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NOT SOMETHING I THINK ABOUT. 15 MR. CHIER: SO WE KNOW THAT YOU ARE NOT OPPOSED TO THE 16 DEATH PENALTY, RIGHT? 17 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 18 MR. CHIER: OR ARE YOU ANY KIND OF A CRUSADER-TYPE PERSON? 19 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 20 MR. CHIER: NOW, YOU FALL IN THAT MIDDLE AREA OF PEOPLE 21 WHO THINK -- WOULD YOU SAY THAT IS CORRECT? THAT IS, THE 22 MIDDLE AREA OF PEOPLE WHO THINK THAT THE DEATH PENALTY IS 23 A NECESSARY PUNISHMENT TO HAVE IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES? 24 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 25 MR. CHIER: OKAY. WELL, I MEAN GENERALLY WITHOUT 25 OVER-GENERALIZING, THAT SOMEWHAT SUMS IT UP FOR YOU? 27 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 28

MR. CHIER: YES? OKAY. DO YOU THINK THAT -- DO YOU 1 UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS NO CRIME IN CALIFORNIA FOR WHICH 2 THERE IS A MANDATORY DEATH PENALTY? 3 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I DIDN'T KNOW THAT. 4 MR. CHIER: OKAY. IF THE COURT TELLS YOU THAT OR I 5 TELL YOU THAT, WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE COURT, THAT THERE 6 IS NO MANDATORY DEATH PENALTY IN CALIFORNIA, WOULD YOU ACCEPT 7 THAT? 8 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 9 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. NOW, MS. SILVERSTEIN, DO YOU 10 UNDERSTAND THAT THE DEATH PENALTY -- THAT ALL CRIMES IN 11 CALIFORNIA PUNISHABLE BY THE DEATH PENALTY ARE ALSO PUNISHABLE 12 BY LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? 13 MS. SILVERSTEIN: ARE ALSO PUNISHABLE, YOU SAY? 14 MR. CHIER: YES. 15 THE COURT: WELL, THE ALTERNATIVE IS ONE OF TWO. IT 16 ISN'T BOTH, OBVIOUSLY. 17 MS. SILVERSTEIN: RIGHT. IF HE IS NOT EXECUTED, SPENDS 18 THE REST OF HIS LIFE IN JAIL. 19 MR. CHIER: RIGHT. IT IS ONE OR THE OTHER. 20 MR. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 21 MR. CHIER: OKAY. THE TERM OR EXPRESSION "LIFE WITHOUT 22 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE" IS TROUBLESOME TO SOME BECAUSE THERE 23 ARE THOSE WHO THINK THAT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE 24 25 REALLY DOESN'T MEAN THAT IT IS -- SORRY, THEY THINK THERE IS ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY. 26 27 DO YOU HAVE ANY BELIEF ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? DO YOU BELIEVE THAT LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE MEANS 28

1	JUST THAT?
2	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS SUCH A THING.
3	I BELIEVE THAT LAWS CAN BE CHANGED AND EVENTUALLY, IF YOU
4	GET A SENTENCE
5	THE COURT: WELL, AT THE PRESENT TIME THE LAW IS THAT
6	IF A MAN IS SENTENCED TO LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE,
7	THERE IS NO POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. I AM TELLING YOU NOW THAT
8	THAT IS WHAT IT IS. THERE IS SUCH A THING.
9	MS. SILVERSTEIN: IS THERE A QUESTION HERE?
10	MR. CHIER: NO.
11	THE COURT: IT IS GERMINATING.
12	MS. SILVERSTEIN: OKAY. SORRY.
13	MR. CHIER: DO YOU THINK THAT THE DEATH PENALTY STRIKE
14	THAT.
15	DO YOU THINK YOU COULD BE THE KIND OF NEUTRAL
16	AND IMPARTIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE THAT WE NEED, MS. SILVERSTEIN?
17	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO.
18	MR. CHIER: NO?
19	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

i.

Г

23 FO

23-1

}

1	THE COURT: WHY NOT?
2	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I HAD A PROFESSIONAL DEALING WITH MR.
3	CHIER ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO.
4	THE COURT: YOU FORMED SUCH AN IMPRESSION OF HIM THAT
5	YOU DON'T FEEL YOU WANT TO BE A JUROR ON THIS CASE?
6	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I WANT TO DO YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T
7	HAD ANY OPINION, YOU KNOW, IT IS NOT GOOD OR BAD. IT IS NOT
8	FOR OR AGAINST.
9	IT IS JUST MR. HUNT, HE IS THE MAN ON TRIAL, NOT
10	MR. CHIER. BUT HE
11	THE COURT: MR. HUNT IS ALSO REPRESENTED BY MR. BARENS,
12	WHO WILL PROBABLY HAVE THE MAJOR PART IN THIS TRIAL.
13	MS. SILVERSTEIN: THAT IS WHY, YOU KNOW, LIKE I SAID
14	THAT IS WHY I DON'T FEEL I WOULD BE PREJUDICED BY YOU.
15	THE COURT: YOU KNOW MISS SILVERSTEIN?
16	MR. CHIER: I AM TRYING TO RECALL HER.
17	MS. SILVERSTEIN: MRS. SANDERS AND MYSELF
18	MR. CHIER: SISTERS?
19	MS. SILVERSTEIN: RIGHT, RIGHT.
20	MR. CHIER: OH, YES.
21	YOU HAVE LOST A LOT OF WEIGHT.
22	MS. SILVERSTEIN: EVERYBODY SAYS THAT BUT I THINK I JUST,
23	YOU KNOW, BLOSSOMED, GREW UP.
24	MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?
25	THE COURT: DO YOU THINK BY REASON OF YOUR ASSOCIATION
26	OR PAST ASSOCIATION WITH MR. CHIER THAT YOU CANNOT BE A FAIR
27	AND IMPARTIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE?
28	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I THINK I CAN BE A FAIR PERSON. I

AM MADE LIKE THAT, YOU KNOW. 1 2 THE COURT: WHEN THE OUESTION WAS ASKED OF YOU, YOU 3 SAID NO BECAUSE OF WHAT YOU KNOW OF MR. CHIER. MS. SILVERSTEIN: I THINK I WANTED MR. CHIER TO KNOW 4 5 HE KNOWS ME. 6 I DIDN'T WANT IT TO COME OUT WHEN YOU WERE A 7 HUNDRED DAYS INTO THE TRIAL. THE COURT: WELL, NOW IT HAS COME OUT THAT YOU KNOW HIM 8 9 AND HE DOES KNOW YOU --10 MS. SILVERSTEIN: AND THAT IS IT. 11 THE COURT: -- WOULD THAT AFFECT YOU IN BEING AN 12 IMPARTIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE? 13 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, I DON'T THINK SO. THE COURT: WELL, ANYTHING YOU KNOW ABOUT HIM, WHETHER 14 15 GOOD OR BAD, THAT WOULDN'T INTERFERE WITH YOUR BEING A FAIR 16 AND IMPARTIAL JUROR, WOULD IT? MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, NOT AT THIS TIME, NO SIR. 17 18 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH COUNSEL? 19 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.) 20 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. 21 MR. CHIER: I AM GOING TO PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. WAPNER. 23 MR. WAPNER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MRS. SILVERSTEIN. I AM 24 FRED WAPNER, THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WHO IS PROSECUTING 25 THIS CASE. 26 CAN YOU GIVE ME A LITTLE BACKGROUND, IF POSSIBLE, 27 ABOUT YOUR ASSOCIATION WITH MR. CHIER SO I AM NOT COMPLETELY 28 IN THE DARK ABOUT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT?

23-2

)

23-3

MR. CHIER: I THINK THAT IT IS PROBABLY PROPER IN ANOTHER 1 2 TYPE OF VOIR DIRE RATHER THAN HERE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK HER THAT ON ANOTHER OCCASION, 3 CAN'T YOU? THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH HER ATTITUDE TOWARD 4 5 THE DEATH PENALTY. MR. WAPNER: THAT IS TRUE, WE COULD, AND MR. CHIER MIGHT 6 TECHNICALLY BE RIGHT ABOUT THAT, IT MIGHT BE MORE PROPER TO 7 BE BROUGHT UP ON GENERAL VOIR DIRE BUT ON THE OTHER HAND, SHE 8 9 HAS MENTIONED IT NOW. THE COURT: SHE MENTIONED IT ONLY BECAUSE SHE WANTED 10 US TO KNOW THAT SHE HAD SOME PRIOR ASSOCIATION WITH HIM. 11 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT BUT WHAT I AM 12 SUGGESTING IS, SINCE WE ARE NOW IN A SETTING WHERE THERE ARE 13 TWO OTHER PEOPLE IN THE COURTROOM INSTEAD OF 60 POSSIBLE 14 JURORS, THAT THIS MIGHT BE A BETTER POTENTIAL SETTING FOR 15 16 DISCUSSING THIS MATTER THAN WITH ALL OF THE OTHER JURORS 17 HERE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL PERMIT THAT. 18 ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL PROBABLY BE BETTER. GO AHEAD. 19 MR. WAPNER: CAN YOU GIVE ME A LITTLE BACKGROUND ABOUT 20 THE NATURE OF THAT ASSOCIATION SO I MIGHT BETTER UNDERSTAND 21 22 HOW IT MIGHT AFFECT YOU IN THIS CASE? MS. SILVERSTEIN: WELL, MR. CHIER DEFENDED MY SISTER 23 AND I IN A PROFESSIONAL MATTER ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO. 24 25 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF MATTER? 26 MS. SILVERSTEIN: FALSE ARREST. 27 THE COURT: HE DEFENDED YOU AND YOUR SISTER, YOU WERE 28 CHARGED WITH FALSE ARREST?

