FFDA0269

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

FEE 2 4 1988

jó

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

VS.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. A-090435

JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

May 6 0 mil

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 19 OF 101 (PAGES 2564 TO 2627 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
3	DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE				
4					
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)				
6	PLAINTIFF,				
7	VS. NO. A-090435				
8	JOSEPH HUNT,				
9	DEFENDANT.)				
10)				
11	REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT				
12	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1986				
13	VOLUME 19				
14	(PAGES 2564 TO 2627, INCLUSIVE)				
15					
16	APPEARANCES:				
17	FOR THE PEOPLE: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY				
18	1725 MAIN STREET				
19	SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401 FOR THE DEFENDANT: ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ.				
20	10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067				
21	AND				
22	RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD				
23	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024				
24					
25					
26	ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932				
27	SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS				
28					

1	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1986	VOLUME 19	PAGES 2564 TO	2627
2		A.M.		2564
3				
4				
5		PROCEEDINGS		
6	MOTION IN LIMINE			2564
7	MOTION ON QUESTIONNAIRE			2581
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

```
SANTA MONICA. CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1986; 10:36 A.M.
1
                                 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
2
    DEPARTMENT WEST C
                 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
 3
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DO WE DO HERE FIRST, THE
5
    MOTION IN LIMINE?
6
7
          MR. WAPNER: YES.
8
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I AM MAKING A MOTION IN LIMINE
9
    TO PRECLUDE VOIR DIRE OF THE JURORS ON THE THREE SUBJECTS THAT
10
    WERE DISCLOSED TO COUNSEL IN CHAMBERS: THE HOMICIDE IN
11
    HOLLYWOOD, IN WHICH THE NAME OF DEAN KARNY HAS SURFACED.
12
13
                THE DISCLOSURE BY MR. --
14
          THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
15
          MR. WAPNER: THE DISCLOSURE BY MR. TITUS THAT MR. BARENS
16
    APPARENTLY SAID TO HIM THAT THEY WERE GOING TO PROCURE A
17
    WITNESS.
                 AND THE WITNESSES IN ARIZONA WHO SAY THAT THEY SAW
18
19
     SOMEONE WHO RESEMBLES RON LEVIN.
20
                 AND FIRST OF ALL. I FILED THE WRITTEN MOTION WITH
     RESPECT TO THAT PART OF IT THAT DEALS WITH MR. KARNY AND HAVE
21
22
     CITED CASES THAT SAY THAT A WITNESS CAN BE IMPEACHED BY
     SPECIFIC ACTS, IF THEY SHOW HE WAS CONVICTED OF A FELONY, BUT
23
    ABSENT THAT, THAT THAT WITNESS CAN'T BE IMPEACHED WITH THOSE
24
    THINGS. AND THERE IS NOTHING WHATSOEVER AT THIS POINT IN THE
25
26
    CASE THAT ANY WITNESS WHO WILL --
```

28

THAT.

1 WELL. FIRST OF ALL, MR. KARNY HASN'T BEEN CONVICTED OF ANYTHING. THAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING. HE HAS NOT 2 3 BEEN ARRESTED OR TRIED. 4 THIS EVIDENCE IN MY VIEW, IS COMPLETELY INADMISSIBLE TO PUT IT BEFORE THE JURY IN TERMS OF VOIR DIRE IS PLACING 5 SOMETHING IN THEIR MINDS WHICH WILL NEVER GET OUT. IT WILL 6 7 BE IMPOSSIBLE TO UNRING THE BELL. I AM ASKING THE COURT, BASED ON THIS MOTION, TO 8 9 PROHIBIT ANY QUESTIONS DIRECTLY OR BY INFERENCE THAT HAVE TO 10 DO WITH THAT SUBJECT. SECOND OF ALL, WITH RESPECT TO THE WITNESSES IN --11 THE COURT: IT IS A MATTER OF KARNY'S ALLEGED 12 13 IMPLICATION IN SOME MATTER, AN ONGOING INVESTIGATION. ISN'T 14 THAT GOING TO COME UP IN THE MOTION ON THURSDAY? 15 MR. WAPNER: YES. 16 THE COURT: BUT, KEEP THAT OUT OF IT FOR THE MOMENT. MR. WAPNER: WELL, EXCEPT THAT BEFORE WE GET TO THAT, 17 18 WE ARE GOING TO HAVE VOIR DIRE OF JURORS TOMORROW. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD. MR. WAPNER: SO I DON'T WANT ANY QUESTIONS ASKED OF 20 21 JURORS TOMORROW OR AT ANY TIME ABOUT HIS ALLEGED INVOLVEMENT. 22 MY POSITION IS THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING THAT 23 MIGHT BE DISCLOSED ON THURSDAY AND THE COURT HAS NOT MADE 24 A DETERMINATION WHETHER ANYTHING WILL BE TURNED OVER -- BUT 25 ON THURSDAY. YOU ARE ONLY GOING TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT 26 COUNSEL IS ENTITLED TO SOME OR ANY DISCOVERY WITH REGARD TO

AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY GET POLICE REPORTS

OR NOT, THE IMPORTANT QUESTION IN MY MIND, IS THAT MR. KARNY
HAS NOT BEEN CONVICTED OF A FELONY AND THEREFORE, IS NOT GOING
TO BE ABLE TO BE IMPEACHED WITH THAT MATTER AND THEREFORE,
THE JURORS CAN'T BE ASKED ABOUT IT.

I AM ASKING THE COURT SPECIFICALLY, TO PROHIBIT

ANY QUESTIONS IN THAT REGARD. SECOND OF ALL, WITH RESPECT TO

ANY WITNESSES WHO MAY BE CALLED FROM TUCSON -- AND WE DON'T

KNOW AT THIS TIME WHETHER WITNESSES WILL BE CALLED -- BUT

ASSUMING ARGUENDO THAT --

THE COURT: WHY CAN'T IT JUST BE LIMITED IN THE VOIR DIRE, WHEN I ASK THEM AS I NORMALLY DO -- THAT IS, I WILL ASK YOU FOR A LIST OF ALL PROSPECTIVE WITNESSES WHOM YOU PROPOSE TO CALL SO I MAY INQUIRE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHETHER THEY KNOW ANY OF THEM. YOU WILL GIVE ME A LIST OF THEM.

THEM FOR A LIST OF ALL POSSIBLE OR EXPECTED WITNESSES WHOM
THEY PROPOSE TO CALL. ALL THEY WILL DO AND ALL YOU WILL DO
IS JUST GIVE ME THE NAMES, WITHOUT SAYING WHO THEY ARE AND
WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO TESTIFY. THAT IS THE WAY I PERMIT IT
TO BE DONE.

THAT IS ALL I WILL ASK. AND THE ONLY THING THAT

I WILL PERMIT AT THIS PARTICULAR MOMENT IS THAT.

THIS IS A POSSIBLE WITNESS WHOM THEY ARE GOING TO CALL OR TWO OF THEM. WE WILL ASK THEM WHETHER OR NOT THEY KNOW ANY OF THE WITNESSES, INCLUDING THOSE WHOSE NAMES WILL BE GIVEN TO THEM, WITHOUT EXPLAINING WHO THEY ARE OR WHAT TESTIMONY THEY ARE GOING TO GIVE, IF ANY.

```
MR. WAPNER: WELL, I AM SATISFIED WITH THAT. I WILL
 1
 2
     PROVIDE --
 3
          THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I NORMALLY DO. I ALWAYS DO IT
     TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS NOBODY WHO KNOWS ANY OF THE
 4
 5
     WITNESSES.
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

THE COURT: I NEVER HAVE PERMITTED ANY QUESTION TO BE 1 ASKED AS TO WHAT TESTIMONY ANY OF THESE WITNESSES ARE GOING 2 3 TO GIVE. MR. WAPNER: WELL, IF THE COURT WILL SPECIFICALLY MAKE 4 THE ORDER THAT THEY ARE PROHIBITED FROM ASKING --5 THE COURT: WELL, I WANT TO HEAR FIRST ON THE OTHER SIDE. 6 BUT I AM TELLING YOU WHAT MY NORMAL PRACTICE IS 7 ON A VOIR DIRE. 8 I WILL ASK YOU THE NAMES OF ALL WITNESSES WHOM 9 YOU PROPOSE TO CALL AND ASK YOU TO DESIGNATE WHETHER OR NOT 10 THEY ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND YOU WILL GIVE ME THE 11 NAMES OF ALL PROSPECTIVE WITNESSES. AND I WILL ASK THE JURORS 12 13 WHETHER OR NOT THEY KNOW ANY OF THEM. SIMILARLY, WITH RESPECT TO THE DEFENSE, I WILL ASK 14 THEM WHO THE PROSPECTIVE WITNESSES ARE, IF THEY WANT TO 15 REVEAL THAT ON THE BEGINNING OF VOIR DIRE. IF THEY WANT TO 16 17 DO THAT, IF THEY DO IT, THEY WILL TELL ME WHO THEIR WITNESSES ARE WITHOUT TELLING ME OR MY TELLING THE JURORS WHAT THESE 18 WITNESSES ARE GOING TO TESTIFY TO. THAT GOES IN THE OPENING 19 STATEMENT. IT IS NOT PROPER ON VOIR DIRE. 20 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I THINK THAT IS FINE BUT I AM NOT 21 SURE THAT THAT GOES FAR ENOUGH, UNLESS AFTER HEARING FROM 22 MR. BARENS AND MR. CHIER, THAT IS AN ORDER THAT THEY NOT ASK 23 THE JURORS SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT -- I UNDERSTAND EXACTLY 24 25 WHAT THE COURT IS SAYING.

28 MR. CHIER: ARE YOU MAKING A FACE THAT I AM STANDING UP

MR. WAPNER: AND THE THIRD THING HAS TO DO WITH --

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

26

```
1
     HERE?
 2
           THE COURT: YES.
 3
           MR. CHIER: I WOULD LIKE THE RECORD TO REFLECT THAT.
 4
     YOUR HONOR, YOU MADE A SOUR FACE, YOUR HONOR.
 5
           THE COURT: I MADE A FACE BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT YOU
 6
     STOOD UP.
 7
                 ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER YOU WANT TO
 8
     HAVE A RECORD ON?
 9 .
           MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10
           THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
11
           MR. WAPNER: COUNSEL, I AM NOT THROUGH. I HAVE ONE
12
     OTHER ISSUE --
13
           MR. CHIER: I AM SORRY.
14
           MR. WAPNER: -- THAT I WANTED TO DISCUSS.
15
           THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
16
           MR. WAPNER: THE OTHER THING IS WITH RESPECT TO ANYTHING
17
     HAVING TO DO WITH THE TESTIMONY OR POSSIBLE TESTIMONY OF MR.
18
     TITUS. LIKEWISE, WE DON'T KNOW THAT THAT IS GOING TO BECOME
19
     AN ISSUE IN THIS CASE AND I HAVE NO --
20
           THE COURT: DO YOU PROPOSE TO CALL TITUS AS A WITNESS?
21
           MR. WAPNER: WELL, AT THE MOMENT I DON'T.
22
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
23
           MR. WAPNER: BUT NONE OF US ARE ABLE TO FORESEE THE
24
     FUTURE OR PREDICT EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN.
25
           THE COURT: DO YOU WANT HIS NAMED MENTIONED AT ALL
26
     IN CONNECTION WITH THE VOIR DIRE OF THE JURY?
27
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T MIND IF WE MENTION HIS NAME
28
     IN THE LIST WITH THE OTHER WITNESSES WITHOUT IDENTIFYING WHO
```

