

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI	[A,)
PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,)) SUPERIOR COURT
VS.) NO. A-090435
JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,	
DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 50 OF 101 (PAGES 7498 TO 7673 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C

HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1987

VOLUME 50

PAGES 7498 TO 7673, INCL.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

ORIGINAL

ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

DAY	DATE		SESSIO	N	PAGE
THURSDAY	FEBRUA	RY 12, 1987	A.M. P.M.		7498 7545
	(CHRONOLOGIC	AL INDEX OF W	ITNESSES	5)	
PEOPLE'S WITNESSES		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RFCROS
	CHARLES		7516		
MILLIKEN,				1) 4 4	
	- NTINUED) RTHER)	7528 7555	7573	7602 7608	7606 7612
LEBOWITZ,	RICHARD	7623	7649	7665	
	(ALPHABETIC	AL INDEX OF W	ITNESSES)	
PEOPLE'S WITNESSES		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROS
LEBOWITZ,	RICHARD	7623	7649	7665	
MILLIKEN,	CHARLES	7501	7516	7522	
	L NTINUED) RTHER)	7528 7555	7573	7602 7608	
			•		

1		EXHIBITS TO VOLU	ME 50	
2	PEOPLE': EXHIBIT:		FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
4	68-A	COPY OF REGISTRATION CARD	7625	7666
5	68-B	COPY OF BACK OF REGISTRATION CARD	7625	7666
6	68-C		7625	7666
7	68-D	BILL FROM PLAZA HOTEL	7625	7666
8 9	68-E	BILL FROM PALM COURT RESTAURANT	7625	7666
10	68-F	BILL FROM LIMOUSINE SERVICE	7625	7666
11	68-G	BILL FROM LIMOUSINE SERVICE	7625	7666
13	69	PAGE FROM LOG OF PLAZA HOTEL	7646	
14 15	173	ENVELOPE WITH TWO COMPUTER PRINTOUTS	7511	
16	173-A	PRINTOUT OF PURGE REGISTER	7512	
17	173-B	REGISTER	7515	
18	174	DENTAL X-RAYS	7567	
19	175	PHOTOGRAPH DEPICTING MR. PITTMAN	7636	
20				
21	DEFENDA EXHIBIT			
22	D	MISSING PERSON'S REPORT	7575	
23	E	COMPUTER PAPER WITH WORDS	7576	
24		"MISSING PERSON"	7576	
25				
26				
27				
28				

```
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1987; 10:45 A.M.
1
    DEPARTMENT WEST C
                                HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
2
                (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
3
4
                (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
5
                WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
6
                PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
7
          MR. WAPNER: I HAVE A WITNESS, ACTUALLY SEVERAL WITNESSES,
8
    AND I AM READY TO PROCEED.
9
                COUNSEL HAS FILED A MOTION THAT --
10
          THE COURT: I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY MOTIONS.
11
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, I JUST GOT IT THIS MORNING.
12
          THE CLERK: I DID, TOO, YOUR HONOR.
13
                (WHEREUPON, A DOCUMENT WAS HANDED
14
                TO THE COURT BY THE CLERK.)
15
          MR. WAPNER: I PREFER AT LEAST TO PROCEED WITH THE FIRST
16
    WITNESS.
17
                I HAVE DISCUSSED SOMETHING ABOUT THE SECOND WITNESS
18
19
    WITH COUNSEL THAT NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED.
          MR. BARENS: YOU MEAN ON THE -- EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR.
20
          THE COURT: YES.
21
          MR. BARENS: I WANT TO MAKE SURE WHAT WE ARE TALKING
22
    ABOUT.
23
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)
24
          MR. WAPNER: WE CAN START WITH MR. MILLIKEN, YOUR HONOR.
25
          MR. BARENS: I THINK WHAT WE CAN DO, YOUR HONOR -- I
26
    DON'T THINK WHAT WE ARE GOING TO BE DOING THIS MORNING WILL
27
     NECESSARILY INVOLVE THE MOTION. WE CAN FINISH THIS MORNING
28
```

AND YOUR HONOR COULD REVIEW THE PLEADINGS AFTER NOON AND THEN WE GOULD PLEAD THE MATTER PRIOR TO THE NEXT WITNESS. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

2 FO.

1 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS THE WITNESSES THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF THIS PLEADING, A COUPLE OF THEM AT LEAST --2 3 IF IT IS AT ALL POSSIBLE, THEY NEED TO TESTIFY TODAY BECAUSE 4 THEY ARE FROM OUT OF STATE AND THEY NEED TO GET BACK. SO --5 THE COURT: WELL, LET'S GET THE JURORS IN AND START. 6 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, OBVIOUSLY, THAT PRESUPPOSES 7 A RULING NEGATIVE TO THE DEFENSE. YOUR HONOR IS NOT GOING TO 8 BE IMPRESSED BY THEIR NEED TO RETURN TO NEW YORK IN MAKING 9 A DECISION? 10 THE COURT: LET'S GO ON, IF YOU WILL. GET THE JURORS, 11 PLEASE. 12 (THE JURY ENTERS THE COURTROOM.) 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND 14 GENTLEMEN. 15 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I AM LOOKING FOR AN EXHIBIT. 16 (PAUSE.) 17 MR. WAPNER: THE PEOPLE CALL CHARLES MILLIKEN. 18 19 CHARLES MILLIKEN, 20 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 21 AS FOLLOWS: 22 THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. 23 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY 24 GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE 25 TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP 26 YOU GOD? 27 THE WITNESS: I DO. 28 THE CLERK: BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. PLEASE

```
STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. SPELL YOUR NAME.
1
      THE WITNESS: CHARLES S. MILLIKEN, M-I-L-L-K-E-N.
2
3
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
4
    BY MR. WAPNER:
5
         Q MR. MILLIKEN, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
6
         A CHASE MANHATTAN BANK.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

3 FO.

1	Q	AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
2	. A	I AM AN OFFICIAL, ASSISTANT TREASURER.
3	Q	AND AS ASSISTANT
4		HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED BY CHASE MANHATTAI
5	BANK?	
6	Α	APPROXIMATELY FIVE YEARS.
7	, Q	AND HAVE YOU BEEN ASSISTANT TREASURER THE
8	WHOLE TIME?	•
9	А	NO.
10		I HAVE BEEN ASSISTANT TREASURER FOR APPROXIMATELY
11	TWO AND A H	ALF YEARS.
12	Q	WHAT WAS YOUR TITLE DURING 1984?
13	А	IN APRIL OF '84, I WAS MADE AN ASSISTANT
14	TREASURER-M	ANAGER, WAS MY COMPLETE TITLE.
15	Q	WHAT DO YOUR DUTIES CONSIST OF AS ASSISTANT
16	TREASURER O	R MANAGER?
17	А	AT THAT TIME, I WAS MANAGING THE INVESTIGATIONS
18	OF TRAVELER	'S CHECKS FOR THE CHASE TRAVELER'S CHECK SERVICE
19	CORPORATION	i.
20	Q	WHAT IS THE CHASE TRAVELER'S CHECK SERVICE
21	CORPORATION	?
22	А	THAT IS A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF CHASE
23	MANHATTAN B	ANK.
24	Q	DOES CHASE MANHATTAN BANK ISSUE TRAVELER'S
25	CHECKS?	
26	А	YES.
27	Q	DID THEY?
28	Α	IT DOES THROUGH ITS SUBSIDIARY.

O AND WHAT IS THE SUBSIDIARY? 1 . A THAT IS THE CHASE TRAVELER'S CHECK SERVICE 2 CORPORATION. 3 THAT IS THE ONE YOU WORK FOR? Q 4 A I WORK FOR THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK. 5 Q OKAY. 6 A THE SUBSIDIARY IS THE ONE THAT ACTUALLY ISSUES --7 WELL, THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK ISSUES THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS 8 THROUGH ITS SUBSIDIARY. 9 Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE PROCEDURES THAT 10 ARE FOLLOWED WHEN THE CHECKS ARE ISSUED? 11 A YES. 12 Q WHAT IS YOUR MAIN JOB, ASIDE FROM YOUR TITLE, 13 WHAT IS YOUR MAIN JOB AT THE BANK? 14 A MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS, THE SPECIAL INVESTIGATORS 15 WHO INVESTIGATED PROBLEMS WITH THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS INVOLVING 16 FRAUD, THEFT, LOSSES. 17 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR? 18 THE COURT: YES. 19 O BY MR. WAPNER: IN THAT CAPACITY, ARE YOU 20 FAMILIAR WITH HOW THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS ARE ISSUED BY 21 THE BANK AND THE RECORDS THAT ARE KEPT TO KEEP TRACK OF 22 THOSE TRAVELER'S CHECKS? 23 A YES, I AM. 24 AND WHEN THE BANK MARKETS ITS TRAVELER'S CHECKS, 25 HOW IS THAT DONE? 26 A THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS ARE SOLD THROUGH VARIOUS 27 SALES AGENTS. 28

4 FO.

1	Q AND ARE THOSE OTHER BANKS?
2	. A MANY TIMES, YES.
3	Q IN 1984, WAS OLYMPIC NATIONAL BANK IN LOS ANGELES
4	ONE OF THE SALES AGENTS FOR CHASE MANHATTAN TRAVELER'S CHECKS?
5	A IT WAS.
6	Q WHEN TRAVELER'S CHECKS ARE SOLD BY CHASE
7	MANHATTAN LET ME STRIKE THAT.
8	HOW DO THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS GET FROM CHASE
9	MANHATTAN TO ONE OF ITS AGENTS? DOES THE AGENT BUY IT?
10	A NO. THE CHECKS ARE SENT BY CHASE MANHATTAN TO
11	ITS SALES AGENTS AT THEIR REQUEST. THERE IS NO CHARGE
12	AT THAT TIME.
13	THE SALES AGENT WILL ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE
14	CHECKS AND WHEN THEY ARE SOLD TO CUSTOMERS, THEY WILL SEND
15	THE MONEYS BACK TO CHASE MANHATTAN.
16	Q DOES CHASE MANHATTAN BANK KEEP A RECORD OF THE
17	CHECKS THAT IT SENDS OUT TO ITS VARIOUS AGENTS?
18	A THEY DO.
19	Q AND WHEN THE AGENT AND IS THAT A RECORD OF
20	THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS BY NUMBER?
21	A YES, IT IS.
22	Q WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE AGENT, IN THIS CASE OLYMPIC
23	NATIONAL BANK, SELLS THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS TO A CUSTOMER?
24	IS THERE A RECORD KEPT OF THAT?
2 5	A THERE IS A RECORD KEPT. THE SELLING AGENT WILL
26	HAVE THE CUSTOMER FILL OUT A PURCHASE AGREEMENT AT THE TIME
27	OF THE PURCHASE.

AND THE MONEYS THE BANK OR THE SALES AGENT RECEIVE

4-1

FOR THE CHECK WILL BE SENT BACK TO CHASE MANHATTAN BANK. 1 DOES CHASE MANHATTAN BANK KEEP A RECORD OF THE 2 CHECKS THAT ARE SOLD BY ITS AGENTS TO VARIOUS CUSTOMERS? 3 YES. Α 4 IS THAT DONE BY CHECK NUMBER? Q 5 YES, IT IS. 6 HOW IS THAT RECORD KEPT? 7 IT IS ORIGINALLY KEPT ON A COMPUTER TRACKING 8 SYSTEM IN RECORDING THE SALE, THE SOLD ITEMS. 9 NOW, WHEN OLYMPIC BANK SELLS THE TRAVELER'S 10 CHECKS TO THE CUSTOMER, WHAT DO THEY SEND BACK TO CHASE 11 MANHATTAN BANK? 12 THEY SEND BACK A COPY OF THE FURCHASE AGREEMENT А 13 AND THE MONEYS FOR THE CHECKS. 14 AND DO THEY SEND BACK THE CHECK NUMBERS? 15 YES, THEY DO. 16 ALL RIGHT. SHOWING YOU EXHIBITS THAT WE HAVE 17 MARKED AS 48 AND 61, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE, THE FORMS 18 DEPICTED BY THOSE EXHIBITS? 19 YES, I DO. Α 20 WHAT ARE THEY? Q 21 THOSE WOULD BE THE PURCHASE AGREEMENTS SUBMITTED 22 BY THE SELLING AGENT AND INCLUDING THE CHECK NUMBERS OF 23 CHECKS SOLD. AND IT SHOULD CONTAIN A SIGNATURE. IT DOESN'T 24 LOOK LIKE IT DOES, BUT AT LEAST THE PRINTED NAME AND THE 25 ADDRESS OF THE PURCHASER. 26 WHAT DOES THE BANK GET? WHAT DOES CHASE MANHATTAN 27 Q GET FROM OLYMPIC THAT REFLECTS THE NUMBERS THAT ARE ON THOSE

CHECKS? . A THE BANK WOULD NORMALLY GET ONE OF THE COPIES HERE. PROBABLY IT WOULD BE THE BLUE COPY HERE. Q AND WHAT DOES OLYMPIC BANK SEND TO CHASE THAT HAS THE TRAVELER'S CHECK NUMBERS ON THEM? A THE COPY OF THE PURCHASE AGREEMENT. I AM CALLING IT A PURCHASE AGREEMENT. WELL, THAT IS WHAT IT IS. Q SO A COPY IDENTICAL TO THAT, THAT YOU HAVE OR A DUPLICATE ORIGINAL OF THAT, THAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR HAND THAT IS MARKED PEOPLE'S 61 FOR IDENTIFICATION? A PARDON ME? Q CHASE MANHATTAN WOULD GET ANOTHER COPY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE PEOPLE'S 61? A TO MY KNOWLEDGE. I BELIEVE IT WOULD BE JUST EITHER THIS ITEM OR THIS ITEM WOULD BE SUBMITTED BY THE SALES AGENT TO CHASE MANHATTAN BANK.

5 FO.

5-1

```
AND WHEN THE ITEM COMES BACK TO CHASE MANHATTAN,
1
      DO. YOU KEEP A RECORD OF THE --
2
                 YES.
3
                 -- CHECKS THAT WERE SOLD?
                  YES.
            Α
5
                  IS THERE SOMEONE AT CHASE MANHATTAN WHO IS
6
      REQUIRED TO --
7
                  WELL, ARE THOSE RECORDS KEPT ON A COMPUTER?
8
                  YES, THEY ARE.
9
                  IS THERE SOMEONE AT CHASE MANHATTAN WHO IS
10
      REQUIRED TO INPUT THE NUMBERS ON THE COMPUTER OF THE CHECK
11
      NUMBERS THAT WERE SOLD?
12
                  YES. THAT WOULD BE DONE AT OUR OPERATIONS
13
      CENTER.
14
                  WHERE IS THAT LOCATED?
15
                  IN SYRACUSE, NEW YORK.
            Α
16
                  DID YOU BRING WITH YOU A COPY OF THAT COMPUTER
17
      RECORD?
18
                  ONLY A PORTION OF THAT COMPUTER RECORD.
            Α
19
                  WHAT PORTION OF THAT?
            Q
20
                  THAT -- A PORTION THAT I RECEIVED FROM BARCLAYS
21
22
      BANK.
                 HAS CHASE MANHATTAN BANK SUBSEQUENTLY SOLD
23
            0
      ITS TRAVELER'S CHECK DIVISION TO BARCLAYS?
24
                 YES, IT HAS.
25
            Α
          Q LET'S GO THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCEDURE.
26
                  ONCE YOU PUT IN THE CHECK NUMBERS INTO THE
27
      COMPUTER OF CHECKS THAT WERE PURCHASED BY A CUSTOMER,
28
```

5A FOL.

DO THEY REMAIN THERE?

TRACKING SYSTEM WHEN THE CHECKS ARE SENT TO THE SALES

AGENT AND THE SALES AGENT ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE

CHECK. THEY ADD TO THAT DATA WHEN THE SALES AGENT SELLS

THE CHECK TO A CUSTOMER AND THEY ADD AGAIN TO THAT DATA

WHEN THE CUSTOMER, IN EFFECT, CASHES THE CHECKS AND CHASE

REIMBURSES THE SELLING AGENT FOR THOSE CHECKS OR THE ORIGINAL

ACCEPTOR FOR THOSE CHECKS.

Q OKAY. SO THAT IS THREE SEPARATE THINGS.

FIRST OF ALL, YOU KEEP A RECORD OF THE CHECKS

YOU SEND OUT TO OLYMPIC BANK, RIGHT?

A YES.

Q AND THEN WHEN OLYMPIC BANK OR ANY AGENT SELLS THOSE TO A CUSTOMER, YOU ADD THAT ON THE RECORD?

A CORRECT.

Α

SO YOU KNOW A CUSTOMER HAS CERTAIN OF THOSE Q 1 CHECKS, CORRECT? 2 Α YES, CORRECT. 3 Q THEN IF THE CUSTOMER CASHES THE CHECK, YOU KEEP A RECORD OF THAT? 5 CORRECT. Α 6 AND THE RECORDS THAT ARE KEPT OF IT, ONCE 7 THE CHECK IS CASHED BY THE CUSTOMER, THAT IS NOTED IN 8 THE COMPUTER; IS THAT RIGHT? 9 THAT'S CORRECT. А 10 Q AND IS THAT DATA KEPT IN THE COMPUTER? 11 IF IT IS A NORMAL ITEM, IT IS KEPT FOR ROUGHLY 12 90 DAYS. 13 AND THAT MEANS WHEN I SAY NORMAL, I MEAN THERE 14 HAS BEEN NO REPORTED LOSS OR THEFT OF THE CHECK. 15 AND WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE 90 DAYS? 16 AFTER 90 DAYS, THAT DATA THAT IS IN THE COMPUTER 17 SECTION IS TRANSFERRED TO WHAT THEY CALL A PURGED INDEX, 18 ITS MICROFICHE SYSTEM AND THE COMPUTER DATA IS ERASED. 19 Q SO THE DATA THAT IS ON THE COMPUTER IS PUT 20 ON TO THE MICROFICHE AND YOU KEEP THE MICROFICHE? 21 YES. THE MICROFICHE IS KEPT. 22 AND SO THAT GIVES YOU A PERMANENT RECORD OF 23 CHECKS THAT ARE CASHED? 24 25 A CORRECT. Q AND IF THE CHECK IS NOT CASHED, IS THAT RECORD 26 KEPT IN THE COMPUTER UNTIL IT IS CASHED? 27 YES, IT IS.

1	Q AND REFERRING TO THE CHECK NUMBERS THAT YOU
2	HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU ON THE DOCUMENTS 48 AND 61, DO YOU
3	HAVE SOME RECORDS THAT CONTAIN THOSE CHECK NUMBERS?
4	A YES, I HAVE.
5	Q AND WHAT ARE THOSE RECORDS?
6	A I HAVE A COPY OF THE COMPUTER PRINTOUT WHICH
7	I RECEIVED FROM BARCLAYS BANK INVOLVING 30 OF THE CHECKS
8	INVOLVED IN HERE.
9	Q AND THAT COMPUTER PRINTOUT THAT YOU RECEIVED
10	FROM BARCLAYS
11	FIRST OF ALL, WHEN WAS THE SYSTEM SOLD TO
12	BARCLAYS BANK?
13	A ON APRIL 1, 1986.
14	Q AND WERE YOU REQUESTED BY ME TO GET THE PRINTOUT
15	FROM BARCLAYS BANK?
16	A YES, I WAS.
17	Q WAS THIS COMPUTER SYSTEM SOLD BASICALLY INTACT
18	TO BARCLAYS?
19	A I BELIEVE IT WAS.
20	1 AM NOT SURE OF THE EXACT TRANSACTION.
21	THE BUSINESS WAS SOLD TO BARCLAYS AND THEY
22	ADAPTED OUR ADOPTED OUR COMPUTER TRACKING SYSTEM.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

5A-2

28

SO THE RECORDS OF CHECKS THAT WERE SOLD WHEN IT AND DID YOU ALSO SEND SOME OF THOSE RECORDS TO MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE HERE AN ENVELOPE THAT WAS SENT REGISTERED MAIL. AND FROM THAT, I AM WITHDRAWING TWO PIECES OF PAPER, ONE THAT IS A COMPUTER PRINTOUT, APPARENTLY HAVING SOME GREEN MARKINGS ON IT AND ANOTHER ONE THAT IS A SMALLER COMPUTER PRINTOUT THAT HAS THE DATE MAY THOSE BE PEOPLE'S NEXT IN ORDER, WHICH WOULD MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR? MR. BARENS: WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THOSE BEFORE THEY MR. WAPNER: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO. JUST A MOMENT. MR. MILLIKEN, ARE THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS THAT I HAVE MARKED AS 173, IDENTICAL TO THE DOCUMENTS YOU BROUGHT AND WHILE COUNSEL IS LOOKING AT THOSE RECORDS, WOULD YOU REFER TO YOUR COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS. FIRST OF

ALL, WHAT ARE THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS THAT WE HAVE MARKED AS 173?

6 -

Q ALL RIGHT. THAT IS THE PURGE RECORD THAT YOU HAVE REFERRED TO? A YES. Q ALL RIGHT. AND OF THE REMAINING 30 CHECKS, WHAT HAPPENED TO THOSE? A THOSE CHECKS, THE COMPUTER PRINTOUT SHOWS THAT ON A DATE, THEY WERE ALL SOLD ON THE SAME DATE BUT THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PAID BY CHASE MANHATTAN BANK. SO THEY ARE STILL OUT THERE. 7 FO

Q AND WHAT IS THE DATE THAT IT REFLECTS THAT THEY 1 WERE- SOLD? 2 A THE EFFECTIVE DATE --3 THE COURT: YOU MEAN SOLD BY A SPECIFIC BANK? MR. WAPNER: I THINK WE WILL GET TO THAT IN A MINUTE. THE WITNESS: THE PRINTOUT RECORD SHOWSTHE DATE 6 JUNE 5, '84. 7 O BY MR. WAPNER: THE DATE JUNE 5, IS THAT THE DATE 8 THAT CHASE ACTUALLY RECEIVES THE MONEY FROM THE BANK THAT 9 SELLS THE CHECKS TO THE CUSTOMER? 10 A YES, THAT IS THE DATE THE MONEY IS RECEIVED FROM 11 THE SELLING AGENT. 12 O SO IF THE SELLING AGENT SOLD THE CHECKS TO A 13 CUSTOMER ON MAY 31ST AND THE MONEY DIDN'T GET TO YOUR BANK 14 UNTIL JUNE 5TH, THE COMPUTER RECORD WOULD SHOW THE SOLD 15 DATE OF JUNE 5TH? 16 A JUNE 5TH, CORRECT. 17 Q DOES THE COMPUTER RECORD INDICATE THE VALUE OF 18 THOSE CHECKS OR THE AMOUNT OF EACH INDIVIDUAL CHECK? 19 YES, IT DOES. Α 20 WHAT IS THAT? Q 21 THE VALUE OF EACH CHECK -- WELL, THIS HAS IT 22 IN GROUPS OF TEN -- BUT THE VALUE OF EACH CHECK IS \$100. 23 IT IS A THOUSAND DOLLAR VALUE FOR EACH GROUP OF TEN. 24 OF THOSE \$3,000 OF CHECKS, HAVE THEY EVER BEEN 25 Q CASHED? 26 NO. Α 27 IF THEY HAD BEEN CASHED ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD, 28

```
WOULD YOU RECORD REFLECT THAT?
1
               YES.
       . A
2
              AND THEY HAVE NOT BEEN?
          Q
3
         A THEY HAVE NOT BEEN.
4
          Q AND THAT SECOND RECORD THAT YOU HAVE THAT REFERS
5
    TO THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE NOT BEEN CASHED IS THE RECORD
6
    THAT IS KEPT OF THE CHECKS THAT ARE SOLD BY THE BANK TO THE
7
    CUSTOMER; IS THAT RIGHT?
8
         A YES.
9
          Q AND IF THEY HAD BEEN CASHED, WOULD THOSE CHECKS
10
    BE LISTED ON THIS RECORD --
11
         MR. WAPNER: WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE COURT
12
    MARK AS 173-B?
13
          THE COURT: SO MARKED.
14
              BY MR. WAPNER: IF THEY HAD BEEN CASHED, WOULD
15
    THEY SHOW UP ON THIS REGISTER, WHICH IS 173-B?
16
               YES, THEY WOULD.
          Α
17
             ALL RIGHT. WOULD THEY SHOW UP IF THEY HAD
          Q
18
    BEEN CASHED?
19
         A THERE WOULD BE ANOTHER LINE ABOVE THE "SOLD LINE,"
20
    WHICH WOULD HAVE A PAID LINE AND A DATE OF PAYMENT.
21
              AND THAT IS NOT ON THERE?
22
          A THAT IS NOT ON THERE.
23
              WHEN IT SAYS SOLD, THAT IS SOLD BY -- WELL, THERE
24
    ARE TWO THINGS ON THAT RECORD: ONE SAYS "SOLD" AND ONE
25
    SAYS "S.L.C.C."; IS THAT RIGHT?
26
         A "S.L.O.C." IS WHAT THAT SHOULD BE. THE PRINTOUT
27
    IS A LITTLE UNCLEAR.
28
```

WHAT DOES THAT INDICATE? 1 THAT MEANS SELLING LOCATION, THAT IS THE DATE THAT 2 THE CHECKS WERE RECEIVED AT BY THE SALES AGENT. 3 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 4 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 6 CROSS-EXAMATION 7 BY MR. BARENS: 8 GOOD MORNING, MR. MILLIKEN. 9 GOOD MORNING. 10 MR. MILLIKEN, WE STARTED OUT DISCUSSING 150 CHECKS 11 OF WHICH A 120 SEEM TO BE CASHED OR SOLD OR SOMETHING DONE 12 WITH WHERE THEY WERE CASHED; IS THAT CORRECT? 13 RIGHT. Α 14 AND THEN THERE ARE 30 CHECKS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN 15 CASHED? 16 HAVE NOT BEEN PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT, CORRECT. 17 RIGHT. Q 18 HAVE YOU EVER GOTTEN A REPORT THAT THEY WERE 19 STOLEN, LOST OR MISSING? 20 NO. Α 21 SO THERE HAS BEEN NO CLAIM MADE ON THOSE CHECKS 22 AS BEING ANYTHING LIKE THE NORMAL STUFF THAT COMES TO YOUR 23 ATTENTION WHEN THERE ARE CHECKS OUT THERE OR SOMEONE SAYS 24 THEY ARE STOLEN OR FORGED OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, CORRECT, 25 SIR? 26 IT WOULD COME TO MY ATTENTION IF THEY HAD BEEN Α 27

REPORTED STOLEN, YES, STOLEN OR LOST.

8 FO.

Q ALL RIGHT, SIR. YOU HAVE RECEIVED NO NOTIFICATION ABOUT THOSE 30 CHECKS OF ANY KIND, HAVE YOU?

A YOU SAY "NOTIFICATION"? NO, ONLY THAT I HAVE INFORMATION FROM BARCLAYS THAT THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT. THAT'S ALL.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$ OKAY. IT WAS THAT NEGATIVE TYPE INFORMATION THAT I WAS LOOKING FOR.

A YES.

Q SIR, I AM TRYING TO DETERMINE FROM PEOPLE'S 173-A -- WELL, YOU HAVE A LOOK AT IT THERE. IT IS THAT LONG, COMPUTERIZED THING THAT LOOKS LIKE A VARIETY OF SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS.

CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT DATE FROM THAT SPECIFICALLY
THAT THE CUSTOMER ACTUALLY COMES INTO RECEIPT, PHYSICAL
POSSESSION OF THE TRAVELER'S CHECKS?

THIS WOULD NOT REFLECT THE ACTUAL DATE THAT

THE CUSTOMER PURCHASED THE CHECK UNLESS IT WAS EXACTLY

THE SAME DAY THAT THE MONIES WERE RECEIVED AT OUR OPERATIONS

CENTER.

Q RIGHT. I NOTICE A BUNCH OF DATES THROUGHOUT THERE, WHERE THERE IS SOME HIGHLIGHTING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOUR COPY HAS THE HIGHLIGHTING. MR. WAPNER, CAN I SEE YOUR COPY?

- A YES. THIS HAS HIGHLIGHTING.
- Q AND DID YOU DO THAT GREEN HIGHLIGHTING?
- A NO, I DID NOT.
- Q WELL, IN ANY EVENT, IS THAT GREEN HIGHLIGHT -IT SEEMS TO HAVE A 6/7 NOTE SOMEWHERE IN THE MIDDLE, DOES

IT NOT, SIR? 1 IF I COULD APPROACH, PERHAPS I COULD FOCUS 2 ON THAT, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: YES. 4 O BY MR. BARENS: IT APPEARS IN THE DEAD CENTER 5 OF THE PAGE. IT SAYS "6/7/84." WHAT IS THAT DATE? 6 A YES. THAT IS THE EFFECTIVE DATE. THAT WOULD 7 ALSO BE THE DATE THAT THE PAYMENT WAS MADE, WHICH IS DUPLICATED 8 OVER HERE, 6/7/84. 9 O SO THE 6/7 DATE, IS THE DATE THAT YOU FOLKS 10 GET THE PAYMENT? 11 A CORRECT. 12 IS SOMETHING HAPPENING ON THE OTHER END OF 13 THE TRANSACTION ON 6/7? 14 A NOT ON 6/7 AT THAT POINT. THE MONEY WILL 15 BE THEN PAID BACK TO THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER BY CHASE. 16 SORRY, SIR. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN 17 BY "PAID BACK TO THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER." 18 19 WHO IS THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER YOU ARE REFERRING 20 TO? NO, THE CHECK ACCEPTOR. 21 Α 22 LIKE IF IT WAS CASHED IN A RETAIL OUTLET, YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THE RETAILER AT THAT POINT? 23 24 YES, CORRECT. 25 OKAY. SO I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, THEN 26 THE PURCHASE BY THE CUSTOMER WOULD BE, SAY, ON 6/5 OR 6/6? IN THIS INSTANCE, I MEAN, THE CUSTOMER WHO BUYS 27

THE TRAVELER'S CHECK THEN, THE 6/7 WOULD BE THE DATE --

LET'S CALL IT THE DATE IT IS USED OR THE DAY AFTER IT 1 IS USED. 2 NO. THE 6/7 DATE IS THE DATE THAT THE CHECK 3 IS RECEIVED AT OUR OPERATIONS CENTER FOR PAYMENT. RIGHT. Q 5 NOW, THAT IS DONE THROUGH THE FEDERAL RESERVE 6 SYSTEM. THE CHECK WOULD BE INPUTTED INTO THAT SYSTEM 7 WHERE THE CHECK ACCEPTOR, WHERE THE CUSTOMER HAD CASHED 8 THE CHECK AT THE JEWELRY STORE, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THEN 9 TURNED IT INTO A BANK FOR DEPOSIT. 10 THE BANK WOULD SEND THE CHECK THROUGH THE 11 FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM TO CHASE MANHATTAN BANK. 12 O OKAY. CAN WE TELL FROM THIS COMPUTER PRINTOUT 13 WHEN THE CHECKS WERE USED BY THE CUSTOMER THAT BOUGHT 14 THOSE CHECKS? 15 A NOT THE EXACT DATE THAT THE CHECKS WERE CASHED. 16 THE ONLY DATE IS THE DATE THAT THE CHECKS WERE RECEIVED 17 AT SYRACUSE OR AT OUR OPERATIONS CENTER. 18 Q ALL RIGHT, SIR. THE TYPE OF CHECK THAT WE 19 HAVE HERE IS A CHASE MANHATTAN CHECK AND I PRESUME THAT 20 WOULD BE CASHABLE OR REDEEMABLE AT ANY PLACE IN THE WORLD? 21 GENERALLY SPEAKING, YES. 22 WELL, PERHAPS WITH A FEW EXCEPTIONS THAT I 23 CAN HARDLY EVEN THINK OF? 24 GENERALLY SPEAKING, YES. 25 Α O ALL RIGHT. IT IS YOUR EXPERIENCE THAT THESE 26 ARE USED AS MUCH INTERNATIONALLY AS IN THE UNITED STATES? 27 A YES, THEY ARE. THEY ARE FREQUENTLY USED

8-4 INTERNATIONALLY. . Q DO THESE TRAVELER'S CHECKS HAVE AN EXPIRATION DATE? A NO, THEY DO NOT. Q THEY DON'T GET STALE, DO THEY? THEY DON'T GET STALE. Α THEY WOULD BE -- IF I WERE TO STORE THOSE IN A SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX, FOR INSTANCE, IF THEY WERE THERE IN FIVE YEARS, THEY WOULD BE AS GOOD FIVE YEARS LATER, WOULD THEY NOT, AS ON THE DAY I RECEIVED THEM? THAT'S CORRECT. 9 FC.