23-4

1	MS. SILVERSTEIN: WE CHARGED SOMEONE WITH FALSE ARREST AND
2	HE REPRESENTED US, HIS OFFICE REPRESENTED US.
3	MR. CHIER: ACTUALLY, THAT IS NOT CORRECT. I THINK IT
4	WAS IRVING GREEN'S OFFICE THAT REPRESENTED THEM.
5	MS. SILVERSTEIN: OH, YES, OR MR. GREEN'S OFFICE,
6	WHATEVER IT WAS, YOU KNOW.
7	THE COURT: IT WASN'T PERSONAL INJURY, WAS IT?
8	MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
10	MR. WAPNER: WAS MR. CHIER ACTUALLY REPRESENTING YOU
11	IN THE COURTROOM?
12	MS. SILVERSTEIN: HE IS RIGHT. I DON'T REMEMBER IT,
13	YOU KNOW, THAT MUCH.
14	IN FACT, I LEFT. I REMEMBER THIS IS LIKE
15	I WAS TOO BUSY LEAVING AND GOING TO CHICAGO AT THAT TIME, IT
16	WAS LIKE ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO.
17	MR. WAPNER: IF THE JUDGE ASKED YOU, I MUST HAVE MISSED
18	IT: DID HE ASK YOU WHAT PART OF TOWN IN WHICH YOU LIVE?
19	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, HE DID NOT ASK ME.
20	THE COURT: I MEANT TO ASK YOU.
21	MS. SILVERSTEIN: I LIVE IN ENCINO.
22	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
23	YOU MADE THE STATEMENT REGARDING PART OF THE L.A.
24	TIMES ARTICLE, THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK MR. HUNT WAS INVOLVED
25	IN IT; CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO ME?
26	MS. SILVERSTEIN: IN OTHER WORDS, IT WAS, AS I SAID,
27	IT WAS A COMPLICATED CASE IN THE NEWSPAPER, OR THE ARTICLE
28	WAS COMPLICATED, I THOUGHT, AND IT FIRST STARTED OFF WITH THE
ļ	

1	BBC AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN BROUGHT IN AN IRANIAN DOCTOR.
2	IT WAS A LONG ARTICLE. LIKE I SAY, IT GOT A LITTLE
3	COMPLICATED SO I DIDN'T I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY WERE WRITING
4	ABOUT, WHETHER THEY WERE WRITING ABOUT A CLUB OF MR. HUNT'S,
5	AN IRANIAN DOCTOR THAT DIED IN A TRUNK, THAT IS HOW IT AFFECTED
6	ME.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 07	
27	
28	
1	

 $23 \Delta - 1$ MR. WAPNER: DO YOU REMEMBER ANY MENTION OF A PERSON 1 IN THAT ARTICLE NAMED RON LEVIN? 2 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I THINK HE WAS THE MAN THAT DISAPPEARED, 3 THAT WAS THE NAME. 4 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU RECALL FORMING ANY OPINION OF HIM 5 AS A RESULT OF READING THE ARTICLE? 6 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 7 MR. WAPNER: NOT GOOD OR BAD? 8 MS. SILVERSTEIN: RIGHT. 9 I MEAN IT WAS JUST ONE OF THOSE THINGS, I READ 10 SOMETHING AND THAT IS IT. 11 NO, I DIDN'T FORM ANY OPINIONS ABOUT HIM. 12 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. HAD YOU GIVEN ANY THOUGHT TO 13 THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY BEFORE YOU WERE ASKED TO SIT 14 AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE? 15 16 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY STRONGLY HELD RELIGIOUS, 17 MORAL OR PHILOSOPHICAL OPINIONS REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY? 18 19 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. MR. WAPNER: IF YOU WERE ON THE JURY AND YOU HEARD ALL 20 OF THE EVIDENCE AND YOU DECIDED THAT THE APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT 21 22 IN THIS CASE WAS DEATH, COULD YOU RENDER SUCH A VERDICT? 23 MS. SILVERSTEIN: IF THE EVIDENCE SHOWED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY --24 25 THE COURT: NO. ASSUMING THAT HE HAS ALREADY BEEN PROVEN GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS COMMITTED 26 27 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THEN YOU HEAR THE SECOND PHASE. 28 AFTER YOU HEAR ALL OF THAT EVIDENCE AND YOU CONSIDER THAT.

COULD YOU COME IN WITH A VERDICT OF DEATH IN THE GAS CHAMBER? 1 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES, YES. 2 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE -- WHETHER IT IS 3 EASY, THAT IS NOT THE QUESTION. 4 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 5 MR. WAPNER: BUT ARE YOU THE KIND OF PERSON WHO COULD 6 MAKE A DECISION OF THAT MAGNITUDE? 7 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I COULD MAKE A DECISION LIKE THAT 8 BUT IT WOULD BE AFTER A GREAT DEAL OF ANALYZING ANY EVIDENCE 9 OR WHATEVER IT IS. 10 YOU KNOW, HE IS PRONOUNCED GUILTY AND NOW I HAVE --11 IF AFTER SOUL-SEARCHING, I COULD BRING IN THAT VERDICT THOUGH. 12 MR. WAPNER: ON THE OTHER HAND, COULD YOU BRING IN A 13 VERDICT OF LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE 14 IF YOU FELT THAT WAS THE APPROPRIATE VERDICT? 15 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I THOUGHT THAT WAS, YES. 16 THE COURT: IT IS ONE OR THE OTHER. 17 MS. SILVERSTEIN: IT IS ONE OR THE OTHER, YEAH, RIGHT. 18 I KEEP GETTING THEM -- RIGHT, IT IS ONE OR THE OTHER. 19 MR. WAPNER: DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU WERE ON 20 THE JURY DURING THE GUILT PHASE, YOU CAN'T THINK ABOUT WHAT 21 THE PUNISHMENT COULD BE WHEN YOU ARE DECIDING WHETHER HE IS 22 GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY? 23 24 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. MR. WAPNER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT DURING THE GUILT 25 PHASE OF THE TRIAL, THE PROSECUTION IS REQUIRED TO PROVE THE 26 CASE TO YOU BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? 27 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 28

2 - 1 - 2

11

MR. WAPNER: BECAUSE THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT THE 1 DEATH PENALTY COULD BE INVOLVED SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE, WOULD 2 YOU HOLD THE PROSECUTION TO A STANDARD HIGHER THAN THAT OF 3 PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? 4 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 5 MR. WAPNER: WHY? 6 MS. SILVERSTEIN: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT, 7 YOU KNOW, ANYBODY IS GUILTY. 8 I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY. 9 THE COURT: NO, NO. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE FIRST 10 PHASE OF THE TRIAL, THE GUILT PHASE. YOU WILL BE INSTRUCTED 11 THAT THE GUILT OF THE DEFENDANT MUST BE PROVED BEYOND A 12 REASONABLE DOUBT AND THAT IS TRUE IN EVERY SINGLE KIND OF 13 A CRIMINAL CASE, EVEN SPITTING ON THE FLOOR, IF SOMEBODY IS 14 ACCUSED OF MESSING IT UP. EVERY CRIMINAL CASE HAS THE SAME 15 STANDARD, IT HAS TO BE PROVEN BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT; YOU 16 WILL FOLLOW THAT? 17 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 18 THE COURT: IT IS NOT BEYOND ALL DOUBT, MIND YOU. 19 MS. SILVERSTEIN: REASONABLE. 20 THE COURT: A REASONABLE DOUBT; YOU WILL FOLLOW THAT. 21 22 WILL YOU? MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 24 MR. WAPNER: MISS SILVERSTEIN, ARE YOU OF SUCH A MIND 25 26 THAT EVEN THOUGH THE JUDGE TOLD YOU THAT YOU HAVE TO USE THE 27 SAME STANDARD IN EACH CASE, THAT YOU WOULD USE IN YOUR OWN 28 MIND A HIGHER STANDARD BECAUSE YOU KNEW THAT THE DEATH PENALTY

	1	MIGHT BE LOOMING SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE?
	2	MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO, I DON'T THINK SO.
	3	NOW THE PROSECUTOR HAS TO GIVE CERTAIN EVIDENCE
	4	OR SHOW CERTAIN EVIDENCE AND I WOULD, YOU KNOW, MAKE MY
	5	DECISION BASED ON THAT EVIDENCE.
= 0	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
1	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

24 E(

24 - 1

MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU PUT OUT OF YOUR MIND THE IDEA 1 2 OF WHAT PUNISHMENT HE MIGHT GET WHEN YOU WERE MAKING YOUR DECISION ON THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE? 3 4 MS. SILVERSTEIN: YES. 5 MR. WAPNER: IN OTHER WORDS, I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO JUDGE THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. BUT, LET'S SAY IT CAME DOWN 6 TO, FOR YOU, A DECISION ABOUT WHETHER IT WAS FIRST DEGREE 7 MURDER OR WHETHER IT WAS SECOND DEGREE MURDER. COULD YOU 8 9 DECIDE THAT ON THE FACTS OR WOULD YOU BE THINKING WELL, MAYBE I SHOULD DECIDE IT IS SECOND DEGREE MURDER AND THAT WAY I WON'T 10 11 HAVE TO MAKE ANY DECISION ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY? 12 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. I JUST HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT 13 THE DIFFERENCE IS BETWEEN FIRST AND SECOND DEGREE. YOU WOULD 14 HAVE TO EXPLAIN IT TO ME. 15 MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE JUDGE WILL DO THAT AT THE END 16 OF THE CASE. THE COURT: WHAT HE MEANS IS, IF YOU HAVE DECIDED WHETHER 17 18 IT WAS FIRST OR SECOND DEGREE MURDER, IF IT IS SECOND DEGREE 19 MURDER, THEN THE QUESTION OF THE PENALTY DOESN'T BECOME 20 INVOLVED ANYMORE, THE IDEA OF THE DEATH PENALTY. 21 BECAUSE THAT IS A FACT, WOULD YOU BE INCLINED TO 22 VOTE FOR SECOND DEGREE MURDER BECAUSE YOU WOULD AVOID THE 23 QUESTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY? 24 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I THINK THAT IS ABOUT ENOUGH. 26 MR. WAPNER: NOW, DO YOU THINK THAT IN THIS CASE WHEN 27 YOU ARE DECIDING THE ISSUE OF GUILTY OR INNOCENCE, THAT YOU 28 SHOULD TAKE THAT DECISION MORE SERIOUSLY BECAUSE YOU KNOW THERE

