## COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT, SUPERIOR COURT VS. NO. A-090435 JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY, OCT 0 9 1987 DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

**ROOM 800** 

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 57 OF 101 (PAGES 4/5/ TO 93/8 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 1987. VOLUME 59

PAGES 9151 TO 9318, INCL.

## **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	TUESD	AY, MARCH 3, 1987	VOLUME	59	PAGES 915	1 - 9318
2			А.М.			9151
3			P.M.			9210
4						
5		CHRONOLOG	GICAL INDEX OF	<u>w</u> itnessė	ES .	
6					<del></del>	
7	PEOPL	E'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
8	WEISS	, STEVE				
9		(RESUMED)	9152	9173		
10		(CONTINUED)		9210	9213	1
	<u> </u>	FURTHER (REOPENED)	0079	0013	9236	9236
11			9238			
12	GORE,	ALAN	9249			
13	MAIZE	, LARRY	9290	9303	9316	9317
14		FURTHER			9318	
15						
16		ALPHABET	ICAL INDEX OF	WITNESSE	S	
17					<del></del>	
18	GORE,	ALAN	9249			
19	MAIZE,	, LARRY	9290	9303	9316	9317
20		FURTHER			9318	
21	WEISS,	, STEVE				
		(RESUMED)	9152	9173		
22		(CONTINUED)		9210	9213	9226
23		FURTHER (REOPENED)	9238	9241	9236	9236
24		(NEOT ENED)	3230	9241		
25						
26						
27						
28						

1	<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
2				
3	PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS:		FOR IDENTIFICATION	
4 5	196	RECORDS SUBPOENAED FROM SUISSE CREDIT BANK	9151	
6	197	DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA" DATED 6-18-84	9160	
7 8	198	"LETTER OF INTENT, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT", MAY 20, 1983	9214	
9	199	DOCUMENT ENTITLED "LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF FUTURE HEDGES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP"	0.016	
11		TARTHERSHIT	9216	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

```
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 1987; 10:45 A.M.
 1
 2
     DEPARTMENT WEST C
                                  HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
 3
                 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
 4
 5
           THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
 6
                 DO WE HAVE A WITNESS HERE?
 7
           MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE DO THAT, I HAVE
 8
     SOME RECORDS THAT WERE SUBPOENAED FROM THE SUISSE CREDIT
 9
     BANK OR CREDIT SUISSE THAT WERE RECEIVED BY THE COURT IN
10
     MARCH OF APPARENTLY 1986. THEY ARE UNDER SEAL.
11
                 AND ALSO, A DECLARATION OF A GERMAN INTERPRETER
12
     REGARDING CERTAIN OF THE ITEMS THAT MAY BE CONTAINED IN THESE
13
     DOCUMENTS.
14
                 AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THOSE BOTH MARKED
15
     COLLECTIVELY AS 196 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
16
           THE COURT: THEY WILL BE SO MARKED.
17
                 WHAT WAS 195 AGAIN?
18
           THE CLERK: A PHOTOCOPY OF THE QUARTERLY ACCOUNT
19
     STATEMENT.
20
           THE COURT: WHAT?
21
           THE CLERK: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE QUARTERLY ACCOUNT
22
     STATEMENT.
23
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.
24
           MR. WAPNER: AND I WOULD ASK THE COURT THAT --
25
           THE COURT: THAT WILL BE MARKED PEOPLE'S 196 FOR
26
     IDENTIFICATION.
27
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THEY ARE SEALED AND I WOULD
28
     ASK THE COURT TO OPEN THEM AND I HAVE A MOTION, PERHAPS AFTER
```

```
THE COURT HAS HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THEM AND COUNSEL, TO
 1
 2
     HAVE THEM RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 4
           MR. BARENS: COULD WE OBVIOUSLY RESERVE ARGUMENT ON
 5
     THE ADMISSIBLITY?
 6
           THE COURT: YES.
 7
           MR. BARENS: WE HAVEN'T SEEN ANY OF THIS, YOUR HONOR.
 8
           THE COURT: WELL, I HAVEN'T SEEN IT EITHER.
 9
                 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
10
           THE COURT: THIS WAS ADDRESSED TO THE COURT AND SINCE
11
     IT IS ADDRESSED TO THE COURT AND SEALED, I THINK I OUGHT
12
     TO UNSEAL IT AND LOOK AT IT AND MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO COUNSEL.
13
           MR. WAPNER: I WILL GET MR. WEISS, YOUR HONOR.
14
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.
15
                 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
16
17
                              STEVE WEISS,
18
      CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY
19
     SWORN, TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
20
           THE CLERK: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN. YOU ARE
21
     STILL UNDER OATH.
22
                 SO WOULD YOU JUST HAVE A SEAT AND STATE YOUR
23
     NAME AGAIN FOR THE RECORD?
24
           THE WITNESS: STEVE WEISS.
25
26
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
27
     BY MR. WAPNER:
28
               MR. WEISS, I THINK WE LEFT OFF YESTERDAY WITH
```

```
TALKING ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY ALTOGETHER YOU HAD PUT INTO
 1
     FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING CORPORATION WITH MR. HUNT.
 2
                 YOUR FIRST INVESTMENT WAS $5,000?
 4
           А
                 YES.
                 AND THEN SHORTLY THEREAFTER, YOU INVESTED $15,000?
 5
           Q
           Α
                 YES.
 6
                 AND IN THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF
 7
           Q
     YOU, THAT IS 195, DOES IT REFLECT THOSE TWO INVESTMENTS AS
 8
9
     A LUMP INVESTMENT AS OF JUNE OF 1983?
10
           Α
                 YES.
                 AND HOW MUCH IS THAT?
11
           Q
12
           Α
                 $20,000.
13
                 AND WHEN DID YOU MAKE YOUR NEXT INVESTMENT WITH
           0
14
     MR. HUNT?
15
                 TOWARDS THE LATTER PART OF '83 FOR $10,000.
           Α
16
                 AND WHY DID YOU INVEST $10,000 AT THAT TIME?
           Q
17
                  I HAD THE $10,000 TO INVEST AND I FELT THAT ON
18
     THE BASIS OF THE PROFITS, THAT IT SEEMED TO BE A WORTHWHILE
19
     THING TO DO TO ADD SOME MORE MONEY.
20
                 HAD YOU CONTINUED TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE
           Q
21
     DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE ABOUT HOW THE INVESTMENTS WERE DOING?
22
           Α
                  YES.
23
                 AND WHAT WAS HE TELLING YOU DURING THE PERIOD
24
     BETWEEN JUNE AND NOVEMBER OF '83?
25
                  THAT THE INVESTMENT PICTURE WAS GOING VERY WELL,
26
     THE INVESTMENTS WERE RETURNING A GOOD RATE OF PROFIT AND
27
     EVERYTHING WAS NORMAL AND PREDICTABLE.
28
```

AND BASED ON THAT, YOU INVESTED \$10,000 IN

```
1
     NOVEMBER OF 1983?
 2
           А
                 YES.
 3
           Q
                 AND DID YOU INVEST SOME MORE MONEY IN JANUARY
 4
     OF 1984?
 5
                 YES, I DID.
           Α
 6
           Q
               HOW MUCH?
 7
           Α
                 $50,000.
 8
           Q
                 AND THAT WAS BASED ON REFINANCING OF SOMETHING?
 9
           Α
                 OF MY HOUSE.
10
           Q
                 AND BETWEEN THE TIME THAT YOU INVESTED THE
11
     $20,000 AND THE TIME YOU INVESTED THE $50,000, HAD YOU
12
     CONTINUED TO TALK TO MR. HUNT?
13
           A YES.
14
                WHAT HAD HE TOLD YOU ABOUT HOW THE INVESTMENTS
15
     WERE DOING?
16
           Α
             EVERYTHING WAS GOING VERY WELL.
17
                AND SO UP TO THAT POINT YOU HAD $80,000 THAT
18
     YOU HAD INVESTED; IS THAT RIGHT?
19
           Α
                YES.
20
                 AND IN APRIL OF 1984, DID YOU MAKE A SEPARATE
21
     INVESTMENT WITH MR. -- EXCUSE ME --
22
                 ONE THING HAD INTERVENED BEFORE THAT, IS THAT
23
     RIGHT, IN MARCH OF 1984, WERE YOU PAID SOME MONEY?
24
           А
                YES.
25
           Q
                HOW MUCH WERE YOU PAID?
26
                $60,000.
           Α
27
           Q
                 WHAT WAS THAT $60,000 TO REPRESENT? WAS THAT
28
     YOUR PROFIT?
```

1	A NO.			
2	Q WHAT WAS IT?			
3	A THAT WAS A PORTION OF MR. HUNT'S PROFIT.			
4	Q AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT, PLEASE?			
5	A WE HAD HAD WE HAD TALKED AND DISCOVERED THAT			
6	OUR PHILOSOPHIES IN TERMS OF SOCIETY WERE VERY SIMILAR AND			
7	THAT WE WANTED TO HELP PEOPLE IN NEED OR HELP PEOPLE WHO			
8	WERE ILL, AND I KNEW A NUMBER OF THOSE PEOPLE.			
9	AND IN OUR DISCUSSIONS OR CONVERSATIONS, HE SAID			
10	THAT HE WOULD TAKE A PERCENTAGE OF HIS PROFIT AND GIVE IT			
11	TO ME AND THEN I COULD DISTRIBUTE THAT, AS I SAW FIT, TO			
12	THESE PEOPLE.			
13	Q NOW, WHAT WAS THE DISCUSSION HAVING TO DO WITH			
14	DISTRIBUTING, AS YOU SAW FIT, ABOUT?			
15	A JUST, AS I SAID, THAT IT HAD TO DO WITH PEOPLE			
16	WHO NEEDED HELP, PEOPLE WHO NEEDED SOME SUPPORT, PEOPLE WHO			
17	WERE ILL, PEOPLE WHO WERE ATTEMPTING TO GO TO COLLEGE AND			
18	WITH CAREERS.			
19	Q WHEN I AM TALKING ABOUT DISTRIBUTE AS YOU SAW			
20	FIT, DID YOU HAVE SOME DISCUSSION WITH MR. HUNT ABOUT THE			
21	MANNER IN WHICH YOU WERE GOING TO DISTRIBUTE THIS MONEY,			
22	WHETHER YOU WERE JUST GOING TO HAND IT OUT TO THEM IN CASH			
23	OR WHAT WERE YOU SUPPOSED TO DO WITH IT?			
24	A I WAS SUPPOSED TO INVEST FOR THEM.			
25	Q WITH WHO?			
26	A WITH MR. HUNT.			
27	Q WAS THAT YOUR DISCUSSION WITH HIM?			
28	A YES.			

1	Q SO THAT THE \$60,000 THAT YOU GOT, OR WHATEVER			
2	MONEY HE DECIDED TO GET YOU OR GIVE YOU AS A PERCENTAGE OF			
3	HIS PROFIT, YOU WOULD REINVEST WITH HIM?			
4	A OH, YES.			
5	Q ON BEHALF OF OTHER PEOPLE?			
6	A ABSOLUTELY.			
7	Q SO YOU WEREN'T GIVING PART OF THE \$60,000 TO			
8	THE MULTIPLESCLEROSIS FOUNDATION OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT?			
9	A OH, NO, NOT AT ALL.			
10	Q OF THE \$60,000 THAT YOU GOT IN MARCH OF 1984,			
11	DID YOU REINVEST SOME OF IT WITH HIM?			
12	A \$35,000.			
13	Q SO UP TO THAT POINT THEN YOU HAD PUT			
14	MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MIGHT WE APPROACH ON THIS			
15	POINT?			
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.			
17	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

2

AT THE BENCH:)

3

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE INTO A DISCUSSION NOW.

4

THE COURT: PARDON ME?

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BARENS: WE ARE INTO A DISCUSSION NOW WHERE WE ARE ANTICIPATING WE ARE INTO SOLELY BAD CHARACTER TESTIMONY NOW. THE GIST OF WHAT THIS WITNESS WILL PROCEED TO SAY NOW IS THAT HE ALLEGEDLY GAVE HUNT SOME REINVESTMENT MONEY THAT SHOULD GO TO EITHER SICK PEOPLE OR PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO GO TO COLLEGE, I DON'T KNOW, DIFFERENT PHILANTHROPIC DISCIPLINES OR WHATEVER, AND THAT MONEY WAS LOST BY MR. HUNT OR DIDN'T GO TO THAT PURPOSE.

NOW GIVEN THAT AS WHERE I THINK WE ARE GOING HERE, I DON'T SEE HOW THAT IS IN FURTHERANCE OF ANY SORT OF CONSPIRACY OR DOES ANYTHING OTHER THAN TO FURTHER ASSAIL MR. HUNT AS BEING A BAD OR IRRESOLUTE PERSON.

MR. WAPNER: IF I LEFT THE JURY WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT SOMEHOW THE MONEY WAS GOING BACK TO MR. HUNT AND THEN HE WAS SUPPOSED TO DOLE IT OUT TO THESE PEOPLE, I WILL CLEAR THAT UP.

THE POINT OF THE TESTIMONY IS TO GO TO SHOW THAT ALTHOUGH THIS WITNESS WAS BEING PAID A PERCENTAGE OF MR. HUNT'S PROFITS AS KIND OF AN INCENTIVE TO GET OTHER INVESTORS, IN FACT THE ARRANGEMENT WAS THAT OF THE MONEY THIS WITNESS WAS BEING PAID, THE AGREEMENT WAS THAT MOST OF IT WAS GOING TO GO BACK TO MR. HUNT, THAT HE ALLEGEDLY WAS GOING TO INVEST FOR THESE PEOPLE IN THE SAME MANNER THAT HE HAD INVESTED FOR ALL OF THE OTHER PEOPLE AND THAT THEIR MONEY --

THE COURT: THERE AREN'T ANY CHARACTERISTICS AS FAR

AS BEING A BAD MAN OR ANYTHING, YOU ARE JUST STATING THE FACTS,

AREN'T YOU?

MR. WAPNER: I AM NOT TRYING TO INFER THAT HE PROMISED AND DIDN'T DELIVER, THE POINT IS IT IS ALL PART OF THE SAME CON SCHEME WHERE HE SAID TO THIS WITNESS, "I WILL GIVE YOU THIS MONEY AND THEN YOU GIVE IT BACK TO ME." THIS IS IN FACT WHAT HAPPENED.

MR. BARENS: MY POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS IF WE ARE IN A CON SCHEME, WE ARE NOT ON TRIAL FOR BEING IN A CON SCHEME.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT IS OVERRULED.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:)

Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. WEISS, LET'S BACK UP A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE MAYBE THERE IS SOME CONFUSION HERE.

THE PERCENTAGE OF MR. HUNT'S SO-CALLED PROFITS

THAT HE WAS GIVING BACK TO YOU WAS SUPPOSED TO BE REINVESTED

BY YOU ON BEHALF OF PEOPLE YOU SAW FIT; IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES.

```
1
                 AND WHEN YOU SAY REINVESTED, THAT WAS GIVEN BACK
      TO MR. HUNT TO PUT INTO THE SAME COMMODITY INVESTMENT THAT
 2
 3
      YOU WERE ALREADY INVESTING IN ON BEHALF OF THESE PEOPLE,
 4
     CORRECT?
 5
           Α
                YES.
 6
                 SO THAT WHEN YOU GOT THE $60,000 FROM HIM IN
            Q
 7
     MARCH OF 1984, DID YOU IN FACT GIVE HIM BACK OR REINVEST
 8
     A PORTION OF THAT MONEY ON BEHALF OF CERTAIN PEOPLE?
 9
           Α
                 YES.
10
           0
              HOW MUCH DID YOU PUT BACK IN?
11
           Α
                 $35,000.
12
                 SO THE NET RESULT WAS THAT YOU ENDED UP WITH
           Q
13
     $25,000 OF THAT LEFT OVER?
14
           Α
                 YES.
15
                 ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU INVEST SOME OTHER MONEY
           Q
16
     WITH MR. HUNT IN APRIL OF 1984?
17
           Α
                 YES, I DID.
18
           Q
                 HOW MUCH?
19
           Α
                 $35,000.
20
           Q
                 AND WAS THAT TO BE INVESTED IN THE SAME COMMODITY
21
     INVESTMENT AS ALL OF THE OTHER MONEY OR SOME OTHER INVESTMENT?
22
                 ANOTHER INVESTMENT.
23
                 WHAT WAS THAT INVESTMENT?
           Q
24
           Α
                 THAT WAS IN GOLD.
25
           Q
                 AND DID YOU RECEIVE ANY RETURN ON THAT INVESTMENT?
26
                 I NEVER RECEIVED ANY PROFITS FROM THAT INVESTMENT
           Α
27
     AS -- AND I WAS TOLD THAT WE HAD DOUBLED OUR MONEY.
28
                 WHEN I SAY "WE," MY COUSIN CHESTER BROWN AND
```

