# COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DOCKET	
1088DA	0269
Entered by	
Date	1

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	)
PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,	)
	) SUPERIOR COURT
VS.	) NO. A-090435
JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,	) ) )
DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.	) ant 0 9 1987

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

**ROOM 800** 

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 39 OF 101 (PAGES 5632 TO 580/, INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C

HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF, )

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT
-TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 1987
VOLUME 39

PAGES 5622 TO 5801, INCL.

#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 MAIN STREET SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 1987	VOLUME 39	PAGES 5622	TO 580·1
2		A.M.		5622
3		P.M.		5663
4			, 	
5	PROC	EEDINGS		
6	MOTIONS			5622
7				
8	CHRONOLOGICAL	INDEX OF WIT	TNESSES	
9	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:		FΥΔΜΙ	NATION
10	KUHN, KURT		EXAMI	MATION
11	(BY MR. WAPNER) (BY MR. BARENS)		56 56	
12	BREILING, OSCAR A.		50	20
13	(BY MR. WAPNER) (BY MR. WAPNER - CONTIN	UED)	5 6 5 6	
14	(BY MR. BARENS) (BY MR. WAPNER)	,	5 6 5 7	93
15	(BY MR. BARENS) (BY MR. BARENS)		5 7 5 7	92
16			,	
17	EX	HIBITS		
18	COURT'S EXHIBITS:			OR FICATION
19				
20	2 COPY OF SEARCH WARRANT		5 (	647
21	3 GROUP OF SEVEN DOCUMENT "ACKNOWLEDGEMENT"	S, ENTITLED	5 (	5 5 2
22	4 RETURN OF SERVICE WARRAN	NT	5 (	589
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

, •

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 1987; 11:20 A.M. 1 2 DEPARTMENT WEST G HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 3 (ROSEMARIE GOODBODY AND SALLY YERGER, OFFICIAL REPORTERS.) 5 6 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF 8 9 THE JURY:) 10 THE COURT: STIPULATED THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT, COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. 11 12 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ARCE RULING, I WONDER IF YOU GENTLEMEN HAVE READ THE MOST RECENT CASE OF THE SUPREME 13 COURT OF CALIFORNIA, O'HARE V. THE SUPERIOR COURT. 14 MR. WAPNER: I AM SORRY, YOUR HONOR? 15 THE COURT: O'HARE V. THE SUPERIOR COURT. I WILL HAND 16 17 IT TO YOU. I WILL GIVE IT TO THE D.A. FIRST. 18 AND THE TEXT OF IT IS IN THE SUPPLEMENT AND YOU CAN READ IT AND THEN HAND IT TO COUNSEL AFTER YOU ARE FINISHED. 19 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THAT CAME OUT TODAY? MR. WAPNER: NO. LAST WEEK. THE COURT: THAT WAS ON THE 21ST. (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, CALL YOUR FIRST WITNESS. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I WILL BE HAPPY TO CALL THE 27 WITNESSES. JUST FOR THE RECORD, SINCE IT IS A DEFENSE MOTION. I THINK WE SHOULD BE CLEAR THAT THE BURDEN IS ON THE DEFENSE 28

TO GO FORWARD.

I UNDERSTAND, SINCE THE WITNESSES ARE HERE AND

I HAVE PRODUCED THEM, I WILL BE HAPPY TO CALL THEM BUT I JUST

WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTAND EACH OTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL BE UNDERSTOOD.

MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE WOULD HAVE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE ALL OTHER WITNESSES WHILE THE WITNESSES TESTIFY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. WAPNER: KURT KUHN.

1 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 2 AS FOLLOWS: 3 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. 5 THE WITNESS: KURT, K-U-R-T, MIDDLE INITIAL E, KUHN, 6 7 K-U-H-N. MR. BARENS: RESPECTFULLY, MR. JOHN VANCE IS SEATED 8 IN THE COURTROOM. HE IS THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WHO I 9 PLAN TO CALL. HE WOULD BE A WITNESS, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO CONFERENCE WITH 11 HIM IF YOU WANT TO HAVE HIM NEXT TO YOU. 12 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T NEED TO CONFER WITH MR. VANCE. 13 BUT I DON'T -- HE CERTAINLY IS NOT UNDER SUBPOENA BY THE 14 DEFENSE. I DIDN'T EVEN TELL MR. BARENS THAT HE WAS GOING 15 TO BE HERE. I DON'T KNOW --16 MR. BARENS: I ASK YOUR HONOR TO --17 THE COURT: WELL, SINCE HE IS COUNSEL IN THE MATTER, 18 YOU CAN'T EXCLUDE COUNSEL IN A MATTER PENDING UP THERE. 19 I WILL PERMIT HIM TO STAY. 20 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 THE COURT: YOU MAY STAY. MR. BARENS: JUST TO MAKE IT CLEAR, WE ASKED THE PEOPLE 23 24 TO PRODUCE EVERYONE WHO IS ON THAT LIST YESTERDAY THAT YOUR 25 HONOR HAD BEFORE HIM. MR. VANCE, OBVIOUSLY, IS ON THAT LIST. THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. HE IS THE ATTORNEY IN THE 26 27 CASE. OBVIOUSLY, HE HAS A RIGHT TO STAY.

28

KURT E. KUHN,

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WAPNER:
3	Q BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
4	A BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT, SENIOR
5	IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST FOR THE CRIME LAB.
6	Q IN THAT CAPACITY, DID YOU GO TO A HOUSE LOCATED
7	AT 10984 BELLAGIO ROAD, CITY OF LOS ANGELES, JANUARY 8, 1987?
8	A YES, SIR. I DID.
9	Q WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN GOING THERE?
10	A TO PROVIDE PHOTOGRAPHIC ASSISTANCE AND DOCUMENTATION
11	FOR A SEARCH WARRANT THAT WAS TO BE SERVED BY THE DEPARTMENT
12	OF JUSTICE.
13	Q BEFORE GOING THERE, WERE YOU GIVEN A COPY OF THE
14	SEARCH WARRANT?
15	A YES, SIR.
16	Q DID YOU READ IT?
17	A YES, SIR. I DID.
18	Q WERE YOU ASKED TO SIGN AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT
19	YOU HAD IN FACT, READ AND UNDERSTOOD THE SEARCH WARRANT?
20	A YES, SIR.
21	Q DID YOU DO THAT?
22	A YES, SIR. I DID.
23	Q AND WHEN YOU WENT TO THE RESIDENCE AT BELLAGIO
24	ROAD, DID YOU TAKE ANY PICTURES WHILE YOU WERE THERE?
25	A YES, SIR. I DID.
26	Q DID YOU READ ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS AT THE HOUSE?
27	A NO, SIR.
28	Q DID YOU EXAMINE ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS AT THE HOUSE?

1	Q YOU READ THE SEARCH WARRANT?
2	A YES, SIR.
3	Q WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND FROM THE SEARCH WARRANT
4	YOU WERE TO SEARCH FOR?
5	A PAPERS THAT WERE RELATED TO THE BBC AND SPECIFIC
6	TIME FRAMES '83 AND '84.
7	Q WHEN WERE YOU FIRST NOTIFIED AT ANY TIME WHATSOEVER
8	THAT YOU WOULD PARTICIPATE IN THE EXERCISE OF A WARRANT AT
9	THAT LOCATION?
10	A A COUPLE OF DAYS PRIOR TO THE SERVICE OF THE
11	SEARCH WARRANT. I BELIEVE IT WAS JANUARY 5TH.
12	IF I CAN REFER TO MY NOTES?
13	THE COURT: YES.
14	Q BY MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD, SIR.
15	A IT WAS THE 5TH OF JANUARY, OF 1987 THAT I WAS
16	ADVISED.
17	Q AND WHAT NOTES ARE YOU REFERRING TO, OFFICER?
18	A IT IS A FOLLOW-UP REPORT THAT I WROTE IN REGARD
19	TO MY PARTICULAR DOINGS AT THE SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT.
20	MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A
21	MOMENT TO SEE IF WE HAVE A COPY OF THE NOTICE HE IS REFERRING
22	TO, YOUR HONOR.
23	(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
24	AND MR. CHIER.)
25	MR. WAPNER: YES.
26	MR. BARENS: WHILE WE ARE LOOKING FOR THAT, I WILL
27	PROCEED, YOUR HONOR.

Q HOW WERE YOU FIRST CONTACTED, WHO SPOKE TO YOU

```
1
     IN REGARDS TO PURSUING THIS SEARCH?
 2
                 DETECTIVE LES ZOELLER OF OUR DEPARTMENT.
 3
                AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
 4
            A HE ASKED ME IF I COULD PROVIDE A COUPLE OF PEOPLE
 5
     FROM MY SECTION TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN A SEARCH
 6
     WARRANT TO BE SERVED LATER THAT WEEK.
 7
            Q AND DID HE TELL YOU HOW HE WAS INVOLVED IN THAT
 8
     CASE?
 9
                ALL I KNOW IS THAT HE WAS GOING ALONG WITH AGENT
10
     BREILING TO ASSIST.
11
            Q
                AND DID YOU KNOW WHO THE DEFENDANT WAS?
12
            А
                 YES, SIR, I DID.
13
                HOW DID YOU KNOW -- HAD YOU HAD PRIOR CONTACT
14
     WITH MR. HUNT?
15
                 WITH MR. HUNT, I DON'T BELIEVE SPECIFICALLY.
16
                 WITH ANOTHER CASE RELATING TO HIM, YES.
17
                 IT WOULD NOT BE THE CASE INVOLVING AN ALLEGED
18
    VICTIM BY THE NAME OF RON LEVIN?
19
            A YES, SIR.
20
            Q IT DID INVOLVE HIM?
21
           Α
                 YES, SIR.
22
                 YOU HAD PREVIOUSLY DONE PHOTOGRAPHIC WORK AT
23
    THE LEVIN RESIDENCE?
24
           А
                YES, SIR.
25
                AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETIME DURING THE
26
    SUMMER OF 1984?
27
           A YES, SIR.
28
            Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WAS
```

1	A INITIALLY, ALL I KNEW IS I WAS ASSISTING IN A
2	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SEARCH WARRANT.
3	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT.
4	DID YOU THINK IT HAD TO DO WITH THE FURTHER
5	INVSTIGATION OF THE DISAPPEARANCE OF RON LEVIN?
6	A I DON'T THINK I EVER REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT IT,
7	TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH.
8	Q YOU SAY INITIALLY IT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION THAT
9	YOU WERE ASSISTING THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; DID YOUR
10	IMPRESSION CHANGE?
11	A NO, SIR.
12	Q SO THE WORD "INITIALLY" DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING
13	AT THIS MOMENT?
14	A NO.
15	Q ALL RIGHT. WERE YOU BRIEFED PRIOR TO GOING TO
16	THE RESIDENCE?
17	A YES, SIR.
18	Q AND WHO BRIEFED YOU?
19	A SPECIAL AGENT BREILING.
20	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST SPEAK WITH HIM?
21	A THE MORNING OF THE SEARCH WARRANT, WHICH WAS
22	THE 8TH AND IT WAS PROBABLY ABOUT 8:30 IN THE MORNING.
23	Q NOW, WHAT DID MR. BREILING TELL YOU?
24	A ALL PEOPLE WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE SEARCH
25	WARRANT WERE JUST TOLD THE ITEMS THAT WERE TO BE SEARCHED
26	FOR AND HE INDICATED WHAT EACH AND EVERYONE'S RESPONSIBILITY
27	DURING THE SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT WOULD BE.
28	: Q WHAT ITEMS WERE YOU TOLD? WHAT DID YOU HEAR

HIM SAY BY WAY OF ITEMS?

A DOCUMENTS, PAPER WORK RELATING TO BBC AND ALSO ANY PAPERS, DOCUMENTS THAT WERE DATED 1983 AND 1984.

1	Q WERE YOU GIVEN ANY OTHER ADMONITION?
2	A NOT THAT I RECALL.
3	Q HOW WERE YOU TO RECOGNIZE SUCH DOCUMENTS AS
4	RELATING TO THE BBC?
5	A I SUPPOSE BY EXAMINING THEM.
6	Q SO, YOU WOULD HAVE TO EXAMINE THE GENERAL CORPUS
7	OF WHATEVER DOCUMENTS WERE THERE AND THEN DEDUCE FROM THEM,
8	WHICH YOU DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE AND WHICH YOU DID NOT
. 9	DETERMINE TO BE APPROPRIATE?
10	A I WOULD GUESS SO. BUT MY PART IN THIS WAS NOT
11	TO DO ANY SEARCHING.
12	Q ALL RIGHT. I AM JUST AGAIN, TRYING TO FIND OUT
13	WHAT WAS HAPPENING.
14	AT THAT BRIEFING SESSION THAT YOU MAKE REFERENCE
15	TO, WERE YOU THE ONLY ONE MR. BREILING WAS ADDRESSING OR WAS
16	THERE SOMEONE ELSE PRESENT BEING ADDRESSED IN CONJUNCTION
17	WITH YOURSELF?
18	A IT WAS THE ENTIRE GROUP THAT WERE GOING ON THE
19	SEARCH WARRANT.
20	Q WHO AT THAT POINT WHERE DID THIS BRIEFING TAKE
21	PLACE?
22	A THE CONFERENCE ROOM IN THE BASEMENT OF THE BEVERLY
23	HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT.
24	Q AND AT THAT CONFERENCE, WHO WAS PRESENT, ASIDE
25	FROM YOURSELF AND MR. BREILING?
26	A SPECIAL AGENT TULLENERS.
27	Q SORRY?
28	A SPECIAL AGENT TULLENERS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF

```
JUSTICE. LET'S SEE. THERE WAS DETECTIVE LES ZOELLER FROM
 1
     OUR DEPARTMENT, DETECTIVE ROZZI, DETECTIVE ITO AND ANOTHER
 2
     DETECTIVE FROM LAPD.
                DO YOU KNOW WHO THAT WAS, SIR?
 5
                 A SPANISH SURNAME IS THE BEST I CAN DO, OFF THE
     TOP OF MY HEAD.
 6
 7
                IS IT DIAZ, BY ANY CHANCE?
           Q
                YES. THAT SOUNDS FAMILIAR.
 8
           Α
           Q
                 ANYONE ELSE THERE, SIR?
 9
           Α
                 JOHN VANCE.
10
                 ALL RIGHT. IN THAT BRIEFING SESSION, WAS
11
12
     MR. BREILING THE ONLY ONE WHO PROVIDED YOU WITH BRIEFING?
13
           А
                 YES, SIR.
14
           Q
                 DID MR. VANCE?
15
                 MR. VANCE MAY HAVE MADE A COMMENT OR TWO. I DON'T
16
     REMEMBER WHAT HIS DIRECT COMMENTS WERE.
17
                 WAS THIS RECORDED BY CHANCE, SIR?
18
           Α
                 NO, SIR, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
19
           Q
                 WAS ANYONE TAKING ANY NOTES?
20
                 (MR. VANCE EXITS THE COURTROOM.)
           THE COURT: THE RECORD WILL INDICATE MR. VANCE HAS LEFT
21
     THE COURTROOM.
22
23
           MR. VANCE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR MAY BETTER
24
     APPRECIATE THE POINT THAT I WAS MAKING, BASED ON THIS
25
     WITNESS'S TESTIMONY.
26
           Q BY MR. BARENS: IN ANY EVENT, WAS ANYONE TAKING
27
     NOTES THAT YOU SAW?
```

THEY COULD HAVE BEEN, BUT NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

1	A SORRY. HE WAS ALSO PRESENT AT THE BRIEFING.
2	Q YOU DID NOT MENTION HIM EARLIER. SO YOU AND
3	MR. FOGG WENT TO THE ADDRESS?
4	A YES, SIR.
5	Q AND WERE YOU THE FIRST ONES TO ARRIVE?
6	A NO, SIR.
7	Q WHO WAS THERE WHEN YOU ARRIVED?
8	A WE WERE THE LAST TO ARRIVE.
9	Q OKAY. WOULD I BE CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT EVERYONE
10	WHOSE NAME YOU MENTIONED EARLIER WAS ALREADY THERE WHEN YOU
11	GOT THERE?
12	A YES, SIR.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Q WHEN YOU FIRST GOT THERE, HOW WERE YOU ADMITTED? 1 Α ADMITTED TO THE PROPERTY OR ADMITTED TO THE HOUSE? 2 Q THE HOUSE, SIR. 3 THERE WAS A MAID THAT ANSWERED THE DOOR TO THE MAIN HOUSE AND AGENT BREILING IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AND SHE 5 ADMITTED US. 6 7 Q ALL RIGHT. I PRESUME BREILING WAS ALREADY THERE. WAS HE NOT, BEFORE YOU GOT THERE? 8 Α YES, SIR. 9 10 YOUR STATEMENT WAS THAT AGENT BREILING IDENTIFIED HIMSELF. I DON'T THINK HE WAS -- WHAT YOU MEANT TO SAY WAS 11 THAT YOU MEAN THAT HE IDENTIFIED WHO YOU WERE? 12 Α NO. HE IDENTIFIED HIMSELF. 13 ALL RIGHT. AND DID SOMEONE AT THAT TIME DIRECT 14 Q YOU TO GO SOMEPLACE? 15 16 A IN A SENSE. I HAD ALREADY BEEN DIRECTED AS TO MY DUTIES AT THE BRIEFING. 17 18 WELL, BUT DID YOU HAVE AN ASSIGNMENT TO GO TO 19 A CERTAIN ROOM OR SERIES OF ROOMS OR WHAT WERE YOU ASSIGNED 20 TO DO? 21 A MY ASSIGNMENT WAS PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE ENTIRE HOUSE PRIOR TO THE SEARCH WARRANT AND AFTER THE SEARCH WARRANT AND 22 23 PHOTOGRAPHY OF ANY ITEMS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN SEIZED DURING 24 THE WARRANT. 25 Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT WAS THE FIRST THING YOU DID WHEN 26 YOU GOT THERE? 27 A STARTED TAKING PICTURES.

Q I MEAN, DID YOU TAKE PICTURES OF THE ROOMS FIRST

```
IN A PANORAMIC VIEWPOINT OR DID YOU START PHOTOGRAPHING
 1
     DOCUMENTS? WHAT IS THE FIRST THING YOU ENGAGED IN?
 2
           A I TOOK A PICTURE OF THE OUTSIDE OF THE DOOR WE
 3
     ENTERED. I STARTED IN THE KITCHEN, WHICH WAS THE FIRST ROOM
 4
     THAT I HAD COME INTO AND I STARTED TAKING FOUR-CORNER
 5
     PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE VARIOUS ROOMS IN THE HOUSE.
 6
                OKAY. LATER ON, I PRESUME THAT YOU STOPPED TAKING
 7
     PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE ROOMS, I WILL CALL IT PANORAMICALLY AND
 8
     STARTED TAKING PICTURES OF DOCUMENTS?
 9
           A SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS, NO. GROUPS OF DOCUMENTS,
10
     YES.
11
             OKAY. I WANT TO TRY TO UNDERSTAND HOW THAT WAS
12
           Q
     DONE.
13
                 WHEN YOU DID YOUR FIRST PICTURE OF DOCUMENTS,
14
     WHO CALLED YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT THE DOCUMENTS WERE
15
     AVAILABLE NOW FOR PHOTOGRAPHING?
16
17
                 SPECIAL AGENT BREILING.
18
           Q
                 WHAT WAS SAID TO YOU?
                 THIS GROUP OF ITEMS NEEDS TO BE PHOTOGRAPHED.
19
20
                 AND WHERE IN FACT WITHIN THE RESIDENCE, WERE YOU
    AT THAT MOMENT IN TIME?
21
22
          А
                 THE FIRST ITEM?
           Q
23
                 YES, SIR.
24
                 I DON'T HAVE THE FAINTEST IDEA.
25
                 WAS THERE WHAT I MIGHT CALL A STAGING AREA WHERE
    THE DOCUMENTS WERE ASSEMBLED IN A PACKAGED OR UNPACKAGED FORM,
26
27
    WHERE THEY COULD ALL BE SOMEWHAT IN A GROUP AND YOU COULD
```

THEN START TAKING PHOTOGRAPHS?

FO

IN THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS.

Α

REPORT MADE BY YOU; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A SERIES OF PAGES
WITH A TOP CAPTION "PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG."
THE COURT: DO YOU SEE THAT?
THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
THE COURT: THEY WERE MADE BY YOU, WEREN'T THEY?
THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, THEY WERE.
THE COURT: AND THAT CONSISTS OF ALL PHOTOGRAPHS THAT
WERE TAKEN AND YOUR IDENTIFICATION; IS THAT CORRECT?
THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

```
1
                  BY MR. BARENS: THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP POLICE REPORT,
            Q
 2
     IS IT NOT, SIR?
 3
       A THERE IS A FOLLOW-UP POLICE REPORT ATTACHED TO
 4
     THESE, YES SIR.
 5
                  AND THIS IS A PART OF IT?
            Q
 6
            А
                  YES SIR, IT IS.
 7
            Q
                  THIS ISN'T A RETURN ON A WARRANT, IS IT?
 8
                  THIS IS A POLICE REPORT, ISN'T IT?
 9
                  IT IS A FOLLOW-UP THAT I WROTE IN REGARDS TO
            Α
10
     WHAT I DID DURING THE SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT.
11
                  ALL RIGHT, SIR, ON THE ROLLS OF FILM THAT YOU
12
     UTILIZED, HOW MANY FRAMES WERE THERE PER ROLL?
13
            Α
                  THIRTY-SIX.
14
            Q
              ON EACH OF THE ROLLS?
15
            Α
                 YES, SIR.
16
                  DID YOU HEAR ANY DISCUSSION BY ANY PERSONS PRESENT
17
     DURING THIS ACTIVITY AS TO WHAT THINGS SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT
18
     BE PHOTOGRAPHED?
19
                 CAN YOU BE A LITTLE MORE SPECIFIC?
20
                 DID YOU EVER HEAR ANY PERSONS DISCUSSING WHETHER
21
     IT WAS PROPER AND CORRECT TO PHOTOGRAPH CERTAIN THINGS AS
22
     OPPOSED TO OTHERS OR THAT PERHAPS CERTAIN THINGS SHOULD NOT
23
     BE PHOTOGRAPHED?
24
            A I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
25
            Q
                 DID YOU EVER HEAR ANYONE SAY "PHOTOGRAPH THIS"?
26
            Α
                NO, SIR.
27
                 YOU WERE NEVER AT ANY TIME TOLD THAT THERE WAS
            0
28
     ANYTHING THAT YOU SHOULD NOT PHOTOGRAPH?
```

```
1
            A NO, SIR.
 2
            THE COURT: WHAT YOU PHOTOGRAPHED WAS GIVEN TO YOU
 3
     TO PHOTOGRAPH, IS THAT WHAT YOU DID?
 4
            THE WITNESS: THE SPECIFIC ITEMS THAT I PHOTOGRAPHED,
 5
     YES.
 6
                  EVERYTHING ELSE I PHOTOGRAPHED WAS FOR DOCUMENT-
 7
     ATION OF THE ENTIRE HOUSE.
 8
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 9
                BY MR. BARENS: AND YOU ARRIVED AT WHAT TIME,
10
     SIR?
11
               IT WAS ABOUT 10:20, 10:30 IN THE MORNING.
12
            Q
                 AND YOU LEFT AT WHAT TIME, SIR?
13
               I BELIEVE IT WAS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 1:00
14
     O'CLOCK.
15
                 IF YOU KNOW, WERE YOU THE FIRST OR LAST TO LEAVE?
16
     YOU WERE THE LAST TO ARRIVE. WERE YOU THE FIRST TO LEAVE?
17
           A EVERYONE LEFT AS A GROUP SO --
18
                DID YOU HAVE ANY ACTIVITY INVOLVING THE PHOTOGRAPHING
19
    OF A SINGLE DOCUMENT AS OPPOSED TO DOCUMENTS THAT WERE SOMEWHAT
20
     IN A GROUPING?
21
           A IN A SENSE, YES.
22
                 ONE OF THE ITEMS I PHOTOGRAPHED WAS A SINGLE
23
    PIECE OF PAPER.
24
           Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THAT CONSISTED OF?
25
                 IT WAS A YELLOW -- A YELLOW TYPE, RULED PIECE
           Α
26
    OF NOTEBOOK PAPER.
27
                AND DID IT HAVE A 1983 OR 1984 DATE ON IT?
           Q
28
                NO, IT DID NOT.
```

1 Q DID IT HAVE A 1987 DATE ON IT? 2 THE ONLY ONE THAT I FOUND OUT THAT HAD THAT DATE 3 WAS DURING MR. CHIER'S DISCUSSION WITH SPECIAL AGENT BREILING. SO THEN YOU DID HEAR SOMEONE HAVING A DISCUSSION 5 THAT SOMETHING SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN PHOTOGRAPHED? 6 NO SIR, IT WASN'T ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER 7 OR NOT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN PHOTOGRAPHED. 8 THE DISCUSSION WAS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THAT 9 ITEM SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN. 10 WELL, IT HAD ALREADY BEEN PHOTOGRAPHED? 11 YES SIR, IT HAD. 12 AND THEREAFTER, YOU HEARD SOME CONVERSATION ABOUT 13 THE PROPRIETY OF A 1987 DOCUMENT BEING SEIZED? 14 A YES, SIR. 15 DID YOU FEEL THAT THE PHOTOGRAPH YOU HAD TAKEN 16 OF THAT 1987 DOCUMENT WAS APPROPRIATE IN LIGHT OF THE SEARCH 17 WARRANT AS YOU WERE FAMILIAR WITH IT? 18 A I DID NOT FEEL IT WAS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE 19 THAT DETERMINATION. 20 I HAD TAKEN THE PHOTOGRAPH AS REQUESTED BY 21 SPECIAL AGENT BREILING. 22 Q HAVE YOU SEEN THE PHOTOS SINCE THEY WERE 23 DEVELOPED? 24 A YES SIR, I HAVE. 25 Q AND WHO ELSE HAS LOOKED AT THEM, SIR, DO YOU 26 KNOW? 27 THE GENTLEMEN THAT ACCOMPANIED ME, I.D. TECHNICIAN 28 FOGG, DETECTIVE ZOELLER HAS SEEN THEM AND SPECIAL AGENT BREILING

AND WHOEVER ELSE HE HAS SHOWN THEM TO. Q ALL RIGHT. WHEN WERE YOU FIRST TOLD TO BE HERE TODAY? A YESTERDAY, MID-DAY WHEN I RETURNED FROM A MEETING. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. NOTHING FURTHER. MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY STEP DOWN. THIS WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED? 10. 

1 MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO OBJECTION. 2 MR. BARENS: NO OBJECTION. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 4 MR. WAPNER: OSCAR BREILING. 5 YOUR HONOR, WHILE HE IS COMING IN, I HAVE A COPY 6 OF THE SEARCH WARRANT THAT WAS ISSUED; MAY THAT BE MARKED 7 AS COURT EXHIBIT 2? 8 THE COURT: IT WILL BE SO MARKED. 9 10 OSCAR A. BREILING, 11 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE. WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 12 AS FOLLOWS: 13 THE CLERK: WOULD YOU RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, PLEASE? 14 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU 15 MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL 16 BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH. 17 SO HELP YOU GOD. 18 THE WITNESS: I DO. 19 THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD BE SEATED THERE AT THE 20 WITNESS STAND, PLEASE. 21 STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. 22 THE WITNESS: OSCAR, O-S-C-A-R, MIDDLE INITIAL A, LAST 23 NAME BREILING, B, AS IN BOY R-E-I-L-I-N-G. 24 25 EXAMINATION, 26 BY MR. WAPNER: 27 MR. BREILING, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? Q 28

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

1	Q IN WHAT CAPACITY?
2	A SPECIAL AGENT.
3	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED AS A SPECIAL AGENT FOR
4	THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE?
5	A SINCE 1974.
6	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED IN LAW ENFORCEMENT
7	GENERALLY?
8	A TWENTY-FOUR, ALMOST TWENTY-FIVE YEARS.
9	Q ARE YOU THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER OR SPECIAL
10	AGENT IN THE CASE OF PEOPLE V. HUNT, ESLAMINIA, DOSTI AND
11	PITTMAN IN SAN MATEO COUNTY?
12	A YES, I AM.
13	Q IN CONNECTION WITH THAT CASE, DID YOU ~-
14	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR
15	THE COURT: PARDON ME?
16	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE WOULD LIKE TO
17	APPROACH BRIEFLY.
18	THE COURT: YES, SURELY.
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

27

28

## (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

### AT THE BENCH:)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, AS I ANTICIPATE THE TESTIMONY IS GOING TO THE ESLAMINIA MATTER WHICH OBVIOUSLY HAS IMPLICATIONS FOR MY CLIENT, I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THAT ANY PORTIONS OF THIS TESTIMONY INVOLVING MY CLIENT IN THE ESLAMINIA MATTER, BE SEALED.

MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS THE POINT?

THE COURT: I DON'T SEE WHAT THE POINT IS.

MR. WAPNER: IT IS AN OPEN HEARING.

MR. BARENS: I REALIZE IT IS AN OPEN HEARING. BUT I DON'T FEEL THAT THE PRESS SHOULD BE GIVEN IN LATER SEARCHES OF THIS FILE, COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS INVOLVING TESTIMONY THAT COULD COME IN AT A TRIAL INVOLVING MY CLIENT LATER ON, WITH THIS TRIAL ABOUT TO TAKE PLACE.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, HIS TESTIMONY --

MR. BARENS: HIS TESTIMONY WILL NECESSARILY INVOLVE HIM MAKING COMMENTS ON THIS CASE.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. BARENS: HE IS GOING TO MAKE COMMENTS THAT ARE NEGATIVE.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT IS NOT ADMISSIBLE IN THE OTHER TRIAL AGAINST YOUR CLIENT. HOW COULD IT BE?

HE WOULD HAVE TO TESTIFY THERE IN ORDER FOR IT TO BE ADMISSIBLE.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR WILL NOT -- WELL SUPPOSING HE SAYS YOUR HONOR, THAT MY CLIENT IS GUILTY OF COMMITTING A MURDER IN --

THE COURT: I WILL STRIKE IT FROM THE RECORD. 1 MR. BARENS: WELL, STRIKING IT DOESN'T HELP ME IF THE 2 PRESS CAN LATER ON GET IT. IT IS STRICKEN, BUT IT IS THERE. 3 MR. WAPNER: WAIT A SECOND. WE ARE IN A PUBLIC HEARING. 4 MEMBERS OF THE PRESS ARE HERE TAKING NOTES. 5 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF --6 MR. BARENS: I HAVE MADE A RECORD. 7 8 THE COURT: YOU MADE YOUR RECORD. WHEREVER IT IS APPROPRIATE, I WILL MAKE A RULING. 9 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 11 IN OPEN COURT:) 12 BY MR. WAPNER: AGENT BREILING, IN CONNECTION 13 Q WITH THE CASE ON WHICH YOU ARE THE SPECIAL AGENT, DID YOU 14 OBTAIN THE SEARCH WARRANT? 15 Α 16 YES. SHOWING YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS COURT'S 17 EXHIBIT 2, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? 18 А YES I DO. 19 20 Q AND WHAT IS THAT? 21 THE FIRST DOCUMENT IN ORDER IS THE AFFIDAVIT FOR Α SEARCH WARRANT SIGNED BY ME. 22 23 THE SECOND DOCUMENT IN ORDER IS A STATEMENT OF 24 PROBABLE CAUSE SIGNED BY ME. 25 THE LAST DOCUMENT IN ORDER IS THE SEARCH WARRANT SIGNED BY JUDGE V. GENE MC DONALD. 26 27 Q WHEN WAS THE WARRANT SIGNED BY JUDGE MC DONALD?

THE DATE HERE IS THE 6TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1987.

Q AND IN CONNECTION WITH SERVING THAT WARRANT, DID 1 YOU HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL JOHN VANCE, 2 WHO WAS THE PROSECUTOR -- PROSECUTING THE HUNT, ET AL. TRIAL IN SAN MATEO COUNTY? Α YES I DID. 5 DID YOU TALK WITH ANYONE ELSE IN THE ATTORNEY 6 GENERAL'S OFFICE? 7 A YES I DID. 8 9 WHO DID YOU TALK TO? DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL RONALD BASS, B-A-S-S, 10 AND SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JOHN GORDINER. 11 12 G-0-R-D-I-N-E-R. Q ANYONE ELSE? 13 I WAS ADVISED THAT THE CHIEF CRIMINAL DEPUTY FOR 14 THE ENTIRE STATE HAD REVIEWED IT. 15 16 0 WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF TALKING WITH ALL OF THESE PEOPLE ABOUT IT? 17 I WANTED TO MAKE SURE IT WAS BEING DONE PROPERLY. 18 19 AND DID YOU -- WERE YOU IN PART, RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING UP THE STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND THE WARRANT? 20 21 А I WAS ENTIRELY RESPONSIBLE. 22 DID YOU INCLUDE IN THE STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE. INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA ABOUT THE 23 24 FACT THAT THERE WAS A PENDING CASE ACTUALLY IN JURY SELECTION IN LOS ANGELES? 25 26 A YES I DID. 27 AND THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS FOR A LOCATION IN

28

LOS ANGELES, IS THAT CORRECT?