MIGHT BE A DEATH PENALTY SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE? 1 MS. SILVERSTEIN: MORE SERIOUSLY THAN WHAT? 2 MR. WAPNER: THAN SOME OTHER CASE WHICH MIGHT NOT 3 INVOLVE THE DEATH PENALTY? 4 5 MS. SILVERSTEIN: NO. MR. WAPNER: PASS FOR CAUSE. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MISS SILVERSTEIN, YOU HAVE BEEN 7 QUALIFIED AS A POSSIBLE JUROR IN THIS CASE. BUT WHAT I WILL 8 ASK YOU TO DO IS REPORT TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM THIS WEEK 9 ON DECEMBER THE 4TH AT 10:30 A.M. COME TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY 10 ROOM. YOU WILL BE TOGETHER WITH ALL THE OTHER JURORS. 11 WE WILL GET YOU HERE. WE WILL SEE IF WE CAN'T 12 POSSIBLY START THE TRIAL. THAT IS DECEMBER 4TH AT 10:30. 13 IN THE MEANTIME, DO NOT TALK WITH ANYONE ABOUT THE CASE OR 14 READ ANYTHING ABOUT IT IF YOU CAN HELP IT. THANK YOU. 15 MS. SILVERSTEIN: THANK YOU. 16 17 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR SILVERSTEIN EXITS THE 18 COURTROOM.) (PROSPECTIVE JUROR MANFREDI ENTERS THE 19 20 COURTROOM.) THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MANFREDI. WHERE DO YOU 21 22 LIVE? MR. MANFREDI: I LIVE OVER BY THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT 23 24 IN THE WESTCHESTER AREA. 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL 26 ABOUT THIS CASE? 27 MR. MANFREDI: I DIDN'T READ ANYTHING ABOUT IT. THE COURT: ANY NEWSPAPERS OR PUBLICATIONS? 28

}

1	MR. MANFREDI: NO.
2	THE COURT: DID YOU DISCUSS IT WITH THE JURORS IN ANY
3	WAY?
4	MR. MANFREDI: NO.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT THE CASE
6	EXCEPT WHAT I TOLD ALL OF THE JURORS, IS THAT RIGHT?
7	MR. MANFREDI: RIGHT.
8	THE COURT: WHAT I WILL DO, IS BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE SOME
9	ASPECTS OF THE CASE AND THEN I WILL ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS
10	WHICH RELATE TO YOUR STATE OF MIND AND YOUR OPINION WITH
11	RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
12	MR. MANFREDI: UH-HUH.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU KNOW THAT THE DEFENDANT IN
14	THIS CASE IS CHARGED WITH THE COMMISSION OF A MURDER IN THE
15	FIRST DEGREE AND THAT THAT MURDER WAS COMMITTED DURING THE
16	COURSE OF A ROBBERY.
17	NOW, IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS CERTAIN
18	SIGNIFICANCE BECAUSE THAT QUALIFIES THIS CASE FOR A POSSIBLE
19	DEATH PENALTY.
20	THE DEATH PENALTY CONSISTS OF TWO THINGS. IT IS
21	ONE OF TWO THINGS. EITHER THE JURY DECIDES THAT IT SHOULD
22	BE LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH IN THE GAS
23	CHAMBER. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
24	MR. MANFREDI: UH-HUH.
25	THE COURT: IT IS ONE OF THOSE TWO POSSIBILITIES. ONE
26	OF THEM IS KNOWN AS THE DEATH PENALTY. NOW, THE LEGISLATURE
27	HAS SAID THAT NOT EVERY MURDER, IS THE DEATH PENALTY INVOLVED.
28	IN OTHER WORDS, SOMEBODY MIGHT PLAN TO DELIBERATELY MURDER,

r e

1	TO KILL SOMEBODY FOR WHATEVER REASON THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE.
2	DO YOU GET THE IDEA? JUST THAT ALONE DOESN'T
3	QUALIFY THE CASE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. THERE HAS TO BE SOME
4	CIRCUMSTANCES, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES CONNECTED WITH IT LIKE
5	IN THIS CASE.
6	THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE IS THAT IT WAS COMMITTED
7	IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. ANOTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE WOULD
8	BE IF IT WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A BURGLARY OR DURING
9	THE KIDNAPPING OR A RAPE OR A CHILD MOLESTATION WHERE THE
10	CHILD THEN DIES. THAT QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY OR
11	MULTIPLE MURDERS OR TORTURE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
12	NOW, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID IN THOSE PARTICULAR
13	CASES THERE ARE 19 OF THEM THE DEATH PENALTY MAY BE
14	CONSIDERED BY THE JURY IF REQUESTED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.
15	IN THIS PARTIUCLAR CASE, THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE
16	IS THE COMMISSION OF A ROBBERY, A MURDER DURING THE COMMISSION
17	OF A ROBBERY.
18	MR. MANFREDI: OKAY. UH-HUH.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE FIRST THING THAT THE
20	JURY HAS TO CONSIDER THAT IS ENTITLED IN THIS CASE THE
21	FIRST THING IS WHAT WE CALL THE GUILT PHASE, IS HE GUILTY OR
22	NOT GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE.
23	NOW, IF HE IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE,
24	IT DOESN'T STOP THERE. THE JURY THEN HAS TO DECIDE THE
25	QUESTION OF WHETHER IT IS TRUE OR FALSE THAT IT WAS COMMITTED
26	DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.
27	NOW, IN THE EVENT THAT THE JURY FINDS HIM GUILTY
28	OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND MAKES A FINDING OF SPECIAL

1 CIRCUMSTANCES. THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A 2 ROBBERY. THEN THE JURY GOES BACK IN THE JURY BOX AND THERE 3 IS ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE WHICH WILL BE INTRODUCED BOTH BY THE 4 DEFENSE AND BY THE PROSECUTION. 5 THE DEFENSE WILL INTRODUCE EVIDENCE TENDING TO 6 SHOW FAVORABLE ASPECTS ABOUT THE DEFENDANT, THINGS THAT ARE 7 NICE ABOUT HIM, GOOD ABOUT HIM AND HIS PAST. 8 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WILL SHOW THE OPPOSITE, THE 9 THINGS THAT HE HAD DONE IN THE PAST THAT WERE BAD, UNFAVORABLE 10 AND HE IS NOT SUCH A GOOD PERSON. 11 SO, ALL OF THOSE THINGS WILL BE INTRODUCED. 12 EVIDENCE WILL BE INTRODUCED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE CASE. 13 THE JURY OF COURSE. WILL CONSIDER ALL OF THE FACTS 14 THAT THEY HEARD ON THE GUILT PHASE, EVERYTHING ABOUT THE MURDER. 15 THEY WILL CONSIDER THAT. 16 BUT THEY WILL ALSO CONSIDER THINGS LIKE THE AGE 17 OF THE DEFENDANT AND HIS ABSENCE OF ANY CRIMINAL RECORD, HIS 18 HISTORY, HIS BACKGROUND, HIS CHARACTER, HIS MENTAL AND 19 PHYSICAL CONDITION AND THINGS THAT HAVE A BEARING OR ARE 20 FACTORS. THE JURY WOULD HAVE A RIGHT TO CONSIDER THAT. DO 21 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 22 MR. MANFREDI: YES. 23 THE COURT: NOW, HAVING ALL OF THAT IN MIND, WHAT YOU 24 MUST CONSIDER IN THE GUILT PHASE, YOU DON'T CONSIDER ANYTHING 25 ABOUT PENALTY. THAT IS NOT TO BE CONSIDERED. IT WAS DID HE 26 OR DID HE NOT COMMIT THE MURDER AND WAS IT UNDER SPECIAL 27 CIRCUMSTANCES OF ROBBERY. RIGHT? 28 THE SECOND PHASE IS WHERE YOU HEAR ALL OF THE NICE

1	AND BAD THINGS ABOUT HIM.
2	THEN THE JURORS MAKES UP THEIR MINDS IF IT SHOULD
3	BE LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR SHOULD IT BE DEATH.
4	DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES ARE?
5	MR. MANFREDI: YES.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
26	
20	
28	
20	

ſ

4B - 11 THE COURT: ARE YOU WILLING TO ACCEPT THAT RESPONSIBILITY? 2 MR. MANFREDI: WELL, IT DEPENDS UPON THE FACTS THAT 3 WERE SHOWN. 4 THE COURT: OF COURSE, YES, DO YOU ACCEPT THE 5 RESPONSIBILITY OF BEING A JUROR IN THIS CASE TO DECIDE ALL OF THESE THINGS? 6 7 MR. MANFREDI: YES. THAT IS WHAT I AM HERE FOR. 8 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. OKAY. NOW. WHAT I WANT TO 9 DO IS, EXPLORE YOUR MIND AND YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH 10 PENALTY. MY FIRST TWO QUESTIONS RELATE TO THE GUILT PHASE 11 OF THE TRIAL. 12 DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY 13 THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION AS 14 TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT? 15 MR. MANFREDI: NO, NOT AT THIS TIME. 16 THE COURT: WELL, AT THIS TIME WE ARE TRYING TO EXPLORE 17 YOUR ATTITUDE ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY. IT WOULDN'T INFLUENCE 18 YOU ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? 19 MR. MANEREDI: NO. 20 THE COURT: OKAY. THE NEXT ONE IS STILL ON THE GUILT 21 PHASE. AS I TOLD YOU, IF YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OF 22 MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THE JURY HAS TO DECIDE THE SPECIAL 23 CIRCUMSTANCES, WAS IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A FELONY, 24 TRUE OR FALSE. RIGHT? 25 DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY 26 THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL DECISION 27 REGARDING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES? 28 MR. MANFREDI: NO.

24R-2

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS
 PRESUPPOSE THAT THE JURORS HAVE FOUND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY
 OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE WITH SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES,
 COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. THIS NOW HAS TO
 DO WITH THE PENALTY PHASE. I TOLD YOU ABOUT THAT?

