COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ) ) PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT, ) ) · VS. JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. A-090435

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ROOM 800 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 34 OF 101 (PAGES 5042 TO SAJO , INCLUSIVE)

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )

٧S.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

PLAINTIFF,

)

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 14, 1987 VOLUME 34

PAGES 5092 TO 5286 INCL.

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 MAIN STREET SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT: ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 14, 1987 VOLUME 34	PAGES 5092 - 5286
2	A.M.	5092
3	P.M.	5156
4		
5		
6	PROCEEDINGS	
7		
8	VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS (CONTINUED)	5092
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		

7

ſ

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 14, 1987; 10:45 A.M. HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE DEPARTMENT WEST C (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) THE COURT: STIPULATED THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE PRESENT, AGAIN WITH THE EXCEPTION OF -- WHAT IS HIS NAME? THE CLERK: VENICE MURRAY. THE COURT: VENICE MURRAY. YOU MAY PROCEED. THIS IS THE SECOND TIME HE HASN'T BEEN HERE AND WE WILL EXCLUDE HIM AS A JUROR. GO AHEAD. WHAT HAVE WE GOT NEXT? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I --THE COURT: WHAT DO WE HAVE? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I HAD CONCLUDED MY EXAMINATION AND PASS FOR CAUSE. THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. WAPNER: GOOD MORNING, MRS. FELTS.

21 MS. FELTS: HI.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

26

22 MR. WAPNER: HOW ARE YOU?

MS. FELTS: I AM FINE, THANK YOU.

24 MR. WAPNER: YOU HAVE HEARD THE QUESTIONS I HAVE ASKED

25 ALL OF THE OTHER JURORS?

MS. FELTS: YES.

27 MR. WAPNER: YOU WERE SPARED THE NAUTICAL ADVENTURE THAT
28 ALL OF THE OTHER JURORS WERE TREATED TO.

HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE EXAMPLE WITH THE CHERRY PIE, DID YOU FOLLOW THAT? MS. FELTS: YES, 1 DID. MR. WAPNER: DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THAT WAS --MS. FELTS: YES. MR. WAPNER: -- A CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE REASONING PROCESS? MS. FELTS: YES. MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION AS TO WHO ATE THE PIE? MS. FELTS: I -- IF THERE IS NOTHING ELSE GOING ON AND IT IS JUST THE CHILD AND MYSELF AND THE PIE AND IT IS ALL OVER HIS FACE, I WOULD HAVE TO ASSUME THAT THE CHILD ATE IT. MR. WAPNER: OKAY. WOULD THERE BE OTHER THINGS THAT YOU WOULD WANT TO KNOW? MS. FELTS: IF THE CHILD SAID "NO, I DIDN'T," I WOULD ASK HIM HOW IT GOT ON HIS SHIRT AND ON HIS FACE. 

MR. WAPNER: OKAY. YOU WOULD ENGAGE IN A LITTLE CROSS-1 EXAMINATION, MAYBE? 2 MS. FELTS: YES. 3 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND 4 OF THEFT OR CON SCHEME? 5 MS. FELTS: NO. 6 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR MURRAY ENTERS THE 7 COURTROOM.) 8 THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED FROM ANY KIND OF FURTHER 9 JURY DUTY. 10 MR. MURRAY: OKAY. 11 THE COURT: SORRY. 12 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING IN THE PAPERS 13 RECENTLY ABOUT IMMUNITY? 14 MS. FELTS: NO. 15 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU HEARD ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT 16 IS GOING ON IN WASHINGTON ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF GIVING 17 OLIVER NORTH IMMUNITY TO TESTIFY AND THINGS LIKE THAT? 18 MS. FELTS: NO. 19 MR. WAPNER: YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT ARTICLE ABOUT THE 20 MURDER THAT OCCURRED OF THE BASKETBALL PLAYER A YEAR AGO OR 21 SO, WHERE THEY WERE GIVING IMMUNITY TO SOMEONE, TO TESTIFY 22 AGAINST SOMEONE ELSE? 23 MS. FELTS: I PROBABLY DID BUT I DON'T REMEMBER. 24 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY FEELINGS ABOUT WITNESSES 25 WHO TESTIFY UNDER GRANTS OF IMMUNITY, GENERALLY? 26 MS. FELTS: NO. 27 MR. WAPNER: HOW MANY KIDS DO YOU HAVE? 28

2-1

l

i

1	MS. FELTS: TWO.
2	MR. WAPNER: HOW OLD ARE THEY?
3	MS. FELTS: I HAVE A 13-YEAR-OLD SON AND A SIX-YEAR-OLD
4	DAUGHTER.
5	MR. WAPNER: KEEP YOUR VOICE UP.
6	MS. FELTS: A 13-YEAR-OLD SON AND A SIX-YEAR-OLD
7	DAUGHTER.
8	MR. WAPNER: THEY ARE BOTH IN SCHOOL?
9	MS. FELTS: YES.
10	MR. WAPNER: YOU SAID THAT YOU WERE VERY INVOLVED WITH
11	THEM. WHAT KINDS OF THINGS DO YOU DO WHEN YOU ARE SPENDING
12	TIME WITH YOUR CHILDREN?
13	MS. FELTS: MY SON IS VERY ACTIVE IN SPORTS IN SCHOOL.
14	MY DAUGHTER HAS DANCE LESSONS. RIGHT NOW, SHE
15	IS PRACTICING FOR A BALLET SHOW AT THE END OF THE YEAR THAT
16	WE ARE INVOLVED IN.
17	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN IN A SERIOUS DISCUSSION
18	WITH A FRIEND OR RELATIVE, TAKEN A POSITION, LISTENED TO WHAT
19	THAT PERSON HAD TO SAY AND THEN CHANGED YOUR MIND?
20	MS. FELTS: YES.
21	MR. WAPNER: IF YOU WERE IN THE JURY ROOM, DO YOU THINK
22	YOU COULD DO THE SAME THING, IF YOU TOOK A POSITION AND YOU
23	DISCUSSED IT WITH THE OTHER JURORS AND DECIDED THAT YOUR
24	INITIAL POSITION WAS INCORRECT?
25	MS. FELTS: YES.
26	MR. WAPNER: ON THE OTHER HAND, IF YOU DECIDED YOUR
27	INITIAL POSITION WAS STILL RIGHT, COULD YOU HOLD FAST TO THAT?
28	MS. FELTS: YES.

MR. WAPNER: IF YOU HEAR THIS WHOLE CASE AND YOU DECIDE THAT THE EVIDENCE PROVES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THERE WAS A MURDER AND THAT THE DEFENDANT DID IT AND YOU ALSO COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT YOU DON'T LIKE THE PERSON WHO WAS KILLED, IS THAT GOING TO AFFECT YOUR VERDICT IN ANY WAY? MS. FELTS: NO. MR. WAPNER: THE BACKGROUND OF THE PERSON WHO WAS KILLED, WHETHER YOU LIKED HIM OR YOU DIDN'T LIKE HIM, SHOULDN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE AS TO WHETHER A CRIME WAS COMMITTED AND WHO DID IT? MS. FELTS: THAT'S RIGHT. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT IS THE PEOPLE'S PEREMPTORY. MR. WAPNER: WE ASK THE COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE MS. WARBURTON, JUROR NUMBER 12. THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU, MS. WARBURTON. 

T3F

THE CLERK: DAVID NAISTAT. NAISTAT? 1 MR. NAISTAT: NAISTAT. 2 3 THE CLERK: N-A-I-S-T-A-T. THE COURT: MR. NAISTAT, I THINK THAT SOMETIME AGO YOU 4 TOLD US THAT YOU OR SOME MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY ARE IDENTIFIED 5 WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT WORK OF SOME KIND? 6 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I WORK INDIRECTLY IN THE AREA OF 7 LAW ENFORCEMENT. I AM AN INVESTIGATOR FOR THE FEDERAL 8 9 DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION AND IN THAT CAPACITY. I 10 PERIODICALLY WORK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT, PRINCIPALLY THE F.B.I. THE COURT: BECAUSE OF YOUR EXPERIENCE YOU HAVE HAD, 11 12 I SUPPOSE THAT IS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS; IS THAT RIGHT? MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I HAVE BEEN WITH THE F.D.I.C. 13 FOR ABOUT A YEAR OR MORE. 14 THE COURT: WOULD THAT IN ANY WAY -- WOULD YOUR 15 16 EMPLOYMENT IN ANY WAY PREDISPOSE YOU TO FAVOR ONE SIDE OVER 17 THE OTHER IN THIS CASE? 18 MR. NAISTAT: I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 19 THE COURT: OTHER THAN THAT, IF I WERE TO ASK YOU THE 20 SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WHICH WERE ASKED OF THE OTHER JURORS 21 WHILE YOU WERE IN ATTENDANCE HERE, WOULD YOUR ANSWERS BE 22 ANY DIFFERENT OR WOULD THEY BE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME? 23 MR. NAISTAT: SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME. 24 THE COURT: I THINK YOU INDICATED TO US WHAT THE NATURE 25 OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT IS. 26 TELL US YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, IF YOU WILL, 27 PLEASE. MR. NAISTAT: I HAVE A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN BUSINESS 28

5097

3-1

1 FROM RUTGERS UNIVERSITY IN NEW JERSEY AND I DID SOME GRADUATE 2 WORK AT USC. 3 THE COURT: THERE IS A MRS. NAISTAT, IS THERE? 4 MR. NAISTAT: NO. I AM SINGLE. 5 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 6 MR. NAISTAT: MAR VISTA. 7 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON A JURY ON ANY 8 CRIMINAL MATTER UNTIL THIS TIME? 9 MR. NAISTAT: YES, I HAVE SERVED ON A NUMBER OF JURIES 10 OVER THE YEARS. 11 THE COURT: ANY INVOLVING CRIMINAL ACTIONS? 12 MR. NAISTAT: MINOR CRIMINAL ACTIONS, YES. 13 THE OCURT: YOU MEAN IN MUNICIPAL COURT? 14 MR. NAISTAT: YES. THE COURT: AND IN THOSE ACTIONS, THE JURIES REACHED 15 16 VERDICTS? 17 MR. NAISTAT: IN SEVERAL, YES AND I GUESS IN SEVERAL, 18 NO. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A VICTIM OF 20 ANY KIND OF A CRIME? 21 MR. NAISTAT: A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO I HAD TWO CARS 22 STOLEN IN ONE MONTH. 23 THE COURT: BECAUSE OF THOSE EXPERIENCES, WOULD THAT 24 IN ANY WAY AFFECT YOU IN JUDGING THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF 25 THE DEFENDANT WHO IS CHARGED WITH A DIFFERENT TYPE OF A 26 CRIME? 27 MR. NAISTAT: I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 28 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

1 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 2 GOOD MORNING, MR. NAISTAT. 3 MR. NAISTAT: GOOD MORNING. 4 MR. BARENS: HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU BEEN A JUROR? MR. NAISTAT: TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH, I HAVE LOST COUNT. 5 6 I WOULD SAY PROBABLY FOUR OR FIVE. 7 MR. BARENS: FOUR OR FIVE? WERE THOSE ALL --8 WELL, WHAT COURTS WERE YOU IN? 9 MR. NAISTAT: IT WAS ALL MUNICIPAL OR TRAFFIC COURT, 10 MINOR STUFF. MR. BARENS: WERE THEY DRUNK DRIVING CASES? 11 12 MR. NAISTAT: ONE WAS DRUNK DRIVING. ONE WAS DRIVING 13 UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF PCP. 14 MR. BARENS: WAS THAT THE MOST SERIOUS MATTER IN WHICH 15 YOU WERE A JUROR? 16 MR. NAISTAT: CRIMINAL-WISE, YES. MR. BARENS: IN ADDITION THERETO, HAVE YOU BEEN A JUROR 17 18 ON A CIVIL CASE AS WELL? 19 MR. NAISTAT: YES, AT LEAST ONCE THAT I CAN RECALL. MR. BARENS: HOW IS IT YOU KEEP GETTING CALLED AS A 20 21 JUROR. ANY IDEA? 22 MR. NAISTAT: I KEEP ASKING MYSELF THAT. I DON'T KNOW. 23 MR. BARENS: WELL, AT LEAST YOU ARE MOVING UP. 24 MR. NAISTAT: THAT'S RIGHT. 25 MR. BARENS: ON THE DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF PCP, 26 YOU WERE A JUROR THROUGHOUT THAT PROCESS? 27 MR. NAISTAT: YES. 28 MR. BARENS: AND DID THE JURY REACH A VERDICT?

5100

1 MR. NAISTAT: YES. 2 MR. BARENS: AND IN ERACHING THAT VERDICT, WERE YOU OF 3 THE SAME MIND, SO FAR AS HOW YOU VOTED FROM THE TIME YOU 4 INITIALLY RETIRED UNTIL THE TIME THE BALLOT WAS CAST? 5 MR. NAISTAT: YES. 6 MR. BARENS: HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT CASE, HOW DID 7 YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE ON THAT CASE? 8 MR. NAISTAT: I FELT JUSTICE WAS SERVED. 9 MR. BARENS: AND DID THE DEFENDANT TESTIFY IN THAT 10 CASE? 11 MR. NAISTAT: I DON'T RECALL, TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH. 12 MR. BARENS: ON ANY OF THE CASES WHEREIN YOU WERE A 13 JUROR, DID THE DEFENDANT TESTIFY? 14 MR. NAISTAT: I BELIEVE SO. 15 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A SENSE AS TO TODAY, AS TO HOW 16 YOU FELT ABOUT THAT DEFENDANT AFTER HE TESTIFIED, AS TO 17 WHETHER OR NOT HE HAD BEEN CREDIBLE? 18 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I GUESS I FOUND WITNESSES, SOME 19 CREDIBLE, SOME NOT CREDIBLE OR INCREDIBLE, DEPENDING ON HOW 20 YOU WANT TO SAY IT. 21 I CAN'T GENERALIZE ABOUT THAT. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

L

MR. BARENS: LET ME ASK YOU THIS. WELL SPECIFICALLY, 1 IN TERMS OF A DEFENDANT WHO TESTIFIED, DID YOU FIND THE 2 DEFENDANTS THERE CREDIBLE OR NOT WHEN YOU LISTENED TO THEM? 3 MR. NAISTAT: IN SOME CASES, YES. 4 MR. BARENS: DID YOU FIND THAT YOU HAD A FEELING AS 5 TO WHAT THEY MIGHT SAY OR WHAT THEIR POSITION MIGHT BE, 6 BEFORE THEY TESTIFIED THAT WAS SOMEWHAT VALIDATED BY WHAT 7 THEY SAID, ONCE THEY DID TESTIFY? 8 MR. NAISTAT: NO. I THINK I HAD A TOTALLY OPEN MIND 9 BEFORE I HEARD THEM. I JUST BASED MY REACTION ON WHAT THEY 10 SAID. 11 MR. BARENS: HOW DID YOU FEEL IN THE CASE ABOUT THE 12 PCP PERSON? HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE WAY THE LAWYERS 13 PRESENTED THEIR POSITIONS IN THAT CASE? 14 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I AM MUCH MORE IMPRESSED WITH THE 15 COUNSEL I AM WITNESSING HERE THAN ANY PRIOR COUNSELS. 16 I DON'T THINK THAT I FELT IN ANY OF MY PRIOR JURY 17 SERVICE THAT THE DEFENDANTS WERE WELL SERVED BY THEIR 18 COUNSELS. 19 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. IN THAT CASE, WAS THAT 20 AN OBSERVATIONS CASE, WHERE THEY HAD THE POLICE OFFICERS WHO 21 HAD OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT'S CONDUCT IN A CERTAIN MANNER AND 22 THEN THEY TESTIFIED ABOUT THE CONCLUSIONS THEY REACHED ON 23 THOSE OBSERVATIONS? 24 MR. NAISTAT: THAT HAPPENED ON OCCASION. IT WAS AT 25 LEAST ONE OCCASION, THAT I CAN RECALL. 26 MR. BARENS: I AM REFERENCING NOW THE PCP CASE. WAS 27 THAT THE WAY THAT WENT DOWN OR WAS HE ACTUALLY FOUND IN 28

1 POSSESSION OF CONTRABAND? 2 MR. NAISTAT: HE WAS NOT TO MY RECOLLECTION, FOUND IN 3 POSSESSION OF CONTRABAND. THE POLICE OFFICERS DID TESTIFY, 4 YES. 5 MR. BARENS: AND DID THEY HAVE ANY FORENSIC MATERIAL 6 AVAILABLE, BY WAY OF ANY KIND OF TEST THAT HAD BEEN DONE. 7 LIKE A BLOOD TEST OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT? 8 MR. NAISTAT: I BELIEVE SO. 9 MR. BARENS: DID YOU FIND THE PRESENCE OF THE -- AND 10 I ASK YOU THIS AS TO YOUR STATE OF MIND, REFLECTING ON THE 11 MATTER, NOT SO MUCH AS YOU MIGHT HAVE FELT AT THE MOMENT. 12 ALL RIGHT? 13 SIR, DID YOU FIND THAT THE PRESENCE OF THAT BLOOD 14 TEST WAS OUTCOME DETERMINATIVE IN YOUR MIND? 15 MR. NAISTAT: NOT EXCLUSIVELY. IT CERTAINLY CARRIED 16 WEIGHT. THERE WERE OTHER FACTORS. 17 MR. BARENS: CAN YOU REMEMBER WHAT ANY OTHER FACTOR 18 WAS THAT HAD A BEARING FOR YOU IN THAT CASE? 19 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, THE OFFICERS' TESTIMONY ABOUT WHAT 20 TRANSPIRED, THE LACK OF ANY REPUTATION BY THE DEFENSE OF A 21 LOT OF THE ALLEGATIONS, THE FACTS AS TO DAMAGE TO THE CAR. 22 I CAN'T REMEMBER ALL OF THE ELEMENTS. 23 MR. BARENS: DO YOU RECALL NOW WHETHER OR NOT THE 24 DEFENDANT TESTIFIED IN THAT MATTER? 25 MR. NAISTAT: I AM NOT REALLY SURE. 26 MR. BARENS: YOUR SENSE IS THAT THE DEFENSE FAILED TO 27 REFUTE SOME OR ALL OR ANY OF THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE PEOPLE 28 IN THAT CASE? DOES THAT SUGGEST TO YOU THAT PERHAPS, THE

4-2

DEFENSE DID NOT TESTIFY? 1 MR. NAISTAT: HE MAY VERY WELL HAVE NOT TESTIFJED, BUT 2 I AM JUST NOT SURE. 3 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. IF HE DIDN'T, I DON'T KNOW 4 WHAT THOSE FOLKS HAD IN MIND, WITH ALL THAT EVIDENCE COMING 5 IN. 6 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT A DEFENDANT COULD TESTIFY 7 AND NOT BE ABLE TO REFUTE WHAT HAPPENED TO AN ALLEGED VICTIM 8 BECAUSE THE DEFENDANT MIGHT NOT KNOW? 9 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I FULL WELL REALIZE THAT. 10 MR. BARENS: IN OTHER WORDS, DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE DEFENSE 11 COULD SAY WELL, I DIDN'T DO ANYTHING TO THIS ALLEGED VICTIM 12 BUT IF YOU ARE SAYING SO AND SO HAPPENED TO HIM, I DON'T KNOW. 13 I WAS NOT INVOLVED WITH HIM. 14 MR. NAISTAT: CERTAINLY THAT IS POSSIBLE. 15 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. WOULD THAT BE ONE OF THOSE 16 THINGS THAT YOU WOULD HAVE AN OPINION, AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, 17 THAT WOULD BE UNREASONABLE TO YOU? 18 MR. NAISTAT: NO. 19 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. NOW, HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT 20 THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT COMES HERE 21 WITH? 22 MR. NAISTAT: I AM EXTREMELY COMFORTABLE WITH IT. 23 MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T THINK IT IS JUST SOME 24 PROCEDURAL NICETY THAT WE HAVE SET UP FOR PEOPLE, BEFORE WE 25 CAN CONVICT THEM? 26 MR. NAISTAT: ABSOLUTELY NOT. 27 MR. BARENS: BUT IT IS A SUBSTANTIVE RIGHT? 28

1	MR. NAISTAT: DEFINITELY.
2	MR. BARENS: NOW, TALK TO ME ABOUT YOUR EMPLOYMENT WHICH
3	YOU KNOW, HAS SOME CONCERN FOR ME.
4	WHAT PRECISELY, WOULD YOU DO IN YOUR EMPLOYMENT?
5	MR. NAISTAT: WELL, TO MAKE IT SIMPLE, I SPECIALIZE
6	BASICALLY IN INVESTIGATING ON A POST-MORTEM BASIS, YOU MIGHT
7	SAY, WHAT HAPPENED TO BANKS THAT HAVE FAILED.
8	AND MY EMPHASIS IS REALLY TO ASCERTAIN WHAT CAUSED
9	THE BANK TO FAIL, WHO WAS CULPABLE FOR IT AND TO FORWARD
10	FINDINGS TO THE F.D.I.C. ATTORNEYS IN WASHINGTON.
11	THE MOTIVATION, REALLY TO SOME EXTENT, IS TO
12	ASSIST THE FBI WITH ANY CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS. BUT MORE
13	GENERALLY, IT IS TO ENABLE THE F.D.I.C. TO MAKE CLAIMS AGAINST
14	BANKERS' BLANKET BOND INSURANCE POLICIES AND TO PURSUE
15	DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS AND LIABILITY CLAIMS AS A MEANS OF
16	RECOUPING MONEY FOR THE F.D.I.C.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ĺ	

ł

MR. BARENS: AND INDEED, WHEN YOU GO TO COMMENCE YOUR 1 INVESTIGATION FROM THE TOP, YOU GO IN THERE ALMOST WITH AN 2 ASSUMPTION THAT SOMEBODY IS CULPABLE OF SOMETHING? 3 MR. NAISTAT: NO. THAT IS NOT CORRECT. THERE ARE BANKS 4 TODAY THAT FAIL BECAUSE OF ECONOMIC CONDITIONS, WHERE REALLY, 5 NOBODY CAN BE FOUND CULPABLE. 6 MR. BARENS: ISN'T ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS YOU LOOK 7 FOR HOWEVER, IS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT SOMEBODY IS CULPABLE 8 OF SOMETHING? WE GO IN THERE AND WE SEE IF THERE ARE ANY 9 LOANS TO DIRECTORS OR UNREPAID LOANS THAT WERE MADE TO 10 BROTHERS-IN-LAW OR REAL ESTATE ADVENTURES THAT INVOLVED THE 11 DIRECTORS OBLIQUELY? 12 MR. NAISTAT: THAT IS A PART OF THE INVESTIGATION, 13 CERTAINLY. YES. 14 MR. BARENS: YOU ALSO DO THAT, DON'T YOU? 15 MR. NAISTAT: STANDARD PROCEDURE. 16 MR. BARENS: AND IN DOING THAT, YOU HAVE AVAILABLE TO 17 YOU THE RESOURCES OF THE FBI PEOPLE? 18 MR. NAISTAT: ON OCCASION. 19 MR. BARENS: LOOKING FOR ALL THOSE TITLE 18 VIOLATIONS 20 AND ALL OF THE OTHER THINGS? LET ME ASK YOU THIS. HOW DO 21 YOU FEEL ABOUT THOSE FBI PERSONNEL YOU WORKED WITH? 22 MR. NAISTAT: I HAVE FOUND THAT SOME WERE COMPETENT 23 AND I HAVE FOUND THAT SOME WERE HIGHLY INCOMPETENT, IN MY 24 JUDGMENT. 25 MR. BARENS: BUT YOU DID FIND SOME THAT WERE HONEST 26 AND SOME LESS THAN HONEST? 27 MR. NAISTAT: I NEVER HAVE REALLY FOCUSED ON THAT 28

1	QUESTION.
2	MR. BARENS: I ASK YOU TO AT THIS POINT. DO YOU THINK
3	ALL THOSE FBI PEOPLE, THAT THEY ARE ALL HONEST?
4	MR. NAISTAT: I DOUBT IT. THERE WAS A CONVICTION
5	RECENTLY OF AN FBI AGENT.
6	MR. BARENS: HE SOLD SECRETS TO THE RUSSIANS?
7	MR. NAISTAT: TRUE.
8	MR. BARENS: WHILE ON THE PAYROLL OF THE UNITED STATES?
9	MR. NAISTAT: THAT'S TRUE.
10	MR. BARENS: LIED?
11	MR. NAISTAT: THAT'S TRUE.
12	MR. BARENS: OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. DO YOU THINK
13	IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR YOU TO BELIEVE THAT PERHAPS DURING
14	THE INVESTIGATION, AN FBI AGENT YOU WERE WORKING WITH, COULD
15	DEVELOP A SECRET AGENDA OF SOME SORT, PERHAPS IN CONSIDERATION
16	FOR SOME REMUNERATION BEING PAID BY A PROSPECTIVE PERSON WHO
17	MIGHT BE INDICTED AS A RESULT OF THAT?
18	MR. NAISTAT: CERTAINLY IT IS CONCEIVABLE.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ſ

5 FO

1 MR. BARENS: IT CERTAINLY WOULD NOT BE SOMETHING BEYOND THE REALM OF REASONABLENESS, WOULD IT? 2 3 MR. NAISTAT: NO. MR. BARENS: HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU BEEN DOING THIS? 4 MR. NAISTAT: OH, JUST LESS THAN A YEAR AND A HALF. 5 MR. BARENS: LESS THAN A YEAR AND A HALF? 6 MR. NAISTAT: YES. 7 MR. BARENS: WELL. I KNOW YOU WEREN'T A PROFESSIONAL 8 9 JUROR BEFORE THAT. WHAT DID YOU DO THEN? THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY PROFESSIONAL JUROR? 10 MR. BARENS: IT WAS A MOMENT OF HUMOR, YOUR HONOR. 11 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 12 THE COURT: DESIGNATE IT AS SUCH SO I KNOW WHEN YOU ARE 13 14 JOKING AND WHEN YOU ARE NOT JOKING. MR. BARENS: THAT WAS A DESIGNATED, SOMEWHAT REMOTE, 15 16 MOMENT OF HUMOR. THE COURT: OUESTIONABLE, I WOULD SAY. 17 18 MR. BARENS: INDEED QUESTIONABLE. WHAT DID YOU DO PRIOR TO THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF? 19 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, BASICALLY, I HAVE SPENT THE LAST 20 18 -- OR PRIOR TO THIS EMPLOYMENT, I HAVE SPENT THE LAST 18 21 PLUS YEARS IN COMMERCIAL BANKING IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA. 22 MR. BARENS: IN THAT CAPACITY, YOU DID WHAT AS A 23 24 COMMERCIAL BANKER? MR. NAISTAT: I HAD MANY DIFFERENT JOBS, REALLY. I DID 25 BRANCH BANKING. I TAUGHT CREDIT. I HANDLED NATIONAL ACCOUNTS, 26 27 TRAVELED NATIONALLY. VARIOUS -- I HAVE HAD VARIOUS 28 RESPONSIBILITIES.

5 - 1

1 MR. BARENS: WAS THERE SOMETHING IN PARTICULAR THAT 2 QUALIFIED YOU TO TRANSITION FROM THAT EMPLOYMENT TO YOUR 3 PRESENT JOB? 4 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I OBSERVED A LOT OF WHAT MAKES A 5 COMMERCIAL BANK OPERATE AND WHAT ITS INNER-WORKINGS ARE. 6 MR. BARENS: WAS THERE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR THAT 7 MOTIVATED THE CHANGE FROM COMMERCIAL BANKING TO THIS MORE, 8 WHAT I WOULD GENERALLY CALL, INVESTIGATORY ACTIVITY? 9 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I SAW A LOT OF PROBLEMS CROPPING 10 UP IN THE INDUSTRY. IT IS NO SECRET THAT THE INDUSTRY IS 11 HAVING DIFFICULT TIMES. AND I FELT THAT JOINING THE 12 REGULATORY BODY WAS A GOOD CAREER MOVE. 13 MR. BARENS: IN YOUR PRESENT WORK, DO YOU SEE A LOT OF 14 SITUATIONS WITH THESE BANKS WHERE THERE ARE FAIRLY 15 SOPHISTICATED CON SITUATIONS INVOLVED? 16 MR. NAISTAT: I OBSERVED THAT QUITE REGULARLY, YES. 17 MR. BARENS: DO YOU SEE SITUATIONS WHERE THE APPEARANCE 18 OF REALITY AND THE SUBSTANCE OF REALITY ARE MARKEDLY 19 DIFFERENT? 20 MR. NAISTAT: IT HAPPENS FROM TIME TO TIME TO BE TRUE, 21 YES. 22 MR. BARENS: ONE THING YOU COULD NOT DO IN YOUR JOB, 23 I PRESUME, IS JUST ACCEPT PREDICTABLE, IF IT LOOKS LIKE 24 SOMETHING -- OH, THAT IS PROBABLY WHAT IT IS AND WE WILL LEAVE 25 IT AT THAT -- YOU CAN'T REALLY APPROACH YOUR JOB ON THAT 26 BASIS. CAN YOU? 27 MR. BAISTAT: NO. 28 WHAT YOU SEE IS NOT ALWAYS WHAT YOU GET, THAT IS

5108

- 2

5-3 1 TRUE. MR. BARENS: AND THE SIMPLE, OBVIOUS, WHAT I MIGHT 2 3 GENERALLY CALL REASONABLE ANSWER IN THOSE SITUATIONS USUALLY 4 ISN'T ACCEPTABLE, IS IT? 5 MR. NAISTAT: IT MAY END UP BEING ACCEPTABLE. IT MAY NOT. 6 7 MR. BARENS: IT IS A GOOD POSSIBILITY, WHEN YOU SEE ALL OF THESE INNOCENT LOOKING REAL ESTATE LOANS THAT THE BANK 8 9 CAN'T RECOVER, THAT THEY ARE SOMETHING OTHER THAN INNOCENT 10 LOOKING REAL ESTATE LOANS? MR. NAISTAT: THAT HAS BEEN KNOWN TO HAPPEN. 11 MR. BARENS: I WILL BET, NOT JUST ONCE IN A WHILE. 12 WHAT I AM GETTING TO ON THAT, IS BEFORE YOU CAN 13 COME TO A CONCLUSION ABOUT WHAT IS REASONABLE, EVEN BASED ON 14 LEGITIMATE APPEARING DOCUMENTATION, LEGITIMATE APPEARING 15 16 TRANSACTIONS, YOU HAVE TO KNOW ALL OF THE UNDERLYING FACTS. MR. NAISTAT: THAT'S RIGHT, AND DEVELOPING A THOROUGH 17 AND COMPREHENSIVE DATA BASE IS VERY IMPORTANT, THAT'S TRUE. 18 MR. BARENS: AND LOOKING AT THE BIASES OR MOTIVATIONS 19 20 OF THE PLAYERS IN THOSE TRANSACTIONS? 21 MR. NAISTAT: THAT IS VALUABLE ALSO, YES. 22 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE WITH COMMODITIES 23 OR SECURITIES TRADING? MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I INVEST IN THE STOCK MARKET PLACE 24 25 AND I HAVE SEEN IN MY PROFESSIONAL WORK SOME INTERESTING 26 SPECULATIONS IN THE COMMODITIES MARKET, YES. 27 MR. BARENS: WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE FOR YOU TO BELIEVE THAT A COMMODITIES ACCOUNT COULD BE TRADED AND THAT SOMEONE 28

5-4

COULD HAVE AN ARRANGEMENT WITH THE HOUSE, THAT ALTHOUGH IT 1 APPEARED LIKE THEY WERE TRADING A MAJOR ACCOUNT, THAT THERE 2 WAS REALLY NO MONEY INVOLVED AT ALL, THAT THEY WERE JUST 3 GOING THROUGH AN EXERCISE TO SEE HOW I WOULD PERFORM AS A 4 5 TRADER, BUT THERE WOULD BE NO REAL STAKES INVOLVED, EVEN THOUGH ALL OF THE PAPER WORK WOULD LOOK LIKE THERE WAS 6 MILLIONS INVOLVED IN THIS DEAL; WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING YOU 7 8 BELIEVE COULD OCCUR IN REALITY? MR. NAISTAT: IT IS CONCEIVABLE. 9 IT CERTAINLY APPEARS HIGHLY IRREGULAR. 10 MR. BARENS: IRREGULAR, INDEED. 11 12 UNREASONABLE? 13 MR. NAISTAT: ATYPICAL. 14 MR. BARENS: ATYPICAL? 15 IS ATYPICAL EQUATED WITH IMPOSSIBLE? 16 MR. NAISTAT: NO. 17 MR. BARENS: RIGHT. IT IS VERY LIKELY THAT YOU WOULD ACTUALLY HEAR 18 TESTIMONY, IF YOU WERE CALLED ON THIS CASE TO SERVE AS A 19 JUROR, WHERE A BROKERAGE HOUSE WOULD SAY THEY IN FACT DID 20 21 THAT, THAT IS ACTUALLY WHAT WENT DOWN; WOULD YOU FIND THAT 22 IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE? 23 MR. NAISTAT: NO. 24 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR --MR. BARENS: I AM SORRY. I WILL WITHDRAW THAT QUESTION 25 26 TO AVOID THE OBJECTION. 27 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 28 MR. BARENS: THAT QUESTION IS WITHDRAWN, SIR.

1	ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIAL TRAINING
2	IN POLICE WORK OR INVESTIGATION WORK COEFFICIENT TO YOUR
3	HAVING THE PRESENT JOB?
4	MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I HAVE TAKEN SOME COURSE WORK
5	INTERNALLY WITHIN THE F.D.I.C.
6	MR. BARENS: HOW ABOUT WITH THE F.B.I.?
7	MR. NAISTAT: NO.
8	MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE NOT BEEN TO THE F.B.I. TO ANY OF
9	THEIR TRAINING SEMINARS OR PROGRAMS?
10	MR. NAISTAT: NO.
11	MR. BARENS: YOUR ACTUAL EMPLOYER IS THE F.D.I.C.?
12	MR. NAISTAT: THAT'S CORRECT.
13	MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU PERCEIVE THEM TO BE? DO YOU
14	PERCEIVE THEM TO BE A BRANCH OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?
15	MR. NAISTAT: FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES, YES.
16	MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF IN YOUR
17	PERSONAL PERCEPTION INVOLVED WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT?
18	MR. NAISTAT: IT IS NOT THE PRIMARY THRUST, WHAT I AM
19	RESPONSIBLE FOR, BUT I HAVE SOME INVOLVEMENT, YES.
20	MR. BARENS: AND YOU ARE
21	THE COURT REPORTER: PARDON ME?
22	MR. BARENS: YOU ARE ADJUNCTIVE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT, IN
23	FACT, AREN'T YOU?
24	MR. NAISTAT: I BELIEVE SO.
25	MR. BARENS: COULD YOU TELL ME TRUE THAT THAT WOULD NOT
26	GIVE YOU ANY BIAS TOWARDS THE PROSECUTION THAT WOULD PROBABLY
27	PERCEIVE THEMSELVES SOMEHOW INVOLVED IN LAW ENFORCEMENT?
28	MR. NAISTAT: I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE ANY BIAS IN THAT

.

5-5

5111

[	
1	DIRECTION.
2	MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD NOT?
3	MR. NAISTAT: I DON'T THINK SO.
4	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. YOU SAY THAT WITHOUT HESITATION,
5	SIR?
6	MR. NAISTAT: OH, ABSOLUTELY.
7	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE ANY HOBBIES?
8	MR. NAISTAT: I HAVE LOTS OF HOBBIES.
9	1 REALLY ENJOY CAMPING AND HIKING AND BIKING AND
10	SWIMMING AND READING AND VARIOUS OTHER MATTERS.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 6

5 A

5A-1

MR. BARENS: ANY PARTICULAR TYPE OF READING YOU DO? 1 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, BASICALLY, I DON'T HAVE THAT MUCH 2 TIME SO I GENERALLY FOCUS ON TECHNICAL MATERIALS, THE WALL 3 STREET JOURNAL, L.A. TIMES, BUSINESS WEEK, BARRONS AND SO 4 5 FORTH. MR. BARENS: YOU NEVER READ ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE, 6 7 DID YOU. IN ANY OF THOSE PUBLICATIONS? 8 MR. NAISTAT: NO. I HAVE BEEN IN OKLAHOMA FOR THE LAST THREE MONTHS, 9 10 WHICH MAY ACCOUNT FOR THAT. BUT NO, I HAVEN'T. 11 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, SIR, DID YOU EVER GO TO LAW 12 13 SCHOOL? MR. NAISTAT: NO. 14 MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER TAKE ANY LAW COURSES? 15 MR. NAISTAT: YES, I HAVE TAKEN BUSINESS LAW AND SOME 16 OTHER COMMERCIAL ORIENTED LAW COURSES. 17 MR. BARENS: DO YOU BELONG TO ANY ORGANIZATIONS OR GROUPS? 18 MR. NAISTAT: THE SIERRA CLUB AND THE (UNINTELLIGIBLE) 19 EXPLORERS CLUB, THOSE ARE THE PRINCIPAL ONES, AND A SINGLES 20 21 OUTING CLUB. 22 MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN MARRIED? 23 MR. NAISTAT: NO. MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A REGULAR STEADY GIRLFRIEND? 24 I DON'T MEAN TO PRY BUT --25 MR. NAISTAT: NO, I DON'T. 26 MR. BARENS: WE PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR. 27 28 THANK YOU, SIR.

5A-2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. WAPNER. 1 2 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 GOOD MORNING. 4 IS IT -- IT IS NAISTAT? 5 MR. NAISTAT: VERY GOOD. MR. WAPNER: I REMEMBER I WROTE IT OUT PHONETICALLY THE 6 7 FIRST TIME. 8 DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT WHO ATE THE PIECE 9 OF PIE? 10 I AM GOING TO WEAR THIS ONE OUT, TOO. THEY ARE TIRED OF BOTH SO I AM GOING TO RUN THIS ONE INTO THE GROUND, 11 12 JUST TO BE FAIR. MR. NAISTAT: WELL, TO MY RECOLLECTION, THE WAY YOU SET 13 UP THE EXAMPLE, I WOULD HAVE TO -- I WOULD HAVE TO STRONGLY 14 SUSPECT THE BOY, RECOGNIZING THAT, YOU KNOW, MAYBE YOU DIDN'T 15 16 FEED ME ALL THE DATA THERE IS TO BE HAD. MR. WAPNER: BUT IN THE EXAMPLE THAT WAS THERE, IT 17 18 APPEARED TO YOU THAT THE BOY PROBABLY ATE THE PIE? 19 MR. NAISTAT: CERTAINLY APPEARED THAT WAY. 20 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ON ANY INVESTIGATION THAT HAD TO DO WITH EITHER BANK FRAUDS OR CUSTOMERS 21 22 PERPETRATING FRAUDS ON THE BANK? 23 MR. NAISTAT: THAT IS MY BUSINESS. 24 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. AND IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR AREA, 25 GEOGRAPHICAL AREA THAT YOU ARE ASSIGNED TO COVER? MR. NAISTAT: WELL, MOSTLY I WORK IN THE WESTERN U.S. 26 HOWEVER, AS I MENTIONED A FEW MINUTES AGO, I WAS IN OKLAHOMA 27 28 FOR THREE MONTHS JUST RECENTLY.