1	A YES IT WAS.
2	Q ON WHAT DATE WAS THE SEARCH CONDUCTED?
3	A I BELIEVE IT WAS JANUARY THE 8TH, 1987.
4	
5	The service of the se
6	A BRIEFING OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE GOING ALONG WITH YOU TO
7	CONDUCT THE SEARCH?
8	A YES I DID.
	Q WHO CONDUCTED THAT BRIEFING?
9	A I DID.
10	Q WHERE WAS IT CONDUCTED?
11	A THE OFFICES OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT.
12	Q AND IN CONDUCTING THAT BRIEFING, DID YOU PROVIDE
13	EVERYONE PRESENT WITH A COPY OF THE SEARCH WARRANT?
14	A YES I DID.
15	Q DID YOU ASK THEM TO READ IT?
16	A YES I DID.
17	Q AND AFTER THEY READ IT, DID YOU ASK THEM, THE
18	PEOPLE PRESENT THERE, TO SIGN SOMETHING?
19	A YES I DID.
20	Q WHAT WAS THAT?
21	A AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT THEY HAD READ NOT ONLY
22	THE SEARCH WARRANT BUT MY AFFIDAVIT AND MY STATEMENT OF PROBABLE
23	CAUSE AND THAT THEY UNDERSTOOD THE SCOPE OF THE SEARCH THAT
24	WAS BEING AUTHORIZED BY THAT WARRANT.
25	Q SHOWING YOU A GROUP OF DOCUMENTS THAT I HAVE
26	COLLECTIVELY MARKED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 3 I WOULD ASK THAT
27	THEY BE MARKED COURT'S EXHIBIT 3, PLEASE, YOUR HONOR.
28	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
ĺ	

MR. WAPNER: A GROUP OF SEVEN DOCUMENTS, ALL LABELED 1 AT THE TOP, "ACKNOWLEDGEMENT" BEARING A DATE OF JANUARY 8, 2 BUT EACH ONE SIGNED BY A DIFFERENT PERSON. 3 AGENT BREILING, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE DOCUMENTS? Q 4 5 YES I DO. Q WHAT ARE THEY? 6 THIS IS THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT I PREPARED. THE 7 FIRST ONE IS SIGNED BY ANTONIO DIAZ. THE SECOND ONE IS SIGNED 8 BY RONALD J. ITO, I-T-O. 9 THE THIRD ONE IS ROBERT ROZZI, R-O-Z-Z-I. 10 THE NEXT ONE IS SIGNED BY L. H. ZOELLER. 11 THE NEXT ONE IS CLARK W. FOGG, F-O-G-G. 12 THE NEXT ONE IS SIGNED BY KURT KUHN, K-U-H-N. 13 THE LAST ONE IS SIGNED BY PAUL J. TULLENERS, 14 15 T-U-L-L-E-N-E-R-S. Q WERE THOSE ALL SIGNED IN YOUR PRESENCE? 16 Α YES THEY WERE. 17 AND DID YOU EXPLAIN TO THE PEOPLE PRESENT WHAT 18 IT WAS THAT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR IN SERVING THAT WARRANT? 19 Α 20 YES I DID. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Q WHAT WAS THAT? 1 EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE KIDNAP AND MURDER OF 2 HADAYET ESLAMINIA. THAT'S H-A-D-A-Y-E-T, E-S-L-A-M-I-N-I-A. AND AFTER HAVING THAT BRIEFING, DID YOU GO TO 4 10984 BELLAGIO ROAD? 5 Α YES WE DID. 6 7 WHO DID YOU GO THERE WITH? A WITH DETECTIVE ZOELLER FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS 8 POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE MENTIONED IN THE 9 READING OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU GAVE ME AND PLUS SOME 10 UNIFORMED PERSONNEL. 11 WHEN YOU GOT TO THE LOCATION, WHAT DID YOU DO? 12 Q I PERSONALLY WENT TO THE BACK DOOR OF THE 13 RESIDENCE, WHICH AS I RECALL, WAS THE KITCHEN. 14 AND I KNOCKED UNTIL SOMEONE CAME TO RESPOND TO 15 16 MY KNOCKING. DO YOU KNOW WHO IT WAS THAT RESPONDED? 17 18 IT WAS A WOMAN WHO IDENTIFIED HERSELF TO ME AS A PART-TIME DOMESTIC EMPLOYEE OF THE ROBERTS FAMILY. I BELIEVE 19 THAT HER NAME WAS MULLIN, M-U-L-I-N. I THINK HER FIRST 20 NAME WAS HATTIE. 21 22 WHEN SHE RESPONDED TO THE DOOR, WHAT DID YOU DO? 23 I HAD ON MY JACKET, MY CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF 24 JUSTICE SPECIAL AGENT BADGE SO THAT THAT WAS READILY VISIBLE. 25 BUT I DISPLAYED TO HER MY FOLD-OUT CREDENTIALS. 26 I IDENTIFIED MYSELF AS A PEACE OFFICER. I SAID 27 THAT WE HAD A WARRANT AUTHORIZING ME TO SEARCH THAT

28

RESIDENCE.

1	Q WHAT DID SHE DO?
2	A I ASKED FIRST BEFORE SHE DID ANYTHING I ASKED
3	IF THERE WAS ANYBODY ELSE IN THE RESIDENCE AT THAT TIME AND
4	SHE SAID BOTH MR. AND MRS. ROBERTS WERE THERE.
5	Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER SHE SAID THAT?
6	A SHE CALLED THEM ON SOME SORT OF INTERIOR TELEPHONE
7	SYSTEM.
8	Q DID EITHER OF THEM RESPOND TO THE DOOR?
9	A YES, SIR. MR. ROBERTS DID.
10	Q HAD YOU EVER MET MR. ROBERTS BEFORE?
11	A YES I HAD.
12	Q WHEN MR. ROBERTS CAME TO THE DOOR, WHAT HAPPENED?
13	A I WAS IN THE KITCHEN. I STEPPED INSIDE THE DOOR
14	AS MS. MULLIN WAS MAKING THE PHONE CALL. SO I WAS ALREADY
15	INSIDE THE BUILDING.
16	AND HE CAME TOWARD ME, IDENTIFIED ME BY NAME AND
17	EXTENDED HIS HAND. I SHOOK HANDS WITH HIM.
18	THE COURT: HAD YOU MET HIM BEFORE?
19	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I HAVE.
20	Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND AFTER YOU SHOOK HANDS WITH
21	HIM, WHAT DID YOU DO?
22	A I IDENTIFIED MYSELF TO HIM BY AGAIN SHOWING HIM
23	MY CREDENTIALS.
24	I STATED THAT I HAD A WARRANT AUTHORIZING ME TO
25	SEARCH THAT RESIDENCE. AND THEN HE RESPONDED.
26	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
27	A I DON'T RECALL HIS EXACT WORDS. BUT THEY WERE
28	TO THE EFFECT OF, "WE HAVE BEEN EXPECTING YOU. YOU AREN'T

```
GOING TO FIND WHAT YOU ARE LOOKING FOR IN THIS HOUSE."
 1
           MR. BARENS: I OBJECT TO THAT AS A CONCLUSION. I MOVE
 2
     TO STRIKE IT.
 3
           THE COURT: DENIED. I WILL LET IT STAND.
           MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 5
 6
                AFTER THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
                I SHOWED HIM THE ORIGINAL SEARCH WARRANT. I SHOWED
 7
     HIM THE SIGNATURE OF THE ISSUING JUDGE. AND THEN I GAVE
 8
     HIM AN EXACT COPY OF IT FOR HIS OWN RECORDS.
 9
10
                AFTER DOING THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
                FOLLOWED MR. ROBERTS. I PERSONALLY, ALONG WITH
11
     A UNIFORMED OFFICER, FOLLOWED HIM TO AN UPSTAIRS ROOM THAT
12
     HE IDENTIFIED AS THE BEDROOM THAT HE SHARED WITH HIS WIFE.
13
                 HE SAID THAT SHE WAS STILL UPSTAIRS AND HE WANTED
14
     TO NOTIFY HER OF OUR PRESENCE AND INTENT.
15
16
           0
                AND AFTER DOING THAT, WHAT HAPPENED?
               MR. ROBERTS WENT INTO THE BEDROOM. I REMAINED
17
     AT THE DOOR WITH THE UNIFORMED OFFICER. IT WAS COMPLETELY
18
19
     DARK, SO I COULDN'T DESCRIBE IT TO YOU.
20
                 BUT HE DID GO TO THE LEFT AND THEN HE DISAPPEARED
     IN AN OPENING TO THE LEFT OF ME. I ASSUMED IT WAS A BATHROOM.
21
22
               ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU WAIT THERE UNTIL HE
           Q
23
     RETURNED?
                 YES.
24
           Α
25
           Q
                WHAT HAPPENED WHEN HE CAME BACK?
26
               HE TOLD ME THAT HIS WIFE WAS IN THE BATHROOM AND
27
     HE HAD NOTIFIED HER.
```

I JUST ASKED THAT AFTER SHE WAS DECENT, THAT SHE

1	COME DOWNSTAIRS.								
2	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO THEN?							
3	А	I RETURNED DOWNSTAIRS.							
4	Q	AND DID YOU EVENTUALLY MAKE A CHECK OF THE							
5	RESIDENCE	TO SEE IF THERE WERE ANY OTHER PEOPLE THERE?							
6	А	YES I DID.							
7	Q	WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THAT?							
8	A	SECURITY PURPOSES, PRIMARILY.							
9	Q	AFTER THAT WAS DONE, WHAT DID YOU DO?							
10	А	THE ASSIGNMENTS WERE MADE TO TAKE PHOTOGRAPHS							
11	OF THE ENT	IRE PREMISES PRIOR TO ANY SEARCH BEING INITIATED.							
12	Q	AND THAT WAS DONE BY?							
13	А	MR. KUHN.							
14	Q	MR. KUHN OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?							
15	А	THAT'S CORRECT.							
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									

1 ·	Q AFTER THAT, WHAT HAPPENED?									
2	A I MADE ASSIGNMENTS AS FAR AS THE SEARCH CONCERNED.									
3	Q WHO MADE THE ASSIGNMENTS?									
4	A I DID.									
5	Q WAS THERE A SEPARATE OUTBUILDING ON THIS RESIDENCE									
6	THAT WAS SEARCHED?									
7	A YES, THERE WAS.									
8	Q WHO DID YOU ASSIGN TO SEARCH THAT PORTION?									
9	A 'IT WAS DETECTIVE ZOELLER WAS IN CHARGE OF CHECKING									
10	THAT.									
11	I BELIEVE DETECTIVE DIAZ FROM THE LOS ANGELES									
12	POLICE DEPARTMENT, DETECTIVE ITO OF THE LOS ANGELES POLICE									
13	DEPARTMENT AND I.D. TECHNICIAN FOGG OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE									
14	DEPARTMENT WENT TO THAT LOCATION.									
15	Q WHO STAYED IN THE MAIN RESIDENCE?									
16	A AGENT TULLENERS, DETECTIVE SERGEANT ROZZI AND									
17	MYSELF.									
18	Q WHERE IN THE MAIN RESIDENCE DID YOU GO?									
19	A INITIALLY, ALONG WITH MR. ROBERTS, I MADE A TOUR									
20	OF THE DOWNSTAIRS FACILITY CHECKING EACH ONE OF THE ROOMS									
21	AND WENT TO THE BASEMENT.									
22	Q DID YOU OBSERVE ANY PAPERS OR DOCUMENTS IN THE									
23	DOWNSTAIRS AREA, NOT INCLUDING THE BASEMENT?									
24	A YES, I DID.									
25	Q WHAT DID YOU SEE DOWN THERE?									
26	A IN A LITTLE ROOM THAT LOOKED LIKE IT PROBABLY									
27	WAS INITIALLY BUILT AS A BAR, MR. ROBERTS IDENTIFIED THAT									
28	FACILITY AS ONE OF BEING USED FOR AN OFFICE AND ON THE LITTLE									

1	BAR STRUCTURE WERE NUMEROUS DOCUMENTS AND PAPERS.
2	Q DID YOU INQUIRE OF MR. ROBERTS ABOUT THOSE PAPERS?
3	A I DIDN'T HAVE TO.
4	HE SAID, "THESE ARE ALL MINE."
5	Q WHEN HE SAID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
6	A I LEFT THE ROOM.
7	Q DID YOU BASICALLY TAKE HIS WORD FOR IT?
8	A I TOOK HIS WORD FOR IT. I DIDN'T SEARCH THAT
9	ROOM.
10	. Q AND YOU DIDN'T SEIZE ANYTHING FROM THAT ROOM?
11	A I DID NOT SEIZE ANYTHING FROM THAT ROOM.
12	Q AND OTHER THAN IN THAT ROOM WERE THERE ANY
13	DOCUMENTS THAT YOU SAW ON THE MAIN DOWNSTAIRS FLOOR?
14	A NOT OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE.
15	I WENT INTO THE LIBRARY, HE LED ME FROM ROOM
16	TO ROOM, AS I RECALL, AND I THINK I SAW SOME PAPERS THERE
17	BUT I WOULDN'T CONSIDER IT A LARGE VOLUME OF PAPER IT
18	WASN'T SIGNIFICANT OTHER THAN THE BOOKS THEMSELVES.
19	Q WERE THEY IN ANY WAY RELATED TO ITEMS IN THE
20	WARRANT?
21	A NO, THEY WERE NOT.
22	Q DID YOU SEIZE ANY OF THESE ITEMS?
23	A NO, I DID NOT.
24	Q DID YOU GO INTO THE BASEMENT?
25	A YES, I DID.
26	Q WAS THERE AN ITEM DOWN THERE THAT YOU EXAMINED?
27	A THERE WERE TWO AREAS DOWN THERE THAT WERE
28	EXAMINED.

1 Q ON THE WAY DOWN TO THE BASEMENT, I ASKED MR. 2 ROBERTS IF HE HAD A SAFE AND HE SAID YES, THAT WAS IN THE 3 BASEMENT. 4 DID YOU GO INTO THE BASEMENT TO EXAMINE THE SAFE? Q 5 Α WITH MR. ROBERTS. YES. 6 0 WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU DID THAT? 7 HE SAID THAT IT WAS A SMALL SAFE, IT WAS BEHIND --8 FOR MR. ROBERTS' SAKE, I WILL SAY IT WAS SECRETED. IT WAS 9 NOT BLOCKED. IT WAS OPEN AND HE SAID, "ALL THAT IS IN IT 10 IS PAPERS OF MINE." 11 AND I SAID, "WELL, THEN DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT." 12 HE SAID, "NO. I WANT YOU TO SEE IT." SO HE 13 STARTED PULLING PAPERS OUT AND THEY ALL SEEMED TO RELATE TO 14 THE OWNERSHIP OF HIS RESIDENCE SO I SAID, "I DON'T NEED TO 15 SEE THESE. PUT THEM AWAY," SO HE DID. 16 YOU DIDN'T SEIZE ANY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS? Q 17 NO, I DID NOT. 18 Q WHAT ELSE DID YOU SEE DOWNSTAIRS? 19 ON A SHELF AT THE FOOT OF THE STAIRS, AS I CAME 20 DOWN THE STAIRS, I NOTED WHAT APPEARED TO BE AN ELECTRIC 21 TYPE OF TYPEWRITER. 22 AND DID YOU EXAMINE THAT? Q 23 Α YES, I DID. 24 DID YOU TAKE ANYTHING FROM THE TYPEWRITER? Q 25 Α YES, I DID. 26

,

27

1 Q WHAT? 2 А THE TYPING BALL AND THE RIBBON OR TAPE. 3 Q WHY? 4 IN THE ESLAMINIA CASE, ONE OF THE EVIDENTIARY PIECES THAT I POSSESS IS WHAT PURPORTS TO BE A FORGED GENERAL 5 6 POWER OF ATTORNEY. I WAS IN HOPE OF FINDING THE IMPLEMENTS 7 THAT TYPED THAT DOCUMENT. 8 OTHER THAN THAT, TAKING THE TYPING BALL AND THE 9 RIBBON, DID YOU LEAVE THE REST OF THE TYPEWRITER THERE? 10 YES, I DID. 11 I DID NOT WANT TO DEPRIVE THE FAMILY OF THE MACHINE 12 Q WHERE DID YOU GO AFTER YOU LEFT THE BASEMENT? 13 WE MADE A GENERAL TOUR OF THE DOWNSTAIRS. WE WENT THROUGH AN IRON GATE INTO A LIVING ROOM AND HE SAID THAT 14 15 PRIMARILY WAS THE FAMILY'S AREA AND I LOOKED IN A COUPLE OF 16 DRAWERS JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE WERE NO REAL DOCUMENTS 17 OR ANYTHING THERE, IT WAS JUST A CURSORY THING. 18 AND FROM THERE, WE WENT INTO THE OTHER ROOMS 19 DOWNSTAIRS. 20 I RECALL THERE WAS A DINING AREA, THERE WAS THE 21 LIBRARY, THERE WAS THE LITTLE BAR, THERE WAS THE KITCHEN AREA. 22 NOTHING WAS SEARCHED IN ANY OF THOSE AREAS AND NOTHING WAS 23 SEIZED BECAUSE MR. ROBERTS SAID THAT ALL THE ITEMS THERE 24 BELONGED TO HE AND HIS FAMILY. 25 Q AND AFTER YOU WENT THROUGH THE DOWNSTAIRS, WHAT 26 DID YOU DO? 27 DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, I ASKED HIM IF

JOSEPH HUNT LIVED IN THAT HOUSE AND HE SAID YES. HE SAID

```
1
     HE OCCUPIED A COUPLE OF BEDROOMS UPSTAIRS, SO MY SEARCH WAS
 2
     DIRECTED TO THE UPSTAIRS.
 3
            THE COURT: I THINK WE WILL TAKE A RECESS AT THIS
     PARTICULAR POINT.
 5
            MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 6
            THE COURT: IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.
 7
            MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD YOUR HONOR PLEASE INSTRUCT
 8
     MR. VANCE AND MR. BREILING NOT TO DISCUSS ANYTHING THAT EITHER
 9
     MR. BREILING HAS SAID TODAY OR MR. VANCE HAS HEARD TODAY WITH
10
     ANY OF THE OTHER WITNESSES?
11
            THE COURT: SURE.
12
            MR. BARENS: SO THAT MR. VANCE IS SO ADVISED?
13
            MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THAT IS SO FAR AS WHAT HE
14
     HAS TESTIFIED ON THE STAND.
15
                  BUT AS FAR AS DISCUSSING THE CASE, I ASSUME THAT
16
     IS PROPER.
17
            THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT. HE OBVIOUSLY HAS DISCUSSED
18
     THE MATTERS BEFORE THIS TIME.
19
            MR. BARENS: I AM JUST SAYING ONLY AS TO THE TESTIMONY
20
     MR. VANCE HEARD?
21
            THE COURT: THAT HE GAVE.
22
            MR. BARENS: YES.
23
            THE COURT: YES, FINE, HE WILL BE SO DIRECTED.
24
            THE CLERK: 1:45?
25
            THE COURT: 1:30.
26
            THE CLERK: 1:30, GENTLEMEN.
27
            MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
28
                  (AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:45 P.M.
```

OF THE SAME DAY.)

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 1987; 1:35 P.M. 1 2 DEPARTMENT WEST G HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 3 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 6 OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF 7 THE JURY.) 8 THE COURT: IT WILL BE STIPULATED THE DEFENDANT AND 9 COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. WE'LL PROCEED IF YOU WISH. 10 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 11 12 OSCAR A. BREILING, THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF ADJOURNMENT, RESUMED 13 14 THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS: 15 16 EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 17 BY MR. WAPNER: 18 Q AGENT BREILING, YOU TOLD US THIS MORNING THAT 19 YOU PUT IN THE SEARCH WARRANT, AN INDICATION THAT THERE WERE 20 PROCEEDINGS CURRENTLY GOING ON IN THIS CASE, SO THAT YOU COULD 21 LET THE JUDGE KNOW THAT. IS THAT RIGHT? 22 THAT'S CORRECT. 23 WHEN YOU BRIEFED THE OFFICERS ON THE MORNING 24 OF JANUARY THE 8TH, BEFORE EXECUTING THE SEARCH WARRANT, DID 25 YOU SAY ANYTHING TO THEM ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A 26 PENDING CRIMINAL CASE? 27 A YES, I DID. 28 Q WHAT DID YOU SAY?

A I TOLD THEM THAT JOE HUNT WAS CURRENTLY STANDING TRIAL IN SUPERIOR COURT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY ON CHARGES THAT HE MURDERED RONALD LEVIN. Q AND DID YOU MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO THE FACT --DID YOU CAUTION THEM AT ALL IN TERMS OF THE POSSIBILITY THAT DOCUMENTS MIGHT BE THERE THAT SHOULDN'T BE SEIZED? A YES. MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, LEADING, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: LET ME HEAR THE QUESTION, PLEASE. 

(WHEREUPON, THE RECORD WAS READ BY THE REPORTER AS FOLLOWS:

"Q AND DID YOU MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO

THE FACT -- DID YOU CAUTION THEM AT ALL IN TERMS

OF THE POSSIBILITY THAT DOCUMENTS MIGHT BE THERE

THAT SHOULDN'T BE SEIZED?

"A YES.")

MR. BARENS: THE OBJECTION IS, YOUR HONOR, THAT IT IS NOT ONLY LEADING BUT THE QUESTION CONTAINS THE ANSWER.

THE COURT: WELL, HE HAS ALREADY ANSWERED.

DO YOU WANT TO REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION?

Q BY MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

DID YOU MAKE SOME CAUTIONARY REMARK TO THE

OFFICERS REGARDING THE PROCEEDINGS THAT WERE CURRENTLY PENDING

AGAINST MR. HUNT?

A YES, I DID.

O WHAT WAS THAT?

IN TRIAL IN SUPERIOR COURT AND I BELIEVE AT THAT TIME, THE JURY SELECTION PROCESS WAS UNDER WAY. THAT HE POSSIBLY HAD IN THE PART OF A RESIDENCE THAT HE OCCUPIED DOCUMENTS AND/OR ITEMS THAT RELATED TO EITHER ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATIONS WHICH WOULD BE PRIVILEGED OR DOCUMENTATION AND/OR ITEMS THAT RELATED TO HIS DEFENSE IN THIS CASE AND THOSE WERE NEITHER TO BE READ OR SEIZED.

Q AND WITH REGARD TO THE SEARCH, I BELIEVE WE LEFT

OFF WHERE YOU WERE DOWNSTAIRS IN THE HOUSE AND ABOUT TO GO

UPSTAIRS. DID YOU TALK TO MR. BOBBY ROBERTS ABOUT THE FACT

1 THAT JOE HUNT LIVED IN THE RESIDENCE? 2 А YES, I DID. 3 I ASKED HIM IF HE DID LIVE IN THE RESIDENCE. Q WHAT DID MR. ROBERTS SAY? 5 Α HE SAID JOSEPH HUNT DID LIVE IN THAT HOUSE. Q 6 AND WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT? 7 EVENTUALLY, I WENT UPSTAIRS, AFTER MAKING A 8 CURSORY CHECK OF THE DOWNSTAIRS PORTION OF THE HOME WITH MR. 9 ROBERTS, TO THE BEDROOMS THAT WERE OCCUPIED BY MR. HUNT. 10 HOW DID YOU KNOW THOSE WERE MR. HUNT'S ROOMS? THEY WERE IDENTIFIED AS SUCH TO ME BY MR. ROBERTS. 11 12 Q AFTER YOU DID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO? 13 Α I HAD AGENT PAUL TULLENERS FROM OUR LOS ANGELES 14 OFFICE GO INTO THE SECOND STORY BEDROOM TOWARD THE FRONT OF 15 THE HOUSE, WHICH WAS THE BEDROOM I WAS LED TO BELIEVE MR. 16 HUNT OCCUPIED AND THE BEDROOM, AND I HAD DETECTIVE SERGEANT 17 ROZZI FROM THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT GO INTO THE SECOND STORY BEDROOM TOWARD THE REAR OF THE HOUSE THAT I WAS LED 18 19 TO BELIEVE WAS BEING USED BY MR. HUNT AS AN OFFICE OR COMPUTER ROOM WHERE HE WAS DOING HIS WORK. 20 21 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IF WE MIGHT, FOR SAKE OF 22 FACILITY IN THE TESTIMONY PROSPECTIVELY, REFER TO ONE AS A 23 BEDROOM AND ONE AS A COMPUTER ROOM? 24 THE COURT: YES. 25 MR. BARENS: IT WILL MAKE IT QUICKER. THANK YOU, YOUR 26 HONOR. 27 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. THE BEDROOM WOULD

BE THE ONE TOWARD THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE AND THE ONE THAT 1 MR. BARENS HAD SUGGESTED WE CALL THE COMPUTER ROOM WOULD BE 2 TOWARDS THE REAR OF THE HOUSE? 3 A THAT'S CORRECT. 5 AND WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU DIRECTED THOSE TWO OFFICERS TO THOSE LOCATIONS? 6 7 I BELIEVE MY NEXT STEP WAS TO GO UPSTAIRS OUTSIDE ACROSS THE DRIVEWAY TO THE LITTLE BEDROOM THAT WAS ATTACHED 8 TO THE GARAGE WHERE DETECTIVE ZOELLER AND HIS ASSISTANTS WERE 9 10 WORKING. Q AND DID YOU TALK TO DETECTIVE ZOELLER AT THAT 11 12 POINT? 13 Α YES, I DID. 14 Q WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM? 15 I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD COME UP WITH ANYTHING IN 16 HIS SEARCH THERE. HE SAID THAT HE HAD NOT. 17 SO I DIRECTED HIM, AND I BELIEVE IT WAS DETECTIVE 18 ITO OF THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, TO COME WITH ME 19 TO THE UPSTAIRS PORTION OF THE MAIN HOUSE SO WE COULD FOCUS 20 OUR ATTENTION IN SEARCHING THERE. 21 Q AND WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO THE MAIN HOUSE, WHAT 22 HAPPENED? 23 A I TOOK DETECTIVE ZOELLER INTO THE COMPUTER ROOM 24 AND THERE WAS PAPERS THAT WERE LOCATED IN BOXES AND STACKS 25 ON THE FLOOR, ON THE BED. THERE WERE SHELVES THAT CONTAINED 26 LOOSE-LEAF BINDERS, ET CETERA. THERE WAS A PILE OF PAPER 27 ON THE FLOOR TOWARD THE FRONT OF THE BED IN THAT ROOM THAT 28 I BELIEVE ONE OF THE OTHER OFFICERS HAD STARTED LOOKING AT,

I CAN'T BE CERTAIN ABOUT THAT. BUT I DIRECTED ZOELLER'S ATTENTION TO THOSE AND ASKED IF HE WOULD START GOING THROUGH THAT PILE. 

Q AND UP TO THAT POINT, HAD YOU SEARCHED ANY PORTION OF THAT ROOM?

A PERSONALLY, THE ONLY THING THAT I RECALL SEARCHING AND I AM NOT SURE OF THE TIME FRAME -- WAS I NOTICED THERE WERE SOME PAPERS ON THE BED.

I IDENTIFIED A PORTION OF THEM, WHAT APPEARED TO ME TO BE THINGS THAT WERE UNSEIZABLE, PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT AND I SET THEM ASIDE.

Q HOW DID YOU MAKE THAT DETERMINATION?

A I DIDN'T RECOGNIZE THEM AS ANYTHING THAT RELATED TO MY CASE.

I DIDN'T SEE A 1984 DATE ON THEM. I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING THAT RELATED TO THE BBC, AS I RECALL. THE GENERAL CONSENSUS OF MY THOUGHT WAS THAT THIS MATERIAL, WHATEVER IT WAS -- AND I CAN'T TELL YOU WHAT IT WAS -- DIDN'T FALL UNDER THE PARAMETERS OF MY SEARCH WARRANT.

Q DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING ABOUT THAT MATERIAL AT THIS TIME?

A IT LOOKED TO ME LIKE IT MIGHT POSSIBLY BE SOMETHING RELATED TO MR. HUNT'S DEFENSE.

Q DID YOU READ IT IN ANY DETAIL?

A NO I DID NOT.

Q CAN YOU RELATE THE CONTENTS OF IT AT ALL?

A I HAVE NO IDEA.

THE COURT: WHAT MADE YOU CONCLUDE THAT IT MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE DEFENSE IN THIS CASE?

THE WITNESS: I REALLY COULDN'T --

THE COURT: WHAT DID IT SAY THAT MADE YOU THINK THAT?

```
THE WITNESS: I REALLY CAN'T TELL YOU, YOUR HONOR. IT
 1
     WAS JUST AN IMPRESSION THAT I GOT GENERALLY THUMBING THROUGH
 2
     IT.
 3
                 IT WAS NOT A MATTER OF READING EACH PAGE AND SEEING
     THAT IT WAS DEFENSE RELATED.
 5
                 IT WAS JUST AN OVERALL LOOK INDICATED TO ME THAT
 6
     IT WAS SOMETHING THAT POSSIBLY MIGHT BE RELATED TO IT.
 7
           THE COURT: WAS THIS IN HANDWRITING ONLY? IS THAT WHAT
 8
     IT WAS? OR WAS IT SOMETHING TYPED OR PRINTED?
 9
10
           THE WITNESS: THERE WERE TYPED PAGES THERE, I BELIEVE
     AND HANDWRITTEN PAGES.
11
12
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU GLEAN FROM THAT. WHAT
13
     THE STRATEGY OF THE DEFENSE WAS IN THIS CASE?
14
15
          A NO.
16
           THE COURT: WHATEVER IT IS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE READ
     AND ANY IMPRESSION THAT YOU GOT AT THAT TIME, DID YOU
17
     COMMUNICATE IT TO ANYBODY THERE?
18
19
           THE WITNESS: ONLY THAT IT WAS SOMETHING NOT TO BE LOOKED
     AT BY ANYBODY ELSE.
20
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHO DID YOU TELL THAT TO?
21
22
           THE WITNESS: EVERYBODY PRESENT.
23
           THE COURT: WAS DETECTIVE ZOELLER ONE OF THEM?
24
           THE WITNESS: YES. I BROUGHT IT TO HIS ATTENTION
25
     EITHER THERE, THEN, OR I BROUGHT IT TO HIS ATTENTION LATER.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
26
27
                BY MR. WAPNER: AND IN THE REPORT THAT YOU
```

PREPARED ABOUT THIS SUBSEQUENTLY, DID YOU MAKE ANY REFERENCE

CORPORATE PAPERS RELATING TO ONE OF THE SUBSIDIARY

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

COMPANIES OF THE BBC. I BELIEVE THEY WERE FIRE SAFETY COMPANY. I CANNOT TELL YOU FOR CERTAIN AT THIS TIME WHICH ONE.

- WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THOSE ITEMS? Q
- THOSE WERE SEIZED. Α
- Q WHERE ARE THEY NOW?
- Α IN MY OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO.
- AND WHAT CONDITION ARE THEY IN YOUR OFFICE? Q
- THE CONDITION THAT THEY WERE IN WHEN I TOOK THEM. THEY ARE SEALED.

I HAVE NOT LOOKED AT ANY OF THE MATERIALS SINCE THEY WERE SEIZED.

WHEN THEY WERE TAKEN FROM THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE, THEY WERE TAKEN TO OUR LOS ANGELES OFFICE AND SEALED. I HAVE NOT OPENED THEM SINCE THEN.

THE ITEMS THAT YOU EXAMINED IN THAT BEDROOM, BEFORE YOU REMOVED THEM FROM THE RESIDENCE, WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THEM?

- HAD THEM PHOTOGRAPHED.
- DOCUMENT BY DOCUMENT OR JUST --
- NO, JUST IN A PILE AND THEY WERE PHOTOGRAPHED AT THE POSITION WHERE I LOCATED THEM, WHERE I WAS TAKING THEM FROM.
- HAVE YOU EXAMINED THE PHOTOGRAPHS SINCE THEY WERE TAKEN?
  - YES.
- Q BY LOOKING AT THE PHOTOGRAPH, IF YOU LOOKED AT THE PHOTOGRAPH, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO KNOW THE CONTENTS OF ANY PARTICULAR DOCUMENT?

```
A NO.
 1
          Q
                DOES THE PHOTOGRAPH BASICALLY REPRESENT WHERE
 2
     THE BOX WAS IN THE HOUSE?
                THAT'S CORRECT.
 4
               AND AFTER THEY WERE PHOTOGRAPHED, WERE THEY
 5
     REMOVED FROM THAT PLACE AND TAKEN TO ANOTHER SPECIFIC PLACE
 6
     IN THE RESIDENCE?
 7
          A YES.
 8
          Q
                WHERE WAS THAT?
 9
          A THEY WERE PLACED IN A BOX AND PRIOR TO LEAVING
10
     THE RESIDENCE, THEY WERE SET IN THE OUTSIDE HALL, JUST OUTSIDE
11
    OF THE COMPUTER ROOM.
12
                DID YOU SEIZE ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE BEDROOM?
13
          Q
          A I TOOK SOME INDICIA.
14
               WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
          Q
15
                IT WAS CORRESPONDENCE THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO JOSEPH
16
     GAMSKY AT THAT ADDRESS.
17
          O DO YOU KNOW WHAT --
18
          THE COURT: WHERE DID IT COME FROM?
19
20
          THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL, YOUR HONOR.
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF TAKING
21
     THAT?
22
            I KNOW JOE HUNT ALSO AS JOSEPH GAMSKY. IT WAS
23
    TO ESTABLISH HIS RESIDENCE THERE AND TO ESTABLISH THAT HE
24
    WAS KNOWN THERE BY THAT NAME.
25
         Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CORRESPONDENCE
26
27
    WAS?
28
                NO. I DID NOT LOOK AT IT. I JUST TOOK IT FOR
```

THE ADDRESS.