1 I INVESTED IN THAT, AND I RECEIVED AT MY -- BY MY EFFORTS 2 AND BY MY INSISTENCE, \$21,000. 3 Q WHEN WAS THAT? 4 А I WOULD -- LATE APRIL, EARLY MAY OF '84. 5 AND WHAT DID THAT \$21,000 REPRESENT? Q 6 Α I WAS, AGAIN, AS I SAID EARLIER, I WAS PLANNING 7 TO GO TO EUROPE AND I WANTED TO BUY A CAR IN EUROPE, PICK 8 IT UP IN EUROPE AND I NEEDED THAT \$21,000 WHICH WAS THE MONEY 9 THAT -- LESS THE MONEY I HAD INVESTED OF THE \$35,000, SO 10 I WAS GETTING BACK \$21,000 OF THE 35,000 I HAD INVESTED, 11 SO IT WAS MY INITIAL INVESTMENT THAT WAS THE MONEY. 12 TWENTY-ONE OF THE THIRTY-FIVE --Q 13 Α YES. 14 -- THAT YOU HAD INVESTED IN THIS QUOTE "SEPARATE 0 15 INVESTMENT" UNQUOTE? 16 Α YES. 17 I NEVER RECEIVED THE PROFIT OF THAT AND HE HAD 18 SAID TO ME THAT WE HAD DOUBLED OUR MONEY IN A TWO-WEEK PERIOD. 19 DID YOU RECEIVE A PROFIT DISBURSEMENT, SO-CALLED Q 20 PROFIT DISBURSEMENT FROM MR. HUNT IN JULY OF 1984? 21 NO, BECAUSE I WAS IN EUROPE. 22 Q WAS IT SENT TO SOMEONE ON YOUR BEHALF? 23 DID HE PAY YOU OUT ANY MONEY IN JULY, DO YOU 24 KNOW? 25 Α NO. 26 MR. WAPNER: I HAVE A DOCUMENT HERE THAT IS ENTITLED 27 "FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA," 28 IT IS DATED JUNE 18 OF 1984; MAY BE MARKED AS 197 FOR

```
1
     IDENTIFICATION?
 2
          THE COURT: SO MARKED.
 3
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN DID YOU LEAVE FOR EUROPE,
 4
     MR. WEISS?
 5
          A MAY 25, 1984.
 6
           Q WHEN YOU LEFT FOR EUROPE, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDER-
 7
     STANDING IN TERMS OF HOW THE INVESTMENTS WERE DOING?
 8
           А
                THAT EVERYTHING WAS GOING VERY WELL.
9
           Q
                WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
10
           A JOE HUNT.
11
               WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU RECALL SPEAKING TO
           Q
12
     HIM BEFORE MAY THE 25TH WHEN YOU LEFT FOR EUROPE?
13
          A AT THE TIME I RECEIVED THE CHECK FOR $21,000,
14
     WE HAD TALKED.
15
                 I RECEIVED THAT CHECK IN THE OFFICE, HIS OFFICE,
16
     AND I WAS ASSURED BY HIM THAT EVERYTHING WAS GOING VERY WELL
17
     AND THAT I SHOULD GO OFF AND ENJOY MY TRIP.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	Q WHEN DID YOU RETURN FROM EUROPE?			
2	A THE LATTER PART OF AUGUST, 1984.			
3	Q SHOWING YOU A DOCUMENT WE HAVE MARKED AS 197			
4	FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE FORM DEPICTED BY			
5	THAT DOCUMENT?			
6	A I RECOGNIZE THE FORM.			
7	Q AND THAT HAS GOT YOUR NAME ON IT AND IT IS DATED			
8	JUNE THE 18TH; IS THAT RIGHT?			
9	A YES.			
10	Q ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THAT DOCUMENT			
11	BEFORE?			
12	A I HAVE SEEN IT SINCE JUNE 18.			
13	BUT I NEVER RECEIVED IT AT THAT TIME.			
14	Q DID YOU GET IT AT SOME POINT WHEN YOU GOT BACK			
15	FROM EUROPE?			
16	A YES.			
17	Q WHAT IS THAT DOCUMENT SUPPOSED TO TELL YOU?			
18	A THAT IS A QUARTERLY ACCOUNT STATEMENT FROM			
19	FEBRUARY 15 TO MAY 15.			
20	Q AND DOES IT INDICATE ON THAT STATEMENT THE PROFIT			
21	THAT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO HAVE MADE BETWEEN FEBRUARY THE			
22	15TH AND MAY THE 15TH OF 1984?			
23	A YES.			
24	Q AND HOW MUCH PROFIT DOES THAT INDICATE ON THAT			
25	STATEMENT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO HAVE MADE IN TERMS OF DOLLARS,			
26	NOT NECESSARILY PERCENTAGE?			
27	A I SEE THE NEW BALANCE IS \$151,595.			
28	: O DO YOU ALSO SEE WHERE IT SAYS "DISTRIBUTION FOR			

```
1
     THE QUARTER PER YOUR INSTRUCTIONS"?
 2
           Α
                  YES.
 3
                  AND WHAT DOES IT SAY ON THERE?
           0
 4
           Α
                  $64.321.53.
 5
           Q
                 WHAT DOES IT SAY AFTER THAT, IS THERE A NOTATION?
 6
           Α
                 "REINVESTMENT."
 7
           0
                 MEANING -- WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
 8
           Α
                  THAT ANY PROFIT MADE FOR THAT QUARTER WOULD BE
9
     AUTOMATICALLY REINVESTED INTO --
10
                  THE $64,321.53 WAS NOT PAID OUT TO YOU BUT IF
11
     THERE WAS IN FACT THAT MUCH PROFIT, IT WAS REINVESTED,
12
     SUPPOSEDLY, INTO THE TRADING; IS THAT CORRECT?
13
           Α
               YES.
14
                 AND THERE IS NO STATEMENT ON THAT DOCUMENT THAT
15
     IS MARKED 197 ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE OF THE PROFITS FOR THAT
16
     QUARTER, IS THERE?
17
           Α
                 NO, THERE ISN'T.
18
                 AND WHEN DID YOU GET BACK FROM EUROPE?
           0
19
                 THE LATTER PART OF AUGUST, 1984.
           Α
20
           0
                 AND WHEN YOU WERE IN EUROPE, DID YOU TRY AND
21
     KEEP TABS ON WHAT WAS HAPPENING WITH THE INVESTMENTS?
22
           Α
                 YES.
23
                 HOW DID YOU DO THAT?
           0
24
           Α
                 MY FIRST COUSIN, CHESTER BROWN WITH WHOM I GREW
25
     UP, REMAINED VERY CLOSE TO WHAT WAS HAPPENING IN LOS ANGELES
26
     AND HE WOULD CALL ME FROM TIME TO TIME WHILE I WAS IN EUROPE
27
     AND I WOULD SAY THAT I RECEIVED THREE PHONE CALLS FROM HIM.
28
                 NOW AFTER SPEAKING WITH MR. BROWN ON THE PHONE,
```

DID YOU HAVE SOME CONCERN ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH THE INVESTMENT? A YES, I DID. Q AND WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO LOS ANGELES IN THE LATTER PART OF AUGUST OF 1984, WHAT DID YOU DO? A I DECIDED TO LEAVE TEN PERCENT OF MY MONEY IN THE ACCOUNT AND TAKE OUT THE REST BECAUSE THERE WAS SOMETHING I WANTED TO DO WITH THAT MONEY AND --

```
1
                WHEN YOU MADE A DECISION TO LEAVE TEN PERCENT
           Q
     IN AND TAKE OUT THE REST, DID YOU COMMUNICATE THAT TO MR.
 3
     HUNT SOMEHOW?
 4
           А
               YES.
 5
                 I PUT THAT IN A LETTER TO HIM AND HE RECEIVED
 6
     IT.
 7
           0
                WHAT HAPPENED --
 8
                 WHEN DID YOU WRITE HIM A LETTER?
 9
                 SOON AFTER I RETURNED, SO I WOULD SAY THE FIRST
10
     WEEK OF SEPTEMBER OF '84.
11
                AND WHAT WERE YOU GOING TO LEAVE IN, TEN PERCENT
12
     OF EVERYTHING THAT -- THE ORIGINAL INVESTMENT PLUS EVERYTHING
13
     YOU HAD MADE?
14
           A YES.
15
                AFTER WRITING HIM THAT LETTER, WHAT HAPPENED?
16
           A I HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HIM IN HIS OFFICE AND
17
     I ASKED HIM IF HE --
18
                 AFTER I EXPLAINED WHAT I WANTED TO DO, IF HE
19
     THOUGHT IT WAS A GOOD IDEA.
20
           Q
                WHAT DID HE SAY?
21
                HE SAID IT WAS A VERY GOOD IDEA, THAT IF HE WERE
22
     IN MY SHOES AT MY AGE, HE WOULD RECOMMEND WHAT I WAS GOING
23
     TO DO.
24
              DID HE SAY THERE WAS ANYTHING WRONG WITH ANY
25
     OF THE INVESTMENTS, THAT THERE WAS ANY TROUBLE OR ANYTHING
26
     AT ALL, ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
27
           Α
               NO, NOT AT ALL.
28
                 EVERYTHING WAS FINE.
```

Α

YES, WE DID.

1 Q WHY? 2 WE WANTED TO BE SURE THAT THE CHECKS WERE SIGNED 3 AND MAILED OUT AND WHEN WE GOT THERE, THE CHECKS WERE IN 4 THEIR ENVELOPES BUT THEY HADN'T BEEN SIGNED. 5 AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU SAW THAT? 6 AND WE INSISTED THAT WE TALK WITH JOE AND WHEN 7 HE CAME OUT OF HIS OFFICE, HE SAID, "THERE IS NO MONEY. I 8 LIED." 9 THEN WHAT DID YOU SAY? Q 10 А PARDON ME? 11 0 WHAT DID YOU SAY? 12 THEN I SAID, "YOU CHEATED US. THE BEST THING 13 YOU HAD BETTER DO NOW IS TO CALL A MEETING OF ALL OF THE 14 INVESTORS AND EXPLAIN TO THEM WHERE ALL THEIR MONEY HAS GONE 15 TO." 16 Q ALL RIGHT. WAS THERE A MEETING OF THE INVESTORS 17 CALLED? 18 YES, THERE WAS. 19 WHEN WAS THAT? 20 IF MEMORY SERVES, I BELIEVE IT WAS THE -- WITHIN 21 THE NEXT WEEK OR -- YES, I WOULD SAY WITHIN THE NEXT WEEK, 22 SO THE MIDDLE OF SEPTEMBER. 23 WAS IT ON THE 21ST OF SEPTEMBER? Q 24 THAT WOULD BE CLOSE ENOUGH, YES. Α 25 AND DID YOU ATTEND THAT MEETING? Q 26 Α YES, I DID. 27 Q WHO CHAIRED THE MEETING, WHO LED IT? 28 Α JOE, JOE HUNT. JOE HUNT CHAIRED THE MEETING.

1 Q WHAT DID HE SAY AT THAT MEETING WITH REGARD TO 2 WHAT HAD HAPPENED WITH THE MONEY? 3 HE SAID THAT THERE WAS A TRADE THAT WAS LAID 4 ON THE WRONG WAY, WHATEVER THAT MEANT, AND SOMEONE THAT HE 5 HAD TOLD TO LAY THE TRADE ON, I BELIEVE HIS NAME WAS STEVE LOPEZ, LAID ON THE TRADE, PUT IT ON THE WRONG WAY AND BECAUSE OF 6 7 THAT HORRENDOUS MISTAKE, THERE WAS NO LONGER ANY MONEY IN 8 THE ACCOUNT. 9 SO IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING HE WAS SAYING THERE 0 10 WAS A ONE-TIME MISTAKE? 11 А YES. 12 WHEN DID HE SAY THAT ALL OF THIS HAPPENED? Q 13 А THAT, I DON'T REMEMBER. 14 DID HE TELL YOU THAT --Q 15 DID HE GIVE A DATE THAT ALL THIS HAD HAPPENED 16 AT ONE TIME AND THAT THERE HAD BEEN MONEY IN FACT INVESTED 17 AFTER THAT DATE OR HE HAD TAKEN IN MONEY AFTER THAT DATE? 18 Α AS I RECALL, IT HAPPENED BETWEEN JUNE AND SEPTEMBER 19 OF '84. 20 AS I SAY, I WAS OUT OF THE COUNTRY. 21 BUT IT WASN'T -- AS I RECALL, IT WASN'T SOMETHING 22 THAT WAS AN ACCUMULATION OF LOSSES. 23 IT WAS THIS ONE BAD TRADE THAT DESTROYED EVERYTHING. 24 Q DID HE SAY THAT HE WAS GOING TO DO ANYTHING OR 25 TRY TO DO SOMETHING TO PAY THE INVESTORS BACK? 26 Α YES. 27 Q WHAT WAS THAT? 28 THAT WE WOULD ALL SIGN PROMISSORY NOTES.

```
Q BEFORE THE PROMISSORY NOTES, LET ME BACK UP FOR
  1
       ONE SECOND.
  2
                   WAS THERE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT MONEY THAT HE
  3
       RECEIVED FROM ANOTHER SOURCE?
  4
             A I DON'T REMEMBER.
  5
             Q
                 DID HE HAVE A CHECK THERE --
  6
  7
            Α
               OH, YES.
  8
                  -- AT THE MEETING?
  9
                  I WAS SITTING NEXT TO HIM AND HE HELD UP THIS
 10
       CHECK AND HE SAYS, "I HAVE A CHECK HERE FOR A MILLION AND
- 11
      A HALF DOLLARS."
            THE COURT: A MILLION AND A HALF? YOU MEAN A MILLION
 12
 13
       FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND?
 14
            THE WITNESS: ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS.
 15
            THE COURT: THIS WAS IN SEPTEMBER?
 16
            THE WTINESS: IN SEPTEMBER OF '84.
 17
            Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHERE DID HE SAY HE GOT THE CHECK
 18
      FROM?
 19
                 FROM RON LEVIN.
            Α
 20
            Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THAT WAS THE ACTUAL CHECK
 21
      OR A COPY OF THE CHECK?
 22
            Α
                 I THOUGHT IT WAS A XEROX COPY OF THE CHECK.
 23
                 AND WHEN HE HELD UP THE CHECK AND SAID, "I HAVE
 24
      A CHECK HERE FOR A MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS FROM RON LEVIN,"
 25
      WHAT DID HE SAY?
 26
            A HE PASSED THIS CHECK TO ME FOR VERIFICATION AND
 27
      I LOOKED AT IT AND IT WAS ON SOME SWISS BANK AND THEN I WENT
 28
      TO PASS IT TO THE PERSON TO MY RIGHT AND JOE REACHED OVER
```

1	AND TOOK THE CHECK OUT OF MY HANDS AND PLACED IT BACK AT			
2	HIS PORTION OF THE DESK, AS IF HE DIDN'T WANT ANYBODY TO			
3	SEE IT.			
4	Q AND WHAT DID HE SAY HE WAS GOING TO DO WITH OR			
5	HAD INTENDED TO DO WITH THE PROCEEDS OF THAT?			
6	A HE WAS GOING TO REPAY IN PART OR IN FULL THE			
7	PEOPLE WHO HAD INVESTED.			
8	Q AND DID HE MAKE SOME EXPLANATION ABOUT WHY HE			
9	DIDN'T REPAY THE PEOPLE FROM THAT CHECK?			
10	A HE WAS WAITING FOR THE CHECK TO BE PROCESSED.			
11	Q AND DID HE SAY AT THAT POINT			
12	DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT HE SAID ABOUT WHETHER IT			
13	HAD BEEN PROCESSED OR WHETHER THE CHECK WAS GOOD OR NOT?			
14	A NO, THAT I DON'T REMEMBER.			
15	Q DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING PECULIAR TO YOU ABOUT			
16	THE CHECK?			
17	A IT WAS SIGNED ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE AND I WONDERED			
18	ABOUT THAT BECAUSE I HAD NEVER SEEN A CHECK WITH THE SIGNATURE			
19	OF THE PAYEE ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE.			
20	Q AFTER HE HAD THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT PAYING THE			
21	INVESTORS BACK FROM THE CHECK HE RECEIVED FROM MR. LEVIN,			
22	WAS THERE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT SOME PROMISSORY NOTES?			
23	A YES.			
24	Q WHAT WAS THAT?			
25	A THAT HE WOULD MAKE GOOD ON OUR INVESTMENTS OVER			
26	TIME, I BELIEVE HE SAID WITHIN THE YEAR, HE SAID A PARTICULAR			
27	DATE, AND NOT ONLY WOULD THE PEOPLE WHO SIGNED THE PROMISSORY			
28	NOTES, WOULD GET THEIR MONEY BACK, THEY WOULD ALSO GET INTEREST			

		-
. 1	ON THE MONEY THAT WAS FORTHCOMING.	
2	Q DID YOU SIGN ONE?	
3	A NO, I DID NOT.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	·	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Q WHY? 1 BECAUSE I FELT THAT IT WAS ALL PHONY TALK AND 2 I DIDN'T BELIEVE WHAT HE SAID. 3 MP. BARENS: OBJECTION AS TO RELEVANCY. ON THE LAST 4 COMMENT, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 6 7 Q BY MR. WAPNER: WAS THERE SOME PORTION OF THE PROMISSORY NOTE THAT ALSO CONSTITUTED A RELEASE OF ANY CLAIMS 8 THAT YOU HAD AGAINST MR. HUNT FOR ANY ERRORS IN THE TRADING? 9 10 YES, THERE WAS. YES, THERE WAS. 11 DID YOU EVER RECEIVE FROM MR. HUNT ANY OF THE SO-CALLED PROFITS THAT YOU MADE OR YOUR ORIGINAL INVESTMENT 12 13 BACK --14 Α NEVER. 15 -- OTHER THAN WHAT YOU HAVE TOLD US ABOUT ALREADY? 16 YES. 17 ALL RIGHT. SO THE MONEY THAT HE CLAIMED THAT 18 HE WAS OWING YOU AS OF THIS STATEMENT OF JUNE THE 18TH, YOU 19 NEVER RECEIVED ANY OF THAT MONEY? 20 A I NEVER RECEIVED ANY OF THAT MONEY AND ON PAPER. 21 THE STATEMENT THAT FOLLOWED MY -- THE NEW BALANCE, WAS CLOSE 22 TO \$300,000. 23 Q THAT IS THE SEPTEMBER STATEMENT THAT YOU DON'T 24 HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU? 25 A YES. 26 MR. BARENS: OBJECTION AS TO BEST EVIDENCE. WE DON'T 27 HAVE THE STATEMENT.

THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHERE THE STATEMENT IS?