5A-3

	· ·
. 1	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU HAD ANY DEALINGS DO YOU HAVE
2	ANY DEALINGS WITH BANKS THAT HAVE NOT FAILED BUT MAY BE UNDER-
3	GOING PROBLEMS FOR SOME REASON?
4	MR. NAISTAT: GENERALLY, NO.
5	MR. WAPNER: WHERE DID YOU WORK? AT WHAT BANKS DID YOU
6	WORK BEFORE YOU CAME TO THE F.D.I.C.?
7	MR. NAISTAT: MY PRIMARY EMPLOYMENT WAS WITH FIRST
8	INTERSTATE BANK FOR OVER 15 YEARS.
9	MR. WAPNER: WAS THAT WHERE YOU WERE WORKING JUST
10	BEFORE COMING TO THE F.D.I.C.?
11	MR. NAISTAT: NO.
12	I WAS WORKING FOR AN INDEPENDENT BANK.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

5116

	MR. WAPNER: WHAT BANK WAS THAT?
1	MR. NAISTAT: NATIONAL BANK OF CALIFORNIA.
2	
3	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER DONE ANY INVESTIGATION OF
4	CHECK KITING OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
5	MR. NAISTAT: MOST DEFINITELY.
6	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. ANYTHING INVOLVING WIRE TRANSFERS,
7	PEOPLE DOING CHECK KITING BY DOING WIRE TRANSFERS?
8	MR. NAISTAT: NO, ALTHOUGH I AM FAMILIAR WITH THAT
9	CONCEPT.
10	MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU DONE ANY SPECULATING
11	IN THE COMMODITIES MARKET?
12	MR. NAISTAT: NO.
13	MR. WAPNER: ANY REASON THAT YOU HAVE CHOSEN TO STICK
14	TO STOCKS AND STAY AWAY FROM COMMODITIES?
15	MR. NAISTAT: WELL, COMMODITIES FUTURES ARE EXTREMELY
16	RISKY. COMMODITY OPTIONS HAVE RISKS BUT LIMITS AS TO HOW
17	MUCH YOU CAN LOSE.
18	BUT I HAVE NOT TRANSACTED BUSINESS IN EITHER AREA.
19	MR. WAPNER: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A COMMODITY OR STOCK
20	TRADING APPROACH THAT INVOLVED SPREADS OR SOMETHING CALLED
21	BUTTERFLY SPREADS?
22	MR. NAISTAT: I AM FAMILIAR WITH THE CONCEPT OF SPREADS.
23	I AM NOT SURE I KNOW WHAT A BUTTERFLY SPREAD IS.
24	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY BROTHERS AND SISTERS?
25	MR. NAISTAT: YES. I HAVE ONE BROTHER, ONE STEPSISTER
26	AND ONE STEPBROTHER.
27	MR. WAPNER: AND DO THEY LIVE LOCALLY?
28	MR. NAISTAT: MY STEPBROTHER LIVES HERE IN LOS ANGELES.
20	And the offer one offer one offer end of the end of an deleter

6A-1

MY REAL BROTHER IS IN MINNEAPOLIS AND MY STEPSISTER IS IN 1 FLORIDA. 2 MR. WAPNER: YOUR BROTHER WHO IS IN MINNEAPOLIS, HOW 3 OFTEN DO YOU TALK TO HIM? 4 MR. NAISTAT: PROBABLY A COUPLE OF TIMES A MONTH. 5 MR. WAPNER: AND YOUR STEPBROTHER THAT LIVES HERE, HOW 6 OFTEN DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO HIM? 7 MR. NAISTAT: JUST A FEW TIMES A YEAR. 8 MR. WAPNER: HOW ABOUT YOUR STEPSISTER IN FLORIDA? 9 MR. NAISTAT: JUST A FEW TIMES A YEAR. 10 MR. WAPNER: ARE YOUR FOLKS STILL LIVING? 11 MR. NAISTAT: YES. 12 MR. WAPNER: WHERE DO THEY LIVE? 13 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, MY MOTHER LIVES HERE, IN LOS ANGELES. 14 MY STEPFATHER IS HERE, IN LOS ANGELES. MY REAL FATHER IS 15 IN TEXAS. 16 MR. WAPNER: AND HOW OFTEN DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO YOUR 17 MOTHER AND STEPFATHER? 18 MR. NAISTAT: I SEE MY MOTHER AND TALK TO HER EVERY 19 WEEK. MY REAL FATHER, I SEE VERY RARELY. 20 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU KNOW AN AGENT IN THE FBI NAMED 21 NANCY UNDERWOOD? 22 MR. NAISTAT: NO, I DON'T. 23 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF A THEFT 24 OR ANY KIND OF A CON SCHEME? 25 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, I HAD TWO 26 CARS STOLEN IN ONE MONTH, YEARS AGO. 27 THEY STOLE MY CAR AND THEN THEY STOLE THE RENTAL 28

5A-2

CAR THAT I GOT FROM THE INSURANCE COMPANY. 1 MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU MAKE REPORTS OF BOTH OF THOSE 2 TO THE POLICE? 3 MR. NAISTAT: YES I DID. 4 MR. WAPNER: WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH HOW THOSE CASES 5 WERE HANDLED BY THE POLICE? 6 MR. NAISTAT: WELL, I GOT MY CAR BACK. THE RENTAL CAR, 7 1 DIDN'T CARE ABOUT. 8 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU USE THE GENERAL CONCEPT OF 9 CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IN YOUR DAILY WORK? 10 MR. NAISTAT: I WOULD SAY SO. 11 MR. WAPNER: PUTTING THE PIECES OF DIRECT EVIDENCE 12 TOGETHER AND TRYING TO DRAW INFERENCES OR DEDUCTIONS FROM 13 THOSE PIECES TO COME TO OTHER CONCLUSIONS? 14 MR. NAISTAT: I WOULD SAY SO. 15 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN INVOLVED IN A SERIOUS 16 DISCUSSION WITH A FRIEND OR A RELATIVE WHERE YOU TOOK ONE 17 POSITION AND DECIDED THAT IT WAS WRONG AND THEN CHANGED YOUR 18 MIND? 19 MR. NAISTAT: IT HAPPENS FREQUENTLY. 20 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU DO THAT IN THE JURY ROOM OR COULD 21 YOU IF YOU WERE CONVINCED THAT YOUR INITIAL POSITION WAS 22 ERRONEOUS? 23 MR. NAISTAT: I BELIEVE SO. 24 MR. WAPNER: HAS THAT EVER HAPPENED TO YOU IN THE JURY 25 ROOM IN ANY OF THE CASES YOU HAVE BEEN ON? 26 MR. NAISTAT: I HAVE BEEN SWAYED IN TERMS OF SEEING 27 CERTAIN FACTS, YES. I AM NOT SURE MY VOTE CHANGED BUT I DID 28

SEE FACTS DIFFERENTLY. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT IS THE DEFENSE PEREMPTORY. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD ASK THE COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE MR. NAISTAT. THE COURT: I WAS NOT SURPRISED AT THAT, EITHER. MR. BARENS: WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF YOUR HONOR WOULDN'T COMMENT. THE COURT: I WILL MAKE WHATEVER COMMENT I WANT TO. I WON'T BE GUIDED BY WHAT YOU THINK I SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT DO. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE ANY COMMENTS FROM YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. NAISTAT. MR. BARENS: COULD WE APPROACH? THE COURT: NO. PICK THE NEXT JUROR, PLEASE. 

:		
1	THE CLERK: DONALD R. BUSHNELL, B-U-S-H-N-E-L-L.	
2	THE COURT: MR. BUSHNELL, YOU, TOO HAVE HEARD ALL OF	
3	THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVEN?	
4	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.	
5	THE COURT: WERE I TO ASK YOU THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS,	
6	WOULD YOUR ANSWERS BE ANY DIFFERENT OR SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME?	
7	MR. BUSHNELL: SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DO YOU DO, PLEASE?	
9	MR. BUSHNELL: I AM RETIRED AT THE PRESENT TIME. I	
10	DO PART-TIME WORK OCCASIONALLY.	
11	THE COURT: YOU DO WHAT?	
12	MR. BUSHNELL: PART-TIME WORK OCCASIONALLY.	
13	THE COURT: WHAT TYPE OF WORK DID YOU DO?	
14	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, I WAS FORMERLY WITH THE CITY OF	
15	LOS ANGELES, TRAFFIC SIGNALS, IN A SUPERVISORY CAPACITY. THEN,	
16	WHEN I RETIRED	
17	THE COURT REPORTER: PLEASE KEEP YOUR VOICE UP.	
18	THE COURT: THAT WAS YOUR REGULAR JOB BEFORE YOU RETIRED?	
19	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.	
20	THE COURT: THEN YOU CARRIED ON WITH THAT?	
21	MR. BUSHNELL: YES. AND THEN IN A PART-TIME STANCE	
22	WITH THE WARREN SEICKE ASSOCIATES COMPANY, A TRAFFIC	
23	ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES COMPANY FOR CULVER CITY.	
24	THE COURT REPORTER: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT?	
25	MR. BUSHNELL: WARREN SEICKE, S-E-I-C-K-E.	
26	THE COURT: AND IS THERE A MRS. BUSHNELL?	
27	MR. BUSHNELL: THERE IS.	
28	THE COURT: AND DOES SHE HAVE ANY EMPLOYMENT OUTSIDE	

58-1

THE HOME? 1 MR. BUSHNELL: AT THE PRESENT TIME, SHE IS RETIRED AS 2 A RETAIL CLERK FROM MARKET BASKET SOME YEARS AGO. AND AT 3 THE PRESENT TIME, SHE IS BABY-SITTING MY GRANDDAUGHTER. 4 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 5 MR. BUSHNELL: CULVER CITY. 6 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND? 7 MR. BUSHNELL: I HAVE COMPLETED HIGH SCHOOL AND 8 ATTENDED TRADE SCHOOLS FOR ABOUT THREE DIFFERENT TYPES OF 9 CLASSES. 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER SERVED AS A JUROR 11 IN A CRIMINAL CASE BEFORE? 12 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. 13 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF A CASE WAS THAT? 14 MR. BUSHNELL: IT WAS A CASE IN BEVERLY HILLS REGARDING 15 A BURGLARY OF A CAR. 16 THE COURT: DID THE JURY REACH A VERDICT IN THAT CASE? 17 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I DID ASK YOU WHERE YOU LIVED, 19 DID I NOT? 20 MR. BUSHNELL: YES, CULVER CITY. 21 THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO AHEAD. 22 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 23 GOOD MORNING, MR. BUSHNELL? 24 MR. BUSHNELL: GOOD MORNING. 25 MR. BARENS: MR. BUSHNELL, THE PRIOR JURY EXPERIENCE 26 YOU HAD WAS A CAR BURGLARY, AS OPPOSED TO A CAR THEFT? 27 MR. BUSHNELL: IT WAS A BURGLARY OF A CAR. 28

1	MR. BARENS: WERE YOU A JUROR THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS?
2	MR. BUSHNELL: I WAS.
3	MR. BARENS: HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE
4	ON THAT JURY?
5	MR. BUSHNELL: IT WAS EDUCATIONAL.
6	MR. BARENS: EDUCATIONAL? THE COURT INSTRUCTED YOU
7	OR PERHAPS YOU HEARD FROM COUNSEL FIRST, WHICHEVER WAY IT
8	WENT, THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD A PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE IN
9	THAT CASE?
10	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
11	MR. BARENS: WERE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT?
12	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
13	MR. BARENS: I SEEM TO RECALL WHEN WE QUESTIONED YOU
14	EARLIER ON YOUR POINTS OF VIEW ON THE OTHER SUBJECT, THAT
15	YOU HAD SOME MISGIVINGS OR BELIEFS THAT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANT
16	WAS PRESENT AND CHARGED WITH A CRIME, THAT THERE MIGHT BE
17	SOMETHING, SOME REASON TO BELIEVE THAT HE HAD DONE SOMETHING
18	WRONG?
19	MR. BUSHNELL: YES. I THINK I DID SAY THAT.
20	MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU RECONSIDERED THAT STATEMENT OR
21	COULD YOU TELL US HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT, AS YOU SIT THERE
22	NOW?
23	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, IF A MAN HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO COURT,
24	THERE MUST BE SOME REASON FOR IT. WHETHER HE IS GUILTY OR
25	NOT, THAT IS ANOTHER THING.
26	MR. BARENS: SO, TO RELIEVE WHATEVER ANXIETY I MIGHT
27	HAVE IN THAT AREA, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING TO ME IS THAT YOU
28	DON'T NECESSARILY THINK HE IS GUILTY OF A CRIME BECAUSE HE

Г

1	IS HERE?
2	MR. BUSHNELL: NO, NOT NECESSARILY.
3	MR. BARENS: DO YOU HESITATE ON THAT, SIR?
4	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
5	MR. BARENS: ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THE CONCEPT OF
6	THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE?
7	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
8	MR. BARENS: DO YOU LOOK AT IT AS SOMETHING
9	SUBSTANTIVE OR PROCEDURAL?
10	MR. BUSHNELL: PROCEDURAL.
11	MR. BARENS: IT IS JUST SOMETHING WE DO ON OUR WAY TO
12	THE CONVICTION PROCESS?
13	MR. BUSHNELL: UH-HUH.
14	MR. BARENS: INDEED? IS THAT CORRECT?
15	MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S RIGHT.
16	MR. BARENS: THAT IS YOUR BELIEF, ISN'T IT?
17	MR. BUSHNELL: UH-HUH.
18	MR. BARENS: WELL, HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DEFENDANT
19	IN THE CASE WITHOUT TELLING ME HOW YOU VOTED, DID YOU HAVE
20	THE SAME ATTITUDE ABOUT HIS GUILT OR INNOCENCE WHEN THE
21	TRIAL STARTED, ON ITS VERY FIRST MOMENT, AS YOU DID WHEN YOU
22	WENT INTO THE JURY ROOM TO DELIBERATE?
23	MR. BUSHNELL: NO. I HAD NO FEELINGS ONE WAY OR THE
24	OTHER. I HAD TO LISTEN TO THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO COME
25	TO A CONCLUSION.
26	MR. BARENS: AND DID YOUR ATTITUDE DURING THE DELIBERATION
27	PROCESS CHANGE OR WAS IT FAIRLY CONSISTENT FROM START TO
28	FINISH?

Γ

MR. BUSHNELL: AS FAR AS I WAS CONCERNED, FROM WHAT 1 I HEARD, IT WAS CONSISTENT. 2 MR. BARENS: I MEAN, YOUR MIND DIDN'T CHANGE FROM THE 3 TIME YOU STARTED TO DELIBERATE UNTIL YOU ENDED? 4 MR. BUSHNELL: NO IT DID NOT. 5 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, SIR. ASIDE FROM THAT INSTANCE 6 OF JURY EXPERIENCE, HAVE YOU HAD ANY OTHER JURY EXPERIENCE? 7 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. I SERVED TIME DOWNTOWN AT THE 8 L.A. COURTS. I WAS IMPANELED THERE A COUPLE OF TIMES BUT 9 THEN WAS EXCUSED. 10 MR. BARENS: WERE THOSE ON CRIMINAL JURIES? 11 MR. BUSHNELL: NO. THIS ONE WAS A CIVIL CASE WITH THE 12 MARIO LANZA CASE IN RELATION TO THE ROYALTIES BY THE FAMILY. 13 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU EVER IMPANELED BEFORE IN A 14 CRIMINAL CASE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE BEVERLY HILLS MATTER, 15 SIR? 16 MR. BUSHNELL: I DON'T KNOW HOW IT WOULD BE CLASSIFIED. 17 ONE PANEL I SERVED ON IN BEVERLY HILLS, HAD TO DO WITH AN 18 ASSAULT ON A TOPLESS DANCER. I DON'T KNOW IF IT WOULD BE 19 CALLED CRIMINAL OR MISDEMEANOR OR WHAT. 20 MR. BARENS: WELL, IT COULD DEPEND, MR. BUSHNELL, BASED 21 ON THE AGGRAVATION, WHETHER IT WAS A MISDEMEANOR OR A FELONY. 22 IT SOUNDS LIKE IT WAS A CRIMINAL MATTER TO ME, HOWEVER. 23 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. I GOT A LITTLE IDEA LIKE THAT, 24 T00. 25 MR. BARENS: ALTHOUGH IT COULD HAVE BEEN THE SUBJECT 26 OF A CIVIL SUIT, AS WELL. DID YOU SERVE ON THAT JURY? 27 MR. BUSHNELL: I DID. 28

MR. BARENS: DID THAT JURY COME TO A CONCLUSION? MR. BUSHNELL: YES IT DID. MR. BARENS: MR. BUSHNELL, SO I CAN BETTER UNDERSTAND, WAS THE OBJECTIVE OF THAT TRIAL TO REACH A GUILT OR INNOCENCE VERDICT OR WAS THAT LOOKING FOR A MONETARY AWARD OF SOME KIND? MR. BUSHNELL: NO. IT WAS NOT MONETARY. IT WAS FOR EITHER GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY ON THE ASSAULT. 

7 F

7 - 1

ł

1	MR. BARENS: OKAY. AND YOU WERE A JUROR THROUGHOUT ON
2	THAT TRIAL?
3	MR. BUSHNELL: UH-HUH.
4	MR. BARENS: WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE VIRTUE OF THE
5	PROCESS OF THE JURY DELIBERATION AND THE TRIAL SITUATION ANY
6	DIFFERENT ON THAT JURY EXPERIENCE THAN IT WAS FROM THE
7	CAR BURGLARY EXPERIENCE?
8	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
9	I WANS'T VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE MANNER IN WHICH
10	THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HANDLED THEIR DEPARTMENT, THEIR END,
11	I SHOULD SAY THE REPORTING, AND SO FORTH, I WAS A LITTLE
12	I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY THEY HANDLED IT THE WAY THEY DID.
13	MR. BARENS: COULD YOU BE ANY MORE SPECIFIC ON WHAT YOU
14	MEAN BY THAT?
15	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
16	THE FIRST UNIT RESPONDED TO THE CALL AT THE NIGHT-
17	CLUB, WHICH WAS UP ON SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, CAME IN TO
18	QUELL A DISTURBANCE AND THE SECOND UNIT ARRIVED AND THEY TOOK
19	THE REPORT INSTEAD OF THE FIRST UNIT AND, THEREFORE, THERE
20	WAS SOME LOST INFORMATION, I FELT, THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE
21	BY THE FIRST UNIT RESPONDING.
22	MR. BARENS: I SEE.
23	WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE, OTHER THAN THE MECHANICS
24	OF HOW THE POLICE CONDUCTED THE INVESTIGATION ON THAT CASE,
25	THAT GAVE YOU ANY DIFFERENT IMPRESSION BETWEEN THE TWO
26	JURY EXPERIENCES?
27	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
28	MR. BARENS: OTHER THAN THAT, YOU WERE SATISFIED?

5127

	1	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
•	2	THE COURT REPORTER: IS THAT ANSWER YES?
	3	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
	4	PARDON ME
	5	MR. BARENS: DID THE DEFENDANT TESTIFY IN BOTH OF THOSE
	6	CASES?
	7	MR. BUSHNELL: IT HAS BEEN SO MANY YEARS AGO, THAT IS
	8	A LITTLE HARD TO REMEMBER NOW.
	9	NO, NEITHER ONE OF THEM DID IN THOSE CASES.
	10	MR. BARENS: NEITHER?
	11	MR. BUSHNELL: NEITHER.
	12	MR. BARENS: DID YOU FEEL THAT THAT HAD MUCH OF AN IMPACT
	13	ON THE DECISION THAT YOU ULTIMATELY MADE?
ŧ	14	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
	15	MR. BARENS: YOU DIDN'T?
	16	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
	17	MR. BARENS: YOU DIDN'T HAVE A FEELING OF MISGIVING OR
	18	FRUSTRATION OR LACK OF INPUT BECAUSE THE DEFENDANT DIDN'T TAKE
	19	THE STAND?
	20	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
	21	MR. BARENS: DID YOU FEEL THE DEFENDANT WAS CONCEDING
	22	ANY ISSUES BY NOT TAKING THE STAND?
	23	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, I DIDN'T GIVE IT A THOUGHT AT THAT
	24	TIME.
	25	I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW ON THAT.
	26	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU EXPECT THE DEFENDANT
	27	TO TESTIFY IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL?
	28	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, I THINK IT WOULD BE TO HIS ADVANTAGE

7 - 2

7-3 TO BUT, OF COURSE, THAT IS COUNSEL'S DECISION WHETHER HE SHOULD 1 2 OR NOT, OR HIS. MR. BARENS: NOW, IF A DEFENDANT DID TESTIFY, COULD YOU 3 BELIEVE AND UNDERSTAND THAT THAT DEFENDANT COULD BE TELLING 4 5 THE TRUTH OR WOULD YOU FEEL "WELL, WITH HIS NECK IN THE NOOSE ALMOST, HE PROBABLY WON'T TELL THE TRUTH"? 6 7 MR. BUSHNELL: I THINK IF HE IS UNDER OATH, HE WOULD 8 BE TELLING THE TRUTH PRETTY GOOD. MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT OPEN-9 10 MINDEDLY, MR. BUSHNELL? 11 MR. BUSHNELL: OH, YES. MR. BARENS: ASIDE FROM THE TWO CRIMINAL JURY EXPERIENCES 12 YOU HAVE TOLD ME ABOUT, WERE THERE ANY OTHER CRIMINAL JURY 13 14 EXPERIENCES YOU HAVE HAD, SIR? 15 MR. BUSHNELL: NO. ONE WAS A TRAFFIC ON A CITATION THE ATTORNEY WAS 16 17 FIGHTING. MR. BARENS: HE REPRESENTED HIMSELF? 18 19 MR. BUSHNELL: YES, HE DID. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT COUNSEL 20 ON THOSE TWO OTHER CASES, HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE JOB THAT 21 22 WAS DONE BY THE LAWYERS IN BOTH CASES? MR. BUSHNELL: I THINK THEY DID A SUBSTANTIAL JOB IN 23 24 HANDLING THEIR SIDE OF IT. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU HAVE SOME MISGIVINGS 25 ABOUT EITHER OF THE DEFENSE COUNSEL THAT DIDN'T HAVE THEIR 26 WITNESSES TESTIFY -- I AM SORRY -- THEIR DEFENDANT TESTIFY, 27 28 THEIR CLIENT?

1	5129
7 - 4	
1	MR. BUSHNELL: WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT?
2	MR. BARENS: SURE.
3	DID YOU HAVE ANY MISGIVINGS ABOUT THE JUDGMENT
4	OF THE DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THOSE CASES, IN THOSE TWO CASES IN
5	THE INSTANCES WHERE THEY DID NOT HAVE THEIR CLIENTS TESTIFY?
6	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
7A 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

7A-1	
1	MR. BARENS: YOU FELT THERE WAS NOTHING THAT THEY HADN'T
2	THAT THEY HADN'T SOLD THEM DOWN THE RIVER OR HAD NOT DONE
3	THEIR HOMEWORK OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT?
4	MR. BUSHNELL: NO, I DIDN'T GET THAT IMPRESSION.
5	MR. BARENS: NOW, WHAT DO WE DO IN A SITUATION WHERE
6	IF THE DEFENDANT TESTIFIES AND HE TOLD YOU HE WASN'T SURE WHAT
7	HAPPENED, COULD YOU LOOK AT A SITUATION WHERE THE DEFENDANT
8	MIGHT SAY "I JUST DON'T KNOW" AND THE DEFENDANT HASN'T PROVEN
9	ANYTHING TO YOU BY THAT FACT, COULD YOU ACCEPT IT AS A
10	LEGITIMATE FORM OF TESTIMONY BY A DEFENDANT?
11	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
12	MR. BARENS: COULD YOU IN A SITUATION, WHERE THE
13	DEFENDANT DOESN'T PROVE ANYTHING, DO YOU THINK THAT A DEFENDANT
- 14	HAS AN OBLIGATION TO PROVE SOMETHING?
15	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, I THINK THAT IF IT WAS TO HIS
16	BENEFIT, HE WOULD TRY TO PROVE IT, YES.
17	MR. BARENS: MY QUESTION ACTUALLY WENT TO, MR. BUSHNELL,
18	IF THE DEFENDANT HAD AN OBLIGATION TO PROVE SOMETHING.
19	MR. BUSHNELL: OBLIGATION I AM SORRY NO, I DON'T
20	THINK SO.
21	MR. BARENS: WHO HAS THE OBLIGATION OF PROOF?
22	MR. BUSHNELL: THE PROSECUTOR HAS THE OBLIGATION.
23	MR. BARENS: AND ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE STANDARD TO
24	WHICH THEY MUST MEET IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN THAT BURDEN?
25	MR. BUSHNELL: NO.
26	MR. BARENS: WELL, YOU WOULD BE INSTRUCTED BY HIS HONOR
27	THAT THAT STANDARD WOULD BE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.
28	MR. BUSHNELL: OH, YES.

7A-2	ſ	
	1	MR. BARENS: YOU PROBABLY WOULD NOW RECALL HAVING HEARD
	2	THAT IN THOSE PREVIOUS CASES.
	3	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
	4	MR. BARENS: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NOT A MORE
	5	LIKELY GUILTY THAN NOT TYPE OF CASE?
	6	MR. BUSHNELL: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YES.
	7	MR. BARENS: NOT A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.
	8	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
	9	MR. BARENS: IT RAISES IT TO SOME HIGHER THRESHOLD.
	10	MR. BUSHNELL: YES.
	11	I AM SORRY.
	12	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MR. BUSHNELL.
	13	MR. BUSHNELL, YOU WOULD CONCEDE, WOULD YOU, THAT
	14	IT DOESN'T SHOCK YOU THAT THE GOVERNMENT CAN COME FORWARD WITH
	15	A MURDER TRIAL ON A CASE WHERE THEY HAD NO BODY?
	16	MR. BUSHNELL: NO, IT WOULDN'T SHOCK ME A BIT.
	17	MR. BARENS: WOULD IT STILL BE AN ISSUE IN YOUR MIND
	18	AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IN FACT THERE WAS ANY BODY?
	19	THE COURT: YOU MEAN WHETHER THERE WAS A MURDER?
	20	MR. BARENS: YES, WHETHER THERE WAS A DEAD BODY IS WHAT
	21	I MEAN BY THAT, OF COURSE.
	22	THERE PROBABLY WAS A BODY IN THE FIRST INSTANCE
	23	WALKING AROUND, MAYBE STILL.
	24	MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, IT DOES MAKE YOU STOP AND THINK,
	25	WONDERING JUST EXACTLY WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE, BUT YOU
	26	CAN'T TELL UNTIL YOU HEAR ALL OF THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED.
	27	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, BUT THE FACT THAT YOU COULD COME
	28	IN HERE AND HEAR THAT THERE IS A MURDER TRIAL GOING ON AND
	1	

7A-3 THE GOVERNMENT SAYS "WELL, YOU KNOW, WE DON'T HAVE THE BODY," 1 WOULD THAT NECESSARILY MAKE YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE IS A BODY? 2 MR. BUSHNELL: NOT NECESSARILY. 3 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. YOU WOULD WAIT UNTIL YOU HEARD 4 EVIDENCE IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, WOULDN'T YOU, AS TO WHETHER 5 THERE IS A BODY TO BEGIN WITH, BEFORE WE START DETERMINING 6 WHETHER IT IS A MURDERED BODY; IS THAT CORRECT, SIR? 7 MR. BUSHNELL: CORRECT. 8 MR. BARENS: NOW, IN YOUR EMPLOYMENT, WHAT DID YOU DO 9 10 OVER THE YEARS, SIR? MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, MY EMPLOYMENT WITH THE CITY OF 11 L.A. WAS THE INSTALLATION, REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC 12 SIGNALS AND THEN IN A SUPERVISORY CAPACITY IN THE LATTER 13 YEARS, WHICH ENCOMPASSED THE ENTIRE CITY OF L.A. 14 MR. BARENS: HOW MANY YEARS DID YOU DO THAT FOR, SIR? 15 MR. BUSHNELL: THIRTY YEARS. 16 MR. BARENS: DID YOU WORK REGULARLY WITH THE POLICE 17 18 PEOPLE? MR. BUSHNELL: WE WERE AFFILIATED WITH THEM, YES, FROM 19 TIME TO TIME BECAUSE WE HAD TO HAVE HELP IN DIRECTING TRAFFIC 20 WHEN A TRAFFIC SIGNAL MALFUNCTIONED OR SHUT DOWN, IN ORDER 21 TO MAKE THE NECESSARY REPAIRS OR IF THERE WAS A MODERNIZATAION 22 TO MOVE SIGNALS, AND THIS SORT OF THING. 23 MR. BARENS: AND IN WORKING WITH THEM ON A FREQUENT 24 BASIS, DO YOU FEEL IN ANY WAY YOU HAVE DEVELOPED ANY SORT 25 OF A BIAS THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF THAT MIGHT MAKE YOU MORE 26 PRONE TO BELIEVE THE TESTIMONY OF A POLICEMAN? 27 MR. BUSHNELL: NO. 28

MR. BARENS: YOU DO NOT FEEL THAT, SIR? 1 MR. BUSHNELL: HUH-UH. 2 (PROSPECTIVE JUROR BUSHNELL SHAKES HEAD 3 FROM SIDE TO SIDE.) Δ MR. BARENS: NOW EARLIER ON, THE LAST PROSPECTIVE JUROR 5 THAT SAT WHERE YOU ARE, TALKED ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT HE HAD 6 BEEN GIVEN ALL OF THE DATA. I THINK ONE OF HIS COMMENTS WAS 7 "WELL, IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHETHER WE HAD GOTTEN SUFFICIENT 8 9 DATA." DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT DURING A TRIAL, YOU GET 10 A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DATA FROM THE WITNESSES AND YOU MAY WELL 11 COME TO A -- COME TO HAVING AN IMPRESSION THAT YOU DON'T HAVE 12 ENOUGH DATA; IS THAT BELIEVABLE THAT THAT COULD HAPPEN? 13 MR. BUSHNELL: YES, THAT IS TRUE. 14 MR. BARENS: NOW, AS YOU ARE SITTING THERE AND YOU GO 15 IN TO DELIBERATE AND PERHAPS SOME OTHER JURORS LOOK AT IT THE 16 SAME WAY YOU DO AND SAY, "WELL, WE DIDN'T HEAR ANY TESTIMONY 17 AT ALL ABOUT SO ON AND SO ON OR THIS ISSUE OR THAT ISSUE OR 18 NOT," AND YOU REALIZE THAT AT THAT POINT IN TIME THAT WHAT 19 20 YOU SEE HERE IS ALL YOU GET? MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

8

1 MR. BARENS: ANY DATA THAT YOU ARE LA	CKING, YOU CAN'T
2 SPECULATE ABOUT IT?	
3 MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT.	
4 MR. BARENS: YOU CAN'T JUST ASSUME TH	E EXISTENCE OF
5 FACTS THAT WERE NOT DEMONSTRATED IN THE COU	IRTROOM?
6 MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT.	
7 MR. BARENS: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?	
8 MR. BUSHNELL: I UNDERSTAND.	
9 MR. BARENS: SO IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE	DATA ON WHICH
10 TO MAKE A DECISION IN SOME AREA, WHAT DO YO	00 DO?
11 MR. BUSHNELL: YOU HAVE TO EVALUATE T	HE EVIDENCE GIVEN
12 TO YOU AND IF IT IS NOT SUFFICIENT, YOU HAV	E TO GO IN FAVOR
13 OF THE DEFENDANT. YOU HAVE NO CHOICE.	
14 MR. BARENS: NOT GUILTY OR NOT PROVEN	13
15 MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT.	
16 MR. BARENS: IN OTHER WORDS, IF IT IS	S NOT PROVEN IN
17 EVERY ELEMENT OF THE ALLEGATION THAT THE GO	VERNMENT BRINGS
18 FORWARD, IT IS NOT GUILTY, ISN'T IT?	
19 MR. BUSHNELL: YES.	
20 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE ANY HOBBIES,	, SIR?
21 MR. BUSHNELL: I HAVE GOT A FEW OF TH	HEM. I HAVE AN
22 INTEREST IN COLLECTING PROMOTIONAL COINS AN	ND MEDALLIONS. AND
23 I AM INTERESTED IN COLLECTING MAPS OF VARIO	
I PIDDLE AROUND IN PHOTOGRAPHY	DUS TYPES.
24 I PIDDLE AROUND IN PHOTOGRAPHY	
24 I PIDDLE AROUND IN PHOTOGRAPHY 25 I AM INTERESTED IN HISTORICAL DATA IN THE I	A LITTLE BIT.
	A LITTLE BIT.
25 I AM INTERESTED IN HISTORICAL DATA IN THE I	A LITTLE BIT. LOCAL AREA.

Γ

8A1

MR. BUSHNELL: CURRENTLY, NO. 1 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU PREVIOUSLY? 2 MR. BUSHNELL: I WAS FORMERLY WITH THE ELKS. 3 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU ACTIVE? 4 MR. BUSHNELL: I TRIED TO BE ACTIVE BUT I COULDN'T BE. 5 I WITHDREW FINALLY. 6 MR. BARENS: I SEE. DID YOU MENTION THAT YOU HAVE 7 CHILDREN, MR. BUSHNELL? 8 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. I HAVE TWO CHILDREN, A BOY 35 AND 9 A DAUGHTER 30. 10 MR. BARENS: AND HOW IS YOUR SON EMPLOYED, SIR? 11 MR. BUSHNELL: HE IS A PROPRIETOR OF CULVER COMMUNITY 12 CHURCH CHRISTIAN BOOKSTORE. HE ALSO WORKS FOR THE CHRISTIAN 13 SCHOOL THERE, AS WELL. 14 MR. BARENS: AND YOUR DAUGHTER, SIR? 15 MR. BUSHNELL: SHE IS HEAD CASHIER FOR THE BOYS MARKET 16 IN FOX HILLS. 17 MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE WAY THE 18 CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS IN THIS COUNTRY? 19 MR. BUSHNELL: I THINK IT WORKS WELL, BUT I THINK THERE 20 ARE SOME WEAK SPOTS. 21 I THINK THERE ARE SOME LAWS THAT SHOULD BE 22 REWRITTEN A LITTLE TIGHTER THAN THEY ARE. THERE SHOULD BE 23 SOME LOOPHOLES WHICH SHOULD BE LOOKED INTO. 24 MR. BARENS: COULD YOU BE ANY MORE SPECIFIC? FOR 25 INSTANCE, IF YOU WERE A LEGISLATOR AND YOU HAD THE ABILITY 26 TO INTRODUCE MODIFICATIONS TO THE PENAL CODE, WHAT WOULD YOU 27 SUGGEST? 28

8A-2

MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, IT WOULD DEPEND UPON WHAT CODE 1 YOU ARE LOOKING INTO. I WOULD SAY IN ORDER TO TRY TO NARROW 2 DOWN THE LOOPHOLES, THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT PENAL CODES AND 3 IT WOULD TAKE A LOT OF STUDY ON THAT, IN MY OPINION. 4 MR. BARENS: WHEN YOU ANSWERED MY PREVIOUS QUESTION 5 SIR AND INDICATED THAT YOU FELT THAT THERE WERE TOO MANY 6 LOOPHOLES IN THE SYSTEM, WHAT CAME TO MIND? 7 MR. BUSHNELL: JUST GENERALLY SPEAKING. 8 MR. BARENS: I MIGHT LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT THEM. 9 MR. BUSHNELL: I FIGURED YOU WOULD. 10 MR. BARENS: INDEED, IF THERE ARE SUCH, WHEN YOU SAY 11 THAT, THEN YOU SAY THAT IT IS SOMEWHAT OF A GENERALIZATION. 12 THAT IS JUST A FEELING THAT YOU HAVE ABOUT THE SYSTEM? 13 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. 14 MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T HAVE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR IN 15 MIND, DO YOU? 16 MR. BUSHNELL: NOTHING PARTICULAR, NO. 17 MR. BARENS: ANYTHING YOU SAW DURING YOUR EXPERIENCE 18 AS A JUROR ON A COUPLE OF CRIMINAL CASES THAT MADE YOU THINK 19 THAT SOMEONE WAS DUCKING THROUGH A LOOPHOLE? 20 MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE OTHER 21 JURY TIME WAS SO LONG AGO, I DON'T RECALL AT THIS TIME. 22 MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU SEEN ANY LOOPHOLES SO FAR IN THIS 23 COURTROOM? 24 MR. BUSHNELL: NO, BECAUSE THIS HAS BEEN STRICTLY A 25 QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION AT THE PRESENT TIME. 26 MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK THAT THERE MIGHT BE ANYTHING 27 TO DO WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE THAT WOULD MAKE IT 28

5136

3A-3



1

2

3

4

10

15

28

ANALOGOUS TO A LOOPHOLE?

MR. BUSHNELL: I DON'T KNOW.

MR. BARENS: YOU ARE NOT SURE ABOUT THAT, ARE YOU? MR. BUSHNELL: NO.

5 MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK MAYBE GEORGE WASHINGTON AND 6 BENJAMIN FRANKLIN AND THOMAS JEFFERSON AND THE MILLIONS OF 7 PERSONS THAT DIED IN PRESERVATION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL 8 SYSTEM -- DO YOU THINK THAT THEY WERE THINKING ABOUT 9 LOOPHOLES?

MR. BUSHNELL: I DOUBT IT VERY MUCH.

MR. BARENS: ME TOO. AND WHEN THEY STARTED OUT THE
WHOLE BUSINESS OVER HERE, THAT WE WERE GOING TO HAVE A
PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND THEY THREW A GUY IN JAIL AND
CHARGED HIM WITH A CRIME, WHAT DO YOU THINK?

MR. BUSHNELL: YES.

MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK THEY WERE THINKING ABOUT
 CREATING A LOOPHOLE FOR BAD GUYS?

18 MR. BUSHNELL: NO. I THINK THESE THINGS WERE DISCOVERED
19 AS TIME WENT ON AND COUNSEL, IN THE STUDY OF THEIR CASES,
20 CAME UP WITH IDEAS THAT MIGHT MAKE IT AND TRIED IT AND FOUND
21 OUT THAT IT WORKED.

22 SO THEREFORE, IT BECAME KNOWN BY OTHER COUNSELORS 23 AND THIS HAS HAPPENED.

MR. BARENS: AND YOU THINK THAT THE PRESUMPTION OF
INNOCENCE TODAY IS REALLY SOME SORT OF A CONSTRUCT THAT THE
CRIMINAL DEFENSE BAR HAS MANIPULATED OVER THE CENTURIES INTO
ANOTHER LOOPHOLE?

MR. BUSHNELL: NO.

MR. BARENS: WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, SIR? THE COURT: IF IT IS A PART OF THE LAW, WILL YOU FOLLOW IT? MR. BUSHNELL: ABSOLUTELY. YES. THE COURT: WITHOUT ANY MENTAL RESERVATIONS? MR. BUSHNELL: NO. IF IT IS A PART OF THE LAW, I WOULD DEFINITELY FOLLOW IT. YES. MR. BARENS: THOUGH YOU WOULD FOLLOW IT THROUGH, WOULD YOU HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT IT? MR. BUSHNELL: NO. MR. BARENS: YOU WOULDN'T LOOK AT IT AS SOME NICETY OR JUST SOME LANGUAGE, THINGS WE HAVE PROFFERED UP TO YOU? MR. BUSHNELL: NO. IT WOULD BE THE LAW. THAT WOULD BE IT. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MR. BUSHNELL. WE RESERVE ON THE JUROR. 