```
1
    HE IS.
2
          THE COURT: HOW WILL HIS TESTIMONY BE RELEVANT AT ALL?
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, AT THIS POINT WE DON'T KNOW, YOUR
3
4
    HONOR.
          THE COURT: WELL. LET'S LEAVE HIS NAME OUT OF IT THEN.
5
          MR. WAPNER: I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO PUTTING HIS
6
7
    NAME ON THE LIST OF THE WITNESSES WITH THE OTHERS, WITHOUT
8
     IDENTIFYING WHO HE IS.
9
          THE COURT: WELL, HE IS NOT A PROSPECTIVE WITNESS, IS
10
    HE, OR A POSSIBLE WITNESS?
11
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, HE IS A POSSIBLE WITNESS.
          THE COURT: ON WHAT WILL HE BE A POSSIBLE WITNESS?
12
13
          MR. WAPNER: WELL --
14
          THE COURT: NOT IN THIS PROCEEDING.
15
          MR. WAPNER: I AM ONLY SPECULATING, YOUR HONOR.
16
                I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT HE WILL BE A WITNESS
    OR NOT. BUT THE SUBSTANCE OF HIS STATEMENT --
17
          THE COURT: WE WILL KEEP HIS NAME OUT OF IT UNLESS IT
18
19
     BECOMES MATERIAL LATER ON AND THEN IT CAN BE ADDED IF IT HAS
20
     TO BE ADDED.
21
          MR. WAPNER: YOU DON'T WANT HIS NAME INCLUDED IN THE
22
    LIST OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES?
          THE COURT: NO. I DON'T SEE WHAT THE POTENTIALITY OF
23
24
    HIS TESTIMONY IS GOING TO BE.
                WHAT IS HE GOING TO TESTIFY TO, THAT HE MADE A DEAL
25
26
    WITH MR. BARENS AND MR. BARENS TRIED TO SUBORN PERJURY AND
27
    SO FORTH?
```

MR. BARENS ISN'T ON TRIAL.

MR. BARENS: ARE WE GOING TO HAVE A WITNESS FROM RIO 1 2 COME IN AND SAY THAT HE SAW MR. LEVIN SOME TWO YEARS AGO WHEN 3 THAT CONVERSATION ALLEGEDLY TOOK PLACE? MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I CAN'T -- I CAN'T PREDICT. 4 5 THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WE WILL KEEP HIM OUT OF IT 6 COMPLETELY. I DON'T THINK THAT IT HAS ANY MATERIALITY IN THIS 7 PARTICULAR TRIAL. IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS COMPLETELY OUTSIDE 8 THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MAY I RESERVE THE 9 RIGHT TO RESPOND TO COUNSELS' ARGUMENTS? 10 11 THE COURT: YES. 12 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL HEAR FROM COUNSEL. 14 MR. BARENS: MR. CHIER, RESPECTFULLY, IS PREPARED ON THESE 15 ISSUES AND PROCEEDING, WE HAD PREPARED OUR ARGUMENT FOR 16 THURSDAY MORNING, WHICH IS NOT INVOLVED IN RESPONDING ON THE 17 KARNY MATTER, SO I AM GOING TO DEFER TO MR. CHIER AND HE IS 18 PREPARED ON THAT. 19 THE COURT: LET'S KEEP THAT PHASE OUT OF IT AT THIS 20 PARTICULAR TIME AND WE WILL HEAR THAT ON THURSDAY MORNING. 21 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, MR. CHIER WILL RESPOND. 22 THE COURT: LET'S HEAR THE OTHER MATTERS THAT HAD BEEN 23 MENTIONED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. 24 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 25 MR. CHIER: THE MATTERS ARE SOMEWHAT INTERRELATED. TO 26 THE EXTENT THAT I CAN SEPARATE THEM I WILL, YOUR HONOR. 27 AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE PEOPLE HAVE REQUESTED THE

COURT TO MAKE A RULING, CERTAIN RULINGS IN ADVANCE OF THE ENTIRE

PANEL BEING GATHERED TOGETHER, TO PROHIBIT REFERENCES IN THE COURSE OF VOIR DIRE TO CERTAIN FACTS.

THE FIRST ITEM WHICH THE PEOPLE SEEK TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO WOULD APPEAR TO BE THE MATTERS CONCERNING DEAN KARNY AND THIS INCUBATING MURDER INVESTIGATION.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT WE WERE GOING TO LEAVE THAT FOR THURSDAY.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THEN THE DEFENDANT IS RESERVING 1 COMMENT ON VOIR DIRE QUESTIONING ON KARNY AND YOU ARE 2 RESERVING A RULING ON THE VOIR DIRE QUESTIONING? 3 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT, UNTIL WE HEAR IT. MR. BARENS: MY INQUIRY THEN, YOUR HONOR, IS, WHAT DO 5 WE DO TOMORROW IN GENERAL VOIR DIRE ON THIS ISSUE? 6 THE COURT: DO YOU INTEND TO VOIR DIRE ON KARNY? 7 IN WHAT RESPECT WOULD YOU VOIR DIRE ON KARNY? 8 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE QUESTIONS -- WE HAVE NOT 9 PREPARED OUR QUESTIONS, AS YET, ANTICIPATING YOUR RULING. 10 BUT I AM SURE THERE WOULD BE QUESTIONS --11 THE COURT: WELL, KARNY IS UNDOUBTEDLY GOING TO BE A 12 THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO MENTION KARNY AS ONE OF WITNESS. 13 THE WITNESSES WHOM THEY PROPOSE TO CALL. I WILL ASK WHETHER 14 OR NOT THEY KNOW MR. KARNY OR ANY OTHER NAMES OF WITNESSES. 15 I WILL NOT PERMIT ANYBODY TO SAY HOW THE TESTIMONY 16 IS GOING TO BE. 17 MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T PLAN TO DO THAT IN VOIR DIRE. 18 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS IT YOU EXPECT TO DO IN VOIR 19 DIRE SO FAR AS KARNY IS CONCERNED? 20 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, CERTAINLY THE JURY COULD BE 21 OUESTIONED ABOUT THEIR ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND IMPEACHMENT 22 QUESTIONS OF A WITNESS, BASED ON HIS CONDUCT PRIOR TO AND 23 SUBSEQUENT TO THE MATTER HE IS TESTIFYING ABOUT. 24 ISSUES OF BIAS CONCERNING THAT WITNESS IN TERMS 25 OF IMMUNITY TRANSACTIONS, IN TERMS OF FURTHER IMMUNITY 26 TRANSACTIONS, THE DESIRE -- THE POTENTIAL DESIRE OF THE 27 WITNESS TO COOPERATE WITH THE PEOPLE IN HIS TESTIMONY, TO

MR. BARENS: ACROSS THE BOARD, YOUR HONOR. MR. KARNY

HAS THREE DIFFERENT, DISTINCT RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE POLICE 1 INVOLVING THREE DIFFERENT FACTUAL SITUATIONS OF AN ONGOING 2 NATURE. 3 THIS HAS NOT TO DO WITH IMPEACHMENT, BUT RATHER GOES TO SHOWING BIAS AND PREJUDICE IN HIS TESTIMONY, WHICH 5 IS WHAT I TRIED TO SUGGEST A FEW MOMENTS AGO, YOUR HONOR. 6 WE ARE RELYING ON THIS, ON A CASE THAT WILL BE 7 SIGNIFICANT FOR THURSDAY, WHICH IS DAVIS V. ALASKA, WHICH 8 HAS A LENGTHY EXPOSITION ON THE RIGHT OF COUNSEL TO INQUIRE 9 INTO BIAS AND PREJUDICE, WHILE AT THE SAME TIME, NOT GENERALLY 10 CALLING CHARACTER INTO QUESTION. 11 THE COURT: WILL YOU PUT DOWN THE CITATION AND GET IT 12 FROM THE LIBRARY? IT IS THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT 13 REPORTS. I THINK THAT THEY'D -- JUST GIVE US THE LAW 14 EDITION. WHAT IS THE CITE ON THAT? 15 MR. CHIER: WHICH CITATION DO YOU WANT, YOUR HONOR? 16 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. WHICH ONE ARE YOU GOING TO --17 MR. CHIER: THE OFFICIAL CITATION IS 415 U.S. 308. 18 THE LAWYER'S EDITION IS 39 LAWYER'S EDITION 2ND 19 347. AND THE WEST --20 THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED THAT. THEY HAVE GOT THE 21 SUPREME COURT REPORTS THERE. I CAN GET IT THAT WAY. I NEED 22 THAT ANYWAY FOR THE MOTION ON THURSDAY. 23 MR. BARENS: FOR THIS MORNING'S REFERENCE, I AM AT 24 25 PAGE 351 OF THAT CITATION. THEREFORE, WITHOUT CALLING HIS GENERAL CHARACTER INTO QUESTION OR TRYING TO IMPEACH HIM ON 26 THAT BASIS, WE ARE PROBING FOR BIAS AND PREJUDICE. 27

YOUR HONOR, I AM SURE --

THE COURT: HOW WOULD YOU PROBE FOR BIAS AND PREJUDICE IN ASKING THEM IF MR. KARNY TESTIFIES, WOULD YOU BE BIASED AGAINST HIM BECAUSE OF THE FACT THERE ARE ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS AGAINST HIM OR THAT HE HAS PARTICIPATED IN THE CRIME AND HAS GOTTEN IMMUNITY? WHAT WOULD YOU ASK THEM?

MR. BARENS: OR WHETHER THEY ARE BIASED FOR HIM BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS HE HAS BEEN A COOPERATING INFORMANT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND HAS WORKED IN EFFECT, AS AN AGENT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR ALMOST TWO YEARS NOW.

MR. WAPNER: MAYBE I MISLED COUNSEL AND THE COURT. I DID NOT INTEND TO. I THINK THAT COUNSEL HAS EVERY RIGHT TO INQUIRE OF THE JURORS ABOUT THEIR GENERAL OPINIONS ABOUT MR. KARNY AS A WITNESS WHO HAS GOTTEN IMMUNITY AND SUBJECTS OF THAT NATURE.

4B = 0

THIS MOTION IS SPECIFICALLY LIMITED TO PROHIBITING

COUNSEL FROM MAKING ANY REFERENCE WHATSOEVER TO ANY ALLEGED

INVOLVEMENT BY MR. KARNY IN THIS LATEST HOMICIDE THAT TOOK

PLACE. THAT IS WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT. I THINK THAT COUNSEL

HAS EVERY RIGHT TO INQUIRE OF THE JURORS ABOUT HOW THEY MIGHT

FEEL ABOUT THE GENERAL SUBJECT OF MR. KARNY'S CREDIBILITY.

BUT IN THE SPECIFIC SENSE, IT IS ALL I AM ASKING
WITH REGARD TO MR. KARNY, THAT THE COURT SPECIFICALLY
PROHIBIT ANY QUESTIONS THAT GIVE TO THE JURY ANY FACTUAL
INFORMATION ABOUT A NEW HOMICIDE TO WHICH MR. KARNY ALLEGEDLY
MAY BE CONNECTED.

HIS NAME MAY HAVE COME UP, BECAUSE --

THE COURT: WELL, I WILL RESERVE THAT FOR TOMORROW.

MR. BARENS: TO SAVE THE COURT EVEN HAVING TO DO THAT, AS I INDICATED BEFORE, I AM NOT GOING TO ASK THAT QUESTION.

I NEVER SAID THAT I WAS GOING TO ASK THAT QUESTION.

I AM REPRESENTING I NEVER INTENDED TO ASK THAT QUESTION.

I DON'T THINK THAT THAT IS APPROPRIATE VOIR DIRE QUESTIONING.

THE COURT: I AGREE WITH YOU.

I AM NOT TROUBLING THE COURT WITH THAT.

MR. BARENS: NOW, IT IS A DIFFERENT MATTER AS TO DISCOVERY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THAT IS A DIFFERENT MATTER. THAT IS WHY

I SAID WE WOULD SAVE THAT FOR TOMORROW.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

MR. WAPNER: SO, I APPRECIATE MR. BARENS' STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD THAT THAT SUBJECT WILL NOT COME UP AND --

THE COURT: YOU CONCEDE THAT HE HAS THE RIGHT IN

48 - 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

QUESTIONING THE JURORS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE -- HOW THEY WOULD BE AFFECTED BY THE WITNESS, ONE OF THE PRINCIPAL WITNESSES OF THE PROSECUTION, WHO HAD RECEIVED IMMUNITY FOR HIS OFFENSE AND ADMITTEDLY HAD PARTICIPATED IN THE AFFAIR, HOW THEY WOULD TREAT HIS TESTIMONY. IS THAT WHAT YOU SAID? MR. BARENS: PRECISELY. THE COURT: YOU SAID SO, TOO. MR. WAPNER: AS FAR AS THE IMMUNITY GOES, THAT IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT. ANY CHARACTERIZATIONS OF HOW MUCH HE PARTICIPATED OR WHATEVER. THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED TO GO INTO THAT ON VOIR DIRE. MR. BARENS: I AM NOT INTENDING TO DO THAT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OR ANY OPENING STATEMENT AND SO FORTH, YOU WILL HAVE THE RIGHT TO --MR. WAPNER: MAY I JUST ASK THE COURT TO ASK MR. BARENS TO INCLUDE THAT IN A STATEMENT WHICH HE GRACIOUSLY MADE TO THE COURT, THAT NOT ANY DIRECT QUESTIONS BE ASKED NOR ANY INFERENCE BE MADE THAT THERE WAS ANY NEW CRIME TO WHICH MR. KARNY MAY BE CONNECTED. MR. BARENS: ANYTHING I WOULD NOT DO DIRECTLY IN GOOD FAITH, I WILL NOT DO INDIRECTLY. THE COURT: I AM SURE YOU WON'T. MR. BARENS: NOW, COULD MR. CHIER PROCEED WITH THE BALANCE? THE COURT: YES. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

27 MR. CHIER: WELL, THE BALANCE IS THAT HE SEEKS SOME 28 SORT OF PROTECTIVE ORDER WITH RESPECT TO THE TITUS MATTER