```
NOW, YOU WERE ASKED TO CHECK CERTAIN MATERIALS
1
      BEFORE COMING HERE TODAY.
2
                  I WAS CURIOUS TO SEE IF YOU WERE ASKED TO
3
      CHECK FOR ANY TRAVELER'S CHECKS PURCHASED BY PRESLEY REED?
4
                  NO, I WAS NOT.
            Α
5
                  OR R. MICHAEL WEATHERBY?
            0
6
                  I WAS NOT.
            Α
7
                  RON ROTHSCHILD?
            Q
8
                 YOU ARE GIVING ME NAMES. WE CAN ONLY -- WHEN
9
      WE WERE IN THE TRAVELER'S CHECK BUSINESS, WE WOULD CHECK
10
      BY THE CHECK NUMBERS, NOT BY NAMES.
11
                 OH, I SEE.
            Q
12
                  SO YOU WERE ONLY LIMITED IN YOUR INQUIRY HERE
13
      JUST TO A SPECIFIC CHECK NUMBER YOU WERE DIRECTED TO FERRET
14
15
      OUT SOMEHOW?
                  THE CHECK NUMBERS WE WERE ASKED TO TRACK,
16
            Α
      YES.
17
                  ARE THE VENDORS THAT SELL THE CHECKS REQUIRED
18
      TO FILE C.T.R.'S FOR PURCHASES OVER $10,000?
19
                  YES, THEY ARE.
20
            Α
                   DO YOU FOLKS RECEIVE ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
21
            Q
                   NO, THAT IS NOT SENT TO US.
22
            Α
                  IT IS OF NO CONCERN TO YOUR INSTITUTION?
23
            Q
                   IT WOULD BE OF CONCERN, IT IS A MATTER OF
24
            Α
25
      LAW.
                   WE ARE NOT DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN THAT.
26
                   ALL RIGHT, SIR, THUS, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING
27
            Q
      THAT IF I WERE LOOKING FOR RECORDS THROUGH YOUR FACILITY,
28
```

```
I COULDN'T FIND ANYTHING IF I JUST HAD WHAT I BELIEVED
1
     TO BE THE NAME OF THE PURCHASER, UNLESS I HAD A DESCRIPTIVE
2
      NUMBER ON WHAT YOU ISSUED TO THE VENDORS, I AM AT AN IMPASSE.
3
            A ON JUST A NAME BASIS, I DON'T BELIEVE IT WOULD
4
      BE POSSIBLE FOR US TO DETERMINE MUCH ABOUT CHECKS PURCHASED.
5
            MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
6
            THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?
7
            MR. WAPNER: JUST BRIEFLY.
8
9
                        REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10
      BY MR. WAPNER:
11
                THE RECORDS THAT YOU RAN SHOWING $3,000
12
      OUTSTANDING, WHEN WAS THAT DONE?
13
            A THE DATE HERE IS REFLECTED AS SOLD ON JUNE
14
      5. 1984.
15
            Q OKAY, MAYBE I DIDN'T ASK YOU A GOOD QUESTION.
16
                  WHAT IS THE DATE OF -- THAT THAT LAST COMPUTER
17
      PRINTOUT WAS DONE?
18
                 OH, I AM SORRY.
19
                  THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN, IT WAS THE DATE THAT
20
      I HAVE SENT -- IT WOULD HAVE BEEN NOVEMBER 17, 1986.
21
                  ALL RIGHT. SO IF THE CHECKS HAD BEEN CASHED
22
      BETWEEN NOVEMBER 17, '86 AND TODAY, IT WOULDN'T BE REFLECTED
23
      ON THAT?
24
                 IT WOULD NOT BE REFLECTED ON THIS, NO.
25
            Α
                AND IS THERE SOME WAY OF YOUR BEING ABLE TO
26
27
      CHECK THAT THROUGH BARCLAYS?
                 I COULD CHECK THAT THROUGH BARCLAYS.
```

YOU COULD PROBABLY EVEN DO THAT TELEPHONICALLY? Q 1 I PROBABLY COULD. 2 SINCE WE HAVEN'T MADE YOUR PLANE RESERVATION 3 NOW UNTIL TOMORROW, YOU CAN DO THAT FOR US PROBABLY TODAY? 4 YES. Α 5 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY STEP DOWN. 8 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH 9 BRIEFLY? 10 THE COURT: YES. 11 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS 12 WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:) 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 MR. WAPNER: MY NEXT WITNESS IS FROM THE MISSING 15 PERSONS SECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, HE BROUGHT 16 SOME RECORDS TO MY ATTENTION THIS MORNING THAT I MENTIONED 17 TO COUNSEL AND BASED ON THAT, I HAVE ASKED FOR A STIPULATION 18 BUT COUNSEL HASN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO HIM OR TO LOOK 19 AT THE RECORDS AND I HATE TO DO THIS, BUT I WONDER IF 20 WE MIGHT JUST HAVE A FEW MINUTES TO SEE IF WE CAN GET 21 THAT IRONED OUT. 22 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM INCLINED, OF COURSE, 23 TO COOPERATE ON A STIPULATION. IT MIGHT SAVE US A LOT 24 OF TIME. I JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. 25 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR OFFER OF PROOF ON THAT. 26 ON WHAT HE IS GOING TO TESTIFY TO? 27

MR. WAPNER: WELL, HE IS GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT

RUNNING VARIOUS CHECKS OF RECORD, INCLUDING AMONG OTHER
THINGS, DRIVER'S LICENSE RECORDS THAT MIGHT SHOW ANY ACTIVITY
BY MR. LEVIN AND IT TURNS OUT THAT THERE WAS A MOTORCYCLE
THAT WAS REGISTERED TO MR. LEVIN AND SOMEONE WAS ARRESTED
DRIVING THAT MOTORCYCLE AT THE END OF 1986, I BELIEVE,
AND WAS ARRESTED BY THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT
AND THEY THOUGHT MAYBE HE HAD STOLEN IT FROM LEVIN, BUT
THAT SINCE LEVIN WAS DEAD IN THEIR OPINION AND THAT HE
COULDN'T REPORT IT STOLEN, SO THEY ASKED HIM ABOUT IT
AND HE SAID, "NO, I GOT IT FROM MY UNCLE, LEN MARMOR."

SO LEN MARMOR CAME DOWN AND BROUGHT A PINK

SLIP AND HE SAID, "I GOT THIS MOTORCYCLE FROM LEVIN", SINCE

BY INFERENCE MARMOR HAS TESTIFIED HE NEVER HAS SEEN OR

HEARD FROM LEVIN SINCE JUNE 6TH, OBVIOUSLY, HE GOT IT

FROM LEVIN BEFORE THAT DATE.

BUT COUNSEL HASN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO

SEE THOSE DOCUMENTS THAT WOULD INDICATE THAT IT WAS MARMOR'S

MOTORCYCLE.

SO RATHER THAN PUTTING THIS GUY ON THE STAND

AND HAVING TO GO THROUGH THIS WHOLE BUSINESS AND THEN

GET MARMOR IN HERE, I THOUGHT IF COUNSEL COULD HAVE A

FEW MINUTES TO LOOK AT IT WE COULD, HOPEFULLY, WORK OUT

A STIPULATION SO WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT RIGAMAROLE.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU SHOWN HIM THE RECORDS?

MR. WAPNER: I HAVEN'T REALLY HAD A CHANCE. DETECTIVE ZOELLER HAS THE XEROX COPY OF THE PINK SLIP.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU GOT ANY OTHER WITNESS IN THE MEANTIME AND WHEN YOU FINISH WITH THAT WITNESS HE WILL

EXAMINE THE RECORDS AND THEN WE CAN GO ON WITH IT. . MR. WAPNER: THE OTHER WITNESSES THAT I HAVE HAVE TO DO WITH THIS BUSINESS IN NEW YORK THAT THEY WANT TO HAVE THIS MOTION ON. THE COURT: THE MOTION ON THE MOTION PAPERS THAT THEY MADE, YOU MEAN?

10 FO.

THE COURT: THAT CODE SECTION WHICH YOU CALLED MY
ATTENTION TO, SAYS IT IS WITHIN THE DISCRETION OF THE COURT,
THE ORDER OF PROOF.

I WILL PERMIT IT IN, SUBJECT TO CONNECTION AND THEN THE MOTION, IF YOU ARE GOING TO OBJECT TO IT --

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE DEFENSE HAVE A PROPER OPPORTUNITY TO ARGUE THE MOTION?

THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T THINK IT IS NECESSARY TO ARGUE THE MOTION. I READ YOUR PAPERS. I THINK UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES, I AM FAMILIAR WITH THE TESTIMONY THAT THEY HOPE TO PROVE WITH THESE PEOPLE IN NEW YORK THAT WILL BE SUBJECT TO CONNECTION.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE SERIOUS DOUBTS ABOUT THAT WHOLE CONNECTION BUSINESS, WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THE MOTION.

THE COURT: THEN YOU CAN ARGUE THAT TO THE JURY. BUT

I THINK THE ORDER OF PROOF IS THAT THEY HAVE A RIGHT, IF

THEY WANT TO TAKE THE WITNESS OUT OF TURN AND HOPE THAT

THEY CAN SHOW THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE TWO.

THAT WILL BE THE OFFER OF PROOF, THAT YOU WILL SHOW THAT CONNECTION WITH OTHER WITNESSES, WOULD YOU?

MR. WAPNER: OF COURSE.

THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT YOU TO DO SO.

MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH COUNSEL?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IF YOU JUST GIVE US FIVE OR TEN MINUTES, WE COULD DO IT AND BE DONE WITH IT.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

10-2

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD I JUST RESERVE A MOMENT
TO ADDRESS YOUR HONOR? I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS MORE THAN
ONE CODE SECTION THAT WAS REFERENCED IN THE MOTION BEFORE
THE COURT THIS MORNING, THAT WE ARE SPECIFICALLY RELYING
UPON.

THE COURT: WHICH ARE THE OTHER CODE SECTIONS?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS A LAW AND MOTION

MATTER. I WOULD LIKE TO DEFER TO MR. CHIER, WHO HAD THE SOLE

RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PREPARATION AND ARGUMENT OF THE MOTION.

THE COURT: HE IS THE ONE WHO PREPARED THE MOTION?

MR. WAPNER: IF WE COULD JUST TAKE FIVE MINUTES NOW
TO HAVE THIS THING WORKED OUT WITH THE GUY FROM SACRAMENTO,
IT WILL JUST TAKE FIVE MINUTES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO THAT FIRST. THEN I WILL HEAR ARGUMENT FROM YOU AND YOUR ASSISTANT, HERE.

MR. BARENS: I WOULD APPRECIATE THE COURTESY.

MR. WAPNER: MAYBE WE COULD DO THE ARGUMENT AT 1:30.

MR. BARENS: THAT'S RIGHT.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE
OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, COUNSEL HAVE TO GO OVER SOME DOCUMENTS IN ADVANCE OF HAVING ANOTHER WITNESS TESTIFY. THAT ONLY TAKES ABOUT FIVE OR TEN MINUTES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A SHORT RECESS AT THIS TIME, WE WILL GET YOU BACK HERE SAY, IN TEN MINUTES AND WE CAN RESUME THEN. THANK YOU.

(RECESS.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 1 MR. WAPNER: YES, PAUL PANE. 2 3 PAUL PANE, 4 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 5 AS FOLLOWS: 6 THE CLERK: WOULD YOU RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE 7 SWORN, PLEASE. 8 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY 9 GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE 10 TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP 11 YOU GOD? 12 THE WITNESS: YES. 13 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED AT THE WITNESS STAND. 14 NOW IF YOU WOULD STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. 15 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS PAUL PANE, P-A-N-E 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WAPNER: 19 MR. PANE, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? 20 I AM EMPLOYED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 21 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. 22 WHAT PART OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DO YOU Q 23 WORK IN? 24 A I WORK IN THE MISSING, UNIDENTIFIED PERSONS 25 26 UNIT. WHAT DO YOU DO THERE? 27 Q

PRIMARILY, I AM RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DENTAL

11

IDENTIFICATION PORTION OF THE PROGRAM AND THAT IS OBTAINING DENTAL RECORDS ON MISSING PERSONS AND UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED, MAKING THE COMPARISONS AND COMING UP WITH AN IDENTIFICATION FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT.

Q WHAT DOES THE MISSING PERSONS SECTION DO GENERALLY?

TALK A LITTLE BIT SLOWER AND TALK TO THIS LADY IN THE BACK

CORNER IN THE BLUE SUIT.

A OKAY. OUR PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY IS TO BE AN ADDITIONAL TOOL FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT, TO ASSIST THEM IN THE INVESTIGATION OF MISSING PERSONS. THAT IS OUR PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY.

Q AND HOW DO YOU GO ABOUT ASSISTING THEM IN THE INVESTIGATION OF MISSING PERSONS?

A ONCE WE RECEIVE A REPORT FROM THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, AN INDIVIDUAL IS REPORTED MISSING, LAW ENFORCEMENT IS MANDATED BY LAW TO SUBMIT THOSE REPORTS TO US, ALONG WITH THE DENTAL RECORDS.

Q WE THEN GO AHEAD AND INQUIRE INTO NUMEROUS FILES TO TRY TO ASCERTAIN SOME SORT OF ACTIVITY AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.

IF THERE IS NO ACTIVITY AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING,
THEN WE GO AHEAD AND WE PUT STOP NOTICES IN THOSE PARTICULAR
FILES.

Q ALL RIGHT. NOW, DID YOU GET A REPORT OF A MISSING PERSON FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT REGARDING THE PERSON NAMED RONALD GEORGE LEVIN?

A YES, I DID.

Q ALL RIGHT. AND WHEN DID YOU RECEIVE THAT?

A I RECEIVED THAT REPORT APPROXIMATELY SOMETIME
IN JULY 1984.

Q DO YOU HAVE THAT REPORT WITH YOU?

A YES, I DO.

27

28

WHY DID IT TAKE SO LONG TO GET TO YOU, IF YOU I REALLY DON'T THINK IT IS THAT LONG. THEY ARE MANDATED BY LAW TO SUBMIT A REPORT TO US AFTER THE INDIVIDUAL Q AND ONCE THAT REPORT WAS RECEIVED BY YOUR SECTION, A ONCE WE RECEIVED IT INTO OUR SECTION, WE MAKE NOW, THAT ENTAILS TAKING THE INFORMATION THAT WAS SUBMITTED AND UTILIZING THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE MISSING PERSON, MAKING COMPARISONS AGAINST THE UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED THAT WE HAVE IN OUR FILE, THE REPORTS OF UNIDENTIFIED WHEN YOU SAY A "CURSORY SEARCH", WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? THAT MEANS TAKING THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION AND THE DATE OF MISSING AND LOOKING FOR ANY UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED

Q WHAT WAS THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION ON THE REPORT FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?

A IT SAID SIX-FOOT TWO, 170 POUNDS. GRAY HAIR.

BLUE EYES. WITH A DATE OF BIRTH SHOWING AN AGE OF 42 YEARS

OLD. WHITE MALE.

Q AND DOES THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE KEEP FILES ON PEOPLE WHO ARE DECEASED WHOSE BODIES HAVE NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED?

A YES. THE CORONERS ARE MANDATED BY LAW TO SUBMIT
THE DENTAL RECORDS AND OTHER INFORMATION ON ALL OF THEIR
UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED WHENEVER THEY HAVE EXHAUSTED ALL
ATTEMPTS TO IDENTIFY THOSE INDIVIDUALS.

Q DID YOU MATCH THE PERSON IDENTIFIED AS MR. LEVIN IN THE MISSING PERSON'S REPORT AGAINST ANY DECEASED BODIES THAT HAD BEEN RECOVERED EUTNOT IDENTIFIED?

A NO, THERE HAS BEEN NO MATCH TO THIS DATE.

Q AFTER THE CURSORY SEARCH WAS DONE, WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT IS DONE?

A THEN WE GO THROUGH A PROCESS WHICH WE CALL INDEXING. THIS IS WHERE WE GO AHEAD AND CHECK ALL OF THE FILES THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO TRY TO LOCATE SOME SORT OF ACTIVITY AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.

Q IN DOING THAT INDEXING, WHAT IS THE FIRST THING THAT IS DONE?

A ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS DONE IS WE DO INQUIRE INTO THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLE FILES TO CHECK TO SEE IF THE INDIVIDUAL HAS A DRIVER'S LICENSE, TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY ACTIVITY, ANY VIOLATION, INFRACTIONS AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.

```
WE DO GO AHEAD AND CHECK OUR CRIMINAL HISTORY
1
    RECORDS TO SEE IF THE INDIVIDUAL DOES HAVE A FOLDER ON FILE
2
    WITH OUR DEPARTMENT AND TRY TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY INQUIRIES
3
    AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING ON HIM, SEE IF THERE IS ANY
    ARRESTS ON HIM AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.
               LET'S GO STEP BY STEP.
          Q
6
                THE FIRST THING YOU MENTIONED WAS D.M.V. RECORDS;
7
    IS THAT RIGHT?
8
          Α
               THAT'S CORRECT.
9
               AND WERE THEY CHECKED IN THIS CASE?
          Q
10
          A YES, THEY WERE.
11
                WHEN WAS THAT INITIALLY DONE IN RELATION TO THE
          0
12
     JULY 17 DATE WHEN YOU RECEIVED A REPORT?
13
                PROBABLY ON THAT DATE.
14
          Q AND IS THERE --
15
               I HAVE A DOCUMENT IN HERE WHERE IT SAYS 7-18 OF '84
16
    THAT WAS DONE.
17
             AND WHAT WAS DISCOVERED AT THAT TIME?
          Q
18
          A THAT HE DID HAVE A DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER AND
19
    HE DID HAVE A CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD WITH OUR DEPARTMENT.
20
              AND AS FAR AS THE DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER, WHAT
21
          Q
     DO YOU CHECK AT THAT POINT?
22
               WE TRY TO GO AHEAD AND CHECK AND SEE WHAT TYPE
23
     OF VEHICLE HE OWNS, IF IT HAS BEEN REGISTERED AND WHAT DATE,
24
25
     ANY VEHICLE VIOLATIONS, INFRACTIONS.
                 WE ARE TRYING TO SEE SOME SORT OF MOVEMENT IN
26
     ANY OF THOSE RECORDS AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.
27
```

DOES THE REPORT GIVE YOU THE DATE THAT YOU ARE

28

Q

```
REFERRING TO AS THE DATE OF MISSING?
1
                YES, IT DOES.
2
                WHAT IS THAT DATE?
          Q
3
               THE DATE HERE, IT SAYS "6-6 OF '84." JUNE 6 OF '84.
4
                SO WHEN YOU DO THE FIRST CHECK TO LOOK FOR
5
    THOSE PEOPLE, YOU ARE LOOKING FOR WHETHER OR NOT YOU CAN TURN
6
    UP ANYTHING BETWEEN 6-6-84 AND IN THIS CASE, JULY 18 OF '84;
7
    IS THAT RIGHT?
8
               THAT'S CORRECT.
9
          Α
                AND WHEN YOU DID THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES
10
    CHECK. WHAT KIND OF A CHECK IS THAT, WHAT WOULD YOU FIND OUT
11
    FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES?
12
                 BY AN INQUIRY, WE WOULD INQUIRE BY NAME TO TRY
13
    TO OBTAIN A DRIVER'S LICENSE. IF THAT IS FOUND, THEN WE
14
    INQUIRE INTO THE DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER TO GET ANY INFRACTIONS,
15
    CURRENT ADDRESS, ANYTHING THAT WOULD GO ON THE DRIVER'S
16
    LICENSE RECORD ITSELF.
17
                 SO FOR EXAMPLE, IF A PERSON BY THE NAME OF
18
    RONALD LEVIN WITH THE DRIVER'S LICENSE THAT IS CONTAINED
19
    ON THE MISSING PERSON'S REPORT, HAD GOTTEN A TICKET BETWEEN
20
    JUNE 6 AND JULY 18, THAT WOULD HAVE COME UP ON YOUR
21
22
    RECORD?
                IT VERY POSSIBLY COULD HAVE, YES.
23
          Α
24
                AND DID IT?
          . Q
25
                 NO, THERE WAS NO ACTIVITY.
          Α
26
27
```

14 FO.

```
AND IF HE REGISTERED OR REGISTERED THE VEHICLE
1
     BETWEEN JUNE 6 AND JULY THE 18TH, THAT WOULD HAVE COME
2
     UP ON YOUR RECORDS?
3
           A YES. THAT IS ONE OF THE INQUIRIES WE MAKE.
4
                 WAS THERE ANY ACTIVITY?
           Q
5
           Α
                 NO.
6
                 AND AND WAS THERE ANY OTHER D.M.V. INQUIRY MADE
7
     OF THE D.M.V. FILES AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME?
8
                AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, ACCORDING TO MY RECORDS,
9
     NO.
10
                 AND WHAT IS THE NEXT THING THAT WAS DONE AFTER
           0
11
     CHECKING THE D.M.V. RECORDS?
12
                 THEN WE GO AHEAD AND CHECK OUR TIME RECORDS
13
     TO SEE IF ONE HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.
14
                 IF HE HAS EVER BEEN ARRESTED BEFORE?
           Q
15
                 YES.
           Α
16
                IN THIS CASE, WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT?
           Q
17
           A I FOUND OUT HE DID HAVE A CRIME RECORD.
18
                WHEN A PERSON GETS A CRIME RECORD, ARE THEY
           Q
19
     ASSIGNED A NUMBER?
20
                 THEY ARE ASSIGNED WHAT WE CALL A C.I.I. RECORD
           Α
21
     NUMBER.
22
               WHAT IS THAT?
23
                 IT IS A NUMBER THAT IS IDENTIFIED TO A FOLDER,
24
      TO A PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL.
25
                 WHAT DOES C.I.I. STAND FOR?
26
            Q
                 CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION AND INFORMATION.
            Α
27
                  AND WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THAT NUMBER?
            Q
28
```

28

A IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE, YES. 1 Q WHEN YOU SAY THAT IT IS "QUITE POSSIBLE," 2 WHY IS THAT? 3 A SOMETIMES THERE IS A DELAY FROM THE TIME THAT 4 THE FINGERPRINTS ARE SUBMITTED FROM THE LAW ENFORCEMENT 5 AGENCY TO OUR DEPARTMENT AND FROM THAT POINT, GOING INTO 6 THE FOLDER. SO THERE IS SOMEWHAT OF A DELAY. 7 BUT IF A PERSON IS -- WELL, IS THE C.I.&I. 8 A CALIFORNIA STATE SYSTEM? 9 A YES, IT IS. 10 Q IF A PERSON IS ARRESTED IN CALIFORNIA, USING 11 WHATEVER NAME AND THE POLICE TAKE HIS PRINTS, WOULD THAT 12 RECORD UNDER MR. LEVIN'S NAME WITH THOSE FINGERPRINTS 13 BE FLAGGED? 14 A IF SUBMITTED FINGERPRINTS WERE SENT -- WELL, 15 IF THE FINGERPRINTS WERE SUBMITTED TO C.I.&I. AND THE 16 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FINGERPRINTS ARE THEN MATCHED UP 17 WITH THE PRIOR RECORD. 18 IN THIS CASE, MR. LEVIN'S FINGERPRINTS SUBMITTED 19 ON ANOTHER CHARGE WOULD HAVE BEEN HOOKED UP TO THE ESTABLISHED 20 21 RECORD. Q AFTER CHECKING THE CRIMINAL RECORD, WHAT DID 22 YOU DO? 23 I BELIEVE AFTER THAT POINT IN TIME WE WERE 24 ABLE TO ESTABLISH THAT THERE WAS NO TYPE OF ACTIVITY AT 25 THE DATE OF MISSING. 26

WE THEN WENT AND CHECKED THE N.C.I.C. FILES.

THE N.C.I.C. IS THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION CENTER,

WHICH IS A PART OF THE F.B.I. 1 THAT IS A NATIONWIDE SYSTEM AND WE DID ASCERTAIN 2 THAT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT DID ENTER MR. 3 LEVIN'S NAME INTO THAT SYSTEM. 4 THE COURT: WE CALL HIM LEVIN. 5 THE WITNESS: LEVIN. 6 Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND WHAT DOES ENTERING HIS 7 NAME INTO THE SYSTEM MEAN? 8 THAT MEANS THAT ANYWHERE WITHIN THE UNITED 9 STATES, IF THERE IS ANY INQUIRY MADE ON HIM, LAW ENFORCEMENT 10 SHOULD RECEIVE A HIT AND IT WOULD COME BACK TO THE AGENCY 11 AS TO WHO PUT THE STOP IN THE FILE AND FOR WHAT PURPOSES. 12 AND THE INFORMATION THAT WAS SUBMITTED TO Q 13 THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION CENTER, WAS THAT DONE BY 14 YOUR PEOPLE OR BY THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT? 15 IT WAS DONE BY THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT 16 INCIDENTALLY, ON THE MISSING PERSONS REPORT, 17 WHO WAS THE POLICE OFFICER WHO FILLED THAT OUT? 18 A IT SAYS KING. 19 Q WHAT INFORMATION WAS SUBMITTED BY THE BEVERLY 20 HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION 21 CENTER? 22 MR. BARENS: BEFORE THAT ANSWER COMES IN, YOUR HONOR, 23 I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. WAPNER A QUESTION, PLEASE. 24 25 THE COURT: SURE.

26

27

28

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

Q BY MR. WAPNER: WAS MR. --

MR. BARENS: DO WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING ON THAT?

14-5		MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?	
	1		
	2	. A THE COURT: YES.	
	3	(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)	
	4	MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH?	
	5	THE COURT: YES.	
15 FO.	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		1
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		3
	17		
	18		
	19		-
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		:

(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS 15-1 1 WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:) 2 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THE POINT OF THIS IS THAT 3 WHEN THEY SUBMITTED THE INFORMATION TO N.C.I.C. IT WAS ONLY 4 DONE BY HIS NAME. THEY DIDN'T PUT IN THE FINGERPRINTS. THAT 5 IS THE BASIC POINT OF ALL OF IT. 6 I GAVE MR. BARENS A RECORD OF THE PRINTOUT THAT 7 THEY ACTUALLY SEND TO THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION CENTER. 8 THERE IS CERTAIN LANGUAGE TO WHICH HE OBJECTS. 9 ONE IS THAT IT SAYS "MISSING PERSON-INVOLUNTARY". 10 AND THEN THERE IS OTHER -- AND HE ASKED ME TO INSTRUCT THE 11 WITNESS NOT TO MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. 12 MR. BARENS: I ASKED --13 MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL. 14 MR. BARENS: I AM SORRY. 15 MR. WAPNER: AND IT LATER SAYS, "MENTAL CONDITION 16 AGITATED WEARS GLASSES UNDER INVESTIGATION 12 COUNTS OF THEFT," 17 ET CETERA, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. 18 AND IT ALSO SAYS "BURGLAR ALARM IN HOUSE TURNED 19 OFF BEDDING GONE M/P SAID HE WAS GOING TO NEW YORK BUT 20 CLOTHES AND LUGGAGE IN RESIDENCE." 21 AND THEN IT GIVES THE PHONE NUMBER FOR THE 22 23 DETECTIVES. THE COURT: THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ESTABLISHED, HASN'T 24 25 IT, ANYWAY? MR. WAPNER: IT HAS BEEN. 26

I DON'T THINK THAT -- AND OF COURSE --

MR. BARENS: WHAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED, YOUR HONOR?

28

I AM NOT NECESSARILY SEEKING TO INTRODUCE THIS

1!

DOCUMENT AT ALL.

THE POINT IS THAT ALL OF THIS INFORMATION,

INCLUDING THE INVOLUNTARY PART, IS HEARSAY INFORMATION. WHAT

IS IMPORTANT IS THAT THEY GIVE A DESCRIPTION OF HIM, HIS

DATE OF BIRTH AND HEIGHT AND WEIGHT AND ALL OF THAT STUFF.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU HAVE ALL OF THAT EXCEPT YOU ELIMINATE THE WORD "INVOLUNTARY," THAT HAS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE JURY.

MR. BARENS: THAT IS ALL I AM ASKING.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE ONLY THING I AM CONCERNED ABOUT IS WHERE IT SAYS "MENTAL CONDITION-AGITATED" I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE HAD ANY TESTIMONY ON THAT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.

THE COURT: WELL, THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF TESTIMONY
HERE ABOUT HIS MENTAL CONDITION.

MR. BARENS: YOU BET.

THE COURT: HE HAD AN AGITATED STATE OF MIND.

MR. BARENS: HIS MOTHER HAS TALKED ABOUT THAT.

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THAT IN OR OUT?

YOU CAN STIPULATE THAT YOU CAN ELIMINATE "MENTAL STATE-AGITATED."

MR. BARENS: I WON'T STIPULATE TO THAT.

THE COURT: YOU WON'T?

MR. BARENS: NO, SIR.

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS WHAT BROUGHT US UP TO THE BENCH.

MR. BARENS: NO, I THOUGHT WHAT BROUGHT US UP TO THE BENCH WAS THE WORD "INVOLUNTARY."

MR. WAPNER: I WAS ABOUT TO AGREE TO HAVE THE WITNESS STRIKE THAT AND I ASKED MR. BARENS, COULD WE STRIKE THE WORD