88 F

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. WAPNER? 1 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, MR. BUSHNELL. 2 3 MR. BUSHNELL: GOOD MORNING. 4 MR. WAPNER: DOES THAT SEAT FEEL A LITTLE BIT WARM TO YOU AS YOU SIT DOWN IN IT? 5 6 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. MR. WAPNER: FIFTEEN PEOPLE HAVE BEEN SITTING THERE 7 8 BEFORE YOU. MR. BUSHNELL: I FIGURED THAT. 9 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU THINK THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WERE 10 DYING FOR THE CONSTITUTION, WERE PROBABLY THINKING MORE ABOUT 11 FOXHOLES THAN LOOPHOLES, WHERE THEY WERE? 12 MR. BUSHNELL: NO. WHEN THEY WROTE UP THE CONSTITUTION, 13 THEY WERE THINKING ABOUT THE LAWS THAT THEY WANTED TO 14 15 ESTABLISH IN THOSE DAYS. MR. WAPNER: I WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT THE PEOPLE WHO 16 17 DIED FOR IT SINCE THEN. 18 MR. BUSHNELL: I WISH YOU WOULD REPHRASE THAT QUESTION. 19 MR. WAPNER: THAT'S OKAY. PROBABLY WAS NOT FAIR BECAUSE 20 I DIDN'T IDENTIFY IT TO THE JUDGE AS BEING SOMEWHAT NON-21 SERIOUS. 22 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT IS SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT IS 23 THAT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU GET WILL BE IN THE FORM OF 24 TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES OR WRITINGS OR MATERIAL OBJECTS. DO 25 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 26 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. I UNDERSTAND. 27 MR. WAPNER: AND WHEN MR. BARENS TALKED ABOUT GETTING 28 THE DATA IN THE COURTROOM, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU GO

8-1

INTO THE JURY ROOM TO DELIBERATE, YOU WILL HAVE ALL OF THE 1 DATA THAT YOU ARE GOING TO GET? 2 3 MR. BUSHNELL: YES. 4 MR. WAPNER: AND THE JUDGE IS GOING TO TELL YOU THAT 5 ONCE YOU HAVE GONE INTO THE JURY ROOM AND YOU HAVE ALL OF THE 6 EVIDENCE, IF YOU DECIDE THAT YOU NEED SOMETHING ELSE, YOU CAN'T GO OUT AND FIND IT ON YOUR OWN. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 7 8 MR. BUSHNELL: I UNDERSTAND. 9 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU ACCEPT THAT? 10 MR. BUSHNELL: I ACCEPT IT. 11 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MIGHT BE HEARING A CASE ABOUT A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT AND THE PEOPLE MIGHT BE 12 13 TALKING ABOUT WHERE THE TRAFFIC SIGNALS WERE. 14 AND THE TRIAL MAY BE A YEAR AFTER THE FACT. AND 15 IF THE JUROR WENT OUT TO THE INTERSECTION, SOMEBODY FROM YOUR 16 OLD DEPARTMENT MIGHT HAVE MOVED THE SIGNALS IN THE MEANTIME. 17 MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT. 18 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. SO THAT IS WHY THE JUDGE TELLS YOU, 19 ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU GET HAS TO BE IN THE COURTROOM. 20 YOU DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT, DO YOU? 21 MR. BUSHNELL: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH IT. 22 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DO YOU THINK THAT EVERYBODY WHO 23 TESTIFIES IN A COURTROOM UNDER OATH ALWAYS TELLS THE TRUTH? 24 MR. BUSHNELL: WELL, THEY ARE UNDER OATH. THEY SHOULD 25 BE TELLING THE TRUTH. 26 BUT 1 IMAGINE THAT THERE IS A SMALL PERCENTAGE 27 THAT WOULD GO THE OTHER ROUTE. 28 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. THE FACT THAT SOMEBODY SHOULD

BE DOING IT DOESN'T ALWAYS MEAN THAT THEY DO DO IT, RIGHT? MR. WAPNER: OKAY. THANK YOU. I PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR

4 HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. BARENS: WE HAVE RESERVED.

MR. BUSHNELL: THAT'S CORRECT.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. BARENS: MAY WE APPROACH?

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: SURE.

10

11

12

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT

THE BENCH:)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR MIGHT RECALL DURING THE HOVEY, 13 I HAD PROBLEMS WITH THIS PROSPECTIVE JUROR BELIEVING THAT THERE 14 WAS SOMETHING ABOUT THE ACCUSATION THAT WAS TANTAMOUNT TO 15 EVIDENCE. AND I OUESTIONED HIM AGAIN ON THIS SUBJECT. AND 16 HE DID IN MY OPINION, SHOW SOME RESERVATION ABOUT THE FACT 17 THAT MR. HUNT WAS HERE AT ALL BEING TANTAMOUNT -- THAT HE MUST 18 HAVE DONE SOMETHING. I THINK THAT WAS THE EXPRESSION THAT 19 20 WAS USED.

OF MORE CONCERN TO ME YOUR HONOR, WAS HIS SPECIFIC 21 RESPONSE TO MY QUESTION WHEN I SAID TO HIM, "DO YOU BELIEVE 22 THAT THE PRESUMPTIN OF INNOCENCE IS JUST SOMETHING WE SAY HERE 23 24 ON OUR WAY TO CONVICTION"? AND HE SAID YES.

THE COURT: WELL, I ASKED HIM SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE YOU 25 ASKED THAT QUESTION, WHETHER OR NOT HE WOULD ACCEPT THE 26 PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AS PART OF THE LAW AND HE WOULD FOLLOW 27 28 IT AND HE SAID YES.

MR. BARENS: WELL, I THINK -- THANK YOU FOR YOUR INQUIRY.
BUT YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE OVERWHELMING SENSE ONE GOT FROM
HIS TESTIMONY IS THAT HE WAS NOT WILLING TO ACCEPT THE
PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AT ALL. BUT RATHER, IN REPEATED
RESPONSES, HE AT ONE TIME REFERRED TO IT AS A LOOPHOLE. ANOTHER
TIME, HE THOUGHT IT WAS MERELY A DEVICE EXTENDED ON THE WAY
TO CONVICTION.

8 AND ON EVERY OCCASION EXCEPT WHEN YOUR HONOR SPOKE
9 TO HIM, HE SHOWED RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE FIFTH AMENDMENT.

10 HE SAID THAT THE FACT THAT A DEFENDANT WOULD 11 TESTIFY, WELL, HE WOULD ONLY TESTIFY IF THERE WAS -- IF HE 12 DIDN'T THERE WAS A PRESUMPTION THAT WAS NUGATORY AND --

THE COURT: YES?

13

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, FIRST OF ALL, ALTHOUGH THE COURT
HEARD HIS TESTIMONY, MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT HE DIDN'T TALK
ABOUT THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AS A LOOPHOLE. HE WAS
TALKING ABOUT GENERAL THINGS IN THE LAW, ABOUT LOOPHOLES.

18 I THINK COUNSEL IS CONFUSING THAT. THAT IS ONE19 THING.

20 SECOND OF ALL, I DON'T THINK THE RESPONSES OF 21 THIS JUROR RISE TO THE LEVEL OF A CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE BECAUSE 22 I BELIEVE THAT HE FITS INTO A GENERAL CATEGORY OF PEOPLE WHO 23 HOLD THE OPINION THAT DEFENDANTS ARE JUST NOT PLUCKED OUT OF 24 THE AIR AND BROUGHT INTO THE COURTROOM. THERE MUST BE SOME 25 REASON THAT THERE IS A TRIAL.

26 AND I THINK THAT THAT IS WHAT HE IS TRYING TO
27 EXPRESS. IT IS FAIRLY CLEAR TO ME FROM HIS ANSWERS ABOUT
28 HIS PRIOR JURY EXPERIENCE, WHEN HE TALKED ABOUT DEFICIENCIES

IN THE TESTIMONY OF POLICE OFFICERS, THAT THE PEOPLE HAD DONE SOMETHING WRONG AND THAT THE DEFENDANT PROBABLY DIDN'T TESTIFY AND MORE LIKELY THAN NOT, IT WAS A NOT GUILTY VERDICT. AND THOUGH THAT IS AN ASSUMPTION ON MY PART, IT IS BASED ON EXPERIENCE AND I WOULD SAY THAT BASED ON THE TOTALITY OF HIS RESPONSES, THERE IS NOT A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO CHALLENGE HIM FOR CAUSE. THE COURT: I WILL DENY THE MOTION. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:) 

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 1 IN OPEN COURT:) 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENDANT. 3 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR, WE 4 WOULD ASK THE COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE MR. BUSHNELL. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, MR. BUSHNELL. 6 I WILL READ IT FOR YOU. 7 MS. KAPES: KAPES. 8 THE CLERK: THANK YOU . 9 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 10 THE CLERK: K-A-P-E-S IS THE LAST NAME AND HER FIRST 11 NAME IS H-A-R-I-K-L-I-A. 12 IS THAT MRS.? 13 MS. KAPES: MRS. 14 THE COURT: THAT IS KAPES? 15 16 MS. KAPES: KAPES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MRS. KAPES, THE USUAL QUESTION 17 AND THAT IS: YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE QUESTIONS WHICH WERE 18 ASKED AND ANSWERED AND IF THE GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED 19 OF YOU, WOULD YOUR ANSWERS BE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME? 20 MS. KAPES: SUBSTANTIALLY. 21 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU DO, PLEASE? 22 MS. KAPES: I AM A HOUSEWIFE AND I ONCE WAS A STUDENT. 23 THE COURT: I AM GOING TO PROMOTE YOU. YOU ARE A 24 HOMEMAKER, ALL RIGHT? 25 MS. KAPES: I GUESS, YES, I AM A HOMEMAKER. 26 THE COURT: A HOUSEWIFE'S ACTIVITIES ARE MUCH MORE 27 LIMITED THAN A HOMEMAKER.

5144

OKAY, A HOMEMAKER, AND I WAS A STUDENT. MS. KAPES: 1 YOU ARE A STUDENT? THE COURT: 2 MS. KAPES: YES, I JUST DROPPED OUT. 3 THE COURT: YOU JUST DROPPED OUT FROM BEING A STUDENT? 4 MS. KAPES: BECAUSE I COULDN'T BE EXCUSED SO I DECIDED 5 I WOULD GO AHEAD AND DO MY DUTY AND DO THAT LATER. 6 THE COURT: WHAT WERE YOU STUDYING? 7 MS. KAPES: I -- I WANT TO GET A DEGREE IN REAL ESTATE 8 IN MANAGEMENT, SO I HAVE HAD SOME -- THROUGHOUT THE YEARS, 9 I HAVE STUDIED AND HAVE HAD COURSES AND EVENTUALLY NOW THAT 10 MY CHILDREN ARE GROWING UP, I WANT TO GO BACK TO WORK. 11 THE COURT: WHAT EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND DO YOU HAVE? 12 MS. KAPES: OTHER THAN HIGH SCHOOL, I HAVE A NUMBER --13 I HAVE TAKEN CLASSES ALWAYS WITH BUSINESS IN MIND, ALTHOUGH 14 I HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF CLASSES, I HAVE BEEN STUDYING LANGUAGES 15 BECAUSE AT ONE TIME WHEN I WAS YOUNGER, I WANTED TO BE AN 16 INTERPRETER. 17 THE COURT: WHICH LANGUAGES? 18 MS. KAPES: WELL, GREEK, WHICH IS MY MOTHER LANGUAGE 19 AND FRENCH AND RUSSIAN AND SPANISH. 20 THE COURT: YOU REMIND ME OF WHAT ARISTOTLE SAID, HE 21 TOOK ALL KNOWLEDGE TO BE HIS PROVINCE. YOU SEEM TO TAKE ALL 22 LANGUAGES TO BE YOUR PROVINCE. YOU DO VERY WELL. 23 MS. KAPES: I GREW UP IN A MULTI-LINGUAL HOME. 24 THE COURT: IS THERE A MR. KAPES? 25 MS. KAPES: YES. 26 THE COURT: WHAT DOES HE DO? 27 MS. KAPES: HE IS AN ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE, THE 28

1-2

DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS. THEY REGULATE THE SALE OF 1 SECURITIES. 2 THE COURT: WHERE DID HE GET HIS LAW DEGREE? 3 MS. KAPES: UCLA. 4 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 5 MS. KAPES: I LIVE IN SANTA MONICA. 6 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER SERVED AS A JUROR --7 MS. KAPES: NEVER. 8 THE COURT: -- ON A CRIMINAL CASE? 9 DO YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN? 10 MS. KAPES: I HAVE TWO, A 17-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER AND A 11 13-YEAR-OLD SON. 12 THE COURT: THEY BOTH GO TO HIGH SCHOOL, DO THEY? 13 MS. KAPES: WELL, JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL FOR MY SON. 14 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND 15 OF A CRIME? 16 MS. KAPES: I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN SAY CRIME. WHEN 17 I WAS YOUNGER, TWO THINGS OCCURRED. 18 MY BROTHER AND I WERE HERE TEN YEARS PRIOR TO 19 MY PARENTS COMING TO THIS COUNTRY AND WE WERE SAVING MONEY 20 TO BRING THEM OVER AND THE BANK THAT I WAS PUTTING THE MONEY, 21 WHERE WE PUT OUR MONEY, BECAUSE THE INTEREST RATE WAS HIGH, 22 FOLDED. THEY WENT INTO RECEIVERSHIP. THEY HAD ALLOWED THEIR 23 INSURANCE TO LAPSE. IT WAS IN THE LATE '50'S, EARLY '60'S. 24 SO WE LOST EVERYTHING WE HAD AND WE HAD TO 25 BEGIN AGAIN. IN FACT, WE HAD TO BORROW A SUM OF MONEY. 26 THEN A FEW YEARS LATER WHEN I WAS ENGAGED, MY 27 FIANCE AND I PUT OUR MONEY INTO AN INVESTMENT, THAT FOR ALL 28

9-3

OF THE RESEARCH THAT WE DID AND OTHERS LIKE US, WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE GOOD, AND WAS INDEED A SCAM AND THE INVESTORS (SIC) ABSCONDED WITH THE MONEY, DISAPPEARED. WE NEVER FOUND THEM SO WE LOST AGAIN. THE COURT: WERE THEY EVER FOUND AND PROSECUTED? MS. KAPES: NEVER. THE COURT: HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT? MS. KAPES: I THINK 25 YEARS AGO, SIR. THE COURT: THIS UNHAPPY EXPERIENCE, OF COURSE, THAT WOULDN'T AFFECT YOU IN EXERCISING YOUR JUDGMENT IN THIS CASE AS TO THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF THE DEFENDANT, WOULD IT? MS. KAPES: I HOPE NOT, SIR. I TRY TO THINK OF MYSELF AS BEING HONEST AND FAIR. THE COURT: AND THE INVESTIGATION DONE BY THE AUTHORITIES ON THE SCAM, ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT THEY DID THE BEST THEY COULD? MS. KAPES: NO, SIR. 

A F

[	
1	THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T DO THE BEST THEY COULD?
2	MS. KAPES: I DON'T THINK THEY PURSUED IT FURTHER.
3	THEY FELT THEY COULDN'T
4	AGAIN, THIS IS 25 YEARS AGO AND I CAN ONLY TELL
5	YOU WHAT I REMEMBER.
6	AND I REMEMBER THAT IT WAS A HOPELESS CASE, THEY
7	FELT. I GUESS THEY LOOKED INTO IT AND THEY COULDN'T FIND THEM.
8	THEY MUST HAVE GONE TO SOME OTHER COUNTRY OR
9	SOMETHING AND IT WAS DROPPED.
10	THE COURT: IN YOUR JUDGMENT, COULD THEY HAVE BEEN
11	FOUND?
12	MS. KAPES: I DON'T THINK SO.
13	THE COURT: WELL, THEN WERE YOU SATISFIED THAT THEY
14	DID THE BEST THEY COULD?
15	MS. KAPES: YOU KNOW, I SHOULDN'T SAY THAT.
16	FROM ALL THAT THEY DID, I DON'T THINK THEY COULD
17	HAVE FOUND THEM.
18	I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY WERE LIMITED IN MONEY,
19	I DON'T KNOW.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
21	MS. KAPES: BUT I DO REMEMBER I WAS BITTER AT THE TIME.
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
23	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
24	GOOD MORNING.
25	MS. KAPES: GOOD MORNING.
26	MR. BARENS: IS IT KAPES?
27	MS. KAPES: KAPES. IT SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER P BUT IT
28	WAS SHORTENED.

.

5148

-5

1	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MRS. KAPES.
2	MRS. KAPES, I AM INITIALLY INTERESTED IN WHAT
3	YOUR HUSBAND DOES AS A COUNSEL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF
4	CORPORATIONS.
5	MS. KAPES: YES.
6	MR. BARENS: DOES HE HAVE A PARTICULAR ACTIVITY THERE?
7	MS. KAPES: MR. BARENS, I HAVE TO TELL YOU I AM NOT
8	THAT FAMILIAR, ALTHOUGH I HAVE BEEN MARRIED TO THE MAN
9	20 YEARS, WE DON'T DISCUSS THAT MUCH WHAT HE DOES, OTHER THAN
10	THOSE WHO COME BEFORE HIM TO GET LICENSES TO SELL SHARES IN
11	WHATEVER THEY ARE SELLING.
12	WE REALLY DON'T DISCUSS ANYTHING MUCH SO I AM
13	NOT AWARE.
14	MR. BARENS: COULD YOU TELL ME HONESTLY, DO YOU FEEL
15	THAT THE FACT THAT YOUR HUSBAND IS COUNSEL FOR A STATE
16	AGENCY, WOULD THAT GIVE YOU ANY BIAS WHERE YOU MIGHT BE MORE
17	SUPPORTIVE TOWARD THE PROSECUTION THAN THE DEFENSE?
18	MS. KAPES: NOT MY HUSBAND'S WORK, NO.
19	MR. BARENS: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND
20	THAT MIGHT GIVE YOU ANY BIAS IN THAT REGARD?
21	(PAUSE.)
22	MS. KAPES: I HAVE BEEN EXAMINING MYSELF, YOU KNOW,
23	MY OWN TRUE FEELINGS. I BELIEVE THAT THAT I I AM FOR
24	LAW AND ORDER, THAT I FEEL VERY STRONGLY THAT THE LAW SHOULD
25	BE ADHERED TO AND IN THAT RESPECT, I WOULD SAY I AM FOR
26	PURSUING ANY WRONGDOING THAT SEEMS TO BE A FAIR AND OBVIOUS
27	CONCLUSION
28	MR. BARENS: SURE.

Γ

9A-2

MS. KAPES: -- IF THERE IS ANY WRONGDOING OR )F THERE 1 IS ANY DOUBT OF WRONGDOING. 2 MR. BARENS: QUITE SO. 3 OF COURSE, I AM PLEASED THAT YOU STARTED OUT WITH 4 THE "IF" WORD. IF THERE IS WRONGDOING. 5 MS. KAPES: YES, YES. 6 IF I -- IF I WERE IN THAT POSITION, I WOULD WANT 7 SOMEONE TO THINK THAT I WOULD BE THAT WAY ALSO. 8 MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT PRESUMPTION 9 OF INNOCENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS THE BENEFIT OF IN THIS 10 COURTROOM? 11 MS. KAPES: IT IS RIGHTLY SO. IT SHOULD BE. IT SHOULD 12 BE SO. 13 MR. BARENS: YOU ARE COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? 14 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. 15 MR. BARENS: BECAUSE LAW AND ORDER IS A CONCEPT WHICH, 16 I WOULD SUBMIT, EVERYONE WOULD ENDORSE, IS CERTAINLY PART 17 OF LAW AND ORDER, THE PROTECTION OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. 18 MS. KAPES: YES. 19 MR. BARENS: AND THE PRESERVATION OF THE RIGHTS OF 20 DEFENDANTS IN TRIAL SETTINGS. 21 MS. KAPES: YES. 22 MR. BARENS: IT IS ALL PART OF IT, ISN'T IT? 23 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. 24 MR. BARENS: IT IS NOT JUST GETTING BAD GUYS OFF THE 25 STREET. 26 MS. KAPES: OH, OF COURSE NOT. NOT ONLY THAT, OF COURSE 27 NOT. 28

1	MR. BARENS: IT IS THE WHOLE NEXUS OF CONSTITUTIONAL
2	RIGHTS
3	MS. KAPES: YES.
4	MR. BARENS: AS WELL AS CRIMINAL CONDUCT.
5	MS. KAPES: YES.
6	MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU ALWAYS BEEN A HOMEMAKER OR DO
7	YOU HAVE PRIOR EMPLOYMENT?
8	MS. KAPES: WELL, I WORKED PART-TIME AT A TIME WHEN
9	I WAS DOING VOLUNTEER WORK AT SCHOOL AND CHURCH AND HOSPITALS
10	AND EVERYTHING, I WAS ASKED IF I WOULD COME IN NOT THREE TIMES
11	A WEEK BUT FIVE TIMES A WEEK AND THEY WOULD PAY ME AND I SAID
12	YES, SINCE I WAS THERE SO MUCH OF THE TIME. SO I DID WORK
13	AS A TEACHER'S AIDE AND ALSO IN THE LAB SITUATION, I TAUGHT
14	UNDER A SUPERVISOR, MATH, ENGLISH AND READING SKILLS.
15	MR. BARENS: AT WHAT LEVEL WAS THAT?
16	MS. KAPES: GRADE SCHOOL LEVEL.
17	MR. BARENS: GRADE SCHOOL LEVEL?
18	MS. KAPES: UP TO THE SIXTH GRADE IN FACT. ONLY THE
19	SIXTH GRADE.
20	MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A PRIMARY TEACHING DEGREE?
21	MS. KAPES: NO, NO.
22	THE INGLEWOOD SCHOOL SYSTEM HAD HIRED UNDER FEDERAL
23	FUNDING, HIRED A NUMBER OF WORKERS JUST AND THEY WERE TESTED
24	TO SEE THEIR ABILITY AND I WAS PUT IN TO A LAB SITUATION WHERE
25	I WOULD INDIVIDUALLY, JUST TO HELP LANGUAGE AND MATH SKILLS
26	SO IN THAT I WAS THERE WAS ALWAYS SUPERVISION.
27	

0 - 11 MR. BARENS: AS A MOTHER OF TWO CHILDREN, HOW DO YOU 2 FEEL ABOUT THE CHERRY PIE EXAMPLE THAT MR. WAPNER PUTS FORTH HERE AND WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE GOING TO CONCLUDE THAT JOHNNY 3 4 IS THE CULPRET IN THAT SITUATION? 5 MS. KAPES: I WOULD SAY JOHNNY IS THE CULPRIT. 6 MR. BARENS: RIGHT OFF THE BAT? 7 MS. KAPES: WELL, I HAVE HEARD IT SO MANY TIMES THAT 8 I HAVE HAD TIME TO DECIDE FOR MYSELF. YES, JOHNNY WAS THE 9 CULPRIT. 10 THERE WERE JUST THE TWO OF US. I WENT INTO THE 11 OTHER ROOM. I CAME BACK. HE HAD IT ON HIS FACE. 12 HE HAD IT ON HIS SHIRT. JOHNNY WANTED THE PIE, SO HE ATE IT. YES, SIR. 13 14 MR. BARENS: IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN THIS CASE, DO YOU THINK YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE SIMPLISTIC A SITUATION? AND I AM 15 16 GOING TO TELL YOU THAT THERE IS A DEAD MAN AND THERE IS ONLY ONE GUY IN THE WORLD THAT WAS IN THE PLACE AND HE HAS GOT A 17 18 LOADED GUN IN HIS HAND AND HE HAS GOT GUN POWDER ALL OVER HIM 19 AND HE HATED THE GUY TO DEATH THAT WAS LYING ON THE FLOOR AND HE HAD EVERY MOTIVE IN THE WORLD TO KILL HIM AND HE TOLD 20 21 50 PEOPLE THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL HIM. 22 DO YOU THINK THAT IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE 23 HERE? 24 MS. KAPES: WELL, THE CHERRY PIE IS ONE THING. 25 MR. BARENS: RIGHT. MS. KAPES: WE ARE BEING SIMPLISTIC. MURDER IS ANOTHER 26 27 THING, SIR. 28 AS A JUROR, I WOULD HAVE SOMEBODY'S LIFE IN MY

0-2

HANDS. I WOULD NOT BE THAT SIMPLISTIC. 1 SORRY, I CANNOT EXPECT OF MYSELF TO SIMPLY SAY 2 3 THAT IS WHAT HE DID. IT IS NOT A MOVIE. IT IS NOT THE SAME THING. IT IS NOT SIMPLE. AND 4 I WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AND LISTEN TO ALL 5 6 OF IT THAT WAS AVAILABLE. MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T REALLY THINK ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD, 7 THAT YOU WOULD EVER SEE A TRIAL LOOK ANYTHING LIKE THE BOY 8 WITH THE CHERRY PIE AND HIS MOTHER ALL BY THEMSELVES IN THE 9 HOUSE AND WE ARE GOING TO PUT THIS WHOLE ROOM TOGETHER AND 10 HIRE A JUDGE TO GET ALL THE JURORS AND GET ALL THE LAWYERS 11 AND WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A TRIAL ABOUT SOMETHING LIKE THAT? 12 DO YOU THINK IT WOULD EVER GET TO WHERE WE WOULD 13 HAVE A TRIAL ON FACTS LIKE THAT? IS THAT REASONABLE TO YOU 14 THAT WE IN THIS SYSTEM, WOULD HAVE THAT KIND OF A TRIAL? 15 MS. KAPES: THAT IS A POSSIBILITY. BUT NO, I DON'T THINK 16 THAT THAT IS COMMON OR THE AVERAGE WAY OF --17 MR. BARENS: WELL MY POINT BEING, MISS KAPES, YOU HAVE 18 HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONSIDER THAT HYPOTHETICAL FOR A COUPLE 19 OF DAYS, NOW. THERE IS NOTHING TO TRY. THE FACTS WERE GIVEN 20 AND IT MADE THE CONCLUSION INEVITABLE. IT MADE ANY OTHER 21 CIRCUMSTANCES VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE. ISN'T THAT TRUE? 22 MS. KAPES: I DON'T FOLLOW YOU. I AM NOT SURE WHAT YOU 23 24 ARE SAYING. MR. BARENS: WELL, YOU ARE TOLD THAT THERE IS NO OTHER 25 PERSON IN THE WORLD PRESENT EXCEPT FOR THE MOTHER AND JOHNNY. 26 DO YOU HAVE ANY CHOICES ABOUT YOUR VERDICT? 27 28 MS. KAPES: NOT IN THAT CASE, NO.

10 - 3

MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T. NOW, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO 1 BELIEVE THAT YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE CHOICES IN THIS CASE OR 2 YOU DON'T HAVE A DEFENSE LAWYER HERE TO BEGIN WITH? DO YOU 3 4 THINK JOHNNY HAS GOT A LAWYER THAT IS ACTUALLY SAYING TO 5 SOMEBODY THAT MY CLIENT DIDN'T TOUCH THE PIE? THERE IS NOBODY ELSE THAT HE COULD LAY THAT OFF ON AND HE IS COVERED WITH 6 7 CHERRY PIE BUT MY CLIENT DIDN'T DO IT. 8 DO YOU REALLY THINK THAT COULD HAPPEN? THE COURT: WE HAVE NOT GOT A CHERRY PIE CASE. THE 9 DISTRICT ATTORNEY ONLY GAVE THAT AS AN INSTANCE OF WHAT 10 CIRCUMSTANTIAL IS. THAT IS THE ONLY PURPOSE FOR HIS DOING 11 12 IT. 13 WE DON'T HAVE A PIE CASE HERE; IS THAT CORRECT, 14 MR. WAPNER? 15 MR. WAPNER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: LET'S NOT ELABORATE. YOU HAVE GONE FAR, 17 FAR AFIELD. MR. BARENS: WELL, I SIMPLY WANT TO MAKE SURE --18 THE COURT: BECAUSE I TOLD YOU THAT ALL HE DID IT FOR 19 IS TO SHOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DIRECT EVIDENCE AND 20 CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND INFERENCES THAT COULD BE DRAWN. 21 22 THAT IS THE ONLY REASON FOR IT. YOU ARE BLOWING IT WAY OUT OF PROPORTION FROM WHAT COUNSEL INTENDED IT TO BE. 23 24 LET'S GET ONTO SOMETHING VITAL AND IMPORTANT. MR. BARENS: MY POINT WITH MISS KAPES, IS THE DIFFERENCE 25 BETWEEN A SITUATION WHERE YOU HAVE NO CHOICE ABOUT WHAT IS 26 27 A REASONABLE DOUBT AND A SITUATION WHERE REASONABLE DOUBT CAN 28 EXIST IN THE FIRST INSTANCE OR I WOULDN'T BE HERE.

1	NOW MISS KAPES, YOU HAVE NEVER BEEN A JUROR
2	BEFORE?
3	MS. KAPES: NO, SIR.
4	MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER WATCHED A TRIAL BEFORE?
5	MS. KAPES: NEVER.
6	MR. BARENS: THIS IS YOUR FIRST TIME?
7	MS. KAPES: THE VERY FIRST TIME.
8	THE COURT: LET ME INTERRUPT YOU. I HAVE ANOTHER MATTER
9	TO TAKE CARE OF. IT IS 12:00 O'CLOCK NOW. WE WILL TAKE A
10	RECESS, IF YOU DON'T MIND.
11	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL TAKE A RECESS UNTIL
12	1:45 THIS AFTERNOON. YOU CAN COME DIRECTLY INTO THE COURTROOM.
13	YOU WON'T HAVE TO GO TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM.
14	(AT 12:00 NOON RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL
15	1:45 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 14, 1987; 1:50 P.M. 1 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE DEPARTMENT WEST C 2 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 3 4 THE COURT: STIPULATED THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, COUNSEL 5 ARE PRESENT AND THE JURORS ARE PRESENT AND SEATED IN THE JURY 6 BOX. 7 I THINK WE WERE ---8 MR. BARENS: 1 WAS PROCEEDING WITH MRS. KAPES, YOUR 9 HONOR . 10 THE COURT: MRS. KAPES, YES. 11 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 GOOD AFTERNOON, MRS. KAPES. 13 MS. KAPES: HELLO. 14 MR. BARENS: MRS. KAPES, YOU HAD TOLD ME YESTERDAY 15 PRIOR TO THE LUNCH HOUR THAT YOU HAD NEVER HAD ANY PRIOR 16 JURY EXPERIENCE, AS I RECALL. 17 MS. KAPES: NO, I HAVE NOT. 18 MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A WITNESS PREVIOUSLY? 19 MS. KAPES: NO. 20 MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY PRIOR CONTACT WITH 21 THIS WHOLE SYSTEM? 22 MS. KAPES: YES. 23 MY HUSBAND AND I WERE INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT --24 OR, RATHER, I WAS INVOLVED. HE WAS A PASSENGER. SOMEONE 25 HIT US ON THE SIDE AND REFUSED TO PAY, SO WE HAD TO GO TO 26 COURT BUT THERE WAS NO JURY BUT A HEARING OF SOME SORT. 27 MR. BARENS: DID YOU HAVE A TRIAL BY A JUDGE OR AN 28

1	ARBITRATOR?
2	MS. KAPES: BY A JUDGE. BY A JUDGE.
3	IT WASN'T IT WAS VERY FAST, AS I REMEMBER.
4	IT WAS JUST A SHORT TRIAL.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2 F

12 - 11 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU THINK THE SYSTEM 2 WORKED PROPERLY IN THAT CONTEXT? 3 MS. KAPES: YES. Δ MR. BARENS: IF YOU WERE THE DEFENDANT THAT I REPRESENTED 5 IN THIS CASE AND WE HAD A JURY OF 12 PEOPLE MADE UP OF 6 PEOPLE WITH YOUR POINT OF VIEW ON THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM 7 AND YOUR POINT OF VIEW JUST IN GENERAL, WOULD YOU BE COMFORTABLE 8 HAVING A JURY OF 12 PEOPLE WITH YOUR POINT OF VIEW, IF YOU 9 WERE A DEFENDANT? 10 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION. YES. 11 I WOULD. 12 MR. BARENS: YOU FEEL THAT YOU ARE OPEN-MINDED AND 13 WOULD BE WILLING TO BE OBJECTIVE IN LISTENING TO ALL OF THE 14 EVIDENCE? 15 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. 16 MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU SOMEHOW, HAVE ANY FEELINGS AS 17 ANOTHER JUROR MIGHT HAVE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM IS RIDDLED 18 WITH LOOPHOLES? 19 MS. KAPES: I DON'T KNOW. I HAVE HEARD OF THERE BEING 20 LOOPHOLES, YES. BUT I DON'T KNOW BECAUSE I HAVE NEVER 21 STUDIED THE LAW THAT MUCH. 22 AS FAR AS I KNOW, THE LAW WORKS. IT WORKS SLOWLY 23 BUT IT WORKS. 24 MR. BARENS: NO ONE IS GOING TO DISAGREE WITH THAT, 25 INCLUDING MYSELF. 26 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE FACT THAT IT WORKS 27 SLOWLY IS NOT PARTICULARLY MY FAULT OR MR. WAPNER'S FAULT? 28 MS. KAPES: YES. I UNDERSTAND.

12-2

1	THE COURT: IT MUST BE THE JUDGE'S FAULT.
2	MR. BARENS: OBVIOUSLY, YOUR HONOR HAS DEMONSTRATED AN
3	INTEREST IN EXPEDIENCY, TO SAY THE LEAST.
4	BUT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS THE WAY IT IS?
5	MS. KAPES: YES.
6	MR. BARENS: IT EVEN TOOK 800 YEARS TO GET THE WAY IT
7	IS.
8	MR. KAPES: YES.
9	MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE ANY HOBBIES?
10	MS. KAPES: I USED TO HAVE HOBBIES. THE ONLY ONE THAT
11	I HAVE THAT I STILL PRACTICE IS READING. I ENJOY READING.
12	TENNIS, MOUNTAIN CLIMBING AND SOME OF THE THINGS
13	I USED TO DO, NO. I DON'T DO THEM ANYMORE.
14	MR. BARENS: IS THERE A PARTICULAR SUBJECT MATTER THAT
15	YOU PREFER READING, AS OPPOSED TO ANY OTHER?
16	MS. KAPES: NO, JUST ANYTHING I CAN GET MY HANDS AT
17	(SIC) FROM ROMANCE TO FACTUAL.
18	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THE LAST MOVIE YOU SAW?
19	MS. KAPES: I KNEW YOU WOULD ASK ME THAT. IT WAS EITHER
20	AL AMOUR BRUJO OR CHILDREN OF A LESSER GOD.
21	MR. BARENS: WHAT WAS THE FIRST ONE?
22	MS. KAPES: EL AMOUR BRUJO.
23	MR. BARENS: WHAT WAS THAT ABOUT?
24	MS. KAPES: GYPSY LIFE. IT IS PRESENTED AS A PLAY,
25	ACTUALLY. YOU SEE IT DONE ON A STAGE.
26	IT IS THEIR WAY OF LIFE, CHILDREN PROMISED TO EACH
27	OTHER AND ACTUALLY ABOUT LIFE AND DEATH.
28	AND I THINK THAT IT IS ALSO ABOUT THEIR

12-3

SUPERSTITIONS. IT IS NOT SO DEEP A MOVIE THAT IT WOULD HAVE ANY LASTING IMPRESSION ON ME ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING YOU THINK I OUGHT TO KNOW ABOUT YOU AS A PROSPECTIVE JUROR ON THIS CASE? MS. KAPES: YES, I DO. THE NAMES, WHEN THEY WERE READ, I RECOGNIZED A NAME. AND I MENTIONED IT. I RECOGNIZED THE NAME OF BART ROE AND I MENTIONED IT. 

THE COURT: I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT. I ASKED YOU ABOUT 1 IT --2 MS. KAPES: RIGHT. 3 THE COURT: -- DIDN'T I? 4 MS. KAPES: AND I BELIEVE WHEN I SAID -- WHEN I WAS 5 QUESTIONED BEFORE, HAD YOU EVER HEARD OF THIS CASE OR THE 6 NAME, AND I SAID NO, I MEANT IT. I HAD NEVER HEARD OF IT. 7 HOWEVER, IN HEARING THAT NAME THE OTHER DAY, I 8 REALIZED THAT I HAD KNEW OF THE CASE, NOT KNOWING PARTICULARS 9 OR NAMES, A FRIEND, A VERY GOOD FRIEND OF MINE HAD INVESTED 10 WITH SOME OTHER FRIENDS, BART ROE BEING ONE OF THEM, AND HAD 11 LOST HER MONEY. 12 I WAS VERY UPSET AND I HAD COMMISERATED WITH HER 13 AND THAT GOES BACK MAYBE TWO YEARS AGO, AND IT DIDN'T RING 14 A BELL OR I DIDN'T TIE IT IN AT ALL UNTIL THE NAME WAS READ 15 TO ME. 16 MR. BARENS: JUST ONE MOMENT. 17 MS. KAPES: YES. 18 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 19 AND THE DEFENDANT.) 20 MR. BARENS: HAD YOU SPOKEN WITH THAT INDIVIDUAL MORE 21 THAN ONCE? 22 MS. KAPES: THEN, YOU MEAN? 23 MR. BARENS: YES. 24 MS. KAPES: MIGHT HAVE. 25 AS I SAID, IT WAS LIKE TWO YEARS AGO. OTHER THINGS 26 HAVE HAPPENED. IT NEVER CAME UP AGAIN. 27 BUT AT THE TIME, SHE COULD HAVE, SHE COULD HAVE 28

13-1

SPOKEN TO ME MORE THAN ONCE. 1 I JUST REMEMBER SHE HAD LOST MONEY AND I 2 COMMISERATED WITH HER AND THAT IS IT. 3 MR. BARENS: WHAT MADE YOU THINK THAT INVESTMENT LOSS --4 DID THAT PERSON TELL YOU WHO SHE HAD INVESTED IT WITH? 5 MS. KAPES: NO. THAT IS WHY I DIDN'T SAY IT WHEN I 6 WAS ASKED IF I KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE. 7 MR. BARENS: I SEE. 8 MS. KAPES: JUST WHEN THE NAME, WHEN I HEARD THE NAME 9 I STARTED THINKING ABOUT IT AND I THOUGHT "THIS HAS TO HAVE 10 BEEN THAT." 11 MR. BARENS: I SEE. 12 FROM THAT, THOUGH, YOU WOULDN'T NECESSARILY HAVE 13 SOME SORT OF A BELIEF, WOULD YOU, THAT JOE HUNT CAUSED YOUR 14 FRIEND TO LOSE ANY MONEY, DID YOU? 15 IF YOU DO, TELL ME. 16 MS. KAPES: YES, I AM TRYING TO --17 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 18 MS. KAPES: I DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF -- . 19 THE COURT: BART ROE, WAS THAT THE NAME? ROE? 20 MS. KAPES: YES, BART ROE. 21 THE COURT: DID HE HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE LOSS 22 OF THIS MONEY? 23 MS. KAPES: HE INVESTED MONEY. 24 I JUST HEARD THE NAME AS YOU READ THEM. 25 THE COURT: HE INVESTED MONEY FOR YOUR FRIEND? 26 MS. KAPES: NO. 27 FOR HIMSELF AND MY FRIEND AND BART ROE'S SISTER 28

	ALCO INVESTED MONEY IN THE SAME
1	ALSO INVESTED MONEY IN THE SAME.
2	THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY INVESTED THE MONEY,
3	DO YOU?
4	MS. KAPES: NO, NO. I WOULDN'T HAVE KNOWN ANYTHING
5	UNTIL I HEARD.
6	THE COURT: HOW DID YOU CONNECT THAT LOSS OF THAT MONEY
7	WITH THIS PARTICULAR CASE?
8	MS. KAPES: BY THE NAME.
9	I AM JUST SAYING IT MUST HAVE BEEN THAT.
10	THE COURT: BY THE NAME OF WHAT?
11	MS. KAPES: I DON'T KNOW.
12	I AM JUST SAYING BECAUSE OF THAT NAME, I FEEL
13	I SHOULD TELL YOU.
14	THE COURT: OF COURSE, YOU ARE RIGHT IN TELLING US.
15	MR. BARENS: AND I APPRECIATE THIS, QUITE INDEED.
16	WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE LADY YOU ARE REFERENCING?
17	MS. KAPES: HER NAME IS DIANE PEDEN-OBERSTEIN.
18	THE COURT REPORTER: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT?
19	MS. KAPES: IT IS PEDEN, P-E-D-E-N, OBERSTEIN,
20	O-B-E-R-S-T-E-I-N.
21	(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
22	AND THE DEFENDANT.)
23	MR. BARENS: MRS. KAPES, SO YOU DISCUSSED THE FACT THAT
24	MRS. OBERSTEIN HAD LOST SOME MONEY?
25	MS. KAPES: YES.
26	MR. BARENS: AND DID SHE EVER MENTION THE NAME JOE HUNT
27	TO YOU?
28	MS. KAPES: NO.