MR. MANFREDI: YES.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE
B DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE
THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAY
BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?

11

18

24

25

28

6

MR. MANFREDI: NO.

12 THE COURT: AND THE OTHER ONE IS THE SAME, EXCEPT THAT 13 IT HAS TO DO WITH LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. DO 14 YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT 15 YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY 16 OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED 17 AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL?

MR. MANFREDI: NO.

19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE LAST QUESTION IS, DO YOU
20 UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY
21 NOT TAKE PLACE IN THIS CASE AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS HAVE
22 BEEN ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH THAT PHASE OF
23 THE CASE? RIGHT?

MR. MANFREDI: THAT'S RIGHT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.

26 MR. CHIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. GOOD AFTERNOON,
27 MR. MANFREDI.

MR. MANFREDI: GOOD AFTERNOON.

+B - 3	1	MR. CHIER: MY NAME IS RICHARD CHIER. I REPRESENT JOE
	2	HUNT HERE, THE DEFENDANT.
	3	MR. MANFREDI: HOW DO YOU DO.
	4	MR. CHIER: AND WE HAVE NEVER MET BEFORE?
	5	MR. MANFREDI: NO.
	6	MR. CHIER: IT IS PECULIAR, NOT TO SAY AWKWARD, TO BE
	7	TALKING ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY FOR A FIRST CONVERSATION.
	8	I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT TO YOU SIR AND REMIND YOU THAT BECAUSE
	9	WE ARE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION NOW ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY,
	10	DOESN'T MEAN THAT MY CLIENT IS GUILTY OR ANYTHING. DO YOU
	11	UNDERSTAND THAT?
	12	MR. MANFREDI: THAT'S RIGHT.
	13	MR. CHIER: THIS IS JUST A NECESSARY PART OF THE PROCESS
i	14	IN SELECTING JURORS?
	15	MR. MANFREDI: YES.
	16	MR. CHIER: DO YOU THINK THAT YOU WOULD BE YOUR
	17	ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY IS EVEN ENOUGH SO THAT YOU
	18	WOULD BE A SUITABLE PERSON TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE?
	19	MR. MANFREDI: I BELIEVE SO. I BELIEVE THAT I COULD.
	20	MR. CHIER: PASS FOR CAUSE.
	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
E D	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

24B-3

25 80

MR. WAPNER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. MANFREDI, I AM FRED 1 WAPNER, THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTING THIS CASE. 2 MR. MANFREDI: HOW DO YOU DO? 3 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU GIVEN ANY THOUGHT TO THE QUESTION 4 OF THE DEATH PENALTY BEFORE YOU WERE ASKED TO SERVE ON THIS 5 JURY? 6 MR. MANFREDI: NO. 7 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU EVER REMEMBER THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH 8 PENALTY BEING ON THE BALLOT? 9 MR. MANFREDI: YES. 10 I AM A REGISTERED VOTER. IT WAS ON THE BALLOT. 11 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU REMEMBER HOW YOU VOTED? 12 MR. MANFREDI: NOT REALLY, NO, I DON'T REMEMBER. 13 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU REMEMBER ANY DISCUSSION DURING THE 14 LAST ELECTION ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY AS IT PERTAINED TO ANY 15 OF THE CANDIDATES THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN RUNNING? 16 MR. MANFREDI: NO. 17 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY STRONG FEELINGS PRO OR 18 CON ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY? 19 MR. MANFREDI: NO. 20 I SAW A TV PROGRAM THIS WEEK ON THE DEATH PENALTY 21 AND IT SEEMED TO ME THAT THERE WERE ARGUMENTS ON BOTH SIDES 22 AND NOTHING WAS RESOLVED. 23 MR. WAPNER: DID THEY HAVE A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 24 25 NARRATING PART OF THAT PROGRAM? THE COURT: WAS THAT ON CHANNEL 9? 26 MR. MANFREDI: YES. 27 NO, IT WAS -- THEY WERE SHOWING DIFFERENT CASES 28

1	THAT HAD COME UP AND HOW THEY WERE RESOLVED BUT THEY DIDN'T.
2	AND THEN THERE WERE LAWYERS TALKING FOR IT AND
3	AGAINST IT, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE AGAINST IT AND NOTHING WAS REALLY
4	RESOLVED, NO.
5	MR. WAPNER: HOW DID IT AFFECT YOU?
6	MR. MANFREDI: IT LEFT ME KIND OF A DOUBT AS TO THE
7	TRUE OUTCOME, DEPENDING ON WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE, YOU
8	KNOW.
9	MR. WAPNER: WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY A DOUBT AS
10	TO THE TRUE OUTCOME? I DIDN'T FOLLOW THAT.
11	MR. MANFREDI: THAT THE COURT DID ITS JOB AND THE CASE
12	WAS RESOLVED, YOU KNOW.
13	THE COURT: YOU MEAN IT WAS INCONCLUSIVE, IS THAT THE
14	IDEA?
15	MR. MANFREDI: SORT OF, SORT OF.
16	LIKE I SAY, THERE ARE ARGUMENTS ON BOTH SIDES
17	AND I DON'T KNOW, IT DEPENDS ON PARTICULAR CASES.
18	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND IF YOU ARE A JUROR ON
19	THIS CASE THAT THE DECISION ABOUT THE PUNISHMENT IS GOING
20	TO BE UP TO YOU AND NOBODY ELSE?
21	MR. MANFREDI: YES, I HAVE TO DECIDE ONE WAY OR THE
22	OTHER.
23	MR. WAPNER: AND IF THE EVIDENCE ON THE GUILT AND THE
24	PENALTY PHASES COMBINED IMPRESSES YOU THAT THE PROPER PUNISHMENT
25	SHOULD BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE,
26	ARE YOU CAPABLE OF RENDERING THAT VERDICT?
27	MR. MANFREDI: REPEAT THAT AGAIN.
28	MR. WAPNER: IF YOU ARE CHOSEN AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE

1

25-2

ſ

AND YOU LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ON THE GUILT PHASE AND 1 2 ON THE PENALTY PHASE AND YOU THINK IN YOUR OWN MIND THAT THE 3 PROPER PUNISHMENT IS LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, CAN YOU VOTE FOR THAT VERDICT? 4 5 MR. MANFREDI: I BELIEVE SO. MR. WAPNER: ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT COIN, IF YOU 6 7 LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ON THE GUILT AND THE PENALTY 8 PHASE AND YOU DECIDE THAT THE PROPER PUNISHMENT IS DEATH, 9 CAN YOU VOTE FOR THAT VERDICT? 10 MR. MANFREDI: I AM NOT SURE AT THIS TIME. I JUST, 11 YOU KNOW --12 MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS YOUR HESITATION? 13 MR. MANFREDI: WELL. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT I --14 YOU KNOW ABOUT THE FACTS AND THE CASE ITSELF AND 15 I DON'T KNOW HOW I WOULD FEEL ABOUT HOW THE OUTCOME SHOULD 16 BE. 17 THE COURT: YES. 18 YOU WERE HERE WHEN YOU ANSWERED THE FIRST 19 INQUIRY THAT YOU COULD BRING IN A VERDICT OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT 20 WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. 21 MR. MANFREDI: YES, YES. 22 THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT EQUALLY CERTAIN WHETHER YOU 23 CAN BRING IN A VERDICT OF DEATH OR --24 MR. MANFREDI: YES, THAT'S RIGHT. 25 THE COURT: -- OR ARE YOU EQUALLY CERTAIN THAT YOU COULD 26 BRING IN A VERDICT OF DEATH IF THE FACTS JUSTIFY IT? 27 MR. MANFREDI: THAT'S RIGHT, YES. 28 MR. WAPNER: MR. MANFREDI, I AM NOT ASKING YOU ABOUT

1	THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE BECAUSE WE CAN'T TELL YOU ABOUT THE
2	EVIDENCE AT THIS POINT
3	MR. MANFREDI: THAT'S RIGHT.
4	MR. WAPNER: AND WE WOULDN'T WANT TO.
5	MR. MANFREDI: YES.
6	MR. WAPNER: THE POINT IS THAT WHAT I AM TRYING TO GET
7	AT IS WHETHER OR NOT YOUR INDIVIDUAL CONSTITUTION, YOUR MORAL
8	VALUES, YOUR INTESTINAL FORTITUDE IS SUCH THAT IF YOU THOUGHT
9	THAT THE PROPER VERDICT WAS DEATH THAT YOU COULD VOTE FOR
10	THAT VERDICT?
11	MR. MANFREDI: I BELIEVE I COULD.
12	MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU COME INTO THE COURTROOM, SIT
13	IN A CHAIR IN THE JURY BOX AND LOOK AT THE DEFENDANT AND SAY
14	"MY VERDICT IS THAT YOU SHOULD DIE"?
15	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR MANFREDI NODDED HIS
16	HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
17	MR. CHIER: WELL, HE DOESN'T HAVE TO LOOK AT HIM, JUDGE.
18	THE COURT: WELL, HE NODDED HIS HEAD.
19	MR. MANFREDI: NO.
20	THE COURT: HE SAID YES.
21	MR. MANFREDI: YES.
22	MR. WAPNER: THINKING ABOUT IT NOW, WITHOUT KNOWING
23	WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS, DOES EITHER SIDE START OFF AHEAD OF
24	THE OTHER ONE?
25	MR. MANFREDI: NOT AT THIS TIME, NO.
26	MR. WAPNER: WOULD IT BE EASIER FOR YOU JUST AS AN
27	INTELLECTUAL OR EMOTIONAL THING TO VOTE FOR LIFE RATHER THAN
28	DEATH, WITHOUT KNOWING THE EVIDENCE, IF YOU JUST HAD TO PICK

1	BETWEEN THE TWO NOW?
2	MR. MANFREDI: RIGHT NOW?
3	MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. IN OTHER WORDS, WOULD IT BE EASIER
4	FOR YOU TO MAKE ONE DECISION THAN THE OTHER?
5	MR. MANFREDI: NO, I DON'T THINK SO, NOT AT THIS TIME.
6	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU ARE
7	DECIDING THE QUESTION OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE, YOU CAN'T THINK
8	ABOUT THE QUESTION OF PENALTY?
9	MR. MANFREDI: YES.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2 3	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