```
MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE A COPY OF THAT.
 1
           Q WERE YOU TOLD BY MR. HUNT HOW MUCH YOU WERE
 2
     SUPPOSED TO GET?
 3
           Α
                 YES.
 4
                 AND HOW MUCH DID HE TELL YOU YOU WERE ENTITLED
 5
     TO GET?
 6
           A IT WAS ROUGHLY $300,000.
 7
           MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
 8
 9
10
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. BARENS:
11
                GOOD MORNING, MR. WEISS.
12
           Q
                 MR. WEISS, WHAT DO YOU DO FOR A LIVING?
13
           Α
                I DO TWO THINGS: I DO VIDEOTAPE EDITING AND I
14
     DO PSYCHOTHERAPY.
15
                IS THAT HOW YOU WERE ENGAGED, AS A PSYCHOTHERAPIST
16
     AND VIDEOTAPE PERSON IN 1984?
17
                I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.
18
19
                WHAT WAS YOUR EMPLOYMENT, BETTER STATED, IN 1983
20
     AND IN 1984, SIR?
21
           Α
                 вотн.
22
           Q
                 BOTH ACTIVITIES?
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
                 AND WHAT, PRIOR TO MAY OF 1983, WAS YOUR
25
     INVESTMENT EXPERIENCE, SIR, WHAT TYPE OF INVESTMENTS IN A
26
     GENERALIZED INVESTMENT DID YOU HAVE, SIR?
27
           А
                 WITH FIDELITY MUTUAL FUNDS.
28
                 DID YOU DO THAT THROUGH A STOCKBROKER OR DID YOU
```

```
DO THAT DIRECTLY?
 1
          A I DID THAT DIRECTLY.
 2
          Q HAD YOU EVER INVESTED IN STOCKS, BONDS, COMMODITIES
 3
     OR SECURITIES?
          A YES, I HAVE.
 5
                AND HAD YOU DONE THAT THROUGH A BROKER?
          Q
 6
          А
                YES.
 7
          Q DID YOU, AS A GENERAL EXPERIENCE, HAVE LOSSES
8
     AND GAINS IN THOSE SETTINGS?
9
          Α
               YES.
10
          Q AND HAD YOU PREVIOUSLY SPECIFICALLY INVESTED IN
11
     COMMODITIES?
12
          A NEVER.
13
          Q WHY, PRIOR TO 1983, HAD YOU NOT INVESTED IN
14
     COMMODITIES?
15
          Α
               I CONSIDERED THEM DANGEROUS, VOLATILE.
16
          Q
                RISKY?
17
18
                RISKY.
               AND IN YOUR STATE OF MIND, SIR, MUCH MORE RISKY
19
20
     THAN INVESTING IN CONVENTIONAL SECURITIES IN THE MARKET?
21
                YES.
          Α
22
              AND THEN YOU UNDERSTOOD WHEN YOU STARTED TO
23
     INVEST WITH MR. HUNT THAT THE UNDERLYING TYPE OF INVESTMENT
24
     VEHICLE WAS THE VERY TYPE OF INVESTMENT THAT UP TO THAT POINT
25
     IN TIME YOU HAD AVOIDED?
26
          А
                YES, BUT WITH QUALIFICATION.
27
          Q
            AND I SUPPOSE YOU SHOULD TELL ME THE QUALIFICATION,
28
     SIR.
```

THAT I WOULD NEVER HAVE INVESTED IN ANYTHING 1 EXCEPT WHAT HE HAD DESCRIBED TO ME, WHICH WERE GOVERNMENT 2 SECURITIES. 3 WHAT MADE A GOVERNMENT SECURITY WHICH IS, BY 4 DEFINITION, I BELIEVE, SIR, A COMMODITY --5 Α YES. 6 -- VARIETY -- WHAT MADE IT DIFFERENT FROM OTHER, 7 WHAT I AM GOING TO CALL PAPER COMMODITY INVESTMENTS? AND 8 BY THAT, I ENCOMPASS, IF YOU WILL EXCUSE THE EXPRESSION, 9 FINANCIAL FUTURES IN CURRENCIES, OTHER GOVERNMENTAL 10 DEBENTURES, FANNIE MAES, GINNIE MAES, THAT TYPE OF THING, 11 SIR; WHAT MADE THIS DIFFERENT? 12 Α JOE HUNT'S EXPLANATION. 13 OKAY. IN TRUTH THEN, I THINK WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN 14 15 TELLING US IS THAT YOU MADE THE INVESTMENT BECAUSE YOU BELIEVED IN A PERSON HAVING A PARTICULAR SKILL AND SO LONG 16 AS HE WAS SUCCESSFUL WITH THAT SKILL, YOU HAD REASONABLE 17 ANTICIPATION THAT YOU WOULD MAKE GOOD MONEY? 18 19 Α YES. 20 OKAY. HAVE YOU EVER DONE ANY INVESTMENTS BEFORE 21 WHERE YOU HAD A PARTICULAR CONFIDENCE IN THE BROKER YOU WERE 22 DEALING WITH? 23 NOT TO THE EXTENT THAT I HAD WITH JOE HUNT. 24 HOW ABOUT IN YOUR PREVIOUS BROKER RELATIONSHIPS, 25 SIR, DID YOU HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THOSE GENTLEMEN? 26 WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THEIR BEING SALESMEN, NO 27 MORE, NO LESS.

Q AND MR. HUNT WAS NOT A SALESMAN?

```
MR. HUNT POSSESSED A SYSTEM THAT, ACCORDING TO
 1
     HIM, ONLY VERY FEW PEOPLE, IF ANY, KNEW WITH REGARD TO
 2
     MAKING THE KIND OF PROFITS THAT HE WAS STATING.
 3
                  DID HE NOT APPEAR TO BE SELLING THAT SYSTEM, SIR?
 4
 5
           А
                  YES.
                 AND IN THAT REGARD, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MR.
 6
     HUNT AND ANOTHER BROKER IS THAT THE OTHER BROKER SAYS, "I
 7
     JUST INVEST IT IN THE MARKET AND I GIVE YOU ADVICE," WHEREAS
 8
     MR. HUNT SAYS, "I HAVE A SYSTEMS APPROACH RATHER THAN JUST
9
10
     GIVING YOU ADVICE"?
11
           А
                 YES.
12
                 MR. HUNT WAS AN EXPERT.
13
                 WITH A PARTICULAR SYSTEM --
           Q
14
           Α
                 YES.
15
           Q
                 -- HE HAD DEVELOPED?
16
           А
                 UH-HUH.
17
                 OTHER THAN HEARING FROM MR. HUNT THAT HE WAS AN
    EXPERT AT THIS SYSTEM, DID YOU MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO VERIFY
18
    OR VALIDATE THE SYSTEM INDEPENDENTLY, SIR?
19
20
           Α
                 THAT WAS THE CATCH-22.
21
                 HE SAID THAT IF I MADE ANY DUE DILIGENCE THAT --
22
    AND IN SOME WAY TRIED TO DISCOVER WHAT HIS SYSTEM WAS OR ASK
23
    HIM TO REVEAL HIS SYSTEM, THAT HE WOULD NO LONGER CONSIDER
24
    HANDLING MY ACCOUNT OR ANYBODY ELSE'S ACCOUNT.
25
                NOW YOU WERE MATURE AT THIS TIME, SIR?
          Q
26
          Α
                I HOPE SO.
27
                WHAT I AM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, SIR, HAD YOU UP
28
    TO THIS POINT HAD A BELIEF SYSTEM THAT TOLD YOU -- AND LET
```

```
ME PARENTHETICALLY SAY, OBVIOUSLY YOU HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT
 1
     OF EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OR YOU COULDN'T BE PROFESSIONALLY
 2
     DOING WHAT YOU OBVIOUSLY ARE DOING.
 3
                  DID YOU HAVE A BELIEF SYSTEM THAT INVESTMENTS,
 4
     OTHER THAN SAY IN A SAVINGS ACCOUNT, WERE RISK FREE?
 5
                 I NEVER HAD THAT BELIEF SYSTEM.
 6
7
           Q
              YOU DID NOT?
8
           А
                 I NEVER DID.
                 AND YOU DID NOT HAVE THAT BELIEF SYSTEM WHEN YOU
9
10
     MET MR. HUNT, DID YOU?
11
           А
                 NO, NO.
                 ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU SAY YOU FIRST CONTACTED
12
     MR. HUNT, IT WAS AS A RESULT OF SOME COMMENTARY YOU HAD
13
14
     RECEIVED FROM YOUR DAUGHTER, ALLISON?
15
           А
                YES.
16
                 DID ALLISON TELL YOU THAT JOE HUNT HAD ASKED HER
     TO ARRANGE AN INTRODUCTION THROUGH HER TO YOU?
17
18
           Α
                 NO.
19
                 SO IT WAS SOMETHING THAT, I GUESS IN YOUR TALKING
     TO HER, YOU MADE A DECISION YOU WANTED TO MEET MR. HUNT?
20
21
           Α
                YES. SHE SUGGESTED THAT I --
22
                 YOUR DAUGHTER SUGGESTED?
           Q
23
           Α
                 YES, THAT I GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM.
24
                 THEN YOU TOOK THE INITIATIVE TO SEEK MR. HUNT
           Q
25
     OUT AND ARRANGE FOR A MEETING OF SORTS?
26
           Α
                YES, YES I DID.
27
```

1 0 YOU SAID ON THE FIRST INSTANCE YOU MET HIM AT THE EXTERIOR OF CANTOR-FITZGERALD IN BEVERLY HILLS? 2 Α YES. 0 DID YOU GENTLEMEN HAVE LUNCH ON THAT OCCASION? 5 А I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 6 YOU JUST STOOD ON THE STREET --Q 7 Α YES. 8 Q -- WELL, ON THE SIDEWALK? 9 ON THE SIDEWALK. Α 10 Q AND TALKED? 11 WAS THERE ANYTHING PECULIAR ABOUT THAT? 12 WELL, IT IS NOT PECULIAR TO ME BECAUSE I FEEL 13 LOTS OF THINGS HAPPEN IN LOS ANGELES THAT MIGHT NOT HAPPEN 14 IN OTHER CITIES. 15 YES, INDEED, SIR. 16 ALL RIGHT, IN ANY EVENT, AT THAT POINT YOU HAD 17 A DISCUSSION. 18 DID YOU TELL HIM AT THAT FIRST POINT THAT YOU 19 WANTED TO INVEST \$5,000? 20 IF I DIDN'T SAY IT THE FIRST MEETING, I CERTAINLY 21 SAID IT THE SECOND. 22 WHAT I DID SAY WAS I HAD SOME DISCRETIONARY INCOME 23 THAT WAS MORE OR LESS PLAY MONEY AND THAT I FELT VERY PLAYFUL 24 WITH REGARD TO THE INVESTMENT AND I WAS INTERESTED. 25 IS IT NOT TRUE, SIR, THAT PERHAPS BECAUSE YOU 26 HAD A MODERATE AMOUNT OF DISCRETIONARY MONEY, IF I MAY CALL 27 IT THAT, SIR, YOU WERE A BIT MORE CASUAL IN THAT INSTANCE 28 WITH THAT INVESTMENT THAN YOU MIGHT HAVE BEEN ON PREVIOUS

OCCASIONS, MAKING INVESTMENT DECISIONS?

A YES AND NO.

Q WERE YOU BEING, PERHAPS A BETTER EXPRESSION I MIGHT USE, WERE YOU BEING EXPERIMENTAL WITH YOUR MONEY AT THAT POINT, SIR?

A I WOULD SAY MILDLY EXPERIMENTAL, BUT ALSO CAUTIOUS.

I WASN'T PREPARED TO THROW IT TO THE WINDS.

Q NO, I DID NOT MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT, SIR.

I MEANT TO SUGGEST THAT YOU WERE TRYING TO USE
A NORMAL LEVEL OF INVESTMENT ACUMEN THAT YOU HAD DEVELOPED
BUT PERHAPS IN A VARIENT SETTING AT THIS TIME, AS YOU HAVE
TOLD ME, YOU HAD NOT PREVIOUSLY INVESTED IN COMMODITIES.

A MAY I ELABORATE?

Q YES, SIR.

A HE CAME HIGHLY RECOMMENDED. I HOLD MY DAUGHTER IN HIGH REGARD. SHE IS A HIGH ACHIEVER. I GOT THE IMPRESSION JOE WAS A HIGH ACHIEVER. ALSO, FROM HER DESCRIPTIONS THAT WHEN SHE WAS IN COLLEGE AND WHEREVER JOE WAS, AT THE SAME TIME, WHATEVER HE WAS DOING, FROM TIME TO TIME THERE WOULD BE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF SOCIALIZATION AND ON THAT BASIS AND WHAT OTHER PEOPLE ALSO WERE SAYING ABOUT JOE, THAT HE SEEMED TO HAVE THE ABILITY AND INTELLIGENCE TO TRADE AND TO TRADE SUCCESSFULLY, SO TAKING THAT INTO ACCOUNT, I DIDN'T FEEL THAT I WAS GOING INTO SOMETHING TOTALLY BLIND WITH A STRANGER, AND SO ON THAT BASIS OF RECOMMENDATION, I BELIEVED THAT I WAS BEING DISCRETIONARY AND ALSO WITH A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF REALITY.

Q YES.

1 AND THE CONFIDENCE YOU HAD IN THE INDIVIDUAL AT THAT POINT DID NOT TAKE AWAY ALL RISK FROM THE INVESTMENT, 2 DID IT? 4 A NO, NOT AT ALL. 5 OKAY, YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAD INVESTED \$5,000; 6 AT THAT POINT OR AT ANY SUBSEQUENT POINT, DID YOU SIGN AN 7 INVESTOR AGREEMENT THAT OUTLINED THE PARAMETERS OF THE 8 RELATIONSHIP YOU HAD WITH EITHER MR. HUNT OR FINANCIAL FUTURES 9 TRADING? 10 A WELL, FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING WAS NOT IN 11 EXISTENCE AT THAT TIME. 12 Q YES, SIR. 13 A THERE WAS -- I SIGNED SOMETHING AROUND THAT TIME 14 WHICH WAS A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT. THAT IS THE ONLY 15 THING I REMEMBER SIGNING. 16 DID YOU BRING A COPY OF THAT WITH YOU TODAY? 17 A I DON'T -- I DIDN'T BRING A COPY OF THAT WITH 18 ME TODAY. 19 MR. BARENS: MIGHT I HAVE A MOMENT TO GET THE EXHIBIT, 20 YOUR HONOR? 21 I NEED DEFENDANT'S V. 22 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 23 BY MR. BARENS: SIR, I AM SHOWING YOU A DOCUMENT 24 THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN MARKED DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT V; COULD 25 YOU PLEASE LOOK AT THAT AND TELL ME IF THAT IS WHAT YOU 26 RECALL AS BEING THE SAME OR SIMILAR TO THE DOCUMENT YOU

28

27

EXECUTED?

А

NO.

Q THAT IS NOTHING LIKE WHAT YOU SAW? Α NO. THIS IS MAY 15 AND I HAD THAT ONE --THE COURT: MAY 15TH OF WHAT YEAR? THE WITNESS: '83. THE COURT: IS THAT MAY 15TH '83? THE WITNESS: THAT IS MAY 15 -- EXCUSE ME -- THAT IS MAY 15 '83. 