Q IS THAT LIKEWISE, IN THE BOXES THAT ARE SEALED IN YOUR OFFICE AT THE MOMENT?

- A THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q DID YOU SEIZE ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE BEDROOM?

A YES, A PIECE OF YELLOW NOTE PAD, LEGAL SIZED OR ROUGHLY LEGAL SIZED, SIMILAR TO THE ONE THAT YOU ARE WRITING ON NOW THAT WAS LINED AND THAT HAD HANDWRITING ON IT.

- Q AND THAT LIKEWISE, IS IN THE BOX THAT IS SEALED?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.
  - Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT ELSE, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU SEIZE FROM THE BEDROOM?

A AT THE LOCATION FROM WHERE THE CORPORATE PAPERS

WERE TAKEN, THERE WERE ENVELOPES CONTAINING OTHER DOCUMENTS

THAT RELATED TO THE SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES OF THE BBC, THE EXACT

NATURE OF WHICH AT THIS MOMENT, SINCE I HAVE NOT SEEN THEM

SINCE THAT DATE, I CANNOT DESCRIBE TO YOU. THOSE WERE ALSO

SEIZED.

Q AND WHAT ELSE, WHAT OTHER DOCUMENTS DID YOU EXAMINE IN THIS BEDROOM BUT NOT TAKE?

A THAT PARTICULAR ROOM DIDN'T HAVE A GREAT DEAL

OF PAPERWORK IN IT. THE EXAMINATION PRIMARILY HAD TO BE DONE

BY AGENT TULLENERS AND THOSE THINGS WERE BROUGHT TO MY

ATTENTION.

SO I DON'T THINK I REALLY READ ANYTHING ELSE

PERSONALLY IN THAT ROOM. I REMEMBER LOOKING AT SOME PAPERS

ON SHELVES. BUT AS FAR AS GOING THROUGH PILES OF DOCUMENTS,

I DID NOT.

F

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Q	WHA	ΓEL	SE	DID	YOU	J TAI	Œ,	SEIZE	E F	ROM	THAT	ROC	M?
	А	AND	АТ	THI	SP	OINT	, A	S FA	AR AS	I	CAN	RECA	LL,	THAT
WOULD	BE ALL	: Th	TAH	HAN	IDWR	ITTE	N NO	TE	FROM	TH	E Sh	HELF,	THE	:
INDIC	[A FROM	THE	OTH	HER	SHE	LF,	THE	COR	PORA	ΤЕ	DOCL	JMENT:	S FR	MO
THE EL	OOR.													

AND WHAT DID YOU SEIZE FROM THE COMPUTER ROOM? IN THE COMPUTER ROOM, SITTING ON THE BED, WERE THE DOCUMENTS THAT I DESCRIBED TO YOU EARLIER AS BEING WHAT I CONSIDER TO BE POSSIBLY RELATED TO MR. HUNT'S DEFENSE OR PRIVILEGE COMMUNICATIONS, THOSE WERE NOT SEIZED.

ALSO, ON THAT BED WAS A PILE OF DOCUMENTS WHICH INCLUDED AT LEAST A HUNDRED, PERHAPS 200 OR MORE ORIGINAL CHECKS ON BBC ACCOUNTS FROM THE TIME PERIOD OF 1984. THOSE WERE SEIZED.

AND IN ADDITION TO THOSE DOCUMENTS, THERE WERE SOME OTHER RELATED BBC MATERIAL IN THAT SAME PILE. THOSE ALSO WERE SEIZED FROM THE BED.

- Q ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE BED?
- NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
- 0 WHAT ELSE FROM THE REST OF THE ROOM?
- ON THE FLOOR NEAR A TABLE OR POSSIBLY IT WAS A DESK, THERE WERE SOME BOXES AND THEN THERE WERE STACKS OF PAPERS, MANY OF THEM IN MANILA FOLDERS.

DETECTIVE ZOELLER HAD GONE TO THAT PILE AT MY DIRECTION AND HE HAD STARTED REVIEWING SOME OF THOSE AND MANY OF THEM CONTAINED DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE BBC AND INVESTORS, ET CETERA. THOSE WERE TAKEN.

THE MAJORITY OF THEM FROM THAT PILE AND IN THOSE

```
1
     BOXES WAS NOT TAKEN.
 2
                  DID YOU PERSONALLY EXAMINE ITEMS FROM THAT STACK?
 3
                 I JUST REVIEWED A COUPLE OF THEM TO DETERMINE
     IF THEY WERE AS REPRESENTED TO ME.
 5
            Q
                AND DID YOU TAKE THOSE COUPLE?
 6
            Α
                 YES, I DID.
 7
                AND THOSE WERE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE IN FILES THAT
 8
     WERE ON THE FLOOR?
 9
                 YES.
            Α
10
              DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE EXACTLY ON THE FLOOR THEY
11
    WERE?
12
                 THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN AT THE FOOT OF THE BED.
13
              THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU DECIDED NOT TO TAKE, DO
            Q
14
    YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE CONTENTS OF THOSE WERE?
15
            Α
                 NO.
16
                 DID THEY HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH, IF YOU RECALL,
17
    ANY DEFENSE STRATEGY IN THIS CASE?
18
            MR. CHIER: THAT CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION.
19
            THE COURT: GO AHEAD. YOU MAY ANSWER.
20
            THE WITNESS: NOT AS FAR AS I KNOW. I CAN'T TELL YOU,
21
     I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THEY WERE. THEY JUST OBVIOUSLY DIDN'T
22
     RELATE TO THE MATERIAL THAT WAS ENUMERATED IN THE SCOPE OF
23
     THE WARRANT SO I DIDN'T TAKE THEM.
24
            Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT ELSE, IF YOU RECALL, DID
25
    YOU SEIZE FROM THE BEDROOM?
26
           A THERE WAS --
27
           MR. BARENS: IN THE COMPUTER ROOM?
```

MR. WAPNER: I AM SORRY. THE COMPUTER ROOM.

THE WITNESS: THE COMPUTER ROOM, RIGHT.

THE FURNISHINGS IN THERE WERE ANTIQUE, I GUESS IS THE BEST WAY TO DESCRIBE THEM, AND THERE WAS AN ANTIQUE HIGHBOY CLOSET TYPE OF AFFAIR AND BEHIND IT WAS A LARGE BOX. INSIDE OF THAT BOX WAS A LARGE BROWN ENVELOPE. CONTAINED IN THAT ENVELOPE, AMONG OTHER ITEMS, WERE AT LEAST TWO BLANK CHECKBOOKS IMPRINTED WITH THE NAME "HADAYET ESLAMINIA," WHO WAS THE VICTIM OF MY MURDER CASE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. I SEIZED THOSE TWO CHECKBOOKS AND THE ADDITIONAL CONTENTS OF THAT ONE ENVELOPE.

Q DID YOU HAVE SOME DISCUSSION WITH MR. BOBBY ROBERTS ABOUT THE REMAINING CONTENTS OF THAT BOX?

A YES.

MR. ROBERTS WAS LOOKING OVER MY SHOULDER AS I
WENT INTO THE BOX AND HE SAID THAT BOX OF MATERIAL WAS HIS,

SO AFTER I LOOKED AT THE ENVELOPE AND SAW THE CONTENTS OF

IT, I JUST BRIEFLY CHECKED TO SEE THAT THE REST OF THE MATERIAL
IN THAT BOX DID IN FACT BELONG TO MR. ROBERTS AND IT OBVIOUSLY
WAS DIFFERENT THAN WHAT I HAD IN MY HAND; THEREFORE, I CONCLUDED

MR. ROBERTS WAS TELLING THE TRUTH.

Q DID YOU EVER TELL MR. ROBERTS THAT HE COULD NOT BE PRESENT DURING ANY PART OF THE SEARCH?

A ON THE CONTRARY, I TOLD HIM HE COULD BE WITH ME AT EVERY MINUTE.

Q WAS HE OR SOMEONE FROM THE FAMILY WITH YOU OR NEAR WHERE YOU WERE?

A AS FAR AS I KNOW.

Q DID YOU EVER TELL MRS. ROBERTS THAT SHE COULD

NOT BE PRESENT DURING THE SEARCH OR IN ANY PART OF THE HOUSE?

A NO.

I WAS SPECIFICALLY ASKED BY MR. ROBERTS UPON ENTRY INTO HIS HOME NOT TO MAKE CONTACT WITH HIS WIFE. HE SAID SHE WAS RATHER EMOTIONAL AND I ASKED IF HE WOULD LIKE ME TO GO UP AND EXPLAIN MY PRESENCE AND HE SAID, "NO, DON'T TALK TO HER. I WILL TAKE CARE OF IT."

SO I NEVER DID CONTACT HER UNTIL I WAS LEAVING AND I SAID GOOD-BYE.

Q AT SOME POINT HAD YOU DIRECTED THEM DOWNSTAIRS?

A WHEN WE INITIALLY ENTERED THE ROOM, I ASKED MR.

ROBERTS IF HE WOULD HAVE HIS WIFE GO DOWN INTO THE KITCHEN

AREA UNTIL WE CHECKED TO MAKE SURE THERE WAS NOBODY ELSE IN

THE HOME AT THAT TIME.

AFTER THE HOME HAD BEEN SECURED, I SAID THE FAMILY WAS FREE TO GO WHEREVER THEY WANTED AND DO WHATEVER THEY WANTED TO.

1 0 COMING BACK TO THE SEARCH OF THE COMPUTER ROOM, 2 AFTER YOU TOOK THE ITEM FROM THE BOX BEHIND THE HIGHBOY, WHAT 3 DID YOU DO? 4 I THINK THE ONLY AREA OF ITEMS THAT WERE TAKEN 5 WAS FROM A BOX ON THE FLOOR. AT THE TIME I WAS LOOKING THROUGH 6 IT, IT WAS IN FRONT OF THE FIREPLACE THAT WAS THERE. AND 7 MR. CHIER WAS STANDING BEHIND ME AS I WENT THROUGH THE 8 MATERIALS. 9 BY MR. CHIER, ARE YOU REFERRING TO SOMEONE WHO 10 IS IN THIS COURTROOM RIGHT NOW? 11 A YES SIR, MR. HUNT'S CO-COUNSEL -- OR MR. BARENS' 12 CO-COUNSEL. 13 MR. CHIER: I AM JUST AN ASSISTANT, SIR. 14 THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME. 15 THE COURT: YES, HE IS AN ASSISTANT. 16 THE WITNESS: I BEG YOUR PARDON. 17 MR. BARENS: THAT IS A MATTER OF SPEECH, MR. BREILING. 18 Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND AT WHAT POINT DURING THE 19 SEARCH, IF YOU RECALL, DID MR. CHIER ARRIVE? 20 I WOULD HAVE TO ESTIMATE. I DIDN'T LOOK AT MY Α 21 WATCH AND SEE THE EXACT TIME HE ARRIVED. I WOULD IMAGINE 22 IT WAS WITHIN THE FIRST HOUR. 23 THE COURT: BY THE FIRST HOUR, YOU MEAN BETWEEN WHAT 24 AND WHAT? 25 THE WITNESS: WE MADE ENTRY INTO THE HOME AT APPROXI-26 MATELY 10:20 TO 10:30. I WOULD IMAGINE MR. CHIER WOULD HAVE 27 BEEN THERE AROUND 11:30, I GUESS.

IT IS JUST A GUESS. I COULDN'T SAY BECAUSE I

```
1
     DIDN'T LOOK AT A WATCH.
            THE COURT: AT ANY RATE, IT WAS BEFORE NOON , WASN'T
 2
     IT?
 3
 4
            THE WITNESS: I WOULD GUESS BEFORE NOON, YES, YOUR
 5
     HONOR. I THINK THAT WOULD BE SAFE TO SAY.
6
            MR. BARENS: I THINK YOUR HONOR IS PROBABLY AWARE OR
 7
     HAS SOME RECOLLECTION AS TO WHEN MR. CHIER LEFT THAT DAY.
8
            THE COURT: YES.
9
            MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
10
            THE WITNESS: LIKE I SAY, I DIDN'T LOOK AT MY WATCH
     SO I DON'T KNOW.
11
12
                  HE JUST WAS THERE.
13
                BY MR. WAPNER: BEFORE MR. CHIER GOT THERE, HAD
            Q
14
    ANY ITEMS ACTUALLY BEEN REMOVED FROM THE RESIDENCE?
15
            Α
                 NO.
16
                  BEFORE MR. CHIER GOT THERE, HAD YOU SPOKEN WITH
17
    MR. HUNT'S OTHER ATTORNEY, ARTHUR BARENS, ON THE TELEPHONE?
18
                  YES.
            Α
19
                 HAD YOU TALKED TO MR. BARENS ON OTHER OCCASIONS
20
    ON THE TELEPHONE?
21
           Α
              YES.
22
                 WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HIM ON THE TELEPHONE ON JANUARY
23
    THE 8TH, DID YOU CALL HIM OR HAD HE CALLED YOU?
24
                  I DIDN'T INITIATE THE CALL.
25
                  I DON'T KNOW WHETHER HE CALLED ME OR HE CALLED
26
    SOMEBODY ELSE. I WAS JUST DIRECTED TO THE PHONE AND MR. BARENS
27
    WAS ON IT.
```

Q DID HE IDENTIFY HIMSELF?

A I BELIEVE SO. I THINK HE SAID "ARTHUR." HE 1 2 WOULDN'T HAVE TO SAY. I RECOGNIZED HIS VOICE. 3 Q AND THAT IS BECAUSE YOU HAD TALKED TO HIM ON 4 THE PHONE ON OTHER OCCASIONS? 5 A THAT'S CORRECT. 6 WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. BARENS ON THE TELEPHONE, 7 DID HE EXPRESS SOME CONCERN TO YOU ABOUT THE SCOPE OF THE 8 SEARCH? 9 YES, HE DID. А 10 WHAT DID HE SAY? 11 HE SAID THAT HE WAS CONCERNED THAT EITHER I OR 12 MEMBERS OF THE INVESTIGATIVE TEAM THAT WERE WITH ME MIGHT 13 BE LOOKING AT, READING AND/OR SEIZING MATERIALS THAT HE 14 CONSIDERED TO BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED OR RELATED TO 15 THE DEFENSE OF MR. HUNT. 16 Q WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM? 17 I ASSURED HIM THAT THE OFFICERS THAT I HAD 18 ASSISTING ME HAD BEEN THOROUGHLY BRIEFED PRIOR TO COMING TO 19 THE SEARCH. THAT NOT ONLY HAD THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO 20 REVIEW MY AFFIDAVIT AND STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE AND 21 WARRANT, BUT THEY HAD BEEN VERBALLY BRIEFED ON THAT VERY 22 MATTER AND I ASSURED HIM THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO DIFFERENTIATE 23 BETWEEN SEIZABLE AND UNSEIZABLE MATERIAL. 24 Q WHAT DID HE SAY? 25 I TOLD HIM THAT THAT WAS MY INTENT. I GAVE HIM 26 MY WORD PERSONALLY THAT THIS IS WHAT I INTENDED TO TAKE PLACE 27 THERE. 28 AND HE MADE A STATEMENT TO ME TO THE EFFECT THAT

```
"YOUR WORD HAS BEEN GOOD TO ME IN THE PAST, OSCAR. I WILL
 1
 2
     TRUST YOU THIS TIME."
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

AND AFTER TALKING TO HIM -- WELL, STRIKE THAT. WHEN WAS IT IF YOU RECALL DURING THE SEARCH, THAT YOU SPOKE WITH MR. BARENS ON THE PHONE?

PRIOR TO THE ARRIVAL OR MR. CHIER AND AFTER I HAD IDENTIFIED THE MATERIALS ON THE BED IN THE COMPUTER ROOM THAT MIGHT POSSIBLY BE DEFENSE-RELATED.

BECAUSE DURING THE COURSE OF MY CONVERSATION TO MR. BARENS, I TOLD HIM MATERIALS OF THE TYPE THAT HE WAS DESCRIBING TO ME HAD ALREADY BEEN VIEWED BY ME, AT LEAST TO IDENTIFY THEM AS SUCH AND THEY HAD BEEN SET ASIDE AND IT WAS MY INTENTION TO DO THE SAME THING WITH ANY OTHER SIMILAR MATERIALS.

AND AFTER MR. CHIER GOT THERE, DID HE IDENTIFY FOR YOU ANY GROUP OR TYPE OF DOCUMENTS THAT MIGHT PERTAIN TO THE DEFENSE AND REQUEST THAT YOU NOT LOOK AT THEM?

Α YES HE DID.

Q AND WHAT WAS THAT?

A GROUP OF LARGE, THREE-RING-TYPE BINDERS THAT WERE FULL OF SOME SORT OF MATERIALS. HE SAID THEY WERE DEFENSE MATERIALS.

AND WHEN HE SAID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?

THE FIRST ONE I OPENED THE FIRST PAGE AND I SAW A REPORT WRITTEN BY ME AND I IMMEDIATELY CLOSED IT.

I SAID, "ALL RIGHT, I WON'T TAKE A LOOK AT THE REST."

AND DID YOU REFRAIN FROM LOOKING AT THE OTHER ONES?

А I DID.

- Q AT SOME POINT, DID MR. CHIER TELL YOU TO STOP SEARCHING?
  - A YES HE DID.
- Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
- A HE SAID THAT JOE HUNT WAS IN COURT AT THAT TIME APPEARING PRO PER AND THEREFORE, HIS SPACES IN THE HOME OCCUPIED BY HIM, MUST BE CONSIDERED ATTORNEY OFFICES.
- THEREFORE, I COULD NOT CONTINUE WITH MY SEARCH AND THAT I WOULD HAVE TO AWAIT THE ASSIGNMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER.
  - Q WHAT DID YOU SAY?
- A I SAID I WAS THERE UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF A SEARCH WARRANT SIGNED BY A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE AND THAT I WOULD HAVE TO HAVE SOMEBODY OF AN EQUAL OR HIGHER AUTHORITY ADVISE ME TO CEASE AND DESIST IN WRITING. UNTIL SUCH TIME, I WOULD CONTINUE MY SEARCH.
  - O AND DID YOU CONTINUE YOUR SEARCH?
- A I DID.
  - Q AFTER DETERMINING THE ITEMS THAT YOU WERE GOING
    TO SEIZE AND PLACING THEM IN THE BOX IN THE HALLWAY, DID YOU
    COMMUNICATE TO MR. CHIER WHAT IT WAS YOU WERE GOING TO TAKE?
- 22 A YES. I TOLD HIM I WAS TAKING THE ITEMS IN THOSE 23 TWO BOXES. THERE WERE TWO.
  - Q DID YOU OFFER HIM AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE THEM?
- 25 A I DID.
  - Q TELL ME HOW THAT CAME ABOUT.
- 27 A INITIALLY, MR. CHIER ASKED ME IF THE MATERIALS
  28 I WAS TAKING WAS GOING TO SOME INTERMEDIATE POINT.

I SAID NO, THAT I WAS TAKING THEM FROM HERE TO THE LOS ANGELES OFFICE AND THEN TO SAN FRANCISCO. BUT WHAT I THINK HE MEANT WAS, ARE YOU GOING TO ALLOW ME TO LOOK AT THEM IN SOME LOCATION.

SO I PUT THEM IN THE HALL AND SAID IF HE WANTED
TO LOOK AT THEM, HE COULD LOOK AT THEM THERE.

- Q AND DID HE LOOK AT THEM?
- A YES.
  - Q WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN HE DID THAT?
- A I WAS NOT PRESENT DURING THE FULL TIME, NO.

I WAS PUTTING THE PAPERS THAT WERE NOT SEIZED BACK IN THE BOXES OR INTO NEAT STACKS. I WANTED TO LEAVE THE SCENE IN A DECENT CONDITION.

THE COURT: DID HE MAKE A RECORD OF THE DOCUMENTS HE WAS LOOKING AT?

THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW, YOUR HONOR. I DIDN'T SEE HIM WITH A PEN IN HIS HAND.

THE COURT: WAS THERE ANYBODY THERE WITH HIM?

THE WITNESS: I DID SEE BROOKE ROBERTS AND I BELIEVE
AT THAT TIME, SHE WAS STANDING BEHIND HIM AND SHE WAS DOING
SOME WRITING. SHE MAY HAVE WRITTEN SOMETHING.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU PLACE ANY LIMITATION TO THE AMOUNT OF TIME HE COULD HAVE TO EXAMINE THE DOCUMENTS

THERE?

A NO.

Q HOW WAS IT DETERMINED WHEN HE WAS FINISHED?

I MEAN, DID YOU TELL HIM THAT HE HAD TO STOP NOW OR DID HE

COME TO YOU? WHAT HAPPENED?

NO. HE VOLUNTARILY ABSENTED HIMSELF FROM THE BOXES AND HE MOVED AWAY AND WAS STANDING BY THE RAILING WHEN I WENT OUT.

I WAS COMPLETING PUTTING THE ROOM IN ORDER AND MAKING OUT A RECEIPT FOR MR. ROBERTS. I HAD GOTTEN THROUGH DOING ALL I WAS DOING. I WENT BACK IN THE HALL AND HE FINISHED.

THE COURT: EXCEPT FOR THAT ONE YELLOW SHEET, DID HE MAKE ANY OBJECTION? I AM TALKING ABOUT MR. CHIER, ANY OBJECTION TO ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WERE TAKING OUT?

THE WITNESS: YES. HE OBJECTED TO THE ONE DOCUMENT THAT WAS A DOCUMENT THAT I HAD FOUND IN THE BEDROOM ON THE SHELF WHICH WAS THE YELLOW PAPER WITH THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON IT.

HE WAS VERY VOCAL ABOUT IT.

THE COURT: THAT IS THE LAST PAGE OF YOUR -- THAT ONE?

THE WITNESS: THAT'S IT.

THE COURT: YOU HAVE INDICATED THE LAST PAGE.

THE WITNESS: HE SAID THAT IT WAS DEFENSE RELATED AND I COULDN'T TAKE IT.

BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?

I WAS IN THAT BEDROOM MAYBE FIVE FEET AWAY FROM HIM. AND I HEARD HIM OBJECTING TO THAT DOCUMENT. I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT IT WAS AT THE TIME.

I SAID IF HE FOUND ANYTHING IN THOSE BOXES THAT HE THOUGHT WAS ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED OR RELATED TO THE DEFENSE, THAT I HAD INADVERTENTLY TAKEN, I WOULD RETURN IT TO HIM WITH MY APOLOGIES RIGHT THEN AND THERE.

AND WHEN I CAME IN TO WHERE HE WAS, HE HAD THAT

26

24

25

27

PAPER	IN HIS	S HAND.	I AS	KED H	HIM ]	IF THA	4⊤ IS	WHAT	HE	WA-S
OBJECT	ING TO	AND HE	SAID	YES						
	Q	AND WHA	T DID	YOU	SAY	WHEN	HE S	AID T	НАТ?	ı

A I LOOKED AT IT. AND I SAID THAT I WAS GOING TO SEIZE IT OVER HIS OBJECTION.

- Q AND DID YOU DO THAT?
- A YES I DID.
  - Q AND WHERE IS THAT PIECE OF PAPER NOW?
  - A IT IS SECURED IN MY OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO.
- Q AFTER MR. CHIER HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO THROUGH THOSE BOXES, WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THEM?
  - A TOOK THEM FROM THE HOUSE.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