MR. BARENS: DID SHE EVER MENTION BILLIONAIRE BOYS CLUB? 1 2 MS. KAPES: NO. 3 MR. BARENS: BBC? 4 MS. KAPES: NOTHING. 5 MR. BARENS: DID SHE TELL YOU SHE HAD BEEN SWINDLED? 6 MS. KAPES: YES. 7 MR. BARENS: WHY DID SHE THINK SHE HAD BEEN SWINDLED? 8 MS. KAPES: SHE HAD LOST HER MONEY. 9 MR. BARENS: WELL, I KNOW BUT --10 MS. KAPES: YES. I DON'T REMEMBER, I HAVE TO BE HONEST AND TELL 11 12 YOU. 13 I REMEMBER COMMISERATING WITH HER. SHE SAID SHE HAD HEARD ABOUT THAT THEY HAD INVESTED AND SHE THOUGHT SHE 14 15 WOULD INVEST, TOO, AND --16 THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT SHE INVESTED IN? 17 MS. KAPES: NO. 18 SHE WAS UPSET AND THAT IS ALL I REMEMBER. 19 MR. BARENS: DO YOU UNDERSTAND, MRS. KAPES, THAT I MIGHT 20 INVEST IN THE STOCK MARKET WITH MY BROKER AND, SUFFICE IT 21 TO SAY, THIS IS JUST AN EXAMPLE I AM GIVING YOU, AND LOSE 22 MY MONEY REGULARLY BUT I DON'T THINK MY BROKER IS SWINDLING 23 ME. 24 MS. KAPES: OH, ABSOLUTELY. 25 MR. BARENS: HE COULD JUST BE DUMB. 26 MS. KAPES: WE ALSO DID THAT AND WE DIDN'T THINK IT 27 WAS THE BROKER'S FAULT. IT JUST HAPPENED. 28 MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY MISGIVINGS ABOUT JOE

1	HUNT BEING A BAD GUY OR SOMETHING BECAUSE YOU HAVE HEARD THAT
2	NAME REFERENCED AS A POTENTIAL WITNESS IN THIS CASE AND THE
3	FACT THAT JOE HUNT IS HERE?
4	MS. KAPES: NO.
5	BUT AS I SAID, I WANTED YOU TO KNOW THAT I WAS
6	AWARE OF THE NAME THAT WAS MENTIONED.
7	MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK THAT MIGHT BIAS YOU IN ANY
8	WAY?
9	MS. KAPES: I DON'T THINK SO.
14 F 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	MR. BARENS: ARE YOU SURE?
2	MS. KAPES: AS SURE AS I CAN BE LOOKING INSIDE ME AND
3	CHECKING MY CONSCIENCE.
4	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THAT IS ALL I CAN ASK YOU TO
5	DO.
6	LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. SUPPOSING MR. ROE
7	TOOK THE STAND AND SAID THAT HE LOST X DOLLARS AND IN HIS
8	OPINION, HE LOST ALL HIS MONEY BECAUSE JOE HUNT EITHER WAS
9	THE WORST INVESTOR IN THE WORLD OR AN OUT AND OUT THIEF.
10	ALL RIGHT?
11	WOULD THAT MAKE YOU THINK HE COMMITTED A MURDER?
12	MS. KAPES: NO.
13	MR. BARENS: OBVIOUSLY, YOU UNDERSTAND THIS TRIAL DOESN'T
14	HAVE ANYTHING TO DO AT ALL WITH WHETHER ONE IS A GOOD AND
15	PRUDENT INVESTOR?
16	MS. KAPES: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
17	MR. BARENS: THE SOLE QUESTION FOR YOU BECOMES WHETHER
18	SOMEONE HAS BEEN MURDERED. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?
19	MS. KAPES: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
20	MR. BARENS: NOW, YOU WEREN'T HERE DURING ALL THOSE
21	EXAMPLES ABOUT WHETHER WE LIKED THE NATURE OF THE VICTIM.
22	BUT, LET ME WITHOUT HAVING TO PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE,
23	AS YOU DO YOU UNDERSTAND, THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE
24	JUDGE WILL INSTRUCT YOU IS THAT IRRESPECTIVE OF HOW YOU FEEL
25	ABOUT THE ALLEGED VICTIM, LET'S SAY THIS IS A CASE WHERE
26	SOMETHING HAPPENED TO A PERSON AND YOU JUST HATED THAT PERSON
27	AND THE GUY WAS JUST A TERRIBLE GUY.
28	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU CANNOT CONSIDER THAT

1 IN DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT IN THAT TYPE OF A CASE, IS GUILTY OR NOT? DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 2 3 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. MR. BARENS: BY THE SAME TOKEN, IF YOU ABSOLUTELY HATE 4 A GUY. LET'S SAY THAT WE HAD ADOLF HITLER HIMSELF, HERE 5 ON TRIAL. I PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE HIS LAWYER. BUT, LET'S SAY 6 7 WE HAD HIM HERE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF HE WAS ACCUSED OF A 8 ROBBERY, LET'S SAY, WE WOULD HAVE TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT 9 HE DID THAT ROBBERY WITHOUT CONSIDERATION ABOUT HOW WE REALLY 10 11 FELT ABOUT IT? 12 MS. KAPES: I UNDERSTAND THAT. MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK AS A JUROR, YOU WOULD BE ABLE 13 TO DO THAT OR WOULD YOU GET CARRIED AWAY WITH THE FACT THAT 14 WE JUST CAN'T WAIT TO SEE HIM SWING? 15 MS. KAPES: WITH A CLEAR CONSCIENCE, I WOULD NOT CONSIDER 16 WHAT HE HAD DONE BEFORE. I WOULD HAVE TO CONSIDER THAT 17 PARTICULAR CRIME AND THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE. 18 I BELIEVE THAT I AM A FAIR PERSON. I CAN'T TELL 19 YOU THAT 100 PERCENT, I WOULDN'T DO THAT. I CAN ONLY TELL 20 YOU THAT I BELIEVE MYSELF TO BE FAIR AND HONEST AND I WOULD 21 22 DO MY VERY BEST. MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T EQUATE -- I SHOULD SAY, LOOKING 23 AT -- WOULD YOU BELIEVE IN YOUR MIND THAT REASONABLE MEANS 24 25 USUAL? 26 MS. KAPES: NO. MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER ALL OF THE 27 EVIDENCE BEFORE JUST LOOKING FOR SOME OBVIOUS ANSWER THAT 28

1	YOU WERE TOLD YOU SHOULD FIND?
2	MS. KAPES: ABSOLUTELY.
3	MR. BARENS: AND COME TO YOUR OWN DECISION?
4	MS. KAPES: ABSOLUTELY.
5	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
6	TIME. WE PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. WAPNER?
8	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, MISS KAPES.
9	IF THERE IS A LIST OF INVESTORS PRESENTED IN THIS
10	CASE AND OF PEOPLE WHO INVESTED WITH JOE HUNT AND IT GETS
11	INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE IN THE CASE AND IT TURNS OUT AND
12	I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WILL AT THIS POINT WHETHER DIANE
13	PEDEN OBERSTEIN'S NAME IS ON IT AND THEREFORE, YOU CONNECT
14	WHAT SHE HAS TOLD YOU TO THE LOSS, YOU CONNECT WHAT SHE TOLD
15	YOU TO THIS CASE, HOW MIGHT THAT AFFECT YOU, IF AT ALL?
16	MS. KAPES: I WOULD TRY VERY HARD NOT TO BE BIASED, NOT
17	TO LET THAT INTERFERE WITH ME.
18	BUT AS I SAID BEFORE, 100 PERCENT, I DON'T KNOW.
19	I AM A HUMAN BEING. I MIGHT DO IT.
20	I WOULD NOT DO IT CONSCIOUSLY.
21	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE
22	AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT MISS OBERSTEIN OR PEDEN OBERSTEIN LOST
23	WAS A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT TO HER?
24	MS. KAPES: YES.
25	MR. WAPNER: WAS SHE VERY UPSET ABOUT IT?
26	MS. KAPES: YES.
27	MR. BARENS: HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU TALK TO HER?
28	MS. KAPES: AS I SAID, IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN ONE TIME. IT

14-4	
1	MIGHT HAVE BEEN MENTIONED AGAIN ON THE PHONE, MAYBE. I DON'T
2	REMEMBER.
3	I DON'T THINK IT WAS A LOT OF TIMES. IT MIGHT
4	HAVE BEEN ONLY ONCE. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A SECOND TIME.
5	MR. WAPNER: DID SHE INVEST AFTER TALKING TO BART ROE?
6	MS. KAPES: I BELIEVE SO BECAUSE SHE HAD SAID SHE HAD
7	INVESTED THROUGH MR. ROE AND HIS SISTER. SO SHE MUST HAVE
8	SPOKEN TO THEM.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS HIS SISTER'S NAME, DO YOU REMEMBER? 1 MS. KAPES: SUNNY SHEAR, S-H-E-A-R, I BELIEVE. 2 MR. WAPNER: HOW DO YOU KNOW BART ROE? 3 MS. KAPES: THROUGH DIANE PEDEN OBERSTEIN AND HIS SISTER. 4 5 THEY HAVE BEEN TO MY HOUSE. IN OTHER WORDS, THROUGH DIANE PEDEN, MOSTLY BUT 6 WE ARE FRIENDLY, WITHOUT BEING FRIENDS BACK AND FORTH. 7 MR. WAPNER: AND WHEN YOU SAY YOU ARE FRIENDLY WITHOUT 8 BEING FRIENDS, CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THAT? 9 MS. KAPES: IN OTHER WORDS, WE DON'T CALL EACH OTHER 10 ON THE PHONE. YES, WE KNOW EACH OTHER. 11 AS I SAID, THEY HAVE BEEN TO MY HOUSE A COUPLE OF 12 TIMES BECAUSE OF PARTIES AND THAT SORT OF THING. 13 MR. WAPNER: IF HE TESTIFIED AS A WITNESS IN THIS CASE, 14 WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO USE THE SAME SCALES OF JUSTICE TO 15 EVALUATE HIS TESTIMONY AS YOU WOULD FOR ANYBODY ELSE? 16 MS. KAPES: YES, SIR. 17 MR. WAPNER: DID YOU EVER TALK TO MR. ROE ABOUT --18 MS. KAPES: NEVER. I HAVE NEVER TALKED TO HIM ABOUT 19 THAT, IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO SAY. I JUMPED THE GUN. 20 21 SORRY. MR. WAPNER: YOU DID JUMP THE GUN. 22 MS. KAPES: SORRY. 23 MR. WAPNER: I THINK WE ARE COMMUNICATING. BUT LET ME 24 ASK YOU ABOUT ANY MONEY THAT HE MAY HAVE INVESTED AND LOST --25 26 MS. KAPES: NEVER. MR. WAPNER: DID MISS PEDEN OR IS IT OBERSTEIN? 27 MS. KAPES: I THINK IT IS JUST MRS. OBERSTEIN RIGHT NOW. 28

5170

- 5

1 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DID SHE TELL YOU THAT SHE HAD BEEN 2 SWINDLED? 3 MS. KAPES: YES. I DON'T KNOW IF SHE USED THAT WORD, 4 USED "SWINDLED". 5 BUT SHE WAS ROOKED OR SWINDLED OR CHEATED. I 6 DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT WORDS. 7 BUT SHE HAD LOST MONEY THROUGH SOME KIND OF A 8 SCHEME. SOME KIND OF A DEAL THAT JUST WAS NOT FAIR AND 9 HONEST. 10 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. YOU FELT THE SAME WAY MANY 11 YEARS AGO ABOUT SOMETHING THAT HAPPENED TO YOU, IS THAT RIGHT? 12 MS. KAPES: YES. 13 MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU PUT OUT OF YOUR MIND EVERYTHING 14 THAT MAY HAVE HAPPENED TO YOU ON DECIDING THIS CASE? 15 MS. KAPES: YES. 16 MR. WAPNER: WAS THAT INCIDENT THAT HAPPENED TO YOU 17 INVESTIGATED BY ANY POLICE AGENCY? 18 MS. KAPES: IT MUST HAVE BEEN INVESTIGATED BY SOME LAW 19 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. I DON'T REMEMBER. 20 IN FACT, ALL OF THE INFORMATION I HAVE RECEIVED, 21 I WAS RECEIVING IT THROUGH MY THEN FIANCE. SO THAT HE WAS THE 22 ONE WHO WAS RECEIVING PERTINENT INFORMATION. AND AFTER 23 MONTHS OR PERHAPS MAYBE A YEAR, HE SAID THAT IT WAS USELESS, 24 IT IS HOPELESS AND THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO COME UP WITH ANYTHING. 25 THAT WAS THE END OF THE CASE. MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU SAY YOUR "THEN FIANCE" WAS THAT 26 27 SOMEONE YOU WENT ON TO MARRY? 28 MR. KAPES: NO, I DID NOT. IT ENDED.

5171

+-6

MR. WAPNER: WHATEVER HAPPENED IN THAT CASE, YOU CAN
PUT THAT COMPLETELY OUT OF YOUR MIND IN DECIDING THIS CASE?
MS. KAPES: I BELIEVE SO, SIR. YES.
MR. WAPNER: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE CONCEPT OF
CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, GENERALLY?

MS. KAPES: I FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH IT. I THINK IT IS7 RELEVANT.

8 MR. WAPNER: IF THE JUDGE TELLS YOU THAT BOTH DIRECT
9 AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE ARE ACCEPTABLE AS A MEANS OF
10 PROOF AND NEITHER ONE IS ENTITLED TO ANY GREATER WEIGHT THAN
11 THE OTHER, CAN YOU FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION?

MS. KAPES: YES.

12

25

26

27

28

MR. WAPNER: WE TALKED ABOUT THE DIFFERENCE IN THIS CASE
WITH THE CHERRY PIE AND USING THE EXPRESSION THAT IN THIS CASE
THAT SOMEONE'S LIFE IS IN YOUR HANDS. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
MS. KAPES: YES.

MR. WAPNER: YOU CAN PROBABLY THINK BACK BECAUSE IT WAS 17 NOT AS LONG FOR YOU AS IT WAS SOME OF THE OTHER PEOPLE, WHEN 18 WE WERE DISCUSSING THE QUESTION OF THE DEATH PENALTY. DO YOU 19 ACCEPT THE FACT THAT WHEN WE ARE DISCUSSING THE QUESTION OF 20 GUILT OR INNOCENCE, THAT YOU CAN'T BE THINKING ABOUT THE 21 FACT THAT YOU HAVE SOMEONE'S LIFE IN YOUR HANDS? YOU CAN'T 22 BE THINKING ABOUT WHAT PUNISHMENT HE WILL GET IF YOU VOTE ONE 23 24 WAY OR THE OTHER?

MS. KAPES: YES.

MR. WAPNER: DOES THAT SEEM REASONABLE TO YOU? MS. KAPES: YES.

15

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE. 1 MR. BARENS: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH BRIEFLY? 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 4 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 5 AT THE BENCH:) 6 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AFTER COMPLETING MY EXAMINATION 7 OF THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR, I AM ADVISED BY THE DEFENDANT THAT 8 AFTER THE DISAPPEARANCE OF RON LEVIN, THERE WAS A BUSINESS 9 MEETING WHICH WAS TAPE RECORDED, A COPY OF WHICH TAPE 10 RECORDING MR. WAPNER HAS IN HIS OFFICE, PRESENT AT WHICH WERE 11 THREE OF THE PEOPLE THAT SHE HAS MENTIONED AND DURING WHICH 12 MR. LEVIN AND HIS DISAPPEARANCE WERE DISCUSSED. 13 SHE HAS INDICATED THAT THESE THREE PEOPLE ARE 14 CLOSE FRIENDS OF HERS. I AM MOST DISTRESSED AND CONCERNED 15 BY THE FACT THAT SHE OBVIOUSLY WOULD BE INFLUENCED BY THE 16 FACT THAT SHE IS A CLOSE FRIEND OF THREE PEOPLE WHO, IN ALL 17 LIKELIHOOD, COULD BE WITNESSES AT THIS TRIAL. ONE OF WHOM 18 IS ALREADY ON THE D.A.'S WITNESS LIST. 19 I DARE SAY IT WOULD BE ASKING A LOT OF THIS JUROR 20 TO REMAIN TOTALLY UNBIASED AND NEUTRAL IN LIGHT OF HAVING 21 ONE OF HER OWN FRIENDS, WHO SHE HAS HEARD PROTEST FROM, TO 22 BEGIN WITH, TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. 23 MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MR. ROE WILL 24 IN FACT TESTIFY AS A WITNESS BUT HE CERTAINLY MIGHT. 25 I THINK THAT MORE LIKELY, HOWEVER, THAT THE LIST 26 OF INVESTORS WOULD BE INTRODUCED OR AT LEAST REFERRED TO, 27 PROBABLY INTRODUCED, AND IT IS LIKELY THAT THIS OBERSTEIN 28

15-

WOMAN'S NAME WOULD BE ON THE LIST AND, THEREFORE, PROBABLY 1 IT WOULD BECOME APPARENT TO THIS JUROR THAT THE INFORMATION 2 SHE GOT FROM HER FRIENDS ABOUT BEING SWINDLED WOULD BE 3 PERTAINING TO BEING SWINDLED BY JOE HUNT SO IF --4 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT I THINK I WILL DO THEN IS ASK 5 THE JUROR: SUPPOSE IT TURNS OUT THAT MRS. OBERSTEIN LOST 6 HER MONEY IN A VENTURE IN WHICH THE DEFENDANT WAS A PRINCIPAL, 7 COULD SHE, NONETHELESS, BE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR OR DOES 8 SHE FEEL THAT WOULD CARRY --9 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD I VOICE THIS? LET ME 10 VOICE ANOTHER PROBLEM. 11 THE COURT: DO YOU OBJECT? 12 MR. BARENS: NO. I AM MOST CONTENT WITH THAT, YOUR 13 HONOR. 14 THE OTHER PROBLEM I HAVE IS WITH THIS TAPE 15 RECORDING. I HAVE LITTLE DOUBT THAT THE PROSECUTION IS GOING 16 TO INTRODUCE THE TAPE RECORDING OF THE CONFERENCE WHEREIN 17 MR. LEVIN IS MENTIONED TO THESE PEOPLE. 18 THE COURT: WHICH PEOPLE ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? 19 MR. BARENS: THE SAME PEOPLE. 20 THE COURT: OBERSTEIN? 21 22 MR. BARENS: YES. THE COURT: AND ROE? 23 MR. BARENS: AND ROE, SUNNI SHEAR AND MR. HUNT MADE 24 SOME STATEMENTS CONCERNING MR. LEVIN ON THIS TAPE RECORDING 25 AND HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS CONJUNCTIVE ABOUT HIS FINANCIAL 26 27 INVOLVEMENT WITH THE BBC AND HE MADE STATEMENTS CONCERNING --THE COURT: YOU MEAN YOUR CLIENT? 28

:5-2

MR. BARENS: LEVIN. 1 THE COURT: LEVIN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 2 MR. BARENS: MR. HUNT MADE REFERENCE TO LEVIN, ET CETERA. 3 I WOULD FIND IT INHERENTLY DIFFICULT TO AVOID 4 IMPACTING THIS JUROR WHEN HER FRIENDS WERE PART OF A LARGE 5 COMMUNITY SHE IS INVOLVED WITH. WE ARE EXPOSED TO THIS. 6 MR. WAPNER: I AM WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT SHE CAN 7 BE EXCUSED. 8 THE COURT: ARE YOU WILLING TO STIPULATE SHE MAY BE 9 EXCUSED? 10 MR. WAPNER: YES. 11 MR. BARENS: I THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: THERE IS NO PROBLEM THEN. 13 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 14 15 WE THANK THE PEOPLE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 16 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 17 IN OPEN COURT:) 18 THE COURT: MRS. KAPES, SINCE IT MIGHT DEVELOP -- I 19 DON'T KNOW THAT IT WILL -- SINCE IT MIGHT DEVELOP THAT YOUR 20 FRIEND, MRS. OBERSTEIN, MIGHT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN SOME 21 22 INVESTMENT THAT YOU MENTIONED WITH MR. ROE AND POSSIBLY THE 23 DEFENDANT, I THINK MAYBE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES WE WILL EXCUSE 24 YOU FROM BEING A JUROR IN THIS CASE. 25 MS. KAPES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THE COURT: THANK YOU. 26 THE CLERK: MISS TRACEY SAUNDERS, S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S. 27 THE COURT: IS THAT MISS SAUNDERS? 28

MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH. 1 THE COURT: PARDON ME? 2 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 3 THE COURT: SPEAK UP. 4 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 5 THE COURT: MISS SAUNDERS, YOU, TOO, HAVE HEARD ALL 6 OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVEN? 7 8 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. THE COURT: IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED 9 OF YOU, WOULD YOUR ANSWERS BE ANY DIFFERENT OR WOULD THEY 10 BE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME? 11 MS. SAUNDERS: SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DO YOU DO? 13 MS. SAUNDERS: I WORK AS AN I.T.C. WITH THE DEPARTMENT 14 OF CHILDREN SERVICES IN L.A. COUNTY. I WORK FOR L.A. COUNTY. 15 THE COURT: YOU WORK FOR WHOM? 16 MS. SAUNDERS: LOS ANGELES COUNTY, THE COUNTY. 17 THE COURT: WHAT DEPARTMENT IS THAT? 18 19 MS. SAUNDERS: CHILDRENS SERVICES. THE COURT: WHAT IS THE NATURE OF YOUR JOB? 20 MS. SAUNDERS: I WORK IN DEPENDENCY SERVICES AND I TYPE 21 COURT REPORTS, SUBPOENAS, NOTICES OF HEARINGS, PRISON RELEASE 22 FORMS AND SO FORTH. 23 THE COURT: HAS THAT GOT TO DO WITH PROSECUTIONS OF 24 ANY KIND? 25 MS. SAUNDERS: 26 NO. 27 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PRISON RELEASE FORM THAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 28

1	MS. SAUNDERS: IT IS LIKE IF A CHILD IS BORN UNDER THE
2	COURT, THERE HAS BEEN A COMMITMENT, THE CHILD IS NEGLECTED
3	OR ABUSED AND IS DEPENDENT AND THE COURT HAS TO PAY FOR IT,
4	I TYPE THE RELEASE FORM IF THE PARENT IS INCARCERATED AND
5	IT HAS TO COME TO THE COURT.
6	
7	
8	n en
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I DIDN'T GET THAT. IS THAT 1 MISS OR MRS.? 2 THE CLERK: I AM SORRY. I DIDN'T ASK. 3 IS IT MRS.? 4 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 5 THE COURT: MISS, YES. 6 MISS OR MS.; IS THAT RIGHT? 7 MS. SAUNDERS: MS. 8 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: SANTA MONICA. 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS YOUR FORMAL EDUCATION? 11 MS. SAUNDERS: OKAY. I HAVE GRADUATED FROM HIGH SCHOOL 12 AND I HAVE BEEN TAKING VARIOUS COURSES AT SANTA MONICA 13 COLLEGE. 14 THE COURT: YES. 15 WHAT COURSES ARE THOSE? 16 MS. SAUNDERS: BUSINESS. I HAVE TAKEN ENGLISH, TYPING, 17 ACCOUNTING. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER SERVED AS A JUROR 19 ON A CRIMINAL CASE BEFORE? 20 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 21 THE COURT: AND YOU HAVEN'T BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND 22 OF A CRIME, HAVE YOU, AT ANY TIME? 23 MS. SAUNDERS: MY HOME WAS BURGLARIZED IN OCTOBER OF 24 LAST YEAR. 25 THE COURT: WAS IT INVESTIGATED BY THE POLICE? 26 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 27 THE COURT: WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE WAY THE 28

INVESTIGATION WENT DOWN? 1 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 3 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 4 GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. SAUNDERS. 5 MS. SAUNDERS: GOOD AFTERNOON. 6 MR. BARENS: MISS SAUNDERS, HAVE YOU ALWAYS BEEN SINGLE? 7 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, YES. I AM SINGLE. 8 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A STEADY BOYFRIEND? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: NOT NOW. 10 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. HOW LONG HAVE YOU HAD YOUR 11 JOB WITH L.A. COUNTY? 12 MS. SAUNDERS: TWO AND A HALF YEARS. 13 MR. BARENS: AND WHAT DID YOU DO PRIOR TO THAT? 14 MS. SAUNDERS: I WAS A STUDENT AND I WORKED PART-TIME 15 AT MAY COMPANY AND --16 THE COURT REPORTER: WHAT WAS THAT? 17 MS. SAUNDERS: AND SEARS. 18 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, PLEASE DO YOUR BEST TO KEEP 19 YOUR VOICE UP, MS. SAUNDERS. 20 MS. SAUNDERS: SURE. 21 MR. BARENS: SO WE CAN ALL HEAR THE ANSWERS. 22 WHAT IS AN I.T.C.? 23 MS. SAUNDERS: INTERMEDIATE TYPIST CLERK. 24 MR. BARENS: I SEE. 25 AND DO YOU HAVE DIRECT CONTACT WITH THE YOUNG 26 PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE GOING TO COURT OR VOICING COMPLAINTS 27 THAT THEY HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR ASSAULTED? 28

1	MS. SAUNDERS: OCCASIONALLY.
2	I DON'T NECESSARILY QUESTION THE CHILDREN OR
3	ANYTHING, BUT THEY COME INTO THE OFFICE AND SOMETIMES I HELP
4	OUT WITH THE C.S.W., WHICH IS THE CHILDREN'S PLACEMENT WORKER,
5	IF THEY ARE TRYING TO FIND PLACEMENT FOR THE CHILD, I WILL
6	SOMETIMES ASSIST THEM AND FEED THEM AND CHANGE DIAPERS AND
7	SO FORTH.
8	I DON'T QUESTION THE CHILDREN ANY FURTHER.
9	MR. BARENS: IN THAT CONJUNCTION, DO YOU HAVE CONTACT
10	WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS THAT WOULD BE PROSECUTING THE
11	CHILD ABUSE CASES?
12	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
13	THE INVESTIGATORS, THOUGH, I WORK DIRECTLY WITH
14	THE INVESTIGATORS.
15	MR. BARENS: AND THE INVESTIGATORS ARE PEOPLE, ARE THEY
16	POLICE PERSONNEL THAT WOULD INVESTIGATE MATTERS?
17	MS. SAUNDERS: NOT NOT NOT ACTUAL POLICE PERSONNEL.
18	THEY ARE CALLED DEPENDENCY INVESTIGATORS BUT
19	ACTUALLY ARE SOCIAL WORKERS. THEY ARE WITHIN THE COUNTY AND
20	THEY INVESTIGATE THE SITUATION IN THE HOME, AND SO FORTH,
21	AND WRITE UP COURT REPORTS AND QUESTION THE CHILDREN BUT THEY
22	ARE NOT CONSIDERED POLICE INVESTIGATORS.
23	MR. BARENS: DO YOU FOLLOW THE CASE AFTER THAT TO
24	DETERMINE WHETHER THERE IS A PROSECUTION OR NOT, BASED ON
25	THE ALLEGATION?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
27	MR. BARENS: IN THE JUVENILE COURT SETTING, THE COMPLAINT
28	IS REFERRED TO AS AN ALLEGATION, IS IT NOT? IT IS JUST AN
20	

	1	ALLEGATION?
	2	MS. SAUNDERS: EXACTLY.
	3	MR. BARENS: AND WHEN YOU SEE THOSE ALLEGATIONS, TELL
	4	ME TRUE, IS YOUR STATE OF MIND SUCH THAT YOU BELIEVE, WELL,
	5	I READ THAT ALLEGATION, WHOEVER THAT IS WHOSE NAME IS ON THE
	6	RIGHT SIDE OF THAT CAPTION MIGHT HAVE DONE SOMETHING BAD?
	7	MS. SAUNDERS: NOT NECESSARILY, NO.
6 FO	8	n en
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

i

1 MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU? 2 MS. SAUNDERS: NO, I DON'T. SOMETIMES THERE IS A DOCTOR'S 3 REPORT. SOMETIMES THERE IS THE CHILD'S STATEMENT. I ALWAYS 4 GET PERSONAL HISTORIES OF BOTH PARENTS BUT NOT NECESSARILY. 5 MR. BARENS: BEFORE YOU WOULD CONVICT SOMEONE WHOSE 6 NAME IS ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THAT CAPTION, YOU WOULD PROBABLY 7 WANT TO HEAR WHAT THEY HAD TO SAY? 8 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. I USUALLY HAVE THEIR STATEMENTS. 9 MR. BARENS: I SEE. SO YOU DON'T HAVE ANY PART OF YOUR 10 JOB WHERE YOU NEED TO MAKE A CONCLUSION AND WRITE A 11 RECOMMENDATION OR DO YOU? 12 MS. SAUNDERS: NO, NOT AT ALL. 13 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU THINK THERE IS ANYTHING 14 ABOUT YOUR EMPLOYMENT THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY, BIAS YOU IN FAVOR 15 OF THE PROSECUTION BECAUSE THERE IS AN ALLEGATION OR 16 IN THIS INSTANCE. AN ACCUSATION AGAINST MR. HUNT? 17 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 18 MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK YOU COULD BE OPEN-MINDED AND 19 REALIZE THAT AN ACCUSATION IS NOT PROOF OF ANYTHING? IT IS 20 JUST AN ACCUSATION? 21 MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT. 22 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE 23 PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS AS HE SITS 24 IN THIS COURTROOM? 25 MS. SAUNDERS: WELL --26 MR. BARENS: ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? 27 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 28 MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK IT IS WORTHWHILE?

16	-	2
----	---	---

1	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
2	MR. BARENS: IF THE DEFENDANT WERE TO TESTIFY, WHICH
3	I GUESS WOULD BE ANALOGOUS TO THOSE STATEMENTS YOU READ ABOUT
4	THE DEFENDANTS WHO ARE ACCUSED IN THE CASE WORK YOU DO, WOULD
5	YOU NECESSARILY BELIEVE THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD PROBABLY NOT
6	TELL THE TRUTH BECAUSE HE WAS LOOKING AT A LOT OF TROUBLE?
7	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
8	MR. BARENS: DO YOU THINK THAT YOU COULD BE OPEN-MINDED
9	ABOUT THAT?
10	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, SIR.
11	MR. BARENS: THE COURSES THAT YOU WERE TAKING AT SANTA
12	MONICA COLLEGE, ARE THEY PREPARATORY TO A CAREER CHANGE THAT
13	YOU HAVE IN MIND?
14	MS. SAUNDERS: WELL, THEY CAN HELP IN MY CAREER. WHAT
15	I AM DOING RIGHT NOW.
16	BUT WHAT I WANT TO DO, NO.
17	MR. BARENS: WHAT IS IT YOU WOULD LIKE TO DO?
18	MS. SAUNDERS: JOURNALISM.
19	MR. BARENS: JOURNALISM?
20	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. I WANT TO WRITE.
21	MR. BARENS: ANY PARTICULAR TYPE OF JOURNALISTIC
22	ACTIVITY YOU ARE INTERESTED IN?
23	MS. SAUNDERS: SPORTS.
24	MR. BARENS: SPORTS? ALL RIGHT. YOU HAVE NEVER BEEN
25	A JUROR BEFORE?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
27	MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER OBSERVED A TRIAL BEFORE?
28	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. IT WAS REQUIRED IN A CLASS THAT

1	I TOOK AT SANTA MONICA HIGH SCHOOL, A GOVERNMENT COURSE.
2	MR. BARENS: A GOVERNMENT COURSE?
3	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
4	MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF A TRIAL DID YOU OBSERVE?
5	MS. SAUNDERS: IT WAS A MURDER TRIAL.
6	MR. BARENS: A MURDER TRIAL? DID YOU WATCH THE WHOLE
7	TRIAL?
8	MS. SAUNDERS: WE WERE NOT WE DIDN'T SEE EVERY DAY.
9	BUT WE OBSERVED QUITE A BIT OF IT, YES.
10	MR. BARENS: DID YOU OBSERVE THE JURY SELECTION PROCESS?
11	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
12	MR. BARENS: DID YOU OBSERVE MOST OF THE I DON'T KNOW
13	IF YOU CAN ANSWER THIS. BUT DO YOU HAVE A SENSE OF WHETHER
14	YOU OBSERVED MOST OF THE TESTIMONY?
15	MS. SAUNDERS: I DON'T KNOW ABGUT MOST OF IT. BUT I
16	OBSERVED SOME OF THE TESTIMONY.
17	MR. BARENS: DID YOU OBSERVE THE DEFENDANT TESTIFYL'S?
18	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
19	MR. BARENS: DO YOU KNOW IF THE DEFENDANT TESTIFIED?
20	MS. SAUNDERS: NO, I DON'T.
21	MR. BARENS: DO YOU KNOW HOW THE CASE CAME OUT?
22	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, SIR.
23	MR. BARENS: KNOWING HOW THE CASE CAME OUT, YOU NEVER
24	INQUIRED OR HEARD FROM A CLASSMATE OR ANYTHING AS TO WHETHER
25	THE DEFENDANT TESTIFIED?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
27	MR. BARENS: OKAY. DID THE JURY REACH A VERDICT?
28	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, THEY DID.

4	
1	MR. BARENS: WAS THAT CASE IN SANTA MONICA HERE?
2	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. AND WE FOUND OUT THE VERDICT BECAUSE
3	THE TEACHER CALLED I GUESS THE COURT, HERE AND THEY GAVE HIM
4	THE VERDICT. AND HE TOLD US WHAT IT WAS.
5	MR. BARENS: BASED ON WHAT YOU OBSERVED IN THE TRIAL,
6	DID YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE VERDICT OR DID YOU MAKE
7	A DECISION?
8	MS. SAUNDERS: I COULD NOT MAKE A DECISION.
9	MR. BARENS: WHY IS THAT?
10	MS. SAUNDERS: BECAUSE I DIDN'T HEAR ENOUGH OF THE
11	TESTIMONY.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 MR. BARENS: YOU HAD NOT HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE? 2 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, RIGHT. 3 MR. BARENS: WHAT DID YOU THINK OF WHAT YOU DID OBSERVE? 4 WHAT DID YOU THINK OF THE PROCESS? 5 MS. SAUNDERS: WHAT DO YOU MEAN? 6 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU FAVORABLY IMPRESSED OR DID THE 7 SYSTEM APPEAR TO BE WORKING? WHAT DID YOU THINK OF WHAT YOU 8 SAW? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: WELL, WE WERE BASICALLY THERE TO OBSERVE 10 WHAT WAS THE PLAINTIFF AND WHAT WAS THE DEFENDANT AND BAILIFF 11 AND JUST THE COURT SYSTEM, NOT TO OBSERVE THE CASE -- TO WATCH 12 THE CASE. WE WERE NOT GOING TO GIVE A JUDGMENT ON THE CASE 13 OR ANYTHING. 14 MR. BARENS: YOU WERE? I UNDERSTAND THAT. YOU WERE 15 MORE INTERESTED IN OBSERVING WHAT 1 WILL CALL THE MECHANICAL 16 OPERATION OF THE COURT, THE INTERFACING OF THE JUDGE AND THE 17 PROSECUTOR AND THE DEFENSE AND THE BAILIFF AND YOU KNOW, HOW 18 THE SYSTEM KIND OF MECHANICALLY PROCEEDS? 19 MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT. 20 MR. BARENS: DID YOU HAVE TO WRITE A PAPER ON THAT 21 AFTERWARDS? 22 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 23 MR. BARENS: ASIDE FROM YOUR DISCUSSION OF THE MECHANICAL 24 OPERATION OF THE COURTROOM, DID YOU COMMENT IN YOUR PAPER ABOUT 25 THE CASE, PER SE, THE MURDER CASE? 26 MS. SAUNDERS: I DON'T REMEMBER. 27 MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T RECALL? 28 MS. SAUNDERS: NO.

MR. BARENS: WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT YOU OBSERVED 1 IN THAT MURDER CASE, THAT IN ANY WAY, AFFECTS HOW YOU WOULD 2 3 LOOK AT THIS CASE AS A PROSPECTIVE JUROR? MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 4 MR. BARENS: DID YOU THINK THE LAWYERS IN THAT CASE 5 WERE PROCEEDING PROPERLY, AS YOU COULD JUDGE THEM? 6 7 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. MR. BARENS: AND DID YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT 8 9 CASE THAT YOU LATER ASKED YOUR TEACHER OR ANYONE ELSE? 10 MS. SAUNDERS: I DON'T REMEMBER. MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T RECALL? 11 12 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. MR. BARENS: WAS THAT THE ONLY INSTANCE IN WHICH YOU 13 14 WATCHED A TRIAL? MS. SAUNDERS: YES, OTHER THAN JUDGE WAPNER ON THE 15 16 PEOPLE'S COURT. MR. BARENS: HE IS THE ONE. ALL RIGHT. AND THE FACT 17 THAT YOU HAVE WATCHED JUDGE WAPNER, WHO DOES AN OUTSTANDING 18 19 JOB WITH THE PEOPLE'S COURT, WOULD THAT IN ANY WAY, BIAS YOU 20 IN FAVOR OF MY COLLEAGUE HERE, BEING SOMEWHAT ASSOCIATED WITH 21 JUDGE WAPNER? 22 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. IT IS JUST NOT THE SAME SETTING? 23 24 MS. SAUNDERS: NOT AT ALL. 25 MR. BARENS: AND ASIDE FROM THAT, IF JUDGE WAPNER RULED AGAINST A CERTAIN PLAINTIFF IN THAT CASE, WOULD YOU NOT HOLD 26 27 THAT AGAINST MR. WAPNER? 28 MS. SAUNDERS: NO.