```
1
          THE COURT: AND YOU HAD ONE, WHAT DID YOU SAY?
 2
           THE WITNESS: I HAD ONE THAT WAS AROUND THIS TIME BUT
     I THINK THERE IS A MISTAKE HERE.
 3
 4
             BY MR. BARENS: SIR?
 5
                 I THINK THERE IS A MISTAKE HERE IN THE DATE.
 6
                 THIS WAS DONE MUCH LATER.
 7
           Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT, SIR?
 8
                 BECAUSE THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT THAT I HAD WAS --
 9
     THESE PEOPLES' NAMES WEREN'T ON IT.
10
                 IT WAS MY OWN NAME AND NOBODY ELSE'S.
11
                 IT WAS WRITTEN IN. IT WAS A FORM, LIMITED
12
     PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT THAT I WOULD IMAGINE ANYBODY COULD
13
     PURCHASE.
14
           MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH COUNSEL?
15
           THE COURT: YES.
16
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)
17
           THE WITNESS: THERE IS A MISTAKE ON THAT DATE.
18
           THE COURT: WOULD YOU APPROACH THE WITNESS, BOTH OF
19
     YOU, AND HAVE HIM EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT IT IS?
20
           MR. BARENS: YES, I AM HOPEFUL THAT WHAT MR. WAPNER
21
     IS GIVING ME NOW IS HELPFUL TO THE WITNESS AS WELL.
22
           THE WITNESS: OKAY.
23
           MR. BARENS: COULD I JUST APPROACH THE WITNESS
24
     PRIVATELY FOR A MINUTE?
25
          THE COURT: SURE.
26
           MR. BARENS: SO I CAN TRY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT I HAVE
27
     GOT HERE, YOUR HONOR.
28
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
```

1 AND THE WITNESS.) 2 MR. BARENS: WHAT I AM GOING TO DO, MR. WEISS, I HAVEN'T 3 HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THIS YET SO I AM GOING TO ASK YOU 4 SOME OTHER QUESTIONS. 5 Α OKAY. 6 Q AND THEN COME BACK TO THIS AFTER I HAVE A MINUTE 7 TO REVIEW IT. 8 NOW GOING BACK TO FOLLOWING THE ORDER OF YOUR 9 TESTIMONY YESTERDAY: YOU MENTIONED THAT AFTER YOU HAD MADE 10 THE ORIGINAL \$5,000 INVESTMENT, YOU RECEIVED BACK \$4,000. 11 (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS NODS HIS HEAD 12 UP AND DOWN.) 13 MR. WAPNER: IS THAT YES? THE WITNESS NODDED HIS HEAD. 14 I JUST WANTED TO GET SOMETHING ON THE RECORD. OR WAS THERE 15 A QUESTION? 16 THE WITNESS: IT HAD NEVER BEEN CLEAR TO ME WHETHER 17 IT WAS A RETURN ON THE \$5,000 OR THE COMBINATION OF THE 18 FIVE AND THE FIFTEEN THOUSAND, BECAUSE I RECEIVED THAT CHECK 19 SOMEWHERE AROUND THE 1ST OF JULY. 20 BY MR. BARENS: OKAY, I WASN'T CLEAR YESTERDAY. 21 AFTER YOU INVESTED FIVE, A POINT CAME WHEN YOU GOT BACK 22 FOUR; DID YOU GET BACK THE FOUR AFTER YOU HAD INVESTED ANOTHER 23 10,000? 24 THE WITNESS: IT WAS \$15,000. IT WAS A TOTAL OF 25

Q SO YOU DIDN'T GIVE HUNT BACK FIVE AND HE GAVE
YOU BACK FOUR --

26

27

28

\$4,000 BACK.

TWENTY, AND AT THAT TIME WITH THE TOTAL OF TWENTY, I RECEIVED

1 Α NO. 2 Q -- AND SAID "ON THE FIVE, YOU MADE FOUR"? 3 NO. 4 0 WHEN YOU GOT THE FOUR, WERE YOU ASKED FOR ANOTHER 5 INVESTMENT? 6 Α NO. 7 AT ANY TIME DURING THIS TIME FRAME, WHICH IS 8 THE FIRST COUPLE OF MONTHS THAT YOU ARE INVOLVED, WHICH IS 9 NOW MAY OF 1983 ROUGHLY TO JULY, 1983, WHICH IS, I THINK 10 IT WAS THE DATE YOU ARE GIVING ME. 11 Α YES, I DID. 12 WAS HUNT ASKING YOU FOR MONEY? Q 13 Α NO. 14 WAS HUNT TRYING TO SAY TO YOU, BEFORE YOU COULD Q 15 GET THE \$4,000 YOU HAD TO PUT IN MORE MONEY OR SOME TIE-IN 16 RELATIONSHIP LIKE THAT? 17 Α NO. 18 DID HE ENCOURAGE YOU TO RECRUIT PEOPLE FOR HIM --0 19 SAY I AM NOW IN THIS SPRING-SUMMER OF '83 PERIOD, WAS HE 20 SAYING TO YOU, RECRUIT PEOPLE FOR ME, MR. WEISS? 21 Α NO. 22 AT THAT POINT, YOU HAD, BECAUSE OF GOOD WILL 23 YOU HAD TOWARDS CERTAIN PEOPLE IN YOUR FAMILY AND ASSOCIATIONS, 24 YOU HAD TOLD PEOPLE ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE INVESTMENT YOU 25 WERE INVOLVED IN, SIR? 26 Α YES. 27 YOU DID THAT SOMEWHAT AS A VOLUNTEER BECAUSE 28

OF YOUR SETTING IN YOUR SOCIAL UNIT AND YOUR AFFINITY FOR

1 YOUR FAMILY? 2 A YES. 3 HAD YOU IN THE PAST EVER DONE THAT ABOUT OTHER 4 INVESTMENTS YOU HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN? 5 NOT NECESSARILY OTHER INVESTMENTS. 6 BUT AS I SAID YESTERDAY, I AM OF THAT NATURE 7 THAT I LIKE TO SHARE GOOD FORTUNE AND THIS SEEMED LIKE GOOD 8 FORTUNE TO ME. 9 QUITE SO, AND YOU STRIKE ME THAT WAY AS WELL, 0 10 MR. WEISS. 11 BUT WHAT I AM SEEKING TO FIND OUT IS, PRIOR TO 12 THIS OCCASION INVOLVING THE INVESTMENT WITH MR. HUNT, HAD 13 YOU EVER MADE AN INVESTMENT OR INVESTMENTS WHERE YOU HAD 14 GONE TO FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND SAID, LISTEN, I INVESTED IN 15 SO AND SO AND IT MIGHT BE A GOOD IDEA IF YOU DID THE SAME 16 THING? 17 I AM SURE I HAVE. 18 Q YOU HAD DONE THAT IN THE PAST? 19 Α I AM SURE I HAD. 20 SO THIS WAS NOT SOMETHING WHERE YOU HADN'T 21 OBVIOUSLY DONE BEFORE. YOU WERE ACTING CONSISTENT WITH PRIOR 22 BEHAVIOR IN YOUR LIFE? 23 А YES. 24 NOW YOU HAD MENTIONED THAT THE 30 OR 40 PEOPLE Q 25 THAT ENDED UP INVESTING WERE PEOPLE THAT YOU KNEW PERSONALLY? 26 Α YES. 27

28

Q AND THESE WERE PEOPLE THAT YOU WOULD COME UP AND 1 PRESENT THEM, AFTER A FASHION, TO MR. HUNT OR WOULD THEY 2 BE PEOPLE THAT HAD NEVER MET HUNT BUTJUST MADE INVESTMENTS? 3 4 A MANY OF THEM DID MEET JOE HUNT. 5 AND SOME OF THEM DIDN'T MEET HUNT AT ALL? 6 А SOME DIDN'T. Q OKAY. AND THOSE PEOPLE, HOW DID HUNT HAVE CONTACT 7 WITH THOSE PEOPLE? WAS IT THROUGH YOU OR TELEPHONICALLY OR 8 9 THROUGH CORRESPONDENCE? 10 BOTH THROUGH ME AND TELEPHONICALLY. 11 AND WAS HUNT APPRECIATIVE WHEN YOU WOULD BRING HIM IN THESE INVESTORS? 12 13 A ON BALANCE, I WOULD SAY YES. WE HAD CERTAINLY, 14 DIFFERENCES OF OPINION IN THE WAY THAT HE EITHER ACCEPTED 15 OR TREATED THESE PEOPLE. 16 WAS IT A FAIR STATEMENT THAT A LOT OF TIMES, YOU 17 WOULD BRING HIM PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS THAT HE WAS LESS 18 RESPONSIVE TO? 19 A YES. 20 AND DID NOT SEEM PARTICULARLY ANXIOUS OR INTERESTED Q 21 IN TAKING THEIR MONEY? 22 I DON'T KNOW WHAT HIS MOTIVATION WAS. 23 BUT HE SEEMED RECALCITRANT OR HESITANT TO DO 24 BUSINESS WITH THEM? 25 А YES. 26 Q BUT HE DIDN'T KNOW THEM, DID HE? 27 HE HAD AN ATTITUDE THAT -- I REMEMBER ONE MEETING 28 WE HAD TOGETHER, HAVING LUNCH, AND HE WAS COMPLAINING ABOUT

PEOPLE PHONING HIM, PEOPLE TRYING TO SEE HIM. AND HE SAID
TO ME IN A SOMEWHAT THREATENING MANNER, WELL, MAYBE I JUST
OUGHT TO CANCEL ALL OF THESE PEOPLE OUT AND FORGET ABOUT ALL
OF THE INVESTMENTS AND I SAID, "LISTEN, YOU ARE IN A SERVICE
BUSINESS. AND IF YOU FEEL YOU ARE NOT MAKING A REASONABLE
PROFIT, THEN CASH EVERYBODY OUT."

Q RIGHT.

A SO THAT IS, WHEN YOU ASKED THAT QUESTION, THAT WAS -- I'M --

Q DID HIS ATTITUDE AT TIMES SEEM RATHER CAVALIER
TO YOU ABOUT OBTAINING MORE BUSINESS, AS OPPOSED TO HUNGRY?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION OF THE
WITNESS.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

Q INDIFFERENT MIGHT BE INTERCHANGEABLE WITH CAVALIER IN THIS SETTING?

A I WOULD CHARACTERIZE IT AS ECCENTRIC.

Q ECCENTRIC IN THE SENSE THAT IT DIDN'T OCCUR TO
YOU? IN OTHER WORDS, YOU HAD BUSINESS WITH OTHER STOCKBROKERS,
RIGHT?

A YES.

Q AND THE AVERAGE STOCKBROKER IS SAYING I SUPPOSE,
BRING ME EVERYBODY YOU KNOW AND EVERYTHING THEY HAVE GOT AND
WE'LL DO THE BEST WE CAN OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND HUNT
DIDN'T SEEM TO HAVE THAT APPETITE, DID HE?

A NO HE DIDN'T.

Q AND THAT APPEARED EVEN AT THE TIME, ECCENTRIC,

TO YOU?

WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT WHAT YOU HAVE TO OFFER IS AVAILABILITY OF PERSON AND CERTAINLY AVAILABILITY OF IDEAS AND IT SEEMED TO RUN COUNTER, HIS ATTITUDE OR HIS BEHAVIOR, SEEMED TO RUN COUNTER TO SERVICING AND PROTECTING PEOPLE'S ACCOUNTS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK -- WITHIN THE NORMAL FRAMEWORK OF STOCKBROKERAGE.

Q OKAY. NOW, THE PEOPLE THAT CAME IN, MR. HUNT HAD NOT SOLICITED, IS THAT CORRECT?

A CORRECT.

Q AND THE DECISIONS THEY MADE TO INVEST, WERE BASED EITHER ON CONFIDENCE THAT THEY HAD IN YOU OR CONFIDENCE THEY HAD GENERALLY IN THIS TYPE OF INVESTMENT VEHICLE OR PAST EXPERIENCE THEY HAD, WHAT WAS YOUR SENSE OF THAT, SIR?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR SPECULATION ON WHY

A CERTAIN PERSON MADE A DECISION TO INVEST.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. BARENS: TO THE EXTENT YOU KNOW SIR, BASED
ON PERSONAL CONTACT YOU HAD WITH THE PEOPLE THAT INVESTED
SUSBSEQUENT TO YOURSELF, DO YOU KNOW ON WHAT BASIS THEY
APPEARED TO YOU TO BE PROCEEDING?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, THERE IS THE SAME OBJECTION AND ALSO,
HE IS NOW TALKING ABOUT A GROUP THAT HAS BEEN DEFINED BY AS
MANY AS MAYBE 70 PEOPLE. SO HE IS TALKING ABOUT THE FIRST
ONE, THE 70, THIS ONE OR SOMEONE IN BETWEEN? IT CALLS FOR
A CONCLUSION AS TO WHY SOMEONE TOOK A PARTICULAR ACTION, WHICH
HE IS NOT QUALIFIED TO DO.

```
MR. BARENS: I ASKED LIMITED YOUR HONOR, TO THE EXTENT
 1
     HE KNEW, TO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OR CONTACT.
 2
           THE COURT: I SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION TO THAT PARTICULAR
     QUESTION. REPHRASE IT, IF YOU WILL.
 4
 5
           MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR.
 6
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)
 7
                BY MR. BARENS: WELL, DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME,
           Q
     DURING THE INITIAL FEW MONTHS, DID YOU DEVELOP SOMEWHAT OF
 8
     A PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. HUNT?
9
10
           Α
                YES I DID.
                AND YOU HAD TALKS OTHER THAN JUST ABOUT BUSINESS?
11
12
    YOU HAD SOME PERSONAL TYPE DISCUSSIONS?
13
           Α
                YES.
14
                 DID HE TELL YOU DURING THOSE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT
15
    HIS EXPERIENCES IN CHICAGO?
16
                YES HE DID.
17
                AND DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCE ON THE
18
    CHICAGO COMMODITIES EXCHANGE?
19
          Α
                YES.
20
          Q DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HAPPENED TO HIM THERE?
21
          A YES.
22
          Q
                WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
23
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR HEARSAY. I ASK TO
24
    APPROACH THE BENCH.
25
          MR. BARENS: WELL YOUR HONOR, YESTERDAY, WE GOT INTO
26
    ALL THIS -- ADMITTED EVERYTHING HUNTEVER SAID TO THIS MAN.
27
          THE COURT: I WILL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION.
28
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
```

Q IF YOU WOULD, SIR --

J

THE COURT: IT MAY BE SELF-SERVING IN PART. BUT PART

OF THE CONVERSATIONSTHEY HAD MIGHT BE MATERIAL. TELL US WHAT

HE TOLD YOU SO FAR AS BASICALLY, THE CHICAGO EXCHANGE.

THE WITNESS: HE SAID --

THE COURT: IS HE INTERFERING WITH YOU? I CAN ADMONISH HIM TO KEEP QUIET.

MR. BARENS: ACTUALLY, MR. HUNT HAD TOLD MR. CHIER SOMETHING THAT HE REPEATED TO ME FROM MR. HUNT.

THE COURT: IS HE DISTURBING YOU IN ANY WAY? IF HE IS, LET ME KNOW.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BARENS: NOT AT ALL, YOUR HONOR. ACTUALLY, IT WAS HELPFUL TO THAT EXTENT. BUT IF HE DOES, I WILL TELL YOU, YOUR HONOR. (LAUGHTER IN THE COURTROOM.) ALL RIGHT. BE ADVISED, MR. CHIER. Q BY MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD, ANSWER THE QUESTION, SIR. THE COURT: IT LOOKS LIKE A CHARLIE MC CARTHY ACT. I GOT THE IMPRESSION YOU WANTED TO LEAVE. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, NO. WHEN HE PUTS HIS HAND ON MY BACK, YOU WILL KNOW. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. IF YOU WOULD, SIR? HE SAID THAT -- I AM PARAPHRASING, THAT HE WAS A BRIGHT YOUNG MAN WITH VERY GOOD IDEAS IN TERMS OF TRADING AND THAT THERE WAS A GROUP IN CHICAGO WHO MORE OR LESS CONTROLLED THE MERCANTILE EXCHANGE AND WHEN THEY RECOGNIZED THAT HE WAS GETTING THEM AT THEIR OWN TRADING GAME, THAT THEY CONSPIRED AGAINST HIM AND LAID ON A CERTAIN TRADE WHEREBY. HE WAS KNOCKED OUT OF HIS FINANCIAL POSITION. DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE HAD ACTION TAKEN AGAINST Q ACTIVITIES?

HIM IN THE CHICAGO MERCANTILE EXCHANGE AS A RESULT OF THESE

I BELIEVE HE SAID HE LOST A SEAT ON THE EXCHANGE.

YES. HE TOLD YOU THAT ULTIMATELY IN CHICAGO, HE HAD BEEN, TO PARAPHRASE, KICKED OUT OF THE EXCHANGE?

> Α YES.

NOW, YOU HAD TESTIFIED YESTERDAY THAT PERIODICALLY, YOU WOULD RECEIVE INVESTMENT RECAPS AND WHEN YOU GOT THE

RECAPS, WERE YOU FOLLOWING ALONG PRIOR TO THAT TIME OR WHENEVER
YOU GOT THEM? DID YOU FOLLOW THE UNDERLYING MARKETS?

A WHAT MARKET?

Q WELL, AND I MAY SPEAK HYPOTHETICALLY NOW, IF YOU
INVESTED IN CERTAIN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES OR IF YOU WERE

INVESTED IN GOLD, DID YOU EVER LOOK AT THE NEWSPAPER TO FOLLOW

A I CERTAINLY LOOKED AT THE FINANCIAL SECTION OF THE PAPER. BUT I HAD A LOT OF DIFFICULTY MAKING HEADS OR TAILS OF WHAT WE WERE DEALING IN AND WHAT ALL THOSE PERCENTAGE POINTS MEANT, PLUS OR MINUS.

Q DID YOU EVER ASK ANYONE, INCLUDING OR EXCLUDING MR. HUNT, TO GIVE YOU ADVICE SO THAT YOU COULD PERHAPS LOOK AT THE L.A. TIMES OR WALL STREET JOURNAL AND TRY TO TRACK YOUR INVESTMENTS?

A NOT NECESSARILY, NO. THE ONLY ADVICE THAT HE GAVE ME ESSENTIALLY, HAD TO DO WITH THE GOLD TRADING BUT THAT CAME UP MUCH LATER.

Q OKAY. AND I WILL TRY TO GET TO THAT. DID MR.

HUNT IN SPEAKING WITH THE PEOPLE THAT YOU INTRODUCED HIM TO,

TO THE EXTENT YOU HAVE PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE SIR, EVER PERSONALLY

GUARANTEE THE INVESTMENTS OF THE PEOPLE?

A TO THE EXTENT THAT HE GUARANTEED THAT NONE OF US WOULD LOSE ANY MORE THAN 15 PERCENT OF OUR ORIGINAL INVESTMENT.

Q OKAY. AND HE SAID IF YOU LOST MORE THAN -- I
THINK YOU SAID, 15, MEANING 15?

A 15.

THE MARKET, SO TO SPEAK?

Q YOU DON'T REMEMBER HIM SAYING THAT HE WILL GUARANTEE THAT YOU WILL MAKE 30 PERCENT ON YOUR MONEY AND IF YOU DON'T, I WILL PAY YOU 30 PERCENT ON YOUR MONEY?

WELL, I DON'T KNOW ANY BANK THAT WOULD SAY, THAT LIKE THE BANK WOULD SAY ON THE 5 PERCENT THEY ARE GOING TO PAY YOU.

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, COMPOUND.

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?

MR. BARENS: I THINK THE WITNESS UNDERSTOOD.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?

THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION.

THE COURT: YOU MAY ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: THERE WAS AN ASSURANCE THAT HE PLEDGED
TO ME IN THE BEGINNING AND MENTIONED TO THE PEOPLE LATER ON,
THAT THERE WOULD BE A RETURN ON THE INVESTMENT, ON OUR
INVESTMENTS OF ROUGHLY 15 TO 18 PERCENT A MONTH.