```
AND THE MATTER INVOLVING THE WITNESSES FROM TUCSON, ARIZONA.
1
                 FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE PEOPLE
2
     INTEND TO PUT ON MR. TITUS. BUT IN THE EVENT THAT THEY DO
3
     INTEND TO PUT ON MR. TITUS, WE HAVE --
          THE COURT: I WILL RULE NOW THAT MR. TITUS WILL NOT
5
     BE A WITNESS IN THIS CASE.
 6
          MR. CHIER: I DON'T THINK YOU CAN DO THAT IN ADVANCE,
7
     YOUR HONOR.
8
          THE COURT: WELL, I AM TELLING YOU IN ADVANCE. I KNOW
9
     WHAT THE FACTS ARE WITH RESPECT TO MR. TITUS. IT HAS NO
10
     PLACE IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE.
11
          MR. CHIER: WELL THEN, I NEED NOT ADDRESS MYSELF TO
12
     THAT ISSUE.
13
          THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. I AM MAKING THAT RULING UNLESS
14
     ANYTHING IS ADDUCED BY THE DEFENDANTS.
15
                BUT I DOUBT VERY MUCH WHETHER THERE WILL BE ANYTHING
16
    ADDUCED BY THE DEFENDANTS.
17
          MR. CHIER: WE INTEND TO CALL THE PEOPLE FROM TUCSON,
18
     ARIZONA.
19
           THE COURT: WELL, FINE. YOU CAN ASK ON VOIR DIRE --
20
    ALL YOU CAN DO IS GIVE THE NAMES OF THOSE PEOPLE. I WILL
21
     ASK THEM WHETHER THEY KNOW THEM.
22
                AS TO WHAT THEY WILL TESTIFY TO, THAT WILL BE
23
    PROPERLY ON OPENING STATEMENTS THAT WILL BE MADE.
24
          MR. CHIER: BUT, PEOPLE V. WILLIAMS GIVES US A CERTAIN
25
    LATITUDE IN ASKING QUESTIONS.
26
          THE COURT: WHAT?
27
```

MR. CHIER: DESIGNED TO AID US IN MAKING INTELLIGENT

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES THAT --THE COURT: YOU MEAN TELL THEM WHAT THE TWO WITNESSES ARE GOING TO TESTIFY TO? MR. CHIER: NOT IN SO MANY WORDS. THE COURT: WELL, NOT IN SO MANY WORDS OR ANY WORDS. MR. CHIER: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, THE PEOPLE ARE HISPANIC. THAT IS AN ISSUE. THE PEOPLE READ ESQUIRE MAGAZINE. SOME PEOPLE WOULD FROWN ON THEM FOR READING ESQUIRE MAGAZINE. THE WOMAN IS MAKING -- IS HAVING EYE CONTACT WITH ALLEGED HOMOSEXUAL MEN.

5 F0

```
1
          THE COURT: THAT IS ON VOIR DIRE GENERALLY WITH RESPECT
    TO WHAT THEY READ AND SO FORTH. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH
2
3
    THESE PARTICULAR WITNESSES.
                 I WILL PERMIT YOU TO ASK WHAT MAGAZINES THEY READ,
4
    OR IF THEY READ ESQUIRE OR ANY OTHER MAGAZINE, I WILL PERMIT
5
6
    YOU TO DO THAT.
7
          MR. CHIER: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
                 BUT WOULD THEY BE BIASED FROM THE ARIZONA --
8
          THE COURT: NO, NO, I DON'T WANT ANY WITNESSES BY NAME
9
10
    MENTIONED SPECIFICALLY OR ANY OTHER PLACE, THAT WILL NOT BE
11
    MENTIONED.
12
          MR. CHIER: WELL, I DON'T HAVE TO MENTION THEIR NAMES,
13
     THAT IS NOT IMPORTANT, BUT I ANTICIPATE --
          THE COURT: ON VOIR DIRE, I DON'T WANT ANYTHING EXCEPT
14
15
     THE NAMES OF POSSIBLE WITNESSES AND NOT WHAT THEY ARE GOING
16
     TO TESTIFY TO.
17
          MR. CHIER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I ANTICIPATE THEY ARE
18
     GOING TO TESTIFY THAT THEY READ ESQUIRE MAGAZINE AND THEY
19
     MADE AN IDENTIFICATION BASED ON THIS.
20
          THE COURT: NO.
21
          MR. CHIER: NO, WHAT?
22
          THE COURT: I WILL NOT PERMIT YOU TO DO THAT.
23
          MR. CHIER: I AM ENTITLED TO DO THAT.
24
          THE COURT: I AM TELLING YOU I WON'T PERMIT YOU TO DO
25
     THAT. THIS IS AN ORDER.
26
                 IF HE IS CONVICTED, THEN YOU CAN HAVE ME REVERSED
27
     ON APPEAL.
```

MR. CHIER: BUT THAT IS NOT --

THE ENTIRE PANEL.

THE COURT: I WILL NOT PERMIT YOU TO ASK ANY PROSPECTIVE 1 JURORS WHETHER THEY READ ESQUIRE -- IF THEY READ ESQUIRE 2 MAGAZINE. YES. YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO DO THAT AND WE HAVE ALREADY 3 INTERROGATED ALL THESE JURORS ON WHAT THEY READ, IF THEY READ 4 IT OR WHERE THEY FOUND IT OR HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE. 5 THERE IS NO SENSE IN GOING INTO ALL OF THAT AGAIN. 6 MR. CHIER: WE NEED TO DO IT AGAIN. THERE HAS BEEN NEW 7 8 PUBLICITY. THE COURT: NONE IN ESQUIRE MAGAZINE. 9 MR. CHIER: NONE IN ESQUIRE, BUT IN THE LOS ANGELES 10 TIMES, WHICH I SUBMIT IS A LITTLE CLOSER TO HOME. 11 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN ASK THEM WHETHER THEY HAVE 12 READ ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE CASE. 13 I ENJOINED UPON THEM NOT TO READ ANYTHING ABOUT 14 15 IT. 16 MR. CHIER: THAT DOESN'T ALWAYS WORK. THE COURT: WELL. THEN YOU CAN ASK THEM IF THEY READ 17 18 ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE CASE. 19 MR. CHIER: ONCE THEY ANSWER IN THE AFFIRMATIVE, THEN THE COURT: WELL. ASK THEM WHETHER THEY READ IT. 20 MR. CHIER: THAT HAS TO BE DONE INDIVIDUALLY, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 YOU CAN'T DO IT IN FRONT OF THE WHOLE PANEL. 23 THE COURT: EVERYTHING IS GOING TO BE IN FRONT OF THE 24 ENTIRE PANEL. 25 MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I AM MAKING A SPECIFIC REQUEST. THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO ASK THEM IF THEY READ ANYTHING 26 27 ABOUT THE CASE, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO ASK THEM IN FRONT OF