```
AND WHAT CONDITION WERE THE BOXES -- WERE THEY
SEALED AT THE HOUSE OR SOME TIME LATER?
           NO. THEY WERE SEALED LATER.
           WHERE WERE THEY SEALED?
      0
           IN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE ON WILSHIRE
BOULEVARD IN LOS ANGELES.
           HOW LONG AFTER THE SEARCH WERE THEY SEALED?
      Q
     A EARLY THAT AFTERNOON, I BELIEVE, PROBABLY AROUND
3 O'CLOCK. I AM GUESSING.
     MR. BARENS: MOVE TO STRIKE THE ANSWER AS ASSUMING FACTS
NOT IN EVIDENCE, THAT THE WITNESS IS COMPETENT TO TESTIFY.
THERE IS NO FOUNDATION THAT HE KNOWS WHEN THEY WERE SEALED.
AND HE JUST SAID THAT HE WAS GUESSING.
     THE WITNESS: I WAS GUESSING AS TO THE TIME.
     THE COURT: THAT IS YOUR BEST ESTIMATE?
     THE WITNESS: I KNOW THEY WERE SEALED BECAUSE I WITNESSED
THEM BEING SEALED. BUT I WAS NOT LOOKING AT MY WATCH, TO
FIND OUT WHAT TIME IT WAS WHEN THEY WERE SEALED.
     Q BY MR. WAPNER: HOW DID THEY GET FROM THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL'S OFFICE IN LOS ANGELES TO YOUR OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO?
           BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COURIER.
      Α
           WHEN THEY GOT TO YOUR OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO,
DID THEY APPEAR TO BE IN THE SAME CONDITION THEY HAD BEEN
IN WHEN YOU SAW THEM BEING SEALED IN LOS ANGELES?
     Α
           YES.
```

25

26

27

28

AND HAVE THEY BEEN IN YOUR OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO EVER SINCE THEN?

A YES.

3

5

6 .

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

AT ANY TIME DURING THE SEARCH OF THE HOME, DID MR. ROBERTS, BOBBIE ROBERTS, EXPRESS ANY OBJECTION TO YOU ABOUT THE MANNER IN WHICH THE SEARCH WAS BEING CONDUCTED OR ANY ITEMS THAT WERE SEIZED?

NO. HE WAS MOST CORDIAL.

AFTER SEIZING THESE ITEMS, DID YOU PREPARE A RETURN ON THE SEARCH WARRANT?

Α I DID.

AND DID YOU FILE THIS WITH THE COURT IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA?

A YES I DID.

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE AN EXHIBIT THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS COURT'S EXHIBIT NO. 4. IT SAYS "SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO RETURN OF SEARCH WARRANT."

IT CONSISTS OF THREE PAGES. THE LAST ONE OF WHICH, BEING APPARENTLY A COPY OF SOMETHING THAT WAS TAKEN FROM THE RESIDENCE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. WAPNER: MAY IT BE MARKED AS COURT'S 4?

THE COURT: SO MARKED.

BY MR. WAPNER: MR. BREILING, DID YOU -- DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE EXHIBIT MARKED AS COURT'S 4?

YES. IT WAS PREPARED BY ME.

AND IS THAT THE RETURN OF SEARCH WARRANT THAT YOU PREPARED?

> Α YES IT IS.

WHAT WITHOUT READING TO THE COURT WHAT IT' IS --Q

WHAT IS THE LAST DOCUMENT ATTACHED TO THAT RETURN? 1 IT IS A SAVIN COPY OF A YELLOW, LINED PAD, A PAGE 2 FROM THE PAD MR. CHIER OBJECTED TO SO STRENUOUSLY AT THE 3 4 SCENE. THE COURT REPORTER: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT? 5 THE WITNESS: S-A-V-I-N. 6 BY MR. WAPNER: AT ANY TIME DURING THE SEARCH 7 OF THE RESIDENCE, DID YOU LEARN WHAT THE DEFENSE STRATEGY 8 WAS IN THIS CASE? 9 10 Α NO. AT ANY TIME DURING THE SEARCH OF THE RESIDENCE, 11 DID YOU EXAMINE IN DETAIL, ANY COMMUNICATIONS THAT APPEARED 12 TO YOU TO BE PRIVILEGED? 13 14 Α NO. 15 HAVE YOU OPENED THE BOX CONTAINING THE ITEMS THAT WERE SEIZED SINCE IT WAS SEALED IN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S 16 17 OFFICE? 18 NO. 19 AND IF IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT, CAN YOU HAVE THE BOX OPENED, COPIES MADE, RESEALED AND SENT TO THIS 20 COURT FOR EXAMINATION? 21 22 A YES. 23 MR. WAPNER: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF THIS WITNESS. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR AT THIS TIME, THE DEFENSE WILL 24 25 NOT CROSS-EXAMINE BUT RATHER, ADVISES THE COURT THAT WE WOULD 26 BE CALLING MR. BREILING AS A DEFENSE WITNESS. 27 THE COURT: YOU CAN DO IT NOW. HE IS HERE NOW. LET

IT BE UNDERSTOOD THAT HE WILL BE YOUR WITNESS.

MR. BARENS: NOW, AS A TACTICAL MANEUVER, THE DEFENSE WOULD LIKE --

THE COURT: I DON'T WANT ANY TACTICAL MANEUVERS. HE
IS HERE ON THE STAND. HE IS HERE TO BE CROSS-EXAMINED.

IF YOU WANT TO USE HIM AS YOUR OWN WITNESS, YOU CAN DO THAT. LET'S GO ON.

MR. BARENS: IF I MIGHT JUST, I WILL PROCEED AS YOUR HONOR WISHES. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A RECORD ON THIS POINT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MADE YOUR RECORD.

MR. BARENS: IF I MIGHT JUST CONTINUE A BRIEF MOMENT, YOUR HONOR, YOUR HONOR MIGHT RECALL THAT THIS IS A DEFENSE MOTION IN THE FIRST INSTANCE.

I BELIEVE THAT THE LAW ENABLES THE DEFENSE WHEN THE DEFENSE MAKES A MOTION, TO PUT ON WITNESSES IN THE ORDER IN WHICH THEY SEE FIT.

THE DEFENSE IS ACCOMMODATING --

THE COURT: LET ME REMIND YOU WHAT THE LAW IS. THE
LAW SAYS THAT THE JUDGE CAN DIRECT THE ORDER IN WHICH PROOF
HAS BEEN GIVEN. I AM DIRECTING YOU NOW TO ASK HIM THE
QUESTIONS YOU WOULD ASK HIM SOME OTHER TIME. ALL RIGHT?

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I ABSOLUTELY AGREE WITH THE COURT, AND I THINK THAT WE SHOULD PROCEED WITH THIS WITNESS.

BUT, SO THIS DOESN'T COME UP AGAIN, I SPOKE WITH MR. BARENS JUST BEFORE WE STARTED AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF SCHEDULING, BECAUSE THERE WERE SOME DETECTIVES FROM HOLLYWOOD, WHO I TOLD NOT TO BE HERE THIS AFTERNOON BECAUSE WE EXPECTED MR. BREILING TO BE ON THE STAND ALL AFTERNOON.

BUT IF THIS IS THE POSITION THAT MR. BARENS IS

3F

GOING TO TAKE, THEN I THINK FROM NOW ON, I WILL LET HIM CALL HIS WITNESSES, SINCE IT IS HIS MOTION.

BUT I THINK HE BETTER TELL ME NOW, SO THAT I CAN GET THE PEOPLE HERE THAT HE WANTS TO HAVE HERE, IF HE WANTS TO CALL THEM IN THE ORDER HE WANTS TO CALL THEM.

MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, ALL I WAS SEEKING TO DO --

THE COURT: IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE IN WHAT ORDER THEY CAME. IF THEY ARE HERE AND THEY HAVE BEEN EXAMINED BY YOU, IT IS HIS RIGHT TO EXAMINE THEM. HE CAN DO WHAT HE WANTS TO DO AS HIS OWN WITNESS. YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM, /DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE TO ME. LET'S HEAR THE TESTIMONY.

MR. BARENS: OBVIOUSLY, AS A LAWYER I HAD SOMETHING IN MIND TACTICALLY, YOUR HONOR. I WANT TO ENGAGE --

THE COURT: I AM NOT INTERESTED IN TACTICS. I AM INTERESTED IN HEARING THE TRUTH AND THE EVIDENCE.

MR. BARENS: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR. I JUST HAD A SEQUENCE AND SINCE YOUR HONOR DOESN'T AGREE, THEN I AM GOING TO PROCEED.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. BARENS: I AM GOING TO PROCEED BUT I WANTED TO MAKE MY STATEMENT.

I AM NOW CROSS-EXAMINING THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: DO ANYTHING YOU WANT.

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. BARENS:

Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST DEVELOP INFORMATION THAT SUPPLIED THE INFORMATION FOR YOUR OBTAINING A SEARCH WARRANT IN THIS MATTER?

A I BELIEVE THE EXACT DATE WAS DECEMBER 19, 1986
WHEN MATERIAL CAME INTO MY POSSESSION THAT WAS THE BASIS FOR

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I DON'T BELIEVE I REVIEWED IT, HOWEVER, UNTIL ABOUT DECEMBER 22ND OR 23RD OF 1986.

AND WHAT MATERIAL ARE YOU REFERRING TO, SIR?

SOME DOCUMENTS THAT WERE PROVIDED TO ME BY A MAN BY THE NAME OF DEAN KARNY.

AND COULD YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC IN TELLING ME, AS I AM LOOKING FOR WHAT THE BASIS IS FOR YOUR PROBABLE CAUSE TO THE WARRANT, SIR?

I DON'T THINK I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU HAVE SPECIFICALLY, MR. BREILING, THAT WOULD MAKE YOU BELIEVE THERE WAS SEIZABLE MATERIAL AT THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE?

THE MATERIAL THAT I HAD, I WAS LED TO BELIEVE, WAS PART OF A LARGER VOLUME OF MATERIAL THAT WAS PRESENTLY IN THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE.

AGAIN, SIR, I AM JUST TRYING TO SEE, TO BE MORE SPECIFIC, WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT.

WHAT MADE YOU BELIEVE THAT THERE WAS THIS MATERIAL IN THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE?

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD INTERPOSE AN OBJECTION. SINCE THERE WAS A SEARCH THAT OCCURRED ON THE WARRANT, IS THERE SOME ATTACK ON THE SEARCH WARRANT, SOME MOTION TO QUASH OR TRAVERSE THE WARRANT? BUT THE WARRANT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF. THE PROBABLE CAUSE IS CONTAINED IN THE WARRANT ITSELF. IT IS EITHER THERE OR ISN'T AND UNLESS THERE IS SOME SHOWING THAT THERE ARE MISSTATEMENTS IN THE WARRANT, SOMETHING LIKE THAT, I DON'T KNOW THAT THIS IS A PROPER LINE OF INQUIRY.

THE COURT: YOUR AFFIDAVIT DOES GIVE US THE BASIS,
DOES IT NOT, FOR YOUR SEEKING THE SEARCH WARRANT?

THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IN CONNECTION WITH INFORMATION GIVEN TO YOU BY DEAN KARNY ABOUT SOME VALISE -- OR WHAT DO THEY CALL IT?

THE WITNESS: A BRIEFCASE.

THE COURT: -- OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THAT HE GAVE YOU.

THE WITNESS: THE AFFIDAVIT AND THE STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE THAT I PRESENTED TO JUDGE MC DONALD OUTLINES MY RECEIVING OF THE PROPERTY, WHAT PROPERTY WAS USED TO DETERMINE PROBABLE CAUSE AND REFLECTED WHAT EVIDENCE IN THAT PROPERTY RELATED TO MY CASE TO JUSTIFY THE PROBABLE CAUSE AUTHORIZING THE SEARCH WARRANT.

MR. BARENS: WELL, WHAT I AM GOING TO DO, YOUR HONOR, IS I WOULD LIKE TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING IN MY OWN MIND. I UNDERSTAND THE GENTLEMAN HAD YEARS BEFORE SEARCHED THE BBC OFFICES FOR ALL OF THE MATERIALS, SEARCHED THE VARIOUS OTHER LOCATIONS FOR THESE MATERIALS AND I AM WONDERING WHY AFTER OUR TRIAL COMMENCED AND THE JURY SELECTION, ET CETERA, SOME TWO YEARS LATER HE HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT MATERIALS THAT HE DIDN'T FIND TWO YEARS EARLIER WERE SUDDENLY AT THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE?

THE COURT: THERE WAS SOME REFERENCE MADE IN YOUR

AFFIDAVIT TO THE EFFECT THAT THE DEFENDANT'S FATHER WENT UP

TO THE PREMISES THERE, THE BBC OFFICES AND TOOK AWAY A LOT

OF MATERIALS; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW YOU NEVER HAD SEEN THAT MATERIAL, HAD YOU? THE WITNESS: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: BECAUSE OF WHAT KARNY TOLD YOU, DID YOU BELIEVE THERE WERE RECORDS AMONG THOSE PAPERS WHICH WERE TAKEN BY HIS FATHER, RYAN, THAT YOU WANTED TO SEE; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. THE COURT: GO AHEAD. MR. BARENS: WELL, THANK YOU FOR THE HELP, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR, MY INQUIRY STILL GOES TO THE FACT THAT -- ALL RIGHT, LET ME ASK THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR. DID YOU HAVE SOMEONE SPECIFICALLY TELL YOU, Q "LISTEN, MR. BREILING, THE STUFF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR IS PARTLY AT THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE"? 

1 I CAN'T ANSWER YOUR QUESTION YES OR NO THE WAY А 2 IT IS PHRASED. 3 IT IS A SIMPLE QUESTION, IT APPEARS. THE COURT: WELL, GIVE YOUR OWN EXPLANATION OF IT, 5 WILL YOU? 6 THE WITNESS: THE MATERIAL THAT I AM MAKING REFERENCE 7 TO THAT I GOT FROM MR. KARNY, WHEN I GOT IT FROM MR. KARNY, 8 HE TOLD ME THAT WAS PART OF AN ORIGINAL VOLUME OF MATERIAL 9 THAT HAD BEEN AT THE BBC OFFICES. OTHER THAN WHAT I THEN 10 HELD IN MY HANDS, THOSE MATERIALS HAD BEEN SINCE TRANSFERRED 11 TO MR. RYAN HUNT, JOSEPH HUNT'S FATHER. 12 WHEN I SPOKE TO MR. RYAN HUNT, HE SAID "ALL OF 13 THAT MATERIAL HAD SINCE BEEN RETURNED TO JOE." 14 Q MR. BARENS: WELL, DID MR. RYAN HUNT EVER TELL 15 YOU HE HAD EXAMINED THE MATERIALS? 16 I DON'T BELIEVE HE EVER DID, NO. 17 Q NO? 18 AND DID HE EVER TELL YOU HE KNEW WHAT THE MATERIALS 19 CONSISTED OF? 20 Α HE JUST SAID THEY WERE PAPERS FROM THE OFFICE. 21 I DON'T KNOW THAT HE EVER DID READ THEM. 22 Q BY THE WAY, WHEN DID YOU SPEAK TO MR. RYAN HUNT? 23 PROBABLY AROUND MARCH OF 1986, THEREABOUTS, EARLY 24 IN 1986. 25 SO IN 1986, MARCH, WELL BEFORE WE STARTED THIS 26 IN NOVEMBER, YOU BECAME AWARE THAT CERTAIN BBC MATERIALS HAD 27 BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM RYAN HUNT BACK TO MR. JOSEPH HUNT? 28 A THAT'S CORRECT.

1 Q AND WAS THERE A PARTICULAR REASON AT THAT POINT 2 YOU DIDN'T SEEK A WARRANT FOR THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE? 3 YES. 4 WHAT WAS THAT, SIR? 5 THE DOCUMENTS THAT I KNEW THAT RYAN HUNT HAD 6 TURNED -- OR I BELIEVED THAT RYAN HUNT HAD TURNED OVER TO 7 JOSEPH HUNT WERE ORIGINAL BBC CHECKS, CORPORATE DOCUMENTS, 8 ET CETERA. 9 AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME THAT I LEARNED ABOUT 10 THEM, THEY WEREN'T VERY HIGH ON MY PRIORITY LIST OF THINGS 11 TO DO AND I BELIEVED THAT SINCE THEY NOW WERE IN MR. HUNT'S 12 POSSESSION THAT THEY COULD BE OBTAINED ACTUALLY THROUGH YOU 13 BY SUBPOENA. 14 YOU DIDN'T ELECT THAT REMEDY? Q 15 IT WASN'T SOMETHING THAT HAD TO BE DONE THEN. 16 DID SOMETHING OCCUR THAT CREATED THE URGENT 17 NECESSITY TO DO IT ON JANUARY 8TH? 18 Α YES. 19 WHAT WAS THAT, SIR? 20 THE RECEIPT OF THESE PAPERS FROM MR. KARNY THAT 21 I RECEIVED ON THE 19TH OF DECEMBER. THOSE PAPERS CONSISTED 22 OF A LARGE NUMBER OF THESE YELLOW NOTE PADS LIKE YOU HAVE 23 IN FRONT OF YOU. 24 Q RIGHT. 25 COVERED WITH HANDWRITTEN NOTATIONS, NUMERICAL 26 COMPUTATIONS, ET CETERA, AND IN THOSE HANDWRITTEN NOTES 27 WERE THINGS THAT I DEEMED TO BE OF EVIDENTIARY VALUE IN THE 28 CASE OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V. JOSEPH

PADS IN THE HANDWRITING OF MR. HUNT?

	:					
1	A I DIDN'T SAY THAT.					
2	Q WHAT DID THEY CONSIST OF?					
3	А	I SAID THEY WERE YELLOW NOTE PADS THAT CONTAINED				
4	HANDWRITING.					
5		I DIDN'T SAY THEY WERE MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING.				
6	Q	AND IN WHOSE HANDWRITING WERE THEY?				
7	А	I HAVEN'T HAD THEM ANALYZED YET.				
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1	Q WHOSE HANDWRITING WERE THEY REPRESENTED TO YOU						
2	AS BEING?						
3	A ARBEN DOSTI.						
4	Q ARBEN DOSTI'S HANDWRITING?						
5	A ARBEN DOSTI.						
6	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE, ASIDE FROM HANDWRITING						
7	OF ARBEN DOSTI, THAT WAS TURNED OVER TO YOU AT THIS POINT?						
8	A EVERYTHING IN THIS BRIEFCASE.						
9	Q WHAT ELSE WAS IN THERE?						
10	A IT ALSO HAD SOME BLANK CHECKS BELONGING TO ARPEN						
11	DOSTI.						
12	Q AND?						
13	A AND A PHOTOGRAPH OF A CHILD.						
14	Q A PHOTOGRAPH OF A CHILD?						
15	A A SMALL CHILD, YES.						
16	THERE WERE SOME OTHER MISCELLANEOUS LEGAL PAPERS,						
17	AS I RECALL.						
18	Q NOW YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES						
19	OF ARBEN DOSTI GAVE YOU REASON TO BELIEVE THAT MR. HUNT WAS						
20	IN POSSESSION OF CERTAIN MATERIALS?						
21	A I SAID						
22	Q THAT HE WAS PRESENTLY IN POSSESSION OF THEM?						
23	A THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES, YES.						
24	I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY WERE WRITTEN BY ARBEN						
25	DOSTI BECAUSE I HAVEN'T HAD THEM ANALYZED. I DON'T KNOW WHO						
26	WROTE THEM.						
27	ALL I KNOW IS THEY WERE HANDWRITTEN PADS, THE						
28	CONTENTS OF WHICH LINKED THE WRITERS OF THOSE NOTES TO MY						
1							

CASE. I WAS LED TO BELIEVE THAT THOSE WERE PART OF THE LARGER VOLUME OF MATERIAL AND I WANTED TO GET THE LARGER VOLUME OF MATERIAL.

Q WHAT MADE YOU THINK HUNT HAD THE LARGER VOLUME OF MATERIAL?

A AS I TRACED IT OUT IN MY AFFIDAVIT AND STATEMENT

OF PROBABLE CAUSE, I WAS LED TO BELIEVE BY MR. KARNY THAT

THESE PAPERS HAD BEEN PART OF THE LARGER VOLUME OF MATERIALS

THAT WERE IN THE BBC OFFICES. THOSE MATERIALS WERE SUBSEQUENTLY

TRANSFERRED TO JOSEPH HUNT'S FATHER, RYAN HUNT. I HAD THAT

CONFIRMED TO ME BY ATTORNEYS FROM THE SECURITY AND EXCHANGE

COMMISSION, WHO SAID THAT THEY HAD GONE TO MR. HUNT'S HOME,

OR WHEREVER HE HAD THOSE PAPERS.

THE COURT: RYAN HUNT, YOU MEAN?

THE WITNESS: RYAN HUNT, YES, YOUR HONOR.

AND TAKEN XEROX COPIES OF SEVERAL DOCUMENTS,
LEAVING ALL OF THE ORIGINALS WITH MR. RYAN HUNT.

THEY HAD NOT NECESSARILY CATEGORIZED OR CATALOGED
THE MATERIALS. ALL THEY TOOK WERE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND THINGS
RELATING TO A SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION CASE THEY WERE
ATTEMPTING TO DEVELOP AGAINST MR. HUNT. THEREFORE, I HAD
NO WAY OF KNOWING FOR CERTAIN THAT THESE WERE NOT THERE. I
WAS LED TO BELIEVE THAT THEY WERE.

1	
2	

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

NOW, YOU WERE LOOKING FOR THINGS OF EVIDENTIARY Q VALUE, YOU ARE TELLING ME?

Α THAT'S CORRECT.

AND EVIDENCE OF WHAT, SPECIFICALLY?

EVIDENCE THAT WOULD LINK JOSEPH HUNT TO THE MURDER OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT? SPECIFICALLY, WHAT Q DOCUMENTS THAT YOU ARE NOT GOING TO GET PURSUANT TO A WARRANT, WOULD LINK MR. HUNT TO A MURDER, SIR?

A I THINK WHEN WE PUT ON OUR CASE IN THE BAY AREA, WE WILL SHOW THAT MR. ESLAMINIA WAS MURDERED BECAUSE MR. HUNT NEEDED MONEY.

THEREFORE, THE DOCUMENTATION WOULD HELP SUPPORT OUR CASE OR OUR CONTENTION THAT THESE DOCUMENTS RELATE TO THE BBC AND ITS SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES, SHOWING THE MANIPULATION OF FUNDS FROM ONE ACCOUNT TO ANOTHER AND THE FACT THAT THE OPERATION APPARENTLY WAS A PONZI, WHICH WAS IMPLODING, MEANING THAT MR. HUNT NEEDED MONEY.

Q I AM RIGHT WELL AWARE OF YOUR THEORIES UP THERE, HAVING DONE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING WITH YOU, MR. BREILING. THE POINT I AM LOOKING FOR NOW THOUGH IS, IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT KARNY PROVIDES YOU, YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT SUGGESTS TO YOU THAT THERE IS SOMETHING EVIDENTIARY OVER AT THE ROBERTS'.

LIKE, WHAT SPECIFIC THING WAS IN THOSE DOCUMENTS KARNY GIVES YOU THAT SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING EVIDENTIARY OVER AT THE ROBERTS!?

A ALL RIGHT. THERE IS A REFERENCE TO MR. LEON KASSORLA AND A TELEPHONE NUMBER.

MY INVESTIGATION HAS SHOWN ME THAT LEON KASSORLA WAS THE GENTLEMAN WHO INTRODUCED ESLAMINIA TO THE BBC GROUP THROUGH MR. JEROME EISENBERG. THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO ME.

Q BUT, WHAT IN THAT DOCUMENTATION TELLS YOU THERE WILL BE SOMETHING EVIDENTIARY IN THAT REGARD OVER AT THE ROBERTS' HOUSE?

A I AM CONVINCED MYSELF, BY READING THIS, THAT IF
THERE IS EVIDENTIARY MATERIAL HERE AND THIS IS PART OF A
LARGER VOLUME THAT I DON'T HAVE, IT IS REASONABLE TO BELIEVE
THAT THE LARGER VOLUME I DON'T HAVE WILL CONTAIN THE SAME,
SIMILAR TYPES OF MATERIALS. THEREFORE, I TARGET THAT.

Q WELL NOW, WITH THAT IN MIND, ALL I AM ASKING YOU TO DO, MR. BREILING, IS TO TELL ME SPECIFICALLY, SIR, WHAT DOCUMENTS YOU WERE LOOKING FOR AND BELIEVED YOU WOULD FIND AT THE ROBERTS' HOUSE?

A ANYTHING THAT WAS HANDWRITTEN OF A SIMILAR NATURE
TO WHAT I HAD ALREADY IN MY POSSESSION, PLUS ANY FORMAL
DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE BBC AND ITS INVESTMENTS AND ITS
CHECK WRITING AND ET CETERA.

Q YOU WENT OVER THERE THEN WITH THE STATE OF MIND OF LOOKING FOR ANYTHING YOU COULD FIND THAT WOULD HELP YOU OUT, BUT YOU HAD NOTHING SPECIFIC IN MIND THAT YOU BELIEVED WOULD ACTUALLY BE THERE? ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A IT ISN'T, NO.

Q WHEN YOU TELL ME YOU WERE LOOKING FOR ANYTHING AND THAT WAS YOUR FIRST OPERATIVE WORD, THAT SUGGESTS YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING SPECIFIC THAT YOU HAD REASON TO BELIEVE WAS IN FACT, THERE.

TO BELIEVE WERE IN FACT THERE, SIR?

A I HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT I WOULD FIND CHECKS
FROM THE BBC'S ACCOUNTS. I FOUND THEM.

JUST TELL ME THE SPECIFIC THINGS YOU HAD REASON

I HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT I WOULD PROBABLY FIND DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HIS CORPORATIONS. I FOUND THEM.

I HAD REASON TO BELIEVE THAT I MIGHT FIND INVESTOR PAPERS. I FOUND THEM.

Q ALL RIGHT. NOW, DID YOU SPECIFY THESE THINGS
IN YOUR SEARCH WARRANT?

A I THINK I SPECIFIED IN THE SEARCH WARRANT, ALL PAPERS RELATING TO THE BBC, THE INDIVIDUALS THAT WERE INVOLVED THEN.

Q WELL, YOU PROBABLY COULD HAVE BEEN A BIT MORE

SPECIFIC IN TERMS OF THINGS YOU JUST DESCRIBED TO ME -
MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. BARENS: WERE THERE CHECKS AND PAPERS OF THE NATURE YOU JUST DESCRIBED TO ME IN THE KARNY BRIEFCASE THAT WAS PROVIDED YOU?

A NO.

Q DID KARNY TELL YOU, YOU WILL FIND CHECKS OVER AT THE ROBERTS'?

A I WAS ALREADY AWARE THAT THEY WOULD PROBABLY BE
AT THE ROBERTS' FROM WHAT I FOUND OUT FROM THE SECURITIES
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Q BY THE WAY, WERE THE CANCELED CHECKS AVAILABLE

AT ALL TO YOU OR IN FACT, SIMPLY A LIST THERE THAT YOU MIGHT

28

HAVE THROUGH LESS INTRUSIVE MEANS, FOR INSTANCE A SUBPOENA DT, GOTTEN FROM THE BANK?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.

MR. BARENS: WELL, HE CERTAINLY HAD ANOTHER WAY TO GO. HE COULD HAVE GONE TWO YEARS EARLIER AND NOT HAVE DONE IT DURING MY TRIAL.

MR. WAPNER: WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE? HE DIDN'T CHOOSE TO DO THAT.

MR. BARENS: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT HE ANSWERED THAT QUESTION. HE SAID THAT IT WAS NOT UNTIL DECEMBER OF 1986 -- IS THAT RIGHT?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM SURE MR. BREILING IN TRUTH, WOULD TELL YOU TWO YEARS AGO, HE HAD THE SAME VIEW AND THEORY OF THE CASE THAT HE DOES TODAY, ESSENTIALLY AS FAR AS WHAT MR. HUNT'S MOTIVATIONS WERE, VIS-A-VIS MR. ESLAMINIA.

AND CERTAINLY, HE WAS AWARE THERE WAS BANKING TRANSACTIONS INVOLVED THAT HE WOULD ALLEGE, WOULD SHOW THAT THE DEFENDANT AND HIS CORPORATIONS WERE IN NEED OF MONEY. I AM SUBMITTING THAT. I AM JUST ASKING WHY, TWO YEARS EARLIER -

THE COURT: YOU ARE CLAIMING THAT THE SEARCH WARRANT. IS IMPROPER BECAUSE IT CAME TOO LATE? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TELLING ME?

MR. BARENS: IN PART, YOUR HONOR. IT WAS STALE, YOUR HONOR. IT IS TWO YEARS AFTER HE HAS NOTICE OF WHAT HE IS LOOKING FOR. IT WAS TWO YEARS EARLIER THAT --

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO ANSWER THAT, MR. BREILING? THE WITNESS: TWO YEARS AGO, I WAS AWARE OF THE FACT

OR BELIEVED THAT MR. HUNT WOULD HAVE THOSE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS.

AS I STATED EARLIER, IT WASN'T A VERY HIGH
PRIORITY FOR ME TO GO AFTER THEM AT THAT TIME BECAUSE I HAD
REASON TO BELIEVE I COULD PROBABLY GET THEM THROUGH YOU.

SINCE I WAS GOING INTO MR. HUNT'S RESIDENCE THIS
TIME LOOKING FOR THESE OTHER THINGS, IT IS LOGICAL FOR ME
TO GET THESE OTHER THINGS THAT I WAS AWARE OF, THAT WOULD
BE THERE BECAUSE I HAVE TO PRESENT IN COURT, AS YOU ARE WELL
AWARE UNDER THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE, ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS.

SO I SEIZED THEM WHILE I WAS THERE, BELIEVING THEY WOULD BE AND THEY WERE.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

BY MR. BARENS: SO WHAT YOU ARE DOING IS USING THIS OPPORTUNITY FOR A SOMEWHAT GENERAL SEARCH SO YOU CAN MAKE SURE YOU HAVE EVERYTHING IN ONE, NEAT PACKAGE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS SITUATION TO BE COMPREHENSIVE?

WELL, I THINK IF YOU LISTEN TO MY TESTIMONY, IT WAS NOT VERY GENERAL. I WAS VERY SPECIFIC. I HAVE NOT TAKEN A LOT OF THINGS PROBABLY AVAILABLE TO ME.

I LEFT A GREAT DEAL OF MATERIAL THAT POSSIBLY MIGHT BE RELEVANT, HAD I TAKEN THE TIME TO SIT DOWN AND CHEW IT UP AND DIGEST IT.

I TOOK THINGS THAT WERE TO ME, QUITE OBVIOUSLY RELATED TO MY CASE AND LEFT EVERYTHING ELSE.

ALL RIGHT. NOW, IN OBTAINING THIS WARRANT, YOU SAY YOU GOT THIS MATERIAL FROM KARNY AND THEN YOU WROTE UP YOUR AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE WARRANT?

Α THAT'S CORRECT.

DID YOU TALK TO ANYBODY AT THAT TIME BEFORE YOU PRESENTED IT TO A JUDGE?

Α YES I DID.

WHO DID YOU SPEAK TO?

I HAD DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL JOHN VANCE REVIEW THE AFFIDAVIT AND STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE.

> Q WHY DID YOU DO THAT?

I ALWAYS HAVE AN ATTORNEY CHECK MY WORK BEFORE I PRESENT IT TO THE COURT.

Q YOU HAVE DONE THIS A LONG TIME, HAVE YOU NOT?

А TWENTY-FIVE YEARS.

Q NOW, HOW MANY LAWYERS DO YOU USUALLY HAVE REVIEW

```
YOUR WORK BEFORE YOU SUBMIT IT TO A JUDGE?
 1
                 GENERALLY TWO, IF I CAN.
 2
                 AND HOW MANY LAWYERS LOOKED AT YOUR WORK IN THIS
 3
     INSTANCE BEFORE YOU WENT TO A JUDGE?
 4
                 THREE.
 5
           Q
                 AND WHY IS THAT? WHY DID YOU USE EXTRA
 6
     PRECAUTIONS HERE?
 7
                BECAUSE OF THE UNIQUENESS OF THE SITUATION.
           Α
 8
                WHAT SEEMED UNIQUE TO YOU, MR. BREILING?
           Q
 9
           Α
                 THE FACT THAT MR. HUNT IS CURRENTLY IN COURT.
10
                 INDEED, UNIQUE. AND YOU USED THE WORD "RESERVATIONS"
11
     EARLIER, THAT YOU HAD. WHAT RESERVATIONS DID YOU HAVE?
12
                 I HAVE NEVER USED THAT WORD IN THIS HEARING.
13
                YES, I BELIEVE YOU DID, EARLIER ON THIS MORNING,
14
    MR. BREILING. YOU USED THAT WORD THAT YOU HAD SOME
15
     RESERVATIONS.
16
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION.
17
           THE COURT: WELL --
18
           THE WITNESS: THE ONLY RESERVATIONS I HAVE IS FOR AN
19
    AIRLINE.
20
          MR. BARENS: I AM SURE OF THAT, SIR.
21
                WELL, DID YOU HAVE RESERVATIONS?
           Q
22
          Α
                NO.
23
                ALL RIGHT. BUT YOU WENT OUT OF A SENSE OF GENERAL
24
    CONCERN TO SEE THE THREE LAWYERS?
25
          А
                I WOULDN'T EVEN SAY CONCERN.
26
          Q NO CONCERN AT ALL?
27
                NOW COME ON, MR. BARENS. DON'T PUT WORDS INTO
28
          А
```

```
MY MOUTH.
 1
           Q TELL ME WHICH IT WAS.
 2
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
                 BY MR. BARENS: MR. BREILING, WHY DID YOU GO SEE
 5
     THREE LAWYERS WHEN YOU USUALLY SEE TWO?
 6
                I WANTED TO MAKE SURE IF -- THAT MY UNDERSTANDING
 7
     OF PROBABLE CAUSE WAS SUFFICIENT, WOULD THEY AGREE TO IT.
 8
                 IF I COULDN'T CONVINCE SOMEBODY IN MY OFFICE THAT
9
     I HAD PROBABLE CAUSE, I DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE IT BEFORE A JUDGE.
10
               AND DID YOU DISCUSS WITH THOSE THREE LAWYERS ANY
11
    CONCERNS THAT YOU HAD ABOUT THE FACT THAT MR. HUNT WAS
12
    ENGAGED IN TRIAL?
13
                I TALKED TO THEM ABOUT IT. I DON'T THINK THAT I
14
    WOULD USE THE WORD "CONCERN." I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT
15
    THAT MR. HUNT IS IN TRIAL.
16
           Q
                 YOU ARE NOT, ARE YOU?
17
                NO.
18
          Q
               YOU WEREN'T THEN, WERE YOU?
19
          Α
20
                WHAT? WHEN?
             YOU WEREN'T CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT
21
    MR. HUNT WAS STANDING TRIAL AT THAT TIME, WERE YOU?
22
          A CONCERNED? MAYBE YOU AND I ARE LOOKING AT THE
23
    WORD DIFFERENTLY.
24
                I AM NOT CONCERNED THAT HE IS. IT ISN'T A CONCERN
25
    OF MINE.
26
          Q NOT AT ALL. AND DID IT SEEM TO BE A CONCERN OF
27
```

ANY OF THE THREE LAWYERS YOU SPOKE TO, THAT HE WAS A DEFENDANT

```
IN A COURTROOM AT THAT TIME?
 1
                 NO. I WOULDN'T SAY SO.
           Α
 2
                 NONE OF THE FOUR OF YOU WERE CONCERNED. WERE YOU?
 3
                 THE ONLY -- LET'S USE YOUR WORD, "CONCERN" WOULD
 4
     BE THAT DURING THE DISCUSSION OF THE WARRANT, NOTHING BE DONE
 5
     THAT WOULD JEOPARDIZE MR. HUNT'S CASE HERE IN LOS ANGELES.
 6
 7
                 WELL, DID YOU GENTLEMEN DISCUSS THAT ISSUE?
                 ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT I WOULDN'T BE LOOKING
 8
     AT OR SEIZING ANYTHING THAT WAS ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED
 9
     OR DEFENSE-RELATED.
10
           Q
                 BY THE WAY, YOU HAVE KNOWN MR. WAPNER FOR A COUPLE
11
     OF YEARS?
12
                I WOULD SAY TWO YEARS, YES.
13
           Α
                 AND YOU KNOW HIM IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS
14
           Q
     LITIGATION, SIR?
15
16
           Α
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
                 WHEN DID YOU CALL MR. WAPNER ABOUT YOUR PLANNED
17
18
     ACTIVITY TO COME DOWN HERE AND SEARCH MR. HUNT'S RESIDENCE?
19
                 I NEVER DID.
20
                 YOU NEVER DID? WAS THERE A REASON WHY YOU DIDN'T?
           THE COURT: THAT IS LIKE "WHEN DID YOU STOP BEATING
21
22
     YOUR WIFE?"
23
                 TRY NOT TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS. WHY DON'T
24
     YOU ASK HIM, "DID YOU EVER TALK TO HIM ABOUT IT"?
25
                 AND NOT WHEN HE DID.
26
                 THAT IMPLIES THAT HE DID. LET'S ASK QUESTIONS --
     NOT OF THIS KIND, IF YOU DON'T MIND.
27
```

IT IS NOT WORTHY OF YOU AS A LAWYER. SOME OTHER

LAWYER, BUT NOT YOU. 1 2 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. SORRY ABOUT THE LAST QUESTION. 4 Q DID YOU EVER CALL MR. WAPNER ABOUT THIS ACTIVITY, 5 MR. BREILING? 6 A NO. 7 Q WHY DID YOU NOT CALL MR. WAPNER, KNOWING HIM TO 8 BE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WITH RESPONSIBILITY FOR HANDLING 9 THIS CASE? 10 A OKAY. I THOUGHT IT WAS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF 11 MY CASE AND THE BEST INTEREST OF MR. WAPNER THAT HE NOT BE 12 NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE. 13 ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE THOSE TWO, ONE AT A TIME. Q 14 WHY DID YOU THINK IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST INTEREST 15 OF YOUR CASE THAT MR. WAPNER BE KEPT INSULATED OR ISOLATED 16 FROM THIS ACTIVITY? 17 A I KNOW MR. WAPNER TO BE AN HONEST MAN. 18 MR. BARENS: I STIPULATE, SIR. 19 THE WITNESS: AND I BELIEVED THERE WAS A STRONG 20 POSSIBILITY THAT HE MIGHT LET YOU KNOW THAT I WAS GOING TO 21 SERVE THE WARRANT, AND THUS LET MR. HUNT KNOW. AND I DIDN'T 22 WANT TO HAVE ANYTHING TAKEN FROM THE RESIDENCE PRIOR TO THE 23 TIME I GOT THERE. 24 THE COURT: AS LONG AS YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THIS, THERE 25 IS SOME REFERENCE IN YOUR PAPERS THAT WHEN YOU MET MR. ROBERTS, 26 HE SAID SOMETHING ABOUT HE WAS EXPECTING YOU. DID HE EXPLAIN 27 THAT TO YOU?

THE WITNESS: NO. HE JUST MADE THE SPONTANEOUS STATEMENT

1	WHEN I SAID I WAS THERE TO SERVE A WARRANT, HE SAID THAT HE
2	EXPECTED IT BUT I WOULDN'T FIND WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR IN
3	THAT HOUSE. I DID NOT ASK HIM TO ELABORATE ON THAT
4	STATEMENT.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Q TOO, AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR ANSWER, YOUR FIRST CONCERN YOU HAD, YOU FELT IF YOU TOLD MR. WAPNER THAT YOU WERE GOING TO SEARCH THE RESIDENCE, THAT MR. WAPNER WOULD FEEL SOME INCUMBENCY TO TELL ME THERE WAS GOING TO BE AN EXERCISE OF A SEARCH WARRANT BY A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY?

A I DIDN'T SAY I FELT THAT HE WOULD FEEL SOME

A I DIDN'T SAY I FELT THAT HE WOULD FEEL SOME INCUMBENCY.

I SAID I WOULD CONSIDER IT A POSSIBILITY.

ALSO, I WOUDLN'T WANT MR. WAPNER TO HAVE KNOWLEDGE THAT POSSIBLY LATER YOU MIGHT INDICATE TO THE COURT HE WAS CONCEALING FROM THE COURT.

I WANTED MR. WAPNER TO FIND OUT AT THE SAME TIME
YOU DID SO THAT HE COULD HONESTLY STAND BEFORE THE JUDGE AND
SAY "I KNEW NOTHING ABOUT IT. I HAVE CONCEALED NOTHING."

SO I WAS ATTEMPTING TO LOOK OUT FOR HIS INTEREST.

THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT HE DID, BY THE WAY.

THE WITNESS: I AM SURE HE DID.

MR. BARENS: I, TOO, HAVE ALWAYS TOLD MR. WAPNER I BELIEVED MR. WAPNER HAD NO PRIOR KNOWLEDGE, AS I SAID FROM THE OUTSET, YOUR HONOR.

Q IN ANY EVENT, WHY WAS IT YOU WERE CONCERNED THAT MR. WAPNER BE ABLE TO TELL THE JUDGE THAT HE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS?

A I THINK IT IS JUST A PERSONAL OPINION.

Q COULD I HAVE WHAT THE GENESIS OF THAT OPINION IS, SIR?

A LIKE I SAID, I THINK MR. WAPNER IS A VERY HONEST

MAN AND I THINK THAT HE HAS PROBABLY BEEN EXTREMELY OPEN IN