```
"AGITATED" AND HE SAID NO.
1
               I WILL LEAVE IT UP TO YOUR HONOR.
2
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, STRIKE "INVOLUNTARY."
3
          MR. BARENS: AND INSTRUCT THE WITNESS/TO USE THAT WORD?
4
          THE COURT: YES.
5
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
6
          THE COURT: I THINK WE HAD BETTER TAKE OUR RECESS AT
7
    THIS TIME.
8
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
9
                 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS
10
                 WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE
11
                 OF THE JURY:)
12
          THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE ARE
13
    VERY NEAR 12:00 AND WE WILL TAKE A RECESS NOW UNTIL 1:30
14
15
    THIS AFTERNOON.
                 THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WOULD STILL APPLY.
16
                 ALL RIGHT, 1:30.
17
                 (AT 11:55 A.M., A RECESS WAS TAKEN
18
                 UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1:

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1987; 1:37 P.M. 1 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTEBAND, JUDGE DEPARTMENT WEST C 2 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 3 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 5 IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE 6 OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENDANT AND COUNSEL ARE 8 PRESENT. THE JURY IS NOT PRESENT. 9 I WILL HEAR THE MOTION ON THE ORDER OF PROOF 10 AS TO THE CONSPIRACY. 11 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE EVEN GET TO THE ISSUE 12 OF THE ORDER OF PROOF, THIS IS A VERY GENERAL MOTION WHICH 13 SAYS "ANY STATEMENTS OF MR. PITTMAN" AND I ASK THE COURT TO 14 REQUIRE COUNSEL TO MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF AS TO EXACTLY 15 WHAT IT IS THEY ARE SEEKING TO KEEP OUT OF EVIDENCE AND WHY 16 IT IS THAT THEY CLAIM THAT IT IS HEARSAY. 17 THE COURT: I ANTICIPATE THAT WHAT THEY WANT TO 18 RESTRICT YOU ON IS ANY KIND OF PROOF THAT WOULD CONNECT, 19 UNLESS THE CONSPIRACY IS SHOWN, WHICH WOULD ALLEGEDLY CONNECT 20 THE DEFENDANT WITH PITTMAN. ISN'T THAT TRUE? 21 MR. CHIER: WELL, IT GOES BEYOND THAT. 22 THE COURT: HOW MUCH BEYOND IT COULD IT GO? 23 MR. CHIER: IT GOES TO ACTUALLY PREVENTING THE 24 INTRODUCTION OF ANY EVIDENCE CONCERNING PITTMAN WHICH AT 25 THIS TIME IS EVIDENCE THAT A THIRD PARTY DID SOMETHING IN 26 NEW YORK, WHICH IS NOW BEING OFFERED IN THIS PROCEEDINGS 27 AGAINST MR. HUNT, WHO IS ON TRIAL FOR MURDER AND ROBBERY. 28 17 FO.

AND UNLESS AND UNTIL, FIRST, THE PEOPLE CAN SHOW -- ESTABLISH A PRIMA FACIE CONSPIRACY.

THE COURT: THE LAW IS EXACTLY THE OPPOSITE AND I WILL READ TO YOU. IT IS EXACTLY THE OPPOSITE OF WHAT YOU SAY.

YOU DON'T HAVE TO SHOW THE CONSPIRACY BEFORE
YOU CAN SHOW STATEMENTS OF THE CONSPIRATOR OR ANY ACTS
DONE BY A CONSPIRACY.

THE ORDER OF PROOF IS SUCH THAT THE COURT
WILL PERMIT AND DOES PERMIT AND HAS A RIGHT TO PERMIT
ANY PROOF, EVEN BEFORE THE ACTUAL CONSPIRACY IS ESTABLISHED.
DO YOU WANT THE AUTHORITIES? I WILL GIVE THEM
TO YOU.

MR. CHIER: NO. I KNOW THAT THERE IS AUTHORITY FOR THAT.

BUT THERE IS A PREFERRED WAY OF HAVING -
THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU WASTING MY TIME FOR?

MR. CHIER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, YOU HAVE THE DISCRETION

TO DO IT EITHER WAY.

THE COURT: WELL, I AM EXERCISING MY DISCRETION.

YOU DON'T HAVE TO PROVE A CONSPIRACY BEFORE YOU CAN SHOW

ACTS DONE PURSUANT TO THE CONSPIRACY AND I AM GOING TO

PERMIT YOU TO DO THAT.

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A SECOND POINT
HERE WHICH IS, EVEN IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE EVIDENCE
MAY BE ADMITTED SUBJECT TO BEING CONNECTED AT SOME LATER
TIME WHICH, OF COURSE, IS A PREJUDICIAL WAY OF HANDLING
IT FOR THE DEFENDANT IN A CASE SUCH AS THIS, BUT EVEN

1

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

IF THAT IS SO, YOUR HONOR, SECTION 1223 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE REQUIRES THAT SUCH EVIDENCE IN THE NATURE OF ACTS AND DECLARATIONS OF CO-CONSPIRATORS BE ADMISSIBLE ONLY IF IT CAN BE SHOWN THAT THE ACTS AND/OR STATEMENTS TO BE ADMITTED WERE COMMITTED OR UTTERED FIRST IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY AND, SECOND, DURING THE EXISTENCE OF THE CONSPIRACY.

IN THIS CASE, IT IS ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT HUNT MURDERED MR. LEVIN ON OR ABOUT JUNE 6, 1984, AND THAT MR. HUNT COMMITTED ROBBERY FROM THE PERSON OF MR. LEVIN IN JUNE OF 1984 OF A CHECK FOR \$1.5 MILLION.

THE EVIDENCE WHICH THE PEOPLE SEEK TO ADMIT AT THIS TIME CONCERNING MR. PITTMAN AND HIS ACTIVITIES IN NEW YORK ARE NEITHER IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY NOR WERE COMMITTED DURING THE EXISTENCE OF THE CONSPIRACY, WHICH NECESSARILY WOULD HAVE ENDED AT THE TIME THAT THE CHECK WAS TAKEN FROM MR. LEVIN.

THE COURT: IN ORDER TO COVER UP THIS MURDER, I UNDERSTAND THAT -- THE ALLEGED MURDER -- I UNDERSTAND THAT THE PEOPLE WANT TO SHOW THAT PURSUANT TO THAT PARTICULAR COVERUP, THE DEFENDANT AND PITTMAN CONSPIRED, THAT PITTMAN WAS TO GO TO NEW YORK TO PRETEND TO BE LEVIN, TO ESTABLISH THE FACT THAT LEVIN WAS NEVER MURDERED AND THAT HE DISAPPEARED OF HIS OWN FREE WILL AND THAT IS WHAT I UNDERSTAND THE PURPORT OF THE TESTIMONY IS TO BE.

MR. WAPNER: ESSENTIALLY.

THE COURT: AND THIS IS STILL IN THE FURTHERANCE AND IN PURSUIT AND CONSISTENT WITH THE COVERUP OF THE MURDER THAT YOU INTEND TO SHOW.

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS PRIMARLY WHAT WE INTEND TO SHOW,
THAT IT WAS ALL A PART OF A CONSPIRACY. IT IS NOT SOMETHING
THAT HE THOUGHT ABOUT AFTERWARDS. IT WAS ALL PART OF A
CONSPIRACY.

BUT IF I CAN BE HEARD JUST BRIEFLY, COUNSEL IS
CITING A SECTION TO THE COURT, SECTION 1223 OF THE EVIDENCE
CODE, WHICH HAS TO DO WITH EXCEPTIONS TO THE HEARSAY RULE,
WHICH IS THE POINT I AM TRYING TO MAKE AND I HAVE BEEN FROM
THE VERY BEGINNING.

IT DOESN'T TALK ABOUT CONDUCT. IT TALKS ABOUT

STATEMENTS. SO THEN THE QUESTION IS, WHAT ARE THE STATEMENTS?

AND ESSENTIALLY, WITHOUT GOING INTO EACH STATEMENT,

ALL OF THE STATEMENTS THAT HE MAKES THAT I ASSUME THEY ARE

SEEKING TO SUPPRESS ARE STATEMENTS WHERE PITTMAN SAYS,

"I AM LEVIN."

SO THEN THE QUESTION IS, ARE THEY HEARSAY?

AND IF THEY ARE HEARSAY, THEY HAVE TO BE OFFERED TO PROVE

THE TRUTH.

WE ARE NOT OFFERING THESE STATEMENTS TO PROVE
THAT PITTMAN WAS LEVIN, QUITE THE OPPOSITE. WE ARE OFFERING
THE STATEMENTS TO SHOW THAT HE MADE THE STATEMENT, "I AM
LEVIN," NOT TO PROVE THAT HE WAS LEVIN.

THE COURT: I WILL DENY THE MOTION.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD I SPEAK TO MR. WAPNER FOR A MOMENT? THERE IS ANOTHER POINT THAT PERHAPS WE COULD SAVE SOME TIME BY ADDRESSING NOW.

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE PEOPLE HAVE COURTEOUSLY

22.

ADVISED ME THAT THEY PLAN DURING THE QUESTIONING OF THE NEW YORK PEOPLE, TO BRING MR. PITTMAN INTO THE COURTROOM FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION.

NOW YOUR HONOR, I AM PERSONALLY FAMILIAR WITH

A VERY NICE PHOTOGRAPH THAT THE PEOPLE HAVE OF MR. PITTMAN,

THAT CERTAINLY, WITHOUT A DOUBT, DEPICTS HIS FACE IN A MANNER

NO LESS ACCURATE THAN THE PHOTOGRAPH WE HAVE SEEN OF MR. LEVIN

THROUGHOUT THE PROCEEDINGS ON REPEATED OCCASIONS.

I WOULD SUBMIT THAT IT WOULD BE HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL TO MR. HUNT TO HAVE MR. PITTMAN BROUGHT IN HERE IN CHAINS WEARING HIS PRISON OUTFIT, WHEN IT IS PATENTLY UNNECESSARY TO DO SO BECAUSE THE IDENTIFICATION FROM THE PHOTOGRAPH WILL ABSOLUTELY SUFFICE.

I BELIEVE THAT THE PHOTOGRAPH HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY SHOWN THE JURY AT SOME TIME DURING THESE PROCEEDINGS. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO NECESSITY TO HUMILIATE THE DEFENSE BY BRINGING THIS MAN IN HERE IN CHAINS WHEN THE PHOTOGRAPH IS AN ACCURATE DEPICTION OF MR. PITTMAN, AS WELL AS HAVING HIM HERE PRESENT.

IF THERE WAS AN ELEMENT THAT THE PHOTOGRAPH

AND HE WERE SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT OR THE PHOTOGRAPH IS OBSCURE

OR TOO SMALL OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT -- BUT THIS IS A PHOTOGRAPH

THAT IS ABSOLUTELY ADEQUATE TO IDENTIFY HIM FROM.

THE COURT: AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THAT IS PITTMAN?

MR. BARENS: I WILL STIPULATE.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE ONLY PROBLEM THAT I SEE IS THAT
WE DIDN'T MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE MR. PITTMAN HAVEHIS
CIVILIAN CLOTHES.

THE REASON THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE HIM HERE, AS OPPOSED TO JUST A PHOTOGRAPH IS THAT FROM THIS PHOTOGRAPH, IT IS JUST FROM BELOW THE NECK UP.

AND AS THE COURT IS AWARE, ONE OF THE IMPORTANT
THINGS FOR THE JURY TO KNOW ABOUT MR. PITTMAN, IS HIS PHYSICAL
STATURE. I THINK IF NOT TODAY, AT SOME POINT, IT IS GOING
TO BE VERY IMPORTANT FOR THIS JURY TO SEE MR. PITTMAN.

```
THE COURT: WELL, SUPPOSE WE TAKE THE CHAINS OFF HIM?
1
          THE BAILIFF: YES.
2
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL DO IT WITHOUT CHAINS,
3
    THEN.
          MR. WAPNER: AND THE OTHER THING I WOULD SUGGEST IS
5
    THAT WE CAN PERHAPS TAKE A BREAK BEFORE THE --
6
          THE COURT: IDENTIFICATION?
7
          MR. WAPNER: THE IDENTIFICATION, SO THAT HE DOESN'T
8
    HAVE TO BE BROUGHT OUT. HE CAN JUST BE SITTING THERE.
9
    MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT?
10
                (UNREPORTED COLLOOUY BETWEEN COUNSEL
11
                AND THE BAILIFF.)
12
          MR. WAPNER: IF WE COULD PLEASE, THE BAILIFF INFORMS
13
    ME THAT THERE ARE SOME CIVILIAN CLOTHES. HOWEVER, BECAUSE
14
    OF THE REASON WE WANTED HIM HERE, WHICH WAS TO DO WITH HIS
15
    PHYSICAL STATURE, WE ARE NOT SURE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO
16
    FIT HIM OR NOT.
17
          THE COURT: WELL, I REMEMBER THE CLOTHING. ITHINK
18
    THEY WILL FIT HIM GENERALLY, WON'T THEY?
19
          THE BAILIFF: WE CAN TRY HIM IN SOMETHING, YOUR HONOR.
20
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO THAT.
21
          MR. BARENS: JUDGE, MIGHT I BE HEARD?
22
          THE COURT: YES.
23
          MR. BARENS: JUDGE, IF I AM STANDING HERE TELLING
24
    YOU THAT I AM WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THE PERSON IN THE
25
    PHOTOGRAPH IS MR. PITTMAN, I AM WILLING TO STIPULATE WITHOUT
26
    FURTHER QUALIFICATION OR HESITATION THAT THE GUY IN THE
27
```

PHOTO IS THE MAN THESE PEOPLE WILL TALK ABOUT, IS THE PERSON

19 FO.

IN THE PHOTOGRAPH, THERE WILL BE NO CHALLENGE WHATSOEVER
BY THE DEFENSE AS TO WHO HE IS. I DON'T KNOW THE NECESSITY
FOR THIS.

THE COURT: IF YOU CAN GET THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO

AGREE TO IT, FINE. HE WANTS TO HAVE THE REAL EVIDENCE RATHER

THAN THE PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE. I HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO

YIELD TO WHAT HE WANTS.

I AM NOT GOING TO BE IN A POSITION TO SAY NO,

HE HAS TO USE THE SUBSTITUTE. HE CAN HAVE THE REAL EVIDENCE

AVAILABLE. TO PROTECT EVERYBODY, WE CAN GET THE CIVILIAN

CLOTHES FOR HIM AND JUST HAVE HIM SIT THERE. THAT WOULD BE

SUFFICIENT.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

MR. BARENS: I HAVE ANOTHER POINT, YOUR HONOR, IN RESPONSE TO YOUR HONOR'S POINT. 352 GIVES YOUR HONOR DISCRETION TO REQUIRE THE USE OF THE PHOTOGRAPH RATHER THAN THE USE OF THE PERSON.

THE COURT: WHEN THE CHOICE IS BETWEEN A PHOTOGRAPH WHICH IS AS OBSCURE AS THE ONE I HAVE SEEN, JUST ONE OF THE FACE NOT ONE OF THE ENTIRE BODY, AND THEN HAVE THE PERSON HIMSELF PRESENT, I THINK THAT THE PERSON HIMSELF BEING PRESENT WITH EVERY SAFEGUARD TAKEN TO AVOID ANY KIND OF PREJUDICE BY HIS BEING IN JAIL CLOTHING AND IN CHAINS, WILL SERVE THE PURPOSE. IF WE CAN HAVE HIM DRESSED IN CIVILIAN CLOTHES AND HAVE HIM SIT THERE AND THEN HAVE COUNSEL REFER ANY WITNESS WHO IS GOING TO TESTIFY TO IDENTIFY THE DEFENDANT PITTMAN, THEN I THINK THAT WOULD BE A BETTER WAY OF DOING IT.

MR. BARENS: COULD THE DEFENSE ASK YOUR HONOR ONE OTHER THING, SIR?

THERE INEVITABLY WILL BE AN APPEARANCE TO THIS JURY THAT THAT MAN IS CONVICTED. HE IS GOING TO BE IN THIS COURTROOM IN A --

THE COURT: WHO WAS CONVICTED?

MR. BARENS: MR. PITTMAN.

THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

MR. BARENS: THERE IS AN INEVITABLE APPEARANCE, WHEN THEY SEE HIM HERE IN A SOMEWHAT CUSTODIAL APPEARANCE, THAT HE HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF SOMETHING.

THE COURT: THERE WON'T BE ANY SUCH INFERENCE. NO SUCH INFERENCE CAN BE DRAWN.

28

MERELY BECAUSE HE IS IN CUSTODY -- YOUR CLIENT WAS IN CUSTODY, WAS THERE ANY INFERENCE THAT HE WAS CONVICTED AT THE TIME HE WAS IN CUSTODY? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IN ORDER TO SAFEGUARD A FAIR TRIAL ALL I AM SUGGESTING IS THAT YOUR HONOR COULD MAKE EASILY A COMMENT THAT MR. PITTMAN HAS NOT BEEN CONVICTED. THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY COMMENT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. MR. BARENS: WELL, THE PROBLEM IS IF YOU DON'T MAKE A COMMENT, THERE IS AN APPEARANCE, YOUR HONOR, THAT IS CONFUSING TO THE JURY. HOW DO THEY KNOW WHETHER HE IS ABOUT TO STAND TRIAL OR WHETHER HE HAS ALREADY BEEN CONVICTED? MR. WAPNER: I DON'T THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE COURT TO COMMENT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON WHAT HIS CONDITION IS. THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT, I DON'T INTEND TO. WILL YOU SEE ABOUT GETTING CIVILIAN CLOTHES FOR HIM? THE BAILIFF: AND SHOULD HE BE SEATED OVER HERE? MR. WAPNER: THE ONLY LOGISTICAL PROBLEM IS, HE SHOULD NOT BE BROUGHT IN OR OUT DURING THE PRESENCE OF

THE JURY.

THE BAILIFF: NO, OF COURSE NOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. WAPNER: YES, I THINK WE WERE IN THE PROCESS OF EXAMINING MR. PANE.

THE COURT: YES.

19-3

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WONDER IF YOUR HONOR -IS THERE ANY SORT OF A SAFEGUARD, IF MR. PITTMAN'S COUNSEL
SHOULD BE HERE FOR ANY REASON?

THE COURT: NO. THE CASES ARE CLEAR THAT NONE OF
HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED IF
HE IS EXHIBITED TO THIS JURY. IT IS NOT HIS CASE.

(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD WITHIN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: I AM SORRY FOR THE DELAY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE HAD SOME OTHER MATTERS TO DISCUSS OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. IT MIGHT HAPPEN A NUMBER OF TIMES DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL.

ALL RIGHT.

PAUL PANE,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

BY MR. WAPNER:

Q MR. PANE, I BELIEVE YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT
THE INFORMATION THAT WAS GIVEN BY THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE
DEPARTMENT TO THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION CENTER; WHEN
A POLICE DEPARTMENT PROVIDES THAT INFORMATION, HOW IS
THAT PHYSICALLY DONE?

A THE POLICE DEPARTMENTS THEMSELVES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR ENTERING THAT INFORMATION VIA A COMPUTER NETWORK INTO

THAT PARTICULAR SYSTEM. 1 AND DOES EACH POLICE DEPARTMENT HAVE A COMPUTER 2 TERMINAL THAT FEEDS INTO THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM? 3 YES. EVERY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OR POLICE 4 DEPARTMENT AND SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WITHIN CALIFORNIA 5 HAS THE AVAILABILITY TO ENTER AND CANCEL. 6 Q IN THIS CASE, WAS THERE SOME INFORMATION ENTERED 7 BY THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT INTO THE N.C.I.C. 8 COMPUTER? 9 YES, THERE WAS. Α 10 AND DID THEY PUT THE NAME OF THE MISSING PERSON 11 INTO THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM? 12 YES, THEY ENTERED RONALD GEORGE LEVIN. А 13 ANY OTHER NAME? Q 14 NO, THEY DIDN'T. Α 15 AND DID THEY PUT IN -- WHAT OTHER INFORMATION --16 DID THEY PUT IN THE HEIGHT AND WEIGHT? 17 THEY PUT IN THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION, WHICH 18 INCLUDES THE SEX, THE RACE, THE INDIVIDUAL'S AGE OR DATE 19 OF BIRTH, HIS WEIGHT, HIS HEIGHT, HIS EYE COLOR AND HIS 20 21 HAIR COLOR. 22 23 24 25 26 27

20 FO.

WHAT WERE THOSE THINGS IN THIS CASE? 0 21 1 THEY HAD SEX, MALE; RACE, WHITE; DATE OF BIRTH 2 AS 2/16/42; HEIGHT SIX-FOOT TWO; WEIGHT 170 POUNDS. 3 THE EYES WERE BLUE. THE HAIR WAS GRAY. 4 AND DOES THAT SYSTEM HAVE THE CAPABILITY OF 5 Q ENTERING INTO IT THE PERSON'S FINGERPRINTS? 6 YES. Α 7 WAS THAT DONE IN THIS CASE? Q 8 NO, IT WAS NOT. 9 AND WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF PUTTING ALL THIS 10 INFORMATION INTO THE N.C.I.C. COMPUTER? 11 SINCE IT IS A NATIONAL SYSTEM, ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT Α 12 AGENCY WITHIN THE UNITED STATES CAN INQUIRE INTO IT AND 13 GET A RESPONSE, EITHER A NEGATIVE RESPONSE OR A POSITIVE 14 HIT BACK ON THEIR INQUIRY. 15 FOR EXAMPLE, BEVERLY HILLS POLICE -- MAY I 16 EXPLAIN? 17 18 Q YES, PLEASE. BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT ENTERED MR. LEVIN'S 19 NAME INTO THE SYSTEM AND THIS WOULD ALLOW -- IF FOR EXAMPLE, 20 MR. LEVIN WAS STOPPED LET'S SAY IN FLORIDA AND A LAW 21 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY COULD INQUIRE INTO THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM 22 USING MR. LEVIN'S NAME AND DATE OF BIRTH AND GET A POSITIVE 23 RESPONSE BACK, WHICH WOULD TELL THEM HE IS A MISSING PERSON 24 AND THAT THE INTERESTED AGENCY IS BEVERLY HILLS POLICE 25 26 DEPARTMENT IN CALIFORNIA. AND IF USING YOUR EXAMPLE, HE HAD BEEN STOPPED 27 Q

28

IN FLORIDA AND THE FLORIDA PEOPLE RAN HIS NAME UNDER THE

27

28

N.C.I.C. SYSTEM AND FOUND HIM, WOULD THEY PUT ANYTHING BACK INTO THE SYSTEM? A THE SYSTEM WOULD AUTOMATICALLY GIVE THEM A HIT, GIVE THEM A RESPONSE, THE EXACT RESPONSE THAT THERE HAD BEEN A HIT AND GIVE THEM THE RECORD THAT BEVERLY HILLS PUT INTO THE SYSTEM. THE FLORIDA AGENCY THEN WOULD CONTACT BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT AND BEVERLY HILLS HAS TO RESPOND WITHIN TEN MINUTES. Q AND WHEN YOU DO YOUR CHECK OF THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM, WHAT ARE YOU LOOKING FOR? A I AM LOOKING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN ENTERED INTO THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM. I TAKE A LOOK AT THE DATE ENTERED AND TIMES, IF THERE IS SOME INFORMATION THAT NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED, WE WILL THEN NOTIFY THE ENTERING AGENCY AND REQUEST THAT THEY CHANGE THAT INFORMATION. , Q DID YOU HAVE A COPY OF MR. LEVIN'S FINGERPRINTS? A YES, I DID. DID YOU PUT THAT INFORMATION INTO THE N.C.I.C. Q SYSTEM? NO, WE DID NOT. Α WHY? Q MAINLY BECAUSE AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, WE WERE NOT MANDATED BY LAW TO DO SO, AS WE ARE NOW. ADDITIONALLY, WE DID NOT HAVE THE PERSONNEL TO DO THAT. WE MAINLY LOOK AT THINGS THAT WE CONSIDER MORE IMPORTANT. THAT WOULD BE THE HEIGHT AND WEIGHT AND THE DATE

OF THE LAST CONTACT BEING CORRECT. THOSE AREAS ARE VERY

28

THE X-RAYS.

1	Q AND DID YOU DO THAT IN THIS CASE?
2	. A YES. THEY WERE SUBMITTED TO US.
3	Q FROM WHOM?
4	A FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT,
5	OFFICER KING.
6	Q AND THE AREA OF COMPARISON OF DENTAL RECORDS
7	IS PART OF YOUR SPECIALTY?
8	A YES.
9	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WORKING IN THAT SECTION?
10	A SINCE 1979.
11	Q WHEN YOU GOT THE DENTAL RECORDS IN THIS CASE,
12	DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING PECULIAR ABOUT THAT?
13	A THE FIRST THING I DID NOTICE WAS THAT MR. LEVIN
14	HAD QUITE A BIT OF DENTAL WORK, VERY UNIQUE DENTAL WORK.
15	IN FACT, HE HAD A MULTITUDE OF GOLD FILLINGS.
16	THEY WERE GOLD FILLINGS AND EXPENSIVE WORK, VERY, VERY
17	UNIQUE.
18	I COULD LOOK IN MY RECORDS BUT TO THE BEST OF
19	MY KNOWLEDGE, WITHOUT LOOKING AT MY RECORDS, I THINK THAT
20	HE HAD PROBABLY OUT OF 32 TEETH THAT ARE NORMALLY IN AN
21	INDIVIDUAL'S MOUTH, PROBABLY 20 OF THEM HAD RESTORATIONS,
22	GOLD FILLINGS. THAT IS VERY, VERY UNIQUE.
23	Q AND WHAT WAS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THAT TO YOU?
24	A THAT SIGNIFICANCE TO ME WAS THAT IF WE HAD AN
25	UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED INDIVIDUAL IN OUR FILES, THERE WOULD
26	NEVER BE A DOUBT IN MY MIND THAT I COULD NOT MATCH THEM UP.

FO.

2 .

21 FO.

27

Q AND AFTER DOING THE DENTAL INDEXING, WHAT ELSE DID YOU DO?

A ONCE I WAS ASSURED THAT THERE WAS NO POSSIBLE MATCHES, THEN THE REPORT WAS PLACED IN THE FILE.

THE APPROPRIATE FILES WERE FLAGGED OR A STOP NOTICE WAS PLACED ON THEM.

THE DENTAL CHART I HAD MADE UP WENT INTO A

DENTAL FILE AND ONCE THE REPORT IS INDEXED, IT IS CONTINUALLY

COMPARED AGAINST ALL INCOMING JOHN DOES OR UNIDENTIFIED

DECEASED FROM THEN ON.