25.4 FO

25A-1

1	MR. WAPNER: SO IF YOU ARE CALLED UPON TO MAKE A
2	DECISION WHETHER HE IS GUILTY OR NOT, YOU CAN'T HAVE IN THE
3	BACK OF YOUR MIND, "WELL, IF I FIND HIM GUILTY, I MIGHT HAVE
4	TO VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY AND I DON'T WANT TO VOTE FOR
5	THE DEATH PENALTY SO I WILL VOTE NOT GUILTY". YOU WON'T DO
6	THAT, WILL YOU?
7	MR. MANFREDI: NO.
8	MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT, I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
9	THE COURT: MR. MANFREDI, YOU QUALIFY AS A JUROR IF YOU
10	ARE ULTIMATELY SELECTED. WHAT I WILL ASK YOU TO DO IS TO COME
11	BACK TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM ON THURSDAY OF THIS WEEK AT
12	10:30. THURSDAY OF THIS WEEK, THAT IS DECEMBER 4TH, ALL RIGHT?
13	MR. MANFREDI: THURSDAY, DECEMBER 4TH AT 10:30?
14	THE COURT: YES, YOU COME BACK THEN AND WE WILL GET YOU
15	ALL BACK IN HERE.
16	MR. MANFREDI: THANK YOU.
17	THE COURT: STILL DON'T TALK ABOUT THE CASE OR READ ANY-
18	THING ABOUT IT, IF YOU CAN HELP IT.
19	MR. MANFREDI: ALL RIGHT.
20	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR MANFREDI EXITS THE
21	COURTROOM.)
22	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR MANTECON ENTERS THE
23	COURTROOM.)
24	THE CLERK: HAVE A SEAT THERE, SIR.
25	MR. MANTECON: ALL RIGHT. HELLO.
26	THE COURT: THAT IS MR. MANTECON, IS IT?
27	MR. MANTECON: YES, MANTECON.
28	THE COURT: MR. MANTECON, WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

25A-2

1	MR. MANTECON: TORRANCE.	
2	THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THIS	
3	CASE IN THE MAGAZINES OR ANY NEWSPAPERS?	
4	MR. MANTECON: NO, NOT A WORD AT ALL.	
5	THE COURT: NOTHING AT ALL ABOUT IT EXCEPT WHAT I TOLD	
6	YOU?	
7	MR. MANTECON: PREVIOUSLY ALREADY, YES.	
8	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.	
9	WELL, YOU KEEP UP THAT STATE OF MIND, DON'T READ	
10	ANYTHING ABOUT IT IN THE FUTURE OR DISCUSS IT WITH ANYBODY,	
11	ALLRIGHT?	
12	MR. MANTECON: YES, OF COURSE.	
13	THE COURT: DON'T LOOK AT THE TELEVISION OR LISTEN TO	
14	THE RADIO, ALL RIGHT?	
15	I AM GOING TO BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE, AGAIN REFRESH	
16	YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHAT THIS CASE IS ALL ABOUT BECAUSE	
17	I NEED THAT AS A PRELIMINARY TO ASK YOU CERTAIN QUESTIONS	
18	ABOUT YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY.	
19	YOU KNOW THAT THE DEFENDANT IS CHARGED WITH THE	
20	COMMISSION OF THE CRIME OF MURDER, MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE	
21	AND THAT IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY.	
22	MR. MANTECON: YES, SIR.	
23	THE COURT: YOU REALL THAT? ALL RIGHT.	
24	NOW, COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY HAS	
25	A CERTAIN SIGNIFICANCE. THE LEGISLATURE SAID THAT IF A MURDER	
26	IS COMMITTED, IF A FIRST DEGREE MURDER IS COMMITTED DURING	
27	THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, THAT IS A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE, OR	
28	IF IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A BURGLARY OR A	

25A-3

1	KIDNAPPING OR A RAPE OR A TORTURE OR MULTIPLE MURDER AND THINGS
2	OF THAT KIND, THOSE CASES QUALIFY FOR THE DEATH PENALTY.
3	NOT EVERY MURDER CASE QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH
4	PENALTY, YOU KNOW. SOMEBODY MAY DELIBERATELY KILL SOMEBODY
5	BUT IT STILL IS NOT A DEATH PENALTY CASE. IT IS ONLY WHEN
6	THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES APPLY, WHETHER IT WAS IN THE COURSE
7	OF A ROBBERY OR A BURGLARY OR KIDNAPPING OR RAPE THAT IT
8	QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY.
9	DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHEN THE DEATH PENALTY MAY
10	BE IMPOSED?
11	MR. MANTECON: YES, I UNDERSTAND.
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, OKAY. THIS IS ONE OF THOSE CASES.
13	IT IS ALLEGED HERE THAT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED A MURDER IN
14	THE FIRST DEGREE DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. SO WHEN THE
15	JURY IS SELECTED IN THIS CASE, THE FIRST THING YOU WILL HAVE
16	TO DETERMINE IN WHAT WE CALL THE GUILT PHASE, WHAT THEY WILL
17	HAVE TO DETERMINE IS IS THE DEFENDANT GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY
18	OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE.
19	IF THEY SAY HE ISN'T GUILTY, HE IS NOT GUILTY OF
20	MURDER, THAT IS THE END OF THE CASE. OR IF HE IS GUILTY OF
21	MURDER IN THE SECOND DEGREE, THAT IS THE END OF THE CASE.
22	BUT IF HE IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE,
23	IF THEY SO RULE, THEN THEY HAVE TO CONSIDER A COLLATERAL
24	QUESTION: WAS THAT MURDER COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A
25	ROBBERY?
26	
27	
28	

<u>)</u> – 1	1	THE COURT: IF THEY SAY YES, THAT QUALIFIES THE CASE
	2	FOR THE PENALTY PHASE OF IT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
	3	MR. MANTECON: YES.
	4	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT SAME JURY
	5	WILL SIT. ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE WILL BE INTRODUCED BOTH BY
	6	THE DEFENSE AND BY THE PROSECUTION. WE CALL THAT EVIDENCE
	7	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES. ON
	8	BEHALF OF THE PROSECUTION, IT IS THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.
	9	IN THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES, THE PROSECUTION
	10	WILL SHOW THAT HE IS ESSENTIALLY A BAD MAN. THE DEFENSE WILL
	11	SHOW THAT HE IS A GOOD PERSON, ESSENTIALLY AND TELL YOU WHY.
	12	THEY WILL TELL YOU ALL OF THE FACTS ABOUT IT WHICH WOULD
	13	INDICATE THAT HE IS A GOOD PERSON.
1	14	SO, YOU WILL BE THE FINAL JUDGE IF HE IS GOOD
	15	OR BAD IN DETERMINING WHAT THE PENALTY IS GOING TO BE IN THE
	16	CASE, LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH IN THE GAS
	17	CHAMBER. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
	18	MR. MANTECON: YES.
	19	THE COURT: SO, YOU WILL HAVE A RIGHT TO CONSIDER THE
	20	AGE OF THE DEFENDANT, HIS HISTORY, HIS CHARACTER, HIS
	21	BACKGROUND, HIS MENTAL AND PHYSICAL CONDITION AND ALSO YOU
	22	HAVE THE RIGHT TO CONSIDER THE FACTS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT
	23	OUT ON THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL.
	24	ALL OF THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE JURY AND
	25	THEY MUST CONSIDER ALL OF THESE FACTS BEFORE THEY CAN MAKE
	26	UP THEIR MINDS AS TO WHETHER IT SHOULD BE ONE OR THE OTHER
	27	OF THE PENALTIES. DO YOU UNDERSTAND?
	28	MR. MANTECON: YES.

26A-2	1	THE COURT: ARE YOU WILLING TO SERVE IN THAT CAPACITY
	2	OF JUROR IF YOU ARE SELECTED AND YOU QUALIFY?
	3	MR. MANTECON: YES. I WOULD BE WILLING.
	4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, WHAT I WANT TO DO IS,
	5	EXPLORE YOUR STATE OF MIND WITH RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY.
	6	OKAY?
	7	NOW, YOU RECALL THAT I TOLD YOU THAT IN THE GUILT
	8	PHASE OF THE TRIAL, THE QUESTION OF PENALTY IS NOT INVOLVED
	9	IN ANY WAY. YOU ARE NOT TO CONSIDER IT.
	10	HOWEVER, IF YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO THE DEATH
	11	PENALTY WHICH WOULD CAUSE YOU NOT TO BE IMPARTIAL IN
	12	DETERMINING THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT
	13	MR. MANTECON: NO. I DON'T.
<u>}</u>	14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE SECOND QUESTION IS
	15	ALSO RELATING TO THE GUILT PHASE. REMEMBER, I TOLD YOU THAT
	16	IF YOU FOUND HIM GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THEN
	17	YOU ARE TO CONSIDER THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, TRUE OR FALSE,
	18	WAS IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. RIGHT?
	~19	NOW, DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH
	20	PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL
	21	DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL
	22	CIRCUMSTANCES?
	23	MR. MANTECON: NO, NOT AT ALL.
	24	THE COURT: GOOD. NOW, THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS HAVE
	25	TO DO WITH THE PENALTY. YOU HAVE ALREADY DECIDED THAT THE
	26	DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE WITH SPECIAL
1	27	CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT IT WAS COMMITTED IN THE COURSE OF A
	28	ROBBERY.