```
HIS COMMUNICATIONS, NOT ONLY WITH DEFENSE COUNSEL, BUT WITH
 1
     THE COURT. AND I WAS AFRAID HE MIGHT INADVERTENTLY SAY SOME-
 2
 3
     THING IF HE DIDN'T DO IT INTENTIONALLY.
 4
                  I DIDN'T WANT TO JEOPARDIZE MY POSITION AND I
 5
     DIDN'T WANT HIS POSITION WITH THE COURT JEOPARDIZED.
 6
                  DID YOU EVER TELL LES ZOELLER, "LISTEN, LES,
 7
     BE SURE AND DON'T TELL FRED WAPNER WHO YOU ARE WORKING FOR
 8
     ON THIS. DO NOT LET MR. WAPNER KNOW WHAT YOU ARE DOING?"
 9
            Α
                  I DON'T THINK I SAID THAT TO ZOELLER.
10
                  I DID SAY, "I AM NOT TELLING FRED."
11
                  I DID NOT SPECIFICALLY TELL HIM NOT TO. BUT I
12
    DID TELL HIM WHY I WASN'T AND ASSUMED HE WOULD GO ALONG WITH
13
    MY FEELINGS.
14
                  YOU TOLD LES ZOELLER THAT YOU DIDN'T TELL
15
    MR. WAPNER ABOUT THIS BECAUSE YOU WERE AFRAID THAT MR. WAPNER
16
    WOULD LET THE JUDGE OR THE COUNSEL KNOW ABOUT THIS PLANNED
17
    ACTIVITY?
18
                 I WASN'T CONCERNED THAT HE TELL THE JUDGE.
19
                  I WAS CONCERNED THAT HE WOULD TELL YOU.
20
            Q
                 WHY WAS THAT? DID YOU PRESUME I WOULD IMMEDIATELY
21
    TELL THAT TO MY CLIENT?
22
           A I FELT THE TYPE OF ATTORNEY YOU ARE, THINKING
23
    IT WAS IN YOUR CLIENT'S BEST INTEREST, YOU WOULD TELL YOUR
24
    CLIENT, YES.
25
           Q WHY DID IT OCCUR TO YOU THAT MR. WAPNER WOULD
26
    KNOWINGLY TELL THE DEFENSE COUNSEL SOMETHING THAT WOULD
27
    EFFECTIVELY -- COULD EFFECTIVELY DEFEAT THE EXERCISE OF YOUR
```

WARRANT; DOES THAT SEEM REASONABLE TO YOU, MR. BREILING?

1 А I SAID THAT HE MIGHT INADVERTENTLY DO THAT. 2 I DIDN'T SAY THAT HE WOULD DIRECTLY DO IT. IT IS POSSIBLE HE COULD HAVE. I CONSIDERED THAT POSSIBILITY. 3 4 BUT AS I TRIED TO PREFACE THAT BY SAYING THAT 5 I THINK OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION ON MR. WAPNER'S PART 6 TO TRY TO KEEP THIS CASE CLEAN DOWN HERE, HE MIGHT DO SOMETHING 7 LIKE THAT. 8 0 WERE YOU AWARE THAT MR. WAPNER HAD COME FORTH 9 TO THE COURT AND TOLD THE COURT ABOUT AN ALLEGED HOMICIDE 10 THAT DEAN KARNY WAS INVOLVED IN IN HOLLYWOOD? 11 YES, I WAS. 12 WERE YOU CONCERNED THEN THAT IF HE WAS THAT FORTH-13 COMING ABOUT THE KARNY HOMICIDE, HE MIGHT BE THAT FORTHCOMING 14 ABOUT YOUR SEARCHING THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE? 15 I CONSIDERED THAT POSSIBILITY, YES. Α 16 HAD YOU CONSIDERED TELLING MR. WAPNER ABOUT YOUR 17 ANTICIPATED SEARCH OF THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE BEFORE YOU BECAME 18 AWARE THAT HE HAD DIVULGED THE SECRET OF THE KARNY HOMICIDE 19 IN HOLLYWOOD TO THE JUDGE? 20 THE COURT: WHAT? 21 THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY. I DON'T --22 THE COURT: I DON'T GET THAT QUESTION. 23 MR. WAPNER: THERE IS AN OBJECTION. IT IS VAGUE AND 24 UNINTELLIGIBLE. 25 THE COURT: REPHRASE IT. 26 BY MR. BARENS: BEFORE YOU BECAME AWARE THAT 27 MR. WAPNER HAD TOLD THE JUDGE ABOUT THE POTENTIAL INVOLVEMENT 28 OF KARNY IN THE HOLLYWOOD HOMICIDE, AT THAT POINT IN TIME

I AM NOT CONCERNED THAT WHAT I WAS GOING TO BE

Α

5 A

DOING WOULD VIOLATE THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHTS.

IF I FELT THAT I WAS GOING TO BE VIOLATING HIS RIGHTS OR INTERFERING WITH DUE PROCESS OF LAW, AS I TOLD MR. CHIER AT THE SCENE, I WOULD NOT HAVE DONE IT.

I THOUGHT THAT EVERYTHING THAT I WAS DOING WAS LEGAL, ETHICAL AND MORAL.

Q I AM SURE YOU DID HAVE THAT STATE OF MIND, MR.
BREILING, AND I DON'T DISPUTE YOUR OSTENSIVE -- THAT YOU
BELIEVED YOUR OUTLOOK WAS THAT YOU WERE ACTING PROPERLY, I
AM NOT DISPUTING THAT.

WHAT I AM ASKING ABOUT IS, AS A MATTER OF PROTOCOL
AND PROPER PROCEDURE, IT NEVER OCCURRED TO YOU THAT OUT OF
CAUTION YOU SHOULD PROBABLY MENTION TO THE D.A. DOWN HERE
"LISTEN, WE ARE GOING TO DO THIS, DO YOU THINK THERE IS ANYTHING
WE SHOULD DO TO BE CAUTIONARY SO THAT WE DON'T RESULT IN THE
REVERSAL OF YOUR TRIAL OR A MISTRIAL DOWN THERE IN L.A. OR
A DISMISSAL OF YOUR TRIAL DOWN IN L.A." IT NEVER OCCURRED
TO YOU TO DO THAT?

A I TOLD YOU I SAID I DID CONSIDER THE POSSIBILITY

OF EITHER TELLING HIM OR NOT. I CONSIDERED IT IN HIS BEST

INTEREST AND THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CASE THAT I DON'T, SO

IT WAS TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION, YES.

Q DIDN'T YOU THINK YOU COULD HAVE TAKEN A PROPHYLACTIC IN HAVING DISCUSSED THIS WITH MR. WAPNER AND SAID TO HIM, "LISTEN, MR. WAPNER, I AM TELLING YOU, BUT BE SURE AND DON'T SLIP INADVERTENTLY AND SAY ANYTHING TO DEFENSE COUNSEL ABOUT OUR CONVERSATION."

THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THE ISSUE IN THIS CASE?

MR. BARENS: WELL, BECAUSE --

THE COURT: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO AT ALL WITH THE ISSUE IN THIS CASE.

THE ISSUE IN THIS CASE IS VERY SIMPLE, AS YOU KNOW: WAS THERE ANYTHING TAKEN IN THIS CASE WHICH IS

```
CONFIDENTIAL AND IMPROPER AND IN ANY WAY INTERFERES WITH THE
 1
 2
     DEFENSE?
 3
            MR. BARENS: I THINK IT GOES BEYOND BEING TAKEN BUT
     AS WE SAY --
 5
            THE COURT: OR EVEN READING IT.
 6
            MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 7
            THE COURT: YOU CAN ASK HIM WHETHER HE READ IT AND
 8
     COMMUNICATED THAT TO ANYONE.
 9
            MR. BARENS: I AM PROCEEDING ALONG HERE, YOUR HONOR.
10
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S MAKE HASTE.
11
                BY MR. BARENS: NOW, DID YOU EVER DISCUSS WITH
12
     EITHER OF ANY OF THE THREE LAWYERS THAT YOU REFERENCED WITH
13
     YOUR AFFIDAVIT, THE FACT THAT WHETHER YOU WERE GOING TO TELL
14
     MR. WAPNER OR NOT?
15
            Α
                 YES.
16
                WHOM DID YOU DISCUSS THAT WITH?
            Q
17
            Α
              JOHN VANCE.
18
                 AND WHAT DID MR. VANCE TELL YOU HIS OPINION WAS
            Q
19
     ON THE PROPRIETY OF WHETHER OR NOT YOU OUGHT TO TELL MR. WAPNER?
20
            A HE AGREED.
21
                 AND WHAT WAS HIS AGREEMENT -- WHAT DID HE TELL
22
     YOU WHY HE AGREED WITH YOU?
23
                 I DON'T RECALL ANY SPECIFIC REASONS THAT HE GAVE.
24
                 I ENUMERATED MY BELIEF TO HIM. HE AGREED.
25
            Q
                 THAT FRED MIGHT SLIP AND SAY SOMETHING -- MR.
26
     WAPNER MIGHT SLIP AND SAY SOMETHING?
27
            A HE MIGHT EITHER SAY INADVERTENTLY OR MIGHT DO
28
     SOMETHING DIRECTLY, YES.
```

1	Q NOW, YOU NEVER DISCUSSED THAT ISSUE WITH THE					
2	OTHER TWO LAWYERS, MR. BASS OR THE HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT					
3	THERE?					
4	A I DID NOT DISCUSS IT WITH MR. BASS.					
5	Q OR THE OTHER GENTLEMAN?					
6	A I DON'T RECALL WHETHER I DISCUSSED IT WITH MR.					
7	GORDINER OR NOT. I MAY HAVE.					
8	Q DO YOU RECALL IF HE AGREED OR DISAGREED, IF YOU					
9	DID DISCUSS IT WITH HIM, IF HE AGREED OR DISAGREED WITH YOUR					
10	OPINION?					
11	A IF HE HAD DISAGREED, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN DONE					
12	DIFFERENTLY.					
13	HE IS THE BOSS.					
14	Q I DON'T KNOW.					
15	A I AM JUST MAKING THAT STATEMENT.					
16	Q ALL RIGHT. WERE YOU AWARE WHO THE TRIAL JUDGE					
17	UP THERE IN SAN MATEO IS ON THE ESLAMINIA CASE, WEREN'T YOU?					
18	A JUDGE MILLER, I BELIEVE, HAS BEEN ASSIGNED, THAT					
19	IS CORRECT.					
20	Q RIGHT.					
21	HAD YOU EVER GOTTEN A SEARCH WARRANT FROM JUDGE					
22	MC DONALD BEFORE?					
23	A NO.					
24	Q WHY IN THIS INSTANCE, INSTEAD OF GOING TO THE					
25	TRIAL JUDGE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE WARRANT, DID YOU GO TO					
26	JUDGE MC DONALD, WHO WASN'T INVOLVED WITH THE CASE?					
27	A I CALLED THE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE FROM					
28	SAN FRANCISCO, THIS IS IN SAN MATEO, AND ASKED IF THEY HAD					

A JUDGE AVAILABLE TO REVIEW THE WARRANT. THEY SAID THE ONLY ONE AVAILABLE WAS JUDGE MC DONALD. Q DID YOU EVER ASK JUDGE MILLER IF HE WAS AVAILABLE TO REVIEW THE WARRANT? А NO. Q ANY PARTICULAR REASON YOU DIDN'T GO TO JUDGE MILLER? A I TOLD YOU, AS SOON AS MY WARRANT WAS AUTHORIZED TO PRESENT IT TO A JUDGE BY MY SUPERIORS, I CALLED THE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE IN SAN MATEO COUNTY, ASKED THEM IF THEY HAD A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE AVAILABLE TO REVIEW MY WARRANT. THEY SAID THE ONLY ONE THAT THEY HAD WAS MC DONALD, SO I TOOK HIM. 

DID YOU MENTION TO JUDGE MC DONALD THAT Q 1 JUDGE MILLER WAS HANDLING THE CASE? 2 Α NO. I DON'T THINK I DID. 3 WASN'T IT YOUR PRACTICE IN THE PAST TO GO TO THE 4 TRIAL JUDGE FOR YOUR WARRANT MATERIALS? 5 Α NO. 6 YOU HAD NEVER DONE THAT IN THE PAST? Q 7 Α I CAN'T SAY THAT I HAVE. NO. 8 THE OTHER WARRANTS THAT I HAVE GOTTEN DOING THIS 9 CASE, HAVE ALL BEEN THROUGH THE MUNICIPAL COURT. 10 I HAVE GONE TO MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES IN SANTA 11 CLARA, SAN MATEO, AND SAN FRANCISCO COUNTIES. 12 DID YOU EVER DISCUSS WITH MR. VANCE, WHO YOU WERE 13 GOING TO GO BEFORE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE WARRANT? 14 NO, NOT IN ADVANCE. I JUST TOLD HIM I HAD A JUDGE. Α 15 Q AFTER THE FACT, SIR? 16 AFTER I FOUND OUT THAT MC DONALD WAS AVAILABLE. Α 17 SO YOU TELL ME TRUE, THAT THERE WAS NO PARTICULAR 18 REASON OTHER THAN SOME MECHANICAL REASON AS TO WHY YOU DIDN'T 19 20 GO TO JUDGE MILLER? THAT'S IT. 21 ALL RIGHT. NOW, PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE 22 WARRANT, HAD YOU TALKED TO ANY POLICE OFFICERS IN SOUTHERN 23 CALIFORNIA? 24 25 А DURING MY 25-YEAR CAREER? NO. NO, SORRY, SIR. WITH REFERENCE TO YOUR 26 INTENT AND I THINK YOU KNOW MR. BREILING, WHAT I AM REFERRING 27

TO, PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT YOU SOUGHT THE ISSUANCE OF THE

28

WARRANT, DID YOU TALK TO ANY OFFICERS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 1 IN ANY WAY, IN CONJUNCTION WITH YOUR INTENTION TO EXERCISE 2 THIS WARRANT? 3 А YES I DID. 4 Q RIGHT. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE WARRANT? 5 Α YES. 6 WHO DID YOU TALK TO? Q 7 THE WARRANT WAS SIGNED ON THE 6TH. I THINK ON 8 THE 5TH OF DECEMBER, I TALKED TO LES ZOELLER FROM BEVERLY 9 HILLS P.D. 10 NOW, WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 11 LES ZOELLER AND FRED WAPNER, VIS-A-VIS THE PROSECUTION OF 12 JOE HUNT IN LOS ANGELES? 13 I KNOW THAT LES ZOELLER IS THE INVESTIGATING 14 OFFICER IN THAT CASE, YES. 15 WERE YOU FAMILIAR THAT HE WAS IN CONTACT WITH 16 Q THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN THIS CASE? 17 I WOULD ASSUME SO, YES. 18 AND WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE FACT THAT HE WAS 19 IN CONTACT WITH ALL OF THE PROSECUTION WITNESSES IN THIS CASE? 20 21 I WOULD ASSUME SO, YES. NOW, WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE FACT THAT HE HAD 22 PREVIOUSLY SEARCHED VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 23 FOR MATERIALS INVOLVED WITH YOUR CASE? 24 25 А YES. 26

Q NOW, WERE YOU ALSO FAMILIAR AND HAD A STATE OF
MIND THAT THE DEFENDANT MIGHT HAVE IN HIS POSSESSION, ATTORNEY/
CLIENT MATERIALS REFERRABLE TO HIS DEFENSE IN THIS CASE?

	ı	

A I HAVE ALREADY TESTIFIED TO THAT, YES.

Q YET NONETHELESS, YOU SOUGHT THE ASSISTANCE OF THE CHIEF INVESTIGATING OFFICER IN THE PROSECUTION AGAINST MR. HUNT IN THIS CASE, TO ASSIST YOU IN AFFECTING A SEARCH WARRANT ON THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE?

A THAT'S A CORRECT STATEMENT.

Q WHY DID YOU ASK ZOELLER TO ASSIST YOU IN THE SEARCH?

A I HAVE KNOWN LES TWO YEARS, AS WELL, BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUSLY HELPFUL TO ME IN MY CASE.

AND AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE RECOVERY OF MY BODY, WHAT WAS LEFT OF IT, WAS ACCOMPLISHED WHEN THE INFORMANT IN THE CASE, WENT WITH LES OUT INTO THE ANGELES NATIONAL FOREST.

SO, HE IS VERY INTIMATE WITH MY CASE. THERE IS NOBODY SOUTH OF THE TEHACHAPIS THAT KNOWS MORE ABOUT MY CASE THAN LES ZOELLER.

IT WOULD ALMOST BE SAFE TO SAY THAT THERE IS NO SWORN OFFICER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT KNOWS MORE ABOUT MY CASE THAN LES ZOELLER.

Q THERE IS NO OFFICER SOUTH OF THE TEHACHAPIS WITH MORE KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE LEVIN CASE ALSO, IS THERE, MR. BREILING?

A I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO SAY THAT BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHAT OFFICERS IN BEVERLY HILLS DO OR DO NOT KNOW.

Q WELL, YOU ARE PERSONALLY NOT AWARE OF ANY OFFICER,

ARE YOU, MORE INVOLVED IN THE LEVIN PROSECUTION THAN

MR. ZOELLER IS, MR. BREILING, ARE YOU?

A PRESENTLY, NO. HE IS THE LEAD OFFICER.

I KNOW ORIGINALLY, THERE WAS A DETECTIVE RICK KING, I BELIEVE, WHO WAS INVOLVED WITH HIM.

AND I DON'T KNOW WHETHER LES HAD ANOTHER PARTNER OR NOT.

Q WELL, YOU HAVE NOT HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT MR. KING FOR TWO YEARS, HAVE YOU?

A YES, ABOUT TWO YEARS, I GUESS.

Q IT HAS BEEN TWO YEARS SINCE YOU HEARD HE WAS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE?

A THAT'S TRUE.

Q FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS, THE ONE YOU HAVE ALWAYS

SEEN AS THE LEADING INVESTIGATING OFFICER FOR THE PROSECUTION

IN THIS CASE, IS LES ZOELLER?

A ABSOLUTELY.

Q WELL, DIDN'T YOU THINK IT WAS RISKY INVITING THE CHIEF INVESTIGATING OFFICER ON THIS MURDER PROSECUTION DOWN HERE, INTO THE DEFENDANT'S BEDROOM?

A NO, NOT REALLY. MR. BARENS, I BASED PART OF THAT DECISION UPON REPRESENTATIONS MADE BY YOU IN COURT IN SAN FRANCISCO.

YOU SAID IN COURT THAT IF MR. HUNT WAS ALLOWED

TO BE OUT ON BAIL, HE WOULD BE IN YOUR OFFICE ON A DAILY BASIS,
WORKING ON HIS DEFENSE.

Q YES, SIR.

A I WAS LED TO BELIEVE BY THOSE STATEMENTS THAT

THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. THEREFORE, DEFENSE
RELATED MATERIAL WOULD LOGICALLY BE FOUND FOR THE MOST PART,

IN YOUR OFFICES, IF ANYTHING. THAT IS, RATHER THAN HAVE

SOMETHING AT HOME.	ΙF	ΙT	WAS,	ΙT	WOULDN'T	ВЕ	OF	ANY	GREAT	VOLUM
--------------------	----	----	------	----	----------	----	----	-----	-------	-------

Q MR. BREILING, WOULD IT NOT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT THROUGHOUT YOUR CAREER, YOU HAVE TAKEN HOMEWORK HOME WITH YOU?

A CERTAINLY.

Q WOULD IT NOT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT AT ANY GIVEN

TIME, WERE I TO COME INTO YOUR HOME, IT IS VERY LIKELY THAT

I WOULD FIND MATERIALS THAT INVOLVE CASES YOU ARE WORKING

ON PRESENTLY IN YOUR HOME?

A QUITE POSSIBLY.

Q WOULDN'T IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT IF YOU WERE ON TRIAL FOR YOUR LIFE, THAT IT WOULD BE REASONABLE THAT YOU WOULD HAVE A LOT OF MATERIALS YOU WERE WORKING ON AND PREPARING FOR YOUR LAWYERS IN YOUR BEDROOM?

A NO. I WOULDN'T SAY THAT.

IF I HAD A LAWYER AND IF I WAS IN HIS OFFICES

EVERY DAY, I WOULD EXPECT THAT THE VOLUME OF MY MATERIAL WOULD

BE IN MY LAWYER'S OFFICES WHERE I WAS WORKING.

YES, I WOULD BRING HOME HOMEWORK. THEREFORE,
I WOULD HAVE A MUCH SMALLER VOLUME OF MATERIAL AT HOME THAN
IN MY LAWYER'S OFFICES.

Q AND IT WOULDN'T OCCUR TO YOU THAT YOU MIGHT KEEP

A MIRROR REFLECTION OF THE FILES THAT WERE AT THE LAW

OFFICE, AT HOME WITH YOU FOR FOLLOW-UP WORK? IT WOULD NEVER

OCCUR TO YOU THAT THAT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE?

A I HAVE NEVER BEEN A DEFENDANT IN A MURDER CASE, SO IT HAS NEVER BEEN A SITUATION THAT I HAD TO FACE.

Q WELL, WOULDN'T IT BE REASONABLE TO YOU TO ASSUME

IN YOUR STATE OF MIND, THAT A DEFENDANT IN A MURDER TRIAL FACING A POSSIBLE EXECUTION, MIGHT HAVE A BIT MORE HOMEWORK AT HOME THAN YOU MIGHT WHEN YOU ARE WORKING ON ONE OF YOUR CASES?

A I THINK AS I TESTIFIED, I EXPECTED HIM TO HAVE MATERIALS AT HOME. AND I DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO THOSE MATERIALS. AND I THINK WHAT YOU ARE LEADING UP TO IS, WHY DID I TAKE LES ZOELLER.

HE WOULD HAVE A FAR BETTER KNOWLEDGE OF THOSE MATERIALS THAN I AND BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THEM EVEN MUCH QUICKER THAN I.

24

25

26

27

28

Q BUT HE COULDN'T IDENTIFY THEM, MR. BREILING, UNLESS 1 HE LOOKED AT THEM? 2 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE. 3 BY MR. BARENS: HOW COULD HE? THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 5 BY MR. BARENS: MR. BREILING, THE WAY HUMANS OPERATE, 6 TO IDENTIFY SOMETHING, I PRESUME ONE HAS TO LOOK AT IT, SIR? 7 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE. 8 THE COURT: I WILL LET HIM ANSWER IT. 9 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 IS THAT CORRECT, MR. BREILING? 11 CERTAINLY, I CAN'T IDENTIFY SOMETHING BY SIGHT 12 WITHOUT LOOKING AT IT, THAT'S CORRECT. 13 Q WELL, YOU KNOW HE WAS NOT GOING UP THERE ON 14 BRAILLE, MR. BREILING. WE KNOW THAT HE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK 15 AT THINGS TO IDENTIFY THEM. 16 THE COURT: WELL, LET'S GET SPECIFIC. DO YOU KNOW OF 17 ANY DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE OF A CONFIDENTIAL NATURE, DEFENSE-18 RELATED THAT YOU KNOW THAT MR. ZOELLER LOOKED AT AND READ? 19 MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, HEARSAY. BUT OBVIOUSLY, YOU 20 WILL PROCEED ANYHOW. 21 22

THE COURT: SURELY. I CAN ASK MY OWN QUESTIONS. YOU DON'T THINK I WILL OVERRULE IT?

MR. BARENS: I KNOW. BUT I HAVE TO MAKE THE OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD. IT IS A HEARSAY QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I DO NOT KNOW MR. ZOELLER SAW ANYTHING
DEFENSE-RELATED. HE HAS PERSONALLY TOLD ME THAT HE DID NOT.

```
I TAKE HIM AT HIS WORD.
 1
           Q BY MR. BARENS: WHAT CAN I DO? I CAN'T.
 2
                 IN ANY EVENT, DID YOU EVER SEE MR. ZOELLER LOOK
 3
     AT ANYTHING THAT YOU GENTLEMEN DECIDED WAS SOMETHING HE
     SHOULDN'T SFF?
 5
           A THE THINGS THAT I SAW MR. ZOELLER LOOKING AT,
 6
     I SEIZED.
 7
          THE COURT: YOU DID WHAT?
 8
          THE WITNESS: I SEIZED.
 9
          Q BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU SEE ANYTHING THAT
10
    MR. ZOELLER LOOKED AT THAT YOU DID NOT SEIZE?
11
                NO.
12
          Q SO IN OTHER WORDS, ZOELLER NEVER SAW ANYTHING
13
    THAT WAS NOT SEIZED?
14
         A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THE ONLY THING THAT
15
    HE TOOK OR LOOKED AT IS WHAT I SEIZED. HE MAY HAVE PUT
16
    SOME THINGS ASIDE. BUT I CAN'T TELL YOU THE REASONS THAT
17
    HE WOULD HAVE SET THEM ASIDE.
18
          THE COURT: DON'T YOU THINK WE OUGHT TO RESERVE THESE
19
    QUESTIONS FOR MR. ZOELLER?
20
         MR. BARENS: WELL, JUST A COUPLE MORE ON THIS, IF YOU
21
    WOULD.
22
         Q YOU AREN'T AWARE OF EVERYTHING MR. ZOELLER LOOKED
23
    AT, ARE YOU?
24
         A THAT'S CORRECT.
25
          Q YOU WEREN'T PRESENT AT ALL TIMES DURING HIS
26
    EXAMINATION OF MATERIALS, WERE YOU?
27
```

A THAT'S CORRECT.

28

THE COURT: AT ANY RATE, THE FACT IS, YOU DID ADMONISH 1 HIM, DID YOU NOT, NOT TO EXAMINE ANYTHING IN ANY WAY DEFENSE-2 RELATED OR THAT MIGHT INVOLVE A CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION 3 BETWEEN ATTORNEY AND CLIENT? 4 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 6 Q BY MR. BARENS: NOW, HOW DID YOU DETERMINE WHO 7 WAS GOING TO COMPOSE YOUR TEAM FOR THE SEARCH OF THE ROBERTS! 8 RESIDENCE? 9 HOW DID I DETERMINE THAT? 10 11 Q YES. WELL, THE DAY BEFORE OR THE EVENING BEFORE THIS 12 13 SEACH, I HAD MR. ZOELLER DRIVE ME TO THE AREAS SO THAT I COULD LOOK AT THE PLACE. IT IS SURROUNDED BY HEDGES. BUT I WAS 14 ABLE TO DETERMINE THAT THERE WERE AT LEAST TWO BUILDINGS THAT 15 LOOKED -- WELL, WHAT LOOKED LIKE TWO BUILDINGS. 16 HAD YOU NOT SEEN THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE PRIOR 17 TO THIS OCCASION? 18 19 I HAD BEEN BY IT. I HAD NOT LOOKED AT IT. I HAD NOT BEEN INSIDE. 20 21 I HAD NO IDEA WHAT THE INSIDE WAS LIKE. INSIDE THE GROUNDS, I DIDN'T KNOW HOW MANY BUILDINGS WERE THERE. 22 23 I HAD INITIALLY PLANNED TO SERVE THE WARRANT WITH ONE TEAM, 24 GOING TO ONE HOUSE. 25 THE DAY BEFORE, I WENT OUT AND I SAW THAT THERE WAS AT LEAST ONE GARAGE OR OUTBUILDING. AND SO WHEN I SET 26 27 UP THE PLAN THE FOLLOWING MORNING, I REQUESTED THAT ZOELLER 28 GO TO THE DETACHED HOUSE, THINKING THATTHIS PROBABLY WOULD

7 F

```
1
            Q BY MR. BARENS: WHY IN THE WORLD WERE YOU INTERESTED
 2
     IN MY RESIDENCE?
 3
            Α
                CURIOUS.
 4
              WHY WAS THAT?
 5
            MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. WHAT ARE WE DOING
 6
     HERE?
 7
            THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
 8
            Q BY MR. BARENS: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THAT TO
 9
     BEGIN WITH, MR. BREILING?
10
                  IN ANY EVENT, YOU DROVE BY THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE
11
     BACK THEN IN DECEMBER?
12
            Α
                  DROVE BY THE PROPERTY, THAT IS CORRECT.
13
            Q
              IN DECEMBER OF '84?
14
                 DID YOU GO BY THERE ON MORE THAN ONE OCCASION?
15
                 I WANT TO SAY NO BUT IN THE INTEREST OF SAFETY,
16
     I WILL SAY I WENT MORE THAN ONCE.
17
                 HOW DID YOU PICK THE EXACT OFFICERS THAT WERE
18
     GOING TO ACCOMPANY YOU ON THE SEARCH AT THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE?
19
           Α
                 I HAVE ALREADY EXPLAINED TO YOUR SATISFACTION,
20
     EVEN THOUGH PERHAPS YOU DON'T AGREE, LES ZOELLER, THAT IS
21
     CORRECT.
22
                 I UNDERSTAND YOU PICKED MR. ZOELLER.
23
              RIGHT.
24
            Q
                 ASIDE FROM MR. ZOELLER, YOU HAD OTHER PEOPLE?
25
           Α
                RIGHT.
26
           MR. WAPNER: I WANT TO OBJECT TO THIS ON THE GROUNDS
27
    OF RELEVANCY.
28
```

MR. BARENS: I THINK IT IS QUITE WELL RELEVANT THAT

```
1
     WE HAVE THE OFFICERS INVESTIGATING THE DEAN KARNY HOMICIDE.
     AS PART OF THE SEARCH TEAM THAT GOES TO THE ROBERTS HOUSE,
 2
 3
     WHY IN THE WORLD THOSE FOLKS THERE?
 4
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT IS A QUESTION: WHY IN
 5
     THE WORLD WERE THOSE FOLKS DOWN THERE WITH YOU? TELL US
 6
     THAT, WILL YOU?
 7
            THE WITNESS: THOSE WERE THE ONLY TWO OFFICERS IN THE
 8
     ENTIRE L.A. POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT I KNOW.
 9
                  I THOUGHT INITIALLY MR. ROBERTS' PROPERTY WAS
10
     IN THE JURISDICTION OF BEVERLY HILLS. WHEN I FOUND OUT IT
11
     WASN'T AND IT WAS IN LOS ANGELES, I GOT THOSE TWO.
12
            Q BY MR. BARENS: AND YOU MEAN IT WAS JUST A
13
    CONINCIDENCE THAT THE OFFICERS THAT ARE INVESTIGATING THE
14
     KARNY MURDER IN HOLLYWOOD END UP SEARCHING JOE HUNT'S HOUSE?
15
              I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY "KARNEY
16
    MURDER".
17
           THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
18
           MR. BARENS: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
19
           THE COURT: YES.
20
           MR. BARENS: THE ALLEGED --
21
           THE COURT: WE HAVE GONE THROUGH ALL OF THAT. WE HAVE
22
     INVESTIGATED ALL OF THAT. WE HAVE FOUND OUT IT WAS COMPLETELY
23
    BASELESS, DIDN'T WE?
24
           MR. BARENS: YOU MIGHT HAVE, YOUR HONOR.
25
           THE COURT: YES. WE ALL DID.
26
           MR. BARENS: NO, I DIDN'T. YOUR HONOR DID.
27
```

THE COURT: YOU DROPPED IT, DIDN'T YOU?

MR. WAPNER: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, CAN WE JUST

- 3

5735

BY MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU FEEL IN YOUR MIND THAT ANY OF THEIR ACTIVITIES AT THE ROBERTS HOUSE THAT DAY MIGHT THROW A LITTLE LIGHT ON THEIR INVESTIGATION ON THAT

I HAD -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON IN THAT HOLLYWOOD CASE, OTHER THAN THAT I WAS ONE OF THE SUSPECTS,

COULD YOU ANSWER MY QUESTION, MR. BREILING?

WOULD YOU REPEAT IT, PLEASE?

MR. BARENS: IF YOU CAN READ IT BACK, PLEASE? (WHEREUPON, THE PENDING QUESTION WAS READ BY THE COURT REPORTER.) THE WITNESS: I CAN'T SAY THAT THAT WOULD BE A FACTUAL STATEMENT. IT WOULD BE NICE BUT I CAN'T SAY THAT THAT WAS IT. I SELECTED THEM SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE I KNEW THEM IN HOLLYWOOD P.D. OR THE LOS ANGELES P.D., HOLLYWOOD DIVISION, AND IT WAS IN THEIR JURISDICTION. BY MR. BARENS: MR. BREILING, ISN'T IT A TRUE STATEMENT THAT IT CROSSED YOUR MIND IN SELECTING THEM? OH, ABSOLUTELY TRUE, MR. BARENS. YOU BET IT DID. IT CROSSED YOUR MIND, IF YOU Q COULD ACCESS THOSE TWO FELLOWS IN HOLLYWOOD, IT MIGHT IN SOME WAY ASSIST THEM IN THEIR INVESTIGATION INVOLVING THE HOLLYWOOD CASE? WELL, NOW WAIT A MINUTE. YOU ARE STARTING TO PUT WORDS INTO MY MOUTH. 

1 Q I DON'T MEAN TO. 2 THAT IS WHY I AM ASKING YOU THE QUESTION AND 3 YOU CAN TELL ME WHAT THE WORDS ARE. 4 I SELECTED THEM TO CARRY OUT THE FUNCTIONS OF 5 MY WARRANT ON MY CASE. 6 Q RIGHT. 7 THEY WERE SO INSTRUCTED. 8 YES, BUT WHAT WAS YOUR STATE OF MIND ABOUT WHAT 9 OTHER BENEFIT THAT MIGHT HAVE FOR THOSE OFFICERS, MR. BREILING? 10 I TOLD THEM ALL IF THEY HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO 11 SEE EVIDENCE OF ANY OTHER CRIME, AND I BELIEVE I USED THE 12 EXAMPLE OF NARCOTICS, SPECIFICALLY COCAINE, THAT YOU WILL 13 NOT BLIND YOURSELF TO THE EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. 14 IF ANYTHING ELSE WAS SEEN, THE WARRANT WOULD 15 BE ENDED AT THAT POINT. 16 WHEN YOU SAID THAT, YOU HAD IN THE BACK OF YOUR 17 MIND THE HOLLYWOOD HOMICIDE, DIDN'T YOU? 18 THE BACK OF MY MIND? 19 Q YES, SIR. 20 IN THE BACK OF MY MIND, I GUESS YOU COULD SAFELY Α 21 SAY THAT, YEAH, IT WAS BACK THERE. 22 AND YOU THOUGHT THIS COULD DO NOTHING BUT HELP? 23 WELL, NOW YOU ARE PUTTING WORDS IN MY MOUTH. Α 24 Q COULD HELP THEM. COULD HELP THEM, IS WHAT I 25 ASKED YOU, SIR. 26 IF MR. HUNT IS INVOLVED IN THAT HOMICIDE AND Α 27 HE HAD EVIDENCE OF THAT AT THAT HOUSE AND THEY SAW IT, CERTAINLY 28 IT WOULD HELP THEM.