16B



1 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THEY PROBABLY DON'T EVEN 2 DISCUSS THOSE CASES. OKAY? WHAT IS THE LAST BOOK YOU READ? 3 MS. SAUNDERS: THE COLOR PURPLE. 4 MR. BARENS: AND THE LAST MOVIE YOU SAW? 5 MS. SAUNDERS: SOUL MAN. 6 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE A FAVORITE TRACK STAR? 7 MS. SAUNDERS: JESSIE OWENS. 8 MR. BARENS: JESSIE OWENS? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: I ADMIRE HIM, YES. 10 MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT BEING A JUROR ON THIS 11 CASE? 12 MS. SAUNDERS: TO BE TRUTHFUL, I DON'T WANT TO DO IT. 13 MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T WANT TO DO IT? 14 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 15 MR. BARENS: WHAT IF I WANT YOU TO DO IT? WOULD YIL 16 HOLD IT AGAINST ME? 17 MS. SAUNDERS: I MEAN, I KNOW I REALLY DON'T HAVE 4 18 LOT -- TOO MUCH OF A CHOICE. BUT IT IS NOT SOMETHING I WANT 19 TO DO. 20 THE COURT: I DIDN'T HEAR YOU. WHAT DID YOU SAY? 21 MS. SAUNDERS: I DON'T WANT TO DO IT. I DON'T WANT TO 22 BE A JUROR ON THIS CASE. 23 THE COURT: YOU DON'T WANT TO BE A JUROR ON THIS CASE? 24 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 25 MR. BARENS: WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF CHOICES LEFT, EITHER 26 WAY THE SYSTEM WORKS. 27 MS. SAUNDERS: I MEAN, IF I WAS CHOSEN, I WOULD BE WILLING 28 TO SERVE, BEING TRUTHFUL AND SO FORTH. BUT IT IS NOT SOMETHING

16-7

<b>-</b> 8	
. 👝 1	I WANT TO DO.
2	MR. BARENS: SURE. THERE MAY BE OTHERS IN THE ROOM THAT
3	SHARE YOUR POINT OF VIEW.
4	MS. SAUNDERS: I AM SURE THERE IS.
5	MR. BARENS: THE FACT IS, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT AS A
6	CITIZEN IN THIS COUNTRY, THAT IT IS PART OF BEING AN AMERICAN
7	AND IT IS KIND OF ONE OF THOSE REALLY IMPORTANT THINGS WE HAVE
. 8	TO DO IN THIS COUNTRY?
9	MS. SAUNDERS: EXACTLY.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

16-8

1 MR. BARENS: OTHERWISE, THE WHOLE THING BREAKS DOWN. 2 MS. SAUNDERS: I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT YOU ASKED ME, SO I GAVE YOU MY HONEST ANSWER. 3 4 MR. BARENS: SURE. NONETHELESS, YOU COULD FAITHFULLY 5 PERFORM YOUR DUTIES HERE? 6 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, I COULD. 7 MR. BARENS: AND YOU COULD DO SO WITHOUT DISTRACTION 8 IN OTHER PARTS OF YOUR LIFE? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 10 MR. BARENS: AND YOU COULD LISTEN TO AND FOLLOW THE ORDERS OF THE COURT AS FAR AS HOW HIS HONOR INSTRUCTED YOU 11 12 TO PROCEED? 13 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, I COULD. 14 MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD NOT HOLD IT AGAINST ME WOULD YOU, 15 IF YOU WERE A JUROR HERE? 16 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 17 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. I THANK YOU. WE PASS FOR CAUSE, 18 YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 20 MR. WAPNER: MR. BARENS SAYS HE HAS A FEW OPTIONS LEFT. 21 I DON'T HAVE ANY. SO, LET ME JUST ASK YOU TO EXPLAIN WHY IT 22 IS THAT YOU DON'T WANT TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE. 23 MS. SAUNDERS: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I WORK FOR LOS ANGELES 24 COUNTY, AS OF RIGHT NOW. I HAVE BEEN OFFERED A POSITION. 25 OKAY? IT WILL START ON THE 26TH OF THIS MONTH. 26 THE COURT: WHAT? 27 MS. SAUNDERS: IT WILL START ON THE 26TH OF THIS MONTH. 28 IT IS A PROMOTION, A BETTER POSITION HERE, WITH THE CITY OF

1	SANTA MONICA.
2	THAT IS BASICALLY WHY I WOULD NOT WANT TO.
3	THE COURT: DO YOU THINK SERVING HERE WILL INTERFERE
4	WITH THAT?
5	MS. SAUNDERS: EXACTLY. I KNOW THAT IT WILL.
6	THE COURT: YOU WOULD RATHER BE EXCUSED, IS THAT IT?
7	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
8	MR. WAPNER: MAYBE WE SHOULDN'T GO INTO THE PARTICULAR
9	POSITION THAT YOU HAVE AT SANTA MONICA THAT COULD BE A
10	PROMOTION.
11	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, FOR ME. IT IS NOT WITH THE COUNTY.
12	THE COURT: IS THERE A POSSIBILITY THAT YOU WOULD LOSE
13	THAT IF YOU STAYED ON THIS CASE?
14	MS. SAUNDERS: NO. THEY ARE AWARE THAT I AM ON JURY
15	DUTY RIGHT NOW. I TOLD THEM THAT I WAS ON JURY DUTY. BUT
16	I DON'T KNOW HOW THE CITY PAYS YOU KNOW, AS FAR AS IF I WOULD
17	BE PAID FOR BEING ON JURY DUTY AND SO FORTH.
18	SO THAT IS THE BASIC REASON WHY I WOULD NOT WANT
19	TO BE PARTICIPATING.
20	MR. WAPNER: WOULD YOU BE WORKING IN CITY HALL, NEXT
21	DOOR?
22	MS. SAUNDERS: NO, WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD. IT IS ACROSS
23	THE STREET.
24	MR. WAPNER: ACROSS THE STREET? I KNOW THERE IS A
25	SCHOOL BOARD OFFICE. I DIDN'T KNOW IF IT WAS THE ONE
26	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
27	MR. WAPNER: THERE IS CONTROVERSY ABOUT THE COUNTY TAKING
28	THAT PROPERTY WHERE THE SCHOOL BOARD IS LOCATED AND BUILDING

A NEW COURTHOUSE OR AN ANNEX TO THE COURTHOUSE. 1 MS. SAUNDERS: AND THE SCHOOL BOARD IS MOVING ABOUT 2 SEPTEMBER OF THIS YEAR, ON THE -- TO 16TH AND OLYMPIC, CLOSER 3 TO HOME. 4 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WITH THE 5 6 COUNTY? 7 MS. SAUNDERS: TWO AND A HALF YEARS. MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU START AS AN ITC? 8 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 9 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU ALWAYS WORKED WITH THE DEPENDENCY 10 11 COURTS? MS. SAUNDERS: NO. DEPENDENCY WAS A NEW UNIT THAT HAS 12 13 COME UP IN WEST LOS ANGELES. I ORIGINALLY STARTED WITH THE SOCIAL WORKERS. 14 I WORKED WITH THE SOCIAL WORKERS WHICH AREN'T INVESTIGATORS. 15 THEY DO THE PLACEMENTS AND A LITTLE BIT OF 16 INVESTIGATION, WHEN THERE IS A PROBLEM. 17 MR. WAPNER: IS THIS ALL IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S 18 19 SERVICES? 20 MS. SAUNDERS: EXACTLY. I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN WITH THE 21 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN'S SERVICES. MR. WAPNER: AND THE PROSECUTING AGENCY, IF YOU COULD 22 CALL IT THAT, IN THOSE CASES, IS THE COUNTY COUNSEL, RIGHT? 23 24 MS. SAUNDERS: EXACTLY. MR. WAPNER: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH PEOPLE IN 25 26 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE? 27 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER, DURING YOUR EMPLOYMENT WITH 28

THE COUNTY? 1 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 2 MR. WAPNER: HOW LONG AGO WAS IT THAT YOU SAW A MURDER 3 4 CASE IN SANTA MONICA? MS. SAUNDERS: LET'S SEE. IT WOULD HAVE HAD TO HAVE 5 6 BEEN IN 1980. MR. WAPNER: DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT THE PERSON 7 WHO PROSECUTED THAT CASE, THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WHO 8 9 PROSECUTED THAT CASE? 10 MS. SAUNDERS: NO, I DON'T. MR. WAPNER: DID YOU JUST COME OVER FOR ONE PERIOD DURING 11 12 THE ONE CLASS THAT YOU HAD? MS. SAUNDERS: WE CAME ABOUT THREE TIMES, I THINK. 13 MR. WAPNER: HOW LONG WOULD YOU STAY EACH TIME? 14 MS. SAUNDERS: ABOUT 45 MINUTES, THE LENGTH OF THE CLASS. 15 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DID THEY TEACH YOU ANYTHING ABOUT 16 CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IN THAT CLASS? 17 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. BUT I HAVE LISTENED IN HERE. 18 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY FEELINGS THAT CIRCUMSTANTIAL 19 EVIDENCE IS ANY BETTER OR ANY WORSE THAN ANY OTHER KIND OF 20 21 EVIDENCE? MS. SAUNDERS: I WOULD SAY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE --22 BETTER OR WORSE? I WOULD SAY IT IS NOT THE BEST. BUT SOME-23 24 TIMES IF WEIGHED, IT COULD SHOW THAT IT COULD BE RIGHT, I GUESS. MR. WAPNER: OKAY. IF THE JUDGE TELLS YOU THAT IT IS 25 JUST AS GOOD AS ANY OTHER KIND OF EVIDENCE, THAT BOTH DIRECT 26 27 AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE ARE ACCEPTABLE MEANS OF PROOF AND NEITHER ONE IS ENTITLED TO ANY GREATER WEIGHT THAN THE OTHER, 28

1	COULD YOU FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION?
2	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. I WOULD HAVE TO.
3	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DID YOU HEAR THE EXAMPLE ABOUT THE
4	PIE?
5	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
6	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. I AS THE JUDGE POINTED OUT BEFORE
7	LUNCH, THE PURPOSE, THE MAIN PURPOSE FOR THAT EXAMPLE IS TO
8	EXPLAIN TO JURORS WHO MAY NOT BE AS FAMILIAR AS THE LAWYERS
9	ARE, WHAT CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IS.
10	AND IN THAT EXAMPLE, THERE ARE BOTH PIECES OF
11	DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.
12	MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH.
13	MR. WAPNER: DIRECT EVIDENCE IS EVIDENCE WHERE A PERSON
14	DIRECTLY SEES OR HEARS OR TOUCHES OR SMELLS SOMETHING. IN
15	THE PIE EXAMPLE, THE DIRECT EVIDENCE IS THAT THE PIE WAS
16	BAKED, THAT THE PIE WAS WHOLE AT ONE TIME AND THAT MOTHER CAME
17	BACK. WHEN SHE CAME BACK, THERE WAS DIRECT EVIDENCE THAT THERE
18	IS A PIECE MISSING, RIGHT?
19	MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	MR. WAPNER: BECAUSE SHE LOOKED AT THE PIE AND THERE
2	WAS A PIECE GONE?
3	MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH.
4	MR. WAPNER: ARE YOU WITH ME SO FAR?
5	MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT.
6	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. BUT IF THE QUESTION AND IF A
7	AND OF COURSE, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE A JURY ON THAT QUESTION
8	BUT IF A JURY HAD TO DECIDE THE QUESTION OF WHO ATE THE PIE
9	AND NOBODY SAW ANYONE EAT THE PIE, THEN IN THIS PARTICULAR
10	EXAMPLE YOU WOULD HAVE TO FIGURE IT OUT BY CIRCUMSTANTIAL
11	EVIDENCE, RIGHT?
12	MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH.
13	MR. WAPNER: YOU HAVE TO SAY YES.
14	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
15	MR. WAPNER: OKAY, THANK YOU.
16	AND THE OTHER PIECES OF DIRECT EVIDENCE ARE, OF
17	COURSE, THAT YOU SEE JOHNNY AND HE HAS GOT PIE STAINS ALL
18	OVER HIS SHIRT AND ALL OVER HIS MOUTH, RIGHT?
19	MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT.
20	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. AND IN THAT CASE, YOU WOULD HAVE
21	TO USE A CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE REASONING PROCESS TO DETERMINE
22	WHO ATE THE PIE.
23	MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH, RIGHT.
24	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION, AS YOU SIT THERE
25	NOW, AS TO WHO ATE THE PIE?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: NO DO I?
27	MR. WAPNER: YES.
28	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, I WOULD SAY THE LITTLE BOY ATE IT,

BUT THEY HAVE TO BE WEIGHED THE SAME, ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. 1 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. 2 NOW, IF THE ONLY PERSON WHO WAS THERE WHEN THE 3 PIE WAS EATEN WAS THE PERSON WHO ATE IT AND THE LITTLE BOY 4 COMES IN AND HE SAYS, "I DIDN'T DO IT," AND THERE HE IS SAYING 5 THAT TO YOU AND HE IS STANDING THERE AND THERE HE IS WITH 6 THE PIE ALL OVER HIM, DO YOU NECESSARILY HAVE TO BELIEVE HIM? 7 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 8 MR. WAPNER: HE IS TELLING YOU "I WAS THERE AND I DIDN'T 9 DO IT," AND THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED DIRECT EVIDENCE, NOT 10 THAT HE SAID HE SAW SOMEONE EATING THE PIE BUT HE IS SAYING 11 "I DIDN'T EAT THE PIE"? 12 MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH. 13 MR. WAPNER: THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN YOU HAVE 14 TO BELIEVE HIM, RIGHT? 15 MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT. 16 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU THINK YOU UNDERSTAND CIRCUMSTANTIAL 17 EVIDENCE A LITTLE BETTER AFTER THAT EXAMPLE --18 MS. SAUNDERS: UH-HUH. 19 MR. WAPNER: -- THAN YOU DID BEFORE? 20 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, DEFINITELY. 21 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM USING THE SAME 22 STANDARDS, THE SAME SCALES TO EVALUATE THE TESTIMONY OF ALL 23 WITNESSES WHO TESTIFY? 24 MS. SAUNDERS: NO. 25 MR. WAPNER: THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT YOU HAVE TO BELIEVE 26 EVERY WITNESS OR THAT YOU HAVE TO ASSIGN THE SAME WEIGHT OR 27 VALUE TO THE TESTIMONY OF ALL OF THE WITNESSES. 28

WHAT IT MEANS IS THAT YOU HAVE TO USE THE SAME 1 SCALE, THE SAME STANDARD TO JUDGE EVERYBODY. 2 MS. SAUNDERS: RIGHT. 3 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 4 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, I DO. 5 MR. WAPNER: ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? 6 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 7 MR. WAPNER: YOU DON'T KNOW AT THIS POINT WHETHER THE 8 CITY OF SANTA MONICA WILL PAY YOU IF YOU ARE ON JURY DUTY? 9 MS. SAUNDERS: NO, I DON'T. 10 1 DON'T KNOW HOW IT WORKS, NO. 11 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY WILL HOLD 12 THE JOB FOR YOU WHILE YOU ARE ON DUTY? 13 MS. SAUNDERS: YES, THE JOB WILL BE MINE, YES. 14 I WAS OFFERED TWO OF THEM SO ONE WILL BE OPEN. 15 MR. WAPNER: IF IT TURNS OUT THAT YOU DON'T LIKE THE 16 VICTIM IN THIS CASE BUT YOU NEVERTHELESS BELIEVE BEYOND A 17 REASONABLE DOUBT THAT HE WAS MURDERED AND THAT THE DEFENDANT 18 DID IT, COULD YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY? 19 MS. SAUNDERS: YES. 20 MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH BRIEFLY? 21 THE COURT: YES. 22 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 23 AT THE BENCH:) 24 MR. WAPNER: MAY I SUGGEST THAT WE GIVE THIS JUROR AN 25 OPPORTUNITY BY WAY OF MAYBE A FIVE-MINUTE RECESS TO MAKE A 26 PHONE CALL TO SEE WHETHER SHE IS GOING TO BE PAID DURING THE 27 PENDENCY OF THIS TRIAL? 28

I THINK IT IS PROBABLY UNFAIR TO REQUIRE HER TO 1 STAY ON THE CASE IF SHE IS NOT GOING TO BE PAID. 2 MR. BARENS: NOW, YOUR HONOR, SHE HASN'T --3 THE COURT: I WAS MORE CONCERNED WITH THE FACT THAT 4 SHE SAID THAT ON THIS NEW JOB THAT SHE HAS THAT SHE MIGHT 5 BE -- I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE IS ANY JEOPARDY FOR THIS 6 NEW JOB SHE HAS. 7 MR. WAPNER: APPARENTLY THE JOB WILL BE WAITING FOR 8 HER. 9 THE COURT: WELL, THEN THERE IS NO PROBLEM ABOUT THAT. 10 MR. BARENS: SHE SAID -- HE ASKED HER JUST NOW, JUDGE, 11 WILL THE JOB BE THERE AND SHE SAID, "I WAS OFFERED TWO JOBS 12 AND FOR SURE ONE WILL BE THERE." 13 THE COURT: IS SHE CONCERNED ABOUT BEING PAID? 14 MS. BARENS: SHE NEVER MENTIONED THAT AT ALL. 15 MR. WAPNER: MAYBE I SHOULD INQUIRE FURTHER. 16 IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT SHE WAS GOING TO 17 LEAVE THE COUNTY AND GO TO SANTA MONICA DURING THE PENDENCY 18 OF THE CASE. 19 MR. BARENS: SHE SAID THEY WOULD HOLD THE JOB, YOUR 20 HONOR. 21 THE COURT: WELL, DO YOU WANT TO FIND OUT WHETHER OR 22 NOT SHE IS GOING TO BE PAID? SHE SHOULD KNOW WHETHER SHE 23 IS GOING TO BE PAID FOR THE LENGTH O F TIME SHE IS ON THIS 24 CASE. 25 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. 26 MR. BARENS: I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD THAT 27 AT NO TIME HAS THIS JUROR SHOWN ANY CONCERN ON THAT SUBJECT. 28

7 - 4

WHEN YOUR HONOR ASKED FOR HARDSHIP, SHE NEVER VOLUNTEERED 1 ANY HARDSHIP AT ALL. 2 THE COURT: WELL, SHE INDICATED CLEARLY SHE DOESN'T 3 WANT TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND ONE OF THE REASONS SHE 4 MENTIONED WAS THIS JOB. 5 MR. BARENS: I DON'T THINK HER DESIRE WHETHER OR NOT 6 SHE WANTS TO BE A JUROR OR NOT IS REASON TO EXCUSE HER. 7 THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK THE QUESTION THEN. 8 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 9 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 10 IN OPEN COURT:) 11 THE COURT: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? 12 MR. WAPNER: YES, I HAVE A FEW. 13 LET ME JUST SEE IF I CAN UNDERSTAND ONE THING. 14 ARE YOU GOING TO REMAIN WITH THE COUNTY AS LONG 15 AS YOU ARE STILL ON JURY DUTY AND THEN NOT GO TO THE NEW JOB 16 UNTIL YOU HAPPEN --17 MS. SAUNDERS: OKAY. WHAT I HAVE TO TELL YOU, I DIDN'T 18 FILE THE RESIGNATION PAPERS WITH THE COUNTY YET DUE TO THIS 19 CASE BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE SITUATION WAS BUT I AM DUE 20 TO START WITH THE CITY ON THE 26TH. 21 THE COURT: WHAT 1S THAT? 22 MS. SAUNDERS: THE 26TH OF JANUARY. 23 THE COURT: YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO START THAT JOB? 24 MS. SAUNDERS: WITH THE CITY, YES. 25 THEY KNOW I AM ON JURY DUTY AND I TOLD THEM I 26 WAS ON A PANEL RIGHT NOW FOR A CASE THAT MIGHT GO ON FOR A 27 COUPLE OF MONTHS, THEY ARE AWARE OF THAT. 28

5199

7 - 5

1	BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY PAY.
2	THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT?
3	MS. SAUNDERS: I DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE GOING TO PAY
4	ME BECAUSE I AM A NEW EMPLOYEE.
5	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO FIND OUT WHETHER THEY ARE
6	GOING TO DO THAT?
7	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, I WILL.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
9	MR. BARENS: MAY I INQUIRE?
10	THE COURT: INQUIRE ABOUT WHAT?
11	MR. BARENS: ON THIS VERY SUBJECT.
12	THE COURT: AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SHE IS GOING TO BE
13	PAID?
14	MR. BARENS: I WANT TO FIND OUT IF THERE IS ANY
15	NECESSITY FOR HER TO LEAVE HER PRESENT JOB PRIOR TO THE TIME
16	THIS CASE ENDS, SINCE THEY HAVE TOLD HER THEY WILL KEEP HER
17	JOB AVAILABLE.
18	THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR PREFERENCE, DO YOU WANT TO
19	GO TO THIS NEW JOB, IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO?
20	MS. SAUNDERS: I WANT TO, YES.
21	THE COURT: PARDON ME?
22	MS. SAUNDERS: I DO. I WANT THE NEW JOB. I MEAN YES.
23	THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU GET THE NEW JOB, WILL THEY
24	PERMIT YOU TO STAY HERE FOR THE TIME IT TAKES TO TRY THE CASE?
25	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE GOING
26	TO PAY. THEY KNOW I AM ON THE PANEL RIGHT NOW.
27	THE COURT: YOU WANT TO FIND THAT OUT, DO YOU?
28	MS. SAUNDERS: I COULD FIND OUT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. BARENS: COULD YOUR HONOR INQUIRE IF SHE STAYS AT HER PRESENT JOB, WITH THIS JOB BEING HELD FOR HER, WOULD SHE BE PAID ON HER PRESENT JOB? MS. SAUNDERS: AS LONG AS I AM ON THE PRESENT JOB, I AM GOING TO BE PAID, I KNOW THAT. THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS DO YOU WANT TO STAY OR NOT ON YOUR PRESENT JOB? MS. SAUNDERS: IT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER. MR. BARENS: IT DOESN'T MATTER? MS. SAUNDERS: NO. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO GO ANY FURTHER. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? MR. WAPNER: I AM NOT GOING TO ASK YOU ANY MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT. MS. SAUNDERS: OKAY. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 

17-

18 F

184-1 1	MR. WAPNER: I SAID THAT I AM OUT OF OPTIONS AT THIS
2	POINT. SO, IT IS KIND OF OUT OF MY HANDS.
3	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF A THEFT?
4	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. MY HOME WAS BURGLARIZED IN OCTOBER
5	OF LAST YEAR.
6	THE COURT: SHE TOLD US THAT BEFORE.
7	MR. BARENS: JUST FOR THE RECORD, WE WOULD LIKE TO OBJECT
8	TO MR. WAPNER'S PREVIOUS COMMENT ABOUT IT BEING OUT OF HIS
9	HANDS.
10	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S NOT MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO THAT.
11	MR. WAPNER: IT IS NOT IMPORTANT. AND BESIDES THAT,
12	HAVE YOU BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND OF CON SCHEME OF ANY
13	SORT?
14	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
15	MR. WAPNER: WHEN MR. BARENS ASKED YOU IF YOU HAD
16	ALWAYS BEEN SINGLE, I THOUGHT YOU SAID "IN A SENSE." I DIDN'T
17	QUITE UNDERSTAND THAT.
18	COULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO ME?
19	MS. SAUNDERS: YES. WELL, I HAVE A LITTLE GIRL, FIVE.
20	THE COURT: THAT IS THE ONE THAT HAD THE TOOTHACHE?
21	MS. SAUNDERS: YESTERDAY, YES. AND I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN
22	SINGLE. BUT HER FATHER AND I LIVED TOGETHER FOR FIVE YEARS.
23	MR. WAPNER: AND DID THAT I DON'T MEAN TO BE TOO
24	PERSONAL. BUT WAS THAT A RELATIONSHIP THAT ENDED FAIRLY
25	RECENTLY?
26	MR. BARENS: OBJECTION TO THAT QUESTION AND ANY
27	PURSUIT OF THIS.
28	THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.

5202

i

İ

1	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
2	MR. WAPNER: THE ONLY PURPOSE OF THAT IS TO TRY TO FIND
3	OUT IF THERE ARE ANY JURORS PRESENTLY GOING THROUGH ANY KIND
4	OF EMOTIONAL UPHEAVAL OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
5	MS. SAUNDERS: NOTHING LIKE THAT, NO.
6	MR. WAPNER: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY BROTHERS OR SISTERS?
7	MS. SAUNDERS: YES I DO.
8	MR. WAPNER: HOW MANY?
9	MS. SAUNDERS: I HAVE TWO SISTERS.
10	MR. WAPNER: DO THEY LIVE IN THE LOS ANGELES, SANTA
11	MONICA AREA?
12	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
13	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO THEM VERY OFTEN?
14	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, ALMOST EVERY DAY.
15	MR. WAPNER: AND ARE YOUR FOLKS LIVING IN THE LOS ANGELES
16	AREA?
17	MS. SAUNDERS: YES.
18	MR. WAPNER: HOW OFTEN DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO THEM?
19	MS. SAUNDERS: I SEE MY MOTHER ABOUT THREE TIMES A WEEK
20	AND I TALK TO HER MAYBE TWO OR THREE TIMES A DAY.
21	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. TWO OR THREE TIMES A DAY? I TAKE
22	IT THAT MEANS THAT YOU ARE FAIRLY CLOSE?
23	MS. SAUNDERS: YES, VERY.
24	MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS IT THAT MAKES YOU WANT TO GET INTO
25	SPORTS JOURNALISM?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN VERY ATHLETIC. I
27	RAN TRACK, COMPETED UP UNTIL ABOUT SIX YEARS AGO. I PLAYED
28	BASKETBALL.

1	I KNOW A LOT ABOUT SPORTS. AND I FIND IT VERY
2	INTERESTING. I LIKE IT. I ENJOY IT.
3	MR. WAPNER: ANYTHING ABOUT THAT PREVIOUS MURDER TRIAL,
4	THAT YOU THINK IS GOING TO AFFECT YOU IN DECIDING THIS CASE,
5	THE ONE YOU WATCHED?
6	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
7	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY BAD EXPERIENCES WITH
8	POLICE OFFICERS, THAT LEFT SUCH A BAD TASTE IN YOUR MOUTH
9	THAT YOU THINK YOU MIGHT BE BIASED AGAINST A POLICE OFFICER
10	WHO TESTIFIES IN THIS CASE?
11	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
12	MR. WAPNER: ANY BAD EXPERIENCES WITH LAWYERS THAT WOULD
13	AFFECT YOU?
14	MS. SAUNDERS: NO.
15	MR. WAPNER: ANY BAD EXPERIENCES WITH JUDGE WAPNER?
16	MS. SAUNDERS: NO. SOME OF HIS DECISIONS, I DIDN'T
17	AGREE WITH. BUT OTHER THAN THAT, NO.
18	MR. WAPNER: YOU HAVE NOT READ ANY OF THE LETTERS THAT
19	PEOPLE WRITE INTO TV GUIDE. ONE PERSON SAID THAT RICHARD
20	DAWSON AND JUDGE WAPNER ARE THE TWO MEANEST PEOPLE ON
21	TELEVISION.
22	MS. SAUNDERS: I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT THEY WROTE TO
23	TV GUIDE.
24	MR. WAPNER: NOTHING ABOUT THAT THAT IS GOING TO UPSET
25	YOU ONE WAY OR THE OTHER IN DECIDING THIS CASE?
26	MS. SAUNDERS: NO. ARE YOU REALLY RELATED TO JUDGE
27	WAPNER?
28	MR. WAPNER: UNFORTUNATELY, THE WAY THE PROCESS WORKS,

18A

I GET TO ASK YOU THE QUESTIONS BUT I DON'T HAVE TO ANSWER 1 THEM. 2 THE COURT: I WILL ANSWER IT FOR YOU. HE IS HIS SON. 3 MS. SAUNDERS: OH. 4 THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT COUNSEL INDICATED TO YOU BEFORE. 5 MR. WAPNER: NOW, YOU DON'T HAVE TO SIT THROUGH A TWO-6 OR THREE-MONTH TRIAL AND WAIT TO ASK ME AT THE END. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU PASS FOR CAUSE? 8 MR. WAPNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: A PEREMPTORY? 10 MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 11 (PAUSE.) 12 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE WOULD REQUEST THAT 13 THE COURT THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NUMBER 5, MS. FELTS. 14 THE COURT: THANK YOU, MS. FELTS. 15 THE CLERK: MRS. EMMA BECKING, B-E-C-K-I-NG. 16 THE COURT: MRS. BECKING, YOU HEARD ALL OF THE QUESTIONS 17 AND ANSWERS WHICH HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO THE GENERAL QUESTIONS. 18 IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF YOU, WOULD YOUR 19 ANSWERS BE ANY DIFFERENT OR WOULD THEY BE SUBSTANTIALLY THE 20 SAME? 21 MS. BECKING: SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME. 22 THE COURT: OKAY. MRS. BECKING, WHAT DO YOU DO, PLEASE? 23 MS. BECKING: I AM A HOMEMAKER. I AM A WIDOW. 24 THE COURT: A WIDOW? WHAT DID MR. BECKING DO DURING 25 HIS LIFETIME? 26 MS. BECKING: HE WAS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH HIS TWO BROTHERS. 27 THEY WERE GENERAL BUILDING CONTRACTORS. THEY SPECIALIZED 28

84-

1	IN REMODELING.
2	THE COURT: HERE IN SANTA MONICA?
3	MS. BECKING: NO, IN THE WHOLE SOUTH BAY AREA. IT WAS
4	MAINLY MANHATTAN BEACH, HERMOSA BEACH AND PALOS VERDES.
5	THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE NOW?
6	MS. BECKING: MANHATTAN BEACH.
7	THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER SAT AS A JUROR IN A CRIMINAL
8	CASE BEFORE?
9	MS. BECKING: YES I HAVE.
10	THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF A CASE WAS THAT?
11	MS. BECKING: IT WAS A 502.
12	THE COURT: A WHAT? A 502? THAT DATES YOU, YOU KNOW.
13	THAT WAS WAY BACK IN MY TIME.
14	MS. BECKING: DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<b>2</b> 5	
26	
27	
28	

Г

THE COURT: IT IS A DIFFERENT NUMBER ENTIRELY NOW. 1 DID THE JURY REACH A VERDICT IN THAT CASE? 2 MS. BECKING: WE DIDN'T REACH A VERDICT. 3 THE COURT: YOU HAD A HUNG JURY? 4 MS. BECKING: WE HAD A HUNG JURY. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM 6 OF ANY KIND OF A CRIME OF ANY KIND? 7 MS. BECKING: NO. 8 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 9 MR. BARENS: GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. BECKING. 10 MS. BECKING: GOOD AFTERNOON. 11 MR. BARENS: MS. BECKING, HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT 12 HUNG JURY EXPERIENCE? 13 MS. BECKING: WELL, I THOUGHT THE LAW WORKED FINE. BUT 14 THE 12 OF US JUST DIDN'T GET TOGETHER. 15 MR. BARENS: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT A HUNG JURY IS A 16 PART OF THIS SYSTEM, A LEGITIMATE PART OF IT? THAT IS ONE 17 OF THE CHOICES WE GET, UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, THE WAY THIS 18 BUSINESS WORKS. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT? 19 MS. BECKING: YES. 20 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU ANGRY THAT IT WAS A HUNG JURY? 21 MS. BECKING: WHAT IS THAT? 22 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU ANGRY IT WAS A HUNG JURY? 23 MS. BECKING: WELL, I WOULD HAVE PREFERRED TO HAVE SEEN 24 IT SETTLED, NATURALLY. BUT IT WAS NOT. 25 MR. BARENS: YOU FELT FRUSTRATED? 26 MS. BECKING: NOT REALLY. IT WAS JUST ONE OF THOSE 27 THINGS. 28

MR. BARENS: WERE YOU WILLING TO ACCEPT THAT IT IS JUST 1 THE WAY THE CASE WORKED, BUT THAT NONETHELESS, IT WAS A 2 LEGITIMATE EXPERIENCE FOR A JUROR TO HAVE? 3 MS. BECKING: YES. 4 MR. BARENS: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT SOMETIMES YOU CAN 5 HAVE A HUNG JURY BECAUSE THERE IS JUST INSUFFICIENT DATA 6 PROVIDED THE JURY TO ENABLE EVERYBODY ON THAT JURY TO COME 7 TO ANY KIND OF A DECISION? 8 MS. BECKING: THAT COULD, YES. 9 MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE TO LISTEN TO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE 10 IN THE CASE BEFORE YOU CAN MAKE A DECISION, DON'T YOU? 11 MS. BECKING: YES. 12 MR. BARENS: DID THAT CASE INVOLVE A BREATHALYZER? 13 DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN? 14 MS. BECKING: YES. I KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT. YES, 15 IT DID. 16 MR. BARENS: AND YOU HAD SOME POLICE OFFICER COME IN 17 AND SAY WHAT THE BREATHALYZER WAS? 18 MS. BECKING: YES. THE POLICE OFFICER TESTIFIED. 19 MR. BARENS: BY CHANCE, DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE 20 READING WAS IN THAT CASE? 21 MS. BECKING: IT WAS LOW. 22 MR. WAPNER: WAS IT BELOW A .10? 23 MS. BECKING: I THINK IT WAS. IT WAS LOW. I KNOW THAT. 24 MR. BARENS: WERE YOU OVERWHELMED BY THE BREATHALYZER 25 IN MAKING YOUR DETERMINATION OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE, IF YOU 26 IN FACT, MADE ONE? 27 MS. BECKING: NO. 28

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. UNDERSTAND, THAT I ASK YOU 1 2 ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE AS A JUROR AND I DO NOT WANT YOU TO TELL ME HOW YOU VOTED OR DIDN'T VOTE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 3 4 MS. BECKING: YES. 5 MR. BARENS: OKAY. YOU WERE NOT OVERWHELMED IN WHATEVER 6 DECISION YOU MADE, BY THE BREATHALYZER? 7 MS. BECKING: NO. 8 MR. BARENS: DID THE DEFENDANT TESTIFY IN THAT CASE? 9 MS. BECKING: YES HE DID. 10 MR. BARENS: HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DEFENDANT WHEN HE TESTIFIED? 11 12 MS. BECKING: I FELT THAT HE WAS HONEST AND TELLING 13 THE TRUTH. 14 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU HAVE --15 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO TELL US HOW YOU VOTED. 16 MS. BECKING: NO. I WON'T. 17 MR. BARENS: YOU KNOW, THE JUDGE --18 MS. BECKING: MAYBE YOU SHOULDN'T HAVE ASKED THAT 19 QUESTION. 20 MR. BARENS: WELL, ONE TIME I HAD A CASE WHERE THEY 21 BELIEVED THE DEFENDANT WAS TELLING THE TRUTH BUT THEY THOUGHT 22 HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT. 23 THE COURT: IT MUST HAVE BEEN A CIVIL CASE. 24 MR. BARENS: QUITE SO, YOUR HONOR. ALL RIGHT. OTHER 25 THAN THAT EXPERIENCE, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ON A JURY PANEL 26 BEFORE? 27 MS. BECKING: I WAS ON A PANEL AT THE SAME TIME WHEN 28 I WAS ON THIS CASE. BUT I WAS YOU KNOW, NOT ACCEPTED.

MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF A CASE WERE YOU NOT ACCEPTED 1 ON? DO YOU RECALL THE TYPE OF CASE THEY WERE GOING TO PROCEED 2 WITH? 3 MS. BECKING: YES. I THINK IT WAS A SON BEATING UP 4 HIS MOTHER-IN-LAW. 5 MR. BARENS: ASSAULT AND BATTERY? 6 MS. BECKING: ASSAULT AND BATTERY. 7 MR. BARENS: PROBABLY INCLUDING JUSTIFICATION OR 8 SOMETHING? HAVE YOU EVER WATCHED ANY TRIAL? 9 MS. BECKING: YES I HAVE. 10 MR. BARENS: HOW MANY HAVE YOU WATCHED? 11 MS. BECKING: JUST ONE. 12 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF A TRIAL DID YOU WATCH? 13 MS. BECKING: IT WAS AN ALLEGED PROSTITUTION. 14 MR. BARENS: ALLEGED, INDEED. OKAY. NOW, DID YOU WATCH 15 THE TOTALITY OF THAT TRIAL, MS. BECKING? 16 MS. BECKING: YES 1 DID. 17 MR. BARENS: WAS THAT AN INTERESTING ONE? 18 MS. BECKING: IT WAS VERY INTERESTING. 19 MR. BARENS: YES. DID THE DEFENDANT TESTIFY? 20 MS. BECKING: THE DEFENDANT TESTIFIED. 21 MR. BARENS: IT WAS A LADY, I HOPE? 22 MS. BECKING: YES. 23 MR. BARENS: OKAY. 24 MR. WAPNER: NOT NECESSARILY. 25 MR. BARENS: I KNOW. WE ARE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. 26 THERE ARE NO RULES AT ALL. BUT --27 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS TWICE IN TWO DAYS. 28

MR. BARENS: NO. I TRUST THAT IT WAS A LADY. IT COULD 1 HAVE BEEN ON SANTA MONICA, WHERE YOU ARE SAFE, MR. WAPNER. 2 MS. BECKING: IT WAS ORANGE COUNTY. 3 MR. BARENS: IN ORANGE COUNTY? I KNOW FOR SURE IT WAS 4 A LADY. THEY WOULD HAVE SHOT A FELLOW DOWN THERE, IF HE HAD 5 BEEN ON TRIAL. 6 DID YOU WATCH THE WHOLE PROCEDURE ON IT? 7 MS. BECKING: YES I DID. 8 MR. BARENS: AND HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE LADY IN 9 QUESTION AS A WITNESS IN HER DEFENSE? 10 MS. BECKING: WELL, SHE WAS A GOOD WITNESS. 11 MR. BARENS: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. NOW, I AM SURE THAT 12 YOU HAVE HAD THE EXPERIENCE OF OBSERVING TWO DEFENDANTS 13 TESTIFY IN THEIR OWN BEHALF. I ASK YOU THIS. PRIOR TO THE 14 TIME THAT YOU ACTUALLY HEARD THEM SPEAK AS A WITNESS, DID 15 YOU HAVE SOME KIND OF AN OPINION ANTICIPATING WHAT THEY WERE 16 GOING TO SAY? 17 MS. BECKING: NO I DID NOT. 18 MR. BARENS: YOU DID NOT? 19 MS. BECKING: NO. 20 MR. BARENS: SO, THEIR TESTIMONY REALLY VALIDATED OR 21 INVALIDATED SOME PRIVATE OPINION THAT YOU HAD ABOUT WHAT THEY 22 WOULD OR WOULD NOT SAY? 23 MS. BECKING: YES. 24 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF EDUCATION DO YOU HAVE, 25 MS. BECKING? 26 MS. BECKING: WELL, I AM A HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE. AND 27 I GRADUATED FROM WOODBURY BUSINESS COLLEGE, HERE IN LOS ANGELES 28

MR. BARENS: WERE YOU EMPLOYED DURING YOUR LIFETIME? 1 MS. BECKING: YES. 2 MR. BARENS: DID YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR TYPE OF 3 EMPLOYMENT THAT YOU PURSUED? 4 MS. BECKING: I WAS A LEGAL SECRETARY. 5 MR. BARENS: I HOPE YOU DON'T HATE LAWYERS AS A RESULT 6 OF THAT. DO YOU, MS. BECKING? 7 MS. BECKING: WHAT? 8 MR. BARENS: 1 HOPE YOU DON'T HAVE ANYTHING AGAINST 9 LAWYERS. 10 MS. BECKING: NO. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE CASE I WAS 11 ON, MY LAWYER ON THE PROSTITUTION CASE WAS ONE OF THE 12 ATTORNEYS. 13 MR. BARENS: REALLY? 14 MS. BECKING: YES. 15 MR. BARENS: WITH WHOM YOU HAD WORKED? 16 MS. BECKING: WITH WHOM I HAD WORKED. 17 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IS IT PERMISSIBLE FOR COUNSEL 18 TO INQUIRE AS TO THE NAME OF THE LAWYER THAT SHE WORKED FOR? 19 THE COURT: IT IS ALL RIGHT. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

19 F

19 - 1MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 COULD YOU TELL ME WHAT LAW FIRM OR LAWYER YOU 3 WORKED FOR? MS. BECKING: IT IS SUCH A LONG TIME AGO, YOU PROBABLY 4 5 WOULDN'T REMEMBER THE NAME. MR. BARENS: 1 COULD BE REAL OLD. 6 MS. BECKING: HIS NAME IS BOYD ALAN TAYLOR. 7 8 MR. BARENS: BOYD ALAN TAYLOR? JUST A MOMENT. 9 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 10 AND MR. CHIER.) 11 MS. BECKING: PREVIOUS -- AFTER THAT, HE WAS WITH THE 12 CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR QUITE A FEW YEARS BUT I WASN'T 13 14 WORKING FOR HIM THEN. MR. BARENS: WERE YOU WORKING FOR ANOTHER LAWYER DURING 15 16 THAT TIME FRAME? MS. BECKING: 1 WORKED FOR THREE DIFFERENT ATTORNEYS. 17 MR. BARENS: COULD YOU TELL ME WHO THEY WERE? 18 MS. BECKING: ONE WAS NAMED HENRY J. ELDER AND THE OTHER 19 20 WAS STEADMAN G. SMITH. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. WHAT SORT OF LEGAL WORK DID 21 22 THOSE FELLOWS DO? MS. BECKING: WELL, I WOULD SAY 99 PERCENT OF IT WAS, 23 24 YOU KNOW, JUST PROBATE MOSTLY AND --25 MR. BARENS: I LOOK TO MR. CHIER, HE BEING MY ELDER, AND THOUGHT HE MIGHT KNOW THEM. 26 27 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 28 MS. BECKING: MAYBE JUDGE WAPNER WOULD.