```
BY MR. BARENS: DID HE EVER TELL YOU IF IT
            Q
 1
     MATURES OR MATERIALIZES THAT HE GUARANTEED THE 15 TO 18 PERCENT?
 2
 3
           Α
                  NO.
 4
                  OKAY. YOU MENTIONED THAT LATER ON, I THINK WE
     ARE INTO 1984, THAT AT A POINT, I THINK IT WAS JANUARY OF
5
     1984, THAT YOU REFINANCED YOUR HOME, RECEIVING SOME $50,000?
6
7
           А
                  YES.
                  FOR WHICH YOU MADE AN INVESTMENT?
8
           Q
           Α
9
                  YES.
10
           Q
                 DID MR. HUNT EVER ASK YOU TO REFINANCE YOUR HOME?
11
           Α
                 NO.
                 AND HE HAD NOT REQUESTED THAT YOU GBTAIN $50,000
12
           Q
13
     TO INVEST?
14
           Α
                 HE NEVER SOLICITED.
15
                 NOW, WE HAD SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT REINVESTING
     OF A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OR PORTION OF PROFIT THAT HUNT HAD
16
17
     RECEIVED AND THEN IN REFERENCE TO ONE OF THE EXHIBITS YOU
     HAD INDICATED THERE WAS $60,000 IN THAT REGARD?
18
19
           Α
                 YES.
20
                 DID HUNT ACTUALLY HAND YOU A CHECK FOR $60,000?
           Q
21
           Α
                 YES.
22
           Q
                 NOW, WERE YOU FREE TO WALK OUT WITH THE $60,000?
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
           Q
                 AND AFTER DISCUSSIONS WITH HUNT, YOU DECIDED THAT
25
    YOU WOULD INSTEAD REINVEST A PORTION OF THE MONEY, I BELIEVE
26
    YOU SAID $35,000; WHO MADE THE DECISION, SIR, THAT THE SUM
27
     WOULD BE $35,000 AS OPPOSED TO ANY OTHER NUMBER?
28
                 IT WAS A QUESTION OF TIME.
```

IT WASN'T A QUESTION OF CHOOSING A PARTICULAR NUMBER.

I WAS ON MY WAY TO EUROPE AND THERE WERE THREE

OR FOUR OTHER PEOPLE FOR WHOM I WANTED TO INVEST WITH JOE

AND I DIDN'T HAVE THE TIME TO GO AHEAD AND DO THAT, AND I

HAD BEEN TALKING TO A FEW OF THESE PEOPLE BEFORE I LEFT AND

I HAD PLANNED TO CONTINUE TALKING TO THEM A LITTLE BIT AFTER

I RETURNED, SO IT WAS JUST A FUNCTION OF TIME.

BUT I HAVE ENTERED INTO AGREEMENTS WITH PEOPLE

FOR WHOM I HAVE TRUST AND RESPECT, SOMETIMES ON A HANDSHAKE.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE A WRITTEN AGREEMENT. IT CAN BE VERBAL.

- Q I UNDERSTAND.
- A IF I GIVE YOU MY WORD, I GIVE YOU MY WORD.
- Q I UNDERSTAND AND ACCEPT THAT, MR. WEISS.

WHAT I AM SEEKING IS, IF YOU COULD, THOUGH, ANSWER ME, THAT IN THE UNIVERSE OF NUMBERS, YOU COULD HAVE DRAWN BETWEEN ZERO AND \$60,000 AND YOU SELECTED THE NUMBER 65 -- I AM SORRY -- \$35,000?

A 35,000.

Q IS THERE A REASON, A FINANCIAL REASON OR A NUMERICAL ASCRIBABLE REASON?

- A SEVEN PEOPLE.
- Q OKAY, SO IT HAD TO DO WITH A DECISION YOU MADE?
- A YES.
  - Q MR. HUNT DIDN'T SAY, KEEP 25,000, GIVE ME THIRTY-FIVE?
- A NO.
- Q YOU, ON YOUR OWN, SAID WELL, MY DECISION IS I
  WILL KEEP TWENTY-FIVE AND INVEST FIVE PER PERSON FOR SEVEN

## PEOPLE? A MR TH Q ONLY GIV ME? A THESE PE Q UNDERSTA

A UH-HUH.

MR. WAPNER: IS THAT A YES?

THE WITNESS: YES. SORRY. YES.

Q BY MR. BARENS: DID MR. HUNT SAY TO YOU, I WILL ONLY GIVE YOU THE \$60,000 SO LONG AS YOU REINVEST 35,000 WITH ME?

A THE UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT I WOULD REINVEST FOR THESE PEOPLE IN THIS SO-CALLED PROGRAM.

Q I UNDERSTAND THAT ULTIMATELY IT WAS THE UNDERSTANDING.

I AM ASKING YOU IF, PRIOR TO YOUR GETTING THE 60,000, MR. HUNT SAID TO YOU, I WILL GIVE YOU 60,000 IF YOU GUARANTEE TO REINVEST THE \$35,000 WITH ME?

A NO.

IT WAS MUTUAL. WE AGREED THAT THAT WAS THE WAY WE WOULD DO IT.

Q DID THERE APPEAR TO BE STRINGS ATTACHED TO THE \$60,000 PRIOR TO THE TIME IT WAS HANDED TO YOU?

A NO.

Q NOW, THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT, WHAT I AM GOING TO GENERALLY CHARACTERIZE AS PHILANTHROPIC TYPE INVESTMENTS, AND JUST ACCEPT THAT TERM FOR NOW, IF YOU WOULD, MR. WEISS, UNDERSTANDING WHAT I MEAN; WAS THAT ONE DISCUSSION OR A SERIES OF DISCUSSIONS?

A IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A SERIES OF DISCUSSIONS, BUT AS I REMEMBER IT, ESSENTIALLY, IT WAS ONE CONVERSATION.

MR. BARENS: COULD I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

28

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 1 AND MR. CHIER.) 2 BY MR. BARENS: PRIOR TO THE \$60,000 EVENT, WE 3 0 WILL CALL IT, YOU HAD HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. HUNT ABOUT 4 5 PERCENTAGES OF HIS PROFITS OR YOURS BEING APPLIED IN SOME PHILANTHROPIC SETTING? 6 A YES. 7 WERE THERE EVER ANY DISTRIBUTIONS TO YOU PURSUANT 8 TO THOSE DISCUSSIONS? 9 I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT. I AM SORRY. 10 WELL, YOU AND MR. HUNT, PRIOR TO THE TIME THE 11 \$60,000 CAME UP, HAD HAD DISCUSSIONS, I BELIEVE, ABOUT 12 SEGREGATING CERTAIN SUMS OR PERCENTAGES OR MONEYS FOR OTHER 13 14 THAN HARD FINANCIAL PURPOSES BUT, RATHER, FOR MORE PHILANTHROPIC PURPOSES? 15 16 Α UH-HUH. AS A RESULT OF ANY OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS, AT ANY 17 18 TIME HAD THERE BEEN ANY DISTRIBUTIONS TO YOU OF FUNDS PURSUANT TO THOSE DISCUSSIONS? 19 20 A NOT THAT I KNOW OF. 21 SO THE DISTRIBUTIONS YOU HAD RECEIVED PRIOR TO 22 THE \$60,000 WERE ALL JUST FINANCIAL-BASED OR FINANCIAL NEXUS 23 DISTRIBUTIONS AS OPPOSED TO PHILANTHROPIC NEXUS? 24 А YES. 25 THEN AT THIS POINT, WAS THERE A PARTICULAR REASON 26 WHY THAT DISCUSSION CAME UP AT THIS POINT AS FAR AS MAKING

A DECISION NOW THAT YOU WILL INVEST \$35,000?

WE HAD NEVER HAD A CONVERSATION LIKE THE ONE WE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HAD WITH REGARD TO A VIEW OF SOCIETY IN WHICH THERE WOULD BE A POSSIBILITY FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE FINANCIALLY BETTER BASED TO HELP PEOPLE IN NEED AND WE BOTH DISCOVERED THAT, AND I WAS GRATIFIED, BEING AN OLDER PERSON COMING FROM THE PREVIOUS GENERATION, THAT IN THE YOUNGER GENERATION SOMEONE -WAS DEMONSTRATING THE KIND OF POINT OF VIEW, BASED ON MY OWN EXPERIENCE, THAT COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE WORLD AT LARGE.

THAT POINT OF VIEW THAT HUNT EXPRESSED AT THAT Q TIME, DID NOT SEEM INCONSISTENT WITH HIS CHARACTER AS YOU KNEW HIM?

A IT DIDN'T SEEM INCONSISTENT WITH HIS CHARACTER BECAUSE THERE WASN'T THAT MUCH REVEALED ABOUT HIS CHARACTER, AS I SAID.

Q RIGHT.

AS I SAID, HE SEEMED ECCENTRIC AND I LIKENED HIM TO HOWARD HUGHES, STRANGE, BUT WITH A CERTAIN ABILITY TO PERFORM WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF SOCIETY.

Q I WISH I COULD. IN ANY EVENT, IT IS A MINOR JOKE, YOUR HONOR.

IN ANY EVENT, YOU HAD ALSO MENTIONED ABOUT REINVESTING OR TAKING DISTRIBUTIONS. HAD YOU EVER HEARD HUNT COUNSEL INVESTORS TO TAKE THEIR PROFITS OUT OR LIQUIDATE AT CERTAIN POINTS IN TIME?

I NEVER HEARD HIM PER SE, BUT I DID SEE PAPERWORK WHERE HE WOULD BE MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS, AND I THINK THERE WAS ONE IN JUNE OF '84 WHERE A LETTER WAS SENT TO THE INVESTORS MAKING CERTAIN SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

IN INSTANCES WHERE REINVESTMENTS WERE MADE BY

25

26

27

28

YOURSELF, WHERE YOU DETERMINED TO REINVEST IN THE PROGRAM, WAS THAT A RESULT OF HUNT SAYING TO YOU, WELL, LISTEN, YOU HAD BETTER REINVEST THESE DISTRIBUTIONS AVAILABLE AND THAT IS IT, OR WERE THOSE DECISIONS YOU MADE AS AN INVESTOR?

A IT WAS THOSE DECISIONS I MADE AS AN INVESTOR.

Q NOW YOU MENTIONED IN APRIL OF 1984, YOU MADE AN INVESTMENT IN GOLD THROUGH MR. HUNT?

A YES.

Q NOW, GOLD WAS A COMMODITY I SUPPOSE, THAT YOU COULD TRACK ON THE OPEN MARKET OR LISTENING TO ON THE RADIO QUOTES OR THINGS OF THAT NATURE; DID YOU DO THAT?

A NO, I DIDN'T.

Q ALL RIGHT. YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAD A CONVERSATION THAT HUNT TOLD YOU THAT THE MONEY HAD DOUBLED IN A TWO-WEEK PERIOD.

A YES.

Q

1 DID THAT KIND OF A CONVERSATION SUGGEST TO YOU 2 THAT THAT TYPE OF INVESTMENT WAS PROBABLY FAIRLY VOLATILE? 3 YES. 4 DID IT IN ANY WAY TELL YOU THAT, YOU KNOW, IF 5 IT COULD GO UP DOUBLE IN TWO WEEKS, IT COULD GO DOUBLE DOWN 6 IN TWO WEEKS? 7 BUT I WAS TOLD WE WERE OUT OF IT AND THAT THE MONEY -- THE PROFIT WOULD BE FORTHCOMING. 8 9 IT WASN'T WHERE IT WAS A LONG TERM INVESTMENT. 10 THE INVESTMENT, AS IT WAS DESCRIBED INITIALLY. WAS A SHORT TERM INVESTMENT AND THAT IN A WEEK OR TWO, WE 11 12 WOULD BE OUT OF IT. 13 AND THEN WHAT I DID DO IN TERMS OF TRACKING IT 14 WAS TO STAY IN TOUCH WITH HIM IN HIS OFFICE AND I WAS TOLD 15 THAT THE GOLD HAD DOUBLED IN PRICE AND THAT WE HAD SUSTAINED 16 A PROFIT AND THAT THAT WOULD BE FORTHCOMING. 17 Q THAT WAS IN APRIL OF '84? 18 Α YES. 19 AND AT OR ABOUT THAT TIME OR AT ANOTHER TIME. 20 IF YOU WISH TO CORRECT ME, SIR, DID MR. HUNT SHOW YOU SOME 21 TRADING DOCUMENTS HE HAD WITH A PLACE OR HAD RECEIVED FROM 22 A PLACE CALLED CLAYTON BROKERAGE? 23 I DO REMEMBER BEING SHOWN SOMETHING FROM A 24 BROKERAGE HOUSE WHERE IT LOOKED FORMAL. 25 Q AND DID IT SHOW THAT IT WAS INVOLVING TRADES 26 ON A GUY NAMED RON LEVIN? 27 А I DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME.

DID IT SHOW MILLIONS OF DOLLARS?

```
1
           Α
                YES, IT DID.
 2
                 DID IT SHOW MAYBE UPWARDS OF THIRTEEN OR FOURTEEN
     MILLION DOLLARS?
 3
 4
                 MY MEMORY IS SOMEWHERE LIKE SEVEN OR EIGHT MILLION
 5
     DOLLARS, BUT IT WAS A SUBSTANTIAL, LARGE AMOUNT.
 6
           0
                AND WHAT DID MR. HUNT TELL YOU ABOUT THAT. SIR?
 7
           А
             THAT HE WAS VERY PLEASED THAT HE HAD BEEN ABLE
     TO MAKE THAT KIND OF MONEY AND THAT IT WAS DONE THROUGH TRADING.
 8
9
                DID HE TELL YOU IN THAT INSTANCE HE WAS MAKING
           Q
10
     THE MONEY FOR AN INVESTOR OR A PERSON HE WAS WORKING IN
11
     CONJUNCTION WITH?
12
           Α
                YES.
13
             AND HE DIDN'T REPRESENT TO YOU THAT THAT WAS
           Q
14
     HIS OWN MONEY HE HAD INVESTED AND WORKED UP TO THAT POINT,
15
     DID HE?
16
           А
                 NO.
17
                 DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT WAS ABOUT IN POINT
18
     OF TIME THAT YOU WERE SHOWN THAT DOCUMENT --
19
           Α
                I DON'T KNOW.
20
           Q
                 -- OR DOCUMENTS?
21
           Α
                 I DON'T REMEMBER.
22
                 WERE YOU SHOWN THAT ON MORE THAN ONE OCCASION?
23
                 IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN TWO TIMES THAT I SAW IT BUT
24
     I AM NOT SURE OF THAT EITHER.
25
                 I SAW IT ONCE, THAT I AM SURE OF.
26
                 OKAY. NOW YOU MENTIONED THAT BY SEPTEMBER, YOU
           Q
27
     WERE ADVISED BY MR. HUNT THAT THERE WAS NO MONEY THERE AND
28
     THAT AS A RESULT OF SOMETHING THAT HAD HAPPENED IN THE TRADING
```

27

28

```
1
     SETTING THAT ALL OF THE MONEY HAD BEEN LOST IN ONE FELL
 2
     SWOOP, IS ESSENTIALLY WHAT I GOT FROM YOUR TESTIMONY.
 3
           A ESSENTIALLY, THAT IS THE WAY IT WENT.
 4
                  BUT ON THE THURSDAY, WE WERE ASSURED THE MONEY
 5
     WAS THERE.
 6
           Q
                 YES.
 7
                 AND ON THE MONDAY, WE WERE TOLD THE MONEY WAS
 8
     NOT THERE AND THAT HE HAD LIED.
 9
                 AND THE LIE BEING THAT WHEN HE TOLD YOU THE MONEY
10
     WAS THERE THURSDAY, I THINK HE WAS TELLING YOU, IF IT ISN'T
11
     THERE MONDAY, IT PROBABLY WASN'T THERE THURSDAY?
12
                RIGHT.
13
                 YOU SUGGESTED HE HAVE A MEETING OF ALL THE
14
     INVESTORS AND BY "ALL OF THE INVESTORS," YOU MEANT THE PEOPLE
15
     YOU HAD BROUGHT IN AS WELL, I PRESUME?
16
                 PEOPLE THAT I HAD BROUGHT IN AND PEOPLES' FRIENDS
17
     WHOM THEY HAD BROUGHT IN AS IT BECAME LARGER.
18
           0
                 WHERE DID YOU HAVE THAT MEETING?
19
                 ON SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE IN BEVERLY HILLS.
20
                 AND DID MR. HUNT APPEAR TO BE FOLLOWING YOUR
           Q
21
     ADVICE IN STRUCTURING THAT MEETING?
22
           А
                 YES, BY CALLING THE MEETING, YES.
23
           Q
                 THAT IS WHAT I MEANT.
24
           Α
                 YES.
                 DO YOU KNOW IF A TAPE RECORDING WAS MADE OF THAT
           Q
26
     MEETING?
                 YES, I DO.
           Α
                 IN FACT, THERE WAS ONE MADE, WAS THERE NOT, SIR?
           Q
```

A YES, THERE WAS. Q YOU MENTIONED --HAVE YOU HEARD THE TAPE RECORDING? А YES, I HAVE. DURING THAT MEETING, YOU SAY THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION OF THE MILLION FIVE CHECK AND THAT MR. HUNT SHOWED YOU THE CHECK? A YES. Q AND THEN YOU SAY THAT HE DIDN'T WANT ANYBODY TO SEE THE CHECK? A YES. 

. 4

```
1
                HE HAD SHOWN YOU THE CHECK, THOUGH?
           Q
 2
                 YES, HE DID.
 3
                AND HE KNEW THAT YOU HAD BROUGHT IN 30 OR 40
 4
     PEOPLE; HE DIDN'T SAY TO YOU, "DON'T TELL ANYBODY ABOUT THIS
 5
     CHECK," DID HE?
 6
           A NO.
 7
           Q
                DIDN'T CHESTER BROWN SEE THE CHECK?
           A I DON'T THINK SO. HE MAY HAVE BUT I DON'T THINK
 9
     SO.
10
                ON THE TAPE RECORDING THAT YOU HEARD, DIDN'T
           Q
11
     MR. HUNT TELL ALL OF THE INVESTORS ABOUT THIS?
12
           A ABOUT WHAT?
13
           Q
                THE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND CHECK?
14
           Α
                YES, HE DID.
15
           Q
                 DIDN'T HE TELL THE INVESTORS THAT THERE WAS SOME
16
     PROBLEM WITH THE CHECK?
17
           Α
                YES.
18
           Q
                WHAT DID HE TELL THEM ABOUT THAT, SIR?
19
           Α
                THAT IT HADN'T BEEN PROCESSED.
20
                 DID HE TELL YOU THAT THERE WAS A PROBLEM IN GETTING
           Q
21
     IT PROCESSED?
22
           A I BELIEVE HE DID.
23
           THE COURT: DID HE TELL YOU THE CHECK HAD COME BACK
24
     NO GOOD, NOT SUFFICIENT FUNDS?
25
           THE WITNESS: NO, HE DIDN'T.
26
           MR. BARENS: COULD I GET HIM TO TELL US WHAT WAS TOLD,
27
     SIR?
28
```

THE COURT: SURE.