```
MR. CHIER: I HAVE A RIGHT NOT TO ASK THEM IN FRONT OF
1
2
    THEM.
           THE COURT: I AM TELLING YOU NOW, YOU WILL ASK THEM
3
     BEFORE THE ENTIRE PANEL. I AM NOT GOING TO HAVE THESE
4
     SECRET INTERROGATIONS ANYMORE IN CHAMBERS OR ON THE STAND
5
    OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE REST OF THEM.
6
                 THESE PEOPLE HAVE -- THEY HAVE ALL BEEN
7
     INTERROGATED, THEY ARE QUALIFIED AND ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE
8
    GOT TO ASK WILL BE ASKED IN FRONT OF EVERYBODY.
9
           MR. CHIER: CAN I SAY, YOUR HONOR, I THINK MR. WAPNER
10
    MIGHT CONCUR WITH RESPECT TO THE NEED FOR HAVING SOME FURTHER --
11
12
           THE COURT: WHAT?
           MR. CHIER: SOME PROPHYLACTIC VOIR DIRE IN THAT
13
14
    RESPECT.
          THE COURT: NO. THERE WON'T BE ANY PROPHYLACTIC VOIR
15
    DIRE. WE HAVE GONE OVER THE LIST OF QUESTIONS YOU WANT TO ASK
16
    AND I AM GOING TO RULE UPON EACH ONE OF THEM.
17
           MR. CHIER: WE DON'T KNOW ALL OF THE QUESTIONS WE ARE
18
19
     GOING TO ASK.
           THE COURT: I WILL NOT PERMIT IT.
20
           MR. CHIER: DO I UNDERSTAND THE COURT IS GOING TO REQUIRE
21
22
    US IN ADVANCE TO PROVIDE A LIST OF ANY QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT
23
    BE ASKED?
24
          THE COURT: YOU DID.
25
          MR. CHIER: WE DIDN'T.
26
           THE COURT: YES, YOU DID.
27
           MR. CHIER: THIS IS ONLY A PARTIAL LIST. THIS IS NOT
28
    A COMPLETE LIST, YOUR HONOR.
```

VOIR DIRE IS --

THE COURT: WILL YOU ENLIGHTEN YOUR COLLEAGUE, PLEASE?

HAVEN'T WE GONE OVER THE QUESTIONS YOU PROPOSE TO ASK THE

JURORS?

MR. BARENS: YES, WE WENT OVER A PROPOSED LIST, WHICH
I WILL ABSOLUTELY REPRESENT WAS NOT A COMPLETE LIST NOR DID
WE REPRESENT IT AS A COMPLETE LIST.

MR. CHIER: IT IS A QUESTIONNAIRE TO BE HANDED OUT TO THE JURORS IN ADVANCE OF ANYTHING.

MR. BARENS: ONE MINUTE.

THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY MORE PROCEDURES WHEREBY ALL OF THE JURORS ARE EXCLUDED FROM HEARING ANY ONE PARTICULAR JUROR'S ANSWERS.

MR. BARENS: THE ONLY REASON THE DEFENSE MENTIONED THAT, YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE DUE TO THE POTENTIAL FOR INFECTION OF THE BALANCE OF THE JURORS BY COMMENTS THAT ANOTHER JUROR COULD MAKE ABOUT WHAT THEY HAVE READ AND WHAT THEY INTERPRETED, WE COULD HAVE A PROBLEM.

LET ME GIVE YOUR HONOR A VERY RECENT EXAMPLE.

THE COURT: YOU MEAN YOU WANT ME TO GO THROUGH THE ENTIRE PROCESS AGAIN?

MR. BARENS: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WITH EACH OF THE 71 OF THESE PROSPECTIVE

JURORS AND ASK THEM WHAT THEY HAVE READ SINCE THAT TIME?

MR. BARENS: NO. YOUR HONOR.

THIS MORNING AND PRIOR TO THE PROCEEDINGS, MR. WAPNER AND MR. CHIER AND MYSELF HAD A DISCUSSION ON THIS QUESTION, AT WHICH TIME MR. WAPNER INTELLIGENTLY SUGGESTED

- **-**

THE WAY TO HANDLE THAT WOULD BE WHEN THE PANEL WAS TOGETHER, SIMPLY HAVE A SHOWING OF THE HANDS OF WHO WOULD ADMIT HAVING READ SOMETHING ABOUT THIS CASE AFTER YOUR HONOR ADMONISHED THEM NOT TO DO SO. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT THEY READ, I WILL ASK THEM THE SPECIFIC OUESTION, WHETHER OR NOT ANYTHING THEY READ IS GOING TO INFLUENCE THEM IN THE SLIGHTEST. MR. BARENS: YES, THAT IS SINCE YOU MADE THE INQUIRY, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YES. AND IF THEY HAVE READ IT, ASK THEM WHERE THEY READ IT AND WOULD THAT IN ANY WAY INFLUENCE THEM IN THEIR SERVING AS A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COUNSEL CANNOT BE SATISFIED WITH YOUR INQUIRY OF THEM MAKING THE DECISION WITHOUT BEING QUESTIONED AS TO WHETHER IT WILL INFLUENCE THEM OR NOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL ASK THEM WHETHER OR NOT IT WILL INFLUENCE THEM OR NOT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IT WOULD BE INCUMBENT UPON COUNSEL TO PROBE FURTHER THAN THAT BECAUSE WE HAVE SEEN JURORS IN THESE PROCEEDINGS THAT SAID THEY WOULD NOT BE INFLUENCED BUT LATER ON, WE FOUND IT WAS AN INFLUENCE ON THEM.

I DON'T INTEND TO TAKE UP THE COURT'S TIME WITH THIS BUT BY THE SAME TOKEN, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO?

THE COURT: I ADMONISHED THEM -- WHICH IF THEY WENT

AGAINST MY ADMONITION -- THAT THEY WEREN'T TO READ ANYTHING

ABOUT THE CASE AND NOT TO HEAR ANYTHING ABOUT IT -- IF THEY HAVE,

I WILL ASK THEM WHETHER OR NOT ANYTHING THEY READ IN THE

SLIGHTEST INFLUENCED THEM IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE AND IF THEY

SAY NO TO ME THAT IT WILL NOT, THAT IS THE END OF IT SO FAR

AS I AM CONCERNED.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, THE DEFENSE -THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO HAVE EACH SINGLE ONE OF THEM
PROBED AND PROBED AGAIN. WE WILL NEVER GET THROUGH WITH THIS
TRIAL EVER.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS
THAT WE FEEL IT APPROPRIATE THAT THE JURORS WHO SAY THEY HAVE
READ SOMETHING SUBSEQUENT TO YOUR HONOR'S ADMONISHMENT THAT
THEY NOT DO SO, THE DEFENSE AND THE PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE THE
RIGHT TO EXAMINE THEM INDIVIDUALLY TO PROBE INTO THAT.

1 THE COURT: [AM NOT GOING TO PERMIT THAT TO BE DONE. 2 MR. BARENS: I UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT OF VIEW, YOUR 3 HONOR. I ACCEPT THAT, YOUR HONOR. 4 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK MR. BARENS HAS STATED 5 MY POSITION. BUT JUST FOR THE RECORD, I JOIN IN THAT BECAUSE 6 I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE READ IN ADDITION TO WHAT HAS 7 ALREADY COME OUT, BUT, OBVIOUSLY, IT IS OF GREAT CONCERN TO 8 ME IF THEY HAVE READ ANY OF THESE ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AND 9 I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT AND I DON'T WANT --IF THERE ARE FIVE OR TEN PEOPLE OUT OF SEVENTY-ONE 10 11 WHO HAVE READ THIS, I WOULDN'T WANT THE OTHER SIXTY-FIVE, 12 SIXTY OR SIXTY-FIVE TO HEAR IT. 13 THE COURT: THAT IS WHY I AM NOT GOING TO ASK THEM 14 SPECIFICALLY WHAT THEY READ. 15 MR. WAPNER: BUT I DON'T THINK A GENERAL QUESTION IS 16 GOING TO COVER IT BECAUSE YOU CAN'T FIND OUT. 17 THE COURT: I THINK IT WILL. THAT IS THE END OF IT. MR. CHIER: CAN I TELL YOU WHAT THE SUPREME COURT SAYS 18 19 ABOUT THESE GENERAL QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR? THIS IS FROM 20 PEOPLE V. WILLIAMS AT 29CAL.APP.3D, 392 AT PAGE 402 --21 401, I AM SORRY. IT SAYS: 22 "AS A RESULT, -- MOST COURTS' LIMITED 23 VOIR DIRE BY ASKING BROAD QUESTIONS AT THE OUTSET 24 ABOUT THE VENIREMEN'S IMPARTIALITY. UNDER EDWARDS" --25 REFERRING TO PEOPLE V. EDWARDS -- "THEY COULD THEN 26 FORECLOSE AS UNLIKELY TO REVEAL BIAS 27 QUESTIONS RELATED TO PARTICULAR AREAS IN WHICH

BIAS WAS IN FACT LIKELY TO BE DISCOVERED. VOIR

DIRE THEREBY WAS OFTEN REDUCED TO A STARK LITTLE 1 EXERCISE CONSUMING MINUTES RATHER THAN HOURS AND 2 OFTEN ELICITING NO VERBAL RESPONSES AT ALL!" 3 WILLIAMS RECOMMENDS AND URGES QUESTIONS THAT ARE 4 SOMEWHAT OPEN-ENDED. 5 THE COURT: WHAT ARE THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS IN WILLIAMS 6 THAT THE COURT DISCUSSED THAT COULD BE ASKED? 7 MR. CHIER: WILLIAMS DISCUSSES A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS: 8 THE ATTITUDE OF JURORS TOWARD THE LAW OF SELF-DEFENSE WAS 9 ONE OF THE AREAS. 10 THE COURT: THAT IS PERFECTLY PROPER, I WILL NEVER FORE-11 12 CLOSE THAT. MR. CHIER: THE DEFENSE COUNSEL WANTED TO ASK THE JURORS 13 ABOUT A REASONABLE MAN'S STANDARD OF CONDUCT WITH RESPECT --14 THE COURT: THAT IS PERFECTLY ALL RIGHT. 15 MR. CHIER: AT THE BEGINNING OF THE VOIR DIRE, THE 16 PROSPECTIVE JURORS RESPONDED AFFIRMATIVELY TO THE TRIAL COURT'S 17 INDUIRY OF THEM AS A GROUP WHETHER THEY WOULD FOLLOW THE 18 COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS ON THE LAW, REGARDLESS OF THEIR PERSONAL 19 OPINIONS ABOUT WHAT THE LAW IS OR SHOULD BE. WILLIAMS SAYS 20 THAT THAT INQUIRY DOESN'T END IT AND --21 THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT FURTHER INQUIRY ABOUT THAT BY 22 23 COUNSEL. MR. CHIER: -- AND THAT COUNSEL -- OBVIOUSLY AT THIS 24 POINT YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT A PARTICULAR JUROR --25 THE COURT: ALL OF THAT YOU MENTIONED FROM WILLIAMS 26 HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE AT THE 27 28 MOMENT.

IT IS NOT AUTHORITY FOR ANYTHING EXCEPT THAT 1 EXPANDED VOIR DIRE SHOULD BE PERMITTED COUNSEL OTHER THAN 2 WHAT THE COURT ITSELF WOULD INQUIRE. 3 MR. CHIER: WHAT I AM SAYING IS THIS, JUDGE, THAT YOU CANNOT RULE IN ADVANCE OF THE THING, WHICH IS A DYNAMIC 5 CHANGING THING. IT IS NOT RIGID VOIR DIRE. 6 THE COURT: I HAVE ALREADY TOLD YOU WHAT I WILL SAY TO 7 THE JURORSOR ASK THE JURORS OTHER THAN WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN 8 9 ASKED OF THEM. IF ANY ONE OF THEM HAS READ ANYTHING FURTHER ABOUT 10 THE CASE SINCE THEY WERE VOIR DIRED BY THE COURT AND BY COUNSEL, 11 IF THEY SAY THAT THEY HAVE, THEN I WILL SAY "WHERE DID YOU 12 READ IT?" I WILL NOT ASK WHAT THEY READ BUT "WHERE DID YOU 13 READ IT?" 14 I AM AWARE AND YOU ARE ALL AWARE OF WHAT IS 15 CONTAINED IN ANYTHING THAT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED ON THE SUBJECT. 16 AND THEN I WILL ASK THEM THE QUESTION SPECIFICALLY: 17 I WANT THEM TO SEARCH THEIR CONSCIENCE, IS THERE ANYTHING AT 18 ALL THAT THEY READ WHICH IS GOING TO INFLUENCE THEM IN THE 19 SLIGHTEST IN DETERMINING THE FACTS OF THIS PARTICULAR CASE? 20 AND IF THEY TELL ME NO, I WILL TAKE THEIR WORD FOR IT. 21 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE PERSON TELLING YOU --22 THE COURT: WITHOUT ASKING. 23 MR. CHIER: WILLIAMS SPEAKS DIRECTLY TO THAT. 24 THE COURT: WE KNOW WHAT IT IS THAT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED. 25 WE DON'T HAVE TO HEAR FROM THE JURORS WHAT THEY HAVE READ. 26 WE KNOW EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED IN THIS CASE, 27 EVERY ONE OF US KNOWS WHAT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED. 28

MR. CHIER: DIFFERENT JURORS HAVE DIFFERENT

RECOLLECTIONS.

 \sim

ω

THE COURT: THEN I WILL TELL THEM TO ELIMINATE THAT

FROM THE I R MIND ENTIRELY AND THEY ARE NOT TO BE GUIDED BY WHAT

THEY READ.

MR.

CHIER:

WILLIAMS TALKS ABOUT THAT WHEN THEY ASSESS

THEIR OWN ABILITY TO BE FAIR.

 σ

28

27

26

25

24

23

22

2

20

2

15

ಕ

7

5

ဖ

 $\vec{\omega}$

MR. CHIER: IT SAYS:

"OUR COURTS HAVE BECOME INCREASINGLY

AWARE THAT BIAS OFTEN DECEIVES ITS HOST BY

DISTORTING HIS VIEW NOT ONLY OF THE WORLD AROUND

HIM, BUT ALSO OF HIMSELF. HENCE, ALTHOUGH WE

MUST PRESUME THAT A POTENTIAL JUROR IS RESPONDING

IN GOOD FAITH WHEN HE ASSERTS BROADLY THAT HE CAN

JUDGE THE CASE IMPARTIALLY, FURTHER INTERROGATION

MAY REVEAL BIAS OF WHICH HE IS UNAWARE OR WHICH,

BECAUSE OF HIS IMPAIRED OBJECTIVITY, HE UNREASONABLY

BELIEVES HE CAN OVERCOME. AND ALTHOUGH HIS

PROTESTATIONS OF IMPARTIALITY MAY IMMUNIZE HIM

FROM A CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE, THEY SHOULD NOT

FORECLOSE FURTHER REASONABLE QUESTIONING THAT MIGHT

EXPOSE BIAS ON WHICH PRUDENT COUNSEL WOULD BASE A

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE."

THE COURT: WELL, I MADE MY RULING ON IT. WHAT I AM
GOING TO DO IS ASK THE JURORS CATEGORICALLY, EACH ONE OF THEM
WHO HAS SAID THEY HAVE READ SOMETHING MORE ABOUT THE CASE
OTHER THAN WHEN THEY TOLD US, WHEN WE HAD THE HOVEY
QUESTIONING --

MR. CHIER: IT ALSO SAYS THAT EXPEDITION SHOULD NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR A FAIR TRIAL.

THE COURT: I WILL ASK THEM WHERE THEY HAVE READ IT.

I WILL ASK THEM THE QUESTION WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE READ

WHATEVER IT IS THAT THEY DID READ -- WHETHER OR NOT IT WOULD

INFLUENCE THEM IN THE SLIGHTEST IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE.

IF THEY TELL ME NO IN GOOD CONSCIENCE, THAT IS THE END OF

IT.

MR. CHIER: BUT YOUR HONOR, IT MIGHT TAKE A LITTLE MORE TIME THAN IT WOULD ORDINARILY. BUT WILLIAMS ALSO SAYS AT PAGE 402 THAT EXPEDIENCY SHOULD NOT BE PURSUED AT THE COST OF THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE.

THE COURT: WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WANT ME TO DO IN EACH CASE WHERE THE JUROR SAYS HE HAS READ SOMETHING IN ADDITION TO WHAT HE SAID HE ALREADY READ AND TOLD US ABOUT THAT HE READ. THEN WHAT DO YOU WANT TO SAY? THE D.A. JOINS IN THAT, A SEPARATE QUESTION THAT THE JUROR OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURORS, FIND OUT WHAT IT IS THAT THEY READ? ALL RIGHT. AND IT WILL ALSO BE WHETHER THEY WOULD BE AFFECTED.

MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR SHOULD ALSO KNOW ONE OTHER THING THAT --

THE COURT: YOU SEE, MY WAY IS THAT THEN, NONE OF THE OTHER JURORS KNOW. SO THEREFORE, NONE OF THE OTHER JURORS THEN KNOW IF YOU DON'T ASK THEM WHAT IT IS THAT THEY READ.

MR. BARENS: LET ME TELL YOU ONE OTHER CONCERN THAT IS APPARENT. ANY JURORS THAT RAISE THEIR HANDS AND SAY THEY HAVE READ SOMETHING, THOSE ARE JURORS WHO PREVIOUSLY WERE UNTRUTHFUL BECAUSE YOUR HONOR TOLD THEM NOT TO READ ANYTHING.

IF THEY ARE NOW SAYING THAT THEY READ SOMETHING,
HOW COULD YOUR HONOR BE SATISFIED WITH THEM SAYING NOW TO
YOU BLITHELY THAT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE IMPRESSED BECAUSE
THEY HAVE ALREADY NOT FOLLOWED THE COURT'S ORDERS?

THE COURT: LET'S SEE WHETHER ANY OF THEM ANSWER THE QUESTION THAT THEY HAVE READ SOMETHING.

MR. BARENS: IF THEY HAVE --

6A-3

6B FO

THE COURT: IF THEY HAVE, WE WILL SEPARATELY QUESTION THEM. I WILL POINT OUT TO EACH ONE OF THEM THAT I THINK, I BELIEVE I TOLD YOU NOT TO READ ANYTHING MORE ABOUT THE CASE.

MR. BARENS: THAT IS MY POINT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL DO THAT.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: DO YOU CONCUR IN THAT, SIR?

MR. BARENS: YES I DO.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, WHAT IS THE NEXT QUESTION?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANSWERED THE LAST TWO QUESTIONS WHICH IS WHAT IS PERMITTED AND NOT PERMITTED WITH RESPECT TO QUESTIONS ABOUT MR. TITUS AND QUESTIONS ABOUT --

THE COURT: I THOUGHT I HAD MADE A RULING. I SAID NOTHING WILL BE MENTIONED ABOUT TITUS ON THE VOIR DIRE.

THE COURT: YES.

25

26

28

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT PROCEED WITH IT,

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE WE GOT THAT LIST?

MR. BARENS: DOES YOUR HONOR HAVE THE LIST?

1 THE FIRST "NO" RESPONSE I SHOW FROM THE COURT WAS AT PAGE 4, 2 QUESTIONS G, H AND I. 3 THE COURT: 4? YES. 4 MR. BARENS: AND I SUBMIT, YOUR HONOR, THAT MR. WAPNER 5 HAS ALREADY ASKED THOSE QUESTIONS DURING THE HOVEY VOIR DIRE. - THE COURT: NO. NO, ALL HE ASKED THEM WAS IF THEY HAVE 6 7 ANY RELIGIOUS OR MORAL CONVICTIONS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY. 8 HE DIDN'T ASK WHETHER THEY WERE PROTESTANT OR CATHOLIC OR 9 JEWISH OR MOHAMMEDAN. 10 MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE THE QUESTION IS PERMISSIBLE. 11 AND THEN, HOW OFTEN THEY ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES, THAT WILL 12 SHOW, NO MATTER WHICH RELIGION, THE DEGREE THEY PARTICIPATE 13 IN A FAITH. THE COURT: AND YOU ASKED ABOUT POLITICAL AND I SAID 14 15 "NO" TO THAT TOO? 16 MR. BARENS: NO. I HAVE A "YES" ON THAT, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: YES. 18 MR. WAPNER: YOU SAID THAT HE COULD ASK ONLY WHAT PARTY 19 THEY BELONGED TO. 20 MR. BARENS: THAT IS WHAT I MEANT BY POLITICAL 21 AFFILIATION. 22 MR. CHIER: WHAT ELSE COULD IT BE? 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MY RULING AS TO THE RELIGION 24 IS STILL NO AND HOW OFTEN THEY ATTEND. IT IS TOO INTRUSIVE. 25 I DON'T THINK IT HAS ANY BEARING ON THEIR ACTING AS A FAIR 26 TRIAL JUROR. 27 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MOVING AHEAD TO THE NEXT "NO,"

THE NEXT ONE I WOULD COME TO WOULD BE AT PAGE 7.

WE HAD CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION ON QUESTIONS 14, 1 15 AND 16. 14 WAS RELATIVE TO PREVIOUS MARRIAGES. 2 THE COURT: NO. I THINK GENERALLY I HAVE NO OBJECTION 3 IF YOU ASK WHETHER THEY WERE PREVIOUSLY MARRIED. IF THEY SAY YES OR NO, THAT WILL BE THE END OF IT. BUT NOT FOR THE 5 PERIOD. HOW LONG HAD THEY BEEN LIVING -- AND WHETHER IT WAS 6 TERMINATED BY DIVORCE AND SO ON AND SO FORTH? 7 MR. BARENS: MY REASON FOR ASKING THAT, YOUR HONOR, 8 IS TO ASK THE SPECIFIC QUESTION AS TO THE OCCUPATION OF THEIR 9 PRIOR SPOUSE. IF THE PRIOR SPOUSE --10 THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK THAT. 11 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 AND YOUR HONOR, NOW WE ARE ON QUESTIONS 15 AND 16. 13 THE COURT: CONCERNING HOW MUCH THEIR INCOME IS? 14 I WON'T PERMIT THAT TO BE ASKED. I WON'T PERMIT IT TO BE 15 DONE. 16 MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO ACCEPT THAT WITHOUT 17 ARGUMENT. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 19 MR. BARENS: THE SAME AS TO 16, I BELIEVE? 20 THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. 17 I PERMITTED YOU TO ASK. 21 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. MY NEXT "NO" COMES AT 22 PAGE 9, QUESTION 21. 23 THE COURT: YES. 24 MR. BARENS: A AND B, AS WELL? 25 THE COURT: TELL ME AGAIN WHAT THE MATERIALITY OF THAT 26 IS. 27

MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

(PAUSE.) MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN, IT GOES TO EXERCISING AN INTELLIGENT PEREMPTORY. THE COURT: YOU MEAN WHETHER OR NOT ANY FAMILY MEMBER IS CURRENTLY ENLISTED IN ANY BRANCH OF THE ARMED SERVICES? MR. BARENS: PEOPLE IN THE ARMY TEND TO DEVELOP VERY SPECIFIC BIASES, MIND SETS THAT ALMOST UNIVERSALLY APPEAR TO BE PROSECUTION ORIENTED. THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK THE JURORS THEMSELVES WHETHER THEY HAVE BEEN IN ANY BRANCH OF THE SERVICE BUT NOT MEMBERS OF THEIR FAMILY. MR. BARENS: NO. I AM NOT CONCERNED WITH THAT. THANK YOU.