1	MR. WAPNER: OH.
2	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THEY DID MOSTLY PROBATE WORK?
3	MS. BECKING: WELL, MR. SMITH DID MOSTLY PROBATE.
4	MR. ELDER DID A LOT OF CIVIL.
5	MR. TAYLOR DID MAINLY CIVIL BUT A LITTLE BIT OF
6	CRIMINAL.
7	MR. BARENS: WELL, IS THERE ANYTHING FROM YOUR EXPERIENCE,
8	HAVING WORKED WITH THESE GENTLEMEN, THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY BIAS
9	YOU EITHER FOR THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE, WERE YOU TO
10	BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE?
11	MS. BECKING: NO, I WOULDN'T.
12	MR. BARENS: YOU ARE SURE?
13	MS. BECKING: I AM POSITIVE.
14	MR. BARENS: AND YOU DON'T HAVE ANY WAR STORY
15	EXPERIENCES ABOUT YOUR JOBS THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY BIAS YOU
16	AGAINST LAWYERS IN GENERAL?
17	MS. BECKING: NO.
18	MR. BARENS: IT WAS A PLEASANT THING FOR YOU?
19	MS. BECKING: I LOVED MY WORK.
20	MR. BARENS: TERRIFIC.
21	AFTER WORKING AS A LEGAL SECRETARY, DID YOU HAVE
22	ANY OTHER TYPE OF EMPLOYMENT?
23	MS. BECKING: I KEPT BOOKS FOR MY HUSBAND AND HIS
24	BROTHERS IN ACCOUNTING AND I ALSO DID A LITTLE PART TIME WORK
25	AT HOME FOR ANOTHER ATTORNEY.
26	MR. BARENS: WHO WAS THAT?
27	MS. BECKING: HIS NAME WAS THOMAS P. FOY.
28	AND HE LATER BECAME A MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE IN

1 TORRANCE, NOW RETIRED. 2 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. AND NOTHING FROM THAT EXPERIENCE 3 WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON YOU AS A PROSPECTIVE JUROR? 4 MS. BECKING: NO. 5 MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE ANY HOBBIES AT PRESENT? 6 MS. BECKING: I LOVE GARDENING. 7 MR. BARENS: I AM NOT GOING TO DO THAT TO YOU. I AM 8 NOT GOING TO DO THE FROZEN FLOWER. 9 THE COURT: TELL US ABOUT THE METEORITE, YOU MEAN? 10 MR. BARENS: I AM NOT GOING TO DO THE METEORITE EITHER, 11 JUDGE. I KNOW SHE WOULD SAY IT WAS A METEORITE FROM THE 12 START. 13 WELL, ASIDE FROM THE GARDENING, DO YOU HAVE ANY 14 HOBBIES? 15 MS. BECKING: NOT REALLY, NO. 16 WHEN I WAS YOUNGER, I USED TO HAVE OTHER HOBBIES 17 BUT --18 MR. BARENS: ANY PARTICULAR HOBBY FOLLOWED DURING YOUR 19 LIFETIME? 20 MS. BECKING: WELL, I USED TO LIKE TO BODY SURF OUT HERE 21 ON THE OCEAN. 22 I AM TOO OLD FOR THAT NOW. 23 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, MRS. BECKING, DO YOU READ? 24 MS. BECKING: VERY LITTLE. 25 I LISTEN TO A LOT OF RADIO AND A LOT OF MUSIC. 26 I LOVE SYMPHONY MUSIC. 27 MR. BARENS: MRS. BECKING, I DO HAVE SOME RECOLLECTION 28 WHEN I QUESTIONED YOU LAST TIME THAT YOU HAD SOME CONCERN ABOUT

1	SMOKE AND FIRE; DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DIALOGUE?
2	MS. BECKING: SMOKE AND FIRE?
3	MR. BARENS: SMOKE AND FIRE.
4	MS. BECKING: I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.
5	THE COURT: WHERE THERE IS SMOKE THERE IS FIRE.
6	MS. BECKING: OH, WHERE THERE IS SMOKE THERE IS FIRE?
7	MR. BARENS: DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
8	MS. BECKING: I DON'T REMEMBER IT TOO MUCH.
9	MR. BARENS: LET ME ASK YOU THIS: DO YOU HAVE A REASON
10	TO BELIEVE THAT BECAUSE JOE HUNT SITS THERE AS A DEFENDANT
11	ACCUSED OF A MURDER, DO YOU BELIEVE HE HAS DONE SOMETHING
12	WRONG?
13	MS. BECKING: NO, I DON'T.
14	MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T AT ALL?
15	MS. BECKING: NO.
16	MR. BARENS: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE PRESUMPTION OF
17	INNOCENCE THAT EVERY DEFENDANT, INCLUDING IF IT WERE YOU, IS
18	GIVEN IN THIS COUNTRY?
19	MS. BECKING: I THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE THE PRESUMPTION
20	OF INNOCENCE.
21	MR. BARENS: YOU THINK IT IS AN IMPORTANT RIGHT THAT
22	WE HAVE
23	MS. BECKING: AN IMPORTANT RIGHT, YES.
24	MR. BARENS: AS CITIZENS HERE?
25	AFTER LOOKING AT THE COUPLE OF TRIALS YOU OBSERVED
26	AND THE ONE YOU PARTICIPATED IN AND WORKING FOR LAWYERS IN
27	SOME PERIOD OF TIME, HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE LEGAL SYSTEM
28	AS A GENERAL PROPOSITION?

9-5	
[9-5]	
1	MS. BECKING: OH, I THINK IT WORKS BEAUTIFULLY.
2	LIKE I SAY, IT MIGHT BE A LITTLE SLOW, BUT ASIDE
3	FROM THAT, I THINK IT IS FINE.
4	MR. BARENS: JUST ONE OF THOSE PATIENCE ACTIVITIES.
5	ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ABOUT SOMEONE WHO MIGHT BELONG TO AN
6	ORGANIZATION CALLED THE BILLIONAIRE BOYS CLUB THAT WOULD GIVE
7	YOU ANY CONCERN?
8	MS. BECKING: NEVER HEARD OF THEM.
9	MR. BARENS: IT WOULDN'T MAKE YOU SUSPICIOUS BECAUSE
10	OF THAT?
11	MS. BECKING: NO.
12	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THE PIE.
13	WHAT DO YOU THINK HAPPENED?
14	YOU HEARD THIS STORY THAT THIS PIE IS WHOLE, IT
15	IS NOT WHOLE AND JOHNNY
16	THE COURT: THE PIEHAS ALREADY BEEN EATEN. LET'S STAY
17	AWAY FROM IT. THERE NO LONGER EXISTS ANY PIE. IT HAS BEEN
18	ALL EATEN UP.
19	(LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
20	MR. BARENS: I ANTICIPATE IF I DON'T DO THIS, MY
21	COLLEAGUE WILL.
22	THE COURT: HE WON'T DO THAT, I PROMISE.
23	MR. BARENS: HE WON'T DO THAT?
24	THE COURT: NO.
25	MR. BARENS: COULD I ASK HER JUST ONE QUESTION?
26	THE COURT: YES.
27	MR. BARENS: IF JOHNNY WAS JUST STANDING THERE, HE KNOWS
28	HE IS THE ONLY GUY IN THE WORLD THAT COULD HAVE BEEN IN THAT

1	HOUSE AND HE HAS GOT PIE ALL OVER HIS SHIRT, DO YOU THINK AS
2	A REAL-WORLD PROPOSITION THERE IS ANY WAY IN THE WORLD THAT
3	HE COULD COME IN HERE AND SAY HE WAS REALLY NOT GUILTY, GIVEN
4	THE FACTS THAT MR. WAPNER PUT FORTH, DO YOU THINK THERE IS
5	ANYBODY IN THEIR RIGHT MIND WHO WOULD STAND UP AND SAY NOT
6	GUILTY TO THAT ONE?
7	MS. BECKING: GEE, I DON'T KNOW.
8	MR. BARENS: I WOULD JUST SUBMIT TO YOU THAT THAT WHOLE
9	THING FALLS APART BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAY JOHNNY
10	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THAT IS NOT A QUESTION.
11	THE COURT: LET'S NOT MAKE ANY SPEECHES. SAVE THAT FOR
12	THE CLOSING ARGUMENT.
13	MR. BARENS: I WANT TO GIVE THE PIE THE FINAL SHOT, YOUR
14	HONOR.
15	THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU DID IT A LONG TIME AGO
16	MS. BECKING: ARE YOU TRYING TO GET AT
17	THE COURT: BUT LIKE A CAT
18	MS. BECKING: DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE?
19	THE COURT: IT HAS NINE LIVES.
20	MR. BARENS: THE JUROR ASKED ME IF WE WERE TRYING TO
21	GET AT DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND I WOULD LIKE
22	TO CONFIRM TO HER THAT WAS THE INTENT OF THE EXAMPLE.
23	WHAT I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT, MRS. BECKING, YOU
24	COULD SEE THE UTTER PREPOSTEROUSNESS OF IT AS AN EXAMPLE OF
25	EVEN CONTENDING HE ISN'T GUILTY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I MEAN?
26	MS. BECKING: YES.
27	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I PASS FOR CAUSE.
28	THE COURT: I THINK I OUGHT TO GIVE THEM A LITTLE BRIEF

7		
	1	RECESS AT THIS TIME.
	2	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL TAKE A BRIEF
	3	RECESS AT THIS TIME FOR 10 OR 15 MINUTES.
	4	(RECESS.)
l	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

1	THE COURT: IT WILL BE STIPULATED THE DEFENDANT IS
2	PRESENT. COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE
3	PRESENT. MR. WAPNER?
4	MR. WAPNER: I WAS ABOUT TO INQUIRE, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: YOU MAY GO AHEAD.
6	MR. WAPNER: GOOD AFTERNOON, MRS. BECKING.
7	MS. BECKING: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. WAPNER.
8	MR. WAPNER: YOU WILL BE HAPPY TO KNOW THAT I FOUND OUT
9	DURING THE BREAK, WHAT HAPPENED TO THE PIE.
10	MS. BECKING: YOU DID?
11	MR. WAPNER: I TALKED TO THE BAILIFF AND HE SAID THAT
12	IT FELL OFF THE BOAT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT AND DROWNED.
13	MR. BARENS: WE WILL STIPULATE TO THAT.
14	MR. WAPNER: MR. BARENS IS SUGGESTING HOW PREPOSTEROUS
15	HE THOUGHT IT WAS IN THIS CASE, LISTENING TO PEOPLE WHO WERE
16	SUGGESTING THAT HE WENT NEXT DOOR AND HOW OLD WAS THE BOY.
17	DID YOU HEAR ALL OF THAT STUFF?
18	MS. BECKING: YES.
19	MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. DID ANY OF THAT STUFF SEEM A
20	LITTLE MUCH TO YOU?
21	MS. BECKING: A LITTLE MUCH.
22	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE WHOLE PURPOSE
23	OF THAT EXAMPLE?
24	MS. BECKING: YES.
25	MR. WAPNER: HAD YOU HAD ANY EXPERIENCE OR UNDERSTANDING
26	OF CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU CAME INTO THE COURTROOM?
27	MS. BECKING: NO.
28	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU THINK YOU UNDERSTAND IT A LITTLE

ł

20-2	
1	BIT BETTER NOW THAN YOU DID BEFORE?
2	MS. BECKING: BETTER, YES.
3	MS. WAPNER: OKAY. YOU HAVE SAT ON SEVERAL TRIALS AND
4	WATCHED SOME OTHERS. RIGHT?
5	MS. BECKING: I SAT ON JUST ONE.
6	MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. THAT WAS DRIVING UNDER THE
7	INFLUENCE?
8	MS. BECKING: YES.
9	MR. WAPNER: IN THAT CASE, DID YOU FEEL THAT THE POLICE
10	OFFICERS WERE TRUTHFUL OR NOT?
11	MS. BECKING: YES. I BELIEVE THEY WERE TRUTHFUL.
21 <b>12</b>	
13	
14	
. 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

5222
MR. WAPNER: AND YOU JUST DIDN'T FEEL THAT?
MS. BECKING: THEY FELT IN THEIR OWN MINDS THEY WERE
TRUTHFUL.
MR. WAPNER: DID YOU FEEL THEY WERE BEING TRUTHFUL BUT
THE EVIDENCE WASN'T SUFFICIENT OR SOMETHING ELSE?
MS. BECKING: WELL, SOMETHING ELSE.
MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU FEEL THAT THE JURY IN
THAT CASE, ALL 12 PEOPLE, MADE AN HONEST EFFORT TO REACH
A VERDICT?
MS. BECKING: I BELIEVE WE DID.
WE WERE SPLIT, YOU KNOW, LIKE SIX TO SIX AND THEN
WE WERE SPLIT EIGHT, YOU KNOW, FOUR AND THAT IS HOW IT ENDED
UP.
MR. WAPNER: SO IT WAS JUST A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION?
MS. BECKING: RIGHT.
MR. WAPNER: YOU DIDN'T HAVE ONE PERSON WHO CAME IN THERE
AND SAID, "WELL, I DON'T CARE ABOUT THE FACTS AND I DON'T CARE
ABOUT THE LAW, I AM JUST GOING TO DO WHAT I AM GOING TO DO?"
MS. BECKING: NO, WE DIDN'T HAVE THAT.
MR. WAPNER: OKAY. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF
ANY KIND OF A CON SCHEME?
MS. BECKING: NO.
MR. WAPNER: NOW THAT THE CAT IS OUT OF THE BAG, HOW
DO YOU FEEL ABOUT JUDGE WAPNER?
MS. BECKING: I THINK HE IS WONDERFUL. I LISTEN TO
PEOPLE'S COURT QUITE A BIT.
MR. WAPNER: OKAY, I THINK HE IS WONDERFUL, TOO.

**1 -**

1 MS. BECKING: YOU DO? 2 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS NOT GOING TO AFFECT YOU ONE WAY 3 OR THE OTHER IN DECIDING THIS CASE, IS IT? 4 MS. BECKING: NO. 5 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU THINK IF WE DON'T BRING HIM IN AS A CO-PROSECUTOR OR SOMETHING, THAT IS NOT GOING TO --6 7 MS. BECKING: I DON'T THINK HE WILL. 8 MR. BARENS: GOD, I HOPE NOT. 9 MS. BECKING: HE IS SUPPOSED TO BE RETIRED. 10 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 11 MR. WAPNER: I'LL TELL YOU, I WAS NEVER MORE TICKLED 12 TO DEATH IN MY LIFE THAN ONE MORNING -- THEY DO THESE CASES 13 ON WEDNESDAYS. 14 MS. BECKING: THEY DO? 15 MR. WAPNER: HE TAPES THEM ALL ON ONE DAY AND HE CALLED 16 ME UP ONE WEDNESDAY MORNING, HE HAD A CASE, EVEN THOUGH IT 17 WASACIVIL CASE, THAT HAD TO DO BASICALLY WITH A BURGLARY, HAD 18 TO DO WITH SOMEBODY BREAKING IN AND HE USED TO SIT ON CIVIL 19 MOSTLY A LONG TIME AGO AND HE CALLED ME UP AND ASKED FOR 20 ADVICE. 21 MS. BECKING: THAT MADE YOU FEEL GOOD, DIDN'T IT? 22 MR. WAPNER: YES, IT SURE DID. 23 DO YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN? 24 MS. BECKING: I HAVE ONE SON, 37. 25 MR. WAPNER: YOU MENTIONED THAT BEFORE. 26 CAN YOU TELL ME A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES 27 OF HIS PASSING? 28 MS. BECKING: OF WHAT?

1 MR. WAPNER: YOU HAVE A SON OR YOU HAD A SON? 2 MS. BECKING: I HAVE A SON. 3 MY HUSBAND IS DECEASED. 4 MR. WAPNER: SOMEONE, I THOUGHT IT WAS YOU, WHO HAD A 5 SON WHO HAD PASSED AWAY. 6 MS. BECKING: MY SON IS LIVING. 7 MR. WAPNER: DOES HE LIVE IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA? 8 MS. BECKING: HE LIVES IN REDONDO BEACH. 9 MR. WAPNER: AND THAT IS NOT TOO FAR FROM MANHATTAN? 10 MS. BECKING: IT ISN'T. 11 MR. WAPNER: HOW OFTEN DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO HIM? 12 MS. BECKING: 1 SEE HIM ABOUT TWICE A WEEK. 13 HE WORKS IN A BAIT AND TACKLE SHOP IN REDONDO 14 AND I GO DOWN THERE FREQUENTLY. 15 MR. WAPNER: YOU STILL GET DOW'. TO THE WATER PRETTY 16 OFTEN EVEN IF YOU DON'T GO BODY SURFING? 17 MS. BECKING: NOT AS OFTEN AS I USED TO. 18 I SPEND MORE TIME IN MY GARDEN. 19 MR. WAPNER: WHEN IT IS NOT FREEZING. 20 MS. BECKING: IT IS NOT THAT COLD IN CALIFORNIA. 21 MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU CAME INTO THIS COURTROOM AND 22 BEFORE YOU KNEW EVEN THAT THIS WAS A MURDER CASE, BUT ONLY 23 THAT IT WAS A CRIMINAL CASE, DID YOU FEEL ANY BIAS ONE WAY 24 OR THE OTHER TOWARDS EITHER THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE? 25 MS. BECKING: NO. 26 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU FOLLOW THE NEWS MUCH? 27 MS. BECKING: I LISTEN TO THE NEWS ON TELEVISION AND 28 RADIO.

1	MR. WAPNER: YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU LISTEN TO THE RADIO;
2	WHAT STATIONS DO YOU LISTEN TO?
3	MS. BECKING: I LIKE KABC. I LIKE KEN AND BOB.
4	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU LISTEN TO MUCH OF THE TALK STUFF
5	DURING THE DAY, THE CALL-IN PROGRAMS?
6	MS. BECKING: NO, BUT I DO DURING THE EVENING WHEN I
7	CAN'T SLEEP AT NIGHT, I LISTEN TO KABC, TALK ON RADIO.
8	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU EVER, WHEN YOU ARE READING OR
9	LISTENTING TO THE RADIO, FOLLOW ANY OF THE STORIES ABOUT
10	CRIME OR
11	MS. BECKING: NO.
12	MR. WAPNER: DID YOU FEEL ANY BIAS ONE WAY OR THE OTHER
13	TOWARDS THE PROSECUTION OR DEFENSE WHEN YOU WERE A LEGAL
14	SECRETARY AND THE PEOPLE YOU WERE WORKING FOR DID SOME LIMITED
15	CRIMINAL WORK?
16	MS. BECKING: NO.
17	THERE WAS VERY LITTLE CRIMINAL WORK ANYWAY, VERY
18	LITTLE.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Γ

MR. WAPNER: HOW DID YOU FEEL WHEN IT WAS A FORMER 1 2 BOSS, I BELIEVE, WHO WENT TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE? 3 MS. BECKING: HOW DID I FEEL? MR. WAPNER: WHEN HE WENT TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE? 4 5 MS. BECKING: WELL, I HAD ALREADY QUIT WORKING FOR 6 HIM. HE WANTED ME TO COME BACK AND GO IN THERE WITH HIM BUT 7 MY SON WAS JUST A BABY THEN. I COULDN'T DO IT. MR. WAPNER: OKAY. IF IT TURNS OUT THAT YOU DON'T LIKE 8 THE VICTIM IN THIS CASE BUT YOU STILL THINK THAT THE EVIDENCE 9 PROVES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT HE WAS KILLED AND THAT 10 11 THE DEFENDANT DID IT, COULD YOU VOTE FOR A VERDICT OF GUILTY? 12 MS. BECKING: SAY IT AGAIN NOW. MR. WAPNER: IF IT TURNS OUT THAT YOU HEAR ALL OF THE 13 EVIDENCE AND YOU DECIDE YOU DON'T LIKE THE VICTIM IN THIS 14 15 CASE. ALL RIGHT. YOU JUST DON'T LIKE HIM AS A PERSON. ARE 16 YOU WITH ME SO FAR? 17 MS. BECKING: LIKE THE VICTIM? 18 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. MS. BECKING: THE DEFENDANT, YOU ARE SPEAKING OF? 19 MR. WAPNER: NO. I AM TALKING ABOUT THE PERSON WHO WAS 20 21 KILLED. MR. BECKING: OH, THE PERSON WHO WAS KILLED. 22 MR. WAPNER: IF YOU HEAR ALL THE EVIDENCE AND YOU HEAR 23 EVIDENCE ABOUT THAT PERSON AND ABOUT HIS LIFESTYLE AND IT TURNS 24 OUT THAT HE IS JUST NOT THE KIND OF PERSON THAT YOU WOULD 25 ASSOCIATE WITH OR YOU WOULD EVEN LIKE -- ARE YOU CLEAR ON 26 27 WHO I AM TALKING ABOUT? 28 MS. BECKING: YEAH, YOU ARE SPEAKING OF THE VICTIM.

MR. WAPNER: RIGHT, I AM SPEAKING OF THE VICTIM. 1 BUT YOU ALSO HAVE DECIDED IN YOUR OWN MIND THAT 2 HE WAS KILLED AND THAT THE EVIDENCE PROVED BEYOND A REASONABLE 3 DOUBT THAT THE DEFENDANT DID IT. 4 ARE YOU WITN ME SO FAR? 5 MS. BECKING: I AM WITH YOU. 6 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. IF THE EVIDENCE PROVES THE DEFENDANT 7 COMMITTED THE MURDER, COULD YOU VOTE FOR A VERDICT OF GUILTY 8 EVEN THOUGH YOU DIDN'T LIKE THE PERSON WHO WAS KILLED? 9 MS. BECKING: YES, I WOULD. 10 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT? 11 MS. BECKING: I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT. 12 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DEFENSE PEREMPTORY. 14 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM PLEASED TO ADVISE YOU THAT 15 16 WE HAVE A JURY. THE COURT: YOU PASS FOR CAUSE? 17 MR. BARENS: PASS FOR CAUSE. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SWEAR THE JURY. 19 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR --20 THE COURT: PARDON ME? 21 MR. WAPNER: BEFORE WE -- I THINK WE DID DISCUSS ABOUT 22 23 THE SWEARING OF THE JURY. 24 THE COURT: OH, YES. 25 APPROACH THE BENCH. 26 27 28

22

22A-1	1	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
	2	AT THE BENCH:)
	3	MR. WAPNER: YOU CALLED US UP.
	4	THE COURT: WHAT I WANTED TO SAY IS THAT WE DON'T WANT
	5	TO DISCUSS THIS IN FRONT OF THE JURY. DO WE?
	6	MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. I THOUGHT WE HAD AGREED THAT WE
	7	WOULD NOT SWEAR THE PANEL UNTIL WE HAD HEARD ALL OF THESE
	8	MOTIONS TO DISMISS.
	9	THE COURT: DISMISS?
	10	MR. WAPNER: WELL, THERE IS GOING TO BE A MOTION FILED
	11	BY THE DEFENSE I BELIEVE, TOMORROW.
	12	MR. CHIER: TOMORROW MORNING, WE THINK.
	13	MR. WAPNER: TO DISMISS THE ENTIRE CASE, BASED ON WHAT
	14	THEY ALLEGE HAPPENED DURING THE SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT
	15	ON MR. ROBERTS' HOUSE LAST WEEK AND
	16	THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE NOTHING BEFORE ME AT THIS TIME.
	17	I WISH I COULD ENTERTAIN SUCH A MOTION.
	18	THESE ARE THE PAPERS THAT WERE SEIZED?
	19	MR. WAPNER: I AM ASKING THE COURT ONLY, THAT IF
	20	COUNSEL AGREE THAT THIS IS THE JURY, WE ONLY REFRAIN FROM
	21	SWEARING THEM UNTIL WE HAVE HEARD THAT MOTION. THAT IS ALL.
	22	SO THAT JEOPARDY
	23	THE COURT: WHAT DOES THE MOTION HAVE TO DO WITH IT?
	24	MR. WAPNER: WELL, THERE IS GOING TO BE A REQUEST BY
	25	THE DEFENSE TO DISMISS THE CASE. AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY
	26	WHAT THE BASIS IS
	27	THE COURT: WELL, THEY MADE THE MOTION. YOU MADE A
	28	MOTION TO CONTINUE THE CASE, DIDN'T YOU?
		1

22A-Ι MR. BARENS: THAT MOTION WILL BE HEARD TOMORROW. 1 DO ADVISE YOUR HONOR THAT I WILL BE FILING A MOTION TOMORROW 2 THAT IS SET FOR TUESDAY, TO DISMISS. THAT WILL BE THE ONLY 3 APPROPRIATE SANCTION FOR WHAT OCCURRED, BASED ON THE LAW AND 4 THE CASES THAT WE WILL SUBMIT. 5 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS THE BASIS OF THE MOTION? 6 MR. BARENS: I AM NOT PREPARED BECAUSE I HAVE NOT 7 FINISHED THE MOTION. LIKE I SAID, THE MOTION WILL BE 8 COMPLETED TONIGHT AND SUBMITTED IN WRITTEN FORM TO YOUR HONOR 9 TOMORROW. 10 THE COURT: MEANTIME WE HAVE GOT ALL OF THE JURORS. 11 WHAT I WANT TO DO IS GET THESE JURORS SWORN AND START PICKING 12 THE ALTERNATE JURORS AND GET THE CASE UNDERWAY. 13 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I SUBMIT THAT WE CAN PICK 14 THE ALTERNATES, EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE NOT SWORN THESE PEOPLE? 15 THE COURT: YES. 16 MR. WAPNER: I THINK IT IS AGREEABLE. IS IT ALL RIGHT 17 TO PICK THE ALTERNATES? 18 MR. BARENS: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY FOR THE RECORD 19 THAT I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO SWEARING THE JURY. 20 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DO. 21 THE COURT: HE WANTS TO PICK THE ALTERNATES FIRST 22 WITHOUT SWEARING ANYBODY. 23 MR. BARENS: AGAIN YOUR HONOR, JUST TO GET IT STRAIGHT 24 FOR THE RECORD, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE PEOPLE ARE SAYING 25 RIGHT NOW. 26 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE SAYING, EITHER. 27 MR. BARENS: BUT SINCE WE ARE AGREEING --28

THE COURT: NO. HE WANTS TO NOT SWEAR THE JURY. THEN 1 HE SAYS THAT JEOPARDY ATTACHES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT MEANS. 2 MR. BARENS: I DON'T EITHER. BUT, SO WHAT? 3 THE COURT: HE MEANS IF BEFORE SWEARING THE JURY, YOU 4 MAKE A MOTION TO DISMISS AND I GRANT IT, THERE IS NO JEOPARDY. 5 IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN? 6 MR. WAPNER: YES. 7 MR. BARENS: BUT, I LIKE THE ADVANTAGE OF THAT. 8 MR. WAPNER: WELL, THAT IS WHY I THINK IT IS 9 APPROPRIATE. COUNSEL PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO IT. 10 THE COURT: WELL, WOULD YOU AGREE WITHOUT PREJUDICE 11 TO YOUR MOTION ABOUT GETTING THE OTHER JURORS? WHAT WE WILL 12 DO IS SWEAR THE JURY AND THEN WE GET THE ALTERNATES AND --13 MR. WAPNER: I UNDERSTAND THAT. ALL I AM ASKING IS 14 THAT BEFORE WE CAN PICK THE ALTERNATES, NOW, WITHOUT SWEARING 15 THE JURY -- THEN THE COURT CAN HEAR THIS MOTION. 16 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THAT? 17 MR. BARENS: COULD I ASK MR. WAPNER A QUESTION FOR A 18 MOMENT? 19 (OFF THE RECORD COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.) 20 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I STIPULATE TO PROCEED AS 21 MR. WAPNER HAS ASKED. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT SWEARING THE JURORS? 23 MR. BARENS: THAT'S CORRECT. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 25 WAIT A MINUTE. HOW MANY JURORS DO WE HAVE? 26 THE CLERK: 13 OUT THERE NOW. 27 THE COURT: THAT MEANS THAT THERE ARE FOUR --28

MR. BARENS: WE ONLY NEED 13, JUDGE BECAUSE WE HAVE FOUR AND FOUR AND FOUR. THAT IS 12.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

THE CLERK: ONE EXTRA.

MR. BARENS: LET'S DO IT BY THAT PROCESS. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO DO THIS AGAIN.

THE COURT: EXACTLY. WHAT I PROPOSE TO DO IS AS FOLLOWS. WHY DON'T WE HAVE THREE? THEN IF YOU PASS ON THOSE THREE AND ACCEPT THOSE, WHATEVER NUMBER WILL BE LEFT -- I WANT TO BE SURE THAT WE ARE GETTING AT LEAST TWO JURORS AT THE END WHO HAVE NOT BEEN SELECTED, PLUS ALTERNATES THAT --

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK I ONLY NEED TO BE LEFT 1 WITH TWO WHEN YOU GO TO SELECT THE LAST ONE. 2 SO IN OTHER WORDS --3 MR. BARENS: I THINK THAT IS WHAT HE SAID. 4 THE COURT: YES. I KNOW THAT AND --5 MR. WAPNER: ONCE YOU PICK THE LAST NAME, THERE ONLY 6 NEEDS TO BE ONE IN THE BACK. 7 THE COURT: HERE'S WHAT WE DO. HERE IS WHAT I THINK 8 WE WILL DO. LET'S TAKE THREE. ALL RIGHT? 9 LET'S TAKE THREE. AND THEN IF WE CAN AGREE UPON 10 THREE -- IN OTHER WORDS, NO CHALLENGES AS TO ANY OF THE THREE 11 OR THERE HAVE BEEN AND THEN WE HAVE CHALLENGES ON THREE, IF 12 THERE ARE ANY LEFT, WE WILL PICK THAT ONE. 13 MR. BARENS: THERE IS ONLY ONE CRAZY, WILD MAN OUT THERE, 14 ANYWAY. 15 THE COURT: WHICH ONE IS THAT? 16 MR. BARENS: SPEARMAN. FRED I THINK, WON'T DISAGREE 17 ON THAT. 18 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO STIPULATE HIM AWAY? 19 HE HAD A SLIGHT COLD. HE DIDN'T WANT TO COME IN TODAY. 20 EVERYBODY SHOULD WAIT UNTIL HE GETS OFF HIS COLD. 21 MR. BARENS: MASHUGUNA. 22 MR. WAPNER: AS FAR AS THE PROCEDURE ON THE THREE --23 THE COURT: YOU SEE, YOU PUT THREE IN THERE AND --24 MR. WAPNER: I THOUGHT THAT THE WAY THE COURT IS 25 PROCEEDING, LET ME JUST TELL YOU MY ONLY RESERVATION. I LIKE 26 TO SEE ALL FOUR AT ONE TIME AND SEE WHAT I HAVE GOT, WHAT 27 I AM PICKING FROM. 28

2 A -

MR. CHIER: WHAT THE MIXTURE IS. 1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN LATER ON IF WE AGREE, YOU 2 ONLY HAVE TO HAVE THREE. WE DON'T NEED FOUR. 3 SUPPOSE ONE GETS EXCUSED. THEN WE DON'T HAVE 4 ANY LEFT OVER. 5 IF ALL OF THE CHALLENGES, PEREMPTORIES ARE 6 EXERCISED. IF YOU PUT FOUR AND THEN EACH ONE IS ENTITLED 7 TO FOUR -- IS THAT CORRECT? 8 MR. WAPNER: THAT'S CORRECT. 9 THE COURT: THAT IS 12. THERE WILL BE ONE LEFT OVER. 10 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS OKAY. 11 THE COURT: THAT IS OKAY? 12 MR. WAPNER: THERE ONLY NEEDS TO BE TWO LEFT TO PICK 13 FROM WHEN YOU DRAW THE LAST NAME. SO YOU ARE NOT JUST PICKING 14 FROM ONE, YOU ARE DRAWING ONE OUT OF TWO. 15 AND IF YOU HAVE -- YOU PUT FOUR IN AND WE EACH 16 CHALLENGE FOUR, ALL RIGHT. 17 BEFORE THE LAST CHALLENGE, ONE WILL HAVE FOUR 18 AND --19 THE COURT: AND ONE WILL HAVE THREE, RIGHT? 20 MR. WAPNER: SO THERE WILL BE TWO SITTING BACK THERE. 21 THEN YOU PICK ONE NAME AND THAT IS THE LAST PERSON. 22 THE COURT: SUPPOSE SOMEBODY WANTS TO CHALLENGE THAT 23 ONE PERSON? YOU HAVE ONLY EXERCISED THREE, NOT FOUR. 24 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I MAY HAVE COUNTED WRONG. 25 THE COURT: I THINK YOU COUNTED WRONG. THEREFORE, IF 26 WE START WITH THREE, WE CAN ALWAYS INCREASE IT TO FOUR. 27 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. 28

THE COURT: WE WILL JUST PUT THREE IN THE BOX AND SEE 1 WHAT HAPPENS TO THOSE THREE. IF YOU PASS ALL THREE OF THEM, 2 THEN WE CAN TAKE ANOTHER ONE AND HAVE FOUR. WE WILL HAVE 3 TWO LEFT OVER, AT LEAST. ALL RIGHT? 4 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 5 IN OPEN COURT:) 6 THE CLERK: JUEL JANIS, J-A-N-I-S. 7 NANCY KORVIN, K-O-R-V-I-N. 8 CLIFTON D. RUTHERFORD, R-U-T-H-E-R-F-O-R-D. 9 THE COURT: MISS JANIS, MISS KORVIN AND 10 MR. RUTHERFORD, YOUR NAMES HAVE BEEN DRAWN AS POSSIBLE 11 ALTERNATE JURORS IN THIS CASE. THE ALTERNATE JURORS STAY 12 WITH THIS TRIAL AND LISTEN TO ALL OF THE TESTIMONY AS 13 INTELLIGENTLY AS THE OTHER JURORS WHO HAVE BEEN SELECTED ALL 14 THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL. 15 IT IS ONLY IN THE EVENT THAT FOR SOME GOOD REASON, 16 ONE OF THOSE 12 WILL BE EXCUSED, THAT ONE OF THE THREE OF 17 YOU WILL TAKE THE PLACE OF THAT ONE JUROR WHO HAD BEEN 18 EXCUSED AND THAT WILL BE DRAWN BY LOT. 19 IF THERE ARE TWO, THEN THE SECOND. AND IF THERE 20 ARE THREE, THEN THE THIRD. 21 . YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES WILL BE JUST AS GREAT AS 22 JURORS PRESENTLY SEATED IN THE JURY BOX WHO HAVE BEEN 23 ACCEPTED BY BOTH SIDES. 24 ARE YOU WILLING, ALL THREE OF YOU, TO SERVE IN 25 THAT CAPACITY? 26 27 MS. JANIS: YES. MS. KORVIN: YES. 28

<ul> <li>ALL OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVE</li> <li>ALL OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVE</li> <li>IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF YOU, WOULD YOU</li> <li>ANSWERS BE ABOUT THE SAME? WOULD THEY?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES.</li> <li>THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.</li> <li>THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF</li> <li>ANY KIND?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F</li> <li>TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA</li> <li>THE COURT: WHAT?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> </ul>		
ALL OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVE         IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF YOU, WOULD YOU         SANSWERS BE ABOUT THE SAME? WOULD THEY?         MS. JANIS: YES.         THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?         MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.         IT THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF         ANY KIND?         MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F         TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA         THE COURT: WHAT?         MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUB         HEALTH.         THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE         HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?         MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,         D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.         THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?         MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.         22         23         24         25         26         27	1	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES.
4       IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF YOU, WOULD YOU         5       ANSWERS BE ABOUT THE SAME? WOULD THEY?         6       MS. JANIS: YES.         7       THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?         8       MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.         9       THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF         10       ANY KIND?         11       MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F         12       TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA         13       THE COURT: WHAT?         14       MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUBLIS         15       HEALTH.         16       THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE         17       HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?         18       MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,         19       D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.         20       THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?         21       MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.         22       23         24       25         25       26         26       27	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MISS JANIS, YOU TOO, HAVE HEARD
ANSWERS BE ABOUT THE SAME? WOULD THEY? MS. JANIS: YES. THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE? MS. JANIS: BEL AIR. HE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF ANY KIND? MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA THE COURT: WHAT? MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUB HEALTH. HE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV HELD OR OCCUPATIONS? MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. SANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. ANS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. ANS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.	3	ALL OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVEN?
<ul> <li>MS. JANIS: YES.</li> <li>THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.</li> <li>THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF</li> <li>ANY KIND?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F</li> <li>TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA</li> <li>THE COURT: WHAT?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> </ul>	4	IF THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF YOU, WOULD YOUR
<ul> <li>THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.</li> <li>THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF</li> <li>ANY KIND?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F</li> <li>TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA</li> <li>THE COURT: WHAT?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> </ul>	5	ANSWERS BE ABOUT THE SAME? WOULD THEY?
<ul> <li>MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.</li> <li>THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF</li> <li>ANY KIND?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F</li> <li>TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA</li> <li>THE COURT: WHAT?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> </ul>	6	MS. JANIS: YES.
9 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF ANY KIND? MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA THE COURT: WHAT? MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE HEALTH. HEALTH. THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAN HELD OR OCCUPATIONS? MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 21 MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 22 23 24 25 26 27 20 000000000000000000000000000000	7	THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?
ANY KIND? ANY KIND? MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA THE COURT: WHAT? MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE HEALTH. HELD OR OCCUPATIONS? MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. SINCE AND ANY OTHER SURGEON GENERAL. ANY KIND? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. ANY KIND? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.	8	MS. JANIS: BEL AIR.
<ul> <li>MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT F</li> <li>TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA</li> <li>THE COURT: WHAT?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> </ul>	9	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OCCUPATION OR PURSUIT OF
TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA THE COURT: WHAT? MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUB HEALTH. HEALTH. HELD OR OCCUPATIONS? MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 22 23 24 25 26 27	10	ANY KIND?
THE COURT: WHAT? MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE HEALTH. HEALTH. HELD OR OCCUPATIONS? MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 21 MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 22 23 24 25 26 27	11	MS. JANIS: THIS LAST YEAR I HAVE NOT WORKED. BUT PRIOR
<ul> <li>MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUE</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> </ul>	12	TO THAT, I WAS THE ASSISTANT DEAN AT UCLA
<ul> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>HEALTH.</li> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	13	THE COURT: WHAT?
<ul> <li>THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAV</li> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	14	MS. JANIS: ASSISTANT DEAN AT THE UCLA SCHOOL OF PUBLIC
<ul> <li>HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	15	HEALTH.
<ul> <li>MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,</li> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	16	THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND ANY OTHER JOBS THAT YOU HAVE
<ul> <li>D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?</li> <li>MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	17	HELD OR OCCUPATIONS?
THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL? MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 22 23 24 25 26 27	18	MS. JANIS: YES. BEFORE THAT, I WAS IN WASHINGTON,
20 21 MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL. 22 23 24 25 26 27	19	D.C. I WAS ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.
22 23 24 25 26 27	20	THE COURT: AN ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL?
23 24 25 26 27	21	MS. JANIS: NO, AN ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL.
24 25 26 27	22	
25 26 27	23	
26 27	24	
27	25	
	26	
28	27	
	28	

,23 F

r.

3-1 THE COURT: U.S. SURGEON GENERAL. 1 WHAT SCHOOLS DID YOU ATTEND? 2 MS. JANIS: I HAVE A PH.D. FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF 3 MARYLAND AND A MASTER'S DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI. 4 5 THE COURT: WELL, PROPERLY, WE SHOULD CALL YOU DR. JANIS, SHOULDN'T WE? 6 7 (PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE JUROR JANIS NODS HER HEAD UP AND DOWN.) 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, DR. JANIS, WHAT OTHER DEGREES 9 10 DO YOU HAVE? MS. JANIS: 1 HAVE A MASTER'S DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY 11 OF MIAMI AND A BACHELOR'S DEGREE FROM GEORGE WASHINGTON 12 13 UNIVERSITY. 14 THE COURT: AND THERE IS A MR. JANIS, IS THERE NOT? 15 MS. JANIS: YES. THE COURT: I KNOW A LOT OF THESE FACTS ANYWAY, BUT 1 16 HAVE GOT TO ASK YOU THAT FOR THE RECORD, ALL RIGHT? 17 18 AND WHAT DOES HE DO? MS. JANIS: HE IS A CONSULTANT IN THE SAVINGS AND LOAN 19 20 BUSINESS. THE COURT: HE IS A CONSULTANT? 21 MS. JANIS: A CONSULTANT IN THE SAVINGS AND LOAN 22 23 BUSINESS. 24 THE COURT: YES. DID HE HOLD ANY POSITIONS IN THE GOVERNMENT 25 26 BEFORE THAT? MS. JANIS: YES. HE WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE FEDERAL HOME 27 LOAN BANK BOARD AND THE UNDERSECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF 28

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT. 1 THE COURT: AND WHAT WAS HIS EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND? 2 MS. JANIS: HE HAS A BACHELOR'S DEGREE FROM YALE 3 4 UNIVERSITY. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: YALE? 6 MS. JANIS: YES. 7 THE COURT: YALE, ALL RIGHT. 1911 **- 1**91 **- 1**91 8 HAVE YOU EVER SERVED AS A JUROR ON A CRIMINAL 9 CASE BEFORE? 10 MS. JANIS: NO. THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND 11 12 OF A CRIME? MS. JANIS: MY CAR WAS BROKEN INTO ONCE ABOUT TEN YEARS 13 14 AGO AND OUR HOUSE WAS ROBBED ABOUT --15 THE COURT: BURGLARIZED. MS. JANIS: BURGLARIZED ABOUT 15 YEARS 400. 16 THE COURT: WOULD THOSE EXPERIENCES IN ANY WAY AFFECT 17 YOU IN BEING A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR IN DECIDING THE 18 19 MERITS OF THIS CASE? 20 MS. JANIS: NO. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 22 YOU MAY INQUIRE. 23 OH. LET ME ASK THE OTHER TWO JURORS SO I GET 24 THROUGH. 25 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR WOULD PROPOSE THAT I WORK 26 CONJUNCTIVELY WITH THE THREE JURORS AT THIS POINT? 27 THE COURT: LET ME ASK THE OTHER JURORS -- YOU WANT TO 28 ASK THEM ONE AT A TIME?