```
1
                  IF MR. HUNT IS NOT INVOLVED, THERE IS NO HELP
 2
     THERE AT ALL.
 3
                  SURE. BUT ACCESSING THOSE SPECIFIC OFFICERS
            Q
 4
     WOULD HELP RESOLVE THAT QUESTION, WOULDN'T IT?
 5
                  I WOULD IMAGINE SO, MORE THEM THAN ANYBODY ELSE.
                  NOW LIKEWISE, WOULDN'T THE SAME STATEMENT BE
 6
     TRUE FOR YOUR ADMITTING LES ZOELLER TO THAT HOUSE, THAT PERHAPS
7
     IN THE BACK OF YOUR MIND IT WAS THAT IF HE SAW ANYTHING THAT
8
9
     MIGHT HELP HIM ON THE LEVIN PROSECUTION DOWN THERE, THAT THAT
10
     COULD HAVE HELPED HIM OUT, TOO?
11
                 WELL, CERTAINLY IT COULD HAVE.
12
                 IF I HAD COME UP WITH --
13
                 A WINDFALL; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
            Q
14
           Α
                 NO.
15
           MR. WAPNER: WAIT A MINUTE. HE DIDN'T FINISH ANSWERING
16
     THE QUESTION.
17
            THE COURT: LET HIM ANSWER THE QUESTION.
18
                  GO AHEAD AND ANSWER IT.
19
            THE WITNESS: IF I HAD COME UP WITH ANYTHING RELATED
20
    TO MR. LEVIN'S HOMICIDE, CERTAINLY MR. ZOELLER WOULD BENEFIT.
21
     I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY TIMES I HAVE TO TELL YOU.
22
                  THEY WERE SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTED: "LOOK FOR
23
    THE ITEMS ONLY RELATED TO MY CRIME."
24
                  I AM NOT TAKING A BUNCH OF DUMMIES WITH ME WHEN
25
    I SERVE A SEARCH WARRANT.
26
            Q
                 YOU BET. WE NOT ONLY DON'T HAVE A BUNCH OF
27
    DUMMIES. WE HAVE A SUPERIOR, SOPHISTICATED, HEAD INVESTIGATING
28
    OFFICER THERE ON THE LEVIN HOMICIDE AND HE CAN TAKE A LOOK
```

1 AROUND AND MAYBE HE WILL SEE SOMETHING THAT WOULD HELP HIM 2 ON THE LEVIN CASE, TOO, ISN'T THAT TRUE, THAT THAT WAS IN 3 FACT YOUR STATE OF MIND AND YOU WERE AWARE OF THAT? 4 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION AND ARGUMENTATIVE. 5 MR. BARENS: IT IS NOT ARGUMENTATIVE AT ALL. I CAN 6 ASK HIM HIS STATE OF MIND ON THIS. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, ASK HIM. WAS THAT YOUR STATE 8 OF MIND? 9 THE WITNESS: MY STATE OF MIND, YOUR HONOR, WAS, AS 10 I STATED EARLIER, THAT LES ZOELLER KNEW MORE ABOUT MY CASE 11 THAN ANYBODY ELSE. 12 Q BY MR. BARENS: H OW ABOUT THE LEVIN CASE? 13 Α I AM SURE HE KNEW MORE ABOUT LEVIN THAN ANYBODY 14 ELSE. 15 Q WASN'T IT A FACT THAT HE COULD HAVE, IN YOUR 16 OWN UNDERSTANDING, A WINDFALL IN THE INVESTIGATION OF THE 17 LEVIN CASE BY THE FACT THAT HE IS NOW PERMITTED TO BE IN THE 18 BEDROOM OF THE DEFENDANT? 19 A IF THE DEFENDANT HAD IN HIS BEDROOM EVIDENCE 20 TO SHOW THAT HE WAS GUILTY OF LEVIN'S HOMICIDE, YES, MR. 21 ZOELLER WOULD HAVE HAD A WINDFALL BECAUSE I WOULD HAVE HANDED 22 IT TO HIM. 23 Q YOU BET. 24 NOW EVEN THOUGH THERE WAS NOTHING ON YOUR SEARCH 25 WARRANT THAT AUTHORIZED IN ANY WAY ANY INVESTIGATION OF THE 26 LEVIN MURDER; IS THAT CORRECT? 27 A I AM NOT GOING TO BLIND MYSELF TO EVIDENCE OF

28

ANOTHER CRIME EITHER.

1	Q YOU ARE NOT GOING TO BLIND YOURSELF, ARE YOU?
2	SUPPOSING THERE WAS A STATEMENT THERE THAT SAYS,
3	"WHEN YOU ARE ASKED ON THE STAND, DID YOU KILL RON LEVIN,
4	HERE IS YOUR ANSWER" WOULD YOU BLIND YOURSELF TO THAT ONE?
5	A I THINK WHEN I SAW "WHEN YOU ARE ASKED ON THE
6	STAND," I WOULD HAVE STOPPED READING.
7	Q DO YOU REALLY THINK YOU WOULD HAVE, SIR?
8	A I AM GIVING YOU MY WORD. I AM UNDER OATH, MR.
9	BARENS.
10	Q HOW ABOUT MR. ZOELLER, DID HE TELL YOU HE WOULD
11	STOP READING?
12	A WHY DON'T YOU ASK MR. ZOELLER?
13	THE COURT: ASK HIM.
14	Q BY MR. BARENS: NOW THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS,
15	YOU KNOW THERE COULD BE DEFENSE MATERIAL THERE, THAT IS TRUE?
16	A THAT IS A POSSIBILITY, YES.
17	Q YOU KNOW THAT ZOELLER HAS AN INTEREST IN ACCESSING
18	AND GAINING ANY INFORMATION HE CAN TO INSURE THE CONVICTION
19	OF THE DEFENDANT IN THE LOS ANGELES CASE?
20	A I THINK THAT IS A SAFE ASSUMPTION, HE IS HAPPY
21	TO GET ANY EVIDENCE HE CAN AGAINST MR. HUNT.
22	Q ANY EVIDENCE HE CAN AT ALL THAT WOULD HELP HIM
23	SECURE A CONVICTION, YOU KNEW HE WOULD BE LOOKING FOR?
24	A NOW YOU ARE PUTTING WORDS IN MY MOUTH.
25	Q I AM ASKING YOU, SIR.
26	A I KNEW HE WOULD BE LOOKING FOR WHAT I TOLD HIM
27	TO LOOK FOR THAT RELATES TO MY CASE. THAT IS WHAT I KNEW
28	HE WOULD BE LOOKING FOR.

Q WHAT DID YOU THINK HE ALSO WOULD BE LOOKING FOR?

MR. WAPNER: THERE IS AN OBJECTION TO THAT. HE IS

ASKING FOR THIS WITNESS' STATE OF MIND ABOUT WHAT SOMEBODY

ELSE WAS GOING TO BE DOING. WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THAT?

THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE ZOELLER HERE, YOU CAN ASK HIM ALL OF THOSE QUESTIONS.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

28

Q IN ORDER FOR MR. ZOELLER TO FIND WHAT YOU TOLD
HIM TO LOOK FOR, WOULDN'T HE HAVE TO LOOK THROUGH EVERYTHING
THAT WAS THERE?

A HE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK THROUGH EVERYTHING HE WAS ASSIGNED TO LOOK THROUGH, JUST THE SAME AS I WOULD.

Q SURE. AND YOU COULDN'T SANITIZE WHAT HE WAS GOING TO LOOK THROUGH BEFORE HE LOOKED THROUGH IT, COULD YOU?

A NO.

Q ALL RIGHT. SO THEREFORE, BY THE WAY, WHAT DID
YOU TELL MR. ZOELLER TO LOOK FOR?

A THE SAME THING I TOLD EVERYBODY ELSE TO LOOK FOR.

Q TELL ME, WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM TO LOOK FOR?

A I TOLD THEM ALL TO LOOK FOR EVIDENCE THAT RELATED TO THE ESLAMINIA HOMICIDE, THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES THAT MIGHT RELATE TO THE ESLAMINIA HOMICIDE, ANY ORIGINAL BBC DOCUMENTS AND CHECKS FOR SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES, CHECKS AND ANY DOCUMENTS THAT RELATED TO INVESTOR INFORMATION FROM THE AREA OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE BBC.

Q WELL, IN LOOKING AT THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES THAT
WERE RELATED TO THE ESLAMINIA MATTER, HOW WOULD ONE RECOGNIZE
THOSE?

A IF YOU HAVE WORDS ON PAPER, YOU START TO READ THE WORDS ON PAPER AND THEY TELL YOU WHAT THEY SAY.

Q WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT IT IS CONCEIVABLE
THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO READ QUITE A BIT SOMETIMES, BEFORE
YOU COULD GET THE MEANING OF THAT, ISN'T IT?

A NOT NECESSARILY. YOU GAVE AN EXAMPLE EARLIER THAT I RESPONDED TO.

Q ALL RIGHT. OBVIOUSLY, IT IS A RATHER POINTED 84-2 QUESTION. I DOUBT VERY MUCH THAT YOU WOULD SEE SOMETHING THAT SPECIFIC, HOWEVER. THE COURT: HOW MUCH LONGER? DO YOU WANT TO TAKE A RECESS NOW? MR. BARENS: I WOULDN'T MIND A RECESS. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE A 10-MINUTE RECESS. THANK YOU. (RECESS.) B F 

27

28

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT WITH 1 COUNSEL. 2 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 MR. BREILING, YOU INDICATED THAT PRIOR TO GOING 4 TO THE RESIDENCE IN BEL AIR, YOU HAD SOME BRIEFING SESSIONS 5 WITH SOME PEOPLE AT BEVERLY HILLS P.D.? 6 7 THAT'S CORRECT. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE TO ME, ABOUT WHAT TIME 8 THAT DID COMMENCE, SIR? 9 I THINK WE GOT THERE ABOUT 8:30. I HAD GOTTEN 10 THERE A LITTLE EARLY. 11 BUT IT PROBABLY COMMENCED ABOUT 8:30. 12 AND YOU CONVENED THIS AT 8 O'CLOCK OR DID YOU 13 CONVENE IT OR CALL THIS MEETING THE DAY BEFORE, SIR? 14 I CALLED IT THE DAY BEFORE, TO BE THERE AT 8:30. 15 AND WHO DID YOU SPEAK TO IN THAT REGARD? 16 I TALKED TO DETECTIVE ROZZI, DETECTIVE SERGEANT 17 ROZZI, DETECTIVE DIAZ, DETECTIVE ITO, DETECTIVE ZOELLER AND 18 I THINK ZOELLER PASSED IT ON TO TECHNICIAN KUHN AND FOGG. 19 AND BY THE WAY, WHAT WAS FOGG'S FUNCTION IN THIS 20 Q SETTING? 21 Α JUST TO TAKE PICTURES. 22 AT WHAT TIME DID THE ACTUAL BRIEFING SESSION, 23 THE DIALOGUE CONSISTING OF THE BRIEFING COMMENCE, SIR? 24 WELL, I INITIATED IT BY INTRODUCING EVERYONE TO 25

Q WHAT TIME WAS THAT, SIR?

OUR OFFICE, WAS ADVISED.

ONE ANOTHER. I FORGOT TO TELL YOU THAT AGENT TULLENERS FROM

IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BETWEEN 8:30 AND A QUARTER Α 1 TO 9:00. 2 AND HOW LONG DID THAT PROCEEDINGS GO ON FOR? Q 3 Α TILL ABOUT 10 O'CLOCK, I WOULD IMAGINE. 4 ABOUT AN HOUR AND A HALF OR LESS? Q 5 ABOUT AN HOUR AND A HALF. 6 AND BY THE WAY, JUST TO DIGRESS FOR A MOMENT ON 7 THAT LINE OF QUESTIONING I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT, YOU PICKED 8 YOUR TEAM TO EXECUTE THE SEARCH. WERE THERE OFFICERS THAT 9 WOULD HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE TO ASSIST YOU THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN 10 NEUTRAL IN TERMS OF THIS INVESTIGATION? 11 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE. THAT IS ASSUMING 12 THAT THE ONES HE PICKED WEREN'T NEUTRAL. 13 MR. BARENS: WELL, I WILL PUT IT IN ANOTHER WAY. 14 WOULD IT HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE FOR YOU TO HAVE Q 15 OBTAINED THE ASSISTANCE OF OFFICERS IN THIS INVESTIGATION, 16 THAT HAD NO FAMILIARITY WITH THE PROSECUTION OF MR. HUNT IN 17 THE LEVIN CASE? 18 THE SIZE OF THE BEVERLY HILLS AND LAPD, I WOULD 19 SAY PROBABLY, YES. 20 AND YOU HAD GUYS AVAILABLE FROM THE DEPARTMENT 21 OF JUSTICE IN LOS ANGELES, DID YOU NOT, THAT COULD HAVE 22 ASSISTED YOU? 23 I HAD ONE. I GOT HIM. 24 WAS HE THE ONLY ONE THAT YOU HAD FROM THE 25 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE? 26 A YES. I CHECKED BEFOREHAND AND HE WAS ALL I COULD 27

GET.

28

28

TO BE LOOKING FOR.

```
Q YOU COULD HAVE GOTTEN LAPD PEOPLE FOR INSTANCE,
 1
    OR POLICE PERSONNEL THAT WEREN'T INVOLVED IN THE PROSECUTION
 2
    OF MR. HUNT IN THE LEVIN MURDER?
               THAT IS TRUE AND I WOULD HAVE GOTTEN THEM.
 4
                ALL RIGHT. BUT YOU COULD HAVE MADE EFFORTS, COULD
 5
    YOU NOT, TO HAVE SECURED SUCH OFFICERS IF YOU WOULD HAVE WANTED
 6
    TO?
 7
                IF I HAD WANTED TO, I SUPPOSE I COULD, YES.
 8
          Α
          Q
                THAT IS MY ONLY QUESTION.
 9
          Α
                YES.
10
                ALL RIGHT. SO IT WAS AVAILABLE TO YOU?
11
              I WOULD ASSUME SO. I WENT AFTER PEOPLE THAT I
12
    KNEW.
13
             I UNDERSTAND THAT, SIR. NOW, DURING THIS BRIEFING
          Q
14
    SESSION, COULD YOU PLEASE TELL ME WHAT YOU TALKED ABOUT?
15
               THEY REVIEWED THE DOCUMENTS, AS WE DISCUSSED
16
    EARLIER.
17
          O CONSISTING OF THE WARRANT AND THE AFFIDAVIT IN
18
    SUPPORT THEREOF, SIR?
19
               YES.
20
         Α
          O ALONG WITH A DOCUMENT YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED
21
22
    ABOUT, THAT YOU HAD THEM SIGN ACKNOWLEDGING THE REVIEW OF
    THOSE DOCUMENTS?
23
          A THAT'S CORRECT.
24
25
          Q ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT ELSE DID YOU TALK ABOUT,
26
    MR. BREILING?
```

A WE TALKED ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE WERE GOING

I TOLD THEM THE NATURE OF THE MATERIAL THAT I ALREADY HAD AND THAT I WAS LOOKING FOR THINGS OF A SIMILAR NATURE.

WE TALKED ABOUT THE TYPES OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS. WHEN I SAY "LEGAL" I AM TALKING ABOUT PRINTED DOCUMENTS THAT RELATED TO THE CORPORATIONS INVOLVED, CHECKING ACCOUNTS THAT RELATED TO THE COMPANIES THAT WERE INVOLVED, ET CETERA, GENERALLY TRYING TO FAMILIARIZE THEM NOT ONLY WITH THE WRITTEN WORD BUT THE SPOKEN WORD OF WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

28

	Q		50,	WOOL	D I	ı Bı	= A	FA	IK S	ыАТ	EME	NT '	ТНАТ	THE	THIN	1GS
THAT	YOU	TOL	.D TH	HEM T	0 L0	OOK	FOR	۲, ۱	WERE	OF	А	FINA	ANCI	AL N	NATURE	Ξ?
	А		WELL	., IF	YOU	J WA	ANT	то	CON	1S I D	ER	THE	ввс	AS	FINA	NCIAL,
I WC	ULD	SAY	YES.	BU	ΤΙ	WOU	JLDN	<b>1</b>	CON	IS I D	ER	IT A	ALL	FINA	ANC I A L	

Q DIDN'T YOU TELL THEM TO LOOK FOR HANDWRITTEN NOTES?

A I TOLD THEM THAT I HAD HANDWRITTEN MATERIAL AND

TO KEEP THEIR EYES OUT FOR HANDWRITTEN MATERIAL THAT MIGHT

BE RELATED TO MY CASE, YES.

Q HOW DID YOU EXPECT THEM IN A BRIEFING OF THAT NATURE, TO KNOW WHAT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR?

A IF I DIDN'T EXPECT THEM TO KNOW, WE WOULDN'T HAVE GONE. I TOLD THEM WHAT WAS IN THE WARRANT. THEY LOOKED AT WHAT WAS IN THE WARRANT.

I DESCRIBED WHAT I HAD IN THE AFFIDAVIT FOR THE STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE. YOU WILL NOTICE THAT I HAD OUTLINED THE SPECIFIC THINGS FROM THE DOCUMENTS THAT I HAD AND THAT HAD ATTRACTED MY ATTENTION.

AND I SAID THAT THAT WAS WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR,
THINGS OF A SIMILAR NATURE. THAT IS WHAT PRECIPITATED THE
SELECTION OF THE PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY DETECTIVE ZOELLER, BECAUSE
HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH MY CASE.

Q NOW, THERE IS A SENTENCE IN THE WARRANT THAT SAYS AND I AM QUOTING FROM IT AT PAGE -- INDICATED AS W-1, YOUR HONOR, AT LINES 24 AND 25 THAT SAYS, "ALL PAPERS, DOCUMENTS, PHOTOGRAPHS, RECORDS AND NOTES RELATING TO ACTIVITIES OF JOSEPH HUNT."

DO YOU SEE THAT SENTENCE? YOU SAW IT?

A I DON'T HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME. IS THAT SENTENCE

```
COMPLETE OR ARE YOU EXCERPTING SOMETHING FROM IT?
 1
                 IT IS PRECEDED BY A COLON AND FOLLOWED BY A COMMA.
           0
 2
           Α
                 WHAT IS AFTER THE COMMA?
 3
           0
                 THERE ARE SOME MORE NAMES.
 4
                 OKAY. THE PAPERS AND THE DOCUMENTS THEN, SINCE
 5
     YOU ARE NOT GOING TO GIVE ME THAT, I WILL GO BY MY
 6
     INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION.
 7
           MR. BARENS: I AM HAPPY TO SHOW IT TO HIM, YOUR HONOR.
 8
           THE COURT: WHERE IS IT? WHAT PAGE?
 9
           MR. BARENS: REFERRING TO W-1, YOUR HONOR, AT LINES --
10
           THE COURT: W-1?
11
           MR. BARENS: LINES 24 AND 25. IF I MIGHT APPROACH,
12
     YOUR HONOR, I WILL SHOW IT TO YOUR HONOR.
13
           THE COURT: W-1?
14
           MR. BARENS: WELL, IT IS THE FIRST PAGE OF THE WARRANT,
15
     PER SE. MR. WAPNER IS FAMILIAR WITH IT, YOUR HONOR.
16
           MR. WAPNER: SHOW IT TO THE WITNESS.
17
18
           MR. BARENS: I WAS GOING TO APPROACH THE WITNESS.
           THE COURT: SHOW IT TO THE WITNESS.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```

1 THE COURT: I HAVEN'T GOT THEM -- ALL RIGHT. 2 MR. BARENS: I KIND OF HIGHLIGHTED IT IN YELLOW FOR 3 THE WITNESS. 4 THE WITNESS: WHAT YOU HAVE HIGHLIGHTED SAYS "ALL PAPERS. 5 DOCUMENTS, PHOTOGRAPHS, RECORDS AND NOTES RELATING TO THE 6 ACTIVITIES OF JOSEPH HUNT." 7 WHAT YOU DO NOT, HAVE NOT HIGHLIGHTED SAYS 8 "ARBEN DOSTI, JAMES GRAHAM, REZA ESLAMINIA AND OTHER PEOPLE 9 AND STAFF OF THE BBC AND ALL RECORDS OF TRADING DONE AT E.F. 10 HUTTON AND ALL RECORDS" -- IT GOES ON TO THE NEXT PAGE W-2 --"RELATING TO INVESTORS AND SEIZE THEM IF FOUND AND BRING THEM 11 12 FORTHWITH BEFORE ME." ET CETERA, ET CETERA. 13 Q RIGHT, THOSE --14 SO THERE WERE MORE THAN JUST PAPERS RELATING 15 TO THE ACTIVITIES OF MR. HUNT. 16 HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS IN THE ESLAMINIA HOMICIDE 17 ARE ALSO MENTIONED. 18 Q I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT IT DOES SAY THE LANGUAGE 19 THAT I SAID IT DOES. 20 YES, ABSOLUTELY. IT SAYS WHAT YOU SAID IT DOES. 21 THE COURT: HE IS POINTING OUT TO YOU THAT YOU DIDN'T 22 COMPLETE IT. IT HAS SOME SIGNIFICANCE. IT INVOLVES MORE 23 THAN MERELY MR. HUNT. 24 MR. BARENS: WELL, I THINK IT HAS SIGNIFICANCE AND 25 I DON'T PRESUME TO ARGUE THE CASE NOW BUT IT HAS SIGNIFICANCE 26 IN ITS GENERALITY IN ITS REFERENCE TO JOE HUNT. 27 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. BARENS: WHEN YOU START TALKING ABOUT ALL PAPERS --

1 THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN CLEARED UP NOW, ALL RIGHT, 2 LET'S GO ON. 3 MR. BARENS: AGAIN, FOR THE RECORD, I HAVE MADE IT 4 CLEAR WHAT THE DOCUMENT. SAYS ON ITS FACE AND IT WILL BE 5 ATTACHED AS AN EXHIBIT, I AM SURE, TO THESE PROCEEDINGS. 6 MR. WAPNER: AFTER ALL OF THAT, IS THERE A QUESTION 7 PENDING? 8 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. WE WILL KNOW AS SOON AS HIS CO-COUNSEL TELLS HIM WHAT TO SAY. 9 10 BY MR. BARENS: NOW, WITH THAT SENTENCE IN MIND, 11 HOW DID YOU PRESUME THE OFFICERS WOULD BE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE 12 THE PAPERS BELONGING, FOR INSTANCE, TO ARBEN DOSTI AS OPPOSED 13 TO THE PAPERS BELONGING TO JAMES GRAHAM, AS OPPOSED TO THE 14 PAPERS BELONGING TO JOE HUNT? 15 WELL, ONE SURE WAY IS IF YOU SAW THAT THEIR NAMES 16 WERE ON THERE, IF YOU SAW THEIR NAMES THERE, YOU WOULD READ 17 TO SEE IF THE CONTENTS WERE SUCH THAT INDICATED IT BELONGED 18 TO THEM OR WAS RELEVANT TO MY CASE AS IT RELATED TO THEM. 19 NOW, WHAT IF THERE WERE NO SPECIFIC NAMES ON 20 THERE, WHAT WOULD YOU DO? 21 OKAY. AS I INDICATED EARLIER, FROM THE READING 22 OF THE MATERIAL, YOU COULD TELL WHETHER IT WAS APPARENTLY 23 AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED MATERIAL OR DEFENSE RELATED. 24 Q NOW, YOU WERE AWARE OF THE FACT, WERE YOU NOT, 25 SIR, THAT MR. LEVIN WAS INVOLVED AT THE BBC AND SOME OF ITS 26 SUBSIDIARIES? 27 A I WAS AWARE THAT MR. LEVIN HAD BEEN INVOLVED

28

WITH MR. HUNT.

```
1
                  I DON'T KNOW WHAT HIS ACTIVITIES WITH THE BBC
 2
     WERE AS AN ENTITY.
 3
            Q YOU NEVER HEARD THAT MR. LEVIN WAS INVOLVED WITH
 4
     MICROGENESIS?
 5
            A I CAN'T SAY I HAD. I MAY HAVE BUT IF I DID,
 6
     IT IS NOT IMPORTANT IN MY MIND.
 7
            Q I UNDERSTAND THAT.
 8
                  BUT WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR IS YOUR STATE OF MIND.
 9
     YOU SEE WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR IS THIS, MR. BREILING: IF MR.
10
     LEVIN WAS INVOLVED WITH THE BBC, MR. HUNT IS ON TRIAL FOR
11
     ALLEGEDLY KILLING MR. LEVIN, OBVIOUSLY BBC MATERIAL IN
12
     POSSSESSION OF THE DEFENDANT WOULD GO TO --
13
            THE COURT: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, IN WHAT WAY WAS LEVIN
14
     INVOLVED WITH THE BBC, SO AS TO PUT IT IN CONTEXT?
15
            MR. BARENS: THIS WHOLE BUSINESS, YOUR HONOR, --
16
            THE COURT: WHAT BUSINESS?
17
           MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THAT WILL COME OUT DURING
18
    THE TRIAL.
19
           MR. WAPNER: WELL, AT THE MOMENT IT IS ASSUMING FACTS
20
    NOT IN EVIDENCE.
21
           THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
22
                  BY MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
23
                 MR. BREILING, YOU DID NOT HAVE A BELIEF IN YOUR
24
    MIND THAT MR. LEVIN WAS SOMEHOW INVOLVED WITH THE BBC?
25
                NO, I DON'T HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF HIM BEING
26
     INVOLVED IN THE BBC.
27
                 I HAVE A KNOWLEDGE OF HIS ASSOCIATION WITH MR.
```

HUNT, BUT THAT IS ABOUT ALL.

Q ALL RIGHT. SO I PRESUME YOU WOULD HAVE NO

28

}A

27

28

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

I AM NOT SAYING IT WAS ALL DEFENSE-RELATED MATERIAL BUT I WAS AMAZED AT THE VOLUME OF MATERIAL I SAW. I DIDN'T EXPECT THAT MUCH VOLUME.

WHEN MR. CHIER SAID ALL OF THOSE BINDERS ARE DEFENSE-RELATED, I SAID, "FINE. I DON'T -- I WON'T LOOK THROUGH THEM."

WE HAVEN'T SAT AROUND FOR TWO YEARS, MR. BREILING. Q

I AM PROUD OF YOU, MR. BARENS.

IN ANY EVENT, WHAT DID YOU SAY, VAGUE THOUGH IT MAY BE, TO THOSE OFFICERS ABOUT DEFENSE MATERIAL?

THAT IF THEY SAW ANYTHING THAT THEY BELIEVED TO BE DEFENSE-RELATED, THAT IT WAS NOT TO BE READ. IT WAS TO BE SET ASIDE AND IN SUCH A WAY THAT NOBODY ELSE WOULD LOOK AT IT AND BRING IT TO MY ATTENTION SO I WOULD BE ABLE TO SAY THAT WE DIDN'T TAKE THAT BECAUSE I WANTED TO SHOW THAT BECAUSE OF THE MASS OF MATERIAL THAT WAS THERE, I ONLY TOOK A SMALL PORTION.

WHAT CRITERIA DID THE OFFICERS HAVE THAT WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THEM TO DETERMINE WHAT WAS DEFENSE MATERIAL?

WELL, YOU WOULD HAVE TO READ SOMETHING TO SOME EXTENT, AS YOU HAVE BEEN ALLUDING TO ALL ALONG, TO FIND OUT WHETHER IT WAS. AND YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT SOMETHING IN A COUPLE OF SECONDS AND DETERMINE WHETHER IT WAS SOMETHING THAT RELATES TO MR. HUNT'S DEFENSE OR NOT.

DID YOU AT ALL BRIEF THE OFFICERS AT ALL ON THE LOS ANGELES PROSECUTION?

A AS A MATTER OF FACT, I DID.

I SAID THAT HE WAS CURRENTLY -- HE, BEING MR. HUNT -+

 $\Delta - 2$ 

WAS CURRENTLY IN TRIAL IN THE JURY SELECTION PROCESS IN THE LEVIN HOMICIDE. I OUTLINED THE FACT THAT THE HUNT-LEVIN SITUATION WAS A HOMICIDE THAT WAS BEING TRIED WITH NO BODY INVOLVED, THEY HAD NOT COME UP WITH MR. LEVIN'S BODY AND THAT THERE HAD BEEN A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MR. LEVIN WHEREIN SOME INVESTMENTS SUPPOSEDLY HAD BEEN MADE.

1	Q AND HOW DID YOU GET ALL OF THAT INFORMATION?
2	A A LOT OF IT, I HAVE GOTTEN OUT OF THE NEWSPAPERS.
3	Q ANY OTHER SOURCE?
4	A I HAVE TALKED TO FRED WAPNER AND LES ZOELLER.
5	Q AND THEREFORE, YOU GOT FROM THAT DIALOGUE YOU
6	HAD WITH THOSE OFFICERS THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE
7	DEFENSE MATERIALS?
8	A JUST AS WELL AS I COULD.
9	Q ALL RIGHT. DID ANYBODY ASK YOU ANY QUESTIONS
10	AFTER THE BRIEFING?
11	A THERE WERE QUESTIONS ASKED, BUT MY DELAY IS IN
12	TRYING TO REMEMBER WHAT SPECIFIC ONES MAY HAVE BEEN ASKED.
13	I CAN'T AT THIS MOMENT, THINK OF SPECIFIC QUESTIONS.
14	Q WERE ANY QUESTIONS ASKED OF YOU ABOUT SAFEGUARDING
15	OR FURTHER ARTICULATION AS TO HOW WE WOULD SAFEGUARD DEFENSE
16	MATERIALS?
17	A SAFEGUARD THEM? NO.
18	ALL I INSTRUCTED THEM TO DO WAS TO SET ASIDE
19	SET THEM ASIDE UNREAD AND UNSEIZED.
20	Q DID ANY OF THE OFFICERS EXPRESS ANY QUESTION OR
21	CONCERN TO YOU ABOUT VIOLATING THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE?
22	A CONCERN? NO.
23	Q ANY INQUIRY WHATSOEVER ABOUT THAT SUBJECT?
24	A NO. THEY WERE PROFESSIONALS. THEY KNOW HOW TO
25	DO THEIR JOBS.
26	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT YOU ARE SAYING TO ME IN
27	TRUTH THEN THAT NOT ONE PERSON PRESENT AT THIS BRIEFING, ASKED
28	YOU ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED MATERIALS?

DEFENSE MATERIALS?

```
A I CAN'T SAY THEY DIDN'T ASK THAT. I DON'T RECALL
 1
     ANY SPECIFICS. IF I COULD RECALL A SPECIFIC, I WOULD.
 2
                 I KNOW THAT WE DISCUSSED THE POSSIBILITY THAT
 3
     THERE WOULD BE AND THEY SHOULD BE ALERT TO IT.
 4
                 AND IF ANYTHING EVEN REMOTELY RESEMBLED IT, IT
 5
     WAS TO BE TREATED AS ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND NOT READ
 6
     AND NOT TOUCHED. ANY BENEFIT OF A DOUBT SHOULD GO TO MR.
 7
     HUNT.
 8
           Q RIGHT. BUT YOU WEREN'T ABLE TO TELL THEM WITH
 9
     ANY SPECIFICITY WHAT ANY OF THAT MIGHT HAVE LOOKED LIKE, WERE
10
     YOU?
11
           А
                I HAD NO IDEA WHAT IT WOULD HAVE LOOKED LIKE.
12
               OF COURSE NOT. SO ALL YOU COULD DO IS, LOOK AT
13
     EVERYTHING AND THEN SORT IT OUT?
14
           Α
15
                TO SOME EXTENT, YOUR STATEMENT IS CORRECT.
                AND THE OFFICERS IN QUESTION WOULD HAVE TO DO
16
17
     EXACTLY THE SAME THING?
           Α
                 EXACTLY.
18
19
           Q
                WAS OFFICER KUHN PRESENT DURING THAT BRIEFING?
          Α
                MR. KUHN IS NOT AN OFFICER. HE IS A SENIOR
20
     IDENTIFICATION TECHNICIAN, I BELIEVE.
21
                WAS HE PRESENT DURING THE BRIEFING?
           Q
22
          Α
23
                YES HE WAS.
24
           Q
                HE HEARD ALL OF THIS BUSINESS YOU JUST TOLD ME
25
    ABOUT?
26
         Α
                UH-HUH.
27
            HE HEARD THE ADMONITION CONCERNING CAUTION ABOUT
```

26

27

28

SAFETY OR MICROGENESIS.