Q NOW, IS THERE SOMETHING CALLED THE AUTOMATED PROPERTY UNIT?

A YES. THE AUTOMATED PROPERTY UNIT IS WHAT THEY CALL A PAWN UNIT.

O WHAT IS THAT?

A A PAWN UNIT IS THE PAWN UNIT -- THERE, WITHIN
THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS A CENTRAL REGISTRY AND EVERYTHING
COMES TO ONE FOCAL POINT AND THEY ARE UTILIZED BY LAW
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND THE PAWN DEALERS, ONCE AN INDIVIDUAL
COMES IN AND PAWNS AN ITEM, THE PAWN DEALERS ARE MANDATED
BY LAW TO SUBMIT THAT PARTICULAR PAWN SLIP TO THE LOCAL
AGENCY, POLICE DEPARTMENT OR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. THEY
IN TURN SUBMIT THAT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THAT
GOES INTO OUR FILE AND IT IS KEPT THERE.

AT THE TIME THAT MR. LEVIN'S REPORT CAME IN,

THAT WAS ONE OF THE OTHER FILES THAT WE DID CHECK TO SEE

IF THERE WAS ANY PAWNING BY MR. LEVIN. THERE WAS NONE.

WE THEN WENT AHEAD AND PLACED A STOP NOTICE

IN THAT FILE ALSO, THAT IF ANY PAWN TICKET CAME IN FROM 1 THAT POINT FORWARD, IT WOULD HIT AGAINST THAT STOP NOTICE. 2 NOW, DID YOU PUT STOP NOTICES IN ALL OF THESE 3 FILES? YES, WE DID. А 5 WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF THAT? Q 6 THAT IS FOR THE -- IF THERE IS ANY ACTIVITY 7 WHATSOEVER ON ANY ONE OF THOSE FILES, OUR STOP NOTICE 8 WILL THEN ALERT THAT PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL TO GET IN CONTACT 9 WITH US. 10 SO THE FIRST CHECK YOU DO IS TO CHECK BETWEEN 11 THE DATE THAT HE IS REPORTED MISSING, WHICH WAS JUNE 6TH, 12 AND THE DATE YOU DO THE CHECK, WHICH IS JULY THE 18TH, . 13 RIGHT? 14 THAT IS CORRECT. 15 IF YOU HAVEN'T COME UP WITH ANYTHING AT THAT 16 POINT, THEN YOU PUT STOP NOTICES IN ALL OF THESE FILES 17 THAT YOU HAVE MENTIONED TO US? 18 THAT IS CORRECT. Α 19 THEN AS THE OTHER PEOPLE COME IN WHO ARE REPORTED 20 Q MISSING, YOU CHECK THEM AGAINST ALL OF THE EXISTING FILES? 21 THAT IS CORRECT. 22 Α AND AS YOU CHECK THE SUBSEQUENT PEOPLE, MR. 23 LEVIN'S NAME WOULD BE IN THE EXISTING FILES? 24 COULD YOU REPEAT THAT QUESTION? 25 Α OKAY. ONCE YOU ARE FINISHED CHECKING DOING 26 Q THE INITIAL CHECK ON MR. LEVIN, HIS NAME GOES INTO THE 27 FILES, IS THAT RIGHT, AND STOP NOTICES PUT ON IT? 28

YES. Α 1 AND THEN IF SOME SUBSEQUENT PERSON COMES UP 2 MISSING, YOU CHECK THAT PERSON AGAINST ALL OF THE EXISTING 3 FILES, INCLUDING MR. LEVIN? 4 IF AN UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED, IS THAT WHAT 5 YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 6 Q YES. 7 YES, THAT IS CORRECT. HIS FILE IS AUTOMATICALLY 8 INCLUDED IN THE SEARCH. 9 IN TERMS OF FLAGGING THESE THINGS, WAS THERE Q 10 A FLAG PUT IN THE FILE AT D.M.V., FOR EXAMPLE? 11 YES, THERE WAS. Α 12 WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF THAT? Q 13 ANY TIME THERE IS ANY TYPE OF INFRACTION OR 14 ANY TYPE OF MOVEMENT ON MR. LEVIN'S DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER, 15 THE COMPUTER WILL AUTOMATICALLY GO AHEAD AND GIVE US A 16 REPLY TO LET US KNOW THERE HAS BEEN AN INFRACTION ON THAT 17 PARTICULAR DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER. 18 AND DOES THE INFORMATION IN THE DEPARTMENT 19 Q OF MOTOR VEHICLES COMPUTER ALSO GIVE THE NAME AND HEIGHT 20 AND WEIGHT AND DATE OF BIRTH AND ALL OF THAT? 21 IT HAS EXACTLY WHAT IS ON THE DRIVER'S LICENSE. Α 22 AND LIKEWISE, IS THERE A NOTIFICATION PUT 23 Q IN THE N.C.I.C. COMPUTER? 24 THAT'S CORRECT. AND THE SAME WITH THE AUTOMATED PROPERTY UNIT, 25 SO IF HE PAWNS ANYTHING SUBSEQUENT TO JULY 18TH WHEN YOU 26 DO THE INITIAL CHECK, YOU ARE NOTIFIED OF THAT ALSO? 27

THAT'S CORRECT.

28

Α

AND IF A PERSON SUBSEQUENTLY COMES -- YOU Q GET SOME INFORMATION ON ANOTHER MISSING PERSON, NOT NECESSARILY AN UNIDENTIFIED DECEASED BUT ANOTHER MISSING PERSON, THAT NEW PERSON THAT COMES IN IS CHECKED AGAINST ALL OF THE EXISTING FILES; IS THAT RIGHT? AGAINST THE UNIDENTIFIED EXISTING FILE. Α 21A FOL.

MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT? 1 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. WAPNER 2 AND THE WITNESS.) 3 BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU BRING A COPY OF THE 4 DENTAL X-RAYS WITH YOU? 5 YES, I DID. 6 JUST HOLD THAT UP AND SHOW IT TO THE JURY --7 MR. BARENS: FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: PARDON ME. 9 MR. BARENS: FOUNDATION, ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: TELL US WHERE YOU GOT THAT. 11 THE WITNESS: I RECEIVED THIS FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS 12 POLICE DEPARTMENT, ALONG WITH THE REPORT THAT THEY SUBMITTED. 13 THE COURT: SUBJECT TO CONNECTION, GO AHEAD. 14 BY MR. WAPNER: AND IS THERE A NAME ON THE TOP Q 15 OF THERE? 16 YES, THERE IS. IT SAYS "RON LEVIN." 17 А MR. WAPNER: OKAY, I DON'T KNOW. I WASN'T REALLY SURE 18 WHAT GOOD IT IS GOING TO DO TO HAVE THIS MARKED AS AN 19 EXHIBIT. I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS GOING TO HELP THE JURY 20 21 BUT THAT IS A COPY. THE COURT: IT WILL JUST CLUTTER UP THE RECORD. I 22 DON'T THINK YOU NEED IT. 23 MR. WAPNER: WELL, SINCE THERE WAS A FOUNDATION 24 OBJECTION, I WASN'T MUCH GOING TO BOTHER BUT IF THEY CAN HAVE 25 173, THEY CAN HAVE 174, I GUESS. CAN WE MARK IT NEXT IN 26 27 ORDER?

THE COURT: YOU WANT IT MARKED?

MR. WAPNER: IT HASN'T BEEN RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE. 1 MR. BARENS: I AM NOT EVEN INTO THAT YET. 2 THE COURT: SUBJECT TO CONNECTION, I WILL MARK IT AS 3 174. 4 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM NOT SURE AS TO THE RELEVANCY **5** OF THE X-RAYS, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: WELL, THE RELEVANCY IS WHAT COUNSEL HAS 7 BEEN TRYING TO ESTABLISH ALL OF THIS TIME, THAT THEY HAVE 8 MADE A RECORD AND THEY ARE CHECKING EVERYBODY WHO MIGHT 9 BE UNIDENTIFIED TO SEE WHETHER IT MIGHT BE HE AND ONE OF THE 10 WAYS OF IDENTIFYING PEOPLE ARE BY X-RAYS OF TEETH. 11 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, IT SOUNDS REASONABLE. 12 THE COURT: 174 FOR IDENTIFICATION, THAT WILL BE. 13 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: THAT WILL BE THE X-RAYS. 15 MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER -- EXCUSE ME, 16 YOUR HONOR. I AM SORRY. I DO. THANK YOU. 17 BEFORE YOU CAME DOWN HERE TO TESTIFY, DID YOU Q 18 DO AN UPDATED CHECK OF ALL OF THE ITEMS THAT YOU HAVE TALKED 19 20 ABOUT? YES, BEFORE I CAME DOWN YESTERDAY AFTERNOON, I 21 WENT AHEAD AND RECHECKED EVERYTHING ONE MORE TIME, D.M.V., 22 HIS CRIMINAL HISTORY FOLDER, LOOKING FOR ANY TYPE OF ACTIVITY 23 AGAIN JUST TO MAKE SURE BEFORE I CAME DOWN THAT THERE WAS 24 25 NO ACTIVITY. 26

22 FO.

27

AND PUTTING D.M.V. ASIDE FOR ONE SECOND, WHEN Q 1 YOU CHECKED THAT, WHAT DID YOU FIND? 2 HIS CRIME RECORD, THERE WAS STILL NO ACTIVITY. 3 THERE WERE NO ARRESTS AND NO ACTIVITY WHATSOEVER WITH 4 HIS FOLDER. 5 Q NO ARRESTS WHERE? 6 ANYWHERE WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 7 DID YOU CHECK THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM? 8 WE INQUIRED. IT WAS STILL IN THE SYSTEM AND 9 THAT IS ALL. 10 AND THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY REPORTS FROM ANYBODY 0 11 SAYING THEY HAD ARRESTED HIM IN VARIOUS PLACES OR ANYTHING 12 LIKE THAT? OR WOULD THAT BE THE SYSTEM? 13 THAT WOULD NOT SHOW. I JUST WANTED TO MAINTAIN 14 THAT HE WAS STILL IN THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM AND HE WAS. 15 DID YOU DO THE AUTOMATED PROPERTY UNIT CHECK 16 17 ALSO? WE DOUBLE-CHECKED. THE FLAG WAS STILL IN 18 THE FILE. THERE HAD BEEN NO ACTIVITY. 19 MEANING THAT HE HAD NOT PAWNED ANYTHING BETWEEN 20 Q JUNE 6TH AND YESTERDAY'S DATE? 21 THAT'S CORRECT. 22 Α AND WHEN YOU DID THE CHECK ON THE DEPARTMENT 23 OF MOTOR VEHICLES RECORDS, WHAT DID YOU FIND? 24 A I FOUND THAT HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS UNCHANGED. 25 THERE WAS NO DIFFERENCE IN IT. THERE WAS NO ACTIVITY ON 26 IT FROM THE DAY WE FIRST INQUIRED ON IT, WHICH WAS BACK 27

IN JULY OF 1974 UNTIL YESTERDAY.

ALTHOUGH, WHEN I DID GO AHEAD AND INQUIRE 1 TO SEE IF RONALD G. LEVIN DID PURCHASE ANY VEHICLES OR 2 HAD PURCHASED ANY VEHICLES OR HAD ANY VEHICLES WHATSOEVER 3 IN HIS NAME, I DID GET A HIT OR A POSITIVE RESPONSE BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES SAYING THERE WAS 5 A 1981 HONDA MOTORCYCLE REGISTERED TO HIM. WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU FOUND THAT OUT? Q 7 I IMMEDIATELY TOOK A LOOK AT THE DATES OF THE TRANSACTIONS, LOOKING AGAIN FOR ANYTHING PAST THE JULY 6, 1984 DATE. 10 I CAME ACROSS TWO DATES THAT IMPRESSED ME. 11 ONE WAS AUGUST 18, 1982 WHICH SAID THAT THE UNCLAIMED 12 REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE AND THE DATE OF 1/27/87 --13 WHAT HAPPENED ON THAT DATE? Q 14 THERE WAS AN AP. IN PROCESS, AN APPLICATION 15 IN PROCESS AND TO CONTACT D.M.V., THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR 16 VEHICLES, TO FIND OUT ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR 1981 HONDA 17 THAT HAD MR. LEVIN'S NAME. 18 O DID YOU DO THAT? 19 AFTER I GRABBED MY CHEST, YES. I DID. I DID 20 CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES AND I DID OBTAIN 21 SOME RECORDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES TO 22 CLARIFY THAT PARTICULAR DATE. 23 24 25 26 27

22B FOL.

28

	O WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT?			
1				
2	A I FOUND OUT THAT AN INDIVIDUAL BY THE NAME OF			
3	DANA BRADLEY LAIDMAN L-A-I-D-M-A-N, WAS AN INDIVIDUAL TRYING			
4	TO PURCHASE THE '81 HONDA, HAD SUBMITTED THE PINK SLIP OR			
5	THE OWNERSHIP TO D.M.V.			
6	THERE WERE SOME PROBLEMS WITH THE PAPERWORK AND			
7	D.M.V., ON 1/27/87 THAT IS WHY THAT DATE APPEARS			
8	SENT BACK THE PAPERWORK TO MR. LAIDMAN CONCERNING THE			
9	HONDA.			
10	BUT UNTIL THE PAPERWORK COMES BACK CORRECTED,			
11	THEY ARE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND KEEP THE RECORD IN MR. LEVIN'S			
12	NAME FOR THE HONDA.			
13	Q AND DID YOU DO SOME CHECKING ON MR. LAIDMAN?			
14	A YES, I DID.			
15	Q WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT?			
16	A I INQUIRED, USING DANA BRADLEY LAIDMAN INTO			
17	THE D.M.V. AND OBTAINED A DRIVER'S LICENSE AND A DATE OF			
18	BIRTH.			
19	I ALSO MADE A CRIMINAL HISTORY CHECK.			
20	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU MADE THE CRIMINAL HISTORY			
21	CHECK?			
22	A WE HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL FILE WITH THE SAME NAME,			
23	SAME DATE OF BIRTH, WITH AN ARREST RECORD FROM BEVERLY HILLS			
24	POLICE DEPARTMENT.			
25	Q WHEN DOES IT SHOW HE WAS ARRESTED?			
26	A IT SHOWS HE WAS ARRESTED ON 10/3/86.			

Q AND DOES IT INDICATE WHETHER HE WAS IN POSSESSION

OF THAT MOTORCYCLE AT THE TIME HE WAS ARRESTED?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

A THIS PARTICULAR CRIME HISTORY RECORD, NO.

Q WHAT WAS HE ARRESTED FOR?

A HE WAS ARRESTED FOR GRAND THEFT PROPERTY.

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

MR. BARENS: MAY WE HAVE A MOMENT?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. BARENS: WE HAVE A STIPULATION. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE THE LANGUAGE TOGETHER.

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, COUNSEL, MAY IT BE STIPULATED THAT MR. DANIEL (SIC) LAIDMAN IS THE NEPHEW OF LEN MARMOR, WHO IS THE WITNESS WHO TESTIFIED IN THIS PROCEEDINGS, THAT HE OBTAINED THIS 1981 MOTORCYCLE TO WHICH THIS WITNESS MR. PANE, HAS JUST MADE REFERENCE, FROM MR. MARMOR, THAT MR. MARMOR GOT THE MOTORCYCLE AND THE PINK SLIP FROM MR. LEVIN SOMETIME BEFORE JUNE THE 6TH OF 1984 AND KEPT THE PINK SLIP AND REGISTRATION IN MR. LEVIN'S NAME AND NEVER CHANGED IT.

MR. BARENS: YES, BASED ON THE REPRESENTATIONS AND ASSURANCES GIVEN BY THE PEOPLE TO DEFENSE COUNSEL, WE WILL ACCEPT CATEGORICALLY AND ENTER INTO THAT STIPULATION.

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, A STIPULATION,
SUCH AS THE ONE YOU JUST HEARD, ALL OF THE FACTS THAT HAVE
BEEN RECITED WILL BE ACCEPTED BY YOU AND BE CONCLUSIVELY
ESTABLISHED. YOU ACCEPT THAT AS BEING PROVED IN THIS CASE.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q MR. PANE, WHEN YOU FOUND OUT INFORMATION SUCH AS THAT WHICH YOU CAME UP WITH YESTERDAY, ON THIS D.M.V.

PRINTOUT, ARE YOU REQUIRED TO NOTIFY THE POLICE AGENCY WHO-FILED THE MISSING PERSON'S REPORT? A PROCEDURES REQUIRE US TO GO AHEAD AND DEFINITELY NOTIFY A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OF ANY ACTIVITY AFTER THE DATE OF MISSING.

23 FO.

	-
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Q AND YOU, TODAY, TALKED TO DETECTIVE ZOELLER FROM THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT, I TAKE IT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AND AMONG OTHER PEOPLE, DID DETECTIVE ZOELLER

INFORM YOU OF THE FACTS THAT WE HAVE JUST DISCUSSED IN THIS

STIPULATION?

A YES, HE DID.

O MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BARENS:

Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. PANE.

A GOOD AFTERNOON.

Q JUST PICKING UP ON THOSE X-RAYS, THOSE X-RAYS

ARE OF A LIVE PERSON, ARE THEY NOT?

A YES.

Q YOU TOLD US THAT BECAUSE OF THE PECULIARITY

AND UNIQUENESS OF THE AMOUNT OF DENTAL WORK THAT PERSON

HAD EXPERIENCED, THAT YOU COULD BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

BE SATISFIED THAT IF YOU WERE TO CHECK THAT SET OF DENTITION

AGAINST YOUR PERSON. THAT YOU CHECK AGAINST, THAT THAT PERSON

YOU COULD MAKE SURE YOU DID NOT HAVE; IS THAT CORRECT, SIR?

A WITH THE RECORDS THAT WERE PROVIDED BY THE

BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT, LOOKING AT THESE RECORDS

HERE AND MY CHARTING AND MY CODING, I CAN STATE THAT IF THERE

WAS A JOHN DOE RECORD THAT CAME IN TO MY DEPARTMENT THAT I

```
WOULD BE ABLE TO GO AHEAD AND MATCH IT UP.
1
      . Q AND THEREFORE, YOU ARE ABLE TO TELL ME TODAY,
2
    SIR, THAT BEYOND A DOUBT IN YOUR MIND YOU HAVE NEVER FOUND
3
    A DEAD PERSON WITH THAT DENTITION IN CALIFORNIA; IS THAT
4
    CORRECT, SIR?
5
               ACCORDING TO MY RECORDS, THAT IS CORRECT.
6
               AND THAT IS BEYOND A DOUBT IN YOUR MIND, IS IT
7
    NOT?
8
               I AM NOT SURE IF THERE IS A DEAD BODY OUT THERE
9
    NOW THAT THEY HAVE NOT SUBMITTED THE REPORT TO ME ON SO --
10
               I UNDERSTAND THAT.
          Q
11
                 I AM ASKING YOU TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, YOU KNOW,
12
    AND YOU ARE THE GUY THAT DOES THIS, I SUPPOSE, YOU HAVE TOLD
13
    US --
14
          A UH-HUH.
15
               -- TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, YOU HAVE NEVER FOUND SUCH
16
    A DEAD PERSON, HAVE YOU, SIR?
17
               NO. I HAVE NOT.
18
           А
                 THANK YOU.
19
           Q
                 NOW AS I UNDERSTAND THE WAY THIS HAPPENS, SO
20
     I AM NOT ANY MORE CONFUSED THAN I NEED BE, THE FIRST THING
21
     YOU DO IS YOU GET A REPORT FROM BEVERLY HILLS P.D. THAT YOU
22
     RECEIVE AND THEN YOU STAMP AN INDEX, WHICH IS SOME SORT OF
23
     A MISSING PERSON'S REPORT; IS THAT SO?
24
                 NO, THAT IS NOT CORRECT.
25
           Α
                 OKAY, WHAT IS THE FIRST PAPERWORK YOU GET?
26
           Q
                 THE FIRST PAPERWORK IS AT TIMES THIS PARTICULAR
27
     REPORT HERE THAT YOU HAVE A COPY OF.
```

```
YES, THAT IS WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT.
          Q
1
                RIGHT.
         Α
2
                OKAY. AND DOES SOMEONE STAMP THIS INDEX?
3
                THAT IS AFTER ALL OF THE DIFFERENT AREAS THAT
4
    I HAVE MENTIONED BEFORE ARE CHECKED.
5
          MR. BARENS: OKAY, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS HAS BEEN MARKED,
6
    YOUR HONOR, BUT LET'S MARK IT "D," IT IS A MISSING PERSON'S
7
    REPORT FORM BEARING DATE OF 6-22-84. IT WILL BE DEFENDANT'S
8
    NEXT.
9
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL BE "D"; IS THAT RIGHT?
10
          MR. BARENS: SIR?
11
          THE COURT: "D".
12
          MR. BARENS: IT IS "D," I BELIEVE, YOUR HONOR.
13
          THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT, THAT IS WHAT I SAID.
14
          MR. BARENS: I AM SORRY. I DIDN'T HEAR YOU, YOUR
15
    HONOR.
16
          THE COURT: YES.
17
           MR. BARENS: MAY I PROCEED, YOUR HONOR?
18
          THE COURT: YES.
19
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
20
           Q WAS THIS IN FACT THE FIRST PIECE OF PAPER YOU
21
22
     SAW ABOUT THIS --
                 BY THE WAY, MR. PANE, WHILE YOU ARE LOOKING
23
     FOR THAT, YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF A MEMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT,
24
25
     DO YOU NOT?
                 I WORK FOR A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, YES.
26
                 DO YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF A MEMBER OF LAW
27
```

ENFORCEMENT?

YES, I DO. Α 1 THANK YOU. JUST CURIOUS. Q 2 GO RIGHT AHEAD, SIR. 3 THE COURT: NOW DO YOU WANT AN ANSWER TO THE QUESTION? 4 MR. BARENS: YES, I QUITE WELL DO. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THAT THE FIRST PIECE OF 6 PAPER THAT YOU RECEIVED? 7 THE WITNESS: I AM TRYING TO ESTABLISH IF WE MAY HAVE 8 RECEIVED A TELETYPE PRIOR TO RECEIVING THAT PARTICULAR 9 REPORT. 10 MR. BARENS: IF I COULD APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR 11 HONOR. I WANT TO ASK SEQUENCING ON PIECES OF PAPER I DON'T 12 KNOW. 13 THE WITNESS: I WOULD HAVE TO SAY WITH THE RECORDS 14 I HAVE HERE, THAT IS THE FIRST PIECE OF PAPER WE RECEIVED 15 FROM BEVERLY HILLS. 16 BY MR. BARENS: THERE IS ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER 17 HERE, THIS PIECE OF PAPER IS SOMETHING YOU FOLKS GENERATE 18 A UH-HUH, WE DO. 19 MR. BARENS: WE WILL CALL THIS NEXT PIECE OF PAPER, 20 YOUR HONOR, DEFENDANT'S NEXT AND IT APPEARS TO BE A COMPUTER 21 GENERATED PIECE OF PAPER AND IT STARTS OUT WITH -- AT LEAST 22 THE FIRST WORDS ON IT ARE "MISSING PERSON." 23 THE COURT: YES, THAT WOULD BE "E." 24 MR. BARENS: MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT WITH THE WITNESS? 25 26 THE COURT: YES. (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 27

AND THE WITNESS.)

Q BY MR. BARENS: THEREFORE, THE SECOND COMPUTER GENERATED PIECE OF PAPER IS SOMETHING YOU FOLKS PREPARE? A YES.

```
ALL RIGHT. NOW WITH REFERENCE TO THE FIRST PIECE
1
    OF PAPER ENTITLED "MISSING PERSON'S REPORT FORM," YOU
2
    MENTIONED IN EARLIER TESTIMONY THAT IS THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION
3
    GIVEN OF LEVIN, SIR?
                YES.
          Α
5
                AND THEN HIS NAME AND THEN THE NEXT LINE SAYS
          Q
6
    "ALIAS?"
7
                THAT'S CORRECT.
          Α
8
                AND WHAT ALIASES DO YOU SEE THERE?
9
                I SEE ROTHSCHILD, RONALD GEORGE AND ALSO
10
    GLICK, RONALD GEORGE.
11
                AND AFTER THAT IT SAYS "MISSING SINCE."
          Q
12
                JUNE 6 OF 184.
          Α
13
                WHAT DOES IT SAY RIGHT AFTER THAT?
          Q
14
                "AFTER 6:00 P.M."
15
          Α
                 SO THE INFORMATION YOU GOT INITIALLY IS THAT
16
    AFTER 6:00 P.M. ON JUNE 6, 1984, NOBODY KNOWS WHERE HE
17
18
     IS?
          A THAT IS CORRECT.
19
               ALL RIGHT. NOW MOVING AHEAD THERE, WE GET DOWN
20
     AND THERE IS SOME IDENTIFICATION BY THE DENTIST, ET CETERA,
21
     AND GETTING DOWN TO ABOVE THAT, "LOCATION LAST SEEN" AND
22
23
     IT SAYS WHAT, SIR?
                144 -- I ASSUME THE "S" STANDS FOR SOUTH PECK
24
          Α
25
     DRIVE.
                 STIPULATE, YES, SIR.
26
          · Q
                 AND THEN THE NEXT LINE, IT SAYS "MENTAL CONDITION."
27
28
                 "UPSET," IT SAYS.
           А
```

2:

О.

Q IT SAYS "UPSET," ACTUALLY. 1 ALL RIGHT, NOW I NEED TO LOOK AT HIS COPY BECAUSE 2 I CAN'T READ THE COPY I HAVE GOT. 3 THE COURT: SURELY. 4 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 5 AND THE WITNESS.) 6 BY MR. BARENS: YOU IN TURN, SIR, THEN PREPARED 7 THE NEXT PIECE OF PAPER THAT IS THE COMPUTER GENERATED 8 PIECE OF PAPER I REFFRENCED? 9 THAT IS CORRECT. 10 Q ALL RIGHT, THEN A LOT OF WHAT I WILL CALL 11 STATISTICAL, PHYSICAL DATA IS REPEATED ON THERE, IS IT NOT, 12 SIR? 13 YES, IT IS. 14 AND THEN WE COME DOWN TO: IS IT A PRACTICE 15 OF YOU FOLKS TO PUT IN SOME MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTARY THAT 16 YOU HAVE? 17 A THE AGENCY CAN PUT THE MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION 18 IN THERE, YES. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

24

FO.

AND YOU DID IT IN THIS INSTANCE? Q 1 NO. I HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS ENTRY. 2 DOES A PERSON THAT WORKS IN CONJUNCTION WITH 3 YOURSELF DO THAT? A THIS ENTRY WAS MADE BY BEVERLY HILLS POLICE 5 DEPARTMENT. 6 AND WHAT DOES THE ENTRY SAY? 7 Q A ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE WORDING FOLLOWING 8 WHERE IT SAYS "MIS/"? 9 Q YES, SIR. 10 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, HEARSAY. HE DIDN'T PUT 11 THAT IN THERE. HE DOESN'T KNOW WHERE IT COMES FROM OR 12 THE BASIS FOR IT. 13 THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 14 MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, IF YOU WOULD ON THAT, YOUR 15 HONOR. 16 (PAUSE.) 17 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MIGHT WE APPROACH ON THE 18 OBJECTION BECAUSE I AM NOT OFFERING IT FOR THE TRUTH? 19 I AM OFFERING IT FOR THE DESCRIPTION THAT THEY ARE GIVING 20 OF THE PERSON THEY ARE LOOKING FOR. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 22 MR. BARENS: IT IS ALL HEARSAY ON THIS DOCUMENT, 23 24 YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THAT WE COULD SAVE MORE 25 TIME BY NOT COMING UP AND HAVING HIM TESTIFY ON IT. GO 26 27 AHEAD, ANYWAY.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

1 IF YOU WOULD, SIR, WOULD YOU PLEASE TELL ME THE FACTS AFTER THE WORD "MISCELLANEOUS," THE ABBREVIATED 2 3 WORD "MIS." 4 WHAT DOES IT SAY THEN? 5 A (READING) 6 "MENTAL CONDITION, AGITATED. 7 WEARS GLASSES. UNDER INVESTIGATION, 12 COUNTS 8 THEFT BY B.H.P.D..." 9 THAT STANDS FOR BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT. 10 "BURGLAR ALARM IN HOUSE TURNED 11 OFF. BEDDING GONE. MISSING PERSON SAID HE 12 WAS GOING TO NEW YORK BUT CLOTHES AND LUGGAGE 13 IN RESIDENCE. DETECTIVES 550-4955." 14 NOW, YOU HAD SOME KIND OF DATA THERE THAT 15 YOU WOULD ASSOCIATE WITH THIS PERSON THAT MIGHT GIVE YOU 16 SOME CLUES TO FOLLOW UP ON? 17 A THAT IS THE WHOLE RECORD, YES. 18 NOW, HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN DOING WHAT YOU 19 DO WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, SIR? 20 I HAVE BEEN WITH THE MISSING AND UNIDENTIFIED 21 PERSONS UNIT SINCE 1979. I TAKE THAT BACK, SORRY. I MADE 22 A MISTAKE. I HAVE BEEN WITH THE DENTAL PROGRAM SINCE 23 1979. I HAVE WORKED MISSING PERSONS SINCE 1979. 24 I HAVE BEEN WITH MY DENTAL PROGRAM, TOO. Q 25 AND VERY NICE TEETH YOU HAVE. 26 I ASKED YOU IF YOU CONSIDERED YOURSELF AN 27 EXPERT ON MISSING PERSONS. I BELIEVE YOU ANSWERED ME 28 IN THE AFFIRMATIVE?