```
1
            THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT IS SAYS. THAT IS WHY I SAID
  2
      IT NOW.
  3
            MR. BARENS: WE'LL TAKE OUT "MEMBER OF THE FAMILY".
            THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. YOU CAN ASK THEM WHETHER
      THEY HAVE BEEN IN ANY BRANCH OF THE SERVICE.
  5
 6
            MR. WAPNER: DOES ENLISTED INCLUDE DRAFTED?
 7
            MR. BARENS: WE BELIEVE YES.
 8
           MR. CHIER: IT IS NOT -- IT IS SEPARATE. BUT IT IS
 9
     ALSO IN.
 10
           MR. BARENS: OKAY. NUMBER 19, WE'LL TAKE OUT "OR ANY
11
     MEMBER" IN THAT SECTION OF THE QUESTION.
12
           THE COURT: NUMBER 19?
13
           MR. BARENS: SORRY. THAT IS 21.
           THE COURT: YES. "HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A MEMBER OF THE
14
15
     ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES?"
16
           MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
17
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
18
           MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.
19
           THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK THEM WHAT BRANCH THEY WERE IN,
20
     AS A MATTER OF FACT.
21
           MR. BARENS: THEN, MY NEXT "NO" --
22
           THE COURT: PARDON ME. I MIGHT SUGGEST THAT INSTEAD
23
     OF ASKING EACH INDIVIDUAL JUROR, YOU ASK A GENERAL QUESTION
24
     IF ANY OF THEM HAVE BEEN IN THE ARMED FORCES.
25
          MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
26
                NOW YOUR HONOR, PAGE 10 QUESTION 23, WE ARE GOING
27
     TO WITHDRAW THAT QUESTION. MY NEXT "NO" IS QUESTION 8.5 AT
28
    PAGE 11. IT GOES INTO AN ISSUE OF THEIR PRIOR JURY EXPERIENCE.
```

7 FO

THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE ASKED THEM WHAT KIND OF CASES
THEY WERE ON AND I NEVER PERMIT ANY QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED
WHETHER OR NOT THEY VOTED FOR OR AGAINST A CONVICTION, IF
IT IS A CRIMINAL CASE.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS AN AREA OF CONSIDERABLE INTEREST TO THE DEFENDANT AS FAR AS THEIR PRIOR EXPERIENCES AND HOW THOSE EXPERIENCES AFFECTED THEM AS FAR AS BEING ON JURIES.

YOU KNOW, SOME PEOPLE AFTER HAVING SERVED ON A JURY, GET AN ATTITUDE ABOUT THE JURY SYSTEM AND ABOUT WHAT THEY WOULD DO IF THEY EVER HAD TO SERVE ON A JURY AGAIN DIFFERENTLY THAN WHAT HAPPENED IN THE PRIOR EXPERIENCE.

SOME PEOPLE, AS YOU MAY IMAGINE, ARE VERY SOURED BY THOSE EXPERIENCES. WE HAVE ALSO HAD EXPERIENCES OF JURORS THAT HAVE BEEN CHASTISED BY THE COURT FOR THEIR VERDICTS, WHICH WE DID HAVE ON A CASE.

WE WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO PROBE. I AM NOT GOING TO ASK THEM HOW THEY VOTED, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO BE ABLE TO ASK THEM ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCE AND ABOUT THEIR ATTITUDES.