5237

23 - 2

23-3 MR. BARENS: I WOULD PREFER THAT PROCEDURE, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: ONE AT A TIME? 3 MR. BARENS: I THINK IT FACILITATES IT FOR EVERYONE IN 4 THE COURTROOM. 5 THE COURT: ONE AT A TIME? MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 8 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 9 ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 10 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS, 11 MR. CHIER AND THE DEFENDANT.) 12 MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 13 (FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY.) MR. BARENS: GOOD AFTERNOON, MRS. JANIS. 14 15 MRS. JANIS, YOU HAVE NEVER HAD ANY PRIOR JURY 16 EXPERIENCE? (PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE JUROR JANIS SHAKES 17 18 HER HEAD FROM SIDE TO SIDE.) 19 MR. BARENS: IF YOU COULD JUST ANSWER AUDIBLY. 20 MS. JANIS: NO. MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER OBSERVED A TRIAL BEFORE? 21 22 MS.JANIS: NEVER. MR. BARENS: WHAT IS YOUR MASTER'S DEGREE IN? 23 24 MS. JANIS: PSYCHOLOGY. 25 MR. BARENS: AND YOUR PH.D. IS IN? 26 MS. JANIS: HUMAN DEVELOPMENT. IT WAS AN INTER-27 DISCIPLINARY PROGRAM. IT WAS PSYCHOLOGY, PHYSIOLOGY AND 28 SOCIOLOGY.

23-4 MR. BARENS: DID YOU MAKE USE OF YOUR DOCTORATE IN A PROFESSIONAL ENDEAVOR? MS. JANIS: YES, BUT NOT IN THE TRADITIONAL SENSE OF WHAT PSYCHOLOGY IS. I HAVE REALLY BEEN INVOLVED IN HEALTH SCIENCE, PUBLIC HEALTH ORIENTED KIND OF ACTIVITIES. and the sale - • ° . 

23-5

÷ .,

MR. BARENS: COULD YOU BE A LITTLE BIT MORE SPECIFIC IN FOCUSING FOR ME ON WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT?

MS. JANIS: WELL, MY MOST RECENT POSITION AT UCLA SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AS AN ASSISTANT DEAN, I WAS INVOLVED IN A RANGE OF ACTIVITIES THAT RELATE TO THE PREVENTION OF ILLNESSES, WHICH IS REALLY WHAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS ABOUT, AND I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THINGS OF LATE, IN PARTICULAR, I TAUGHT A COURSE CALLED "CHILD HEALTH POLICY" AND I HAVE WORKED IN AREAS THAT REPRESENT A BROAD RANGE OF HEALTH RELATED ISSUES FROM HEALTH MANPOWER, HEALTH FINANCING TO JUST ALMOST ANYTHING YOU CAN THINK OF IN THE AREA THAT RELATES TO EXERCISE, NUTRITION. THAT IS REALLY WHAT PUBLIC HEALTH IS ABOUT.

MR. BARENS: HAVE ANY OF YOUR CAREER ACTIVITIES OR CLASSES YOU HAVE TAKEN BROUGHT YOU INTO CONTACT WITH ANY STUDIES OR WORK WITH DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL MATTERS?

MR. BARENS: NONE OF YOUR STUDIES INVOLVED ANY CLINICAL ACTIVITY WITH DEFENDANTS IN THE CRIMINAL COURT SYSTEM? MS. JANIS: ABSOLUTELY NOT.

MR. BARENS: ASIDE FROM YOUR ACTIVITY AT UCLA, WHAT WAS THE EMPLOYMENT YOU IMMEDIATELY HAD PRECEDING THAT EMPLOYNMENT?

MS. JANIS: I WAS WORKING IN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND I WAS
ASSISTANT TO THE SURGEON GENERAL, HE IS THE SURGEON GENERAL
AND THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HEALTH, AND ALL OF THE MAJOR
ISSUES THAT RELATE TO HEALTH CARE IN THIS COUNTRY WERE THINGS
THAT CAME UNDER HIS AUSPICES, SO I WAS INVOLVED IN THOSE
ACTIVITIES.

23-6	
	MR. BARENS: AT PRESENT YOU ARE NOT CURRENTLY ACTIVELY
	EMPLOYED?
3	
4	MS. JANIS: NO.
<b>4</b> 5	MR. BARENS: ARE YOU EMPLOYED ON SOME VOLUNTARY BASIS
6	AT THIS POINT IN TIME?
7	MS. JANIS: NO.
/ 	MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC ACTIVITY YOU ARE PURSUING DURING THIS HIATUS?
9	MS. JANIS: OH, NO.
10	WELL, THIS LAST YEAR I SPOKE AND I WROTE.
11	AND I HAD BEEN WORKING FOR ABOUT 17 YEARS AND I
12	WANTED TO TAKE A BREAK AND THAT IS WHY I STOPPED.
13	MR. BARENS: SURE.
14	THE WRITING YOU WERE DOING, PRESUMABLY WOULD BE
15	ABOUT APPLIED HEALTH SCIENCES?
16	
17	MS. JANIS: WELL, IN PARTICULAR, IT HAD TO DO WITH CHILD HEALTH AND I HAVE TALKED ON THINGS RELATING TO FAMILIES
18	
19	AND HEALTH CARE ISSUES.
20	MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE ANY HOBBIES? MS. JANIS: YES.
21	MR. BARENS: WHAT WOULD THAT BE?
22	MR. BARENS. WHAT WOOLD THAT BL: MS. JANIS: EATING.
23	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THAT?
24	(RECORD READ BY THE REPORTER.)
25	
26	MR. BARENS: WHY NOT?
20	MS. JANIS: MOVIES. TRAVEL. READING, CERTAINLY.
	MR. BARENS: ONE OF THE THINGS YOU HAD READ, I THINK
28	YOU TOLD US, INVOLVED SOME PUBLICITY CONCERNING THE MATTER

THAT WE ARE HERE ABOUT TODAY. CAN YOU TELL ME TRUE, AS I HAVE ASKED OTHER PROSPECTIVE JURORS THAT HAD PERHAPS READ SOMETHING ABOUT THIS CASE, THAT NOTHING THAT YOU READ WOULD BIAS YOU WHATSOEVER WERE YOU TO SERVE AS AN ALTERNATE IN THIS CASE IN MAKING A DETERMINATION, IF YOU WERE CALLED UPON TO DO SO. ON THE GUILT OR INNOCENCE OF MY CLIENT? MS. JANIS: YES, THAT'S CORRECT. MR. BARENS: AND NOTHING IN THAT WOULD BIAS OR INFLUENCE YOU? MS. JANIS: THAT'S CORRECT. MR. BARENS: AND YOU WOULD MAKE YOUR DECISION SOLELY UPON WHAT EVIDENCE WAS DEMONSTRATED IN THE COURTROOM? MS. JANIS: YES. MR. BARENS: NOW, HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE WHICH THE DEFENDANT HAS IN THIS COURTROOM? MS. JANIS: I FEEL LUCKY TO LIVE IN A COUNTRY WHERE THAT IS THE PRESUMPTION. MR. BARENS: AND YOU FEEL THAT IS A SUBSTANTIVE RIGHT RATHER THAN SOME PROCEDURAL NONSENSE? MS. JANIS: ABSOLUTELY.

MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY CLASSES ON

23 CONSTITUTIONAL LAW?

MS. JANIS: NO.

MR. BARENS: OR ANY OTHER LEGAL TYPE CLASSES?

26 MS. JANIS: NO.

27 MR. BARENS: IS YOUR HUSBAND, BY CHANCE, A LAWYER AS

28 WELL?

23-7

23A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MS. JANIS: NO. MR. BARENS: DO YOU HAVE CHILDREN, DR. JANIS? MS. JANIS: YES. MR. BARENS: AND YOU HAVE? MS. JANIS: I HAVE A 29-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER AND 26-YEAR-OLD SON. MR. BARENS: AND WHAT DOES YOUR DAUGHTER DO? MS. JANIS: SHE IS WORKING ON A MASTER'S DEGREE IN SOCIAL WORK NOW. 

1	MR. BARENS: IS SHE MARRIED?
2	MS. JANIS: NO.
3	MR. BARENS: AND WHAT PURSUIT DOES YOUR SON HAVE?
4	MS. JANIS: HE IS IN RETAIL IN THE WHOLESALE COFFEE
5	BUSINESS.
6	MR. BARENS: IS HE MARRIED?
7	MS. JANIS: NO.
8	MR. BARENS: I SEE.
9	YOUR HUSBAND PRESENTLY IS AN INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT
10	TO SAVINGS AND LOANS?
11	MS. JANIS: YES WELL, FOR THE SEVERAL DIFFERENT
12	GROUPS. HE WORKS FOR THE U.S. AGENCY ONLY AND HE SERVES AS
13	A DIRECTOR OF THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD NO, HE
14	DOESN'T JUST A MOMENT THAT EXPIRED IN DECEMBER. HE
15	DOESN'T DO THAT ANYMORE.
16	HE DID. IN SAN FRANCISCO.
17	MR. BARENS: HAS YOUR HUSBAND EVER SERVED AS A CONSULTANT
18	OR PANEL MEMBER ON ANY GOVERNMENTAL PANELS THAT HAD ANY
19	RELATION WHATSOEVER TO THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM FOR LAW OR LAW
20	ENFORCEMENT OR THE DETERMINATION OF LEGISLATIVE POLICY WITH
21	REFERENCE TO THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM?
22	MS. JANIS: NO.
23	MR. BARENS: HE HAS NEVER SERVED ON ANY PANEL ANALOGOUS
24	TO SOMETHING LIKE THAT?
25	MS. JANIS: NO.
26	MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE HEARD DISCUSSIONS ABOUT DIRECT
27	AND INDIRECT EVIDENCE IN THIS COURTROOM. WERE YOU AWARE OF
28	THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES THAT WERE OUTLINED TO YOU ABOUT DIRECT

AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU CAME HERE? 1 2 MS. JANIS: YES. 3 MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU FIND BOTH ACCEPTABLE? MS. JANIS: YES. 4 MR. BARENS: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE, 5 BECAUSE JOE HUNT IS HERE AS A DEFENDANT IN THIS COURTROOM 6 7 CHARGED WITH MURDER, THAT HE HAS DONE SOMETHING WRONG? ·马马子:"这些人,你们们的你们,你要是你们的吗?""你们,你不知道你们,你不知道你?""你不知道你?" MS. JANIS: NO. MR. BARENS: YOU MAKE NO JUDGMENT WHATSOEVER PRIOR TO 9 10 HAVING HEARD ANY EVIDENCE? MS. JANIS: NO, NO, I DON'T AT ALL. 11 12 MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE, GENERALLY SPEAKING, SOME FAMILIARITY WITH HIS HONOR? 13 14 MS. JANIS: YES. MR. BARENS: I ASK YOU TRUE, IN THE TOTALLY UNLIKELY 15 EVENT THAT HIS HONOR AND MYSELF SHOULD HAVE A DISAGREEMENT 16 17 DURING THIS TRIAL, AS FAR-FETCHED AS THAT MAY SEEM TO YOU, 18 WOULD YOU --19 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) MR. BARENS: -- WOULD YOU NOT BE BIASED TOWARDS HIS 20 HONOR'S POINT OF VIEW, OTHER THAN AS HE EXPRESSES AND MAKES 21 AN ULTIMATE DETERMINATION ON THE LAW AS ONLY HE CAN DO IN 22 23 THIS SETTING, WOULD YOUR FAMILIARITY WITH HIS HONOR IN ANY 24 WAY GIVE ME A DISADVANTAGE? 25 MS. JANIS: I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 26 I BELIEVE THE LAST TIME I SAW JUDGE RITTENBAND 27 WAS MAYBE SEVERAL YEARS AGO. I HAVE LIVED IN WASHINGTON --WELL, ACTUALLY, I HAVE LIVED ON THE EAST COAST UNTIL FIVE, 28

SIX YEARS AGO. MR. WAPNER: SO YOU DO NOT HAVE ANY HESITATION? MS. JANIS: HE IS A FRIEND OF MY FATHER'S AND HAS BEEN A FRIEND OF MY FATHER'S AND I KNOW HIM. MR. BARENS: INDEED. AND YOUR FATHER HAS A GOOD FRIEND THERE, BUT 1 AM HOPING THAT THAT RELATIONSHIP WOULD IN NO WAY HAVE ANY 1.1 . . . · ... IMPACT ON ME AS A DEFENSE LAWYER IN THE UNLIKELY EVENT THAT THE JUDGE AND I SHOULD DISAGREE. MS. JANIS: RIGHT. 

MR. BARENS: TELL ME TRUE, THAT THAT WOULD NOT HAVE 1 ANY IMPACT ON YOU? 2 MS. JANIS: THAT'S CORRECT. 3 MR. BARENS: HOW WOULD YOU FEEL 1F YOU WERE -- AND THIS 4 IS AS UNLIKELY AS MY LAST STATEMENT. IF YOU WERE A DEFENDANT 5 IN THIS COURTROOM AND WE HAD 12 JURORS WITH YOUR POINT OF 6 VIEW ABOUT THE WORLD AND YOUR LIFE EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD 7 BE SITTING THERE IN JUDGMENT OF THE FACTS, HOW DO YOU FEEL? 8 HOW WOULD YOU FEEL IF YOU WERE A DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE? 9 MS. JANIS: I WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE. 10 MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD? 11 MS. JANIS: YES. 12 MR. BARENS: WHY DO YOU SAY THAT, DR. JANIS? 13 MS. JANIS: WELL, I GUESS LIKE THE OTHER JURORS THAT 14 HAVE ANSWERED THIS QUESTION, I THINK WE WOULD LIKE TO THINK 15 OF OURSELVES AS BEING WILLING TO BE OPEN-MINDED AND TO LISTEN 16 TO WHATEVER EVIDENCE IS PRESENTED AND MAKE A JUDGMENT 17 ACCORDINGLY. 18 MR. BARENS: BASED ON THE EVIDENCE? 19 MS. JANIS: BASED ON THE EVIDENCE. 20 MR. BARENS: DO YOU EQUATE REASONABLE WITH USUAL? 21 SOMETHING CAN BE REASONABLE THAT IS NORMALL' FOUND IN OUR 22 LIFE EXPERIENCE? 23 MS. JANIS: NO. I THINK THAT IF YOU HAVE LIVED LONG 24 ENOUGH, YOU HAVE HAD EXPERIENCES THAT THINGS DON'T ALWAYS 25 FALL INTO THE REASONABLE CATEGORY. 26 MR. BARENS: AND QUITE OFTEN, THINGS ARE NOT AS THEY 27 APPEAR TO BE? 28

24-

MS. JANIS: CORRECT. 1 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, DOCTOR. WE PASS 2 FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: MR. WAPNER? 4 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU GO BY DR. JANIS? 5 MS. JANIS: YES, WHEN I WAS WORKING. 6 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAME OF BOBBIE 7 ROBERTS? 8 MS. JANIS: NO. 9 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU LIVE ANYWHERE NEAR BELLAGIO ROAD 10 IN BEL AIR? 11 MS. JANIS: YOU HAVE TO GO INTO THAT ENTRANCE TO GET 12 TO MY HOUSE. 13 MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU WERE AT UCLA, WHEN WAS THAT? 14 MS. JANIS: FROM 1981 TO EXACTLY A YEAR AGO, THIS MONTH. 15 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH 16 ANYBODY IN THE SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN PLANNING OVER 17 THERE? 18 MS. JANIS: I KNEW DEAN PERLOFF. 19 MR. WAPNER: YOU DIDN'T KNOW ANYBODY BY THE NAME OF 20 WAPNER WORKING IN THE SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE? 21 MS. JANIS: NO. 1 DID''T KNOW HER BUT I HAVE HEARD 22 OF HER. 23 MR. WAPNER: OKAY. NOW, WE HAVE GOTTEN THE WHOLE FAMILY 24 INVOLVED IN THIS? 25 MS. JANIS: YES. 26 MR. WAPNER: WOULD THAT AFFECT YOU IN ANY WAY IN DECIDING 27 THE CASE, WHATEVER EXPERIENCES YOU MIGHT HAVE HAD OR THINGS 28

24

1	YOU MIGHT HAVE HEARD?
2	MS. JANIS: NO.
3	MR. WAPNER: THAT IS MY MOTHER, IN CASE THERE IS ANY
4	QUESTION.
5	MS. JANIS: NO. 1 JUST HEARD THE NAME. YES.
6	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. FORGIVE ME, IF YOU ANSWERED THIS
7	QUESTION. I DIDN'T WRITE IT DOWN. HAVE YOU EVER SERVED ON
8	A JURY BEFORE?
9	MS. JANIS: NO.
10	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND
11	OF A CON SCHEME?
12	MS. JANIS: NO.
13	MR. WAPNER: ANY KIND OF A THEFT?
14	MS. JANIS: YES. OUR HOUSE WAS BURGLARIZED ABOUT
15	IT MUST HAVE BEEN 15 YEARS 400.
16	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. YOU HAVE TO KEEP YOUR VOICE UP.
17	MS. JANIS: OUR HOUSE WAS BURGLARIZED ABOUT 15 YEARS
18	AGO.
19	MR. WAPNER: DID YOU MAKE A REPORT TO THE POLICE?
20	MS. JANIS: YES.
21	MR. WAPNER: WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH HOW IT WAS HANDLED?
22	MS. JANIS: YES.
23	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE SOME HESITATION?
24	MS. JANIS: WELL, I HESITATED BECAUSE ONE THING I
25	REMEMBER ABOUT THAT, IS THE POLICEMAN SHOWED ME A PICTURE
26	OF SUSPECTS AND SAYING AFTER THEY ASKED WHETHER I RECOGNIZED
27	ANYBODY WE HAD JUST SEEN THE INDIVIDUAL RUN THROUGH THE
28	HOUSE. WE HAD NOT BEEN ABLE TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION.

I REMEMBER THE POLICEMAN SAYING TO ME, "A MOTLEY CREW, AREN'T THEY?" I REMEMBER BEING REALLY, QUITE ANGRY AT THE FACT THAT HE WOULD MAKE THAT KIND OF A PREJUDGMENT TO ME AS I AM LOOKING AT THESE PICTURES. MR. WAPNER: DID THEY TRY TO --MS. JANIS: BUT THAT IS ALL I REMEMBER. MR. WAPNER: WAS THAT THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT? MS. JANIS: NO. THIS WAS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. MR. WAPNER: OTHER THAN MAKING A GENERAL COMMENT THAT IT WAS A MOTLEY CREW, DID YOU FEEL THAT HE TRIED TO INFLUENCE YOU IN TERMS OF WHICH ONE TO SELECT? MS. JANIS: NO. I WAS NOT ABLE TO SELECT ANYONE. THEY CERTAINLY DIDN'T TRY TO INFLUENCE ME. 

1	MR. WAPNER: OKAY. IN THAT CASE, WERE YOU UNABLE TO
2	MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T HAVE SUFFICIENT
3	TIME TO SEE THE PERSON?
4	MS. JANIS: RIGHT.
5	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER HAD OCCASION TO SEE SOMEONE
6	ON THE STREET YOU THOUGHT YOU KNEW AND MAYBE ATTEMPT TO
7	ACKNOWLEDGE THAT PERSON AND IT TURNED OUT THAT IT WAS NOT
8	THE SAME PERSON?
9	MS. JANIS: CERTAINLY.
10	MR. WAPNER: DID YOU STUDY ANYTHING ABOUT EYEWITNESS
11	IDENTIFICATION IN ANY OF THE COURSES THAT YOU MAY HAVE TAKEN?
12	MS. JANIS: NO.
13	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING ABOUT THE
14	PSYCHOLOGY OF EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION?
15	MS. JANIS: NOT REALLY.
16	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU HAD ANY OTHER OCCASIONS OTHER
17	THAN THE BURGLARY OF YOUR HOME, TO HAVE BEEN CALLED TO MAKE
18	AN IDENTIFICATION OF SOMEONE WHO MAY HAVE COMMITTED A CRIME?
19	MS. JANIS: NO.
20	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
21	I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE.
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, MISS KORVIN, YOU TOO, HAVE
23	HEARD ALL OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND
24	GIVEN? YOU ARE MISS KORVIN, AREN'T YOU?
25	MS. KORVIN: YES.
26	THE COURT: YOU HEARD ALL OF THE QUESTIONS, DID YOU?
27	MS. KORVIN: YES.
28	THE COURT: AND IF THE SAME QUESTIONS WERE ASKED OF

4B-

YOU, YOUR ANSWERS WOULD BE ABOUT THE SAME, WOULDN'T THEY? 1 MS. KORVIN: THE SAME. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DO YOU DO, PLEASE? 3 MS. KORVIN: I AM A TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNICIAN FOR 4 AMERICAN AIRLINES AT LAX AIRPORT. 5 THE COURT: AND IS THERE A MR. KORVIN? 6 MS. KORVIN: YES THERE IS. 7 and the second THE COURT: WHAT DOES HE DO? 8 MS. KORVIN: HE IS THE DIRECTOR OF COMPUTER DEVELOPMENT 9 AND OPERATIONS FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION IN 10 EL SEGUNDO. 11 THE COURT: AND WHAT EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND DO YOU HAVE? 12 MS. KORVIN: I HAVE A BACHELOR OF ARTS IN SPANISH FROM 13 MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY IN MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN. 14 THE COURT: YOUR HUSBAND? 15 MS. KORVIN: HE HAS A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN JOURNALISM. 16 THE COURT: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY CHILDREN? 17 MS. KORVIN: NO WE DO NOT. 18 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER SERVED AS A JUROR ON A CRIMINAL 19 CASE BEFORE? 20 MS. KORVIN: NO I HAVE NOT. 21 THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND 22 OF A CRIME? 23 MS. KORVIN: NO I HAVE NOT. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY 25 26 INQUIRE. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 27 GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. KORVIN. MS. KORVIN --28

MS. KORVIN: IT IS KORVIN. 1 MR. BARENS: KORVIN? 2 MS. KORVIN: KORVIN. 3 MR. BARENS: RIGHT. I GOT YOU. I HAVE --4 MS. KORVIN: YOU DID THAT LAST TIME. 5 MR. BARENS: I HAVE A VERY DEAR FRIEND WHOSE NAME 1S 6 BRUCE KORWIN. I BELIEVE YOUR HONOR KNOWS MR. KORWIN? 7 THE COURT: SURE. 8 MR. BARENS: MY NEIGHBOR. ALL RIGHT. WHO CARES? 9 ALL RIGHT. MS. KORVIN, IS THIS IN FACT, YOUR 10 FIRST CONTACT WITH OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM? 11 MS. KORVIN: I SERVED ON A CIVIL JURY IN TEXAS ALMOST 12 TEN YEARS AGO. 13 MR. BARENS: OKAY. DID THE JURY COME TO A VERDICT IN 14 THAT CASE? 15 MS. KORVIN: YES. 16 MR. BARENS: AND HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE 17 AS A JUROR? 18 MS. KORVIN: I THOUGHT IT WAS GREAT. 19 MR. BARENS: AND WAS THAT CASE -- WHAT WAS IT ABOUT, 20 AS BRIEFLY AS YOU CAN TELL ME? 21 MS. KORVIN: IT WAS A CHILD CUSTODY. THE FATHER WAS 22 SUING THE MOTHER FOR CUSTODY. 23 MR. BARENS: OKAY. IN CALIFORNIA, WE DON'T EVEN HAVE --24 I DON'T -- I SHOULDN'T SAY THAT WE DON'T EVEN HAVE IT. WE 25 DON'T HAVE A JURY SYSTEM FOR DOMESTIC RELATIONS MATTERS WHICH 26 ENCOMPASS CHILD CUSTODY. 27 BUT RATHER, IT IS A MATTER DECIDED BY THE JUDGE. 28

IN ANY EVENT, IN THAT CASE, I PRESUME THAT -- I SHOULDN'T 1 PRESUME. 2 DID BOTH SIDES INTRODUCE PSYCHIATRIC OR 3 PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTIMONY ON THE PART OF WHOM THEY THOUGHT 4 SHOULD ULTIMATELY RECEIVE CUSTODY OF THE CHILD? 5 MS. KORVIN: NO. I DON'T REMEMBER ANY DOCTORS OR 6 EXPERTS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. IT WAS JUST FAMILY MEMBERS 7 AND FRIENDS. (14) A Republic contraction of a product of the Company sector (4). **8** MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. A LOT OF TIMES, I ASK THAT G BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES THOSE BECOME THE PIVOTAL WITNESSES 10 IN THOSE CASES. 11 WAS THERE SOME ALLEGATION OF WRONGDOING ON THE 12 PART OF ONE OR BOTH OF THE PARENTS IN THAT SETTING THAT WAS 13 BEING LITIGATED? 14 MS. KORVIN: YES. I GUESS IN TEXAS, THEY HAVE TO PROVE 15 THE MOTHER UNFIT. SO THERE WAS A LOT OF THAT, MUDSLINGING. 16 MR. BARENS: MUDSLINGING, INDEED. AND I SUPPOSE ONE 17 SIDE PRESENTED WITNESSES THAT SAID THAT THEY WERE REAL GOOD 18 AND THE OTHER SIDE PRESENTED WITNESSES THAT SAID THE VERY 19 SAME PEOPLE WERE VERY BAD? 20 MS. KORVIN: EXACTLY. 21 MR. BARENS: YOU HAD TO COME TO SOME SORT OF A DECISION -+ 22 STRIKE THAT. WITHDRAW THAT STATEMENT. 23 IN THAT SETTING, YOU HAD REALLY A THIRD CONCERN, 24 DIDN'T YOU? IN THAT SETTING, THE CONCERN WAS THE BEST 25 INTEREST OF THE CHILD, RATHER THAN WHAT -- WHICH PARENT WAS 26 GOOD OR BAD? 27 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 28

MR. BARENS: SO REALLY, THE ULTIMATE DECISION I SUPPOSE 1 THAT YOU WERE MAKING, HAD TO DO AT LEAST -- AS FAR AS BEING 2 OUTCOME DETERMINATIVE, AS TO WHICH PARENT YOU FELT WAS GOOD 3 OR BAD. AS MUCH AS HOW YOU VIEWED WHAT SERVED THE BEST 4 OVERALL INTEREST OF THE CHILD? 5 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 6 MR. BARENS: NOW, DID BOTH OF THE PARENTS TESTIFY IN 7 THE HEARING? 8 MS. KORVIN: 1 BELIEVE THEY DID. 9 MR. BARENS: AND PART OF THE TIME THEY TESTIFIED, DID 10 YOU HAVE ANY KIND OF A FEELING FOR HOW THEY PROBABLY WOULD 11 HAVE TESTIFIED BEFORE THEY SPOKE? 12 MS. KORVIN: NO. 13 MR. BARENS: YOU DIDN'T HAVE SOME ANTICIPATION ABOUT 14 FOR INSTANCE, THAT YOU HAD HEARD CERTAIN ALLEGATIONS MADE 15 AGAINST THEM. RATHER SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT ON 16 OCCASION OR A SERIES OF OCCASIONS? 17 MS. KORVIN: YES. 18 MR. BARENS: DID YOU HAVE AN ANTICIPATION AS TO WHAT 19 THEY WOULD SAY ABOUT THOSE ALLEGATIONS BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY 20 TESTIFIED? 21 MS. KORVIN: NO. 22 MR. BARENS: YOU DID NOT PREJUDGE OR ANTICIPATE THAT 23 TESTIMONY AT ALL? 24 MS. KORVIN: NO. 25 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DID YOUR OPINION ABOUT THOSE 26 PEOPLE CHANGE DURING THE TRIAL? 27 MS. KORVIN: NO. 28

MR. BARENS: WHAT YOU THOUGHT THEY WERE AND WHAT THEY WERE ABOUT AND THE KIND OF PEOPLE THEY WERE WAS PRETTY MUCH THE SAME THROUGHOUT THE MATTER? MS. KORVIN: YES. MR. BARENS: WAS THERE A LENGTHY DELIBERATION PROCESS BY THE JURY? MS. KORVIN: NO. IT WAS REAL SHORT. I THINK WE MADE IT ON THE FIRST VOTE. 

25 F

25-1

MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE I HAVE THE PROVINCE TO ASK THE 1 VOTE ON THAT ONE. YOUR HONOR. IT IS A CIVIL MATTER. 2 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 3 MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD. 4 DID THE JURY ULTIMATELY VOTE THAT THE MOTHER HAD 5 NOT BEEN FOUND UNFIT? 6 MS. KORVIN: THAT IS CORRECT, SHE WAS NOT UNFIT. 7 MR. BARENS: THAT IS WHAT I WOULD IMAGINE ON A FIRST 8 BALLOT, THAT KIND OF SUGGESTED THAT TO ME SOMEHOW. 9 10 MS. KORVIN: YES. MR. BARENS: WAS THERE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR DURING 11 THE TESTIMONY THAT HAD REALLY PERSUADED YOU THAT WAY, WAS THERE 12 A PARTICULAR WITNESS OR PARTICULAR FACT THAT WAS PROVEN OR 13 DISPROVEN OR NOT PROVEN AT ALL THAT SWAYED YOU AS A JUROR? 14 MS. KORVIN: NO, NOTHING BIG. JUST THE WHOLE THING. 15 MR. BARENS: DID YOU SOMEWHAT -- I DON'T KNOW IF YOU 16 WERE INSTRUCTED ON THIS AT ALL -- WAS THERE A PRESUMPTION THAT 17 THE MOTHER WAS FIT IN TEXAS UNTIL SHE WAS PROVEN UNFIT? 18 19 MS. KORVIN: I BELIEVE SO. MR. BARENS: THERE WAS PROBABLY SOME KIND OF AN 20 INSTRUCTION ON THAT TO YOU? 21 22 MS. KORVIN: YES. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. HERE, THE DEFENDANT HAS A 23 24 PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY. 25 (PROSPECTIVE ALTERNATE JUROR KORVIN NODS HER HEAD UP AND DOWN.) 26 MR. BARENS: ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? 27 MS. KORVIN: YES. VERY. 28

<u>,</u>		
	1	MR. BARENS: WERE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THE PRESUMPTION
	2	OF FITNESS FOR THE MOTHER IN THAT SETTING AS YOU PROCEEDED?
	3	MS. KORVIN: YES.
	4	MR. BARENS: AND IF YOU COULD TELL ME, BECAUSE I DON'T
	5	KNOW, WAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF REQUIRED ON THE PART OF THE
	6	FATHER A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE ON A LEVEL OF PROOF
	7	TO OVERCOME THE PRESUMPTION OF FITNESS ON THE MOTHER'S PART,
	8	WAS IT A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE TYPE OF STANDARD?
	9	MS. KORVIN: I BELIEVE SO, YES.
	10	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. AND THEY FAILED TO SUPPORT IT
	11	IN THAT INSTANCE?
	12	MS. KORVIN: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
	13	MR. BARENS: NOT PROVEN?
	14	MS. KORVIN: NOT PROVEN.
-	15	MR. BARENS: WHAT DO WE CALL NOT PROVEN IN THIS FORUM?
	16	MS. KORVIN: NOT GUILTY.
	17	MR. BARENS: RIGHT.
	18	NOW DO YOU FURTHER UNDERSTAND THAT WHAT WE TALK
	19	ABOUT HERE IS NOT A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE?
	20	MS. KORVIN: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
	21	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DO YOU THINK I MEAN WHEN
	22	I SAY PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE? WHAT DID IT MEAN TO
	23	YOU, WHAT IS PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE?
	24	(PAUSE.)
	25	MR. BARENS: PERHAPS I CAN BE OF ASSISTANCE.
	26	MS. KORVIN: YES, PLEASE DO.
	27	MR. BARENS: IN CIVIL LAW IN CALIFORNIA, PREPONDERANCE
	28	OF THE EVIDENCE MEANS THAT TYPICALLY THE PLAINTIFF HAS A

5258

:

25-2

BURDEN OF PROOF TO ESTABLISH A MORE LIKELY -- HE IS MORE 1 2 LIKELY WRONG THAN THE OTHER GUY. 3 YOU KNOW, PROBABLY THE EASIEST WAY TO LOOK AT IT IS, YOU GET THE STAND-OFF SITUATION, THE RED LIGHT-GREEN LIGHT 4 5 ACCIDENT AND ONE OF THE OTHER SIDES, THE PLAINTIFF HAS A 6 BURDEN TO PROVE TO YOU BY A PREPONDRANCE OF THE EVIDENCE, 7 WHICH CAN EVEN BE 51-49, THAT CLOSE A CALL, THAT HE IS, YOU 8 KNOW, THAT THE OTHER GUY RAN THE RED LIGHT OR WHATEVER; 9 WOULD THAT BE THE WAY YOU RELATED TO THE STANDARD OF PROOF 10 DOWN THERE ON THAT CASE? 11 MS. KORVIN: ON THAT CASE, YES. MR. BARENS: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WHAT WE ARE TALKING 12 13 ABOUT HERE IS TOTALLY DIFFERENT? 14 MS. KORVIN: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT. 15 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. I CANNOT QUANTIFY FOR YOU 16 NUMERICALLY WHAT LEVEL WE NEED APPROACH FOR YOU TO FIND SOME-THING BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. BUT SUFFICE IT TO SAY THAT 17 18 IT IS SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 51-49; ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH 19 THAT? 20 MS. KORVIN: YES. 21 MR. BARENS: NOW, IN THOSE CIVIL CASES, IN YOUR 22 EXPERIENCE, YOU NOTICED THE HUSBAND, THE FATHER AND THE MOTHER 23 BOTH HAD AN INCUMBENT BURDEN TO PUT ON EVIDENCE. 24 MS. KORVIN: YES, I UNDERSTAND THAT. 25 MR. BARENS: DO YOU KNOW THAT IN A CRIMINAL CASE THE 26 DEFENDANT COULD SIT THERE THE WHOLE TIME, AND IN FACT AS 27 INCREDIBLE AS IT MIGHT SEEM, I COULD SIT THERE THE WHOLE TIME 28 AS DEFENSE LAWYER AND NEVER OPEN MY MOUTH, EXCEPT TO SAY NOT

25-3

25-4		
	1	GUILTY AND EVENTUALLY THANK YOU TO THE JURY; DO YOU UNDERSTAND
	2	THAT?
	3	MS. KORVIN: YES, I DO.
,	4	MR. BARENS: NOW THAT IS NOT LIKELY HERE IN THIS
	5	COURTROOM.
	6	
25A	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
2	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
-	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
)		

}	1	MS. KORVIN: I THINK NOT.
	2	MR. BARENS: BECAUSE THEY JUST WON'T LET ME GET AWAY
	3	WITH THAT.
	4	MR. WAPNER: BE MY GUEST.
	5	MR. BARENS: YOU FORCED ME TO SPEAK, MR. WAPNER.
	6	BUT NONETHELESS, IF YOU WERE TO HEAR THE DEFENDANT
	7	TESTIFY IN THIS CASE, WOULD YOU HAVE SOME MISGIVING
	8	PRELIMINARY TO HIS TESTIMONY THAT HE WAS LESS THAN LIKELY TO
	9	BE CANDID WITH YOU?
	10	MS. KORVIN: NO, I DON'T THINK SO.
	11	MR. BARENS: YOU WOULDN'T THINK THAT BECAUSE HE
	12	IS THE DEFENDANT HERE HE WOULDN'T BE ACCURATE?
	13	MS. KORVIN: NO.
ł	14	MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD WANT TO JUDGE THAT FOR YOURSELF,
	15	WOULDN'T YOU?
	16	MS. KORVIN: YES.
	17	MR. BARENS: HOW DID YOU FEEL WHEN YOU FIRST HEARD
	18	MR. WAPNER'S ADVICE THAT THE GOVERNMENT CAN BRING A MURDER
	19	TRIAL INTO BEING AND A CHARGE AGAINST SOMEONE WHEN THERE IS
	20	NO BODY, COULD YOU ACCEPT THAT?
	21	MS. KORVIN: I I DIDN'T KNOW.
	22	I ACCEPT IT. THAT IS THE LAW.
	23	BUT I HADN'T KNOWN THAT BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW TOO
	24	WELL.
	25	MR. BARENS: ACCEPT IT?
	26	MS. KORVIN: OKAY.
l	27	MR. BARENS: THERE IS ABSOLUTE PRECEDENCE IN CALIFORNIA
	28	FOR THAT TYPE OF THING AND PROBABLY ELSEWHERE THAT WE CAN THINK
	,	

25A

ł

λ.

1 OF AND I CAN ASSURE YOU, IN TEXAS. 2 WOULD YOU WANT TO KNOW BEFORE WE STARTED WONDERING 3 ABOUT WHERE THE BODY IS, SOMETHING ABOUT THE LIKELIHOOD OF 4 WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A BODY? 5 MS. KORVIN: YES. 6 MR. BARENS: YOU WOULDN'T JUST THINK BECAUSE MR. WAPNER 7 SAYS, "WE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE BODY IS," THAT THAT NECESSARILY 8 ESTABLISHES IN YOUR MIND THERE IS A BODY? 9 MS. KORVIN: NO, THAT IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH. 10 MR. BARENS: RIGHT. 11 THEY HAVE TO PROVE SOMETHING. 12 MS. KORVIN: YES. 13 MR. BARENS: THAT THERE IS A BODY. 14 MS. KORVIN: YES. 15 MR. BARENS: OTHER THAN A LIVE BODY, I SUPPOSE. 16 THE DEFENDANT MIGHT SAY TO YOU, "I DON'T KNOW. 17 1 DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED." DO YOU FEEL THAT THE DEFENDANT 18 IS FAILING TO DO SOMETHING YOU EXPECT IF HE CAN'T PROVE 19 SOMETHING TO YOU ABOUT WHERE THE BODY IS OR IS NOT OR IF THERE 20 IS A BODY OR NOT A BODY? 21 MS. KORVIN: NO. 22 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF HOBBIES DO YOU HAVE? 23 MS. KORVIN: WELL, FROM MARCH TO OCTOBER ABOUT ALL I 24 DO IS RACE SAILBOATS AND THEN WINTER TIME, I SKI AND READ AND 25 THEN MY HUSBAND AND I GO TO A LOT OF SPORTS EVENTS. 26 MR. BARENS: YOU RACE SAILBOATS? 27 MS. KORVIN: YES. 28 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF SAILBOATS DO YOU RACE?

1 MS. KORVIN: TWO IN PARTICULAR. A 32-FOOT ERIKSON AND 2 A 36 FOOT-CATALINA MR. BARENS: DURING COMPETITIVE RACING, YOU DO THAT WITH 3 4 A CREW? 5 MS. KORVIN: YES. 6 I AM A CREW MEMBER. I AM NOT A CAPTAIN. 7 MR. BARENS: ON YOUR 42-FOOT BOAT, HOW MANY PEOPLE WOULD . . 8 CREW THAT? 9 MS. KORVIN: MY 32. 10 FIVE IS THE MOST. MR. BARENS: AND WITH THOSE FIVE 1R CREW, DO YOU MAKE 11 DECISIONS CONJUNCTIVELY DURING THE RACE OR DOES SOMEONE IN 12 13 PARTICULAR MAKE THE DECISIONS? MS. KORVIN: WELL, YOU ALL GET A CHANCE TO GIVE YOUR 14 15 OPINION. 16 ULTIMATELY, THE CAPTAIN MAKES THE DECISION. 17 MR. BARENS: DURING THESERACING ACTIVITIES, FROM TIME 18 TO TIME DO YOU HAVE FAIRLY CRITICAL MOMENTS IN A RACE? 19 MS. KORVIN: YES. MR. BARENS: THAT ARE GOING TO DETERMINE THE OUTCOME; 20 21 IS THAT TRUE? 22 MS. KORVIN: YES. 23 MR. BARENS: AND IN FACT, A LOT OF TIMES IN THOSE 24 EVENTS IT COMES DOWN TO A MOMENT IN TIME THAT WE HAVE GOT TO 25 DECIDE WHETHER WE TACK OR NOT AND WHAT POSITION WE ARE GOING 26 TO TAKE ON THAT TACK; IS THAT CORRECT? 27 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 28 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF STANDARD DO YOU USE?