I DON'T REMEMBER ANSWERING THAT WAY. 1 ALL RIGHT. SIR. HAVE YOU EVER QUALIFIED OR 2 TESTIFIED IN COURT BEFORE ABOUT MISSING PERSONS? 3 Α YES, I HAVE. 4 Q YOU HAVE DONE SO ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS, SIR? 5 YES. SIR. Α 6 HAVE YOU EVER COME INTO COURT, CALLED AS AN 7 EXPERT WITNESS BY A PROSECUTING AGENCY? 8 I AM A LITTLE CONFUSED ABOUT THE WORD "EXPERT" 9 WITNESS. I HAVE BEEN CALLED TO TESTIFY AT TIMES, TO TESTIFY 10 LIKE I AM HERE. BUT I HAVE NEVER BEEN REALLY STIPULATED 11 BY THE COURT AS AN EXPERT. 12 LET ME ASK YOU SOMETHING. DO YOU KNOW A LOT Q 13 ABOUT MISSING PERSONS? 14 AFTER THAT MANY YEARS, I ASSUME THAT I DO. 15 YES, SIR. 16 I QUITE WELL AGREE THAT YOU DO. 17 NOW, WE HAVE FOUND THAT OUT. 18 MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH? I ASSUME 19 THAT THE NEXT QUESTION IS GOING TO ASK HIM FOR SOME KIND 20 OF AN OPINION. BEFORE WE --21 MR. BARENS: ARE YOU GOING TO SAY YOUR WITNESS DOESN'T 22 OUALIFY FOR AN OPINION? 23 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF OPINION 24 25 YOU ARE SEEKIG. THE COURT: WELL, LET'S HEAR THE QUESTION. THEN 26 IF YOU OBJECT TO IT --27 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I WAS ATTEMPTING TO HEAR THE

24A-4

24A-5

QUESTION BEFORE THE JURY DOES BECAUSE SOMETIMES IT IS NOT THE ANSWER THAT IS IMPORTANT, ONLY THE QUESTION.

THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT, TOO. ALL RIGHT. COME TO THE BENCH.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:)

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. I AM GOING TO ASK HIM IN HIS EXPERIENCE HOW MANY OF THE MISSING PEOPLE HE HAS HAD TO DO WORK ON, WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THEM WERE PEOPLE ON BAIL.

24B FO.

28

THE COURT: ON WHAT? 1 MR. BARENS: ON BAIL. 2 THE COURT: WELL, I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 3 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS NOT THE SUBJECT OF AN EXPERT 4 OPINION. 5 THE COURT: THAT IS NOT A QUESTION YOU SHOULD ASK. 6 MR. BARENS: WHY NOT, SIR? 7 THE COURT: I AM TELLING YOU, BECAUSE I SAY SO. 8 MR. BARENS: I REALIZE THAT. 9 THE COURT: THAT IS THE RULING I AM MAKING. I DON'T 10 HAVE TO GIVE YOU ANY REASONS WHY I AM MAKING THE RULINGS 11 I DO. 12 MR. BARENS: NO, SIR. 13 THE COURT: TELL HIM TO STOP INTERRUPTING WHEN I 14 AM TALKING TO YOU. 15 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD JUST LIKE 16 TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THAT. 17 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND 18 IT. THE QUESTION THAT YOU ARE GOING TO ASK, AN OBJECTION 19 IS BEING MADE TO IT AND I WILL SUSTAIN IT. 20 I HAVE SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION. 21 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD I SPEAK TO YOUR HONOR 22 23 FOR A MOMENT, PLEASE? 24 25 26 27

THE COURT: I HAVE SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION. IS

THERE SOME OTHER QUESTION YOU WANT TO TALK TO ME ABOUT?

MR. BARENS: YES.

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. BARENS: WELL, AS PART OF THE DEFENSE CASE IN THIS, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE SOME EXPERT TESTIMONY ABOUT MISSING PERSONS.

THE COURT: YES?

MR. BARENS: AND PART OF THE TESTIMONY THAT WE SEEK

TO GENERATE WILL CONFIRM STATISTICS THAT THE F.B.I., FOR

INSTANCE, MAINTAINS, CERTAIN STATISTICAL SURVEYS THROUGHOUT

THE UNITED STATES ON MISSING PERSONS WHICH --

MR. WAPNER: WELL, THEN I WILL MAKE A MOTION IN

LIMINE BEFORE WE HAVE THESE EXPERTS. WE WILL HAVE A 402

HEARING BEFORE THEY ARE PUT BEFORE THE JURY BECAUSE I

AM NOT SURE THAT THAT IS AT ALL A PROPER SUBJECT BEFORE

THE JURY.

THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION AT THIS POINT. LET'S GET ON.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

Q BY BARENS: MOVING ALONG, THE FIRST THING YOU DID WAS SOME SORT OF D.M.V. LICENSE CHECK?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AND DID YOU DO THAT ON RONALD GEORGE LEVIN'S DRIVER'S LICENSE?

A THE CLERICAL STAFF WITHIN MY DEPARTMENT DO

25 FO.

23

24

25

26

27

DRIVER'S LICENSE ITSELF.

Q

26

27

28

RIGHT.

NOW HOW ABOUT MR. ROTHSCHILD?

```
A OKAY, THERE WAS A RECORD FOR A .
1
    RONALD GEORGE ROTHSCHILD, BUT THE DATE OF BIRTH WAS DIFFERENT
    FROM THE ONE WE HAD FOR MR. LEVIN A.K.A. GLICK.
3
          Q AND WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THE ROTHSCHILD
    DRIVER'S RECORD?
5
         A THE LAST TYPE OF ACTIVITY WAS 11-6TH OF '76
6
    AND THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION WAS FAR FROM BEING THE SAME
7
    AS MR. LEVIN'S.
8
          O SO YOU COULDN'T FIND ANY DRIVER'S LICENSE AT
9
    LEAST FOR MR. ROTHSCHILD?
10
         A THAT'S CORRECT.
11
          Q DID YOU EVER HAVE CONTACT WITH ANYBODY AT
12
    B.H.P.D. ABOUT ANY OTHER ALIASES MR. LEVIN HAD?
13
         A NO. JUST AS FAR AS MY MEMORY SERVES ME,
14
    JUST WHAT WE HAVE HERE ON THE RECORD.
15
          O SO NO ONE ASKED FOR YOU TO CHECK FOR ANY
16
    DRIVER'S LICENSES UNDER R. MICHAEL WEATHERBY?
17
         A ACCORDING TO MY RECORD, NO, SIR.
18
          Q DR. PRESLEY REED?
19
               NO, SIR.
          А
20
          Q
                OLIVER HOLMES?
21
                OLIVER HOLMES, WENDELL?
22
          Α
                (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
23
24
25
26
```

25A FO.

27

Q BY MR. BARENS: WENDELL IS LONG GONE. I AM NOT 1 SURE ABOUT --2 A NO, SIR. 3 Q ALL RIGHT. ACTUALLY, I AM GOING TO STAND 4 CORRECTED. IT IS OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, COUNSEL REMINDS 5 ME. 6 SO YOU DIDN'T CHECK ANY OF THOSE NAMES, IN 7 FACT, DID YOU? 8 A NO, SIR. 9 THE ONLY NAMES WE DID CHECK WAS WHAT IS IN 10 THE REPORT THAT YOU HAVE. 11 Q OKAY. WELL, SO FIRST YOU CHECKED THE DRIVER'S 12 LICENSE AND FOUND NOTHING. 13 NOW YOU DID SOME CRIMINAL RECORD CHECKING, 14 RIGHT? 15 A THAT'S CORRECT. 16 Q AND WHAT IS THE FIRST EVIDENCE OF CRIMINAL 17 RECORD YOU FOUND? 18 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. RELEVANT AND ALSO HEARSAY, 19 YOUR HONOR. 20 MR. BARENS: OH, IT IS GOING TO BE RELEVANT. THE 21 D.A. LED HIM THROUGH THIS. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD. 23 WE KNOW ALL ABOUT THAT CRIMINAL RECORD, DON'T 24 25 WE? MR. BARENS: I KNOW. I JUST LIKE EQUAL TREATMENT. 26 THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO REPEAT IT, REPEAT IT. 27

THE JURY UNDERSTANDS NOW HE HAS A CRIMINAL RECORD.

25A-2 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO SEE SOMETHING 1 VASTLY DIFFERENT, ITHIS TIME IF I GET THE OPPORTUNITY. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, LET'S SEE SOMETHING VASTLY 3 DIFFERENT, TELL US ABOUT IT. 4 MR. WAPNER: THE OBJECTION IS ON THE GROUNDS OF 5 RELEVANCY AS TO WHAT THE SPECIFICS ARE OF THE PAST CRIMINAL 6 RECORD. 7 MR. BARENS: THE RELEVANCY --8 MR. WAPNER: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCY OF THIS WITNESS --9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, WELL GO AHEAD, WITH WHATEVER 10 IT IS. 11 MR. BARENS: OKAY, WE WILL SEE IF WE FIND SOMETHING 12 HERE OR NOT. 13 THE WITNESS: COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION AGAIN? 14 MR. BARENS: YES. 15 WHAT IS THE FIRST THING YOU FIND ABOUT PRIOR 16 CRIMINAL RECORD? 17 A FIRST ENTRY ON HIS CRIMINAL RECORD IS 6-8 18 OF 1970, BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT. 19 Q 1970? 20 YES, SIR. 21 Α AND WHAT DID THAT SHOW US? 22 Q THAT SHOWS A WARRANT FOR A GRAND THEFT PROPERTY. 23 Α OKAY. WHAT IS THE NEXT THING YOU FIND? 24 Q THE NEXT THING I FIND ON HIS RECORD IS DATED 25 7-30 OF '70, POLICE DEPARTMENT, LOS ANGELES. 26 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO APPROACH 27

THE BENCH, PLEASE, YOUR HONOR.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --1 MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH? 2 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THIS HAS TO DO WITH A 3 MISSING PERSONS REPORT. 4 MR. BARENS: A LOT, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. WAPNER: IT IS ALSO IRRELEVANT. COUNSEL KNOWS 6 THAT IF THERE ARE ENTRIES THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THINGS 7 THAT ARE NOT CONVICTIONS, THEY ARE INADMISSIBLE AND I 8 WOULD ASK PLEASE TO APPROACH THE BENCH. 9 THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 10 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --11 THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. LET'S 12 GO ON. 13 MR. BARENS: LET ME ASK HIM SPECIFICALLY, OKAY? 14 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO ASK ANYTHING ABOUT 15 ANYTHING ELSE UNLESS THERE IS A CONVICTION. 16 MR. BARENS: I KNOW THERE IS A CONVICTION THAT IS 17 NOT THERE AND I AM GOING TO FIND OUT ABOUT THAT, YOUR 18 HONOR. 19 THE COURT: HOW DO WE KNOW THAT? 20 MR. BARENS: YOU SEE, THE PROBLEM --21 MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH FOR AN OFFER 22 OF PROOF? 23 THE COURT: YES, MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF, WILL YOU? 24 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 25 HELD AT THE BENCH:) 26 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE INTERESTING THING YOU 27

ARE GOING TO SEE HERE IS THAT THEY HAVE NO RECORD THAT

THE COURT: DOES IT SHOW THAT? 1 MR. WAPNER: THIS PARTICULAR RECORD DOESN'T SHOW 2 IT BECAUSE THAT IS BECAUSE THERE WAS A FEDERAL CONVICTION 3 AND THAT IS WHY IT IS NOT ON THIS RECORD. 4 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WHY IT DOESN'T SHOW, I 5 SUBMIT AS AN OFFER OF PROOF, WAS THAT LEVIN WAS SOPHISTICATED 6 ENOUGH TO WIRE THE RECORD. 7 THE COURT: I THINK THIS WHOLE LINE OF INQUIRY IS 8 COMPLETELY WORTHLESS AND USELESS AND I AM GOING TO SUSTAIN 9 THE OBJECTION. 10 MR. BARENS: MAY I MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF? 11 THE COURT: YOUR OFFER OF PROOF IS THAT IT DOESN'T 12 SHOW THAT HE HAD A RECORD IN 1979 IN FEDERAL COURT, IS 13 THAT YOUR OFFER OF PROOF? 14 MR. BARENS: AND THE ONLY PURPOSE --15 THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE TO HAVE YOUR PURPOSE. 16 IF THAT IS YOUR OFFER OF PROOF, I AM GOING 17 TO REJECT IT. 18 LET'S GET ON NOW, WILL YOU? 19 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ALREADY DEMONSTRATED A LOT 21 OF THINGS ABOUT THIS MAN. HE WAS A CON ARTIST. HE WAS 22 A CROOK. HE WAS A THIEF. AND HE SAID SO HIMSELF. YOU 23 DON'T HAVE TO LAY IT ON AND LAY IT ON AND LAY IT ON. IT 24 IS A WASTE OF TIME. 25 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I BEG YOUR PARDON. 26 THE COURT: IT DOESN'T EXCUSE ANY KIND OF CONDUCT 27

OF YOUR CLIENT IF HE COMMITTED ANY ACT --

MR. BARENS: YOU SAY IF HE COMMITTED ANY ACT? THE COURT: I SAID IF HE COMMITTED ANY ACT. MR. BARENS: ANOTHER FACET IS, IF HE IS SOPHISTICATED ENOUGH TO DOCTOR THESE RECORDS --THE COURT: HE DOCTORED THEIR RECORDS? COME ON NOW. THAT IS NONSENSE. LET'S GET ON, WILL YOU? MR. BARENS: WE WILL SHOW IT ON THE DEFENSE CASE. THE COURT: THAT IS NONSENSE, THAT HE DOCTORED THE RECORDS. MR. BAREN: LET'S SEE WHAT IT SHOWS. 26 FO.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 26A-1 1 HELD IN OPEN COURT:) 2 BY MR. BARENS: NOW, IF MR. LEVIN HAD BEEN ARRESTED 3 DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT YOU WERE SEARCHING FOR HIM 4 AND HE WAS CARRYING OTHER IDENTIFICATION. IS IT YOUR 5 UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WOULD HAVE PICKED THAT UP? 6 7 Α YES, SIR. IT WOULD BE PICKED UP THROUGH THE FINGERPRINTS? 8 9 THAT'S RIGHT. YOU TOLD ME EARLIER ON THAT, YOU DIDN'T ENTER 10 HIS FINGERPRINTS INTO THE SYSTEM UNTIL A CERTAIN POINT IN 11 12 TIME? HIS FINGERPRINTS ARE STILL NOT ENTERED INTO THE 13 Α 14 SYSTEM. 15 WELL. IF HIS FINGERPRINTS WEREN'T IN THE SYSTEM --16 INTO THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM. I WANT TO CLARIFY 17 THAT. THAT IS WHY I AM CONFUSED BECAUSE IF THEY ARE 18 19 NOT IN, HOW DO YOU MATCH THEM? 20 THE N.C.I.C. SYSTEM IS A SYSTEM COMPLETELY 21 DIFFERENT FROM OUR SYSTEM WITHIN CALIFORNIA. YOU BASICALLY 22 HAVE TWO SEPARATE FILES THERE. 23 THE RECORD HERE IN CALIFORNIA, AS I RELATED 24 EARLIER, IS A C.I.&I. FOLDER WITH AN ESTABLISHED NUMBER. 25 THAT FOLDER IS ESTABLISHED BY A SET OF 26 FINGERPRINTS, EITHER THROUGH AN APPLICATION THAT HAS BEEN 27 SUBMITTED OR THROUGH AN ARREST PRINT. ANY OTHER ENTRY ON

THAT INDIVIDUAL'S RAP SHEET CAN ONLY BE DONE THROUGH THE

SUBMISSION OF ANOTHER SET OF FINGERPRINTS. OKAY? 1 THE N.C.I.C. ENTRY, DID NOT HAVE FINGERPRINT 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I STATED WE DIDN'T 3 PUT THAT ON, WAS BECAUSE WE FELT IT WAS NOT THAT IMPORTANT, 4 NUMBER ONE. 5 AND NUMBER TWO, WE DIDN'T HAVE THE PERSONNEL. 6 THE REASON WHY IT IS NOT THAT IMPORTANT IS BECAUSE ALL YOU 7 HAVE IS A BUNCH OF NUMBERS. YOU DON'T HAVE THE ACTUAL SET 8 OF FINGERPRINTS IN FRONT OF YOU FOR A VERIFICATION, AS WOULD 9 BE IN OUR SYSTEM, HERE IN CALIFORNIA. 10 SOMEONE COULD HAVE THE SAME TYPES OF 11 FINGERPRINT CLASSIFICATIONS BUT UNLESS YOU HAVE THE PRINTS 12 IN FRONT OF YOU TO MAKE A POSITIVE, THEN IT IS NO GOOD. 13 SO WE HAD CHECKED FOR ARRESTS AND WE HAD CHECKED 14 FOR A CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSE, IS THAT CORRECT? 15 THAT'S CORRECT. 16 Α NOW, DID YOU DO ANY OUT OF STATE CHECKING? 17 Q I DON'T SEE WHERE I DID, NO. 18 Α OKAY. SO ACTUALLY, YOU CAN'T HELP ME AT ALL 19 Q AS TO ANYTHING CONCERNING ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE STATE OF 20 21 CALIFORNIA? 22 THAT'S CORRECT. Α DO FEDERAL CONVICTIONS SHOW ON THE C.I.&I. 23 Q 24 RAP SHEET? 25 I BELIEVE NO. Α 26 THEY DO NOT? Q 27 Α NO.

NOW, DID YOU DO ANY CHECKING ON MR. LEVIN'S

2 E -

28

Q

PASSPORT? A NO, SIR. I DID NOT. AND DID YOU DO ANY CHECKING ON BANK ACCOUNTS? Q NO, SIR. WE DO NOT. Α Q DO YOU DO ANY CHECKING ON APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSES, OTHER THAN DRIVER'S LICENSES? A THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN DONE THROUGH THE CRIMINAL HISTORY CHECK BECAUSE IF HE WAS FINGERPRINTED FOR ANY APPLICATION, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN INSIDE THAT FOLDER, THE C.I.&I. FOLDER. Q YOU ARE ANSWERING ME THAT YOU DID. I GUESS YOUR ANSWER IS YES, TO THAT ONE?

26 - -

```
A ON THOSE PARTICULAR JOBS WHERE ONE HAS TO BE
1
    FINERPRINTED, YES.
2
          O DID YOU DO ANY CHECKING ON GRANTOR GRANTEE INDEXES,
3
    TITLE TRANSFERS?
4
          A NO. THE ONLY CHECK I DID WAS THE ONES I TOLD
5
    MR. WAPNER AND YOU.
6
               JUST THOSE?
          Q
7
          A YES.
8
                SO, YOU DID NO FINANCIAL INQUIRIES OR REAL
9
    PROPERTY INQUIRIES OR FOR INSTANCE, UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE
10
    "INQUIRIES" OF ANY KIND?
11
         A I REPEAT SIR, THE ONLY CHECKS WE DID MAKE WERE
12
    THE ONES THAT I TOLD MR. WAPNER AND YOU ABOUT.
13
               PRESUMABLY THEN, THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION, IS
          Q
14
15
    NO?
         A THAT'S CORRECT.
16
              DO YOU FOLKS HAVE ANY FACILITY CHECK ANY SORT
17
    OF HOSPITAL ENTRIES OR EXITS?
18
              WE HAVE THE CAPABILITY OF CHECKING ON THE MENTAL
19
          Α
    HEALTH WARDS, STATE MENTAL HEALTH.
20
               HOW ABOUT EMERGENCY HOSPITALS?
21
          0
                NO. WE LEAVE THOSE TYPES OF THINGS UP TO THE
22
     INVESTIGATING LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, WHICH WOULD BE THE
23
     BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT.
24
                YOU MAKE NO INQUIRY IN THAT REGARD?
25
          Q
26
                NO.
           Α
                DID YOU MAKE ANY INQUIRY INTO MEXICO?
27
           Q
28
           Α
                NO.
```

2 €	1	Q	CANADA?
	2	. А	N.C.I.C., THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION COVERS
	3	CANADA.	
	4	Q	DID YOU MAKE A SPECIFIC INQUIRY IN THAT REGARD,
	5	SIR?	
	6	А	NO, I DID NOT.
	7	Q	DID YOU COME INTO POSSESSION OF A PHOTOGRAPH OF
	8	MR. LEVIN?	
	9	А	I BELIEVE I DID.
	10	Q	AND WHAT CIRCULATION DID YOU GIVE THAT PHOTOGRAPH?
	11	Α	IT STAYS WITHIN OUR DEPARTMENT, WITHIN OUR
	12	RECORD.	
	13	Q	YOU DIDN'T CIRCULATE THE PHOTOGRAPH AT ALL, SIR?
	14	А	NO.
	15	MR.	BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?
	16		(PAUSE.)
26B FO.	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

_,

Q BY MR. BARENS: SIR, THE SENSE I GET FROM THE
TYPE OF THINGS YOU FOLKS DO, APPEARS TO ME TO BE PASSIVE
IN NATURE. IN OTHER WORDS, UNLESS SOMETHING FALLS IN AND
CREATES A HIT ON YOU, YOUR AGENCY DOESN'T SEEM TO GO OUT AND
DO SOMETHING ACTIVE IN THE FIELD TO FIND PEOPLE.

A WE NORMALLY CONDUCT OUR WORK FROM WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, RIGHT.

Q SO IN OTHER WORDS, IF SOMETHING DOESN'T RUN

INTO YOUR SYSTEM, YOU DON'T SEEM TO PICK UP ON IT? DO YOU

SEE WHAT I MEAN BY A DESCRIPTIVE WORD OF BEING PASSIVE

RATHER THAN ACTIVE, OUT THERE LOOKING FOR PEOPLE?

A IN THAT SENSE, YES.

Q AND SO MY STATEMENT WOULD BE, AT LEAST FROM

A GENERALIZED SENSE, IT IS ACCURATELY DESCRIPTIVE OF WHAT

THIS SYSTEM'S APPROACH IS, THAT YOU FOLKS HAVE?

A YES.

AND IS THERE AN AGING PROCESS THAT YOU DO ON MISSING PERSONS? IN OTHER WORDS, THAT A FILE STAYS ACTIVE OR STAYS UP ON YOUR LIST FOR A PERIOD OF TIME AND IS THEN RETIRED OR WHATEVER?

A THE CASE STAYS ACTIVE IN OUR FILES UNTIL THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY WISHES IT TO BE CANCELLED.

Q SO, YOU DON'T HAVE SOME SORT OF A THING THAT SAYS THAT AFTER A CERTAIN PERIOD OF YEARS OR WHATEVER, WE RETIRE THAT FILE?

A NO, WE DON'T.

27 - 1

```
HOW MANY MISSING PERSONS FILES DO YOU BELIEVE
1
     THAT YOUR DEPARTMENT HAS AT THIS POINT IN TIME?
2
                 TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, WE HAVE APPROXIMATELY
3
      3,500 MISSING JUVENILES AND ADULTS ON FILE.
4
            Q YOUR STATEMENT WAS JUVENILES AND ADULTS?
5
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
6
                 YOU INTERFACE, DO YOU NOT, WITH THE F.B.I.
7
      IN YOUR ACTIVITIES?
8
                 THROUGH THAT N.C.I.C., CORRECT.
9
                AND IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE F.B.I.
10
      HAS A SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT FOR MISSING PERSONS THAT
11
      IS ANALOGOUS TO YOUR DEPARTMENT?
12
                NOT THAT I AM AWARE OF.
13
                 YOU ARE NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE F.B.I. HAVING
14
      ANY SPECIALIZED AGENTS THAT ARE INVOLVED WITH MISSING
15
16
      PERSONS?
                 NOT SPECIALIZED IN MISSING PERSONS, NO.
            Α
17
                  THEY ARE MANDATED BY FEDERAL LAW TO DO CERTAIN
18
      THINGS.
19
                 AND YOU HAVE SOME INTERFACING WITH THEM?
20
            Q
                 WE HAVE CONTACT WITH THEM OCCASIONALLY, YES.
21
                 DID YOU IN THIS INSTANCE?
22
            A NO, WE DID NOT.
23
            MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
24
            THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?
25
          MR. WAPNER: JUST BRIEFLY.
26
                  COUNSEL, DO YOU HAVE THE DOCUMENTS YOU MARKED
27
28
      AS EXHIBITS, PLEASE?
```

MR. BARENS: YES. WE HAVEN'T MARKED THEM YET. 1 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.) 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. WAPNER: 5 SHOWING YOU THE DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN MARKED Q 6 AS DEFENDANT'S D FOR IDENTIFICATION, WHAT DOCUMENT IS 7 THAT? 8 A THAT IS A COPY OF THE N.C.I.C. ENTRY. 9 AND WHERE DOES THE INFORMATION THAT IS ON 10 THAT DOCUMENT -- WILL YOU HOLD IT UP JUST GENERALLY SO 11 THE JURY CAN SEE IT? 12 WHERE DOES THE INFORMATION ON THAT DOCUMENT 13 COME FROM? 14 A THE INFORMATION HERE COMES FROM THE BEVERLY --15 IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION, THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE 16 DEPARTMENT. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR 17 ENTERING THIS INFORMATION INTO N.C.I.C. 18 AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY GOT THAT INFORMATION 19 Q FROM? 20 Α NO, I SURE DON'T. 21 THE DENTAL RECORDS THAT YOU GOT THAT WERE 22 PURPORTEDLY FROM MR. LEVIN, DID YOU DO ANYTHING TO TRY 23 TO VERIFY THAT THOSE WERE HIS RECORDS? 24 WHAT I DO IS I CALL THE DENTIST AND I VERIFY 25 Α ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT I HAVE ON EACH MISSING PERSON'S 26 DENTAL RECORDS THAT I RECIEVE. AND AT THAT POINT IN TIME, 27

IF THERE WAS ANY DISCREPANCY AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY

```
WERE MR. LEVIN'S DENTAL RECORDS, I WOULD HAVE FOUND OUT
1
     THEN. SO I ASSUME THAT NO, THESE ARE THE CORRECT RECORDS
2
     ON MR. LEVIN.
3
           Q DO YOU GET A COPY OF THE DENTAL RECORDS AND
4
     A COPY OF THE X-RAYS?
5
          A I GET A COPY OF THE DENTAL CHART AND A COPY
6
     OF THE DENTAL X-RAYS.
7
              WHEN YOU TALKED TO THE DENTIST, WERE YOU LOOKING
8
     AT THE X-RAYS AND TALKING TO HIM ABOUT THEM?
9
           A I CAN ONLY ASSUME, YES, IN THIS PARTICULAR
10
      SITUATION.
11
           Q WHY IS THAT?
12
                BECAUSE I HAVE THE DENTAL X-RAYS HERE.
           Α
13
                 AND DO YOU HAVE SOME NOTATION THAT YOU IN
            Q
14
      FACT DID TALK TO THE DENTIST?
15
            A YES, SIR.
16
                AND WHAT IS THAT?
            Q
17
              IT IS THE DATE WHICH I HAVE ON THE BACK OF
18
      THE CHART. I HAVE A SPECIFIC DATE, WHICH IS DATED 1-20 OF
19
      '84, AND THAT INDICATES TO ME THAT --
20
            Q 1-20 OF '84?
21
22
            THE COURT: '84?
            THE WITNESS: YES. THAT DATE INDICATES TO ME THAT,
23
      NUMBER ONE, I DID IN FACT TALK TO THE DENTIST AND DISCUSS
24
25
      THE DENTAL RECORDS.
                  AND NUMBER TWO, THAT ALSO STATES THE LAST
26
      TIME THAT MR. LEVIN HAD SEEN THAT PARTICULAR DENTIST.
27
```

BY MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. BUT YOU DIDN'T

28

Q

커 H E	
MISSING	
PERSON'S	
REPORT	
UNTIL	
JULY	
0F	
184,	
RIGHT?	

GET

THAT'S CORRECT.

0 WELL, IF YOU DIDN'T GET THE REPORT UNTIL JULY,

ω

HOW COME YOU WOULD HAVE TALKED TO HIM IN JANUARY?

THIS DAY --

THE COURT: DID YOU MAKE A MISTAKE IN THE DATE? 1 YOU SAID, JANUARY OF 1984. 2 THE WITNESS: RIGHT. WHENEVER I WRITE THAT DATE 3 DOWN OR WHATEVER DATE IT WAS, THAT INDICATES TO ME THAT 4 IS NOT THE DATE I TALKED TO THE DENTIST BUT THAT INFERS 5 TO ME THAT, NUMBER ONE, I DID TALK TO A DENTIST. 6 BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, IT INDICATES TO ME THAT 7 THAT IS THE DATE THE MISSING PERSON WAS LAST SEEN BY THE 8 DENTIST. 9 THE COURT: OH, ALL RIGHT. 10 THE WITNESS: OKAY? I DON'T PUT A DATE ON THAT 11 THAT I DID THE X-RAY CHARTING. 12 BY MR. WAPNER: BUT THE CONVERSATION YOU HAD 0 13 WITH THE DENTIST IS THAT YOU WERE LOOKING AT THE X-RAYS 14 AND APPARENTLY THE DENTIST WAS LOOKING AT THE X-RAYS? 15 I CAN ASSUME SO, YES. 16 DO YOU REMEMBER DISCUSSING THEM WITH HIM? 17 NO, I CAN'T. WHY DO YOU SAY YOU ASSUME THAT YOU DISCUSSED 18 THEM WITH HIM? 19 FROM PAST EXPERIENCE, THE ONLY WAY THAT YOU 20 CAN CALL UP A DENTIST AND TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE RECORDS 21 AND THAT WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE RECORDS SO 22 THAT HE CAN QUALIFY OR CLARIFY ANY QUESTIONS I HAVE, HE 23 MUST HAVE THE RECORDS IN FRONT OF HIM ALSO. 24 Q IF MR. LEVIN HAD GOTTEN STOPPED FOR A TRAFFIC 25 TICKET AND GIVEN THE NAME OF GLICK INSTEAD OF LEVIN AND 26 THAT HAD -- WOULD THAT SHOW UP AS A HIT ON YOUR SYSTEM 27 28 WHEN YOU WERE CHECKING FOR HIM?