```
THE COURT: THAT IS ALL RIGHT, BUT DON'T ASK THEM HOW
1
2
     THEY VOTED.
3
          MR. CHIER: NO.
          MR. BARENS: OH, NO. NEVER, YOUR HONOR.
          MR. WAPNER: THAT WAS THE REASON THE COURT DIDN'T WANT
5
     THAT QUESTION ASKED, BECAUSE HE SAID "THE NATURE OF THE
6
7
     VERDICT".
8
          THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT. THAT IS WHY I SAID THAT.
          MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
9
           THE COURT: ARE YOU ACQUAINTED WITH ANY PERSONS SERVING
10
11
     ON JURY DUTY?
                 WHAT IS THE MATERIALITY OF THAT? HOW DOES THAT
12
13
     GO TO BIAS AND PREJUDICE?
14
           MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE I WITHDRAW THAT.
15
           THE COURT: 23?
16
           MR. BARENS: I WITHDRAW THAT, YOUR HONOR.
17
                 I WAS JUST ADDRESSING --
18
          THE COURT: PARDON ME, MR. BARENS.
19
                 (OTHER COURT MATTER CALLED.)
20
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, NEXT.
21
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR HAS JUST RESOLVED THE QUESTION
22
     AS TO PAGE 11(A).5, THAT HAS BEEN RESOLVED IN OUR IMMEDIATE
23
     DISCUSSION, YOUR HONOR?
24
          THE COURT: YES.
25
           MR. BARENS: MY NEXT "NO" IS PAGE 12, ITEM 26 CONCERNING
26
    A BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING.
27
           THE COURT: YES.
```

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS THIS QUESTION ABOUT

-2BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS IS CONCERNED, THERE IS LIKELY TO BE 1 SOME EVIDENCE FROM THE DEFENSE ABOUT A BANKRUPTCY THAT MR. 2 LEVIN WAS INVOLVED IN AND IT IS OF SOME CONCERN TO ME TO KNOW, 3 TO GET SOME BACKGROUND FROM THE JURORS WHETHER THEY THEMSELVES 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 BANKRUPTCIES. 15 THE COURT: WELL, YES. 16 17 18 19 20 MR. CHIER: YES. 21 22 WENT THROUGH A BANKRUPTCY OR NOT? 23

24

25

26

27

28

HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN A BANKRUPTCY, NOT NECESSARILY THE DETAILS OR AN ATTEMPT TO PRY INTO THEIR PERSONAL LIFE BUT A JUROR'S OPINION OF SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN THROUGH A BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING MIGHT BE DIFFERENT IF THEY THEMSELVES HAD GONE THROUGH ONE AS OPPOSED TO SOMEONE WHO HADN'T. YOU MIGHT GET A JUROR, FOR EXAMPLE, WHO WOULD SAY "NO, I HAVEN'T GONE THROUGH BANKRUPTCY AND I NEVER WOULD. I AM VERY GOOD ABOUT PAYING MY CREDITORS." AND YOU MIGHT GET SOMEONE ELSE WHO WOULD SAY THAT THEY HAVE GONE THROUGH SEVERAL MR. WAPNER: IT GIVES SOME IDEA. THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOU. YOU MEAN IT WILL BE DEVELOPED IN THIS CASE THAT LEVIN WENT THROUGH A BANKRUPTCY, IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO SAY? MR. WAPNER: WELL, I THINK --THE COURT: WHAT IS THE MATERIALITY OF THAT, WHETHER HE MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --MR. WAPNER: WELL, I AM ANTICIPATING THAT IS GOING TO BE DEFENSE EVIDENCE SO MAYBE YOU CAN ASK THEM FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF AS TO WHAT THE MATERIALITY IS. MR. CHIER: I CAN TELL HIM.

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I ANTICIPATE THAT THE EVIDENCE,
THE PEOPLE CLAIM THAT MR. LEVIN WAS MURDERED AND THE DEFENSE
CLAIMS THAT MR. LEVIN LIVES, STILL LIVES AND THAT HE IS BASKING
SOMEWHERE ON A WARM BEACH. THE FACT THAT THERE WERE A SERIES
OF BANKRUPTCIES BY MR. LEVIN IN WHICH -- IN ONE CASE WHERE HE
GOT RID OF A MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF DEBT, ALMOST AND IN
ANOTHER CASE A FIGURE WHICH I CAN'T REMEMBER, AND HE LEFT AN
ESTATE OF MINUS VALUE OR SOME NEGLIGIBLE VALUE, TOGETHER WITH
ALL OF THE OTHER SCHEMES AND DEVICES THAT LEVIN DID TO GAIN
MONEY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE COURT RECORDS TO SHOW HE WENT THROUGH BANKRUPTCY?

MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IS THAT IT?

MR. CHIER: YES, CERTIFIED COURT RECORDS. THERE ARE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT ARE UNACCOUNTED FOR.

THE COURT: MR. WAPNER, DO YOU THINK THAT THE RECORDS

OF THE BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS WOULD BE ADMISSIBLE IN THIS

CASE?

MR. WAPNER: I AM NOT PREPARED TO MAKE A FULL ARGUMENT ON THAT AT THIS TIME, BUT THAT TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS --

THE COURT: LET'S WAIT THEN AND HEAR YOUR ARGUMENT WHEN THEY OFFER IT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. I WILL MAKE MY RULING AT THAT TIME.

MR. WAPNER: OKAY, SO WHAT YOU ARE SAYING --

THE COURT: I DON'T THINK IT HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE VOIR DIRE, DOES IT?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, ALL I AM SAYING IS THAT TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS POSSIBLE --THE COURT: PARDON ME. GO AHEAD. POSSIBLE WHAT? MR. WAPNER: TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS POSSIBLE THAT THE EVIDENCE WILL COME IN, IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO KNOW WHAT THEIR FEELINGS ARE. IF THE EVIDENCE DOESN'T COME IN, WE HAVEN'T LOST ANYTHING.

/ - - -

THE COURT: I WILL.

```
THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT YOU TO ASK WHETHER OR NOT THEY
 1
 2
     HAVE BEEN IN BANKRUPTCY.
 3
           MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 4
                 MY NEXT "NO" IS ON PAGE --
 5
 6
           THE COURT: (READING:)
 7
                       "HAVE YOU, ANY MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY,
 8
           OR ANY ACQUAINTANCE, EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A
 9
           MISDEMEANOR?"
10
                 NO, I WON'T ASK THAT. WHAT DIFFERENCE IS THAT?
           MR. CHIER: FRED, ISN'T THAT YOUR SHEET?
11
12
           MR. WAPNER: NO.
13
           MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME.
14
           THE COURT: THAT IS NUMBER 28.
15
           MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME. ON QUESTION 28, YOUR HONOR
16
     RULED THAT THE WORD "MISDEMEANOR" WAS DELETED.
17
           THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT.
18
           MR. BARENS: AND THE EXPRESSION "OR ANY ACQUAINTANCE"
19
     WAS CHANGED TO THE EXPRESSION "CLOSE PERSONAL FRIEND."
20
           THE COURT: YES.
21
           MR. BARENS: AND THE DEFENSE ACCEPTS THAT.
22
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
23
           MR. WAPNER: AND IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COURT
24
     SAID THAT AS TO QUESTIONS 27, 28 AND 29, THAT THE COURT WAS
25
     GOING TO ASK THOSE QUESTIONS.
26
           THE COURT: YES, [ AM.
27
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.
```

7 A

28

```
ALL RIGHT, YOU CAN ASK ABOUT THE BANKRUPTCY IF YOU
 1
     WANT TO BUT I AM GOING TO ASK THEM: "HAVE YOU OR ANY MEMBER
 2
     OF YOUR FAMILY OR CLOSE PERSONAL FRIEND EVER BEEN CONVICTED
 3
     OF A FELONY," ALL RIGHT?
 4
           MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YES, YOUR HONOR.
 5
                 ALL RIGHT, QUESTION 30 ON PAGE 13.
 6
           THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE NOW. THE NEXT IS 29.
 7
           MR. BARENS: 29, I HAVE MARKED AS THE JUDGE WOULD ASK.
 8
 9
           THE COURT: YES, BUT NOT ARRESTS. ONLY CONVICTIONS OF
10
     A FELONY.
           MR. WAPNER: WELL, AS FAR AS THE JUROR'S STATE OF MIND,
11
     IT MIGHT BE KIND OF INTERESTING TO KNOW IF YOU HAD JURORS THAT
12
     WERE ARRESTED.
13
14
                 WE ARE NOT IMPEACHING THEIR CREDIBILITY.
15
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
16
           MR. WAPNER: BUT WE ARE JUST TRYING TO FIND OUT --
           THE COURT: (READING:)
17
                       "HAVE YOU, ANY MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY,
18
19
           OR ANY ACQUAINTANCE EVER BEEN ARRESTED AND CHARGED
           WITH ANY CRIME?"
20
                 I AM GOING TO ASK THAT, I WILL ASK THAT QUESTION.
21
22
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
23
           MR. CHIER: YOU DON'T WANT TO ASK ABOUT THE BANKRUPTCY,
24
     JUDGE?
25
          THE COURT: YOU ASK ABOUT IT.
26
          MR. BARENS: YOU WROTE IT, YOU ASK IT, MR. CHIER.
27
           THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.
```

MR. BARENS: NUMBER 30.

THE COURT: YES, WHAT IS THAT? "HAVE YOU, ANY MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY, OR ANY ACQUAINTANCE, EVER BEEN AN INMATE IN A FEDERAL, STATE OR COUNTY INSTITUTION?" WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IT SURE WOULD AFFECT ME IF A MEMBER OF MY FAMILY -- ACTUALLY, I FEEL LIKE THE PROSECUTION ON THIS ONE -- I THINK PEOPLE COULD DEVELOP VERY STRONG BIASES ABOUT THE PENAL SYSTEM IN GENERAL BASED ON CONVERSATIONS WITH A FAMILY MEMBER WHO HAD BEEN IN ONE. THEY MIGHT VOTE FOR DEATH TO AVOID THAT, YOUR HONOR. YOU KNOW ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, WE HAD A COUPLE OF JURORS WHO SAID THAT THEY FELT THAT DEATH WAS FAVORABLE TO LIFE WITHOUT, AND THAT MAY BE BASED ON CONVERSATIONS THEY HAVE HAD WITH FAMILY MEMBERS THAT WERE INCARCERATED.

```
THE COURT: WELL, I ONLY ASK IF THEY HAVE EVER BEEN
1
    CONVICTED OR ARRESTED FOR ANY SERIOUS OFFENSE; DOESN'T THAT
2
3
     COVER EVERYTHING?
                 WHAT DO WE NEED WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE EVER
5
     BEEN INCARCERATED?
                 I WILL ASK THE QUESTION: HAVE YOU OR ANY MEMBER
 6
    OF YOUR FAMILY OR CLOSE PERSONAL FRIEND EVER BEEN CHARGED WITH
7
     OR ARRESTED FOR ANY SERIOUS OFFENSE OTHER THAN, YOU KNOW,
8
     SPITTING ON THE FLOOR OR ANY MISDEMEANOR?
9
          MR. BARENS: I ACCEPT THAT, YOUR HONOR.
10
11
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
          MR. BARENS: THE NEXT.
12
13
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, 31.
          MR. BARENS: THE NEXT "NO" I HAVE, YOUR HONOR, IS
14
15
     QUESTION 36 AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 14.
16
           THE COURT: (READING:)
                       "DO YOU BELIEVE THAT OUR CRIMINAL
17
           JUSTICE SYSTEM FAVORS PERSONS IN THE PUBLIC EYE?"
18
19
          MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE WITHDRAWS THE QUESTION.
20
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
21
           MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE THAT -- LET ME SEE. WE STOPPED
22
    AT THAT POINT, YOUR HONOR.
          THE COURT: NO, NO. WE STOPPED AT THE TOP OF PAGE 17.
23
24
          MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
           THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT GOING TO ASK ALL OF THESE
25
26
    QUESTIONS. GENERALLY, YOU MIGHT -- GENERALLY, I WILL PERMIT
27
     YOU TO ASK WHAT RADIO STATIONS OR WHAT TELEVISION STATIONS
```

THEY LISTEN TO ON A REGULAR BASIS. IF YOU WANT TO ASK THAT,