ł

1 NOW, THE QUESTION IS, DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION 2 CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY 3 VOTE TO IMPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY, REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE 4 THAT MAY BE PRESENTED IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 5 MR. MANTECON: NO I DO NOT. 6 THE COURT: GOOD. THE NEXT QUESTION IS THE SAME, 7 PRACTICALLY, EXCEPT IT RELATES TO LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY 8 OF PAROLE. 9 DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH 10 PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT 11 THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, REGARDLESS OF THE EVIDENCE THAT 12 MAY BE PRESENTED IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 13 MR. MANTECON: NO. I DO NOT HOLD AN OPINION LIKE THAT. 14 THE COURT: NOW. THE LAST QUESTION IS, YOU UNDERSTAND 15 THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT OCCUR IN 16 THIS CASE AND THAT THESE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN 17 THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 18 MR. MANTECON: YES I DO. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD. 20 MR. CHIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. IS IT MANTECON? 21 MR. MONTECON: MONTECON. 22 MR. CHIER: MY NAME IS RICHARD CHIER, MR. MONTECON. 23 I REPRESENT MR. HUNT. AS YOU KNOW, HE IS CHARGED WITH FIRST 24 DEGREE MURDER. THE PEOPLE ARE ASKING FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. 25 THIS IS KIND OF A LIMITED HEARING. IT IS A HEARING 26 TO QUESTION YOU INDIVIDUALLY ON YOUR ATTITUDES TOWARD THE 27 DEATH PENALTY. 28

268 FO

26B-1

THE JUDGE HAS ASKED YOU A SERIES OF QUESTIONS TO 1 SEE IF YOU HAVE ANY MAJOR KIND OF KNEE-JERK RESPONSES. I WANT TO ASK 2 YOU SOME ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ALONG THE SAME LINES BUT TO PROBE 3 4 A LITTLE MORE. OKAY? 5 MR. MONTECON: CERTAINLY. MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. I WANT YOU TO JUST FIRST TO UNDER-6 STAND THAT WE ARE NOT JUDGING YOU, HERE. ALL RIGHT? THERE 7 ARE NO RIGHT ANSWERS OR WRONG ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS. 8 THERE IS NO RIGHT OR WRONG ANSWERS. THERE IS YOUR 9 10 ATTITUDE AND YOU ARE ENTITLED TO YOUR ATTITUDE. AND IT MAY BE THAT THIS IS A GOOD CASE FOR YOU 11 TO BE A JUROR ON. IT MAY NOT BE. AND THE SYSTEM WILL ONLY 12 13 WORK IF THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS ASKED BY MR. WAPNER AND MYSELF -- IF YOU GIVE ABSOLUTELY CANDID ANSWERS BECAUSE 14 15 WE CAN'T KNOW WHAT IS IN YOUR MIND. IF YOU HAVE SOME HIDDEN AGENDA THAT YOU TAKE INTO 16 THE JURY ROOM, IT IS NOT FAIR TO THE PEOPLE OR NOT FAIR TO 17 THE DEFENDANT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 18 19 MR. MONTECON: YES, I DO. MR. CHIER: OKAY. NOW, LET ME ASK YOU TO ANSWER THIS 20 QUESTION WHICH IS AS GOOD A WAY AS ANY TO START OFF ON KIND 21 22 OF A SENSITIVE SUBJECT MATTER. HOW WOULD YOU ANSWER THIS: I AM A PERSON WHO IS 23 A, STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; B, SOMEWHAT [N 24 25 FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY; C, OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY; D, HAVE NOT REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT MUCH TODAY; E, OTHER. 26 27 MR. MANTECON: B. 28 MR. CHIER: SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR?

MR. MANTECON: SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR, YES, UNDER CERTAIN 1 CONDITIONS. I COULD. 2 MR. CHIER: SO, COULD YOU TELL ME IN YOUR OWN WORDS, 3 WHY YOU ARE IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH PENALTY SOMEWHAT AND HOW 4 YOU THINK WE NEED IT OR WHY YOU THINK WE NEED IT? 5 MR. MONTECON: WELL, I JUST FEEL THAT UNDER CERTAIN 6 CONDITIONS FOR CERTAIN CRIMES, THE ULTIMATE CRIME CALLS FOR 7 THE ULTIMATE PUNISHMENT. THAT IS MY BELIEF SAY IN CERTAIN 8 CASES IN CERTAIN EVENTS AND I COULD IMPOSE IT. 9 I MEAN, I CAN'T REALLY SAY WHEN --10 MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. I MEAN, DO YOU THINK THAT WE 11 12 SHOULD HAVE A DEATH PENALTY FOR CRIMES OTHER THAN MURDER, FOR EXAMPLE? 13 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE. 14 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 15 MR. CHIER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND, SIR, THAT IN CALIFORNIA, 16 THERE IS NO MANDATORY DEATH PENALTY? DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN 17 18 BY THAT? MR. MONTECON: YES. I UNDERSTAND THAT. 19 MR. CHIER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY 20 CRIME IN CALIFORNIA FOR WHICH THE DEATH PENALTY IS MANDATORY? 21 22 MR. MONTECON: CERTAINLY. MR. CHIER: ANY CRIME FOR WHICH THE PEOPLE CAN ASK FOR 23 24 THE DEATH PENALTY IS ALTERNATIVELY PUNISHABLE BY LIFE WITHOUT 25 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? MR. MONTECON: I UNDERSTAND THAT. 26 MR. CHIER: OKAY. SO ULTIMATELY, AFTER THE GUILT ISSUE 27 IS DECIDED, IF IT IS DECIDED AGAINST THE DEFENDANT, THEN THE 28

26-2

1	PENALTY USUALLY COMES UP AND YOU DECIDE WHETHER YOU SHOULD				
2	GIVE HIM LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR HE DIES				
3	ESSENTIALLY.				
4	DO YOU BELIVE THAT WITHOUT MORE, THAT PEOPLE WHO				
5	INTENTIONALLY MURDER OTHER PEOPLE IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY				
6	I WOULD SAY WITHOUT MORE OUGHT TO BE PUT TO DEATH?				
7	MR. MONTECON: I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT EACH CASE				
8	INDIVIDUALLY. IT REALLY FALLS DOWN TO THAT, FOR ME.				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25					
25					
26 27					
27					
20					
,					

THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, YOU WILL WAIT FOR THE PENALTY 1 PHASE AND HEAR EVERYTHING ABOUT THE DEFENDANT, PRO AND CON, 2 3 ISN'T THAT IT, BEFORE YOU MAKE UP YOUR MIND? MR. MONTECON: THAT IS WHAT I FEEL I WOULD HAVE TO DO. 4 5 THAT WAY. THE COURT: IT WOULD BE ONE OF THE TWO, LIFE WITHOUT 6 7 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR DEATH? 8 MR. MONTECON: I WOULD HAVE TO HEAR EVERYTHING ON AN INDIVIDUAL, CASE-BY-CASE BASIS BEFORE I COULD MAKE THAT 9 10 DECISION. I COULDN'T GIVE A FLAT YES OR NO TO YOU. 11 MR. CHIER: WELL, THIS IS JUST A WHITTLING DOWN PROCESS, MR. MONTECON. FIRST, I WANT TO KNOW IF YOU ARE A PERSON WHO 12 13 THINKS THAT YOU KNOW, PEOPLE WHO COMMIT MURDER SHOULD ALWAYS 14 BE EXECUTED? 15 MR. MONTECON: NO. 16 MR. CHIER: OKAY. SO THEN, IT IS THE NEXT LEVEL OF INQUIRY, OBVIOUSLY. IF YOU HAVE ANY FEELINGS OR EMOTIONS 17 ABOUT THE TYPES OF CASES WHERE A PERSON OUGHT TO BE EXECUTED 18 AND THE TYPES OF MURDER CASES WHERE THEY OUGHT TO BE PUT IN 19 20 PRISON FOR LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE -- DO YOU 21 UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE JUST MOVING DOWN? 22 MR. MONTECON: DO YOU WANT ME TO ANSWER THAT? DO YOU 23 MEAN WHAT TYPES OF CASES? 24 MR. CHIER: YES. DO YOU HAVE ANY --25 MR. MONTECON: WELL, A CAPITAL CASE, A MURDER CASE, A 26 PREMEDITATED TYPE OF CASE. 27 BUT THAT WOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY MEAN THE DEATH 28 PENALTY TO ME. AGAIN, I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IT AS AN

INDIVIDUAL TYPE OF THING. 1 THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT I TOLD YOU THAT MANY 2 PREMEDITATED MURDERS DO NOT CALL FOR IT OR ANY CONSIDERATION 3 4 0F --MR. MONTECON: WELL, I AM USING THE WRONG WORD, I THINK. 5 6 THE COURT: IT IS ONLY WHERE THERE ARE SPECIAL 7 CIRCUMSTANCES? 8 MR. MONTECON: YES, SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. THEN, THAT IS WHAT I AM LOOKING AT, NOT PREMEDITATED. 9 THE COURT: IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY OR A BURGLARY 10 OR A RAPE --11 MR. MONTECON: POSSIBLY SOMETHING LIKE A TREASON OR 12 13 SOMETHING WHERE IT CAUSED --14 THE COURT: YES --15 MR. MONTECON: WHERE IT BROUGHT HARM TO MANY HUNDREDS 16 OF PEOPLE OR THAT TYPE OF THING FROM SOMEONE'S DIRECT ACTS. MR. CHIER: OKAY. IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND 17 18 YOU FOUND THAT THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED AN INTENTIONAL MURDER 19 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY, WOULD YOU GO INTO THE PENALTY PHASE TO DETERMINE WHAT TO DO WITH HIM IN A KIND OF A NEUTRAL 20 21 PLACE SO THAT YOU WERE OPEN TO SUGGESTION, SO TO SPEAK? 22 MR. MONTECON: I BELIEVE I COULD HOLD OPEN MY MIND, YES. MR. CHIER: NOW, THE JUDGE WILL TELL YOU AND HE ALREADY 23 24 HAS TOLD YOU THAT YOU SHOULD CONSIDER AND WEIGH A LOT OF 25 DIFFERENT THINGS IN THE PENALTY PHASE, DIFFERENT THINGS FROM 26 THE THINGS THAT YOU MAY HAVE HEARD IN THE GUILT PHASE. 27 THERE WILL BE MORE OF A CONCENTRATION IN THE 28 PENALTY PHASE. THERE IS MORE OF A CONCENTRATION OF EVIDENCE