THROUGHTTHE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OUR DEPARTMENT 1 YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 2 YES. Q 3 A ANY INQUIRY UNDER THE NAME OF RONALD GEORGE 4 GLICK IT SHOULD HAVE GOT A HIT, YES. 5 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 6 7 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BARENS: 9 Q LET ME UNDERSTAND SOMETHING AKIN TO WHAT YOU 10 LAST WERE ASKED. 11 ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOUR DEPARTMENT DOESN'T 12 GET INVOLVED ON A TICKET UNLESS THERE IS AN INQUIRY AS 13 TO WHETHER OR NOT THAT PERSON IS A MISSING PERSON THAT 14 WAS STOPPED FOR THE TICKET? 15 A THE ONLY WAY WE WOULD GET INVOLVED, YES, IF 16 THAT PARTICULAR DRIVER'S LICENSE RECORD HAD BEEN FLAGGED 17 BY US, YES. 18 19 Q RIGHT. AND EVERY TIME A POLICE OFFICER STOPS SOMEONE 20 TO GIVE THEM A TICKET, THEY DON'T CALL YOU GUYS UP, DO 21 22 THEY? 23 NO. Α THEY WILL, AS A MATTER OF RULE, RUN NC.I.C. 24 AND THAT IS AS FAR AS I KNOW. BUT WE GET NOTIFIED AFTER 25 THE ENTRY HAS BEEN MADE ON TO THE D.M.V. RECORD. 26 Q LET ME ASK YOU SOMETHING: YOU ARE NOT MEANING 27 28 TO SUGGEST TO THIS COURT, ARE YOU, THAT EVERY PERSON WHO

IS GIVEN A TICKET IN THIS STATE, THAT THE POLICE OFFICER OR SOMEBODY THAT HE TURNS HIS TICKET INTO, CALLS YOU GUYS UP AND ASKS YOU IF IT IS A MISSING PERSON? A I HOPE I DID NOT INFER THAT. NO.

28 FO.

25

26

28

Q YOU ARE NOT MEANING TO INFER THAT, ARE YOU, SIR? THE COURT: HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION BY SAYING IT GOES IN THAT N.C.I.C., IS THAT RIGHT? THE WITNESS: IF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER INQUIRES, HE CAN INQUIRE INTO THE N.C.I.C. Q BY MR. BARENS: IF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION WILL YOU EXPLAIN TO ME THE PROCEDURES THAT YOU FOLLOW WHEN A PERSON GETS A TRAFFIC TICKET AND DOES THE INFORMATION GET INTO THE D.M.V. COMPUTER? A IT IS UP TO THE -- IF IT IS ISSUED AND THE TICKET GOES THROUGH THE NORMAL COURT PROCESS, THEN THE TICKET IS FORWARDED OR THE INFORMATION IS PASSED ONTO THE D.M.V. THEN THEY ENTER THAT INFORMATION ON THAT PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL'S DRIVER'S LICENSE RECORD. IT WOULD COME UP AGAINST A HIT ON OUR FLAG. AND AT THAT POINT IN TIME, WE WOULD BE NOTIFIED. Q OKAY. LET'S GO THROUGH THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE SLOWLY. WHEN A PERSON GETS A TRAFFIC TICKET --A YES.

THE POLICE AGENCY WHO GIVES THE TICKET HAS TO --27 0

ARE THEY REQUIRED TO NOTIFY THE D.M.V. THAT HE HAS GOTTEN

```
A TICKET?
     - A I THINK THROUGH THE COURT PROCESS. I AM NOT
2
3
    SURE.
                BUT I THINK THROUGH THE COURT PROCESS, ONCE
4
    A TICKET IS PROCESSED, THEN THAT INFORMATION ON THAT VIOLATION
5
    IS PUT ON THEIR D.M.V. RECORD.
              IT IS PUT ON YOUR D.M.V. RECORD AND MY D.M.V.
7
8
    RECORD?
                EVERYONE.
9
         Α
              SO THEY CAN KEEP TRACK OF HOW MANY TICKETS YOU
10
         Q
    GET?
11
               THAT'S RIGHT.
         А
12
                IF YOU GET TOO MANY, THEN THEY SUSPEND YOUR
13
          Q
14
    LICENSE?
                THAT'S CORRECT.
15
          А
               NOW, ONCE THAT INFORMATION GETS INTO THE D.M.V.
16
          Q
    COMPUTER, DO YOU HAVE SOME FLAG THAT COMES UP?
17
18
                IF THEY FLAG IT, YES.
          Α
                 DID YOU FLAG IT IN THIS CASE?
19
           0
                YES.
20
          Α
                SO IT WAS DONE ON THIS MAN?
21
           0
                THAT MEANS THAT IF THAT PROCESS OCCURS, IF HE
22
     IS STOPPED FOR A VEHICLE VIOLATION AND ISSUED A TICKET AND
23
     IF THAT TICKET IS THEN PLACED ON HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE RECORD,
24
     WE WOULD THEN BE NOTIFIED OF THAT VIOLATION.
25
                AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE A FLAG IN THE
26
           Q
     D.M.V. RECORDS, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
27
                 THAT MEANS THAT THERE IS -- THAT THAT PARTICULAR
28
```

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER IS MARKED SOMEHOW BY THE D.M.V.

COMPUTER SYSTEM SO THAT WHENEVER THERE IS A HIT AGAINST

THAT DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER, IT AUTOMATICALLY IS ROUTED TO

US.

Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN "HIT" AGAINST THE DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER?

```
ANY ACTIVITY ON THAT PARTICULAR DRIVER'S LICENSE
          Α
1
    NUMBER.
2
                SUCH AS A TICKET?
          Q
3
          A THAT'S RIGHT.
4
                SO IT IS A COMPUTER GENERATED PROCESS?
          Q
5
               FOR THE MOST PART, YES.
          А
6
                AND WHAT HAPPENS IN YOUR OFFICE IF A GUY GOT
          0
    A TICKET AND THERE WAS A FLAG ON HIS D.M.V. RECORD? WHAT
    WOULD HAPPEN IN YOUR OFFICE?
               AND WE WERE NOTIFIED BY THE D.M.V.?
          А
10
              RIGHT.
          Q
11
          A THEN WE WOULD PULL THAT MISSING PERSON'S RECORD
12
    AND NOTIFY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT THERE WAS AN
13
    ACTIVITY ON THAT INDIVIDUAL'S DRIVER'S LICENSE AFTER THE
14
    DATE OF THE MISSING AND FORWARD THE INFORMATION THAT WE
15
    RECEIVED FROM THE D.M.V. TO THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.
16
               AND CAN YOU THINK OF ANY REASON THAT THE D.M.V.
17
          Q
    DOES NOT GET NOTIFIED WHEN A PERSON GETS A TICKET?
18
          A IF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IS DOING THEIR
19
     DUTY, THEN THEY WOULD BE NOTIFIED. BUT OTHERWISE, NO.
20
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
21
          MR. BARENS: I DO HAVE ANOTHER INQUIRY. I AM TRYING
22
     TO UNDERSTAND --
23
          THE COURT: ASK THE QUESTION, WILL YOU PLEASE?
24
          MR. BARENS: YES. I WANTED TO PREFACE IT --
25
           THE COURT: DON'T GIVE US A LONG INTRODUCTION. JUST
26
27
     ASK THE QUESTION.
```

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.

28

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

DI PIN DANCING	ΒY	MR.	BARENS	
----------------	----	-----	--------	--

Q IF I WERE IN ARIZONA AND I GOT A TICKET, DOES

IT LOOP THROUGH? I MEAN, AN ARIZONA POLICEMAN IN A CITY IN

ARIZONA GIVES ME A TICKET. DOES IT LOOP THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA

SYSTEM ON SOME AUTOMATIC BASIS?

A CAN I ASK YOU A QUESTION TO CLARIFY THIS?

Q YES, SIR.

A DO YOU HAVE A CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSE IN THIS SITUATION?

Q I WILL DO BOTH. LET'S SAY IN THE FIRST INSTANCE,

I HAVE A CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSE. I GET A LOCAL

JURISDICTION TICKET IN, I DON'T KNOW --

THE COURT: PHOENIX, ARIZONA.

MR. BARENS: PHOENIX, ARIZONA?

THE COURT: NOT TUCSON.

MR. BARENS: DON'T KNOW, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE NOT INQUIRED. WE'LL SEE.

Q BUT, LET'S SAY IT IS PHOENIX, FOR TODAY. WHAT HAPPENS?

A THAT IS A PRETTY OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. WHAT HAPPENS?

Q WELL, SPECIFICALLY, DOES MY TICKET IN ARIZONA
WHICH I PRESUME IS TURNED INTO SOME LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY,
DOES IT GET ENTERED INTO YOUR SYSTEM AUTOMATICALLY?

A IT MAY OR MAY NOT. AT TIMES, AN OUT OF STATE AGENCY WILL SUBMIT THAT TICKET TO THE D.M.V. AT TIMES.

Q WHAT SORTS OF TIMES?

A I HAVE COME ACROSS RECORDS WHERE NEVADA OR 2 { OREGON OR WASHINGTON HAVE SUBMITTED TICKETS TO D.M.V. CALIFORNIA, ON ACCIDENTS AND ON RECKLESS DRIVING TYPES OF SITUATIONS. 28B FO.

28

OKAY. I AM TALKING ABOUT JUST YOUR REGULAR 1 TICKET, YOUR LEFT TURN, RIGHT TURN, FAILURE TO YIELD AT 2 A STOP SIGN, TICKET. 3 A MOVING VIOLATION? Α 4 Q YES. 5 THEY MAY. I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE. 6 WHEN YOU SAY THAT THEY MAY, ARE YOU NOT ALSO 7 SAYING TO ME THAT THEY MAY NOT? 8 THAT'S CORRECT. I AM. 9 OKAY. THEREFORE, IF I AM OUT OF STATE AND I GET 10 A TICKET WITH MY CALIFORNIA LICENSE, THERE IS NO NECESSARY 11 CONCLUSION THAT IT IS EVER GOING TO COME THROUGH YOUR 12 SYSTEM. IS THAT CORRECT? 13 A THAT'S CORRECT. 14 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 15 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER, MR. WAPNER? 16 MR. WAPNER: NO. 17 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE WITNESS MAY BE 18 EXCUSED. ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE A 15-MINUTE RECESS AT THIS 19 TIME, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I 20 GAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY APPLIES. 21 (THE JURY EXITS THE COURTROOM.) 22 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 23 HELD AT THE BENCH:) 24 MR. WAPNER: I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT NOW THAT WE ARE 25 GOING TO BRING IN SOME WITNESSES FROM NEW YORK. 26

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THE DEFENDANT BROUGHT IN HERE

NOW BEFORE THE JURY, NOT THE DEFENDANT, BUT PITTMAN?

MR. WAPNER: I THINK IT IS PROBABLY APPROPRIATE. . THE COURT: WOULD YOU NEED HIM NOW, THIS AFTERNOON? MR. WAPNER: WELL, I THINK THAT THIS ONE WITNESS, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG IT IS GOING TO TAKE FOR THIS WITNESS. BUT --THE COURT: THIS IS ONE OF THE WITNESSES THAT SAW PITTMAN IN NEW YORK AND YOU WANT HIM OUT HERE? MR. WAPNER: YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL HAVE HIM OUT HERE.

28~ ^

29 - 1

28

MR. WAPNER: THE ONLY QUESTION IS WHETHER THE BAILIFF 1 WANTS HIM TO SIT THERE THE ENTIRE TIME. 2 THE COURT: WELL, YOU WON'T BE VERY LONG. IT WILL BE 3 A QUARTER AFTER BEFORE WE GET STARTED. HE WILL BE SITTING 4 THERE UNTIL 4:30. 5 MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT? 7 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS FINE. 8 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --9 THE BAILIFF: JUDGE, I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS FOR 10 YOU. 11 THE COURT: YES? 12 THE BAILIFF: IF MR. PITTMAN IS SEATED OVER HERE --13 THE COURT: PARDON ME? 14 THE BAILIFF: IF MR. PITTMAN IS SEATED OVER HERE, 15 WE ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT LOOK TO THE JURY LIKE HE IS NOT 16 IN CUSTODY, CORRECT? 17 THE COURT: YES. 18 THE BAILIFF: WHEN HE IS DONE BEING IDENTIFIED, IS 19 HE TO WALK OUT THIS DOOR WITH ME WHILE THE JURY IS STILL 20 21 THERE? MR. BARENS: NO. 22 THE COURT: NO. WE ARE GOING TO WAIT UNTIL 4:30. 23 THE BAILIFF: I JUST LET HIM STAY THERE FOR AN HOUR? 24 THE COURT: YES, LET HIM SIT THERE FOR AN HOUR, IS 25 THAT ALL RIGHT? 26 THE BAILIFF: WELL, I WAS GOING TO HAVE MY BACK UP 27 DEPUTY WAIT OUT IN THE HALLWAY. I DIDN'T WANT IT TO LOOK

```
ANYTHING DIFFERENT THAN IT HAS SO FAR, WHICH IS JUST ME
1
    IN HERE. I WOULDN'T WANT ME AND MY BACK-UP DEPUTY LIKE
2
    SITTING ON THE ONE SIDE OF HIM.
3
          MR. BARENS: COULDN'T YOU PUT YOUR DEPUTY OUT IN THE
4
    HALL NEXT TO THE DOOR THERE?
5
          THE COURT: YES.
6
          THE BAILIFF: YOU WANT HIM TO STAND OUT THERE FOR A
7
    WHOLE HOUR? THAT IS WHAT I AM ASKING, UNLESS IT IS NECESSARY --
8
          MR. BARENS: I DON'T MEAN TO INCONVENIENCE THE PEOPLE
9
    BUT I FEEL IT IS NECESSARY, PAT, JUST TO -- I DON'T WANT
10
    ANY MORE WORSE OF AN APPEARANCE THAN I HAVE GOT ALREADY.
11
          THE BAILIFF: OKAY.
12
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, OKAY.
13
          MR. BARENS: I AM SORRY.
14
          THE BAILIFF: I WILL BRING HIM UP NOW.
15
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
16
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW ONE OTHER THING, SO THE
17
     JURY WILL KNOW WHAT THIS TESTIMONY IS ALL ABOUT AS A
18
     REFERENCE THAT IT INVOLVES PITTMAN, ARE YOU GOING TO REPEAT,
19
     OR SHOULD I INDICATE THAT IN YOUR OPENING STATEMENT, THIS
20
     IS WHAT YOU INDICATED THIS IS THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU ARE GOING
21
22
     TO ADDUCE?
           MR. WAPNER: NO, I DON'T THINK THAT SHOULD BE REPEATED.
23
           THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED THAT?
24
           MR. WAPNER: NO. I WILL JUST SAVE THAT FOR ARGUMENT.
25
           THE COURT: YOU MEAN IT WILL DEVELOP; THE JURY WILL
26
     BE AT A LOSS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE TESTIMONY IS ALL ABOUT,
27
```

WON'T THEY?

MR. WAPNER: IF THEY DIDN'T GET IT FROM MY OPENING STATEMENT, THEN THEY WILL HAVE TO GET IT FROM ARGUMENT, JUDGE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BARENS --MR. BARENS: SIR? THE COURT: WHAT IS THIS CRAP, ARE WE PAYING FOR THIS? THIS IS OFF THE RECORD. MR. BARENS: I HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE PREPARATION OF THAT. (RECESS.)

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE

HELD AT THE BENCH:)

THE COURT: YES?

MR. WAPNER: LET ME BE HEARD JUST VERY BRIEFLY.

MR. PITTMAN IS HERE MAKING CERTAIN STATEMENTS. HE HAS SAID

ALLEGEDLY THAT HE IS GOING TO MAKE SOME KIND OF OUTBURST. HE

WANTS TO HAVE HIS LAWYER CONTACTED.

I ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT HIS LAWYER BEFORE ALL OF THIS. BUT TO SHORTCUT ALL OF THIS FOR TODAY, WE CAN FINISH WITH -- WELL, WE WILL NOT FINISH WITH ALL OF THE WITNESSES FROM NEW YORK, IN ANY EVENT. SO --

THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE THIS WITNESS WHO IS GOING TO TESTIFY NOW, IDENTIFY HIM?

MR. WAPNER: WELL --

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW ABOUT HIS OUTBURST. WE'LL TAKE CARE OF HIM IN A PROPER WAY, IF HE DOES.

MR. WAPNER: THIS IS WHAT I THINK WE SHOULD DO.

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TWO WITNESSES FROM THE HOTEL AND ANOTHER

NEW YORK POLICE OFFICER. THE ONLY THING THAT IS IMPORTANT

FOR MY PURPOSES IS THAT THE JURY BE ABLE TO SEE MR. PITTMAN.

THEY DON'T HAVE TO --

THE COURT: WELL, THEY ARE GOING TO SEE HIM.

MR. WAPNER: THEY DON'T HAVE TO SEE HIM WITH EACH WITNESS, NECESSARILY. SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY OUTBURSTS THAT WE CAN'T CONTROL, I DON'T CARE IF HE IS TAKEN BACK TODAY. WE'LL ORDER HIM OUT FOR TUESDAY. WE'LL HAVE HIS LAWYER HERE AND WE'LL HAVE IT UNDER CONTROL.

BECAUSE WE STILL HAVE THE WITNESS FROM NEW YORK

ON THE STAND AND --

THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED ANY LAWYER. YOU DON'T NEED ANY LAWYER FOR AN IDENTIFICATION OF SOMEBODY. HE DOESN'T NEED HIS LAWYER PRESENT.

MR. WAPNER: I AGREE WITH YOU. I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT
ANY LEGAL THINGS. I AM TALKING ABOUT CONTROLLING THIS PERSON
SO THAT HE DOESN'T GET UP AND START SCREAMING, "I AM IN
JAIL. I AM IN JAIL," BECAUSE IT IS NOT GOING TO DO ANY
GOOD FOR US TO GO THROUGH THIS WHOLE CHARADE AT GREAT EFFORT
TO EVERYBODY TO PUT HIM IN CIVILIAN CLOTHES AND --

THE COURT: HAS THERE BEEN ANY COMMUNICATION BETWEEN
THE DEFENDANT AND HIM THAT YOU KNOW OF?

MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THERE HAS OR HAS NOT BEEN.

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO TAKE HIM BACK?

MR. WAPNER: TAKE HIM BACK. WE'LL ONLY HAVE AN HOUR LEFT TODAY. THERE IS NO WAY WE'LL FINISH WITH ALL OF THE NEW YORK WITNESSES.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, I HOPE YOUR HONOR DOES NOT PRESUME THAT THE DEFENDANT --

THE COURT: THERE HAS BEEN COMMUNICATION BETWEEN
YOUR CLIENT AND THIS DEFENDANT, BETWEEN PITTMAN AND YOUR
CLIENT DURING THIS TRIAL AND --

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --

THE COURT: BETWEEN THE TIME THAT HE WAS INCARCERATED AND THE TIME OF THIS TRIAL --

MR. BARENS: YES. THERE HAS BEEN. BUT YOUR HONOR'S
INQUIRY --

THE COURT: AND THERE IS SOME INDICATION THAT THEY 30 -HAVE BEEN --MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR'S INQUIRY IS GOING TO SHOW STATE OF MIND. AND IT MIGHT INDICATE THAT MY CLIENT HAS DONE SOMETHING WRONG. THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW THAT. 31 FO.

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. 1 MR. BARENS: BUT YOUR HONOR DOES NOT FEEL THAT WAY, 2 DOES HE, NECESSARILY? 3 THE COURT: NO, NO. 4 BUT THERE MIGHT BE A SUSPICION IN MY MIND 5 THAT THERE MIGHT BE SOME COMMUNICATIONS, I DON'T KNOW 6 WHAT THEY HAVE COOKED UP. 7 MR. BARENS: I ONLY ASKED YOUR HONOR TO TRY TO REMAIN 8 AS OPEN-MINDED. 9 THE COURT: I HAVE BEEN OPEN-MINDED. THE JURY NEVER 10 HAS GOTTEN AN INKLING OF ANYTHING THAT WE ARE TALKING 11 ABOUT AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME. 12 MR. BARENS: I WANT YOUR HONOR TO BE IN FACT OPEN-MINDED 13 TOWARD THE DEFENDANT IN THESE PROCEEDINGS, YOUR HONOR, I 14 THINK IT IS OBLIGATORY. 15 THE COURT: I THINK THAT IS AN INSULT. I WILL DISREGARD 16 IT. GET BACK TO YOUR SEAT, WILL YOU? 17 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 19 HELD IN OPEN COURT:) 20 THE COURT: MR. WAPNER, ARE WE READY? 21 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 22 THE COURT: LET'S GET THE JURORS IN. 23 (FURTHER PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 24 25 (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD WITHIN THE PRESENCE AND 26 HEARING OF THE JURY:) 27 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, WHERE IS THE CLERK? 28

WILL YOU SWEAR THE WITNESS, PLEASE? 1 MR. WAPNER: YOU WILL HAVE TO STAND AND BE SWORN. 2 THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO 3 BE SWORN, PLEASE. 4 5 RICHARD LEBOWITZ, 6 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 7 AS FOLLOWS: THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY 9 YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT 10 SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE 11 TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 12 THE WITNESS: I DO. 13 THE CLERK: WOULD YOU BE SEATED NOW AND STATE AND 14 SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 15 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS RICHARD LEBOWITZ, 16 L-E-B-O-W-I-T-Z. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. WAPNER: 20 MR. LEBOWITZ, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? 21 Q I AM EMPLOYED BY WESTIN HOTELS AND RESORTS. 22 Α AND YOU WORK AT ONE OF THEIR HOTELS IN PARTICULAR? 23 Q 24 YES, I DO. Α WHICH HOTEL? 25 Q IT IS THE PLAZA HOTEL IN NEW YORK CITY. 26 Α 27 WHAT IS YOUR JOB WITH THEM NOW? Q CURRENTLY, I AM THE SALES MANAGER FOR THEM. 28

WERE YOU WORKING THERE IN JUNE OF 1984? Q 1 YES, I WAS. Α 2 AND AT THAT TIME, WHAT WAS YOUR JOB? 3 MY JOB AT THE TIME WAS AS ASSISTANT MANAGER. Α 4 AND AS ASSISTANT MANAGER, WHAT WERE YOUR DUTIES? 5 MY DUTIES INCLUDED RUNNING THE FRONT OFFICE 6 OPERATIONS AND ON PARTICULAR SHIFTS, SUCH AS THE 3:30 7 SHIFT, I WAS THE SENIOR MANAGER ON DUTY FOR THE HOTEL. 8 ON JUNE THE 10TH OF 1984, WERE YOU WORKING 9 AT THE PLAZA HOTEL? 10 YES, I WAS. Α 11 WHAT TIME DID YOU COME TO WORK AT THAT TIME? Q 12 AT 3:30 P.M. Α 13 AND DID YOU HAVE A NORMAL PROCEDURE THAT YOU 14 FOLLOWED WHEN YOU GOT TO WORK? 15 YES, WE DID. 16 THE PROCEDURE WAS TO ACQUAINT YOURSELF WITH 17 WHAT WAS HAPPENING IN THE DAY. 18 AND HOW DID YOU DO THAT? 19 Q WELL, WE READ A LOG THAT WAS KEPT AT OUR DESK. 20 AND WHO KEEPS THAT LOG? 21 Q IT WAS KEPT BY OTHER ASSISTANT MANAGERS OR 22 BY DEPARTMENT HEADS THAT WERE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE LOG. 23 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH HOW ALL OF THE RECORDS 24 OF THE HOTEL ARE KEPT? 25 WITH MOST. 26 Α Q WHEN A GUEST CHECKS INTO THE HOTEL, WHAT HAPPENS? 27 THE GUEST PROCEEDS TO THE REGISTRATION AREA 28

32 FO.

28

IN THE LOBBY AND IS ASKED TO FILL OUT A REGISTRATION CARD. 1 Q IS THAT DONE IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS? 2 A YES, IT IS. 3 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A GROUP OF DOCUMENTS 4 THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PEOPLE'S 5 68 FOR IDENTIFICATION, AND SPECIFICALLY THERE IS A DOCUMENT 6 ON THE TOP OF THE STACK THAT APPEARS TO BE A COPY OF A 7 REGISTRATION CARD; MAY THIS BE 68-A? 8 THE COURT: IT WILL BE SO MARKED. 9 MR. WAPNER: AND AS 68-B, WHAT APPEARS TO BE A COPY 10 OF THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION CARD. 11 AND AS 68-C, WHAT APPEARS TO BE A CREDIT CARD 12 INVOICE. 13 AND AS 68-D, WHAT APPEARS TO BE A BILL FROM 14 THE PLAZA HOTEL. 15 AND AS 68-E, A BILL FROM A PALM COURT RESTAURANT. 16 AND AS 68-F, WHAT APPEARS TO BE A BILL FROM 17 A LIMOUSINE SERVICE. 18 AND AS 68-G A BILL ALSO FROM THE LIMOUSINE 19 SERVICE. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

32°

28

WORKING AT THE HOTEL?

```
HE WAS ONLY TEMPORARY -- TEMPORARILY EMPLOYED.
1
    HE WAS SUMMER HELP.
2
          Q AND IS THERE SOME INDICATION AS TO THAT RECEIPT,
3
    THAT DOCUMENT NO. 68, AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE PERSON ON
4
    THAT HAD A RESERVATION?
5
          A JUDGING FROM THIS REGISTRATION CARD, IT WOULD APPEAR
6
    THAT HE MIGHT HAVE HAD A RESERVATION BECAUSE THERE IS A "V"
7
    AND A "Y" THAT IS CHECKED.
8
               ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THE PERSON
          Q
9
    WHO REGISTERED UNDER THAT REGISTRATION CARD?
10
          A IT IS REGISTERED TO RONALD LEVIN.
11
               AND WHAT IS THE DATE THAT THAT PERSON REGISTERED
12
    AT THE HOTEL?
13
               JUNE 7.
          А
14
          Q AND IS THERE A TIME WHEN HE ARRIVED?
15
               THERE IS A TIME STAMP OF 11:17 P.M.
16
              IS THAT ON THE COPY OF THE BACK OF THE
17
    REGISTRATION CARD?
18
          A YES, IT IS.
19
               WHEN IS THAT NORMALLY PUT ON THERE?
20
               AT THE TIME THE CARD IS PRESENTED, WE FLIP IT
21
     OVER AND WE TIME STAMP IT.
22
                 IS THAT DONE IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS?
23
           Q
                YES, IT IS.
24
           Α
                IT IS DONE AT THE TIME THE PERSON COMES INTO THE
25
26
     HOTEL?
                 THAT'S RIGHT. THAT IS THE CORRECT PROCEDURE
27
     THAT SHOULD BE DONE.
28
```

WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE "V" MARKED ON THE FRONT OF THE CARD? A TO MY KNOWLEDGE, IT WAS ALWAYS SOMEWHAT AMBIGUOUS. THE "V" COULD MEAN THAT THE REGISTRATION WAS VERIFIED. AND TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, I DON'T KNOW WHY WE HAD THE "Y." Q WAS THAT EVER USED, THAT "Y" BOX CHECKED? 32B FO.