A I WOULD ASSUME SO. 1 Q ALL RIGHT. NOW, DID YOU FIND ANYTHING AT THE 2 RESIDENCE DURING YOUR SEARCH AND SEIZURE, THAT CONFORMED WITH 3 WHAT YOU INDICATED IN YOUR WARRANT? A I TOLD YOU WHAT I TOOK. I FELT THAT IT DID CONFORM 5 TO MY WARRANT. 6 Q YOU DID? ALL RIGHT. WERE THERE CORPORATE PAPERS 7 THAT YOU FOUND? 8 THERE WERE CORPORATE PAPERS. Α 9 Q AND WERE THERE PAPERS RELATING TO FIRE SAFE (SIC)? 10 Α FIRE SAFETY? YES. 11 Q AND WHERE DID YOU FIND THOSE? 12 LIKE I STATED EARLIER, I THINK THOSE BINDERS WERE 13 FIRE SAFETY CORPORATE DOCUMENTS. THAT IS JUST AN INDEPENDENT 14 RECOLLECTION AT THIS POINT. 15 Q AND WERE THERE PAPERS RELATING TO MICROGENESIS 16 THAT YOU FOUND? 17 A YES. I DID SAY THE NAME MICROGENESIS. 18 NOW, ALL OF THESE THINGS WERE ALSO IN THE KARNY 19 BRIEFCASE? 20 -THE COURT: WHAT? 21 BY MR. BARENS: IN THE KARNY BRIEFCASE? 22 Q Α NO THEY WERE NOT. 23 ALL RIGHT. NONE OF THEM WERE? 24

Q WERE THERE -- WAS THERE ANYTHING IN THE KARNY
BRIEFCASE ABOUT E.F. HUTTON?

NO, NOTHING IN THE KARNY BRIEFCASE ABOUT FIRE

A THERE WAS SOMETHING ABOUT AN INVESTMENT COMPANY.

BUT I CAN'T SAY IT WAS E. F. HUTTON.

I AM AWARE MR. HUNT HAD AN ACCOUNT AT E. F. HUTTON AT ONE TIME.

Q WERE THERE PAPERS RELATING TO JOE HUNT'S INVESTORS
IN THE KARNY BRIEFCASE?

A NO. I CAN'T SAY THERE WERE.

Q DID IT EVER OCCUR TO YOU THAT ONE OF THE 1 CONTENTIONS OF THE PROSECUTION IN THE L.A. CASE MIGHT BE THAT 2 3 4 5 6 IT? 7 8 9 10 WOULD HAVE TO BE NO. 11 12 Q 13 IS GOING TO BE. 14 15 16 PITTMAN TRIAL TRANSCRIPT? 17 18 19 20 THE PITTMAN CASE? 21 TO TELL YOU WHAT IT IS? 22 23 24 25 26 27

LEVIN MET HIS DEATH BECAUSE HUNT NEEDED MONEY AS A RESULT OF AN ALLEGEDLY COLLAPSING PONZI SCHEME? A I AM SORRY. I APOLOGIZE TO YOU. I LET MY MIND WANDER AS YOU WERE ASKING THE QUESTION. WOULD YOU REPEAT MR. BARENS: IF WE COULD HAVE IT READ BACK. (THE RECORD WAS READ BY THE REPORTER.) THE WITNESS: THE WAY YOU WORD THAT QUESTION, MY RESPONSE BY MR. BARENS: IT NEVER CROSSED YOUR MIND? A IT WAS -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT MR. WAPNER'S CASE Q HAD YOU EVER READ ANY PART OF OR ALL OF THE A A LONG TIME AGO I READ THROUGH IT, YES. Q SURE, YOU READ THAT. AND IN READING THAT, DIDN'T YOU GET SOME SENSE OF WHAT THE PROSECUTION'S THEORY WAS IN A YES, MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT. WOULD YOU LIKE ME I WILL ASK YOU MORE SPECIFICALLY, SIR. DID YOU GET A SENSE FROM THE FATE MR. LEVIN MET, WHATEVER FATE THE GOVERNMENT SAYS HE MET BECAUSE OF ANY MONEY SHORTAGE THAT THE BBC OR PEOPLE ASSOCIATED WITH THE BBC MIGHT HAVE EXPERIENCED A IT WAS MY PERCEPTION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT JOE HUNT MURDERED RON LEVIN BECAUSE RON LEVIN SUPPOSEDLY CHEATED

MR. BARENS: RIGHT.

28

NOW IF THEY WERE BOTH FOR GAIN OF MONEY, WOULDN'T 1 IT OCCUR TO YOU THAT THE BBC RECORDS AND FINANCIAL DATA THAT 2 WOULD ALLEGEDLY ESTABLISH THAT IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, WOULD 3 BE IDENTICAL IN THEIR USE AND PURPOSE IN THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TRIAL? 5 CERTAINLY IT CROSSED MY MIND. 6 7 SO, THERE WAS INHERENTLY AND INEXTRICABLY, AN OVERLAPPING BETWEEN THE EVIDENTIARY MATERIALS USED IN NORTHERN 8 9 CALIFORNIA AND THE EVIDENTIARY MATERIALS THAT WOULD BE USED IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA? 10 TRUE. BUT THEY AREN'T ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED. 11 WELL, I DON'T THINK YOU WILL MAKE THAT DECISION. 12 MR. BREILING. 13 I HAVE ALREADY MADE THAT DECISION, MR. BARENS. 14 THAT IS WHY I SEIZED THEM. 15 16 I KNOW. BUT RIGHT NOW, YOU ARE ADDRESSING YOUR OPINION I BELIEVE, AND SOME APPELLATE COURT WILL RULE ON THAT --17 MR. WAPNER: COULD WE NOT HAVE A STATEMENT? IF THERE 18 19 IS A QUESTION, THEN IT CAN BE ASKED AND NOT ARGUMENT. 20 THE COURT: HE IS PREPARING HIS CONCLUDING ARGUMENT 21 NOW. 22 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 23 MR. BARENS: I JUST DON'T WANT TO ACCEPT IN THE RECORD 24 A LEGAL DETERMINATION BY A POLICE OFFICER, ALTHOUGH WELL 25 INTENDED, AS TO WHAT CONSTITUTES ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE 26 AND WHAT DOESN'T. I DON'T WANT TO CONDONE THAT. 27 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND PERFECTLY.

MR. BARENS; THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

```
NOW, WHEN YOU AND I SPOKE ON THE PHONE EARLY
 1
     ON THAT THURSDAY, DO YOU KNOW WHAT TIME IT WAS?
 2
           Α
                ROUGHLY. I DON'T USE A WRISTWATCH. I HAVE A
 3
     POCKETWATCH AND I DON'T LOOK AT IT VERY FREQUENTLY.
 4
           Q WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY THAT IT WAS
 5
     AROUND 11:30?
 6
          A I HAVE NO IDEA. IF YOU SAY THAT YOU CALLED ME
 7
     AT THAT TIME, I WILL TAKE YOUR WORD FOR IT.
 8
          Q I AM NOT SURE. BUT I THINK IT WAS AROUND THAT
 9
     TIME. I WANT TO BE HONEST WITH YOU. HIS HONOR MIGHT REMEMBER.
10
               WELL, YOU AREN'T GOING TO PUT ME IN THAT BOX
11
     BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW.
12
                I AM ASKING YOU IF YOU KNOW, SINCE I DON'T.
13
          Q
          Α
                NO.
14
          Q HIS HONOR WAS KIND ENOUGH TO LET ME USE HIS PHONE,
15
    AS I RECALL. I BELIEVE IT WOULD HAVE BEEN 11:30, TO BE
16
    CANDID WITH YOU.
17
                I KNOW FOR CERTAIN THAT IT WAS PRIOR TO THE LUNCH
18
    BREAK, LET'S SAY.
19
                AND YOU RECALL I VOICED A CERTAIN CONCERN TO YOU
20
    AT THAT TIME ABOUT YOUR ACCESSING DEFENSE MATERIALS?
21
22
          A YES YOU DID.
23
               DID WE TALK ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE IN THAT
24
    CONVERSATION?
25
          THE COURT: PARDON ME?
26
          Q BY MR. BARENS: DID WE TALK ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE
    IN THAT CONVERSATION THAT I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT?
27
28
          A THE IMPRESSION OF THAT WAS MORE LASTING THAN
```

. 1	ANYTHING ELSE WE MAY HAVE DISCUSSED. I WON'T SAY WE DIDN'T
2	DISCUSS ANYTHING ELSE.
3	Q PERHAPS I COULD HELP YOU. DID I DISCUSS ANY
4	CONCERN THAT I HAD WITH YOU ABOUT LYNNE ROBERTS?
5	A YES YOU DID.
6	THE COURT: LYNNE ROBERTS?
7	MR. BARENS: MRS. ROBERTS, BOBBY ROBERTS' WIFE, LYNNE
8	ROBERTS.
9	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT I TALKED TO YOU ABOUT IN THAT
10	REGARD?
11	A YOU JUST SAID THAT SHE WAS A VERY DECENT,
12	CHRISTIAN WOMAN AND TO BE CAREFUL OR TO TREAT HER GENTLY.
13	I SAID THAT I WOULD.
14	Q AND THAT I EXPRESSED SOME CONCERN TO YOU THAT
15	SHE NOT BE UNNECESSARILY UPSET AND THAT SHE HAD NOTHING TO
16	DO WITH ALL THIS BUSINESS?
17	A YES, YOU PROBABLY DID BECAUSE I DO RECALL WE DID
18	DISCUSS HER AND YOU WERE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT HER WELFARE.
19	Q I EXPRESSED SOME APPRECIATION TO YOU ABOUT BEING
20	SENSITIVE IN THAT REGARD?
21	A YES YOU DID.
22	Q NOW, PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT MR. CHIER ARRIVED
23	AT THE RESIDENCE, HAD THE OFFICERS BEEN LOOKING AT MATERIALS?
24	A YES.
25	
26	
27	

1 F

1 Q AND THEY HAD BEEN LOOKING AT THE MATERIALS IN 2 BOTH THE BEDROOM AND WHAT I AM CALLING THE COMPUTER ROOM PRIOR 3 TO HIS ARRIVAL? 4 Α YES. 5 AND IS IT NOT A FACT THAT LES ZOELLER HAD ACCESS 6 TO BOTH THE BEDROOM AND COMPUTER ROOM PRIOR TO MR. CHIER'S 7 ARRIVAL? 8 A IT IS POSSIBLE. 9 I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHEN HE CAME UP, WHETHER 10 IT WAS BEFORE CHIER OR AFTER, BECAUSE I KNOW THE FIRST TIME 11 THEY SAW ONE ANOTHER, MR. CHIER MADE SOME SORT OF A COMMENT 12 TO THE EFFECT, "WHAT ARE YOU DOING HERE?" OR HE WAS SURPRISED 13 TO SEE ZOELLER THERE. 14 Q I AM SURE HE WAS. 15 WHEN MR. CHIER WAS THERE, MR. ZOELLER WAS IN 16 BOTH OF THOSE BEDROOMS FROM TIME TO TIME? 17 A I WOULD ASSUME SO. 18 I REMEMBER --19 AM I PRONOUNCING YOUR NAME WRONG? I SAY CHIER. 20 IS IT CHIER? 21 MR. CHIER: YES. 22 THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME. 23 THE TIME I SAW MR. CHIER AND SPENT MOST OF THE 24 TIME WITH HIM WAS IN THE COMPUTER ROOM. 25 Q BY MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. YOU WEREN'T HOWEVER, 26 WATCHING EVERYTHING THAT MR. ZOELLER WAS LOOKING AT PRIOR 27 TO THE TIME MR. CHIER ARRIVED OR EVEN AFTER THE TIME MR. CHIER 28 ARRIVED?

1 NO, BECAUSE I MOVED ABOUT. I DIDN'T JUST 2 SPECIFICALLY LOOK AT LES ZOELLER. 3 ALL RIGHT. NOW YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE WERE SOME MANILA FOLDERS, I BELIEVE, IN THE COMPUTER ROOM THAT 5 MR. ZOELLER LOOKED AT THAT HE HAD REVIEWED PRIOR TO YOUR 6 LOOKING AT THEM. 7 Α THAT IS CORRECT. 8 Q AND YOU MENTIONED THAT MOST OF THOSE, IF I AM 9 CORRECT, YOU DECIDED NOT TO SEIZE? 10 Α NO. 11 AS I RECALL, SOME MATERIALS THAT LES HAD SEEN. 12 GOING THROUGH THOSE FOLDERS, HE DEEMED APPROPRIATE FOR 13 SEIZURE, SOME WERE NOT. 14 THE ONES HE DEEMED APPROPRIATE, I TOOK. THE 15 ONES THAT HE DEEMED INAPPROPRIATE, I DID NOT TAKE. 16 SO YOU TOOK MR. ZOELLER'S WORD FOR WHAT WAS 17 APPROPRIATE IN TERMS OF SEIZABLE UNDER THE WARRANT AND WHAT 18 WAS DEFENSE MATERIAL? 19 Α YES. 20 I LOOKED AT ONE OR TWO TO SEE THAT IT WAS WHAT 21 HE SAID IT WAS. 22 SO IN FACT MR. ZOELLER HAD MADE DETERMINATIONS 23 ON ITEMS THAT WERE CONSIDERED TO BE DEFENSE MATERIAL? 24 HE HAD MADE CONSIDERATIONS OF WHICH WERE DEFENSE 25 AS OPPOSED TO NOT DEFENSE, YES, AS ALL OF THE PEOPLE THERE 26 DID. 27 BUT I AM ONLY ASKING ABOUT HIM FOR NOW. Q

YES. TO RESPOND TO YOUR QUESTION, IT WOULD BE

1 YES, HE DID MAKE THAT KIND OF A DECISION. 2 Q THEREFORE, HE WOULD, OF NECESSITY, HAVE HANDLED 3 AT LEAST, AND LOOKED AT MATERIALS THAT WERE LATER DETERMINED 4 TO BE DEFENSE MATERIALS? 5 A THE DEFENSE MATERIALS -- WHAT LATER WERE 6 DETERMINED TO BE DEFENSE MATERIALS, I CAN'T SAY THAT THAT 7 IS A FACT. 8 HE LOOKED THROUGH SOME MATERIALS. SOME, HE 9 DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR SEIZURE, AND SOME NOT. 10 I AM NOT SAYING THE MATERIALS THAT WERE NOT 11 SEIZED WERE DEFENSE MATERIALS. 12 I AM JUST SAYING THEY WERE DEEMED NOT APPROPRIATE 13 FOR SEIZURE. 14 DID MR. ZOELLER LOOK AT MATERIALS HE DEEMED TO 15 BE DEFENSE MATERIALS AND DID NOT SEE? 16 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT TO THIS. IT 17 IS ASKING THIS WITNESS FOR SOMEONE ELSE'S STATE OF MIND. 18 WE HAVE MR. ZOELLER WHO WILL BE COMING HERE. 19 THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. WE WILL HAVE 20 MR. ZOELLER HERE AND YOU ASK HIM ABOUT IT, WILL YOU? 21 MR. BARENS: DID MR. ZOELLER EVER TELL YOU THAT HE 22 LOOKED AT SOMETHING THAT HE DID NOT SEIZE BECAUSE HE DEEMED 23 IT DEFENSE MATERIAL OR WORDS TO THAT EFFECT? 24 A ON THE CONTRARY, MR. ZOELLER HAS TOLD ME HE NEVER 25 DID SEE ANYTHING THAT RELATED TO THE DEFENSE. 26 Q ALL RIGHT. NOW YOU SAID EARLIER ON THAT YOU 27 SAW COMPUTER OR WHAT APPEARED TO BE COMPUTER GENERATED MATERIALS 28 THAT YOU FELT WERE DEFENSE MATERIALS; IS THAT TRUE?

	!					
1	A I DON'T RECALL MAKING THAT STATEMENT DURING THIS					
2	HEARING.					
3	Q IS IT A TRUE STATEMENT?					
4	A I DID SEE WHAT LOOKED LIKE COMPUTER GENERATED					
5	PAPER, YES.					
6	Q DID YOU SEE ANY PAPERS OF THAT DESCRIPTION THAT					
7	APPEARED TO YOU TO BE DEFENSE MATERIALS?					
8	A I DIDN'T EVEN LOOK AT IT.					
9	I FIGURED IF IT WAS ON THE COMPUTER, IT MIGHT					
10	BE SOMETHING HE WAS DOING FOR HIS DEFENSE SO I DIDN'T EXAMINE					
11	IT.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17	·					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23 24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
~~						

1	Q AND YOU SAID THAT YOU LEFT THOSE WHERE THEY
2	WERE?
3	A I NEVER EVEN TOUCHED THEM.
4	Q YOU LEFT THEM OUT IN THE OPEN?
5	A YES, OR WHEREVER THEY WERE.
6	Q YOU DID NOTHING TO STRIKE THAT.
7	ANY MATERIALS THAT YOU SAW THAT WERE DEFENSE
8	MATERIALS, AS YOU SAW THEM, YOU DID NOTHING TO PRECLUDE THEIR
9	BEING VIEWED BY ANY OTHER OFFICERS PRESENT?
10	A WELL, THAT ISN'T AN ACCURATE STATEMENT.
11	Q WHAT WOULD BE, SIR?
12	A IF ONE OFFICER WAS SEARCHING IN ONE AREA, HE
13	WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOOKING AT THAT VOLUME OF MATERIAL. HE
14	WOULDN'T MOVE TO SOMETHING ELSE AND CHECK SOMETHING ELSE THAT
15	SOMEBODY ELSE HAD ALREADY DONE.
16	SO IF I FOUND SOMETHING, AS I DID ON THE BED
17	IN THE COMPUTER ROOM, THAT I DEEMED TO BE POSSIBLY DEFENSE
18	RELATED, I JUST SAID, "DON'T ANYBODY ELSE LOOK AT THIS STUFF.
19	THIS MATERIAL IS TO BE SEIZED. THAT IS NOT."
20	AND I NEVER HEARD ANYBODY EVER TELL ME THEY SAW
21 •	ANYTHING THAT WAS RELATED TO THE DEFENSE, SO THERE WASN'T
22	THAT DUPLICATION OF THAT EFFORT IN THAT AREA.
23	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT NOBODY SAID ANYTHING LIKE THAT
24	TO YOU, MR. BREILING.
25	WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR IS THE REALITY OF WHAT
26	COULD HAVE HAPPENED UP THERE.
27	THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS, WHEN YOU SAW STUFF

ON THE END OF THE BED IN PLAIN VIEW THAT APPEARED TO BE

```
1
     DEFENSE MATERIAL, IT IN FACT REMAINED IN PLAIN VIEW, DID IT
 2
     NOT, SIR?
 3
            А
                  YES, IT DID.
 4
                 NOW, DID YOU SEE ANY OR SEIZE ANY MATERIALS THAT
 5
     HAD AFFIXED TO THEM YELLOW POST-IT STICKERS?
 6
                 YES, I BELIEVE I SAW THEM. WHETHER I TOOK THEM
 7
     OR NOT, I DON'T RECALL BUT THERE WERE SOME YELLOW POST-IT
 8
     STICKERS.
 9
                 DID YOU SEE ANYTHING THAT HAD YELLOW POST-IT
10
     STICKERS ON IT WITH NUMBERS?
11
            THE COURT: YELLOW WHAT?
12
            MR. BARENS: YELLOW POST-IT STICKERS, LIKE THE EXHIBITS
13
     WE HAVE, P-O-S-T I-T. THE LITTLE 3M THINGS, JUDGE, THAT YOU
14
     STICK ON THINGS.
15
                 DID YOU SEE ANYTHING LIKE THIS, LIKE THE CLERK
            Q
16
     HAS HOLDING UP, EXCEPT WE USE THE SMALLER ONES?
17
                  YES, I SAW SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
18
            Q
                  YOU SAW SOMETHING LIKE THAT, DID YOU?
19
            Α
                  RIGHT.
20
            Q
                 WHERE DID YOU SEE THOSE?
21
                  AS I RECALL, THE ONLY ONES I CAN RECALL NOW,
22
     WERE STICKING ON THE OUTSIDE OF A MANILA FOLDER.
23
                  WERE THOSE LOCATED ON THE BED?
            Q
24
                  I AM SORRY. I DON'T INDEPENDENTLY RECALL.
            Α
25
            Q
                  HOW MANY OF THOSE DO YOU THINK YOU SAW?
26
                  I HAVE NO IDEA.
            Α
27
                  IT WASN'T A LARGE VOLUME.
28
                  ALL RIGHT. WERE THE POST-IT STICKERS NUMBERED
            Q
```

```
1
     IN ANY WAY THAT YOU SAW?
 2
            THE COURT: IN ANY EVENT, DID YOU SEIZE ANY OF THEM?
 3
            THE WITNESS: I CAN'T SAY WHETHER I DID OR I DIDN'T
     AND I CAN'T RECALL WHETHER THEY HAD NUMBERS ON IT.
 4
 5
                  THE POST-IT STICKERS DIDN'T MEAN THAT MUCH TO
     ME. I HAD TO LOOK INSIDE TO SEE WHAT WAS THERE.
 6
 7
                  BY MR. BARENS: IN TRUTH, YOU SEIZED CERTAIN
 8
     MATERIALS THAT HAD POST-IT STICKERS ON THEM, DID YOU?
9
                  IT COULD VERY WELL BE.
10
                  IT IS SEALED AND I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT SINCE
11
    I SEIZED IT.
12
            THE COURT: AT ANY RATE, YOU WILL PRODUCE COPIES FOR
13
    COUNSEL?
14
            THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
15
            MR. BARENS: NOT ALL OF THE COUNSEL, YOUR HONOR. I
16
     DON'T BELIEVE MR. WAPNER WILL, UNTIL WE HAVE HAD FURTHER --
17
            THE COURT: OH, NO, NO.
18
                  THEY INTEND TO GIVE YOU COPIES OF IT.
19
            MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. WHY DON'T WE RESERVE THAT
20
     TYPE OF AN ORDER UNTIL WE GET THIS THING RESOLVED A BIT FURTHER,
21
     YOUR HONOR?
22
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
23
            MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT.
24
                  (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
25
                 AND MR. CHIER.)
26
            Q
                 BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER MAKE AVAILABLE TO
27
    ANY COUNSEL, COPIES OF THE MATERIALS THAT WERE IN THE KARNY
28
     BRIEFCASE?
```

```
THEY HAVE ALL BEEN DUPLICATED FOR DISCOVERY.
 1
            Α
 2
     THEY ARE IN SAN FRANCISCO. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN MAILED OUT
 3
     YET.
                  WERE YOU INTENDING TO MAIL THOSE OUT TO ME?
            Q
 5
            Α
                  NOT TO YOU, NO.
 6
            Q
                  WHY NOT?
 7
                  YOU AREN'T DEFENDING MR. HUNT UP IN SAN FRANCISCO.
            Α
 8
            Q
                  WERE YOU GOING TO SEND A COPY TO MR. WAPNER?
 9
                  NO, I HADN'T PLANNED TO SEND IT TO MR. WAPNER.
10
            Q
                  ALL RIGHT. WERE THE MATERIALS ON THE BED IN
11
     THE COMPUTER ROOM IN SOME SORT OF A TRAY?
12
                  NO, I DON'T REMEMBER SEEING ANYTHING ON A TRAY
13
     ON THE BED.
14
                  A CARDBOARD TRAY, PERHAPS?
            Q
15
                  A CARDBOARD TRAY, NO, NOT THAT I RECALL.
16
                  DID YOU EVER LEAVE ANY DOCUMENTS WITH LITTLE
17
     POST-IT STICKERS BEHIND?
18
                  COULD HAVE. I DON'T KNOW.
19
                 YOU MAY HAVE TAKEN THEM ALL, YOU MAY HAVE LEFT
            Q
20
     SOME BEHIND; IS THAT FAIR?
21
                  I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY THERE WERE.
22
                  AS I SAY, I TOOK SOME DOCUMENTS. I HAVEN'T
23
     HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THEM ALL. SOME HAD POST-IT
24
     STICKERS ON IT, SOME DIDN'T. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE WERE
25
     ANY LEFT.
26
                  YOU MENTIONED EARLIER IN SOME TESTIMONY THAT
            Q
27
     AFTER YOU REMOVED ALL OF THAT STUFF FROM THE PLACE THERE IT
28
     HAD BEEN SEALED IN A BOX TILL THAT DATE TO THIS DATE?
```

THAT'S CORRECT.

^-

Q THE LAST EXHIBIT TO THE MATERIALS YOU PROVIDED THE COURT IN THIS MATTER, IS OF A DOCUMENT WITH WHAT LOOKS LIKE 1-5-87 ON THAT.

IF ALL THOSE MATERIALS ARE SEALED, HOW WERE YOU ABLE TO PRODUCE THAT COPY?

A I TOLD YOU THAT THEY WERE SEALED IN THE L.A. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

I XEROXED THAT SINGLE SHEET OF PAPER BEFORE IT
WAS SEALED AND PUT IT BACK IN THERE, SINCE THAT WAS THE ONLY
DOCUMENT THAT MR. CHIER HAD OBJECTED TO, PRIOR TO ME TAKING
IT FROM THE OFFICE OR THE HOUSE.

AND I WANTED THE JUDGE TO SEE THAT WHEN I RETURNED IT WITH THE WARRANT.

Q NOW, PRAY TELL, HOW DOES A 1-5-87 DOCUMENT,

MR. BREILING, RELATE TO ANYTHING WITHIN THE TIME PARAMETERS

OF YOUR SEARCH WARRANT?

A OKAY. THAT PARTICULAR DOCUMENT OBVIOUSLY, WAS NOT MENTIONED ON THE SEARCH WARRANT. THE 1-5-87 DATE DIDN'T COME TO MY ATTENTION UNTIL I HAD SEEN OTHER THINGS ON THAT DOCUMENT THAT MADE ME BELIEVE THAT IT DID RELATE TO SOMETHING THAT COULD BE SEIZED.

Q WELL, SIR, THE VERY FIRST THING I SEE, READING IT FROM TOP TO BOTTOM AS I NORMALLY DO, IS THE DATE 1-5-87.

HAVING LOOKING AT THIS FROM TOP TO BOTTOM AS I PRESUME YOU READ AS WELL, SIR, WOULD YOU NOT HAVE WANTED TO STOP READING?

A WELL, I LEARNED TO READ IN THE UNITED STATES.

I READ FROM LEFT TO RIGHT.

AT THE TOP ON THE LEFT, IS THE NAME PITTMAN.

OVER ON THE RIGHT IS THE DATE. IF I WAS RAISED IN CHINA,

I WOULD HAVE READ THAT FIRST.

Q IF I GO FROM LEFT TO RIGHT ACROSS THE TOP LINE,
I IMMEDIATELY ENCOUNTER, DO I NOT, ABOVE THE WORD "PITTMAN"
THE DATE 1-5-87?

A IT IS TO THE RIGHT. MY EYE WAS ATTRACTED TO PITTMAN.

MY EYE DROPPED DOWN AND I CAME UP WITH SOME OTHER THINGS I THOUGHT WERE PERTINENT.

THE COURT: WHERE IS THE WORD "PITTMAN"? IS THIS IT?

THE WITNESS: YOU CAN JUST BARELY SEE IT.

THE COURT: THIS ONE?

THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q BY MR. BARENS: YOU ARE TELLING ME, SIR, THAT

AFTER YOU SAW THE DATE 1-5-87 ON THERE, YOU STILL SEIZED IT?

A THAT IS WHAT I AM TELLING YOU.

Q WHOSE HANDWRITING -- DID YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO WHOSE HANDWRITING WAS ON THIS DOCUMENT?

A I CAN GIVE YOU AN ASSUMPTION.

Q WHO DID YOU ASSUME?

A I ASSUMED IT WAS MR. HUNT'S.

Q AND YOU ASSUMED IF HE WROTE SOMETHING ON 1-5-87 AFTER HIS TRIAL IN THIS MATTER HAD COMMENCED, THAT YOU WERE ENTITLED TO SEE IT?

A YES.

Q YOU HAD SOME DISCUSSION WITH MR. CHIER ABOUT THIS

28

DOCUMENT?

A YES WE DID.

Q ALL RIGHT, PRIOR THERETO WHEN MR. CHIER FIRST ARRIVED, DID YOU HAVE SOME CONFRONTATION WITH MR. CHIER? I USE THAT WORD NOT PERJORATIVELY, BUT DESCRIPTIVELY.

BEFORE HE ARRIVED?

NO. BEFORE THIS, WHEN HE FIRST ARRIVED, DID YOU Q HAVE SOME CONFRONTATION?

A WE HAD --

I DO NOT USE THE WORD "CONFRONTATION" IN AN ACCUSATORY MANNER, MR. BREILING.

NO. I MANAGED TO KEEP MY VOICE AT LEAST TWO OCTAVES ABOVE HIS.

Q YOU ARE NOT THE ONLY ONE, MR. BREILING. IN ANY EVENT, WHAT HAPPENED?

THE COURT: DO YOU MEAN HE PROVOKES IT WITH EVERYBODY? IS THAT THE IDEA?

MR. BARENS: I SAID THAT IN JEST, OBVIOUSLY, YOUR HONOR. AND I AM NOT SUBMITTING THAT MR. CHIER WAS ATTEMPTING TO BE PROVOCATIVE IN ANY MATTER ON THIS OCCASION. BUT --

THE COURT: JUST THIS OCCASION, YOU MEAN? .

MR. BARENS: ON NO OCCASION DO I SUGGEST HE IS BEING PROVOCATIVE.

BUT ON THAT OCCASION WHEN HE WAS ATTEMPTING TO DO HIS JOB, AS I DEEM HIM TO BE AT ALL TIMES, WHAT OCCURRED?

A I DON'T REMEMBER.

THE COURT: HE SEEMS TO BE DOING YOUR JOB, TOO, MOST OF THE TIME.

MR. BARENS: I TRUST HE IS ASSISTING ME, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE WITNESS: I AM LOST NOW, MR. BARENS. YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GO BACK AND GIVE ME THAT ONCE AGAIN. BY MR. BARENS: ALL I WANT TO KNOW IS, IRRESPECTIVE OF WHOSE JOB HE WAS DOING, WHAT HAPPENED? WHEN WE HAD THIS VOICE-RAISING CONTEST? 2BF 

CALL IT WHAT YOU MAY. Q

2 3

A I DON'T REMEMBER THAT SPECIFIC INCIDENT, WHAT IT WAS OVER. I KNOW AS I HAVE STATED EARLIER, MR. CHIER WANTED

4

ME TO CEASE AND DESIST WITH THE SEARCH.

5

6

HE WAS POINTING OUT THINGS TO THE OFFICERS THAT WERE IN THE ROOM, THINGS THAT HE DEEMED TO BE DEFENSE-RELATED OR ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED.

7

Q

NOT STAY OUT OF THE WAY --

8 9

DID YOU EVER TELL HIM THAT IF HE DID NOT STAY OUT OF THE WAY, HE WOULD BE ARRESTED?

10

THE COURT: I DIDN'T HEAR THAT.

11

BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER TELL HIM IF HE DID

12 13

A I AM AWFULLY GLAD THAT YOU ASKED THIS QUESTION AND --

14

THE COURT REPORTER: GENTLEMEN PLEASE, ONE AT A TIME.

15 16

MR. BARENS: I WAS TRYING TO FINISH THE QUESTION THAT

17

I HAD NOT FINISHED BEFORE THE RESPONSE COMMENCED.

18

AND MY QUESTION WAS, DID YOU EVER TELL MR. CHIER THAT HE RAN THE RISK OF BEING ARRESTED?

19 20

21

NOT THAT WAY. I DID TELL MR. CHIER THAT HIS ACTIONS IN MY OPINION, WERE RESULTING IN HIM DELAYING AND IMPEDING MY ABILITY TO CARRY OUT THE FUNCTIONS OF AN OFFICER SERVING A SEARCH WARRANT.

23

24

25

22

AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, I THINK MR. CHIER SAW A 148 P.C. ARREST COMING AND HE BACKED OFF. I NEVER USED THE WORD "ARREST."

26

I NEVER THREATENED TO ARREST HIM. I JUST TOLD HIM WHAT THE ELEMENTS OF 148 WERE AND I CONSIDERED THAT HE

```
WAS VIOLATING THOSE ELEMENTS.
 1
           Q GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE,
 2
     OBSTRUCTING AN OFFICER?
 3
                NO. I WOULDN'T SAY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. HE
     WAS OBSTRUCTING AN OFFICER IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIS DUTY.
 5
           Q RIGHT. AND YOU MADE THAT CLEAR TO HIM SOMEWHAT
 6
     FROM THE OUTSET WHEN HE GOT THERE?
 7
           Α
                 NO.
 8
           Q
                 NO?
 9
           Α
                 THAT IS NOT TRUE.
10
           Q
                 ALL RIGHT.
11
                 NO. MR. CHIER WHEN HE FIRST SHOWED UP, I SHOWED
12
    HIM THE ORIGINAL SEARCH WARRANT. I LET HIM READ IT.
13
                 I GUESS BOBBY ROBERTS HAD GAVE HIM THE COPY, BECAUSE
14
    HE WAS SUBSEQUENTLY CARRYING A COPY AROUND WITH HIM.
15
                MR. CHIER OBJECTED TO THE FACT THAT WE WERE THERE
16
    BECAUSE OF THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE MATERIALS.
17
                I IN MY CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM, I TOLD HIM THAT
18
    I HAD JUST GOTTEN OFF THE PHONE WITH YOU AND EXPLAINED THE
19
    SITUATION TO YOU AND TOLD HIM THAT YOU HAD TOLD ME THAT YOU
20
    WOULD TRUST MY JUDGMENT IN THIS CASE.
21
                SO, I DIDN'T OFFICIALLY TELL HIM THAT I WAS GOING
22
    TO ARREST HIM. I NEVER DID TELL HIM THAT.
23
          THE COURT: WAS IT THEN THAT HE TOLD YOU THAT THE
24
    DEFENDANT IS IN PRO PER DOWN HERE?
25
          THE WITNESS: IT WAS PRIOR TO THAT BECAUSE AFTER HE
26
    TOLD ME THAT, I WENT DOWNSTAIRS AND MADE A TELEPHONE CALL
27
```

TO MR. VANCE, TO MAKE SURE THAT I WAS STILL STANDING ON FIRM

LEGAL GROUND.