```
1
           Q
                MR. BARENS: WHAT DID HE TELL YOU THE PROBLEM
 2
     WAS?
 3
                 THAT THERE WAS DIFFICULTY IN BANKING PROCEDURES
     BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND WHEREVER THIS CHECK HAD BEEN
 4
 5
     DRAWN.
 6
                 DID HE TELL YOU THAT THE MAKER OF THE CHECK WAS
 7
     MISSING?
 8
                 I DON'T RECALL THAT.
           Α
 9
           Q
                 YOU DON'T RECALL HEARING THAT --
10
           Α
                 NO, I DON'T.
11
           Q
                 -- ON THE TAPE?
12
           Α
                 NO, I DON'T.
13
                 I LISTENED TO THE TAPE AND I FIND THAT IT IS
14
     DIFFICULT TO DECIPHER. IT IS NOT AN EASY TAPE TO --
15
               OKAY, SETTING ASIDE THE TAPE, YOU DON'T RECALL
16
     THAT TYPE OF A COMMENT --
17
           А
               NO, I DON'T.
18
               -- MADE BY MR. HUNT, FROM YOUR INDEPENDENT
19
     RECOLLECTION?
20
                 NO, I DON'T.
21
                 WHEN MR. HUNT SHOWED YOU THE CHECK, DID THE CHECK
22
     SHOW WHO IT WAS MADE OUT TO?
23
           Α
                I DON'T RECALL WHO IT WAS MADE OUT TO.
24
                 IT WAS, AS I SAY, IT WAS A CHECK THAT, ALTHOUGH
25
     IT LOOKED LIKE A CHECK, IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE THAT FAMILIAR
26
     TO ME.
27
           Q DID THE CHECK HAVE AS THE PAYEE ON IT, JOSEPH
28
     HUNT?
```

```
1
           A I BELIEVE SO.
 2
                THE CHECK SAID JOSEPH HUNT ON IT FOR A MILLION
           Q
 3
     FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS?
 4
                THAT, I DO REMEMBER.
 5
           THE COURT: YOU DO REMEMBER THE MILLION FIVE?
 6
           THE WITNESS: THE MILLION FIVE, YES.
 7
           THE COURT: YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE PAYEE,
 8
     DO YOU?
 9
           THE WITNESS: I AM NOT SURE.
10
                 BY MR. BARENS: COULD THE MILLION FIVE HAVE BEEN
11
     MADE OUT TO MICROGENESIS AS OPPOSED TO MR. HUNT?
12
           Α
                I DON'T KNOW.
13
           0
             YOU JUST DON'T HAVE ANY INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION?
14
           Α
                NO, I DON'T HAVE ANY INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION
15
     OF THAT.
16
              OKAY. NOW HUNT WENT ON AT THAT MEETING TO TALK
           0
17
     ABOUT CERTAIN PROMISSORY NOTES?
18
           Α
                YES.
19
           Q DID YOU GET A FEELING FROM THE DIALOGUE AND THE
20
     DEMEANOR OF HUNT THAT HE WAS STALLING EVERYBODY?
21
                 I DIDN'T GET A FEELING HE WAS STALLING ANYBODY.
22
                 I THOUGHT HE WAS AGGRESSIVE AND ARROGANT AND
23
     ATTEMPTING TO BLAME US FOR THE OUTCOME OF THE LOSS OF MONEY.
24
                HOW WAS THAT?
           Q
25
           Α
                PARDON ME?
26
           Q
                 HOW WAS THAT APPARENT TO YOU?
27
                 HOW WAS HE BLAMING THE INVESTORS FOR THE APPARENT
28
     DEBACLE THAT HAD OCCURRED?
```

1	A JUST BY WHAT HE WAS SAYING AND WHAT HIS ATTITUDE
2	WAS.
3	Q TALK TO ME ABOUT THAT.
4	A I MEAN IT WASN'T SOMEONE WHO WAS PREPARED TO
5	ADMIT THAT HE HAD MADE GRIEVOUS ERRORS OR HE WAS INVOLVED
6	IN SOME SORT OF A SCAM OR HE DELIBERATELY DEFRAUDED US.
7	IT WAS SOMEONE WHO AS IF THERE WAS AN ATTEMPT
8	TO TURN OUR ANGER TOWARDS HIM AROUND AND WHERE WE WOULD BE
9	THE ONES WHO WOULD BE AT FAULT.
10	Q RIGHT.
11	HUNT, INSTEAD OF DUCKING AND DODGING
12	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO FINISH UP?
13	MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR?
14	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO FINISH UP THIS QUESTIONING
15	AND THEN WE WILL TAKE A RECESS?
16	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. A MOMENT, IF I MIGHT, YOUR
17	HONOR. I WILL FINISH AFTER LUNCH, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE UNTIL YOU LISTEN TO YOUR
19	COUNSEL THERE.
20	MR. BARENS: WELL, HE IS TELLING ME ABOUT SOMETHING
21	ELSE NOW, JUDGE.
22	THE COURT: IS IT ALL RIGHT WITH HIM IF WE TAKE AN
23	ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME?
24	MR. BARENS: I DON'T CARE IF IT IS, JUDGE.
25	MR. CHIER: IT IS FINE, JUDGE.
26	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL
27	TAKE OUR CUSTOMERY RECESS UNTIL 1:45 THIS AFTERNOON. 1:45,
28	WE NEED BECAUSE THERE IS ONLY ONE REPORTER, YOU SEE, THE

OTHER ONE IS AWAY. SHE WILL NEED THE EXTRA 15 MINUTES TO TRANSCRIBE HER NOTES. ALL RIGHT, 1:45. THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WOULD STILL APPLY. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE A LOGISTICS QUESTION ABOUT THE SWISS STUFF. (AT 12:00 NOON AN ADJOUNRMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:45 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 1987; 1:55 P.M. 1 DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 3 4 THE CLERK: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. STATE AND 5 SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. 6 THE WITNESS: STEVE WEISS. 7 8 STEVE WEISS, 9 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY 10 SWORN, RESUMED THE WITNESS STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS 11 FOLLOWS: 12 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) BY MR. BARENS: 15 16 Q MR. WEISS, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION ONCE AGAIN TO THE SEPTEMBER MEETING THAT YOU HAD BEEN TESTIFYING ABOUT, 17 AT THAT MEETING, DID HUNT DISCUSS ANY OTHER INVESTMENTS OR 18 19 BUSINESS ACTIVITIES THAT HE WAS INVOLVED IN. ASIDE FROM SAY. COMMODITIES INVESTING AND WHAT HAPPENED IN COMMODITIES? 20 21 HE SAID SOMETHING ABOUT MICROGENESIS AND ANOTHER 22 COMPANY, ANOTHER BUSINESS. 23 Q DID HE MAKE REFERENCE TO EXPECTANCIES THAT HE 24 MAY HAVE HAD CONCERNING THE SALE OF SOME TECHNOLOGY OR 25 EQUIPMENT INVOLVED WITH MICROGENESIS?

Ξ

28

26

27

Α

YES.

1	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT HE SAID IN THAT REGARD,
2	MR. WEISS?
3	A NOT REALLY, NO.
4	Q WAS THE SENSE YOU GOT FROM THE DISCUSSION, THAT
5	HE WAS INVOLVED IN SOME OTHER TECHNOLOGY FROM WHICH HE WAS
6	EXPECTING SOME MONEY TO BE GENERATED?
7	A YES.
8	Q DO YOU REMEMBER HIM TALKING ABOUT AN INVESTOR
9	OR A CO-VENTURER IN THAT THING, CALLED MR. KILPATRICK?
10	A NO.
11	Q DO YOU REMEMBER HIM TALKING ABOUT SELLING OPTIONS
12	THAT MIGHT HAVE INVOLVED SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS INVOLVING
13	THAT TECHNOLOGY?
14	A I DON'T KNOW IF IT TOOK PLACE AT THAT MEETING.
15	BUT I DO REMEMBER AT THAT TIME THAT THERE WAS
16	SOME BUSINESS DEALINGS IN DENVER AND CANADA THAT INVOLVED
17	THESE KINDS OF TRANSACTIONS.
18	Q INVOLVING WHAT WE MIGHT CALL HIGH TECH ENTITIES
19	OR COMPANIES INVOLVED IN TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT?
20	A YES.
21	Q HAD YOU KNOWN, DURING YOUR RELATIONSHIP WITH
22	MR. HUNT, THAT HE WAS INVOLVED IN OTHER BUSINESS ENDEAVORS
23	OTHER THAN JUST ACTING AS A COMMODITIES INVESTOR AND TRADER?
24	A WELL, YES, THAT WAS MY PRIMARY CONCERN MIDWAY
25	INTO THE INVESTMENT PROGRAM BECAUSE I BEGAN TO FEEL, SINCE
26	HE SEEMED TO BE SO UNAVAILABLE, THAT HE WAS INVOLVED IN THESE
27	OTHER VENTURES AND HE WASN'T TAKING CARE OF THE PEOPLE FOR
28	WHOM HE WAS REPRESENTING IN THE TRADES.

Q DID YOU EVER GET ANY INFORMATION FROM MR. HUNT OR STATEMENTS FROM MR. HUNT THAT SOME OF THOSE ACTIVITIES, OTHER THAN COMMODITIES INVESTING, PER SE, COULD HAVE A BENEFICIAL FINANCIAL RESULT FOR YOU AND OTHER INVESTORS IN THE FINANCIAL FUTURES POOL?

A NOT NECESSARILY, NO.

Q DID HE AT THE SEPTEMBER MEETING SEEM TO INDICATE

THAT AS A RESULT OF ACTIVITIES IN THE OTHER AREAS, THE

NON-COMMODITIES AREAS, HE WAS LOOKING FOR MONEYS THAT COULD

REPRESENT A SOURCE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE COMMODITIES INVESTORS?

A YES.

Q AND WHEN HE SUGGESTED THE PROMISSORY NOTES THAT
YOU HAD MENTIONED IN YOUR EARLIER TESTIMONY, WAS IT THE SENSE
OF THE PEOPLE -- WELL, YOURSELF, FOR INSTANCE -- THAT HE
SEEMED TO BE COUPLING THOSE PROMISSORY NOTES AND HIS
EXPECTANCIES FROM THIS TECHNOLOGY, TOGETHER, THAT THAT MIGHT
HAVE BEEN A WAY HE WAS SUGGESTING TO YOU FOLKS THAT HE WAS
GOING TO TRY TO COVER THOSE NOTES?

A YES.

```
Q
                 WAS HE OFFERING THESE NOTES TO ALL OF THE INVESTORS
 1
     THERE?
 2
           Α
                 YES.
 3
                 AND AT THAT POINT, IT WAS CLEAR TO YOU AND I
 4
     PRESUME TO EVERYONE ELSE THAT YOU COULD SEE THERE, THAT THERE
 5
     WERE NO MONEYS FROM COMMODITIES AVAILABLE FOR COMMODITIES
 6
 7
     TRADING MONEYS THAT WERE STILL AVAILABLE, IS THAT CORRECT?
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
 8
           Α
                 NOW, AT THAT MEETING, HE DID NOT SOLICIT ANY
 9
     MONEYS FROM THE PERSONS THERE AS FAR AS BAIL-OUT MONEYS OR
10
     LOAN ME MONEY?
11
          А
                 NO.
12
               AND WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT PROMISSORY NOTES
13
     IN THIS CONTEXT, THAT IS NOT WHERE HE IS SOLICITING SOMEONE
14
15
     TO LOAN ME MONEY AND I WILL GIVE YOU A PROMISSORY NOTE, IS
16
     THAT CORRECT?
17
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
           А
18
                IT IS RATHER, I AM STIPULATING THAT I OWE YOU
19
     MONEY AND I WILL EXTEND A PERSONAL PROMISSORY NOTE?
20
                YES.
           А
21
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MR. WEISS.
22
23
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24
     BY MR. WAPNER:
25
                 MR. WEISS, WHEN YOU FIRST ENTERED INTO THE
26
     ARRANGEMENT WITH MR. HUNT TO TRADE THE COMMODITIES, WAS THERE
27
     ANY FORMAL WRITTEN DOCUMENT AT THAT TIME?
28
                 NOT AT THAT TIME, NO.
```

AND DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY SIGN SOME LIMITED 1 PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT WITH MR. HUNT? 2 3 A YES I DID. 4 AND WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN IN MAY OF 1983? 5 Α YES. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE HERE A DOCUMENT THAT 6 IS ENTITLED "LETTER OF INTENT, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP." 7 8 IT IS DATED MAY 20TH OF 1983. I BELIEVE IT 9 CONSISTS OF TWO PAGES. 10 IF I MIGHT HAVE A MOMENT, THERE MAY BE A PAGE 11 MISSING. 12 (PAUSE.) 13 BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT 14 WITH MR. HUNT ON MAY THE 20TH? WAS THAT THE FIRST LIMITED 15 PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT THAT YOU ENTERED INTO? 16 Α YES. 17 AND AT THAT TIME WHEN YOU ENTERED INTO THAT 18 AGREEMENT, WAS MR. HUNT THE GENERAL PARTNER, THE SOLE GENERAL 19 PARTNER? 20 А YES. 21 AND HE WAS THE GENERAL PARTNER IN HIS NAME, CORRECT? Q 22 A YES. 23 AND WAS THAT AGREEMENT MODIFIED AT SOME POINT? Q 24 А THE AGREEMENT WAS MODIFIED LATER ON. 25 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THIS DOCUMENT THAT IS ENTITLED 26 "LETTER OF INTENT, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT," AND SIGNED 27 BY JOSEPH HUNT, MAY THAT BE MARKED AS 198 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 28 THE COURT: BE SO MARKED.

```
Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU 198 FOR IDENTIFICATION,
 1
     DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
 2
          Α
                YES.
 3
           Q
                WHAT IS IT?
 4
           A THAT IS THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT I SIGNED
 5
     WITH JOE HUNT ON MAY 20TH, 1983.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1 IN THAT AGREEMENT, HE IS LISTED AS THE SOLE 2 GENERAL PARTNER AND YOU AS THE SOLE LIMITED PARTNER, CORRECT? 3 YES. А 4 AND AT SOME POINT AFTER THAT, DID YOU ENTER INTO 5 ANOTHER AGREEMENT WITH HIM WHERE THERE WAS A SUBSTITUTION 6 OF A GENERAL PARTNER? 7 Α YES. 8 Q AND WHEN WAS THAT, IF YOU KNOW? 9 Α I DON'T RECALL. 10 0 AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THAT 11 WAS? 12 Α I WAS TOLD THAT THIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT 13 WAS RATHER OLD FASHIONED AND THE NEW GENERAL PARTNERSHIP 14 AGREEMENT WOULD BE -- OR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT WOULD 15 BE STREAMLINED AND MORE TAILORED FOR OUR KIND OF INVESTING. 16 MR. WAPNER: AND SHOWING YOU A DOCUMENT THAT I WOULD 17 LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS 199 FOR IDENTIFICATION WHICH, YOUR 18 HONOR, CONSISTS OF SEVERAL PAGES AND SAYS "LIMITED PARTNERSHIP 19 AGREEMENT OF FUTURE HEDGES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; " MAY THAT 20 BE 199? 21 THE COURT: SO MARKED. 22 NY MR. WAPNER: MR. WEISS, SHOWING YOU 199, DO 23 YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? 24 Α YES, I DO. 25 Q WHAT IS THAT? 26 Α THAT IS THE NEW LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT. 27 Q NOW, THAT HAS GOT THE DATE OF MAY THE 15TH, 1983 28 ON IT; IS THAT RIGHT?

```
1
                 I AM NOT SURE ABOUT IT.
 2
                  IT DOESN'T SEEM CORRECT TO ME.
 3
                  EXCUSE ME.
 4
           Q
                 ONE STEP AT A TIME.
 5
                 THE WORDS ON THE PAGE SAY "MAY THE 15TH OF 1983,"
 6
     CORRECT?
 7
           А
              YES.
 8
                 WHY DOESN'T THAT SEEM CORRECT TO YOU?
9
                 BECAUSE I DON'T BELIEVE THAT SOME OF THESE PEOPLE
     HAD INVESTED AT MAY 15, 1983, BECAUSE I HAD JUST INVESTED
10
     THE LATTER PART OF MAY, 1983, AND HERE IS MY AGREEMENT, THE
11
     ORIGINAL AGREEMENT IS DATED MAY 20, 1983, SO THIS IS AN ERROR
12
13
     IN THE DATE.
14
                AND SO MOST LIKELY, IT IS MAY OF 1984?
15
                 I WOULD CERTAINLY SAY SO.
16
                 LIKE THE EXHIBIT YOU WERE SHOWN BY MR. BARENS,
17
     WHICH WAS THE AGREEMENT THAT THE DEFENDANT ENTERED INTO WITH
18
     DR. PASKAN WHICH APPEAR TO HAVE AN INCORRECT DATE ON IT ALSO,
19
     CORRECT?
20
           A YES.
21
                AND THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT THAT IS
22
     PEOPLE'S 199, THERE IS A DIFFERENT GENERAL PARTNER NOW, ISN'T
23
     THERE?
24
          А
                YES.
25
                AND THAT GENERAL PARTNER IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL,
           Q
26
     IS IT?
27
           А
                 NO.
28
           Q
                 WHO IS IT?
```

A IT IS FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA. Q SO IN THE FIRST CONTRACT THAT YOU SIGNED, IF THERE WERE SOME LOSSES IN THE TRADING, MR. HUNT WAS THE GENERAL PARTNER? A YES. BUT SOMETIME IN MAY, APPARENTLY OF 1984, HE HAS NOW BEEN SUBSTITUTED AND THERE WAS A CORPORATION OF SOME SORT THAT WAS THE GENERAL PARTNER; IS THAT RIGHT? A YES. Q YOU SAID THAT JOE HUNT'S EXPLANATION IS WHAT MADE THIS INVESTMENT OR YOUR DECISION TO GO INTO THIS INVESTMENT DIFFERENT THAN THE OTHER INVESTMENTS; CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT? A WHEN YOU SAY THIS INVESTMENT, YOU MEAN ORIGINALLY GO INTO INVESTING WITH JOE HUNT? 