1	ABOUT THE PERSON AS OPPOSED TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE
2	OFFENSE.
3	DO YOU THINK THERE ARE THINGS ABOUT THE PERSON
4	EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR IN THE AGGREGATE, THAT ARE WORTHY OF
5	CONSIDERATION IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO SAVE HIS LIFE?
6	MR. MONTECON: YES. THAT WOULD PROBABLY PLAY A GREAT
7	PART OR A BIG PART IN IT.
8	MR. CHIER: OKAY. SO, BY THINGS WORTHY OF CONSIDERATION,
9	YOU MEAN THINGS SUCH AS HIS AGE AT THE TIME THAT HE DID IT
10	AND WAS HE EXPERIENCED OR INEXPERIENCED IN LIFE?
11	MR. MONTECON: YES. HIS PAST RECORD, IF THAT WOULD BE
12	BROUGHT UP AND THINGS LIKE THAT.
13	MR. CHIER: EVERYTHING COULD BE BROUGHT UP. IT WOULD
14	SORT OF BE KATIE-BAR-THE-DOOR
15	MR. MONTECON: YES. IT WOULD ALL PLAY A PART.
16	MR. CHIER: MR. MONTECON, IF IT EVER GOES AS FAR AS THE
17	PENALTY PHASE, EVERYTHING COMES OUT. IT IS LIKE, YOU REALLY
18	GET TO SEE EVERYTHING. ARE YOU THE KIND OF A PERSON WHO WOULD
19	KEEP AN OPEN MIND DURING THE PROCEDURE AND NOT MAKE A DECISION
20	UNTIL YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO TALK IT OVER WITH THE OTHER JURORS?
21	MR. MONTECON: YES. I FEEL I COULD.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

26C

260-1 1	MR. CHIER: OKAY. DO YOU THINK THINGS SUCH AS THE
2	DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND, WHETHER HE HAD A GOOD CHILDHOOD OR
3	A BAD CHILDHOOD, MIGHT AFFECT HIS LATER BEHAVIOR AND IS WORTHY
4	OF CONSIDERATION? DO YOU THINK THAT IS THE TYPE OF THING
5	THAT OUGHT TO BE A FACTOR?
6	THE COURT: SUPPOSE I WOULD INSTRUCT YOU THAT IT IS?
7	WOULD YOU FOLLOW THAT?
8	MR. MANTECON: YES. I CERTAINLY WOULD.
9	MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. NOW, WHEN THE JUDGE INSTRUCTS
10	YOU TO LISTEN TO SOMETHING OR TO CONSIDER IT, HE CAN TELL
11	YOU TO CONSIDER IT IN A SENSE, TO LOOK IT OVER, BUT HE CAN'T
12	FORCE IT TO MEAN MUCH TO YOU. DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN?
13	MR. MANTECON: YES. I FOLLOW YOU.
14	MR. CHIER: BUT DO YOU FEEL THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF
15	THE OFFENSE WOULD IN ALL CASES, OUTWEIGH ALL OF THE OTHER
16	FACTORS EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR IN THE AGGREGATE?
17	THE COURT: ARE YOU TELLING HIM TO PREJUDGE THE
18	TESTIMONY? I WILL OBJECT TO THAT PARTICULAR QUESTION.
19	MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. WELL, KNOWING WHAT
20	WE ARE HERE FOR IS TO FIND PEOPLE WHO ARE ESSENTIALLY NEUTRAL
21	AND IMPARTIAL, DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU KNOW, INSIDE OF YOURSELF,
22	IN YOUR HEART OF HEARTS, THAT YOU ARE A PERSON WHO IS SUITABLE
23	IN THAT RESPECT TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE?
24	MR. MANTECON: I PERSONALLY BELIEVE THAT I COULD, YES.
25	I COULD HOLD AN OPEN MIND AND WEIGH ALL OF THE EVIDENCE.
26	MR. CHIER: PASS FOR CAUSE.
27	THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS?
28	MR. WAPNER: JUST BRIEFLY.

260-2	1	MR. WAPNER: MR. MANTECON, I AM FRED WAPNER, THE DEPUTY
	2	DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTING THIS CASE.
	3	MR. MANTECON: YES.
	4	MR. WAPNER: IN KEEPING THOSE PHASES OF THE CASE
	5	SEPARATE, THE GUILT PHASE AND THE PENALTY PHASE, DO YOU UNDER-
	6	STAND THAT WHEN YOU ARE MAKING A DECISION ABOUT GUILT OR
	7	INNOCENCE, YOU CAN'T THINK ABOUT THE PENALTY?
	8	MR. MANTECON: YES. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
	9	MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU KEEP ANY IDEAS YOU HAD ABOUT
	10	WHAT PENALTY MIGHT HAPPEN TO THE DEFENDANT OUT OF IT?
	11	MR. MANTECON: COULD YOU REPEAT THAT, PLEASE, SIR?
	12	MR. WAPNER: SIR. WHAT I AM GETTING AT IS, WHEN YOU
	13	ARE TRYING TO MAKE A DECISION IF THE DEFENDANT IF THERE
)	14	WAS A CRIME COMMITTED AND THE DEFENDANT DID DO IT, YOU ARE
	15	NOT SUPPOSED TO THINK ABOUT WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN TO HIM IF YOU
	16	FIND HIM GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
	17	MR. MANTECON: YES, SIR.
27 ぎ0	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

MR. WAPNER: SO YOU CAN'T SAY "I DIDN'T LIKE THE WAY 1 HE LOOKED AND I THINK HE OUGHT TO GO TO JAIL, SO EVEN THOUGH 2 THEY DIDN'T PROVE IT I AM GOING TO VOTE GUILTY"; DO YOU UNDER-3 STAND THAT? 4 MR. MANTECON: OH, YES, I UNDERSTAND. 5 MR. WAPNER: YOU KNOW, ON THE OTHER HAND, YOU CAN'T 6 SAY "I WANT HIM TO GET THE DEATH PENALTY AND, THEREFORE, I 7 AM GOING TO VOTE GUILTY REGARDLESS OF THE EVIDENCE," THAT 8 KIND OF THING, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 9 MR. MANTECON: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT. 10 I WOULD NEVER DO THAT. 11 MR. WAPNER: SO YOU HAVE TO DECIDE THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE 12 BASED ON THE FACTS AND THAT PART OF THE CASE, YOU UNDERSTAND 13 THAT? 14 MR. MANTECON: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 15 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR 16 HONOR. 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED THAT 18 YOU QUALIFY AS A TRIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE. 19 MR. MANTECON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: THAT MEANS YOU HAVE TO COME BACK WITH ALL 21 OF THE OTHER JURORS WHO HAVE ALSO BEEN SIMILARLY QUALIFIED 22 AND WE WILL START THE TRIAL. IT IS EXPECTED WE WILL BE FINISHED 23 MAYBE TOMORROW. BUT AT ANY RATE, I WILL ASK YOU TO COME BACK 24 ON THURSDAY TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM AT 10:30. THAT IS 10:30 25 IN THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM. 26 MR. MANTECON: THAT IS THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3RD? 27 28 THE COURT: DECEMBER 4TH.

77 1

07- <u>0</u>	1	MR. MANTECON: THE 4TH.
	2	THE COURT: WILL YOU DO THAT?
	3	MR. MANTECON: YES, SIR.
	4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SEE YOU
	5	THEN.
	6	MR. MANTECON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
	7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
	8	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR MANTECON EXITED
	9	THE COURTROOM.)
	10	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR MARTHA MANZANO
	11	ENTERED THE COURTROOM.)
	12	THE COURT: IS THAT MISS MANZANO OR MRS.?
	13	MS. MANZANO: MISS.
1	14	THE COURT: MISS MANZANO, WHERE DO YOU LIVE?
	15	MS. MANZANO: I LIVE AT 2571 WELLESLEY IN WEST LOS ANGELES.
	16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL
	17	ABOUT THIS CASE?
	18	MS. MANZANO: YES, I DID A FEW MONTHS BACK. I THINK
	19	IT WAS IN PEOPLE MAGAZINE.
	20	THE COURT: YES. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER READING ABOUT
	21	IT?
	22	MS. MANZANO: I JUST REMEMBER READING ABOUT THE
	23	CIRCUMSTANCES AS FAR AS THE ACCUSATIONS AGAINST THE ACCUSED.
	24	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT THAT?
	25	MS. MANZANO: READING ABOUT THE HIM BEING ACCUSED
	26	OF, I GUESS I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS STEALING MONEY FROM HIS
	27	FRIENDS' PARENTS AND ALSO ACCUSED OF THE MURDER, THE BODY
	28	NOT BEING ABLE TO OR THE PERSON'S BODY NOT BEING ABLE TO

BE DISCOVERED OR HAS NOT BEEN DISCOVERED. 1 THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY CLAIMING THAT THE PERSON 2 FLED AND WAS NOT MURDERED. 3 THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND, OF COURSE, THAT IF YOU ARE 4 SELECTED AS A TRIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE, YOU HAVE GOT TO WIPE 5 OUT FROM YOUR MIND, IF YOU CAN DO THAT, EVERYTHING THAT YOU 6 HAVE READ IN THIS PARTICULAR ARTICLE. 7 MS. MANZANO: YES. 8 THE COURT: A LOT OF THE STUFF THAT YOU TOLD ME THAT 9 YOU REMEMBER READING IS INACCURATE, YOU SEE, SO FOR THAT 10 REASON YOU CAN'T CARRY IT WITH YOU. AS A JUROR, YOU FORGET 11 ABOUT IT BECAUSE THAT IS THE IMPRESSION OF THE WRITER AS TO 12 WHAT THE FACTS ARE IN THE CASE. ACTUALLY, SOME OF THAT STUFF 13 IS UNTRUE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 14 MS. MANZANO: UH-HUH. 15 THE COURT: AND THAT WOULDN'T BE EVIDENCE IN THIS 16 PARTICULAR CASE. 17 SO YOU ARE WILLING TO FORGET EVERYTHING ABOUT 18 IT IF YOU ARE SELECTED AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE; ISN'T THAT 19 TRUE? 20 MS. MANZANO: YES. 21 THE COURT: AND YOU WILL START OUT ANEW. YOU WILL ONLY 22 BE GUIDED BY WHAT YOU HEAR! IN THE JURY BOX. 23 MS. MANZANO: OKAY, RIGHT. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, OTHER THAN THAT, HAVE YOU TALKED 25 TO ANYBODY ELSE ABOUT THIS CASE, ANY OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS 26 OR ANYBODY? 27

MS. MANZANO: NO, I HAVEN'T.