MR. CHIER'S CONVERSATION WITH ME AFTER THAT WAS, "DID YOU CALL MR. VANCE?"

I SAID, "YES I DID. JOE HUNT HAS YOU AND I HAVE MR. VANCE."

Q EVERYONE HAS SOMEONE. MR. BREILING, DID MR. CHIER USE THE EXPRESSION WITH YOU THAT MR. HUNT WAS MAKING A MOTION TO BE PLACED IN PRO PER FOR PURPOSES OF SECURING THE APPOINTMENT OF A SPECIAL MASTER RELATIVE TO THE DOCUMENTS YOU WERE SEEKING TO OBTIAN?

A AS I STATED AT THE TIME THAT I TESTIFIED, I DON'T RECALL HIS EXACT WORDS. THE MEANING THAT I OBTAINED FROM HIS WORDS, WAS THAT JOE WAS IN THE STATE OF EITHER GOING PRO PER OR WAS AT THAT TIME PRO PER. BUT HIS STATEMENT TO ME WAS AS A RESULT OF WHATEVER WAS TAKING PLACE DOWN HERE, I HAD TO STOP. IT WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT WAS TO OCCUR IN THE FUTURE. IT WAS SOMETHING THAT I HAD TO DO AT THAT MOMENT.

THE COURT: HE MENTIONED A SPECIAL MASTER TO YOU AT THAT TIME?

THE WITNESS: YES HE DID.

THE COURT: WHAT DID HE SAY?

THE WITNESS: HE SAID THAT THE OFFICES OR THE AREAS
THAT I WAS SEARCHING BELONGED TO HUNT, WHO WOULD NOW HAVE
TO BE CONSIDERED AN ATTORNEY, HIS OFFICES AND THAT I COULD
NOT SEARCH THEM AND A SPECIAL MASTER WOULD HAVE TO BE
APPOINTED TO SEARCH THEM.

Q BY MR. BARENS: IS IT POSSIBLE MR. BREILING, THAT MR. CHIER USED THE EXPRESSION WITH YOU, THAT A MOTION WAS

```
BEING SOUGHT RELATIVE TO PRO PER STATUS?
 1
           A I DON'T RECALL HIM USING THE WORD "MOTION."
 2
                IS IT POSSIBLE THAT HE USED THAT WORD OR SAID
 3
     THAT HE WAS SEEKING AN ORDER IN THAT REGARD?
 4
          A IN FAIRNESS TO MR. CHIER, I WOULD SAY THAT IT
 5
     IS POSSIBLE. BUT THAT WAS NOT THE IMPRESSION THAT I WAS LEFT
 6
    WITH.
 7
          Q ALL RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND THAT. I AM JUST TRYING
 8
     TO SEE WHAT IS POSSIBLE AND WHAT IS NOT POSSIBLE.
9
                I AM SURE WE WILL HAVE TESTIMONY ON THAT LATER.
10
    ALL RIGHT.
11
                (PAUSE.)
12
          MR. BARENS: I HAVE A LOT OF NOTES, HERE. I HAVE A
13
    COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ON THE TYPEWRITER MATTER THAT YOU
14
    REFERENCED EARLIER.
15
               YOU REMOVED A BALL FROM A TYPEWRITER?
16
                THAT'S CORRECT.
17
            HAVE YOU MADE THE BALL FROM THAT TYPEWRITER
18
    AVAILABLE TO OFFICERS DIAZ AND ROZZI?
19
          A IT IS LOCKED UP IN THE BOX IN MY OFFICE.
20
21
         Q I REALIZE THAT.
22
          THE COURT: HE WANTS TO KNOW WHETHER YOU MADE IT
23
    AVAILABLE TO THEM?
          THE WITNESS: I HAVE NOT MADE IT AVAILABLE TO ANYBODY.
24
          Q BY MR. BARENS: BEFORE IT WAS LOCKED UP, SIR,
25
    DID YOU ACCESS ROZZI OR DIAZ OR ANY REPRESENTATIVES OR
26
    PERSONS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM TO THE TYPEWRITER BALL?
27
```

A NO.

1	Q HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THAT THERE MIGHT BE AN ISSUE					
2	IN THAT HOLLYWOOD HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION CONCERNING TYPEWRITTEN					
3	MATERIALS?					
4	A I HEARD THAT THEY HAD RECEIVED A TYPEWRITTEN LETTER					
5	OR SOMEBODY HAD RECEIVED A TYPEWRITTEN LETTER, YES.					
6	Q AND THAT THERE WAS SOME SEARCH, LOOKING FOR THE					
7	GENSIS OF THAT TYPEWRITTEN DOCUMENT?					
8	A NOBODY HAS TOLD ME THAT. I WOULD ASSUME THAT					
9	A GOOD INVESTIGATOR WOULD, THOUGH.					
10	Q DID DEAN KARNY EVER TELL YOU THAT TYPING EQUIPMENT					
11	WAS TAKEN BY RYAN HUNT FROM THE BBC OFFICES?					
12	A I CAN'T SAY THAT HE DID.					
13	Q THAT CERTAINLY TYPEWRITING EQUIPMENT WAS NOT THE					
14	SUBJECT OF YOUR SEARCH WARRANT, WAS IT, SIR?					
15	A NO IT WAS NOT.					
16	Q DID RYAN HUNT EVER TELL YOU THAT HE TOOK A					
17	TYPEWRITER FROM THE OFFICE?					
18	A I NEVER ASKED HIM. HE NEVER TOLD ME.					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

3 FO

DID ANYONE FROM THE SEC EVER TALK ABOUT TYPEWRITERS 1 Q WITH YOU? 2 3 Α NO. DID YOU HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE TYPEWRITER 5 THAT WAS PRESENT IN THE ROBERTS HOUSE WAS THE TYPEWRITER 6 THAT MR. HUNT HAD EITHER USED OR HAD POSSESSION OF IN 1984? 7 I HAD NO WAY OF KNOWING FOR SURE. 8 IT IS UNUSUAL IN YOUR PRACTICE, IS IT NOT, TO Q 9 SEAL ALL OF THE MATERIALS YOU OBTAINED PURSUANT TO THE SEARCH? 10 NO, I CAN'T SAY THAT THAT IS MY USUAL CUSTOM. 11 IT IS NOT YOUR USUAL CUSTOM, IS IT? Q 12 А NO. 13 WHY IN THIS INSTANCE DID YOU SEAL EVERYTHING Q 14 YOU SEIZED? 15 THE ORIGINAL REQUEST THAT I DO SO OR LEAVE IT 16 SEALED CAME FROM MR. WAPNER AND I HONORED THAT REQUEST. 17 AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST DISCUSS THIS WITH MR. 18 WAPNER? 19 MR. WAPNER: BY DISCUSS THIS, DOES THAT MEAN THE 20 SEALING OF THE DOCUMENTS? 21 ·MR. BARENS: DISCUSS ANYTHING ABOUT THE SEARCH AND 22 SEIZURE AT THE ROBERTS RESIDENCE. 23 THE WITNESS: AFTER I WAS BACK IN SAN FRANCISCO, LET'S 24 SEE, I THINK THE SEARCH WAS ON A THURSDAY AND THE FOLLOWING 25 MONDAY I THINK MR. WAPNER AND I SPOKE ON THE TELEPHONE AND 26 HE REQUESTED THAT IF THEY WERE SEALED, I TOLD HIM THEY HAD 27 BEEN SHIPPED UP AND HE ASKED IF THEY WERE SEALED, I BELIEVE,

ANDI WOULD HAVE RESPONDED IN THE AFFIRMATIVE AND HE ASKED

- 1

1	IF I WOULD LEAVE THEM THAT WAY.				
2	Q WHY DID HE TELL YOU HE WANTED THEM SEALED?				
3	A YOU WILL HAVE TO ASK HIM.				
4	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHY, SIR?				
5	A I THINK HE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THAT I MIGHT HAVE				
6	TAKEN SOMETHING THAT WOULD RELATE TO THE LEVIN HOMICIDE, AS				
7	PRIVILEGED.				
8	Q DID HE TELL YOU THAT, SIR?				
9	A WORDS TO THAT EFFECT, YES.				
10	Q DID YOU EVER DISCUSS WHAT WAS SEEN OR OBTAINED				
11	AT THE HOME THAT DAY WITH DETECTIVE ZOELLER SUBSEQUENT TO				
12	THAT DATE?				
13	A NO.				
14	Q DID THE SUBJECT OF WHETHER MR. WAPNER WAS AWARE				
15	OF THIS SEARCH EVER COME UP DURING YOUR BRIEFING SESSION IN				
16	BEVERLY HILLS THAT THURSDAY MORNING?				
17	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO.				
18	Q DID MR. ZOELLER AT ANY TIME EXPRESS ANY CONCERN				
19	TO YOU THAT HE, MR. ZOELLER, OR THAT YOU PERSONALLY SHOULD				
20	TELL MR. WAPNER WHAT YOU WERE DOING?				
21	A NO.				
22	Q DID THERE EVER AT ANY TIME, DID ZOELLER PRIOR				
23	TO THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE EVER EXPRESS ANY CONCERN THAT HE				
24	HAD NOT TOLD THE D.A. HE WAS WORKING WITH ON THE LEVIN				
25	PROSECUTION ABOUT HIM GOING TO THE ROBERTS HOUSE?				
26	A WE NEVER DISCUSSED IT.				
27	Q AT ANY TIME?				
28	A WELL, I WOULDN'T SAY THAT WE NEVER DISCUSSED				

WHOLE WARRANT WAS HANDLED.

```
1
     IT AT ANY TIME.
 2
                  HE WAS JUST AWARE OF THE FACT THAT I HAD NOT
 3
     BROUGHT IT TO THE ATTENTION OF MR. WAPNER.
            Q DID HE EVER EXPRESS TO YOU ANY QUESTION THAT
 5
     HE MIGHT HAVE HAD AS TO WHETHER HE SHOULD DISCUSS IT WITH
 6
     MR. WAPNER?
 7
            A IF HE DID, IT WAS NOT WITH SUCH IMPACT THAT IT
 8
     REMAINS IN MY MEMORY TODAY.
 9
                 YOUR ANSWER THEN IS YOU ARE NOT SURE?
            Q
10
              I AM SAYING THAT HE COULD HAVE BUT I DOUBT IT.
11
            0
                  HAS HE, SUBSEQUENT TO THE SEARCH, EVER DISCUSSED
12
     THAT SUBJECT WITH YOU?
13
            Α
                  IN A ROUNDABOUT WAY.
14
            Q
                  WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT. SIR?
15
            Α
                  HE SAID HE THOUGHT I DID THE RIGHT THING.
16
            Q
                  DID ANYONE SUGGEST THAT HE HADN'T?
17
                  I BEG YOUR PARDON?
18
                 DID HE TELL YOU SOMEONE HAD SUGGESTED THAT HE
19
    HADN'T DONE THE RIGHT THING?
20
                  NO, I DIDN'T SAY "HE."
            Α
21
                  I SAID THAT HE THOUGHT THAT I HAD DONE THE RIGHT
22
    THING.
23
                 THAT YOU HAD DONE THE RIGHT THING?
24
            А
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
25
            Q
                 BUT WHY WAS HE TALKING ABOUT YOUR DECISION NOT
26
    TO ADVISE MR. WAPNER OF THE SEARCH PRIOR TO PROCEEDING?
27
                NO. WE WERE TALKING IN GENERAL ABOUT HOW THE
```

POSSIBLE.

WE NEVER SPECIFICALLY, AS FAR AS I KNOW, HAVE SAT DOWN AND HAD A CONVERSATION ABOUT HOW WE SHOULD KEEP FRED WAPNER IN THE DARK.

Q ALTHOUGH YOU SUCCEEDED AT THAT, YOU ARE TELLING ME YOU NEVER HAD ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT?

WE HAVE NEVER REALLY DISCUSSED THAT ISSUE, NO.

WE SPENT MORE TIME TALKING ABOUT HOW THE WARRANT

WAS GOTHG TO BE SERVED AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AND HONESTLY AS

1 0 WHAT I AM REALLY ASKING NOW IS, SUBSEQUENT TO THE SEARCH BETWEEN JANUARY 8TH AND TODAY AS YOU SIT IN THE 2 3 WITNESS BOX, YOU ARE TELLING ME YOU HAVE NEVER DISCUSSED THE PROPRIETY OR LACK THEREOF/ADVISING WAPNER OR NOT ABOUT THE 4 5 SEARCH UP TO THIS MOMENT IN TIME? 6 I THINK THAT IS SAFE TO SAY THAT IS CORRECT. 7 I DON'T THINK I HAVE TALKED TO HIM MAYBE MORE THAN ONCE AND 8 I WAS ASKING HIM ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. 9 DID THERE COME A TIME DURING THE SEARCH WHEN 10 MR. ZOELLER TOLD YOU HE HAD TO LEAVE? 11 YES, HE DID MENTION THAT. 12 WHY DID HE TELL YOU HE HAD TO LEAVE? 13 SUBSEQUENT TO THE TELEPHONE CALL THAT I HAD FROM 14 YOU, I WAS TOLD THAT MR. WAPNER WAS ON THE TELEPHONE. 15 Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT, SIR? 16 I THINK IT WAS BOBBY ROBERTS, I AM NOT SURE, 17 BUT I WAS DIRECTED TO THE TELEPHONE AND I WAS TOLD THAT FRED 18 WAPNER WAS ON THE PHONE. 19 AND FRED ASKED ME, "WHAT ARE YOU DOING OVER AT 20 BOBBY ROBERTS?" 21 I SAID, "SERVING A SEARCH WARRANT." 22 Q YES? 23 AND HE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON, I TOLD 24 HIM, AND HE SAID, "WELL, TELL LES TO FORGET WHAT I TOLD HIM." 25 BUT MR. WAPNER DIDN'T TELL ME WHAT HE HAD TOLD 26 LES. HE JUST SAYS, "TELL HIM TO FORGET IT." 27 SO WHEN I GOT THROUGH TALKING TO MR. WAPNER, 28 HE PUT YOU BACK ON THE TELEPHONE AND I TALKED TO YOU A SECOND

28

1 TIME. 2 AFTER I WAS THROUGH WITH YOU, I REMEMBER TRYING 3 TO HAND THE PHONE TO MR. CHIER TO SEE IF HE WANTED TO TALK 4 TO YOU BUT YOU HAD GOTTEN OFF THE PHONE. 5 SO THEN I TALKED TO LES AND I SAID, "FRED TOLD 6 ME TO TELL YOU THAT WHATEVER IT WAS HE TOLD YOU, FORGET ABOUT 7 IT." 8 AND AT THAT TIME, HE TOLD ME -- LES TOLD ME 9 "FRED TOLD ME TO LEAVE." 10 "AND HE TOLD ME TO TELL YOU WHATEVER IT WAS HE 11 TOLD YOU, TO FORGET ABOUT IT." 12 Q DID HE THEN STAY UNTIL THE REST OF YOU LEFT? 13 Α HE WAS THERE UNTIL WE LEFT. 14 I DON'T THINK HE WAS ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING IN 15 THE SEARCH TO ANY EXTENT. 16 DID MR. ZOELLER EVER TELL YOU THAT HE SPOKE TO 17 FRED WAPNER THAT DAY ON THE PHONE? 18 I JUST SAID THAT, THAT FRED HAD APPARENTLY TALKED 19 TO LES BEFORE HE TALKED TO ME, I AM ASSUMING. 20 AT ANY RATE, FRED --21 THE COURT: YOU MEAN AT THE HOUSE? 22 THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 23 APPARENTLY THE PHONE CALL HAD GONE IN TO LES 24 ZOELLER OR LES AND FRED WAPNER HAD BEEN IN COMMUNICATION FIRST 25 AND I GATHER FROM WHAT LES SAID THAT MR. WAPNER HAD TOLD HIM 26 TO LEAVE.

WHEN I TALKED TO FRED, FRED TOLD ME TO TELL LES

1	AND THEN I FOUND OUT				
2	Q BY MR. BARENS: WERE YOU EVER TOLD				
3	THE COURT: LET HIM FINISH.				
4	THEN YOU FOUND OUT?				
5	THE WITNESS: THEN I HEARD FROM LES THAT WAPNER TOLD				
6	HIM TO LEAVE AND I SAID, "IF THAT IS WHAT HE SAID, FINE, BUT				
7	HE TOLD ME TO TELL YOU TO FORGET IT."				
8	Q BY MR. BARENS: NOW, DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT				
9	DURING THE CONVERSATION WHICH MR. ZOELLER WAS TOLD TO LEAVE				
10	BY MR. WAPNER, THAT I WAS A WITNESS IN THAT TELEPHONE				
11	CONVERSATION?				
12	A YOU STARTED OUT, "DID HE EVER TELL YOU?"				
13	WHO ARE YOU MAKING REFERENCE TO?				
14	Q DID MR. ZOELLER EVER LET YOU KNOW THAT I WAS				
15	A WITNESS TO THE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION IN WHICH HE WAS TOLD				
16	TO LEAVE AND CEASE HIS ACTIVITIES BY MR. WAPNER?				
17	A NO, HE NEVER TOLD ME.				
18	Q ARE YOU TELLING ME THAT AFTER MR. ZOELLER GOT				
19	OFF OF THE PHONE WITH MR. WAPNER SRIKE THAT.				
20	HOW MUCH TIME ELAPSED BETWEEN THE TIME MR. WAPNER				
21	TALKED TO MR. ZOELLER AND THE TIME THAT YOU TALKED TO MR.				
22	WAPNER?				
23	A I HAD NO IDEA THAT MR. ZOELLER AND MR. WAPNER				
24	WERE COMMUNICATING SO IT CAME AS A SURPRISE TO ME.				
25	I WAS TOLD "WAPNER WANTS TO TALK TO YOU ON THE				
26	PHONE."				
27	I DIDN'T KNOW THAT LES HAD BEEN TALKING TO HIM,				

SO I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT KIND OF A TIME EXPANSE THERE WAS.

1		Q	WHAT TIME WAS IT WHEN YOU TOLD MR. ZOELLER TO
2	FORGET	ABOUT	WHAT WAPNER TOLD HIM?
3		А	I DIDN'T LOOK AT MY WATCH.
4			AS SOON AS I GOT OFF THE PHONE FROM FRED, I TOLD
5	HIM.		e <del>de</del>
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	ı		
28			

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Q HOW MUCH TIME ELAPSED BETWEEN THAT POINT IN TIME AND WHEN YOU LEFT THE ROBERTS' PREMISES?

A I DON'T KNOW.

WAS IT MORE THAN AN HOUR?

I DON'T KNOW. I REALLY DON'T KNOW. YOU WERE PRIVY TO THE CONVERSATION, SO I WILL HAVE TO THROW THE QUESTION BACK AT YOU.

IF YOU WERE THERE, DID YOU LOOK AT YOUR WATCH? I DIDN'T.

Q I DID. AND YOU LEFT THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE ABOUT WHAT TIME?

A BETWEEN 20 MINUTES AFTER 1:00 AND 1:30, SOMEWHERE ABOUT THERE.

Q AND IS IT YOUR STATEMENT THAT AS BEST YOU COULD OBSERVE, THAT AFTER YOU HAD TALKED TO MR. WAPNER ON THE PHONE AND WERE AWARE THAT MR. ZOELLER HAD TALKED TO MR. WAPNER ON THE PHONE, THAT MR. ZOELLER NO LONGER ENGAGED IN ANY SEARCH OF MATERIALS?

A I WAS NOT WATCHING HIM. I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY SEEING HIM. HE MAY HAVE.

HE MAY NOT HAVE. PROBABLY HE WAS ATTEMPTING TO HONOR FRED'S REQUEST AND ALTHOUGH I DIDN'T SEE HIM LEAVING THE PLACE --

MR. BARENS: WE'LL MOVE TO STRIKE THAT LAST COMMENT STARTING WITH THE "PROBABLY" AS SPECULATION.

THE COURT: I WILL GRANT YOUR MOTION.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. NOTHING FURTHER OF THIS WITNESS.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. WAPNER:

Q WHY WAS IT THAT YOU TOOK THAT DOCUMENT THAT YOU HAVE ATTACHED TO THE RETURN OF THIS SEARCH WARRANT THAT HAS THE JANUARY 5, 1987 DATE ON IT?

A BECAUSE I FELT THAT IT WAS EVIDENCE IN MY CASE.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. BARENS: ONE LAST QUESTION.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD. ALL RIGHT.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. BARENS:

Q ARE YOU ASSERTING IN ANY WAY IN YOUR TESTIMONY
THAT MR. CHIER HAD A VETO POWER OVER WHAT YOU WERE DECIDING
TO TAKE?

A A VETEO POWER? NO. I JUST RESPECTED HIS -- WHEN HE SAID SOMETHING WAS DEFENSE-RELATED OR ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE, I TOOK HIS WORD AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT AND MR. HUNT'S DEFENSE ATTORNEY.

Q BUT IF YOU HAD DECIDED TO TAKE SOMETHING AND THERE WAS A DISAGREEMENT, YOU WON, DIDN'T YOU?

A WELL, THE ONE THING WE REALLY DISAGREED OVER,
WE'LL FIND OUT WHETHER I WON AT THE APPELLATE LEVEL.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.

THE COURT: WELL, ON THE SUBJECT YOU WERE DISCUSSING WITH COUNSEL, ON PAGE 5 OF YOUR REPORT, YOU STATE:

"IT SHOULD BE NOTED HERE THAT DURING

MY SEARCH, CHIER IDENTIFIED (PRIOR TO MY EXAMINATION)

-3 1

NUMEROUS BINDERS AND PAPERS AS REPRESENTING

DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE DEFENSE OF HIS CLIENT.

I TOOK MR. CHIER AT HIS WORD AND DID NOT OPEN THOSE

MATERIALS, BASED UPON HIS REPRESENTATION TO ME AS

AN OFFICER OF THE COURT."

IS THAT CORRECT?

THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.

Q BY MR. BARENS: DIDN'T YOU EARLIER TESTIFY, SIR, THAT YOU OPENED THEM AND THEN CLOSED THEM?

THE COURT: LET ME FINISH.

THE WITNESS: NO. I SAID THERE WAS ONE BINDER THAT

I OPENED AND I SAW THE FIRST PAGE, A REPORT WRITTEN BY ME

AND SIGNED BY ME AND THEN HE SAID ALL THOSE BINDERS.

SO I DIDN'T LOOK AT ANY OF THEM.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

1 THE COURT: AND THEN YOU GO ON: 2 "AFTER PHOTOGRAPHS HAD BEEN TAKEN OF 3 THE ENTIRE SCENE PRIOR TO AND AFTER THE SEARCH, 4 I PROVIDED MR. CHIER, WHO WAS ACCOMPANIED BY 5 BROOKE ROBERTS, AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE ALL OF 6 THE DOCUMENTS I INTENDED TO REMOVE FROM THE 7 RESIDENCE." 8 IS THAT CORRECT? 9 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. 10 THE COURT: AND DID HE LOOK AT ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS 11 THAT YOU TOOK FROM THE PREMISES? 12 THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T WITNESS HIM GOING THROUGH THEM 13 ALL. BUT HE WAS IN THE BOX, GOING THROUGH THEM. 14 THE COURT: BUT DID HE OBJECT TO YOUR TAKING ANY OF 15 THOSE DOCUMENTS, EXCEPT FOR THAT ONE? 16 THE WITNESS: NO. THAT WAS THE ONLY ONE. 17 THE COURT: AND HE SAW ALL OF THE THINGS THAT YOU PUT 18 INTO THE BOX THAT YOU WERE TAKING? 19 THE WITNESS: HE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO. I DON'T KNOW 20 WHETHER HE AVAILED HIMSELF OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT IT 21 PAGE BY PAGE. BUT HE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT EVERYTHING 22 AND REMOVE IT HIMSELF FROM THE BOX. 23 THE COURT: DID YOU TELL HIM HE COULD NOT LOOK AT 24 ANYTHING?

25

THE WITNESS: NO.

26

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU GO ON TO SAY:

27

"MR. CHIER CONTINUED REVIEWING

28

DOCUMENTS BUT REGISTERED NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS

REGARDING ANY OF THE OTHER MATERIALS THAT I WAS 1 SEIZING." 2 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. 3 THE COURT: YOU GO ON TO CONCLUDE: 4 "SINCE CHIER REGISTERED NO FURTHER 5 OBJECTIONS, I WAS LED TO BELIEVE THAT HIS APPROVAL 6 WAS GIVEN TO THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEMS SEIZED." 7 IS THAT CORRECT? 8 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. 9 MR. BARENS: IF I MIGHT, YOUR HONOR? 10 THE COURT: GO HEAD. YOU CAN ASK HIM SOME QUESTIONS. 11 MR. BARENS: I AM NOT SURE WHY YOUR HONOR IS READING 12 THIS. BUT SINCE YOUR HONOR IS READING THIS, LET ME INQUIRE 13 ON IT. 14 THE COURT: SURE. I DIDN'T SAY THAT YOU CAN'T. YOU 15 CAN GO AHEAD. 16 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 17 18 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. BARENS: 20 Q DID MR. CHIER EVER TELL YOU THAT HE APPROVED OF 21 THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEMS? 22 23 Α NO. 24 DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT HE THOUGHT THE CASE AGAINST MR. HUNT WAS GOING TO BE DISMISSED AS A RESULT OF 25 26 YOUR ACTIVITIES? A NO. HE ASKED ME IF I REALIZED MY ACTIVITIES COULD 27

RESULT IN EITHER A CONTINUANCE OF THE CASE OR A DISMISSAL.

THE WITNESS: I TOLD MR. WAPNER THAT IF I GET OUT OF

1 THE COURT: YES. 2 MR. WAPNER: IN A BOX --THE COURT: I AM GOING TO DO THAT. 3 4 MR. WAPNER: -- THAT IS SEALED AND THAT THIS COURT 5 SHOULD EXAMINE THOSE DOCUMENTS AND THEN THE COURT CAN MAKE 6 A DETERMINATION AS TO WHETHER THEY ARE PRIVILEGED. 7 MR. BARENS: WE ARE --8 MR. WAPNER: THE REASON I SAY THAT IS, AND THE REASON 9 I ALLUDED TO BARBER THAT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS IN BARBER WAS 10 THAT THEY HAD THIS ISSUE FLOATING AROUND WHERE NOBODY COULD 11 QUANTIFY WHAT EXACTLY WAS THE PROBLEM. 12 IF THE COURT HAD HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ SOME 13 OF THE CASES I CITED YESTERDAY --14 THE COURT: I DID. 15 MR. WAPNER: --THAT DISTINGUISHED BARBER BY SAYING THAT 16 IN THIS CASE, OR IN THAT CASE, BY SAYING THEY HAVE THINGS 17 THAT WERE ACTUALLY SEIZED, WE COULD LOOK AT IT AND WE COULD 18 MAKE A DETERMINATION. 19 IF THE DEFENSE IS SAYING THEY DON'T WANT THE 20 COURT TO EXAMINE THOSE --21 THE COURT: I AM GOING TO EXAMINE THOSE, I HAVE TO. 22 I HAVE TO BECAUSE IF THEY ARE CLAIMING THAT THESE DOCUMENTS 23 WHICH WERE SEIZED ARE DEFENSE RELATED AND INVOLVED CONFIDENTIAL 24 COMMUNICATIONS, I WOULDN'T TAKE THEIR WORD FOR IT. 25 MR. WAPNER: ABSOLUTELY. I AGREE COMPLETELY. 26 THE COURT: I HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT MYSFIF. 27 MR. WAPNER: I AGREE COMPLETELY.

MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

1 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, AND I AM NOT 2 GOING TO GET INTO THIS WHOLE DIALOGUE NOW, I RESERVE THAT. 3 I THINK IT WOULD BE QUITE EXTREME ERROR FOR THE COURT TO REVIEW THOSE DOCUMENTS. 5 THE COURT: I WILL TAKE THE EXTREME CHANCE ON ERROR, 6 ALL RIGHT? 7 MR. BARENS: I HAVE AN OBLIGATION. 8 THE COURT: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 9 MR. BARENS: I OBJECT. 10 THE COURT: HOW AM I GOING TO MAKE A DETERMINATION 11 AS TO WHETHER THE DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE SEIZED WERE DEFENSE 12 RELATED AND PREJUDICE YOU IN CONNECTION WITH THE TRIAL IN 13 THIS CASE UNLESS I SEE WHAT THESE DOCUMENTS ARE? 14 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THERE HAS BEEN ALL KINDS OF 15 LITIGATION ON THIS SUBJECT IN OTHER COURTS AND IN OTHER CASES. 16 I AM NOT SAYING THAT A JUDGE SHOULD NOT LOOK AT THESE DOCUMENTS. 17 THE DEFENSE ABSOLUTELY BELIEVES THAT THE TRIAL 18 JUDGE IN THIS CASE SHOULD NOT LOOK AT THOSE DOCUMENTS. 19 THE COURT: WELL, I AM GOING TO LOOK AT THEM. 20 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR DOES AS YOUR HONOR SEES FIT. 21 I HAVE TO MAKE THE OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD. 22 I AM MAKING AN OBJECTION. 23 THE COURT: YOU ARE MAKING AN OBJECTION. I AM TELLING 24 YOU THAT THE OBJECTION IS NOT A VALID ONE, IF THE ISSUE IN 25 THIS CASE IS THAT THERE WAS A SEIZURE OF THESE DEFENSE 26 RELATED DOCUMENTS AND, THEREFORE, THERE HAS BEEN A DISCLOSURE 27 MADE AS TO WHAT THE DEFENSE IS AND ANYTHING RELATING TO 28 ANY CONFIDENTIALITY THAT IS CLAIMED, I HAVE TO SEE WHAT WAS

28

10:00 O'CLOCK.

1 SEIZED. 2 MR. BARENS: THE MATTER IS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD. 3 YOUR HONOR. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU CAN SUBMIT IT. 5 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: I AM TELLING YOU HOW I AM RULING. 7 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE IN 9 ANY EVENT BECAUSE THERE WILL BE A DEFENSE, I ASSUME. THERE 10 WILL BE DEFENSE TESTIMONY AS TO WHAT HAPPENED THERE AND YOU 11 WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR REBUTTAL ON THAT, WILL YOU NOT? 12 THE WITNESS: YES. I AM GETTING --13 MR. VANCE: YOUR HONOR, THE REASON I AM STANDING --14 FOR THE RECORD, JOHN VANCE, DEPUTY ATTORNEY 15 GENERAL. 16 I TAKE IT THE COURT THEN AT THIS POINT WANTS 17 US TO DELIVER A COPY TO MR. BARENS AND TO THE COURT; IS THAT 18 CORRECT? 19 THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT. 20 MR. VANCE: AND NOT TO MR. WAPNER? 21 THE COURT: NOT TO MR. WAPNER, NO, THAT'S RIGHT. 22 MR. VANCE: IF WE CAN GET OUT TONIGHT --23 THE COURT: YOU CAN GO OUT NOW. 24 MR. VANCE: OKAY, WE WILL MAKE OUR BEST EFFORT. I 25 COULD ALMOST GUARANTEE THEY WILL BE IN THE OVERNIGHT COURIER 26 TO LOS ANGELES AND THEY WILL BE HERE THURSDAY MORNING AT

AND I WAS JUST DISCUSSING WITH MR. WAPNER WHAT

. 1 WAS THE MOST EXPEDITIOUS WAY TO GET THEM FROM THE ATTORNEY 2 GENERAL'S OFFICE DOWN ON WILSHIRE BOULEVARD TO THE COURTHOUSE 3 AND WE WILL WORK IT OUT WITH MR. WAPNER. 4 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. 5 MR. VANCE: BUT THEY WILL BE SEALED IN SAN FRANCISCO 6 AFTERWARDS AND DELIVERED THURSDAY MORNING. 7 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. 8 MR. WAPNER: WE ARE IN RECESS UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING? 9 THE COURT: YES. 10 MR. BREILING WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE, WON'T HE, 11 IF THERE IS ANY TESTIMONY, ANY REBUTTAL IS REQUIRED, HE WILL 12 BE AVAILABLE? 13 MR. BARENS: WE MIGHT ALSO WANT HIM, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: CERTAINLY. 15 MR. WAPNER: OF COURSE. SINCE HE IS GOING TO BE IN 16 SAN FRANCISCO, IF THE DEFENSE WANTS HIM AGAIN, IF THEY JUST 17 GIVE ME A DAY'S NOTICE, I WILL BE HAPPY TO HAVE HIM HERE. 18 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. 19 MR. VANCE: HE WILL BE AVAILALBE BECAUSE I WILL BE 20 IN SAN FRANCISCO ALSO. 21 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. 22 MR. BARENS: 10:30, YOUR HONOR? 23 THE COURT: 10:30, ALL RIGHT. 24 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 25 (AT 4:37 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN 26 UNTIL WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 28, 1987 AT 27 10:30 A.M.)