32B-1

IF IT WAS USED, IT WAS USED INCONSISTENTLY 1 BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE IN THE FRONT OFFICE. 2 DID THIS PERSON HAVE A RESERVATION, IN FACT? Q 3 LOOKING AT THIS, NO. HE DID NOT. Α 4 HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? Q 5 FROM LOOKING AT THE WAY THE ROOM CLERK ENTERED Α 6 THE GUEST TYPE. EACH GUEST TYPE RECEIVES AN INITIAL THAT 7 INDICATES "G" FOR GROUP, "P" FOR PACKAGE OR "W" FOR WALK-IN. 8 THEY HAVE OTHERS, TOO. 9 WHAT WAS INDICATED ON HERE? Q 10 WALK-IN. Α 11 WHERE IS THAT INDICATED ON THE RECORD? Q 12 THAT IS INDICATED ON THE GUEST FOLIO, THE Α 13 GUEST BILL. 14 WHAT IS THE NUMBER ON THAT EXHIBIT? 15 Q Α 68-D. 16 IT SAYS "WALK-IN" ON THERE? 17 Q THAT'S CORRECT. 18 ALL RIGHT. AND WALK-IN WOULD INDICATE THAT 19 Q IN FACT, THE PERSON DID NOT HAVE A RESERVATION, CORRECT? 20 THAT'S CORRECT. Α 21 AND AT THE TIME THAT PERSON CAME INTO THE 22 HOTEL, DID HE PRESENT ANYTHING TO GUARANTEE THE PAYMENT? 23 HE PRESENTED A CREDIT CARD. 24 Α HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT? 25 Q WE HAVE AN IMPRINT ON THE BOOK. 26 Α 27 ONE OR MORE THAN ONE? Q 28 TWO. Α

1	A TWO.
2	Q WERE THEY BOTH TAKEN AT THE SAME TIME?
3	A NO, THEY WERE NOT.
4	Q ONE WAS PRESENTED AT THE TIME HE CAME IN TO
5	REGISTER AT THE HOTEL?
6	A EXCUSE ME. MAY I JUST PREFACE THIS BY SAYING
7	THAT I WAS NOT PRESENT AT THE TIME OF THE CHECK-IN?
8	Q ALL RIGHT. AND FROM WHAT YOU KNOW, ONE WAS
9	PRESENTED BEFORE AND ONE WAS PRESENTED LATER?
10	A I AM SPECULATING, BASED UPON MY KNOWLEDGE
11	OF THE FRONT OFFICE PROCEDURES AND FROM MY DISCUSSIONS
12	WITH GAIL MAYER, THE CREDIT MANAGER.
13	MR. BARENS: I WOULD OBJECT AND MOVE TO STRIKE ANY
14	SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
16	Q BY MR. WAPNER: AT THE TIME THAT A PERSON,
17	A GUEST IN THIS CASE, THE PERSON CLAIMING TO BE MR. LEVIN
18	CAME TO THE HOTEL, IF THE PERSON IS GOING TO PAY BY CREDIT
19	CARD, WHAT IS DONE WITH THE CARD?
20	A WE TAKE ONE IMPRINT FOR THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION
21	CARD. THERE IS ONE IMPRINT ON THE CREDIT CARD VOUCHER
22	AND A THIRD IMPRINT IS ON THE BACK OF ON THE FRONT
23	OF AN EXPRESS CHECK-OUT.
24	Q AND ARE THERE TWO IMPRINTS ON THE BACK OF
25	THE REGISTRATION CARD? IS THAT RIGHT?
26	A THAT'S CORRECT.
27	Q AND WHAT KIND OF CREDIT CARDS ARE THOSE?

AMERICAN EXPRESS AND MASTER CARD.

1	Q AND THERE IS A CREDIT CARD VOUCHER ON THE
2	NEXT PAGE OF THAT DOCUMENT?
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.
4	Q WHAT IS THAT?
5	A MASTERCARD.
6	Q AND ON THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION CARD IS
7	ONE OF THE CREDIT CARDS IMPRINTS CROSSED OUT?
8	A YES, IT IS.
9	Q WHICH ONE?
10	A ON THE AMERICAN EXPRESS.
11	Q DO YOU KNOW WHY? FIRST OF ALL, DO YOU KNOW
12	WHO DID THAT?
13	A THAT WAS DONE EITHER BY GAIL MAYER OR ROGER
14	EYDT. BUT I CAN'T BE SURE WHICH ONE.
15	Q ALL RIGHT. AND IN ANY EVENT, ONE OF THOSE
16	CARDS OR PERHAPS BOTH WERE PRESENTED AT THE TIME THAT
17	THE PERSON REGISTERED?
18	A IT WOULD INDICATE THAT THEY WERE BOTH PRESENTED
19	ON THE 7TH.
20	Q AND WHEN THE CARD IS PRESENTED, IS THERE SOME
21	CHECK DONE AS TO WHETHER IT IS ANY GOOD?
22	A WE OBTAIN A BASIC LIMIT, FLOOR LIMIT APPROVAL.
23	Q WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
24	A WE ANTICIPATE THE ROOM AND THE TAX AND THE
25	INCIDENTAL CHARGES.
26	Q AND THAT IS FOR THE LENGTH OF THE STAY?
27	A THAT'S CORRECT.
28	Q IS THERE ANY INDICATION AS TO HOW LONG THE

STAY WAS TO BE? A FROM THE FRONT OF THE REGISTRATION CARD, IT WAS ARRIVING ON THE 7TH AND DEPARTING ON THE 12TH. Q AND AT THE TIME THAT THAT PERSON REGISTERED, WHAT WAS THE ROOM RATE? THE ROOM RATE AT THE TIME WAS \$105. AND WHEN YOU SAY YOU ANTICIPATE A FLOOR LIMIT, IN THIS CASE, WHAT WOULD THAT BE? A PERHAPS I WAS NOT CLEAR. WE DON'T ANTICIPATE THE FLOOR LIMIT. WE ANTICIPATE THE EXPECTED CHARGES. WE KNOW WHAT THE ROOM AND THE TAX WOULD BE, BASED UPON THE RATE AT THE CHECK-IN.

33 FO.

Q

ANY PROBLEMS THAT WERE GOING ON IN THE HOTEL? 1 YES, SHE DID. 2 INCLUDED IN THAT, DID SHE TELL YOU SOMETHING ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON IN ROOM 1071? YES, SHE DID. Α 5 WHAT WAS THAT? 0 6 MR. BARENS: WE WOULD OBJECT AS OBVIOUSLY HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 9 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 10 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, IT IS NOT BEING OFFERED FOR 11 THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER BUT TO EXPLAIN THE SUBSEQUENT 12 CONDUCT OF THIS WITNESS. 13 THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT. 14 BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT DID SHE TELL YOU? 15 SHE SAID WE HAD A GUEST IN ROOM 1071 WHO WAS 16 A WALK-IN ON THE 7TH, WHO HAD INCURRED APPROXIMATELY \$1,300 17 IN CHARGES AT THE TIME, WHO THE HOTEL WAS UNABLE TO GET 18 THE SUFFICIENT CREDIT APPROVAL FROM THE CREDIT CARD COMPANY. 19 THAT WE HAD LEFT MESSAGES FOR THE GUEST TO CALL US CONCERNING 20 THIS MATTER. THAT THE GUEST HAD NOT RESPONDED TO HER 21 MESSAGES AND THAT SHE AND THE SECURITY SUPERVISOR EARLIER 22 IN THE DAY HAD PLACED A DOUBLE LOCK ON ROOM 1071. 23 THE COURT: WHAT DOES A DOUBLE LOCK MEAN, SHUT THEM 24 OUT OF THE ROOM, IS THAT IT? 25 THE WITNESS: IT IS A SECOND LOCK, YOUR HONOR, WHICH 26 PROHIBITS ANYONE EXCEPT THE SECURITY SUPERVISOR FROM 27

ENTERING THE ROOM.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND AFTER SHE --

WHAT TIME WAS IT APPROXIMATELY THAT SHE TOLD

YOU ALL THIS?

A IT WOULD BE SHORTLY AFTER 3:30.

A 11 WOOLD DE SHOKTET ATTER 3.30

```
AND LATER IN THE DAY, DID YOU HAVE SOME CONTACT
1
    WITH SOMEONE ABOUT THE BILL ON THAT PARTICULAR ROOM?
2
         A YES, I DID.
3
          Q WHAT TIME OF THE DAY WAS THAT?
             I WOULD IMAGINE SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
5
    OF 6:00, 6:30.
6
          Q AND DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE PERSON DEPICTED IN
    THIS PHOTOGRAPH ?
8
         MR. WAPNER: THAT, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
9
    MARKED AS 175 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
10
         THE COURT: SO MARKED.
11
          THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.
12
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU SEE THE PERSON IN
13
    THIS PHOTOGRAPH ON JUNE THE 10TH OF 1984?
14
          Α
                YES, I DID.
15
               WHERE DID YOU SEE HIM?
16
          Q
              IN THE FRONT OFFICE, IN MY OFFICE.
          Α
17
            IS THAT ON THE FIRST FLOOR OF THE PLAZA HOTEL?
          Q
18
             YES.
19
          А
               AND WHEN YOU SAW THE PERSON DEPICTED IN THIS
          Q
20
     POHTOGRAPH, WHAT HAPPENED?
21
                I INTRODUCED MYSELF AS THE ASSISTANT MANAGER.
22
          Α
                DID HE INTRODUCE HIMSELF TO YOU?
          Q
23
                YES, HE DID.
24
          Α
                WHAT DID HE SAY?
25
          Q
                HE TOLD ME HE WAS MR. LEVIN FROM ROOM 1071
26
          Α
27
     AND THAT HE --
           Q GO AHEAD.
```

3:

THAT HE COULD NOT GET INTO HIS ROOM. 1 HAD GAIL MAYER TOLD YOU WHO WAS STAYING IN . Q 2 ROOM 1071? 3 A YES, SHE HAD. 4 Q DID YOU HAVE SOME PICTURE IN YOUR MIND OF WHO 5 YOU WERE EXPECTING TO SEE AT THAT TIME? 6 THE THOUGHT HAD CROSSED MY MIND EARLIER THAT 7 LEVIN IS FREQUENTLY A JEWISH NAME. 8 DID YOU EXPECT TO SEE SOMEONE WHO LOOKED LIKE 9 THIS (COUNSEL INDICATING)? 10 A NO, I DID NOT. 11 Q AND WHEN THE GENTLEMAN IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH CAME 12 AND TALKED TO YOU, HE TOLD YOU THAT HE WAS LOCKED OUT OF 13 HIS ROOM, AND WHAT DID YOU SAY? 14 A I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT WE HAVE LOCKED HIM OUT 15 OF THE ROOM. 16 Q DID YOU TELL HIM WHY? 17 A YES, I DID. 18 Q WHY? 19 I TOLD HIM THAT HIS BILL WAS APPROXIMATELY 20 \$1,300. THAT WE WERE UNABLE TO GET THE APPROVAL NECESSARY 21 FOR THE BILL. 22 THAT HE FAILED TO RESPOND TO ANY OF THE MESSAGES 23 THAT WERE LEFT FOR HIM AND THAT WE HAD -- THAT WE WERE 24 25 REQUESTING PAYMENT AT THAT TIME. Q WHAT DID HE SAY? 26 A HE THEN -- HE WANTED TO SETTLE THE ACCOUNT 27

RIGHT THERE.

```
HOW DID HE SUGGEST THAT HE WAS GOING TO SETTLE
1
    THE ACCOUNT?
2
                I ASKED HIM WHAT FORM OF PAYMENT HE HAD.
          Α
          Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
                HE SAID HE HAD A CREDIT CARD.
          Α
5
                AND WHAT DID YOU DO?
          Q
                I ASKED FOR THAT CREDIT CARD.
7
                DID HE GIVE IT TO YOU?
          Q
8
                YES, HE DID.
          А
9
                WHAT KIND OF CREDIT CARD WAS IT?
          Q
10
             AMERICAN EXPRESS.
          А
11
               AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE CARD WHEN HE GAVE
          Q
12
    IT TO YOU?
13
              I -- WE HAVE A MACHINE WHICH WILL VERIFY CHARGES.
14
    I PUT THE NUMBER THROUGH THE MACHINE.
15
               WHAT HAPPENED?
16
          Q
              THE CARD CAME BACK "PICK UP."
          Α
17
             WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
          Q
18
            IT MEANS THAT THE CREDIT CARD COMPANY IS
19
     TELLING YOU TO TAKE THE CARD FROM THE PERSON, KEEP IT, CHOP
20
     IT INTO LITTLE PIECES AND SEND IT BACK TO THEM.
21
                (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
22
               BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU DO THAT?
23
          A YES, I DID.
24
          THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NAME ON THAT CREDIT CARD?
25
          THE WITNESS: R. LEVIN, GENERAL PRODUCERS.
26
27
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
           O BY MR. WAPNER: COULD YOU JUST SLOWLY READ US
28
```

```
WHAT THE NUMBER WAS ON THE CREDIT CARD.
1
     A 371351200183026. THE EXPIRATION DATE WAS
2
    12-85.
3
        Q NOW, YOU DIDN'T CHOP IT INTO LITTLE PIECES IN
4
    FRONT OF THE PERSON WHO IS DEPICTED IN 175, DID YOU?
5
              NO, I DIDN'T.
6
          Q AND AFTER YOU PUT THE CARD IN THE MACHINE,
7
    HOW LONG DID IT TAKE FOR IT TO COME BACK WITH THIS RESPONSE
8
    THAT IT WAS A PICK-UP CARD?
9
         A I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT TIME BUT NOT LONG.
10
         Q WAS THAT DONE IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PERSON
11
    WHO IS DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S 175?
12
         A NO, IT WASN'T.
13
              AND AFTER YOU FOUND OUT THAT THAT CARD WAS A
14
    PICK-UP CARD, WHAT DID YOU DO?
15
         A I ADVISED THE GENTLEMAN THAT I WAS TAKING HIS
16
    CARD AND KEEPING IT.
17
          Q AND DID YOU TELL HIM WHY?
18
          A YES, I DID.
19
               AFTER HIM TELLING THAT YOU WERE GOING TO KEEP
20
          Q
21
    HIS CARD, WHAT DID HE SAY?
          A I DON'T RECALL.
22
          O DID YOU ASK HIM FOR SOME ALTERNATIVE METHOD
23
24
    OF PAYMENT?
25
          A YES, I DID.
          Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?
26
               I ASKED HIM WHAT OTHER METHOD OF PAYMENT HE
27
          Α
     HAD AND HE GAVE ME A MASTERCARD.
28
```

34 FO.

34A-1

28

```
AND INCIDENTALLY, WAS THE AMERICAN EXPRESS
1
      CARD THAT HE GAVE YOU THE SAME ONE THAT WAS IMPRINTED
2
      ON THE BILL INITIALLY?
3
            A YES, IT WAS.
4
                 THAT'S THE ONE THAT HAS THE "X" THROUGH IT?
5
                  YES, IT IS.
            Α
6
                  AND WHEN HE GAVE YOU THE MASTERCARD, WHAT
7
      DID YOU DO?
8
           A I CHECKED FOR A CREDIT APPROVAL.
9
                 IS THAT THE SAME MASTERCARD THAT WAS IMPRINTED
10
      ON THE BILL ORIGINALLY?
11
                 YES, IT WAS.
            Α
12
                 WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU CHECKED FOR CREDIT
13
      APPROVAL ON THE MASTERCARD?
14
              IT CAME BACK DECLINED.
15
                 WHAT DID YOU DO THEN?
16
                 I GAVE THE CARD BACK TO HIM. I TOLD HIM THAT
17
      THE CARD WAS NOT GOOD.
18
            Q AND AFTER YOU TOLD HIM THE CARD WAS NOT GOOD,
19
      WHAT HAPPENED?
20
                 I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD ANOTHER METHOD OF PAYMENT.
21
                  WHAT DID HE SAY?
22
            MR. BARENS: OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR,
23
      ON THE HEARSAY NATURE OF THE RESPONSE.
24
            THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN HAVE A CONTINUING OBJECTION
25
      FOR THE RECORD.
26
27
            MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
```

THE COURT: BY THE WAY, IN WHOSE NAME WAS THAT MASTERCARD?

THE WITNESS: GENERAL PRODUCERS CORPORATION. I 1 CAN'T SEE IF THERE IS A NAME ON IT, TOO. 2 BY MR. WAPNER: AND WHEN YOU TOLD HIM THAT 3 HE NEEDED ANOTHER METHOD OF PAYMENT, WHAT DID HE SAY? 4 HE SAID THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING ELSE. 5 AND WHAT HAPPENED THEN? 6 I TOLD HIM THAT WE WOULD KEEP THE ROOM 7 DOUBLE-LOCKED WITH HIS POSSESSIONS INSIDE THE ROOM UNTIL 8 HE COULD RETURN AND PAY HIS BILL. 9 THE COURT: WHAT DATE WAS THIS, BY THE WAY? 10 THE WITNESS: THE 10TH. 11 THE COURT: THREE DAYS AFTER HE REGISTERED? 12 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. 13 BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT WAS THE AMOUNT AT THE 14 TIME THAT YOU WERE TALKING TO HIM? WHAT WAS THE AMOUNT 15 OF THE BILL? 16 A I BELIEVE IT WAS \$1,316. 17 Q AND WHAT WAS THE RATE ON THE ROOM THAT HE 18 WAS STAYING IN? 19 A THE RATE ON THE ROOM FOR THE SECOND AND THIRD 20 NIGHTS WAS \$275 PER NIGHT. 21 AND AFTER HE SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE ANOTHER METHOD 22 OF PAYMENT AND YOU TOLD HIM THAT YOU WOULD CONTINUE TO 23 KEEP THE ROOM LOCKED, WHAT DID HE DO? 24 HE SAID THAT HE WOULD COME BACK AND PAY FOR 25 Α 26 THE ROOM. AND DID HE LEAVE YOUR OFFICE AT THAT TIME? 27 Q

YES, HE DID.

34A-3

DID YOU SEE HIM AGAIN? 1 THE NEXT TIME I SAW HIM, HE WAS IN THE PRESENCE 2 OF OUR SECURITY PEOPLE. 3 AND WHEN YOU SAW HIM AT THAT TIME, WHERE WERE Q 4 YOU? 5 I WAS STILL IN MY OFFICE. 6 THE COURT: IS THIS THE SAME DAY? 7 THE WITNESS: YES. 8 BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT TIME, APPROXIMATELY? Q 9 I CAN'T BE SURE BUT MAYBE AN HOUR OR SO AFTER. 10 DO YOU SEE THE DIAGRAM THAT IS ON THE BOARD 0 11 BEHIND YOU? 12 А YES. 13 HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THAT DIAGRAM BEFORE? Q 14 YES. Α 15 AND WAS THAT PREPARED WITH YOUR HELP, AMONG 16 OTHER PEOPLE, AT ANOTHER TIME WHEN YOU WERE HERE FOR ANOTHER 17 HEARING IN THIS MATTER? 18 I DID NOT PREPARE IT, SIR. 19 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT IT PURPORTS TO 20 SHOW? 21 YES. IT IS THE LOBBY. 22 OF THE PLAZA HOTEL? Q 23 EXCUSE ME, THE LOBBY OF THE PLAZA. 24 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THE WITNESS WAS 25 ASKED ABOUT ANOTHER HEARING IN THIS MATTER. AND THAT 26 IS NOT A FACTUALLY TRUE STATEMENT RELATIVE TO THIS CASE. 27 I DON'T WANT ANY CONFUSION ON THAT, YOUR HONOR. 28

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. MR. BARENS: COULD WE --THE COURT: I AM NOT CONFUSED ON IT. GO AHEAD. MR. BARENS: BUT I THINK THAT IT COULD BE CONFUSING, JUDGE. THE COURT: PROCEED, WILL YOU PLEASE? Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. LEBOWITZ, IS THE PLACE WHERE YOUR OFFICE IS, LOCATED ON THAT DIAGRAM? A IT IS MARKED "FRONT OFFICE" ON THE TOP RIGHT. Q AND AFTER YOU SAW MR. PITTMAN -- EXCUSE ME, THE PERSON DEPICTED IN 175 WITH THE SECURITY PEOPLE, DID YOU SEE HIM ARRESTED? WAS HE ARRESTED BY AN OFFICER FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT? A THE POLICE WERE CALLED. THE POLICE TOOK HIM AWAY.

7 E 4 4

```
WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE BILL -- DID
            Q
1
      IT INCREASE AT SOME POINT FROM THE 1,316?
2
                  THE TOTAL CHARGE WAS $1,924.70.
3
                  ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT ACCOUNTS FOR THE INCREASE?
            Q
4
                  $608.70 LIMOUSINE CHARGES.
            Α
5
                  AND WHEN WAS THAT INCURRED?
6
                  ON THE 10TH.
7
                  SO THAT JUST HAD NOT BEEN POSTED ON THE BILL
8
      AS OF THE TIME YOU SPOKE WITH HIM?
9
                  IT WOULD APPEAR SO.
10
                  WAS THE HOTEL -- WAS THE BILL EVER PAID?
            Q
11
                  TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THE HOTEL RECEIVED
12
      SOME PAYMENT SEVERAL DAYS AFTER THE 10TH.
13
                  ALL RIGHT. AND WAS THAT IN PAYMENT OF THE
            Q
14
      BILL, AS FAR AS YOU KNOW?
15
                   TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, WE RECEIVED $2,000.
16
                   DO YOU KNOW WHAT FORM THAT TOOK?
            Q
17
                  NO. I AM NOT SURE.
18
                   DID THE PAYMENT COME TO YOU?
            Q
19
                  NO, IT DID NOT.
            Α
20
                   THERE IS ON THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION CARD
21
            Q
      WHICH IS MARKED AS 68-B, ONE OF THE AMERICAN EXPRESS CARD
22
      IMPRINTS IS X'D OUT. IS THAT RIGHT?
23
                   THAT'S CORRECT.
24
             Α
                   WHY WAS THAT DONE, DO YOU KNOW?
25
             Q
                   AGAIN, NOT BEING PRESENT AT THE CHECK-IN,
26
       I CAN ONLY SPECULATE, BASED UPON WHAT I WAS TOLD. AND
27
      THAT WAS THAT THE CREDIT CARD WAS FIRST TAKEN AND AN
28
       IMPRINT WAS TAKEN BEFORE THE APPROVAL WAS REQUESTED.
29
```

```
YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THE CARD WASN'T PICKED UP
1
    AT THAT TIME, DO YOU?
2
               NO, I CAN'T BE SURE.
3
          Q IT IS POSSIBLE THAT IT WAS JUST -- THAT IT JUST
4
    HAD TO DO WITH THE INEXPERIENCE OF MR. EYDT?
5
          MR. BARENS: OBJECTION AS TO SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR.
6
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
7
              BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU TALKED TO THIS GENTLEMAN
8
    WHO WAS --
9
          MR. BARENS: DID HE SAY SUSTAINED?
10
          O BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU TALKED TO THIS GENTLEMAN
11
    WHO IS IN EXHIBIT 175, WHEN YOU FIRST SPOKE WITH HIM IN YOUR
12
    OFFICE, HOW DID HE SEEM TO YOU?
13
          MR. BARENS: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS. HOW DID
14
    HE SEEM?
15
          MR. WAPNER: I WILL REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
16
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
17
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT WAS HIS DEMEANOR AT THAT
18
     TIME?
19
               HE WAS VERY FRIENDLY. HE WAS VERY EASY GOING.
20
               HAD YOU HAD ANY CONTACT AT ALL WITH THIS
21
     GENTLEMAN DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S 175 BEFORE JUNE THE 10TH?
22
               NO, I HAD NOT.
23
                YOU MADE REFERENCE BEFORE TO A LOG THAT IS KEPT
24
           Q
     AT THE HOTEL, CAN YOU TELL US WHAT THAT IS?
25
                 THE LOG WOULD DETAIL ANY EVENTS THAT WERE UNUSUAL,
26
     OUT OF THE ORDINARY, REQUIRE FOLLOW UP, PROBLEMS WITH ROOMS,
27
     SPECIAL V.I.P. NOTATIONS.
28
```

JUST THE DAILY ACTIVITIES. 1 WHO MAKES THOSE ENTRIES? 2 USUALLY THE ASSISTANT MANAGERS OR SOME OF THE 3 DEPARTMENT HEADS. 4 AND ARE THEY DONE IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF Q 5 BUSINESS? 6 YES, THEY ARE. Α 7 AND WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF KEEPING THAT LOG? 8 SO YOU HAVE A RECORD OF WHAT HAPPENED ON WHAT 9 DAY AND WHAT THE ACTION WILL BE, IF THERE WAS AN ACTION TO 10 FOLLOW. 11 AND BEFORE YOU CAME TO COURT AT SOME OTHER 12 Q POINT EARLIER THAN THE TIME YOU ARE IN COURT THIS TIME, 13 DID YOU MAKE A COPY OF THE PAGE OF THAT LOG THAT HAD TO 14 15 DO WITH JUNE THE 10TH? 16 Α YES, I DID. AND WAS THAT A TRUE AND EXACT COPY OF THE LOG 17 Q THAT WAS KEPT AT THE PLAZA HOTEL? 18 YES, IT WAS. Α 19 AND YOU BROUGHT THAT TO COURT WITH YOU? 20 TODAY? 21 Q NO. THE LAST TIME? 22 YES, I DID. 23 Α MR. WAPNER: I HAVE A DOCUMENT THAT PURPORTS TO BE A 24 PAGE FROM THIS LOG, YOUR HONOR; MAY IT BE MARKED AS 25 PEOPLE'S 69 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 26 27 THE COURT: SO MARKED.

MR. BARENS: WE WOULD OBJECT TO THAT AS BEING A HEARSAY

DOCUMENT, YOUR HONOR.

- THE COURT: OVERRULED.

BUSINESS AT THE HOTEL.

HE SAID IT WAS KEPT IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF

MR. BARENS: WE HAVE SOME CONCERN ABOUT IT MEETING THE CRITERIA OF 1271 OF THE CODE, YOUR HONOR.

```
THE COURT: THAT IS ALL RIGHT. I WILL ADMIT IT.
1
          MR. WAPNER: I AM NOT MOVING TO HAVE IT RECEIVED QUITE
2
    AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR.
3
                 BY MR. WAPNER: DO YOU RECOGNIZE PEOPLE'S 69?
          Q
4
                 YES, I DO.
          Α
5
                 WHAT IS IT?
           Q
6
                 IT IS A PAGE OUT OF THE LOG.
7
                 IS THAT THE LOG THAT IS KEPT AT THE HOTEL?
           Q
8
                 YES, IT IS.
          Α
9
                 AND ARE THERE REFERENCES THERE TO THINGS THAT
10
           Q
    HAPPENED ON JUNE THE 10TH?
11
                 YES, THERE ARE.
           Α
12
                 AND WITH RESPECT TO -- THERE IS SOMETHING THAT
13
    APPEARS TO SAY 10:00 OR ONE ZERO AND THEN TWO MORE ZEROS,
14
    A SPACE AND THEN TWO MORE ZEROS.
15
                 YES.
16
           Α
                AND ARE THERE SOME INITIALS BY THAT?
17
           Q
                ARE THERE INITIALS BY --
           А
18
                 BY WHERE THE 10:00 ENTRY APPEARS?
19
           Q
                 YES, THERE ARE.
           Α
20
                 CAN YOU TELL US WHO THAT WAS MADE BY?
21
           Q
                 COLDEN, TOM COLDEN, THE DIRECTOR OF SECURITY
22
     AND G.M., GAIL MAYER, WHO WAS CREDIT MANAGER AND FURTHER
23
     BELOW IS H.B., WHO WAS THE HOTEL MANAGER.
24
                 WHO IS THAT PERSON'S NAME?
25
           Q
                 HART H-A-R-T BALLIN B-A-L-L-I-N.
26
           Α
                 WAS THAT ALSO AT THE 10:00 ENTRY OR IS THAT ON
27
     AN ENTRY THAT IS FURTHER DOWN THE PAGE?
```

```
IT IS UNDERNEATH.
1
                IT WOULD INDICATE THAT HE, THE FOLLOWING DAY,
2
    CAME IN, READ THE EVENTS OF THE EVENING PAST AND INITIALED?
3
    THAT HE HAD SEEN WHAT WAS LISTED.
4
               AND THERE IS A NOTATION AT THE BOTTOM THAT ALSO
          Q
5
    BEARS YOUR FIRST INITIAL AND LAST NAME; IS THAT RIGHT?
6
               THAT'S CORRECT.
7
               IS THAT YOUR WRITING IN THAT NOTATION?
8
                YES, IT IS.
9
          Α
          Q WAS THE PERSON IN PEOPLE'S 175, WHO YOU KNEW AT
10
    THAT TIME AS MR. LEVIN, RESPONSIBLE FOR SOME DAMAGE AT THE
11
    HOTEL?
12
                YES, HE WAS.
          Α
13
                WHAT KIND OF DAMAGE WAS THAT?
14
               WE HAD DAMAGE DONE TO THE DOOR OF ROOM 1071
15
     AS WELL AS TO THE GLASS REVOLVING DOOR IN THE LOBBY.
16
               WAS THE HOTEL, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, EVER COMPENSATED
17
          Q
     FOR THAT?
18
           A I DO NOT KNOW.
19
           MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT?
20
                 I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER.
21
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
22
23
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
25
     BY MR. BARENS:
                 GOOD DAY, MR. LEBOWITZ.
26
         · Q
                 MR. LEBOWITZ, WERE YOU CONTACTED IN JUNE OF '86
27
     BY SOME LAWYERS REPRESENTING MR. PITTMAN?
28
```

THE COURT: WELL, DO YOU WANT TO STIPULATE THAT THAT PICTURE IS MR. PITTMAN? MR. BARENS: DO I HAVE TO? THE COURT: IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING TO THE JURY ABOUT JUST SAYING PITTMAN. MR. BARENS: I AM JUST ASKING HIM IF HE WAS CONTACTED BY SOME LAWYERS FOR MR. PITTMAN, ACTUALLY. THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHO MR. PITTMAN IS? THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I HAVE HEARD TODAY AND FROM PREVIOUS READINGS IN THE NEWSPAPER, YES. THE COURT: MR. PITTMAN WAS THE MAN THAT YOU HAD THE PROBLEM WITH? THE WITNESS: WHO I BELIEVED TO BE RON LEVIN AT THE TIME. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