```
I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT.
 1
 2
                 BUT I DON'T WANT YOU TO ASK EVERY SINGLE ONE OF
 3
     THESE HERE.
 4
           MR. BARENS: I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR.
 5
           THE COURT: ASK THE GENERAL QUESTION AND SEE WHAT THEY
     SAY.
 6
 7
           MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. AND THE SAME AS TO
 8
     NEWSPAPERS AND ALL OF THAT, I WOULD AGREE WITH ALL OF THAT.
 9
           THE COURT: BOTH WHAT THEY READ, WHAT NEWSPAPERS THEY
10
     SUBSCRIBE TO, THAT IS ALL RIGHT WITH ME.
11
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS FINE.
12
           THE COURT: OR WHAT MAGAZINES THEY SUBSCRIBE TO.
13
           MR. BARENS: I AGREE.
14
           THE COURT: WITHOUT PARTICULARIZING EVERY ONE OF THEM.
15
           MR. BARENS: AGREED, YOUR HONOR.
16
                 NUMBER "C" ON PAGE 18, THERE HAS BEEN SOME INQUIRY
17
     BY THE COURT OF THAT.
18
           THE COURT: YES, YOU HAVE ALREADY ON THE HOVEY
19
     QUESTIONING ASKED THEM ALL ABOUT THAT QUESTION.
20
           MR. WAPNER: WE HAVE ALREADY DISCUSSED [T.
21
           THE COURT: WE DISCUSSED THAT AND THAT WILL BE
22
     SUPPLEMENTED BY ASKING THE JURORS WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS
23
     ANYTHING IN ADDITION.
24
          MR. BARENS: I AM GOING TO EXPECT THAT THE JUDGE WILL
25
     COVER THAT ADEQUATELY.
26
          THE COURT: YES.
27
          MR. BARENS: THE REST OF THE QUESTION THEN IS ACADEMIC
28
     THROUGH 43.
```

THE COURT: YES.

ASK THEM WHETHER OR NOT THEY KNOW OF HIM OR ANY COMPANIES

THAT HE IS ASSOCIATED WITH --

MR. CHIER: WELL, HE WAS THE COMPANY. THERE WERE NO OTHER CORPORATE OFFICERS.

MR. BARENS: I ACCEPT WHAT THE COURT HAS SAID.

THE COURT: OR ANY COMPANIES THAT HE ASSOCIATED WITH.

MR. BARENS: YES. I ACCEPT THAT.

QUESTION 44, I BELIEVE THE COURT AGREES WITH.

THE COURT: YES. THAT WILL BE OKAY. THAT IS THE THING THAT WE HAD PREVIOUSLY GONE OVER, THE NEWSPAPERS THAT YOU READ AND ANY MAGAZINES AND SO ON AND SO FORTH THAT YOU READ AND SUBSCRIBE TO AND ET CETERA, ET CETERA. YOU ASKED ABOUT PUBLICATIONS. BUT WE DON'T HAVE TO MENTION EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEM OUTLINED HERE. THAT WILL TAKE HOURS.

MR. BARENS: QUESTION 46, YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: WELL, THAT WOULD BE ALLOWED. WHAT MAGAZINES DO YOU SUBSCRIBE TO.

MR. BARENS: THE QUESTION ON BOOKS, YOUR HONOR, NUMBER 47?

THE COURT: WELL, YOU MEAN SPECIFICALLY WHAT BOOKS THEY HAVE READ?

MR. BARENS: IT TELLS US TWO THINGS, YOUR HONOR. ONE
IS THE INTEREST OF THE PARTY IN A VERY PERSONALIZED SENSE,
PARTICULARLY --

THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT. I SAID THAT WAS OKAY.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: 47 IS OKAY. 48 IS OKAY. 49 IS OKAY. 50 IS OKAY.

THAT IS WHAT WE DISCUSSED BEFORE. 51 IS OKAY,

54 IS THE GENERAL ADMONITION ABOUT NOT READING

ANYTHING ABOUT IT. THEY WON'T HAVE ANY OPINION ON IT IF THEY DON'T READ ANYTHING. 54, NO. 55, NO. 56, NO.

WE HAVE ASKED A LOT OF THESE QUESTIONS, ANYWAY.

THEY ARE ACADEMIC.

MR. BARENS: BEGGING YOUR HONOR'S PARDON. QUESTION
54, PEOPLE DEVELOP CERTAIN BIASES ABOUT DEFENDANTS AND WHOLE
CASES ON THE BASIS OF THEIR BEING NEWSWORTHY ALONE.

THE FACT THAT IT IS ATTRACTING A LOT OF ATTENTION HAS A SECONDARY IMPLICATION TO A LOT OF PEOPLE, WHETHER OR NOT IT IS TRUE OR NOT. THE FACT THAT IT IS GATHERING A LOT OF ATTENTION AND IS SO HIGHLY EXPOSED, TENDS TO MAKE IT MORE CREDIBLE TO PEOPLE. THAT IS WHY I WANTED TO INQUIRE ON THAT VERY POINT.

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE MATERIALITY IS. IF
THEY CATEGORICALLY ANSWER THAT THEY WOULD NOT READ OR LISTEN
TO OR HEAR ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THE CASE, THEY WON'T KNOW
WHETHER OR NOT IT IS CLOSELY FOLLOWED BY LOCAL, STATE,
NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC MEDIA.

MR. BARENS: I SUBMIT THAT IT APPEARS TOO SIMPLISTIC
TO THIS COUNSEL TO ASSUME JUST BECAUSE THEY SAY THEY WON'T,
NUMBER ONE, THAT THEY WON'T AND NUMBER TWO, IT IS SIMPLY
DESIGNED TO LOOK FOR BIAS. THIS QUESTION IS CALCULATED TO
ELICIT THAT.

THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR OPINION ON IT, MR. WAPNER?

MR. WAPNER: MY FEELING IS --

THE COURT: WHAT DOES THE FACT INDICATE TO YOU? I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS. THAT THEY MIGHT THINK HE IS GUILTY?

MR. BARENS: ABSOLUTELY. THE CUMULATIVE WEIGHT OF THE

PUBLICITY, THEY MIGHT SAY THAT ONE MAGAZINE COULD BE WRONG BUT IF 20 OF THEM ON A NATIONAL LEVEL ARE SAYING IT, THAT ADDS CREDIBILITY TO IT, YOUR HONOR.

8 B

R A - 1

28

1 MR. WAPNER: WELL, MY FEELING IS TWOFOLD. ONE, IT IS 2 A DUMB QUESTION. BUT TWO, THERE IS NOT REALLY ANY HARM DONE 3 BY ASKING IT. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW BUT YOU MIGHT --4 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. SUPPOSE THE QUESTION WERE 5 ASKED OF YOU. WHAT WOULD YOUR ANSWER BE? WHAT DOES THAT 6 FACT INDICATE TO YOU? WHAT WOULD BE YOUR ANSWER? 7 MR. BARENS: MY ANSWER? 8 MR. CHIER: I WAS WONDERING THAT, MYSELF. THAT IS, 9 WHAT I WOULD SAY. 10 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT FACT MEAN TO YOU? 11 MR. BARENS: IT MEANS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. 12 THE COURT: WELL, IT WON'T MEAN ANYTHING TO THE JUROR, 13 FITHER. 14 MR. BARENS: BUT, I DON'T ASSUME --15 THE COURT: ANY CASE THAT HAS A HIGH PUBLICITY VALUE --16 MR. BARENS: BUT, I COULD NEVER HAVE QUALIFIED FOR THIS 17 JURY, WITH MY POINT OF VIEW. 18 THE COURT: DO YOU OBJECT TO IT? 19 MR. WAPNER: I DON'T OBJECT TO IT. LIKE I SAID, I THINK 20 IT IS A DUMB QUESTION BUT I DON'T SEE ANY HARM. 21 THE COURT: WELL, IF IT IS DUMB, IT SHOULDN'T BE ASKED. 22 MR. BARENS: I WOULD HOPE THAT MR. WAPNER --23 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I HAVE MADE MY POINT OF VIEW CLEAR. 24 MR. BARENS: THE MATTER IS SUBMITTED. 25 THE COURT: I WILL STAY WITH NO. 26 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 27 THE COURT: 55 IS NO. 56 IS, AS A RESULT OF WHAT YOU

HAVE SEEN OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CASE, HAVE YOU FORMED

ANY OPINION OR BELIEF CONCERNING THE WAY IN WHICH THE 1 INVESTIGATION WAS HANDLED BY THE PROSECUTION. 2 MR. BARENS: YES. 3 THE COURT: NO. MR. BARENS: NOT THE --5 THE COURT: WE COVERED THAT, ANYWAY. I HAVE ASKED THEM 6 WHETHER OR NOT EVERY ONE OF THEM -- WHETHER THEY HAVE READ 7 ANYTHING AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE FORMED ANY OPINION AS 8 TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT. I THINK THAT 9 COVERS IT BROADLY. 56 IS NO. 10 MR. BARENS: RESPECTFULLY, THAT IS A DIFFERENT QUESTION, 11 YOUR HONOR. THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER THEY BELIEVE THE 12 DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OR INNOCENT, BUT RATHER, IS ALL OF THE 13 EVIDENCE BEFORE THEM THAT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PROSECUTION. 14 THE COURT: WELL, NO. NO, AS A RESULT OF WHAT YOU HAVE 15 SEEN OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CASE, HAVE YOU FORMED ANY 16 OPINION OR BELIEF CONCERNING THE WAY IN WHICH THE INVESTIGATION 17 WAS HANDLED BY THE PROSECUTION? 18 MR. BARENS: YES. THE WAY IN WHICH IT WAS HANDLED, 19 WHETHER OR NOT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AVAILABLE TO THE 20 21 PROSECUTION WAS PUT BEFORE THE COURT. THE COURT: NO. I WILL STILL STAY WITH NO ON THAT. 22 57, I HAVE ASKED THOSE QUESTIONS. HAVE YOU HEARD 23 24 OTHERS EXPRESS OPINIONS? I ASKED THEM WHAT THEY HEARD FROM 25 OTHER PEOPLE. MR. BARENS: THE ONLY PROBLEM I HAVE YOUR HONOR, IS 26

THAT YOU ASKED SOME OF THESE PEOPLE THAT QUESTION AS MUCH

AS A MONTH OR THREE WEEKS AGO. AND OBVIOUSLY, THEY COULD

27

```
THE COURT: THAT IS WHY I SAID I WILL SUPPLEMENT THOSE
2
3
     QUESTIONS BY OTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THEY HAVE READ OR
4
     HEARD OR IF THEY HAVE SPOKEN TO ANYBODY ABOUT THE CASE OR
5
     ANYTHING FURTHER. I WILL ASK THAT.
6
           MR. BARENS: SO YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO DO A QUESTION
7
     SIMILAR TO THIS?
8
           THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT.
9
           MR. BARENS: I SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR. I WILL SAY THE
10
     SAME AS TO QUESTION 58, YOUR HONOR.
11
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
12
          MR. BARENS: I PRESUME YOUR HONOR WILL ASK THE QUESTIONS
13
     ON PAGE 24?
14
           THE COURT: NO. HAVE YOU HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT JAMES
15
     PITTMAN?
16
          MR. BARENS: YES.
17
          THE COURT: NO.
18
          MR. BARENS: WELL, CAN WE ASK THAT, YOUR HONOR?
19
          THE COURT: NO.
20
          MR. BARENS: WELL, WHAT IF THEY HAVE HEARD ABOUT
21
    MR. PITTMAN AND THEY HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT MR. PITTMAN?
22
     THESE JURORS COULD WELL HAVE A VERY STRONG OPINION ABOUT
23
    MR. PITTMAN.
24
          MR. WAPNER: MAY I SUGGEST THE FOLLOWING? WE ARE GOING
25
    TO GIVE THEM THE LIST NOT ONLY OF THE WITNESSES, BUT I CAN
26
     INCLUDE IN THAT LIST, ANYBODY ELSE WHOSE NAME MAY COME UP
27
     IN THE TESTIMONY, EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY NOT BE A WITNESS IN
28
    THE CASE.
```

HAVE BEEN POLLUTED SINCE THEN WITH CONTACT ON THOSE QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO INCLUDE JAMES PITTMAN? MR. WAPNER: I WILL PUT MR. PITTMAN'S NAME ON THE LIST. WHEN YOU READ THE LIST, YOU CAN INDICATE THAT IT IS A LIST OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES AND OTHER PEOPLE WHOSE NAMES THEY MAY HEAR DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL.

9 = 0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WAPNER: AND THEN IF WE GET AN AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE ON ANY OF THOSE, THEN OBVIOUSLY COUNSEL -- WELL, MAYBE I SHOULDN'T USE THE WORD OBVIOUSLY -- IT SEEMS TO ME THAT COUNSEL SHOULD THEN BE PERMITTED FOLLOWUP QUESTIONS ON IF THEY KNOW THE PERSON, WHAT YOU KNOW? WHAT HAVE YOU HEARD? THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL COVER IT, I THINK. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AS A POINT OF CLARIFICATION AT THIS JUNCTURE, THE DEFENSE DOES NOT INTEND TO TURN IN A WITNESS LIST. THE COURT: HOW AM I GOING TO ASK WHETHER OR NOT THEY

KNOW ANY OF THEM?

MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THAT IS VIRTUALLY UNPRECEDENTED FOR THIS DEFENDANT TO TURN IN A WITNESS LIST.

THE COURT: NO. NO.

THE QUESTION IS GOING TO FIND OUT WHETHER OR NOT THE PEOPLE KNOW ANY OF THESE PROSPECTIVE WITNESSES SO WE WILL KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY KNOW ANY OF THEM. WHETHER THEY HAVE A BIAS OR PREJUDICE. IF SOMEONE SAYS, IF MY BROTHER-IN-LAW IS GOING TO TESTIFY, I AM NOT GOING TO BELIEVE HIM.

MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE RESPECTFULLY DECLINES TO DO THAT.

THE COURT: YOU SAY YOU REFUSE TO SUBMIT ANY WITNESSES? MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T SAY WE ARE NOT GOING TO CALL WITNESSES.

I SAID I DON'T BELIEVE THAT EITHER THE FIFTH OR SIXTH AMENDMENT PROVISIONS OR THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE IS CONSISTENT WITH A DEFENDANT DISCLOSING A WITNESS LIST.

THE COURT: NO, NO.

25

26

27

THE ONLY PURPOSE OF THAT IS, WITHOUT SAYING WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO TESTIFY TO, THE ONLY PURPOSE OF THAT IS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT THE JURORS KNOW THEM OR WOULD HAVE A BIAS OR PREJUDICE. THE PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW.

MR. BARENS: WOULD YOUR HONOR BE TELLING ME THAT IF I -THE COURT: PARDON ME. I WILL TELL YOU WHAT I CAN DO,
I WILL ASK YOU WHETHER OR NOT YOU RESERVE YOUR RIGHT TO -- AT
THE BEGINNING OF YOUR CASE -- NO, I WON'T SAY THAT EITHER.

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: I WILL ASK YOU WHETHER OR NOT YOU RESERVE
YOUR RIGHT TO SUBMIT ANY LIST OF PROSPECTIVE WITNESSES AND YOU
WILL SAY YES.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WILL NEVER DO THAT.

THE COURT: WELL, HERE, THE JURORS, I WILL ASK THE PROSECUTION WHO THE WITNESSES ARE GOING TO BE AND THE PURPOSE OF THAT IS TO FIND OUT IF THE JURORS KNOW ANY OF THEM AND WHETHER THERE IS ANY BIAS OR PREJUDICE.

MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE PROSECUTION IN EVERY CASE TO DO THAT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THEN I WON'T ASK YOU AT ALL ABOUT IT IF THAT IS WHAT YOU WANT.

MR. BARENS: I APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: NOW, NUMBER 60:

"I HAVE HEARD THE FOLLOWING ABOUT

RONALD GEORGE LEVIN:"

I AM NOT GOING TO ASK THAT.

I WILL ASK THEM WHETHER THEY HEARD ABOUT HIM OR ANY COMPANIES WITH WHICH HE WAS ASSOCIATED.

•--•

.

MR. CHIER: HE WAS THE SUBJECT OF A MAGAZINE ARTICLE IN 1 LOS ANGELES MAGAZINE, AMONG OTHER THINGS. 2 THE COURT: THEN THEY WILL TELL ME THEY HAVE HEARD ABOUT 3 HIM THEN. 4 60, NO. 5 61. NO. 6 ABOUT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT, NO. 7 HAVE THEY HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT FRED WAPNER? DO 8 YOU WANT 63? IT AFFECTS YOU. 9 MR. WAPNER: WE ARE GOING TO ASK THEM IF THEY KNOW ANY 10 OF THE PARTIES. 11 THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT, ANY OF THE COUNSEL. 12 MR. WAPNER: I THINK IN A GENERAL SENSE, WITHOUT GOING 13 THROUGH THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, TO ASK THEM -- AND I GUESS WE 14 MOSTLY HAVE ALREADY -- IF THEY FORMED ANY OPINION BASED ON 15 WHAT THEY HAVE READ OR HEARD. IF THEY DON'T KNOW THE PARTIES 16 AND THEY HAVEN'T FORMED ANY OPINIONS BASED ON WHAT THEY HAVE 17 18 HEARD, THEN I THINK WE HAVE SATISFIED THE ANSWERS TO THESE 19 QUESTIONS, IN ANY EVENT. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