Q I'M TALKING ABOUT ORIGINALLY GOING INTO INVESTING WITH JOE HUNT IN COMMODITIES AS OPPOSED TO STAYING AWAY FROM COMMODITIES BEFORE BECAUSE OF THE RISK.

A YES. I DECIDED ON THE BASIS OF MY DAUGHTER'S ASSESSMENT OF HIS PERSONALITY AND KNOWING HIM WITH REGARD TO TRADING. ALSO, IN MEETING HIM, MY OWN EVALUATION OF HIM DURING THE COURSE OF OUR CONVERSATION ON THE STREET IN FRONT OF CANTOR-FITZGERALD AND THAT COMBINED IN RESULTING IN MY FEELING COMFORTABLE ABOUT INVESTING WITH HIM.

Q YOU SAID THAT MR. HUNT WAS AN EXPERT IN TRADING COMMODITIES. WAS THAT YOUR OPINION BASED ON TALKING TO HIM?

A NO. THAT WAS THE IMPRESSION THAT I GOT FROM HIM.

Q WHAT DID YOU GET THAT IMPRESSION FROM?

A HE SAID THAT HE HAD THIS SECRET METHODOLOGY, THIS SYSTEM THAT HE HAD DEVISED. AND ON THAT BASIS, HE WAS ABLE TO GENERATE PROFITS OF APPROXIMATELY 18 PERCENT PER MONTH.

AND THERE WAS SOME EXPLANATION WHICH TO THIS DAY,

I HAVE NOT FULLY UNDERSTOOD WHICH HAD TO DO WITH BUTTERFLIES

AND DOUBLE BUTTERFLIES AND HEDGING, ET CETERA.

AND IN ONE SENSE, I WANT TO SAY, THAT IT REALLY DIDN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE TO ME WITH REGARD TO WHAT HIS METHODS WERE, AS LONG AS THEY WERE LEGITIMATE BECAUSE THE MODEL THAT I USED IS THAT I DON'T GO TO THE DOCTOR IF I HAVE A BROKEN ARM AND ASK HIM WHAT PARTICULAR METHOD HE USES TO SET IT, AS LONG AS THE RESULT IS THAT MY ARM IS IN GOOD CONDITION AGAIN.

Q AND AS LONG AS YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH AND HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THE DOCTOR THAT YOU ARE GOING TO?

28

IT FROM?

ABSOLUTELY. Α 1 Q DID YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH AND HAVE CONFIDENCE 2 WITH MR. HUNT? 3 IN THE BEGINNING, I DID. 4 WHEN YOU FIRST INVESTED, HOW LONG WAS IT BETWEEN 5 THE TIME THAT YOU PUT IN THE SECOND \$10,000 --6 THE COURT: THE SECOND 10,000? 7 MR. WAPNER: MAYBE I AM MISSTATING IT. 8 9 Q YOU PUT IN \$5,000 FIRST, CORRECT? Α YES. 10 11 Q THEN YOU PUT IN \$10,000? Α 12 FIFTEEN. THE COURT: FIFTEEN. 13 BY MR. WAPNER: HOW LONG AFTER YOU PUT IN THE 14 0 FIFTEEN, DID YOU GET THIS 4,000 BACK? 15 16 I WOULD SAY AFTER I HAD PUT IN THE FIFTEEN, WHICH WAS THE LATTER PART OF JUNE, '83, CERTAINLY THE EARLY PART 17 OF JULY, '83, I GOT \$4,000 BACK. 18 19 Q HOW LONG HAD THE \$5,000 BEEN IN? 20 Α SINCE THE LATTER PART OF MAY, '83, AROUND MEMORIAL 21 DAY. 22 SO, ALTHOUGH THE \$4,000 REPRESENTED RETURN ON 23 THE ENTIRE \$20,000, THE 15,000 DIDN'T GO IN UNTIL VERY SHORTLY 24 BEFORE YOU GOT THE 4,000 BACK, CORRECT? 25 Α CORRECT. 26 DID YOU EVER SEE MR. HUNT TURN DOWN MONEY FROM

ANY OF THESE PEOPLE THAT YOU SAID HE WAS HESITANT TO TAKE

```
1
     IS WHAT I SAW.
 2
                 I NEVER SAW THIS (INDICATING).
 3
           Q
                THANK YOU.
 4
                 THE TAPE RECORDING THAT WAS MADE OF THE INVESTORS
 5
     MEETING IN SEPTEMBER, WHO MADE THAT?
 6
           Α
                MY SISTER.
 7
           Q WHAT IS HER NAME?
 8
           Α
                HELEN MERKIN.
           THE COURT REPORTER: HOW DO YOU SPELL IT?
10
           THE WITNESS: M-E-R-K-I-N.
11
           Q
             BY MR. WAPNER: HOW DID SHE MAKE THAT?
12
                SHE BROUGHT A SMALL SONY TAPE RECORDER TO THE
13
     MEETING AND RECORDED WHAT WAS GOING ON WHILE THE MEETING
14
     TOOK PLACE.
15
           Q
                AND SHE WAS SEATED SOMEWHERE IN THE AUDIENCE?
16
           Α
                YES.
17
           Q
                HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE THERE?
18
           А
                APPROXIMATELY 70.
19
           Q
             AND WHEN YOU LISTENED TO THE TAPE, IT WAS FAIRLY
20
     POOR QUALITY, RIGHT?
21
                YES, IT WAS.
22
                 AND I DIDN'T LISTEN WITH ANY GREAT INTENSITY
23
     BECAUSE I HAD BEEN AT THE MEETING SO I DIDN'T NEED TO HAVE
24
     ANY DUPLICATION.
25
           Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAID THAT
26
     AT THE MEETING MR. HUNT HAD TRIED TO BLAME THE INVESTORS
27
     FOR WHAT HAD HAPPENED?
28
           A YES.
```

1 THERE WERE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE, PARTICULARLY ONE 2 FELLOW WHO HAD INVESTED, AND HIS NAME WAS STUART ROBINSON, AND HE WAS VERY ANGRY ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT HE LOST AND REALIZED HE COULDN'T RECOVER HIS TEN OR FIFTEEN THOUSAND 5 DOLLARS --6 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE A HEARSAY ISSUE WITH 7 THAT TESTIMONY. 8 THE COURT: I THINK I HAD INDICATED THAT YOU HAVE A 9 CONTINUING OBJECTION TO ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED AT THE MEETING. 10 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR --11 THE COURT: -- ANYTHING THAT WAS SAID THERE. 12 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR HAS RULED THAT ANYTHING SAID 13 BY ANYONE AT THIS MEETING IS ADMISSIBLE? 14 THE COURT: I AM JUST RULING AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME 15 THAT THIS REMARK HE MADE, I WILL PERMIT TO GO IN AND I WILL 16 OVERRULE YOUR OBJECTION. 17 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 18 THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO GIVE YOU ANY BLANKET 19 RULING THAT YOU CAN USE MAYBE LATER ON. 20 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 21 Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT HAPPENED WITH MR. ROBINSON 22 AT THE MEETING? 23 HE WAS VERY ANGRY TOWARDS JOE HUNT FOR THE LOSS 24 OF THE MONEY AND HE WAS BLAMING JOE FOR NOT TAKING CARE OF 25 THE MONEY THAT WAS INVESTED AND FOR NOT BEHAVING LIKE A 26 PROFESSIONAL TRADER. 27 Q WHAT WAS MR. HUNT'S RESPONSE?

AND CUMULATIVELY, MR. HUNT BEGAN TO TURN THE

MEETING AROUND AS IF WE WERE AT FAULT, THE INVESTORS WERE AT FAULT, THAT HE WASN'T AT FAULT. THAT EVEN THOUGH WE FELT HE BEHAVED IN A TOTAL DISREGARD FOR ANY KIND OF PROFESSIONALISM, HE WAS BLAMING US FOR THE OUTCOME.

HOW SO, WHAT DID HE SAY?

BECAUSE HIS ATTITUDE WAS THAT WE HAD INTERFERED WITH THE WAY HE CONDUCTED HIS BUSINESS. THAT WE INTRUDED UPON HIS ABILITY TO PERFORM AND IF WE DIDN'T WANT TO SIGN THESE PROMISSORY NOTES, THEN WE WEREN'T GOING TO GET ANY MONEY AT ALL AND THAT WAS THREATENING AND FRIGHTENING TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE THERE.

Q WHEN YOU SAY THAT HE SAID IF YOU WEREN'T GOING TO SIGN THE PROMISSORY NOTES, YOU WEREN'T GOING TO GET ANY MONEY AT ALL, HOW DID HE SAY THAT; WHAT DID HE SAY EXACTLY?

ESSENTIALLY, THAT IS WHAT HE SAID.

I MEAN THERE WOULD BE A CERTAIN DATE TO WHICH WE COULD CONFORM IN SIGNING OF THE PROMISSORY NOTES AND IF WE DIDN'T SIGN, THEN THE PEOPLE WHO DID NOT SIGN WOULD NOT RECEIVE THEIR RETURN OF THEIR CAPITAL PLUS THE INTEREST.

26

27

WHEN HE SAID THAT YOU AS A GROUP OF INVESTORS 1 HAD INTERFERED WITH HIS TRADING, DID HE SPECIFY WHAT YOU HAD 2 DONE AS A GROUP TO INTERFERE WITH HIS TRADING? 3 WELL, HE BEHAVED IN A PRIMA DONNA FASHION, GIVING A CLEAR IMPRESSION THAT WE HAD ERRED IN SOME WAY, THAT WE 5 6 HAD INTERFERED WITH HIM AND EVEN THOUGH HE HAD ADMITTED THAT THE MONEY WAS LOST BECAUSE THE TRADE WENT ON "BACKWARDS" IT 7 WAS OUR FAULT. 8 THE SENSE OF THE MEETING BECAME ESTABLISHED THAT 9 10 IT WAS OUR FAULT, NOT HIS. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, NOTHING FURTHER. 11 12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. BARENS: 14 WHEN YOU SIGNED THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT 15 Q ON MAY 20, 1983, HAD YOU READ THAT, MR. WEISS? 16 17 Α NOT COMPLETELY. NO. OKAY. AND WOULD THE SAME ANSWER BE TRUE AS TO 18 Q 19 THE REVISED LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT? 20 A YES. I WOULD SAY SO. YOU DIDN'T READ THAT ONE? 21 0 22 Α I DID NOT READ IT TOTALLY, NO. 23 DID YOU UNDERSTAND IN THE SECOND ONE THAT WHAT 24 THAT WAS ALL ABOUT WAS THE SUBSTITUTION OF THE GENERAL 25 PARTNER? 26 A YES. 27 YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT INSTEAD OF MR. HUNT BEING

THERE, THAT THERE WAS FINANCIAL FUTURES BEING THERE?

**-** 3 0 AND ISN'T IT TRUE THAT MR. HUNT GAVE HER HER MONEY 1 BACK? 2 Α YES. 3 Q AND WHEN WAS THAT IN POINT OF TIME, SIR? 4 I WOULD HAVE TO SAY SOMETIME IN THE EARLY PART 5 OF 1984. I CAN'T BE MORE SPECIFIC THAN THAT. 6 7 Q JANUARY OR FEBRUARY? Α POSSIBLY. 8 0 OKAY. NOW, YOU MENTIONED IN RESPONSE TO 9 MR. WAPNER'S QUESTION ABOUT THE DISPOSITION OF THE 60,000, 10 THAT IT WAS A QUESTION OF TIME AND PEOPLE? 11 Α YES. 12 ISN'T IT A FAIR STATEMENT THAT THE TIME AND PEOPLE 13 YOU ARE REFERRING TO WOULD BE YOUR TIME AND THE PEOPLE YOU 14 SELECTED? 15 A IT CERTAINLY WOULD BE MY TIME, YES. AND AS FAR 16 AS THE PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE SELECTED, I FEEL THAT I COULD 17 MAKE REASONABLE JUDGMENTS AS TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE IN NEED, 18 YES. 19 20 Q IN THESE TRANSACTIONS, MR. WEISS, IT APPEARS THAT YOU HAVE A CAPITAL INVESTMENT. LET'S CALL THAT A NET CAPITAL 21 INVESTMENT. THEN WE HAVE WHAT APPEARED TO BE PAPER PROFITS? 22 23 Α YES. 24 Q AND WE'LL CALL THOSE UNREALIZED PAPER PROFITS. 25 DO YOU HAVE ANY WAY OF INDICATING FOR ME, WHAT YOUR TOTAL, ACTUAL NET DOLLAR INVESTMENT CAPITAL WAS? 26 27 Α I WOULD SAY CLOSE TO \$135,000 TO \$150,000.

OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY "CLOSE TO," YOU DO NOT HAVE

28

Q

THAT IS ROUGHLY THE AMOUNTS THAT STAND OUT IN

1 MY MIND.

THE COURT: DIDN'T YOU SAY SOMETHING ABOUT TAKING \$35,000 OF THAT SIXTY AND TURNING IT BACK AGAIN?

THE WITNESS: YES. THAT WAS \$35,000. THAT'S RIGHT.

I HAD -- \$35,000 WAS REINVESTED.

BUT YOU ARE ASKING ME IF THAT BALANCES OUT. YES.

THE COURT: HE WANTED TO KNOW THE NET AMOUNTS THAT YOU GOT BACK ON THE ENTIRE SUMS THAT YOU PAID IN.

THE WITNESS: I KNOW WHAT YOU ARE ASKING. I WOULD NEED A PENCIL AND PAPER TO TOTALIZE IT.

MR. BARENS: JUDGE, I AM TRYING NOT TO COUNT THE THIRTY-FIVE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE LEDGER, IS WHAT I AM TRYING NOT TO DO, JUDGE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU ADDED THE SIXTY. HE DIDN'T GET THE SIXTY.

MR. BARENS: NO. I BELIEVE WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT THE
THIRTY-FIVE HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE FIRST SIDE. I DON'T
WANT TO ADD THAT TWICE, JUDGE BECAUSE IT IS MISLEADING.

```
1
            THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A FIGURE AS TO HOW MUCH YOUR
 2
     NET LOSS WAS --
 3
           THE WITNESS: YES.
 4
           THE COURT: -- IN MONEYS THAT YOU PUT IN?
 5
           THE WITNESS: YES.
 6
           THE COURT: LESS WHAT YOU TOOK OUT?
 7
           THE WITNESS: YES.
 8
           THE COURT: HOW MUCH WAS IT?
 9
           THE WITNESS: I LOST $50,000.
10
           THE COURT: NET?
11
           THE WITNESS: NET.
12
           THE COURT: NET, NET?
13
           THE WITNESS: $50,000 I LOST.
14
                 BY MR. BARENS: OKAY, NOW THE $50,000 BEING THE
15
     SPREAD. WHEN I ADD UP THE NUMBERS YOU JUST GAVE ME, AND
16
     LET ME JUST TAKE ONE SECOND, I GET NINETY-FIVE VERSUS ONE
17
     THIRTY-FIVE.
18
                 (THE WITNESS NODS HIS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
19
                 BY MR. BARENS: I GET A DIFFERENCE OF $40,000
           Q
20
     AND I AM LATE TEN AND THAT IS WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR.
21
           Α
                 YOU ARE LOOKING FOR TEN?
22
           Q
                 WELL, NOT UNTIL HUGHES SHOWS UP --
23
                 COULD THE LOSS BE MORE LIKE FORTY THAN FIFTY
24
     OR ARE YOU JUST NOT SURE?
25
                 YOU KNOW, I HAVE DONE THIS IN TRYING TO DETERMINE
26
     AN AMOUNT EVER SINCE I LOST THE MONEY AND I WOULD IMAGINE
27
    THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT WAYS WITH COMING UP
28
     TO A TOTAL LOSS.
```

MEETING.