36 F.J.

28

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AS TO THE STIPULATION YOU 1 ASKED, I DON'T BELIEVE I CAN DO THAT. 2 THE COURT: GO ON, GO ON. 3 BY MR. BARENS: WERE YOU CONTACTED BY SOME LAWYERS REPRESENTING HIM? 5 A I DON'T KNOW. 6 Q WHEN YOU WERE CONTACTED, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT 7 YOU WERE CONTACTED BY A GENTLEMAN NAMED KEITH ROHMAN IN 8 JUNE OF 1986? 9 A I DON'T REMEMBER IF THAT WAS THE GENTLEMAN'S 10 NAME. 11 Q WELL, DO YOU REMEMBER BEING CONTACTED BY SOMEONE 12 MAKING INQUIRY THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN INTERESTED IN THE 13 CASE INVOLVING MR. PITTMAN? 14 A YES, I DO. 15 Q DO YOU REMEMBER THAT, DON'T YOU? 16 A I REMEMBER BEING CONTACTED BY SOMEONE ASKING 17 ME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CASE. 18 DID YOU TELL HIM THAT YOU WOULD NOT MEET WITH 19 HIM OR TALK TO HIM UNLESS YOU WERE INSTRUCTED TO DO SO 20 BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY? 21 I BELIEVE WHAT I SAID WAS THAT I DIDN'T KNOW 22 WHO THE GENTLEMAN WAS AND I DIDN'T KNOW IF IT WAS THE 23 THING FOR ME TO DO, TO BE TALKING ABOUT THE CASE. AND 24 I WOULD BE HAPPY TO COMPLY, AS LONG AS THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S 25 OFFICE TOLD ME THAT IT WAS THE THING TO DO. 26

Q RIGHT. DID YOU FEEL THAT IT WAS SOMEHOW INCUMBENT

UPON YOU THAT YOU ONLY SPEAK TO SOMEONE IF THE D.A.'S

36A-2

```
OFFICE TOLD YOU YOU COULD?
1
           Α
                  NO, SIR.
2
                  WHY DID YOU ACT THAT WAY?
            Q
3
              WELL, I DIDN'T KNOW THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON
4
      ASKING.
5
                  YOU MEAN HE DIDN'T OFFER YOU ANY PERSONAL
            Q
6
      IDENTIFICATION?
7
                  OVER THE TELEPHONE?
            Α
8
                  IT WAS OVER THE PHONE?
            Q
9
                  YES, SIR.
            А
10
                  DID YOU CALL THE D.A.'S OFFICE AFTER THAT
            0
11
      CONTACT?
12
                  NO, I DID NOT.
13
            А
                  YOU DIDN'T MAKE INQUIRY TO SEE WHETHER YOU
14
      COULD TALK TO THIS PERSON OR NOT?
15
            A I SUGGESTED TO THE PERSON CALLING ME THAT
16
      IF HE WANTED ME TO COOPERATE, THAT HE SHOULD PLACE A CALL
17
      TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE, AND IF THEY CALLED ME, I WOULD BE
18
      HAPPY TO COOPERATE.
19
            Q DID YOU TELL HIM THAT YOU WOULD PERHAPS NEED
20
21
      A SUBPOENA BEFORE YOU WOULD COOPERATE?
                  I DON'T RECALL SAYING THAT.
22
                  NOW, SIR, YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT A PERSON
23
      CHECKED INTO THE HOTEL ON THE 7TH AND WAS GIVEN A CERTAIN
24
25
      ROOM?
            A THAT'S CORRECT.
26
27
                  OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHO THE PERSON WAS WHO
28
      CHECKED IN THAT DAY?
```

1	A NO. I WAS NOT PRESENT AT CHECK-IN.
2	- Q SO YOU DON'T KNOW?
3	A I WAS NOT PRESENT AT CHECK-IN.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	-
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ı	
1	Q DO YOU KNOW IF MORE THAN ONE PERSON SHOWED
2	UP AT THAT TIME WHEN THE ORIGINAL CHECK-IN OCCURRED?
3	A I DON'T KNOW.
4	Q OKAY. NOW, WE KNOW THAT A PERSON MUST HAVE
5	CHECKED IN ON THE 7TH AND THEN YOU KNOW THAT THERE WAS
6	ANOTHER ROOM GIVEN, IS THAT CORRECT, SIR?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q ALL RIGHT. NOW DO YOU, OF YOUR PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE
9	KNOW WHETHER THE PERSON HAD CHECKED IN ON THE 7TH, WHETHER
10	THAT WAS THE SAME PERSON THAT WENT TO THE ROOM ON THE
11	8TH?
12	A NO, I DO NOT.
13	Q IT IS A DISTINCTLY DIFFERENT ROOM THAT WE
14	HAVE RESULTING ON THE 8TH, DO WE NOT, SIR?
15	A THAT'S CORRECT.
16	Q NOW, WAS THE SECOND ROOM THAT WE HAVE EVIDENCE
17	ABOUT BIG ENOUGH FOR TWO PEOPLE?
18	A WE CLASSIFY ROOM NO. 1071 AS A DELUXE ROOM,
19	WHICH WOULD BE MORE THAN LARGE ENOUGH FOR TWO PEOPLE.
20	Q I SEE. HOW ABOUT THE FIRST ROOM THAT WE HAVE
21	EVIDENCE ABOUT?
22	A THAT WOULD BE A STANDARD ROOM WHICH MIGHT
23	BE TIGHT, BUT IT COULD DO FOR TWO PEOPLE.
24	Q MORE PARTICULARLY, IN YOUR FAMILIARITY, IT
25	WOULD BE MORE FOR ONE PERSON?
26	A THAT'S CORRECT.
27	Q NOW, DID YOU WHEN YOU WERE GETTING CREDIT
28	INFORMATION ON THE CARD NUMBER THAT YOU MADE REFERENCE

	Į.
,	TO EARLIER, FIND OUT WHY THERE WAS A PICKUP ON THE CARD?
2	- A NO, I DID NOT.
3	Q YOU DIDN'T ASK THAT KIND OF A QUESTION?
4	A NO, SIR. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TALKING TO
5	A MACHINE, SO TO SPEAK. WE JUST PUT IN THE NUMBERS AND
6	IT GIVES US A CODE OR A DECLINE OR A PICKUP.
7	Q ALL RIGHT. DO YOU, BASED ON YOUR FAMILIARITY
8	OF THESE MATTERS, HAVE AN IMPRESSION AS TO WHY CARDS ARE
9	PICKED UP, BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE?
0	MR. WAPNER: HAVE AN IMPRESSION? THAT CALLS FOR
1	SPECULATION ON THE PART OF THE WITNESS.
2	MR. BARENS: WELL, WE HAVE HAD ALL SORTS OF SPECULATION
3	FROM THIS WITNESS.
4	THE COURT: IS THAT AN ARGUMENT? THEN, SINCE WE
5	HAVE MADE MISTAKES BEFORE, YOU DON'T WANT ME TO MAKE ANOTHER
6	ONE? IS THAT IT?
17	MR. BARENS: IF WE ALLOWED SPECULATION FOR THE PROSECUTION,
18	WE SHOULD ALLOW IT FOR THE DEFENSE.
19	THE COURT: OBJECTION SUSTAINED. REPHRASE YOUR
20	QUESTION.
21	MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
22	(PAUSE.)
23	Q BY MR. BARENS: SIR, HAVE YOU EVER MADE INQUIRY
24	IN THE PAST OR DO YOU HAVE SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE FROM YOUR
25	PAST DEALINGS AS TO WHY CARDS ARE GIVEN A PICKUP NOTIFICATION?
26	A COULD YOU REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION, PLEASE?
27	THE COURT: IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, DO YOU KNOW WHY
28	CARDS ARE ASKED TO BE PICKED UP?

THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: BECAUSE THE CREDIT IS BAD, IS THAT IT? THE WITNESS: IT COULD BE BECAUSE THE CARD IS LOST OR IT COULD BE BECAUSE THE CARD IS STOLEN OR IT COULD BE FOR REASONS UNKNOWN TO ME. Q BY MR. BARENS: ONE OF THE REASONS THAT YOU DO KNOW ABOUT, WOULD BE THAT IT COULD BE BEYOND THE MAXIMUM CHARGE LIMIT? AND IT COULD BE CANCELLED FROM THAT TYPE OF THING? A I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHY SOMETHING WOULD BE PICKED UP. Q OKAY. NOW, YOU SAID THAT YOU EVENTUALLY MET THIS FELLOW, LEVIN, ON THAT OCCASION AND THAT YOU WERE SOMEWHAT SURPRISED WHEN YOU SAW HIM? A I BELIEVE WHAT I SAID WAS THAT FREQUENTLY, LEVIN WAS A JEWISH NAME. 368 FO.

1	Q WELL, THIS DIDN'T LOOK LIKE ANY JEWISH PEOPLE
2	YOU-KNEW, DID IT?
3	A I DIDN'T SUPPOSE HE WAS JEWISH OR NOT.
4	Q WELL, DID IT MEET YOUR EXPECTATION WHEN YOU SAW
5	HIM?
6	A IT SURPRISED ME WHEN I SAW HIM.
7	Q THAT IS JUST WHAT I ASKED YOU FIRST, IF YOU
8	WERE SURPRISED.
9	A NO. I BELIEVE YOU ASKED ME SOMETHING ELSE.
10	Q I ASKED IF YOU WERE SURPRISED
11	MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
2	MR. BARENS: IT DOESN'T MATTER. I GUESS YOU WERE. ALL
13	RIGHT.
14	Q IN ANY EVENT, WHEN YOU SAW THIS PERSON BY
15	THE WAY, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS PICTURE SIR, DO YOU HAVE ANY
16	PROBLEM IDENTIFYING THIS AS THE PERSON YOU SAW THAT DAY?
17	A NO, I DO NOT.
18	Q THANK YOU. NOW, WHEN YOU SAW HIM, DID YOU EVER
19	ASK HIM AT ANY TIME, "BY THE WAY, ARE YOU REALLY MR. LEVIN?"
20	A THE GENTLEMAN IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS MR. LEVIN.
21	Q MY QUESTION ACTUALLY TO YOU SIR, IS, DID YOU
22	EVER ASK HIM AFTER BEING A BIT SURPRISED HERE, "ARE YOU
23	REALLY MR. LEVIN?"
24	A I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS NECESSARY TO ASK IF HE WAS
25	REALLY MR. LEVIN, AFTER HE ADVISED ME THAT HE WAS MR. LEVIN.
26	

37 FO.

ALL RIGHT. SO ALTHOUGH YOU SAY THAT, IN OTHER 1 WORDS, IT DID NOT CROSS YOUR MIND IN FACT TO ASK HIM THAT 2 QUESTION? 3 THAT'S CORRECT. 4 Q DID YOU EVER COMMENT TO ANYONE AT THAT POINT IN 5 TIME THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS MR. LEVIN? 6 A I DON'T --7 THE COURT: HOW DOES HE KNOW? HE DOESN'T KNOW LEVIN. 8 THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL. MR. BARENS: I AM ASKING HIM WHAT HE COMMENTED, SIR. 10 THE COURT: DID YOU EVER COMMENT TO ANYBODY THAT YOU 11 DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS MR. LEVIN? 12 THE WITNESS: NO. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 BY MR. BARENS: YOU NEVER MADE THAT COMMENT? 15 A IF I UNDERSTAND -- IF YOU COULD REPEAT THE 16 QUESTION AGAIN. 17 CONTEMPORANEOUSLY WITH THESE EVENTS AFTER YOU Q 18 HAD MET MR. -- THIS GENTLEMAN WHO SAID HE WAS MR. LEVIN 19 IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, DID YOU EVER COMMENT TO SOMEONE 20 ANYTHING LIKE "I DON'T THINK THAT WAS MR. LEVIN?" 21 NO, I DID NOT. 22 OR ANYTHING LIKE "I WONDER IF HE PROPERLY HAS 23 POSSESSION OF THAT CARD?" 24 NO, I DID NOT. 25 Α 0 ALL RIGHT. DID THAT CROSS YOUR MIND? 26 THE ONLY THOUGHTS I HAD WERE PRIOR TO MEETING 27

HIM.

ONCE THE GENTLEMAN AND I MET, THE THOUGHT NEVER 1 CROSSED MY MIND THAT HE WAS ANYONE OTHER THAN MR. LEVIN. 2 SO YOU WERE QUITE WELL SATISFIED WITH THAT? 3 A YES, I WAS. 4 HOW ABOUT AFTER YOU HAD SOME PROBLEMS COLLECTING 5 THE BILL AND YOU NOW HAVE THIS SECOND CREDIT CARD GIVEN 6 YOU, DID YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS OCCASIONED THAT PERHAPS THIS 7 PERSON YOU WERE DEALING WITH DID NOT PROPERLY HAVE POSSESSION 8 OF THOSE CREDIT CARDS? 9 MEANING THAT THEY WEREN'T HIS CARDS? 10 Α Q YES, SIR. 11 NO, I DID NOT. Α 12 NEVER OCCURRED TO YOU, SIR? Q 13 NO, IT DID NOT. 14 WHEN YOU CALLED IN AND THEY HAVE THAT PICK UP 15 STUFF ON THOSE CARDS AND THIS AND THAT, THERE IS NO 16 IDENTIFICATION --17 MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME. THAT MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE. 18 HE SAID "PICK UP STUFF ON THOSE CARDS AND THIS AND THAT" --19 MR. BARENS: I AM SORRY? 20 MR. WAPNER: THERE WAS ONLY A PICK UP ON ONE CARD. 21 BY MR. BARENS: PICK UP ON ONE CARD AND DECLINE 22 ON THE OTHER CARD, I SUPPOSE, IS MORE SPECIFIC. 23 DO YOU EVER GET ANY IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION 24 ON THE CARDHOLDER AT THAT TIME? 25 26 NO, WE DID NOT. Α DO YOU EVER IN YOUR HOTEL ACTIVITIES THERE ASK 27

SOMEBODY FOR A DRIVER'S LICENSE WITH THEIR CREDIT CARD

APPLICATION? 3; 1 A COULD YOU REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION? 2 WE WOULD ASK FOR A DRIVER'S LICENSE IF WE NEEDED 3 FORMAL IDENTIFICATION SUCH AS CASHING A CHECK, THAT MAY BE 4 A REQUIRED FORM OF IDENTIFICATION. 5 Q BUT YOU NEVER ASKED FOR AN IDENTIFICATION WHEN 6 SOMEONE PRESENTS A CREDIT CARD? 7 A NO, WE DO NOT. 8 Q ISN'T IT A FACT THAT THE REVERSE OF AMERICAN 9 EXPRESS CREDIT CARDS TYPICALLY HAVE A SIGNATURE LINE FOR 10 THE CARDHOLDER? 11 A YES, THEY DO. 12 DO YOU EVER CHECK THAT SIGNATURE ON THE CARD 13 AGAINST ANY REGISTRATION SIGNATURE GIVEN WHEN THE GUEST 14 CHECKS IN? 15 A YES, WE DO. 16 Q DID YOU IN THIS INSTANCE? 17 A I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK NOW. I DON'T RECALL. 18 37A FO. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

TO SAVE YOU TIME, SIR, I SUBMIT TO YOU THAT 1 I DO NOT THINK YOU HAVE A COPY OF THE REVERSE OF THAT CREDIT 2 CARD. 3 THE COURT: WHY DID YOU ASK HIM TO LOOK FOR IT THEN? MR. BARENS: I THINK IT DRAMATIZES THE POINT THAT HE 5 DOESN'T HAVE IT, YOUR HONOR. 6 IN ANY EVENT, YOU DON'T RECALL EVER SEEING A 7 SIGNATURE ON THE BACK OF THAT CREDIT CARD, DO YOU? 8 I CAN'T RECALL. 9 OKAY. YOU MADE REFERENCE TO A LOG, WHICH IS 10 NOW PEOPLE'S 69, THAT THE PLAZA MAINTAINS; IS IT YOUR 11 STATEMENT THAT IS SOME SORT OF A DAILY LOG ABOUT JUST 12 LIKE WHAT, A DIARY OF THINGS THAT HAPPENED AT THE HOTEL? 13 THAT'S CORRECT, 14 WHY DO YOU FOLKS KEEP THAT? 15 THAT IS KEPT TO APPRISE OTHER DEPARTMENTS IN 16 THE HOTEL WHAT IS GOING ON. IF THERE IS AN ACTION THAT 17 NEEDS TO BE DONE, WHO IT IS ADDRESSED TO. VARIOUS THINGS. 18 IS THAT ALSO KEPT AS SORT OF A PROPHYLACTIC 19 DEVICE IN THE EVENT THERE IS LITIGATION AGAINST THE HOTEL? 20 I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION. 21 WELL, IT OCCURS TO ME THAT YOU MIGHT, IF THIS 22 IS TRUE, SIR, HAVE MAINTAINED THAT LOG BECAUSE IF THERE IS 23 LITIGATION BY A GUEST, FOR INSTANCE, AGAINST THE HOTEL OR 24 AN INSURANCE CLAIM, THAT IS THE TYPE OF EVIDENCE THAT COULD 25 BE HELPFUL TO THE HOTEL; HAS IT EVER BEEN UTILIZED IN THAT 26 27 REGARD?

I AM NOT AWARE OF THAT.

```
Q YOU ARE NOT, SIR?
1
       THE COURT: HE SAID HE IS NOT AWARE OF IT.
2
          Q BY MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, SIR, DOES ANYONE IN
3
    THE HOTEL PERSONNEL INSTRUCT THE EMPLOYEES TO MAINTAIN THIS
4
    TYPE OF A LOG?
5
              WE ARE TOLD TO MAKE LOG ENTRIES, YES.
6
               WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
7
               I WAS TOLD BY THE MANAGER OF THE FRONT OFFICE.
8
               AND IS THERE ANY SORT OF A WRITTEN EMPLOYEE
9
    MANUAL THAT MAKES REFERENCE TO THAT PROCEDURE?
10
          A AS TO HOW TO MAKE LOG ENTRIES?
11
          0
               NO.
12
                THAT MAKES REFERENCE TO THE REQUIREMENT IN THE
13
    FIRST INSTANCE?
14
          A I AM SORRY. CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION?
15
               WELL, THE MANAGER TELLS YOU THAT YOU ARE SUPPOSED
16
    TO DO THIS; HAVE YOU EVER SEEN ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT
17
     REQUIRES EMPLOYEES TO DO THIS?
18
          A PERHAPS IF I LOOKED ATAJOB DESCRIPTION, THAT
19
    WOULD BE PART OF THE JOB DESCRIPTION.
20
               BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THAT, DO YOU?
21
           A NO, I DO NOT.
22
          Q AFTER YOU MAKE THESE LOG ENTRIES, WAS IT YOUR
23
     NORMAL PRACTICE THAT WHEN THE LOG ENTRY INVOLVES SOMEONE
24
     OTHER THAN YOURSELF OR OTHER THAN THE MAKER OF THE LOG ENTRY,
25
     THAT THEY ARE SUMMONED TO COME IN AND READ IT AND INITIAL
26
27
     IT?
           A THEY ARE NOT SUMMONED TO COME IN.
28
```

EACH MANAGER THAT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR SEEING THE LOG WHEN THEY ARRIVED, WHETHER IT BE THAT EVENING OR THE NEXT DAY, THEIR DUTIES WERE TO READ THE LOG AND FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH WHAT WENT ON WHILE THEY WERE NOT IN THE HOTEL.

38 FO.

38 - 1

BUT IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF THINGS, YOU WOULDN'T 1 HAVE IT THEN? IF THERE WAS A STATEMENT THAT -- I DON'T 2 KNOW, SOME PERSON THAT WORKED IN THE HOTEL HAD A CERTAIN 3 INCIDENT OCCUR. YOU WOULD'T NECESSARILY HAVE THAT PERSON 4 COME IN AND INITIAL THAT ENTRY? 5 AT THAT TIME? Q YES, SIR. 7 NO, I WOULD NOT. Α 8 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 9 THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT? 10 MR. WAPNER: YES. 11 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. WAPNER: 14 THE REGISTRATION CARD IS IN THE NAME OF MR. 15 16 LEVIN? THAT'S CORRECT. 17 Q WHAT IS THE ADDRESS ON IT? 18 A I BELIEVE IT IS 105 P-E-C-K STREET, WESTWOOD, 19 L.A. 90048. 20 WHAT WAS THAT NUMBER AGAIN? 21 Q 22 900 --THE COURT: NO, THE ADDRESS ON IT. 23 THE WITNESS: 105 --24 25 THE COURT: PECK DRIVE? 26 THE WITNESS: IT APPEARS TO BE 105. 27 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BY MR. WAPNER: IT IS L.A. 90048, CORRECT? 28

CORRECT. 1 YOU DIDN'T LIVE IN LOS ANGELES THEN AND YOU 2 DON'T LIVE THERE NOW, DO YOU? 3 THAT'S CORRECT. 4 YOU DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS A PECK DRIVE IN 5 90048, DO YOU? 6 NO. I DON'T KNOW. 7 ALL RIGHT. AND THERE IS A LINE THERE FOR Q 8 SIGNATURE? 9 A YES, THERE IS. 10 Q AND WHEN IS THAT SIGNED BY THE GUEST IN RELATION 11 TO WHEN HE CHECKS IN? 12 IT SHOULD BE AT THE SAME TIME. 13 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY 68 BE RECEIVED INTO 14 15 EVIDENCE? THE COURT: YES. 16 MR. WAPNER: MAY I WALK IT IN FRONT OF THE JURY? 17 THE COURT: YES. 18 (PAUSE.) 19 BY MR. WAPNER: IS THE SIGNATURE ON 68-A, 20 Q ON THE LINE WHERE IT SAYS --21 MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME. FOR THE RECORD, IT IS INCUMBENT 22 ON ME AND I WANT TO MAKE AN OBJECTION TO THE ADMISSION 23 OF THAT EVIDENCE AS BEING HEARSAY. 24 25 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. YOU HAVE MADE THE OBJECTION, 26 DIDN'T YOU? 27 MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T KNOW IF I MADE IT TIMELY AT 28 THIS TIME.

THE COURT: IT IS TIMELY. AND I AM GOING TO OVERRULE

THE OBJECTION.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN A GUEST CHECKS IN, DO YOU NORMALLY TAKE AN IMPRINT OF THE BACK OF THE CREDIT CARD?

A NO, WE DO NOT.

Q YOU ARE JUST CONCERNED WITH WHAT IS -- STRIKE THAT.

LET ME REPHASE IT. THE IMPRINT THAT APPEARS
ON THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION SLIP WHICH IS OUR DOCUMENT
68-B, HOW IS THAT MADE?

A BY MAKING A PHOTOCOPY OF THE FRONT OF THE CARD.

38-4

Q AND IS THIS PHOTOCOPY -- LET ME BACK UP A 1 LITTLE BIT. 2 IS 68-B, DOES THIS PURPORT TO BE A COPY OF 3 THE BACK OF 68-A? A YES, IT IS. 5 AND HOW DO THE CREDIT CARD IMPRINTS GET ON 6 THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION CARD? 7 WE HAVE A CREDIT MACHINE WITH A STAMP. 8 SO DO YOU ACTUALLY TAKE THE CREDIT CARD AND 9 PUT IT IN THE MACHINE AND PUT THE REGISTRATION CARD ON 10 THERE? 11 A YES, WE DO, WITH THE CARD IN. AND THEN I 12 CHANGE THE VOUCHER FOR THE EXPRESS CHECK-OUT OR THE REGISTRATION 13 CARD. THOSE ARE THE THINGS THAT CHANGE. THE CARD FITS 14 IN THE MACHINE. 15 O OKAY. BUT IF THIS DOCUMENT THAT IS 68-A AND -B 16 WERE THE ORIGINAL AS OPPOSED TO A COPY, FIRST OF ALL, IT WOULD 17 BE ONE PIECE OF PAPER INSTEAD OF TWO. RIGHT? 18 A THAT'S CORRECT. 19 THEN WHEN THE PERSON COMES INTO THE HOTEL, YOU 20 TAKE THE CREDIT CARD AND YOU PUT IT IN THE MACHINE AND THEN YOU 21 PUT THE REGISTRATION CARD IN THERE AND IMPRINT THE FRONT OF 22 THE CREDIT CARD ON THERE, CORRECT? 23 A WE FLIP OVER THE REGISTRATION CARD TO IMPRINT 24 THE FRONT OF THE CREDIT CARD. 25 BUT IT DOESN'T IMPRINT THE BACK OF THE CARD? 26 Q 27 Α NO, IT DOESN'T. AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT IT IS A XEROX OF IT, 28

```
WHEN YOU SAID A MOMENT AGO THAT IT WAS A XEROX OF THE
1
      CARD. YOU ARE REFERRING TO 68-B AS BEING A XEROX OF ACTUALLY
2
      THE BACK OF THE REGISTRATION CARD?
3
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
            Α
4
            Q YOU DIDN'T DO ANY XEROXES AT THE TIME THE
5
      GUEST CHECKS IN?
                  NO, WE DON'T.
            Α
7
                  ONE OF THE TWO CREDIT CARDS INDICATED ON 68-B
8
      WAS TAKEN BY YOU AND EVENTUALLY TORN UP AND SENT TO AMERICAN
9
      EXPRESS, CORRECT?
10
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
            А
11
                  WHAT HAPPENED TO THE OTHER ONE?
            Q
12
                  IT WAS GIVEN BACK TO MR. LEVIN.
            А
13
                  OR AT LEAST THE PERSON YOU KNEW AS MR. LEVIN?
            Q
14
15
            Α
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
                  THAT IS THE PERSON WHO IS DEPICTED IN 175?
16
            Q
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
17
            Α
                  AND DO YOU KNOW OTHER THAN YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF IT
18
            Q
      BEING GIVEN BACK TO HIM, WHAT HAPPENED TO IT AFTER THAT?
19
20
            Α
                  NO, I DO NOT.
                  AT THE TIME THAT YOU SAW HIM IN YOUR OFFICE AND
21
      HE GAVE YOU THAT SECOND CARD WHICH WAS THE MASTERCARD, YOU GAVE
22
23
      IT BACK TO HIM?
24
            Α
                  YES.
25
                  DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION AT THAT POINT
            Q
      WHETHER THERE WAS OR WAS NOT A SIGNATURE ON THE BACK OF EITHER
26
27
      OR BOTH OF THOSE CREDIT CARDS?
28
                   NO. I DON'T HAVE A RECOLLECTION.
```

39 FC.

```
THE WRITING THAT IS ON THE FRONT OF 68-A, WHERE
1
    IT SAYS "NAME" AND "RONALD LEVIN," WHEN IS THAT PLACED ON
2
    THERE WITH RESPECT TO WHEN THE PERSON CHECKS INTO THE HOTEL?
3
                 IT SHOULD BE AT THE TIME OF REGISTRATION.
4
                 THAT IS DONE IN -- THAT IS IN THE NORMAL COURSE
5
    OF BUSINESS, THAT IS, IT IS PUT ON THERE AT THE TIME OF
6
    REGISTRATION?
          Α
                 YES.
8
                 AND THE WRITING WHERE IT SAYS "105 PECK STREET,"
9
    WHEN IS THAT PUT ON THERE?
10
                AT THE SAME TIME.
11
                AND THE WRITING THAT SAYS "WESTWOOD, L.A. 90048,"
12
    WHEN IS THAT PUT ON THERE?
13
          А
                 THE SAME TIME.
14
                 AND THAT IS AT THE TIME THAT THE PERSON CHECKS
15
    IN; IS THAT RIGHT?
16
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
           Α
17
                 AND WHO WRITES IN THAT INFORMATION?
18
           Q
                 THAT SIDE IS FILLED IN BY THE GUEST.
19
           Α
                 AND DOES THAT SIDE CHANGE IF THE ROOM IS CHANGED?
20
           Q
                 NO, IT DOES NOT.
21
           Α
                 NOW IS THERE SOME WRITING ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE
22
     OF THAT DOCUMENT THAT INDICATES THE ROOM NUMBER?
23
24
           Α
                 I AM SORRY?
                 ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE OF PEOPLE'S 68, IS THERE
25
           Q
     SOME WRITING THAT INDICATES WHAT THE ROOM NUMBER IS?
26
27
                 YES.
           Α
                 THEN THERE IS AN ENTRY THAT WAS SCRATCHED OUT
```

```
AND ANOTHER ROOM NUMBER PUT IN; IS THAT RIGHT?
1
                YES.
2
                DO YOU KNOW WHAT DATE THAT WAS DONE?
          Q
3
                WHEN THE SECOND ROOM WAS FILLED IN?
          Α
4
                YES.
          Q
5
                I CAN ONLY ASSUME IT WAS DONE WHEN THE ROOM
6
    CHANGE WAS INITIATED, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN ON THE 8TH.
7
                ALL RIGHT. BUT WHEN THE ROOM CHANGE IS INITIATED,
8
    YOU DON'T GET A NEW REGISTRATION CARD FILLED OUT, DO YOU?
9
               NO, YOU DON'T.
10
                SO ALL OF THE WRITING THERE WHERE IT SAYS
11
    "RONALD LEVIN, 105 PECK STREET, WESTWOOD," ET CETERA, WAS
12
    PUT IN ON JUNE 7TH WHEN THE GUEST CHECKS IN?
13
               THAT'S CORRECT.
14
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
15
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. THIS WITNESS MAY
16
     BE EXCUSED?
17
          MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO OBJECTION.
18
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU ARE
19
20
     EXCUSED.
           MR. WAPNER: COULD WE RECESS FOR THE DAY? WE ARE NOT
21
     GOING TO FINISH WITH THE WITNESS, I AM SURE. I HAVE OTHER
22
     WITNESSES BUT IT DOESN'T SEEM WE WILL FINISH.
23
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE
24
     JURY, I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU A LONG WEEKEND. WE WILL TAKE
25
     AN ADJOURNMENT NOW UNTIL TUESDAY MORNING AT 10:30.
26
                 AND THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WOULD STILL
27
```

APPLY.

```
AND YOU ALL HAVE A VERY, VERY DELIGHTFUL
1
    WEEKEND. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
2
                 (AT 4:25 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
3
                 UNTIL TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```