LET'S ASSUME YOU ARE THE CAPTAIN. THAT IS ONE 1 2 OF THOSE RATHER IMPORTANT DECISIONS IN YOUR LIFE, ISN'T 1T? 3 MS. KORVIN: WHAT? MR. BARENS: WELL, YOU HAVE GOT THE RACE ON THE LINE 4 AND LET'S SAY WE ARE IN THE FINALS OF THE TRIALS AND --5 6 MS. KORVIN: UH-HUH. 7 MR. BARENS: -- NOT THIS SORT OF A TRIAL. A BOAT TRIAL. 8 OH, GOD, DID I SAY A BOAT TRIAL? 9 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 10 MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME. YES. WELL, IN ANY EVENT, BE THAT AS IT MAY, YOU HAVE 11 12 TO MAKE A DECISION AND LET'S SAY YOU ARE THE CAPTAIN IN THAT 13 INSTANCE -- AND I DON'T KNOW. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN IN THAT 14 POSITION? 15 MS. KORVIN: YES. 16 MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF STANDARD DID YOU SET FOR 17 YOURSELF IN MAKING THAT DECISION ON WHETHER WE WIN. IT ALL OR LOSE IT ALL, FOLKS, WHEN YOU HAVE GOT THOSE OTHER FOUR 18 19 PEOPLE. FIVE PEOPLE RELYING ON YOU? MS. KORVIN: WELL, WE ALL SCREAM AT EACH OTHER REALLY 20 21 FAST AND FIND OUT WHAT WE THINK IS THE BEST ALTERNATIVE AND 22 THEN EVERYBODY AGREES ON IT AND THEN WE DO IT. 23 MR. BARENS: SOMETIMES YOU ARE RIGHT AND SOMETIMES YOU 24 ARE WRONG? 25 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 26 MR. BARENS: BASED ON THE FACTS THAT ARE OUT THERE THAT 27 ARE KNOWABLE TO YOU. 28 WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT ONE OF THOSE BEYOND A

REASONABLE DOUBT TYPE DECISIONS YOU MAKE? 1 2 THAT IS A TOUGHY FOR ME, TOO. 3 MS. KORVIN: IT IS TOUGH. 4 I DON'T KNOW. MR. BARENS: YOU CAN'T KNOW ON THAT ONE, CAN YOU? 5 6 MS. KORVIN: NO. MR. BARENS: I MIGHT SUBMIT FOR YOUR THINKING THAT THAT 7 IS A DIFFERENT TYPE OF DECISION THAN YOU MAKE HERE. 8 9 MS. KORVIN: A WHOLE LOT DIFFERENT. 10 MR. BARENS: A WHOLE LOT DIFFERENT. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE DIFFERENCE COMES DOWN TO? 11 12 MS. KORVIN: TELL ME. 13 MR. BARENS: STAKES, THE STAKES ARE DIFFERENT. MS. KORVIN: THE STAKES ARE MUCH MORE DIFFERENT. 14 MR. BARENS: THAT IS THE DIFFERENCE. 15 THE IMPORTANCE OF THE DECISION TO YOU AS AN 16 INDIVIDUAL CAN BE EXTREME BUT, HERE, IT MAY HAVE SOMEWHAT 17 ANALOGOUSLY THE SAME IMPORTANCE BUT SIMPLY DIFFERENT STAKES. 18 19 DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I MEAN? 20 MS. KORVIN: YES, 1 DO. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 22 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) 23 24 25 26 27 28

26

1	MR. BARENS: WHAT SORT OF READING DO YOU DO?
2	MS. KORVIN: ALL KINDS.
3	MR. BARENS: OKAY. NOTHING IN PARTICULAR THAT HAS AN
4	EMPHASIS FOR YOU?
5	MS. KORVIN: NO. IN FACT, I LIKE TO INTERCHANGE
6	DIFFERENT TYPES AND NOT READ THE SAME KIND OF THING ALL OF
7	THE TIME.
8	MR. BARENS: AND YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND CONSISTS
9	OF?
10	MS. KORVIN: A BACHELOR OF ARTS IN SPANISH.
11	MR. BARENS: FROM MARQUETTE?
12	MS. KORVIN: YES.
13	MR. BARENS: AND WERE YOU MARRIED DURING THAT PERIOD
14	OF TIME?
15	MS. KORVIN: NO.
16	MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER MAKE USE OF THAT DEGREE?
17	MS. KORVIN: THAT IS HOW I STARTED WITH AMERICAN
18	AIRLINES WHEN I FIRST GOT OUT OF COLLEGE AND THEN GRADUALLY
19	WORKED MY WAY AWAY FROM IT.
20	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU HAVE A MINOR ALONG
21	WITH YOUR SPANISH MAJOR?
22	MS. KORVIN: NO.
23	MR. BARENS: YOUR MARRIAGE THAT YOU HAVE NOW IS YOUR
24	ONLY MARRIAGE?
25	MS. KORVIN: YES.
26	MR. BARENS: AND THE LAST BOOK YOU READ WOULD HAVE BEEN
27	MS. KORVIN: THE SEVENTH SECRET BY IRVING WALLACE.
28	MR. BARENS: AND THE LAST MOVIE YOU SAW?

26A

1	MS. KORVIN: "ONE HUNDRED AND ONE DALMATIONS."
2	MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. ARE WE THROUGH WITH THE PIE,
3	YOUR HONOR?
4	THE COURT: YES.
5	MR. BARENS: WE ARE NOT GOING TO DO THAT, EITHER SIDE?
6	THE COURT: NO.
7	MR. BARENS: THEN I CAN ABANDON THE PIE.
8	MR. WAPNER: IT SUNK.
9	THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN EATEN UP.
10	MR. BARENS: I JUST THOUGHT I WOULD ASK BEFORE I GOT
11	INTO ANYTHING ELSE.
12	IS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU THINK THAT I OUGHT
13	TO KNOW ABOUT YOU AS A PROSPECTIVE JUROR IN THIS INSTANCE
14	THAT MIGHT BE OF SOME BENEFIT EITHER WAY?
15	MS. KORVIN: NO, JUST THAT I AM A FAIR PERSON. I WOULD
16	LIKE TO DO A GOOD JOB. I AM PARTICULAR ABOUT HOW I DO THINGS.
17	MR. BARENS: I RECALL YOU TELLING ME THAT I THINK YOU
18	HAD READ SOMETHING ABOUT THIS CASE PRIOR TO COMING HERE.
19	AND YOU KNOW, I HAVE ASKED THIS QUESTION OUT OF
20	A SENSE OF OBLIGATION AND CONCERN THAT YOU COULD IN FACT,
21	ASSURE ME AS SINCERELY AS YOU CAN, THAT NOTHING THAT YOU READ
22	ABOUT THIS CASE WOULD IN ANY WAY, INFLUENCE YOU IN REACHING
23	A DECISION CONCERNING MY CLIENT.
24	MS. KORVIN: THAT'S RIGHT.
25	MR. BARENS: YOU WOULD BASE YOUR DECISION SOLELY ON
26	THE EVIDENCE YOU SAW DEMONSTRATED IN THE COURTROOM?
27	MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT.
28	MR. BARENS: I THANK YOU. I PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR HONOR.

264

5268

	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
1	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
2	GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. KORVIN.
3	
4	MS. KORVIN: HI.
5	MR. BARENS: I AM JUST KIND OF CURIOUS. YOU WENT TO
6	SCHOOL IN MILWAUKEE. AND YOU MARRIED SOMEONE WHO WENT TO
7	SCHOOL IN WEST VIRGINIA AND YOU WORKED IN TEXAS?
8	MS. KORVIN: YES. THAT'S CORRECT.
9	MR. WAPNER: AND HOW DID YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND END UP
10	IN LOS ANGELES AFTER ALL THOSE MACHINATIONS?
11	MS. KORVIN: WELL, WE CAME OUT HERE IN APRIL OF 1983
12	BECAUSE OF HIS JOB. WE WERE IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
13	MR. WAPNER: IT GETS CURIOUSER AND CURIOUSER. WHAT
14	WERE YOU DOING THERE?
15	MS. KORVIN: THAT IS MY HOME. I WAS THERE. I MOVED
16	THERE FROM TEXAS.
17	MR. WAPNER: WERE YOU WITH AMERICAN AIRLINES IN TEXAS?
18	MS. KORVIN: YES.
19	MR. WAPNER: THAT IS THEIR HOME BASE?
20	MS. KORVIN: YES.
21	MR. WAPNER: DALLAS?
22	MS. KORVIN: YES.
23	MR. WAPNER: AND AS A TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNICIAN,
24	WHAT DO YOU DO?
25	MS. KORVIN: I HANDLE ALL OF THE DATA INVOICE NETWORK
26	THAT WE HAVE AT TERMINAL 4, LAX.
27	MR. WAPNER: THAT IS CLEAR. DO YOU WANT TO EXPLAIN
28	IT TO ME IN PLAIN ENGLISH?

6A

MR. KORVIN: WELL, WE HAVE OUR OWN NETWORK WITH TERMINALS 1 ON IT. THAT IS WHERE ALL OF THE RESERVATIONS ARE PUT IN AND 2 EVERYTHING COMES UP. WE ARE ALL COMPUTERIZED NOW. 3 WE HAVE TERMINALS, LIKE THE ONE AT THE TICKET 4 COUNTER AND THE ONES AT THE GATES. 5 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT. 6 MS. KORVIN: I TAKE CARE OF ALL OF THOSE. I INSTALL 7 THEM. I MOVE THEM AROUND. I FIX THEM. I TROUBLE-SHOOT THEM 8 AND WHATEVER. 9 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. SO, IF THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH 10 ONE OF THE TERMINALS, THEY CALL YOU OR SOMEONE IN YOUR 11 DEPARTMENT? 12 MS. KORVIN: RIGHT. 13 MR. WAPNER: AND THEN YOU HAVE GO AND TRY TO MAKE A 14 DETERMINATION AS TO WHAT IS WRONG WITH IT? 15. MS. KORVIN: THAT'S RIGHT. 16 MR. WAPNER: AND YOU TRY TO GATHER AS MANY FACTS AS 17 YOU CAN? 18 MS. KORVIN: YES. 19 MR. WAPNER: AND AFTER YOU GET THOSE FACTS, YOU TAKE 20 THOSE AND BASED ON THOSE FACTS AND YOUR EXPERIENCE, TRY TO 21 FIGURE OUT WHAT THE MOST REASONABLE POSSIBILITY IS OR 22 PROBABILITY IS AS TO WHAT IS WRONG? 23 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 24 MR. WAPNER: AND IF IT IS NOT THAT, YOU GO TO NUMBER 25 TWO AND SO ON DOWN THE LINE? 26 MS. KORVIN: I START ALL OVER AGAIN AND I DO IT AGAIN. 27 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. AS LONG AS WE ARE TALKING 28

5269

В

•	1	DECISION-MAKING AND MR. BARENS' EXAMPLE WITH THE SAILBOAT
	2	RACING, DID YOU HEAR REFERENCE MADE WITH OTHER JURORS ABOUT
	3	NOT CONSIDERING PENALTY OR PUNISHMENT WHEN YOU DECIDE THE
	4	QUESTION OF GUILT OR INNOCENCE?
	5	MS. KORVIN: YES I DID.
	6	MR. WAPNER: DO YOU THINK IT IS PROPER?
	7	MS. KORVIN: YES.
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
)	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
)	26	
	27	
	28	

MR. WAPNER: SO THAT EVEN THOUGH THE ULTIMATE STAKES 1 IN THIS CASE, IF YOU WILL, MAY BE VERY HIGH, THAT YOU CAN'T 2 BE THINKING ABOUT WHAT THE STAKES ARE IN MAKING YOUR DECISION? 3 MS. KORVIN: THAT'S CORRECT. 4 MR. WAPNER: AND YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT? 5 MS. KORVIN: YES. 6 MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME IF THIS IS A LITTLE BIT PERSONAL. 7 BUT CAN YOU TELL US, HAVE YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND MADE A 8 CONSCIOUS DECISION NOT TO HAVE CHILDREN? 9 MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE OBJECTS. 10 THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 11 MR. WAPNER: LET ME COME AT IT A DIFFERENT WAY. DO 12 YOU HAVE ANY BROTHERS OR SISTERS? 13 MS. KORVIN: YES I DO. 14 MR. WAPNER: HOW MANY? 15 MS. KORVIN: 1 HAVE TWO OLDER BROTHERS AND SISTERS. 16 MR. WAPNER: DO THEY LIVE IN WASHINGTON, D.C.? 17 MS. KORVIN: MY OLDEST BROTHER LIVES IN PENNSYLVANIA 18 AND THEN THE OTHER TWO LIVE IN ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA. 19 MR. WAPNER: JUST OUTSIDE WASHINGTON? 20 21 MS. KORVIN: RIGHT. MR. WAPNER: DO YOU SEE OR TALK TO THEM VERY OFTEN? 22 MS. KORVIN: HARDLY EVER. 23 MR. WAPNER: AND HOW ABOUT YOUR PARENTS? ARE THEY STILL 24 25 LIVING? MS. KORVIN: YES. THEY LIVE IN NEBRASKA. MY MOTHER 26 IS STILL LIVING. SHE LIVES IN NEBRASKA WITH HER HUSBAND. 27 28 I CONSIDER THEM PARENTS.

26R - 2MR. WAPNER: ARE YOUR PARENTS DIVORCED? 1 MS. KORVIN: NO. MY FATHER DIED WHEN I WAS 12. 2 MR. WAPNER: HOW OFTEN DO YOU TALK TO YOUR MOTHER? 3 MS. KORVIN: ONCE A MONTH. 4 5 MR. WAPNER: WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE CLOSE TO HER? MS. KORVIN: YES WE ARE. 6 MR. WAPNER: AND DOES YOUR HUSBAND HAVE A FAMILY THAT 7 LIVES IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA? 8 MS. KORVIN: JUST A COUSIN LIVES IN PASADENA. 9 MR. WAPNER: YOU SAID THAT YOU ARE PARTICULAR ABOUT 10 HOW YOU DO THINGS. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO ME? 11 MS. KORVIN: WELL, IN MY LINE OF WORK, MACHINES HAVE 12 NO MERCY. SO I HAVE TO BE VERY PARTICULAR. I COULD BLOW 13 UP SOMETHING. WHICH I HAVE DONE BEFORE. 14 SC I HAVE LEARNED TO BE VERY CAREFUL. I HAVE 15 TO BE VERY METHODICAL ABOUT HOW I APPROACH SOMETHING, IF IT 16 IS NOT WORKING OR DOESN'T WORK RIGHT OR WHATEVER. 17 MR WAPNER: AND DO YOU TAKE A FAIRLY METHODICAL APPROACH 18 TO ATTEMPTING TO MAKE A DECISION IN THIS CASE? 19 MS. KORVIN: YES. 20 MR. WAPNER: LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION THAT I DIDN'T 21 ASK, UNFORTUNATELY, MS. JANIS. HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT BEING 22 AN ALTERNATE IN THIS CASE? 23 MS. KORVIN: I THINK THAT IT IS JUST AS IMPORTANT AS 24 BEING A JUROR. 25 26 MR. WAPNER: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE KIND OF 27 IN THE ROLE OF A PINCH HITTER? YOU ARE SITTING ON THE BENCH, NEVER QUITE KNOWING WHETHER YOU ARE GOING TO BE CALLED UPON 28

1	OR NOT?
2	MS. KORVIN: YES. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
3	MS. WAPNER: IS THAT ALL RIGHT WITH YOU?
4	MS. KORVIN: FINE.
5	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND
6	OF A CON SCHEME?
7	MS. KORVIN: NO I HAVE NOT.
8	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE VICTIM OF ANY KIND
9	OF CRIME WHATSOEVER?
10	MS. KORVIN: NO.
11	MR. WAPNER: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE CONCEPT OF
12	CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE GENERALLY?
13	MS. KORVIN: EVIDENCE IS EVIDENCE.
14	MR. WAPNER: AND IF THE JUDGE TELLS YOU THAT
15	CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IS JUST AS GOOD AS DIRECT EVIDENCE,
16	YOU COULD FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION?
17	MS. KORVIN: YES I CAN.
18	MR. WAPNER: DID YOU HAVE ANY NOTION IN YOUR HEAD, FROM
19	TV OR BOOKS OR ANYPLACE ELSE, BEFORE YOU CAME IN HERE, ABOUT
20	CORPUS DELICTI? HAD YOU EVER HEARD OF THAT?
21	MS. KORVIN: I HAVE HEARD THE TERM. BUT I HAVE NO IDEA
22	WHAT 1T MEANS.
23	MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT IT ON
24	TELEVISION, MEANING THAT THEY HAVE NO BODY OR SOMETHING LIKE
25	THAT?
26	MS. KORVIN: NO. CORPUS OBVIOUSLY MEANS BODY. BUT
27	I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT MEANS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT TRANSLATES
28	то.

THE COURT: YOU CAN EXPLAIN IT TO HER. 1 MR. WAPNER: I WILL. WHAT IT TRANSLATES TO, IS BODY 2 OF THE CRIME WHICH REALLY MEANS THE ELEMENTS OF THE CRIME. 3 AND EVERY CRIME, WHETHER IT IS A MURDER OR DRIVING 4 UNDER THE INFLUENCE OR BURGLARY, HAS A CORPUS DELICTI. 5 IN A BURGLARY, THE BODY OF THE CRIME OR THE 6 ELEMENTS OF THE CRIME ARE THE ENTRY OF THE HOUSE OR BUSINESS 7 WITH THE INTENT TO COMMIT A FELONY OR A THEFT INSIDE. SO 8 THAT PHRASE, CORPUS DELICTI, DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH 9 THE NECESSITY OF A BODY OR NOT. 10 MS. KORVIN: I UNDERSTAND. 11 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, I AM ADVISED 12 THAT I MISSPOKE MYSELF EARLIER ON THE LAW IN TEXAS WHEN I 13 SAID THAT WHEN THEY PROSECUTE A NO BODY CASE -- THAT THEY 14 WOULD PROSECUTE A NO BODY CASE IN TEXAS. 15 1 AM NOW ADVISED AND I NOW RECALL, SINCE I HAVE 16 PRACTICED IN THE COURTS THERE AND DONE A LENGTHY CAPITAL CASE 17 THERE, THAT THEY WOULD NOT. THE CORPUS DELICTI RULE IN TEXAS, 18 IS SUCH THAT I DO NOT --19 MR. WAPNER: WELL, WITHOUT DIGRESSION ON THE LAW IN 20 21 TEXAS, WHY ARE WE --THE COURT: WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE ANY OF THIS. 22 MR. BARENS: I MISSPOKE MYSELF EARLIER, I WOULD LIKE 23 TO CORRECT MYSELF ON THE RECORD. 24 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU HAVE CORRECTED 25 YOURSELF. 26 MR. WAPNER: LEST YOU GET CONFUSED WHEN YOU WALK OUT 27 THE DOOR, WE ARE NOT IN DALLAS. 28

7A

HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE IDEA THAT YOU MIGHT 1 2 BE SITTING ON A CASE IN JUDGMENT WHERE THERE WAS A MURDER AND 3 YOU BELIEVED THAT THERE WAS A MURDER AND THE DEFENDANT DID 4 IT BUT YOU JUST DIDN'T LIKE THE PERSON WHO WAS KILLED; WHAT 5 EFFECT WOULD THAT HAVE ON YOUR OPINION? 6 MS. KORVIN: NONE. 7 MR. BARENS: SO WHETHER OR NOT YOU LIKED HIM OR DIDN'T 8 LIKE HIM SHOULDN'T HAVE ANY AFFECT ON YOUR ULTIMATE DECISION. 9 MS. KORVIN: NO, IT WOULDN'T. 10 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN IN A SITUATION, THAT 11 MRS. JANIS FOUND HERSELF IN AT ONE TIME, BEING CALLED UPON 12 TO ATTEMPT TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION OF SOMEONE? 13 MS. KORVIN: NEVER. 14 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER SEEN SOMEONE ON THE STREET 15 THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU RECOGNIZED AND IT TURNED OUT IT WASN'T 16 THAT PERSON? 17 MS. KORVIN: YES. 18 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU DONE ANY STUDIES ON EYEWITNESS 19 IDENTIFICATION AT ALL? 20 MS. KORVIN: NO. 21 MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT THAT? 22 MS. KORVIN: NO. MR. WAPNER: HAVE YOU EVER BEEN IN A DISCUSSION WITH 23 24 CLOSE FRIENDS OR RELATIVES ABOUT A SERIOUS TOPIC, TAKEN ONE 25 POSITION AND THEN LATER CHARGED YOUR MIND? 26 MS. KORVIN: YES. 27 MR. WAPNER: IF YOU ARE IN THE JURY ROOM IN THIS CASE 28 AND YOU HAVE DELIBERATED ON THE CASE AND TAKE ONE POSITION

1	AND THEN YOU DECIDE THAT YOUR INITIAL POSITION WAS INCORRECT,
2	COULD YOU CHANGE YOUR MIND?
3	MS. KORVIN: YES, I COULD.
4	MR. WAPNER: IF YOU THOUGHT IT WAS CORRECT, COULD YOU
5	HOLD FAST TO IT?
6	MS. KORVIN: I WOULD.
7	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I WILL PASS FOR CAUSE, YOUR
8	HONOR.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE CAN FINISH UP WITH MR.
10	RUTHERFORD VERY QUICKLY.
11	MR. RUTHERFORD, YOU TOO, HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE
12	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WHICH WERE ASKED AND GIVEN?
13	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES.
14	THE COURT: WERE I TO ASK YOU THE SAME GENERAL QUESTIONS,
15	YOUR ANSWERS WOULD BE THE SAME, WOULD THEY?
16	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES.
17	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU DO, PLEASE?
18	MR. RUTHERFORD: 1 AM A TRUCK DRIVER.
19	THE COURT: BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
20	MR. RUTHERFORD: RALPH'S GROCERY COMPANY.
21	THE COURT: AND ARE YOU MARRIED?
22	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES, SIR.
23	THE COURT: AND DOES YOUR WIFE HAVE ANY EMPLOYMENT OUT-
24	SIDE THE HOME?
25	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES, SIR.
26	THE COURT: WHAT DOES SHE DO?
27	MR. RUTHERFORD: SHE IS A TRUCK DRIVER.
28	THE COURT: IS SHE REALLY?

1	MR. RUTHERFORD: YES, SIR.
2	THE COURT: WITH THE SAME COMPANY?
3	MR. RUTHERFORD: NO, SIR.
4	THE COURT: WHO DOES SHE WORK FOR?
5	MR. RUTHERFORD: JESSIE LORNE, INC. IN TORRANCE.
6	THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?
7	MR. RUTHERFORD: IN LAWNDALE.
8	THE COURT: WHAT EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND DO YOU HAVE?
9	MR. RUTHERFORD: I HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL GED AND THEN I
10	COMPLETED TWO YEARS OF JUNIOR COLLEGE.
11	THE COURT: AND YOUR WIFE?
12	MR. RUTHERFORD: SHE HAS A HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE AND I
13	BELIEVE SHE COMPLETED ONE YEAR OF JUNIOR COLLEGE.
14	THE COURT: H AVE YOU EVER SAT AS A JUROR ON A CRIMINAL
15	CASE BEFORE?
16	MR. RUTHERFORD: NO, SIR.
17	THE COURT: AND HAVE YOU EVER BEEL, THE VICTIM OF ANY
18	KIND OF A SERIOUS CRIME?
19	MR. RUTHERFORD: SOMEBODY STOLE CEREAL OUT OF MY PICKUP
20	TRUCK.
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22	MR. BARENS: TOMORROW, IF WE COULD, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I THINK WE WILL TAKE OUR
24	ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME UNTIL 10:30.
25	9:30 FOR THEM BUT 10:30 FOR YOU.
26	WE HAVE MOTIONS, HAVEN'T WE?
27	MR. BARENS: YES. JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
28	TO THE COURT: AT ANY RATE, I WILL ASK THE JURORS/COME BACK
	THE CONTRACT OF ANT ANTE, I WILL ASK THE CONORS/COME DACK

27 - 41 TOMORROW MORNING AT 10:30. YOU COME DIRECTLY INTO THE 2 COURTROOM. YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO INTO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM. 3 YOU TAKE THE SEATS THAT YOU NOW OCCUPY AND THE OTHER, THE REST 4 OF YOU CAN SIT WHEREVER YOU LIKE. 5 MR. WAPNER: 10:00? MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 8 OUT OF THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE 9 JURY:) 10 MR. BARENS: RESPECTFULLY, YOUR HONOR, INSTEAD OF MIS-SPEAKING MYSELF, FOR A CHANGE WE HAVE A MISTYPE. THIS IS ONLY 11 12 A TEN-MINUTE MOTION MAX AND WE WOULD LIKE IT AT 10:00 OR 13 10:15. 14 THE COURT: 10:00. 15 MR. BARENS: 10:10, YOUR HONOR, IF YOU WOULD. 10:10 16 WOULD BE AGREEABLE. 17 SECONDARILY, I WOULD LIKE TO FORMALLY NOTIFY THE COURT THAT WE ARE WITHDRAWING THE KARNY DISCOVERY MOTION AT 18 19 THIS POINT IN TIME. 20 27A 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

27A THE COURT: I THINK I HAVE ALREADY TAKEN STEPS TO HAVE 1 2 THE HEARING IN CAMERA BECAUSE I AM VERY MUCH INTERESTED IF 3 THERE IS ANY EVIDENCE THAT SHOULD BE ADDUCED IN THIS CASE AND 4 BY REASON OF THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE MADE THE MOTION, I THINK 5 I OUGHT TO HEAR WHAT IS GOING ON AND WHETHER THERE IS ANYTHING 6 AT ALL THAT, IF I KNOW ABOUT, WHETHER IT SHOULD BE HEARD IN 7 THIS CASE. 8 MR. BARENS: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE 9 WOULD REQUEST THAT YOUR HONOR NOT READ ANY OF THAT. 10 THE COURT: WELL, YOU MADE THE MOTION AND I DENIED THE 11 MOTION AND I TOLD YOU I WAS GOING TO HAVE A HEARING IN CAMERA. 12 MR. BARENS: YES, WE DID, YOUR HONOR. 13 CERTAINLY, ALTHOUGH I HAVE MADE THE COMMENT FOR THE RECORD, AS I AM OBLIGED TO DO, IF YOUR HONOR FINDS ANY-14 15 THING IN THAT IN WHAT YOU READ THAT WOULD --16 THE COURT: I WILL TELL YOU. 17 MR. BARENS: WOULD YOU TELL US? 18 THE COURT: ABSOLUTELY. 19 MR. BARENS: NOW, YOUR HONOR, THE CONVERSE OF THAT. 20 THE COURT: I WANT TO BE SURE THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE 21 THAT SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO LIGHT THAT ISN'T BROUGHT TO LIGHT. 22 IF THERE IS NOTHING IN WHAT I AM BEING TOLD, IF 23 IT HAS NO BEARING ON THIS CASE, THAT IS THE END OF IT. 24 IF IT HAS ANY, I WILL TELL YOU EXACTLY WHAT IT 25 IS. 26 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. COULD I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT, 27 YOUR HONOR? 28 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS,

MR. CHIER AND THE DEFENDANT.) 1 2 THE COURT: DO YOU AGREE? 3 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I WILL WAIT UNTIL THEY ARE FINISHED 4 CONFERRING, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. BARENS: FOR THE RECORD, JUST SO I MAKE MY LANGUAGE 6 CLEAR IN RESPONSE TO YOUR HONOR'S DECISION TO READ THE 7 MATERIAL, MY COMMENT IS THAT THE DEFENSE WOULD OBJECT TO YOUR HONOR READING THE MATERIAL. 8 9 THE COURT: WAIT. DO YOU THINK THERE IS SOMETHING TO 10 HIDE HERE? 11 MR. BARENS: NO, NOT AT ALL, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: WHY ALL OF A SUDDEN? YOU MAKE A MOTION 13 SERIOUSLY AND IN GOOD FAITH AND I HAVE CONSIDERED THAT 14 MOTION, THE OPPOSITION TO THAT MOTION, AND I HAVE MADE A RULING 15 ON THE CASE AND SUDDENLY YOU DECIDE TO WITHDRAW IT, WHY IS 16 THAT? 17 MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE WITHDRAWN IT. 18 I CAN ASSURE YOUR HONOR THAT I WITHDRAW IT IN 19 EQUAL GOOD FAITH TO WHY IT WAS FILED TO BEGIN WITH. 20 I CAN ONLY ASSURE YOUR HONOR THAT TACTICALLY IT 21 IS A VERY APPROPRIATE DECISION, WE BELIEVE, FOR THE DEFENSE 22 TO HAVE MADE AT THIS POINT IN TIME. 23 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I AM PERFECTLY HAPPY TO HAVE 24 THE MOTION WITHDRAWN. 25 AS THE COURT KNOWS, I WAS OPPOSED TO THE MOTION 26 IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. 27 BUT I THINK THAT THE COURT IS PUTTING EVERYBODY 28 IN AN AWKWARD POSITION IF YOU ARE SAYING THAT, NOTWITHSTANDING

THE FACT YOU DON'T HAVE A MOTION BEFORE YOU, YOU ARE GOING 1 2 TO REVIEW THESE MATERIALS. 3 THE COURT: THE MOTION IS BEFORE ME AND I MADE A RULING 4 ON IT. 5 MR. WAPNER: WELL --6 THE COURT: IT IS A FAIT ACCOMPLI. 7 MR. WAPNER: IT MAY BE A FAIT ACCOMPLI BUT --8 THE COURT: I HAVE ALREADY PLACED IN MOTION THE 9 PROCEDURE BY WHICH I WILL HEAR IT IN CAMERA. 10 MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: DON'T YOU WANT ME TO HEAR IT? DON'T YOU 12 WANT TO FIND OUT IF THERE IS ANYTHING ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, 13 IF THERE BE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, AREN'T YOU ANXIOUS FOR IT? 14 MS. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I DNO'T THINK THAT THAT CASE 15 HAS ANY BEARING ON THE ISSUES WE ARE GOING TO LITIGATE HERE 16 AND I THINK YOUR HONOR --17 THE COURT: YOU MEAN THIS CASE, YOU MEAN A POSSIBILITY 18 OF IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE AGAINST YOUR PRINCIPAL WITNESS IS NOT 19 RELEVANT IN THIS CASE? 20 MR. WAPNER: WELL, AS THE COURT IS WELL AWARE FROM THE 21 STATEMENT RECEIVED FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE POLICE 22 DEPARTMENT HAS MADE A CATEGORICAL STATEMENT THAT MR. KARNY 23 IS NOT A SUSPECT IN THAT CASE. 24 THE COURT: WELL, IF I HAVE THE CONCESSION IN THIS 25 PARTICULAR CASE, THEN I DON'T THINK THERE WOULD BE ANYTHING 26 FURTHER FOR ME TO DO. 27 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE IS A 28 CONCESSION OR NOT BECAUSE I CAN'T SPEAK --

THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT EVIDENCE THERE IS? MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW WHAT EVIDENCE THERE IS, YOUR HONOR, BUT I HAVE TALKED -- I HAVE HAD ONE DISCUSSION WITH THE DETECTIVES IN THAT CASE SO I KNOW SOMEWHAT ABOUT THE FACTS OF THE CASE. BUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT THE DECLARATION, I BELIEVE, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY BY DETECTIVE DIAZ THAT MR. KARNY IS NOT A SUSPECT. AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, THAT SHOULD END THE INQUIRY. IF THE COURT SAYS IT IS GOING TO REVIEW THESE DOCUMENTS ANYWAY --THE COURT: IF HE IS GOING TO WITHDRAW THE MOTION, HE CAN MAKE IT ANOTHER TIME, TOO, CAN'T HE? DON'T YOU WANT TO HAVE IT DEFINITIVELY DECIDED ONCE AND FOR ALL WHETHER THERE IS ANY BASIS FOR ANY CLAIM THAT HE HAD PERPETRATED OR HE IS A SUSPECT IN THE PERPETRATION OF A MURDER? 

MR. WAPNER: I AM CONVINCED THAT HE IS NOT A SUSPECT 1 IN THE MURDER, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR, BUT LET'S SAY THAT 2 THE COURT REVIEWS THE DOCUMENTS IN CAMERA. AND YOU DECIDE 3 THAT THERE IS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE DISCLOSED. HOW DO 4 I HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO THEN ARGUE TO THE COURT THAT YOU 5 SHOULDN'T DISCLOSE IT? 6 THE COURT: WELL, I WILL GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY. YOU 7 HAVE TO KNOW WHAT IT IS FIRST, BEFORE YOU TELL ME NOT TO WAY 8 DISCLOSE IT. G MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE POINT IS, THAT THERE IS NOTHING 10 BEFORE THE COURT. THERE IS NO VEHICLE BEFORE THE COURT. 11 THE COURT: WELL, DO I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE NOT 12 INTERESTED IN FINDING OUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS OR ISN'T 13 A BASIS FOR ANY CLAIM THAT HE, KARNY, HAD PERPETRATED A 14 MURDER? 15 MR. WAPNER: WELL, YOUR HONOR --16 THE COURT: THEY HAVE GOT EVIDENCE AND THEY ARE 17 SUPPOSED TO DISCLOSE IT TO ME. THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED 18 TO DO. ALL I GOT IS A DENIAL. THAT IS NOT EVIDENCE. 19 THEY SAY THAT HE IS NOT A SUSPECT. THAT DOESN'T 20 MEAN ANYTHING. 21 MR. WAPNER: BUT, THERE IS NO VEHICLE PRESENTLY BEFORE 22 THE COURT, SINCE THE MOTION IS WITHDRAWN. 23 THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO ASSURE ME THAT NO SUCH 24 MOTION WILL BE MADE AGAIN? 25 MR. BARENS: DO I HAVE TO, YOUR HONOR? 26 THE COURT: YES YOU DO. BECAUSE IF THIS MOTION IS GOING 27 TO BE MADE AGAIN, THERE IS NO SENSE IN MY GOING THROUGH THE 28

1

WHOLE PROCEDURE AGAIN.

2	MR. BARENS: WELL YOUR HONOR, UNDER THE NEXUS IN WHICH
3	WE WOULD OPERATE NOW, THERE ARE SOME EXTREMELY SIGNIFICANT
4	MOTIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE BEFORE YOUR HONOR NEXT WEEK
5	CONCERNING THE CONDUCT OF THE SAME POLICE OFFICERS THAT WERE
6	INVOLVED IN THE SEIZURE AND SEARCH AT THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE
7	LAST THURSDAY, THE SAME DETECTIVE YOUR HONOR
8	THE COURT: IT IS AN ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT MOTION BEFORE
9	ME THAN THAT WHICH I DECIDED. THAT MOTION WAS TO HAVE THE
10	POLICE DISCLOSE TO YOU THE INVESTIGATION THAT THEY HAD
11	CONDUCTED IN CONNECTION WITH AN ALLEGED MURDER WHICH HAD BEEN
12	COMMITTED BY ONE OF THE PRINCIPAL WITNESSES FOR THE PEOPLE.
13	THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE SEIZURE OF
14	PROPERTY.
15	MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
16	(PAUSE.)
17	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I PERSONALLY HAVE FAITH IN
18	YOU, JUDGE. I HAVE FAITH IN HIS HONOR'S JUDGMENT.
19	YOUR HONOR, ALTHOUGH I MADE MY COMMENTS FOR THE
20	RECORD AND AT THE RISK OF BEING INCONSISTENT BUT AS YOU
21	KNOW, THERE IS NO REASON IN THE WORLD THAT I HAVE TO BE
22	CONSISTENT TO BEGIN WITH. ALTHOUGH I OBJECTED FOR THE RECORD,
23	I ACCEPT YOUR HONOR'S DECISION.
24	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BUT THE POINT
25	OF THE MATTER IS, THAT YOU HAVE CALLED SOMETHING TO MY
26	ATTENTION ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR CASE ABOUT A WITNESS IN THIS
27	CASE, WHICH IS A VERY SERIOUS MATTER THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT
28	TO ME.

MR. BARENS: THE PEOPLE CALLED IT TO YOUR HONOR'S 1 ATTENTION? 2 THE COURT: AM I THE REFEREE OR THE JUDGE? AM I SUPPOSED 3 TO JUDGE THE MERITS OF THE CASE? 4 MR. BARENS: WELL, OF COURSE YOU ARE NOT A REFEREE. 5 I DID NOT BRING THIS TO YOUR ATTENTION. MR. WAPNER DID, IN 6 THE FIRST INSTANCE, AS YOU WILL RECALL. 7 THE COURT: WELL, HE WAS UNDER AN OBLIGATION TO DO SO. 8 MR. BARENS: QUITE SO. 9 THE COURT: BECAUSE IT WAS HIS DUTY, ONCE A MATTER OF 10 THAT KIND HAS BEEN BROUGHT UP, TO DISCLOSE IT TO YOU. 11 AFTER HE DISCLOSED IT TO YOU, YOU THEN MADE A 12 MOTION AND YOU WANTED TO KNOW WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE THAT THEY 13 HAVE AND ET CETERA, ET CETERA. I GRANTED THAT MOTION TO THE 14 EXTENT THAT I WOULD HAVE AN IN CAMERA HEARING TO DETERMINE 15 WHETHER THERE WAS ANYTHING AT ALL WHICH WOULD HAVE ANY 16 RELEVANCY TO THIS CASE, FOR OR AGAINST THE DEFENDANT. 17 MR. BARENS: JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, SO IT CLEARLY 18 19 REFLECTS PROCEDURALLY WHAT THE DEFENSE IS EXPRESSING, THE DEFENSE FORMALLY HAS WITHDRAWN THE MOTION AND OBJECTS TO YOUR 20 HONOR READING THE MATERIALS BUT ACCEPTS YOUR HONOR'S DECISION. 21 THE COURT: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE 22 ABANDONING THAT PARTICULAR PHASE OF THE CASE AND THAT YOU 23 DON'T WANT TO KNOW ANYTHING AT ALL ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED. 24 MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T SAY THAT, YOUR HONOR. I SAID 25 THAT I WAS WITHDRAWING THE MOTION IN THIS FORUM. 26 THE COURT: IS THERE SOME OTHER FORUM THAT YOU ARE GOING 27 28 TO GO TO?

1	MR. BARENS: THERE IS ANOTHER CASE, AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS,
2	WHERE THINGS ARE GOING ON.
3	THE COURT: WELL, THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS ONE,
4	DOWN HERE.
5	MR. BARENS: WELL, INEXTRICABLY, YOUR HONOR, THESE
6	THINGS TEND TO OVERLAP.
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF YOU DON'T WANT ME TO HAVE
8	ANY KIND OF A HEARING AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAYS THAT
9	I SHOULDN'T CONDUCT THIS HEARING, THEN IT WILL ACCOMPLISH
10	NO PURPOSE. I AM PERFECTLY WILLING TO ABIDE BY THAT.
11	I AM AFRAID THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY THINKS
12	THAT THERE MIGHT BE SOME ERROR IF I WERE TO HOLD IT AND HE
13	IS ERRING ON THE SIDE OF CAUTION.
14	MR. WAPNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
15	THE COURT: IS THAT RIGHT? I HAVE READ YOUR MIND,
16	HAVE 1 NOT?
17	MR. WAPNER: YES.
18	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE COMMENT IS IN THE
19	SAME DIRECTION.
20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. VERY GOOD. THEN I WON'T HEAR
21	ANYTHING FURTHER ABOUT THAT THAT MATTER. I HAVE CHANGED MY
22	MIND.
23	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
24	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
25	THE COURT: THAT IS NOT TO SAY THAT I AM NOT CURIOUS
26	TO FIND OUT IF THIS THING MERITS IT.
27	(AT 4:37 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
28	UNTIL THURSDAY, JANUARY 15, 1987, AT
	10:10 A.M.)

Г

2 8 – 4 :