```
1
          THE COURT: I AGREE.
2
          MR. BARENS: I WILL AGREE WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR.
          MR. CHIER: I DEFINITELY WANT TO HEAR 65.
4
           THE COURT: CERTAINLY, NUMBER 65:
5
                       "I HAVE HEARD THE FOLLOWING ABOUT
6
           LAURENCE RITTENBAND:"
7
          MR. CHIER: I WANT TO HEAR THAT.
8
          THE COURT: WE HAVE ASKED A LOT OF THEM ABOUT [F THEY
9
    HAVE HEARD ABOUT THE BILLIONAIRES BOYS CLUB, THAT HAS ALREADY
10
     BEEN ASKED ABOUT.
11
          MR. CHIER: I LOVE GOSSIP, ESPECIALLY IF IT IS ABOUT
12
    MYSELF.
13
          THE COURT: NOT ABOUT MR. CHIER, I THINK IT MIGHT BE
14
    DISPARAGING SO I WON'T ASK THAT QUESTION.
15
          MR. CHIER: I LOVE GOSSIP, ESPECIALLY IF IT IS ABOUT
16
    ME.
17
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, 68, NO.
18
                69. NO -- WHAT IS THIS NEXT ONE NOW? 69:
19
                       "AS TO EACH PERSON, ORGANIZATION,
20
          COMPANY OR FIRM ON THE LIST WHICH FOLLOWS, PLEASE
21
          SUPPLY THE REQUESTED INFORMATION BY CHECKING THE
22
          APPROPRIATE LETTER BELOW."
23
          MR. CHIER: THAT IS THE EXERCISE I WAS DOING WITH
24
     JURORS, "STRONGLY IN FAVOR OR SOMEWHAT."
25
          THE COURT: I SEE. THAT WILL BE NO. THAT GOES THROUGH
26
    PAGES 26, 27, 28 AND 29.
27
                PAGE 30, POLICE OFFICERS. THE PEOPLE WILL TELL
28
    US THE NAMES OF THESE POLICE OFFICERS AND I WILL ASK THEM
```

ABOUT ANY OF THE RECENT ATTACKS ON OUR APPELLATE COURTS?

```
1
                 ARE YOU TALKING NOW ABOUT THE SUPREME COURT?
2
           MR. BARENS: YES.
3
           THE COURT: YOU MEAN BIRD AND THE REST OF THEM?
4
           MR. BARENS: YES.
5
           THE COURT: NO.
6
           MR. BARENS: WHY IS THAT, YOUR HONOR?
7
           THE COURT: I DON'T WANT YOU TO, THAT IS ALL. THERE IS
8
     NO MATERIALITY TO THAT.
9
                 74 IS NO.
10
                 75 IS NO.
11
                 76 IS NO.
12
                 77 IS NO.
13
                 THESE ARE ALL BEFORE THE ELECTION ANYWAY.
14
                 78 IS NO.
15
                 ALL RIGHT, THAT COVERS EVERYTHING.
16
                 IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT TO TAKE UP AT THIS
17
    TIME, GENTLEMEN?
18
          MR. BARENS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
19
          MR. WAPNER: JUST --
20
          MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR, THERE IS.
21
          THE COURT: IF ANYTHING ELSE OCCURS DURING THE COURSE
22
    OF VOIR DIRE, YOU CAN COME TO THE BENCH AND WE WILL DISCUSS
23
    IT.
24
          MR. BARENS: 1 APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR.
25
          MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, IT IS MY IMPRESSION THAT YOU HAVE
26
    A PANEL OF 71 JURORS.
27
          THE COURT: YES.
28
          MR. CHIER: AND THAT WITH ALL PEREMPTORIES, ALTERNATES,
```

```
AND EVERYTHING THERE ARE 76 WITHOUT EVEN EXERCISE OF EVEN A
1
    SINGLE CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE.
2
          THE COURT: WE WILL PLAY IT BY EAR. I AM NOT TCOSURE
3
    ANYWAY. YOU PROBABLY WILL EXERCISE 26 BUT MY FEELING IS THAT
4
    THE PROSECUTION WILL NOT EXERCISE ALL 26.
5
          MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING AT THIS POINT
6
    WHETHER I WILL EXERCISE ONE OR TWENTY-SIX.
7
          THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
8
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
9
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR --
10
11
          THE COURT: LET ME SEE.
          MR. WAPNER: TWO THINGS THE COURT REQUESTED.
12
              (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)
13
          THE COURT: LET ME SEE IF I HAVE A RECORD OF HOW MANY
14
    CHALLENGES YOU EXERCISED IN THE PITTMAN CASE, DO YOU REMEMBER?
15
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, IT IS ALMOST IRRELEVANT AT THIS
16
    POINT.
17
          THE COURT: AS A MATTER OF CURIOSITY, IT IS NOT A MATTER
18
19
    OF RELEVANCY.
                ALL RIGHT.
20
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, TWO THINGS: FIRST OF ALL, THE
21
    COURT ASKED YESTERDAY TO PROVIDE IT A COPY OF THE REPORT THAT
22
23
    WE DISCUSSED IN CHAMBERS --
24
          THE COURT: YES.
          MR. WAPNER: -- INVOLVING MR. TITUS, AND I TOLD MR.
25
    BARENS THAT [ WOULD INCLUDE IN THAT A FACE PAGE THAT WAS
26
27
    SUBSEQUENTLY PROVIDED TO HIM.
```

AND MAY THE RECORD REFLECT I AM TURNING THAT OVER

1 TO THE COURT AT THIS TIME? 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 MR. WAPNER: AND SECOND OF ALL, I HAVE SOME RECORDS THAT WERE SUBPOENAED THAT WERE DELIVERED TO MY OFFICE, I BELIEVE IN ERROR. I DON'T THINK THEY SENT A COPY TO THE COURT. I AM 5 GOING TO FILE THEM WITH THE CLERK AND ASK COUNSEL FOR A 6 7 STIPULATION THAT THEY CAN BE OPENED, COPIED AND COPIES PROVIDED 8 TO COUNSEL. 9 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THAT? DO YOU WANT THE PRESS TO LOOK AT IT, TOO? DO YOU WANT TO PUT IT IN THE FILE OR DO YOU 10 11 WANT TO HAVE IT SEALED? 12 MR. WAPNER: I DON'T WANT THE PRESS TO LOOK AT IT BUT 13 THE RECORDS THAT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED ARE IN A SEPARATE BOX, IN 14 MY UNDERSTANDING AND I UNDERSTAND, OR AT LEAST IT IS MY HOPE 15 THAT THE PRESS IS NOT GOING THROUGH THEM. 16 THE COURT: NO. 17 YOU KEEP THOSE THINGS WHICH ARE SUPPOSED TO BE 18 A SECRET A SECRET. 19 THE CLERK: I WOULDN'T THINK OF GIVING ANYTHING TO THE 20 PRESS BUT I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS CLASSIFIED AS SECRET. 21 MR. CHIER: WELL, IT IS NOT EVIDENCE YET. 22 THE COURT: THERE WILL BE NO ACCESS. 23 THE CLERK: NO ACCESS. FOR YOUR HONOR ONLY? 24 THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU WANT TO DO WITH THAT? 25 MR. BARENS: [DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS, YOUR HONOR. 26 27

1.0

```
MR. WAPNER: ONE OF THEM HAS TO DO WITH RECORDS OF
1
    TRAVELER'S CHECKS FROM CHASE MANHATTAN BANK AND THE OTHER
2
    HAS TO DO WITH THE CREDIT REPORT OF MR. LEVIN FROM TRW.
3
          MR. BARENS: WE WOULD LIKE COPIES.
4
          THE COURT: WHAT IS THE QUESTION?
5
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, COUNSEL SAID HE WANTS COPIES. THAT
6
    WAS THE WHOLE POINT. IF WE CAN GET THAT STIPULATION THAT
7
    THEY CAN BE OPENED AND COPIES PROVIDED --
8
         MR. BARENS: YES.
9
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FINE.
10
          MR. BARENS: I HAVE ONE OTHER REQUEST. YESTERDAY,
11
    MR. WAPNER GRACIOUSLY GAVE US SOME ADDITIONAL PAGES OF
12
    DISCOVERY ON THE ARIZONA PEOPLE.
13
                UNFORTUNATELY AND INEXPLICABLY, I CANNOT FIND
14
    MY COPY OF WHAT HE GAVE ME OF THE ARIZONA MATERIAL. COULD
15
    I HAVE ANOTHER COPY?
16
          THE COURT: HAVE YOU ASKED COUNSEL?
17
          MR. BARENS: MR. CHIER WAS NOT HERE AT THE TIME. HE
18
    STEPPED OUT OF THE COURTROOM. I COULDN'T LOCATE HIM.
19
          THE COURT: THE DEFENDANT HAS NOT DONE ANYTHING WITH
20
21
    IT?
          MR. BARENS: NO. HE WOULD SHARE IT WITH ME.
22
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, I AM ABLE TO DO THAT. BUT THAT IS
23
    A MATTER OF GREAT CONCERN TO ME THAT --
          THE COURT: THAT IT WENT ASTRAY?
25
26
          MR. BARENS: IT IS A MATTER OF CONCERN.
27
          MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW WHO HAS GOT IT. I WILL BE
```

HAPPY TO PROVIDE COUNSEL WITH ANOTHER COPY. BUT I THINK THAT

WE SHOULD ALL EXERCISE GREAT CAUTION THAT THESE REPORTS BE TAKEN CARE OF BECAUSE IF THEY GET INTO THE WRONG HANDS, THE NEXT THING IS THAT WE WILL READ ABOUT IT IN THE NEWSPAPER. THE COURT: WELL, THE HARM IS DONE SO FAR AS THE RECORDS ARE CONCERNED. THERE IS NOTHING YOU CAN DO. YOU MAKE A FURTHER SEARCH AND SEE IF YOU CAN FIND IT. MR. BARENS: I QUITE WELL WILL DO SO. I AM SIMPLY ASKING MR. WAPNER TO MAKE ANOTHER COPY AVAILABLE. I HAVE NO EXPLANATION FOR IT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ANYTHING FOR THIS AFTERNOON? NOTHING? MR. BARENS: MERCIFULLY, NOTHING. (AT 11:50 A.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1986, AT 10 A.M.)