28

~ - . 3

THE COURT: WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS BRIEFLY TELL YOU 1 WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT AS A NECESSARY BACKGROUND FOR THE 2 OUESTIONS I AM GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD 3 THE DEATH PENALTY. 4 FIRST. YOU KNOW THAT THE CHARGE AGAINST THE 5 DEFENDANT IS THAT HE COMMITTED A MURDER AND IT WAS COMMITTED 6 DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY. 7 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY IS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE 8 EVERY MURDER ISN'T PUNISHABLE BY DEATH OR BY LIFE 9 IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, EVEN IF IT 10 WAS DELIBERATE AND PLANNED. IT IS ONLY WHERE THAT MURDER 11 WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF SOME SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, 12 IN THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY LIKE IN THIS CASE OR IN THE COURSE 13 OF A BURGLARY OR IN THE COURSE OF A KIDNAPPING, IN THE COURSE 14 OF A RAPE, IN THE COURSE OF A TORTURE OR WHERE A CHILD IS 15 MOLESTED AND DIES. IF KILLED AS A RESULT OF IT OR MULTIPLE 16 MURDERS, THE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID ONLY IN THOSE PARTICULAR 17 CASES IS WHERE THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES IS PRESENT, THAT 18 IT QUALIFIES FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. THIS IS ONE OF THOSE 19 CASES WHERE IT IS ALLEGED IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE 20 21 OF A ROBBERY; YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 22 MS. MANZANO: YES. THE COURT: SO THE JURY SELECTED IN THIS CASE WILL FIRST 23 24 DECIDE THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT AND THAT IS KNOWN AS THE GUILT PHASE OF THE CASE; DID THE DEFENDANT COMMIT 25 THE CRIME OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE OR NOT? 26 IF NOT, THAT IS THE END OF THE CASE. IF HE DID 27 28 COMMIT THE MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE, THEN THEY HAVE ANOTHER

1

	2134
1	QUESTION TO DECIDE: WAS IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF
2	A ROBBERY? AND THAT WOULD QUALIFY IT FOR THE DEATH PENALTY;
3	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
4	MS. MANZANO: YES.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ļ	

7A F0

27A

ì

1	THE COURT: WAS IT COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A
2	ROBBERY?
3	AND IF THE ANSWER IS YES, THEN WE BEGIN A SECOND
4	PHASE OF THE TRIAL WHERE THE SAME JURY LISTENS TO TESTIMONY
5	FROM BOTH THE DEFENDANT AND THE PROSECUTION. THAT TESTIMONY
6	WILL TELL MORE ABOUT THE DEFENDANT, NICE THINGS ABOUT HIM AND
7	THE BAD THINGS ABOUT HIM, THINGS THE PROSECUTION WILL SHOW,
8	THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH AGGRAVATE THE OFFENSE
9	AND THE DEFENDANT WILL SHOW THINGS IN MITIGATION WHICH ARE
10	FAVORABLE TO HIM AND WHICH THE JURY MUST CONSIDER ALL OF THE
11	FACTORS BEFORE THEY REACH A DECISION AS TO WHETHER IT SHOULD
12	BE LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE OR
13	DEATH IN THE GAS CHAMBER; DO YOU UNDRESTAND THAT?
14	MS. MANZANO: YES.
15	THE COURT: THE QUESTION I AM GOING TO ASK YOU IS ABOUT
16	YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE DEATH PENALTY. THE FIRST PART HAS
17	TO DO WITH THE GUILT PHASE: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING
18	THE DEATH PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL
19	DECISION AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT?
20	MS. MANZANO: WELL, I I AM IN FAVOR OF THE DEATH
21	PENALTY VERY MUCH BUT TO ME, I FIND I WOULD HAVE TO FIND
22	THIS PERSON GUILTY BEFORE I MADE THAT KIND OF A DECISION.
23	THE COURT: EXACTLY. THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT I AM TELLING
24	YOU.
25	YOU HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY THAT
26	YOU FAVOR IT, BUT WOULD IT AUTOMATICALLY WILL YOU
27	AUTOMATICALLY, WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE EVIDENCE, SAY THE
28	DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OF MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE?

27A-2 1 MS. MANZANO: NO, NO, I WOULDN'T. 2 THE COURT: YOU WILL LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE? 3 MS. MANZANO: I WILL LISTEN TO THE WHOLE TESTIMONY. 4 THE COURT: OKAY, GOOD. 5 NOW THE SECOND QUESTION IS STILL ON THE GUILT 6 PHASE, YOU REMEMBER I TOLD YOU ABOUT THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. 7 IF IT WAS COMMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY WHICH IS 8 ALSO ON THE FIRST PHASE. 9 MS. MANZANO: YES. 10 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE DEATH 11 PENALTY THAT WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM MAKING AN IMPARTIAL 12 DECISION CONCERNING THE TRUTH OR FALSITY OF THE SPECIAL 13 CIRCUMSTANCES? 14 BECAUSE YOU BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY. 15 AUTOMATICALLY ARE YOU GOING TO SAY THAT HE COMMITTED THIS 16 MURDER --17 MS. MANZANO: NO. 18 THE COURT: -- DURING THE COURSE OF A ROBBERY? 19 MS. MANZANO: NO. 20 I WOULD HAVE TO LISTEN TO --21 THE COURT: YOU WILL BE GUIDED BY THE EVIDENCE. WON'T 22 YOU? 23 MS. MANZANO: RIGHT. 24 THE COURT: NOW THE NEXT TWO QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH 25 THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE CASE. 26 YOU HAVE DECIDED NOW THAT HE IS GUILTY OF MURDER 27 IN THE FIRST DEGREE AND IT WAS DURING THE COMMISSION OF A 28 ROBBERY AND NOW YOU COME TO THE QUESTION OF PENALTY: DO YOU

HAVE SUCH AN OPINION CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU 1 WULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO IMPOSE IT REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE 2 THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY PHASE OF THE TRIAL. 3 AUTOMATICALLY, OR WOULD YOU CONSIDER ANY OTHER EVIDENCE? 4 MS. MANZANO: NO, I WOULD HAVE TO, LIKE YOU SAY, LISTEN 5 TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. 6 THE COURT: YOU WOULD LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE ON 7 THE PENALTY PHASE LIKE I TOLD YOU, GOOD AND BAD. 8 AND THE NEXT ONE IS ABOUT THE SAME ONLY IT HAS 9 TO DO WITH LIFE IMPRISONMENT; DO YOU HAVE SUCH AN OPINION 10 CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY THAT YOU WOULD AUTOMATICALLY 11 VOTE FOR LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE 12 REGARDLESS OF ANY EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE PRESENTED AT THE PENALTY 13 14 PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 15 MS. MANZANO: NO. 16 AGAIN, I WOULD HAVE TO LISTEN TO THE COMPLETE 17 EVIDENCE. 18 THE COURT: GOOD. AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH 19 PENALTY MAY OR MAY NOT OCCUR ON THIS CASE AND THAT THESE 20 QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT YOU REACH 21 22 THAT PHASE OF THE TRIAL? 23 MS. MANZANO: YES. 24 THE COURT: I THINK WE ARE GOING TO STOP HERE. IT IS 25 A LITTLE PAST OUR TIME FOR ADJOURNMENT. I WILL ASK YOU TO 26 COME BACK TOMORROW. 27 WILL IT BE AGREEABLE FOR YOU AT 10:00 O'CLOCK 28 TOMORROW MORNING?

1	MR. CHIER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR, PLEASE?
2	MR WAPNER: THAT IS FINE WITH ME.
3	THE COURT: WE WILL TRY TO FINISH EVERYTHING TOMORROW.
4	WILL IT BE EASIER FOR YOU IF I DIRECT YOU TO COME
5	HERE TOMORROW MORNING AT 10:00?
6	MR. CHIER: IT WILL BE UNNECESSARY.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WILL YOU COME BACK HERE TOMORROW
8	MORNING AT 10:00 O'CLOCK, MRS. MANZANO? YOU WAIT OUTSIDE
9	AND WE WILL CALL YOU RIGHT IN. ALL RIGHT, 10:00 O'CLOCK
10	TOMORROW MORNING.
11	MS. MANZANO: YES, THAT WILL BE FINE.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ſ

28-1 1	MR. CHIER: COULD I REQUEST PERMISSION FROM YOUR HONOR
2	TO RECESS AT 4 O'CLOCK ON THURSDAY AFTERNOON? IS THAT POSSIBLE?
3	THE COURT: THURSDAY AFTERNOON? YES. WE'LL PROBABLY
4	DO THAT. WELL, YOUR COLLEAGUE WILL BE HERE TO CARRY ON, WON'T
5	HE ?
6	MR. CHIER: NO. I AM NOT SURE, YOUR HONOR. USUALLY
7	WE HAVE BEEN TAKING MORNINGS AND AFTERNOONS.
8	THE COURT: BUT, THIS IS A PHASE OF THE TRIAL WHERE
9	YOU WILL BOTH HAVE TO BE PRESENT. OTHERWISE, YOU CAN'T
10	COMPETENTLY REPRESENT THE DEFENDANT. YOU WILL BOTH HAVE TO
11	BE HERE TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? I MEAN
12	MR. CHIER: YES.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD NIGHT.
14	(AT 4:37 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
15	UNTIL WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1986, AT
16	10 A.M.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I