1 I WOULD CERTAINLY STAY WITHIN THE REALM OF FORTY 2 TO \$50.000 IS WHAT I LOST. 3 Q OKAY. THAT, I THINK, IS AS GOOD AS WE CAN DO, 4 MR. WEISS. 5 A OKAY. 6 MR. WEISS, GOING BACK NOW TO THE MEETING WITH 7 THE INVESTORS IN SEPTEMBER, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT SOME OF 8 THE INVESTORS, AT LEAST ONE OR MORE, HAD ASKED MR. HUNT IF IT WAS PERMISSIBLE TO TAPE RECORD THAT MEETING OR THINGS 10 THAT WERE SAID AT THAT MEETING? 11 A DO I REMEMBER IFTHEY ASKED FOR PERMISSION TO 12 TAPE RECORD? 13 Q IF ONE OR MORE PERSONS HAD SAID TO MR. HUNT, 14 "WE WOULD LIKE TO TAPE RECORD THE MEETING OR WE ARE GOING 15 TO TAPE THE MEETING." 16 A I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. 17 Q YOU DON'T REMEMBER AT ALL EITHER WAY? 18 А NO. 19 WAS THE TAPE RECORDING -- DID YOU SAY IT WAS 0 20 YOUR SISTER THAT DID THAT? 21 А MY SISTER. 22 WAS IT SURREPTITIOUS IN NATURE, WHAT SHE DID 23 OR WAS SHE NOT TRYING TO HIDE IT? WAS IT OPENLY THAT SHE 24 WAS TAPING IT? 25 A I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HER PLAN WAS, 26 IF ANY PLAN. 27 I WOULD IMAGINE SHE WANTED TO TAPE RECORD THE

Q OKAY. WEREN'T THERE TAPE RECORDERS RIGHT IN FRONT OF MR. HUNT, DO YOU RECALL?

A I DON'T RECALL.

Q OKAY --

A I RECALL A WOMAN LAWYER -- I THINK IT IS INTERESTING WHAT STICKS OUT IN MY MIND -- I DO RECALL A WOMAN LAWYER REPRESENTING HUNT AND HE BECAME LEGALISTIC ABOUT WHAT HE WAS GOING TO SAY AND WHAT HE WASN'T GOING TO SAY AND SHE MORE OR LESS CONFIRMED OR SPOKE TO HIM. BUT I DON'T RECALL TAPE RECORDERS OUT IN FRONT.

Q OKAY, WHEN YOU SAY THAT WHAT YOU OBSERVED IN
A SENSE, I THINK YOU USED THE EXPRESSION THAT THE SENSE OF
WHAT YOU OBSERVED IS MR. HUNT SEEMED TO BE CONVERTING THE
FLOW OF THE SITUATION WHERE HE WAS TRYING TO ASCRIBE SOME
SORT OF BLAME TO THE INVESTORS GROUPFOR THE EVIDENT MISFORTUNE
THAT YOU FOLKS WERE FACING; IS THAT YOUR OPINION OF WHAT
YOU WERE HEARING?

A IT IS MY IMPRESSION OF HOW I SAW THE MEETING CHANGE SOMEWHERE WITHIN THE BODY OF THE MEETING FROM AN ATTEMPT AT EXPLANATIONS BY HUNT TO DESCRIBE HOW THE MONEY WAS LOST AND THE PEOPLE FEELING THAT THEY WEREN'T, AGAIN, BEING PROPERLY SERVED, AND THEY BECAME ANGRY AND WERE NOT SATISFIED WITH THE EXPLANATIONS.

Q IT WAS NO SURPRISE TO YOU, WAS IT, THAT THE PEOPLE GOT ANGRY WHEN THEY WERE TOLD ALL OF THE MONEY IS GONE?

A NO SURPRISE AT ALL, NOT AT ALL.

Q AT THAT POINT, HUNT HAD PROFFERED AN EXPLANATION ABOUT LOSSES IN THE MARKET, I THINK YOU TOLD US ABOUT, OR

A LOSS OR WHATEVER IN THE MARKET?

A YES.

Q AND AT WHAT POINT IN THE CONVERSATION -- LET'S SAY THAT IS A POINT AND THEN I GUESS THE WAY YOU ARE DESCRIBING IT AFTER THAT POINT, THERE IS SOME REVERSAL OF THRUST WHERE WE ARE SAYING THE INVESTORS MAY HAVE DONE SOMETHING LESS THAN HELPFUL; WHERE IN THAT CONTEXT ARE WE DISCUSSING MICROGENESIS AND THE SALE OF TECHNOLOGY, WHERE DOES THAT COME IN?

A WELL, IT CAME BEFORE -- IT CAME IN BEFORE THE PEOPLE BEGAN TO INSIST ON HONESTY.

OVER TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE?

```
1
           Q
                 OKAY. AND WHEN FIRST IN TALKING ABOUT THAT --
 2
     NOW HE HASN'T TOLD ANYBODY WHEN HE IS TALKING ABOUT
 3
     MICROGENESIS THAT THE MONEY IS NOT THERE?
 4
                CERTAINLY AT THE BEGINNING OF THE EVENING --
           Α
 5
     THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING, HE PASSED THIS CHECK OVER TO
 6
     ME, SO THAT WAS BEFORE THE PEOPLE BECAME ANGRY WITH REGARD
 7
     TO THE LOSSES.
           Q
             OKAY.
 9
                VERBALLY ANGRY.
10
           THE COURT: ON THE SWISS BANK?
11
           THE WITNESS: ON THE SWISS BANK, THE 1.5 MILLION
12
     DOLLARS.
13
               BY MR. BARENS: OKAY, LATER ON WHEN THE CONVER-
           Q
14
     SATION SHIFTS TO THE INVESTORS, CAN YOU TELL ME WITH ANY
15
     CERTAINTY, SIR, THE EXACT WORDS HUNT USED?
16
                NO, I CAN'T, NOT WITH ANY EXACT CERTAINTY.
17
                 I CAN TELL YOU THE MOOD. I CAN DESCRIBE THE MOOD.
18
           Q
                 ALL RIGHT.
19
           Α
                 IT WAS A SEIGE SITUATION, THAT WAS THE MOOD.
20
                 AND PEOPLE HAVING BEEN TOLD THAT MONEY IS NOT
21
     THERE, WERE GENERALLY CLAMOROUS AND UNHAPPY AT THAT POINT?
22
           Α
                 THEY WERE GENERALLY UNHAPPY AT THAT POINT BUT --
23
                 DID HUNT SEEM TO MAKE AN ATTEMPT TO DEFEND HIM-
24
     SELF AT THIS POINT?
25
           Α
                 YES.
26
           Q
                 WHAT HAPPENED --
27
                 DID YOUR SISTER TURN THAT TAPE OF THE MEETING
```

1	· A YOU MEAN THE COUNTY?
2	Q THE COUNTY, A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE
3	FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES?
4	A AS FAR AS I KNOW, IT WAS AVAILABLE TO ANY LAW
5	ENFORCEMENT AGENCY WHO WANTED IT, SURE.
6	Q YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO IT?
7	
8	A I DON'T KNOW, NO.
9	MR. BARENS: OKAY, THANK YOU, MR. WEISS.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WAPNER:
3	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU READ THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
4	AGREEMENT THAT YOU WERE SIGNING?
5	A I DIDN'T READ THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT
6	IN TOTO BECAUSE IN MY CONVERSATION WITH JOE HUNT, HE
7	EXPLAINED WHAT THE RELATIONSHIP WOULD BE AND I DIDN'T FEEL
8	THAT IT WAS NECESSARY FOR ME TO READ THE ORIGINAL LIMITED
9	PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT WORD BY WORD.
10	Q IN OTHER WORDS, YOU TRUSTED HIM?
11	A ABSOLUTELY.
12	MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
13	MR. BARENS: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THANK YOU.
14	
15	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. BARENS:
17	Q YOU MENTIONED TO ME THAT PREVIOUSLY YOU HAVE
18	HAD RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER BROKERAGE HOUSES, MR. WEISS?
19	A YES.
20	THE COURT: NOW WE HAVE GONE THROUGH THAT SAME THING.
21	MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME?
22	THE COURT: THERE WAS ONLY ONE QUESTION ASKED BY
23	COUNSEL.
24	
25	
26	
27	

1 MR. BARENS: I AM GOING TO ASK HIM THAT ONE QUESTION. 2 THE COURT: RECROSS ON THAT ONE QUESTION AND THAT IS 3 ALL. 4 MR. BARENS: I AM GOING TO, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 6 Q BY MR. BARENS: MR. WEISS, IN DEALINGS WITH THEM, 7 I PRESUME YOU DEALT WITH SHEARSON -- I DON'T KNOW WHICH ONE, 8 BUT SOMEONE OF THAT NATURE, THEY SENT YOU A THING TO 9 SIGN WHEN YOU OPENED UP YOUR ACCOUNT WITH THEM? 10 А YES. 11 Q DID YOU WORD FOR WORD IN TOTO READ THOSE? 12 Α I DON'T RECALL. 13 I WOULD SAY I DIDN'T READ THOSE WORD FOR WORD 14 EITHER. I MEAN THE STANDARD AGREEMENTS, I AM ASSUMING ON 15 THE BASIS --16 IT COULD BE MY GRIEVOUS ERROR --17 I AM ASSUMING ON THE BASIS OF REPUTATION OF A 18 WELL-KNOWN COMPANY BY THE NAME OF THE ONE THAT YOU DESCRIBED, 19 WHICH I WOULD SAY IN SOME SENSE IS THE EQUIVALENT TO SOMEONE 20 RECOMMENDING A BROKER TO ME, IN THIS CASE JOE HUNT. AND 21 IN THE CONVERSATION, AN AGREEMENT COMES UP, WE HAVE ALREADY 22 TALKED ABOUT A VERBAL AGREEMENT. 23 MR. BARENS: THAT WAS MY ONLY QUESTION. 24 25 26

27

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I AM NOT SURE IF THIS WAS COVERED YET. BUT, IT IS PROBABLY IN THE NATURE OF CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION. IT WOULD BE VERY BRIEF.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO REOPEN?

MR. WAPNER: YES, PLEASE.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION (REOPENED)

BY MR. WAPNER:

Q MR. WEISS, DID YOU GET SENT TO YOU, COPIES OF QUARTERLY STATEMENTS PERTAINING TO THE INDIVIDUALS WHO CAME TO INVEST THROUGH YOU?

A I USUALLY PICKED THOSE UP IN THE OFFICE. I WANTED
TO BE SURE THAT THESE FRIENDS AND RELATIVES OF MINE WERE AS
WELL TAKEN CARE OF AS POSSIBLE. SO I WOULD GET RECEIPTS FOR
THEM AND ALSO THE QUARTERLY REPORTS.

Q AND THE QUARTERLY -- SHOWING YOU A COPY OF DR. PASKAN'S QUARTERLY REPORT FOR THE QUARTER OF NOVEMBER 15 THROUGH FEBRUARY 15, 1983 TO 1984 --

A YES.

Q IT INDICATES ON THERE THAT THE AVERAGE MONTHLY RETURN IS 17.69 PERCENT. IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q OF ALL OF THE MONTHLY REPORTS THAT YOU PICKED UP FOR ALL OF THE PEOPLE WHO INVESTED FOR YOU, WAS THE AVERAGE MONTHLY RETURN THE SAME FOR EACH PERSON?

A YES.

Q SO THAT WOULD TEND TO INDICATE THAT ALL OF THIS MONEY WAS, IF IN FACT THOSE WERE ACCURATE -- HAD BEEN PUT

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TOGETHER AND THEY WERE ALL EARNING -- BASICALLY LIVING OR DYING TOGETHER, CORRECT? Α YES. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ONE QUESTION TO CLEAR SOMETHING UP I THINK IN MY MIND AND I ASSUME TOO, ALSO IN THE MINDS OF THE JURY. NOW, WHEN YOU WERE TOLD THAT EVERYTHING HAD GONE UNDER THE WIRE, EVERYTHING WAS LOST AND HE CAME UP WITH THIS IDEA OF A PROMISSORY NOTE, WHO WAS EXECUTING THIS PROMISSORY NOTE? THE WITNESS: HE WAS. THE COURT: HE WAS? AND WHAT WAS THE PROMISSORY NOTE FOR? THE WITNESS: TO ENABLE THE INVESTORS WHO HAD LOST ALL THEIR MONEY, TO GET THEIR MONEY BACK. THE COURT: SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE? THE WITNESS: WITHIN A YEAR, PLUS INTEREST. THE COURT: IF HE MADE THE MONEY? THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER SOURCE THAT HE HAD FOR GETTING THE MONEY TO PAY OFF THE PROMISSORY NOTES? THE WITNESS: NO. THE COURT: HOWEVER, BEFORE YOU GOT THE PROMISSORY NOTES, IS IT TRUE THAT YOU HAD TO AGREE THAT YOU WOULD RELEASE HIM FROM ANY OBLIGATIONS THAT ANY OF THE INVESTORS HAD FOR ANYTHING

27 28

THAT HAPPENED IN THE PAST?

A YES.

FINANCIAL FUTURES, CORRECT?

26

27

28

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

1.

BY MR. BARENS:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q THE JUDGE IN HIS LANGUAGE TO YOU, INDICATED THAT YOU WERE SIGNING OR ASKED TO SIGN A PROMISSORY NOTE THAT RELEASED EVERYTHING? WELL, IT DIDN'T RELEASE THE FACE AMOUNT DUE ON THE NOTE, DID IT?

WELL, IT IS A NUMBER TO ME. THAT IS ALL.

THERE IS A NUMBER THERE. LET ME ASK YOU THIS. ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE BALANCE OF THE YEAR OF 1984, DURING THAT YEAR WHEN THIS MONEY WAS TO BE REPAID, THAT MR. HUNT SPENT THAT YEAR IN JAIL?

A YES.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.

1 THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE OF THAT 2 PARTICULAR QUESTION. I DON'T THINK THAT IT HAD ANYTHING TO 3 DO WITH THIS CASE. 4 MR. BARENS: I HAVE AN OFFER. IMPOSSIBILITY IS A 5 DEFENSE OF CONTRACT LAW, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: YOU MEAN EVEN IF THE THING RESULTS FROM 7 HIS CONDUCT? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING? YOU CREATE YOUR 8 OWN IMPOSSIBILITY? 9 MR. BARENS: WE DON'T IF IT IS -- WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT 10 CONDUCT UNTIL THE JURY DECIDES, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: APPROACH THE BENCH. 12 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 13 AT THE BENCH:) 14 THE COURT: A MAN IS RELIEVED FROM RESPONSIBILITY BECAUSE 15 OF HIS CONDUCT? HE GOES TO JAIL AND HE CAN'T PERFORM? WHERE 16 DID YOU GET THAT LAW FROM? 17 MR. BARENS: MAY I RESPOND? 18 THE COURT: WAS THAT HIS THEORY? 19 MR. BARENS: MAY I RESPOND, YOUR HONOR? 20 MR. CHIER: MEANING ME, YOUR HONOR? 21 THE COURT: YES. 22 MR. BARENS: MAY I RESPOND? 23 THE COURT: YES. 24 MR. BARENS: TWO THINGS IN THIS, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE 25 CREATED AN INFERENCE THAT MR. HUNT MADE A PROMISE THAT HE 26 HAD NO INTENT WHATSOEVER OR ABILITY TO REPAY AND UNLESS ONE 27 WERE IN A POSITION --28 THE COURT: I DIDN'T INDICATE THAT AT ALL IN MY QUESTION.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9243 ALL I INDICATED IS THAT FOR THIS PROMISSORY NOTE, HE WAS 1 RELIEVED OF ANYTHING THAT HE HAD TO DO WITH ANY OF THESE TRADES 2 THAT HE HAD MADE FOR OTHER PEOPLE. 3 AND THAT THE ONLY THING THEY HAD TO PURSUE, WOULD 4 BE THE PROMISSORY NOTE. 5 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 6 THE COURT: IT WAS A PROMISSORY NOTE. IT IS STILL A 7 PROMISSORY NOTE. IT HAS NEVER BEEN REALIZED. 8 MR. BARENS: MAY I COMPLETE MY STATEMENT, SIR? 9 10 MR. WAPNER: I --MR. BARENS: MAY I COMPLETE MY STATEMENT? 11 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 12 MR. BARENS: MY STATEMENT, SIR, IS THAT MR. HUNT, IT 13 WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM DURING THAT YEAR, BECAUSE HE WAS IN 14 JAIL. FOR WHATEVER REASONS RIGHT OR WRONG, ALL I WAS 15 SUGGESTING WAS THAT IF THERE WAS AN IMPLICATION THAT SINCE 16

WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM DURING THAT YEAR, BECAUSE HE WAS IN JAIL. FOR WHATEVER REASONS RIGHT OR WRONG, ALL I WAS SUGGESTING WAS THAT IF THERE WAS AN IMPLICATION THAT SINCE THE SOLE THING THAT THE INVESTOR COULD LOOK TO WAS A PERFORMANCE BY MR. HUNT, AS A RESULT OF MR. HUNT'S EFFORTS, THE IS ALL HE IS SAYING TO THEM IN THE PROMISSORY NOTE, I, JOE HUNT, WILL REPAY YOU -- SINCE HE IS IN JAIL, HE OBVIOUSLY DIDN'T APPEAR TO ME TO BE IN A POSITION TO MAKE THAT PAYMENT.

I AM NOT SAYING, NOR DID I SAY, JUDGE, THAT HE WAS EXCUSED FROM THE --

THE COURT: WELL, YOU SAID IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE
AND --

MR. BARENS: TO INDICATE THAT --

THE COURT: THAT MEANS HE DOESN'T HAVE TO PERFORM BECAUSE

HE PUT HIMSELF IN JAIL FOR SOMETHING HE DID.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE FACTS WOULD SHOW MR. HUNT PUT HIMSELF IN JAIL, ACTUALLY. I BELIEVE THE STATE DID.

HE DIDN'T VOLUNTARILY ENTER THE JAIL, I DON'T BELIEVE, YOUR HONOR. I WILL SAY THAT AS TO THE PROPRIETY OF HIM BEING THERE --

THE COURT: ALL I CALLED YOU UP FOR IS THAT YOUR

STATEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW, IS UNTENABLE THAT A MAN, BECAUSE

OF ANY CONDUCT OF HIS, FINDS HIMSELF IN THE POSITION WHERE

HE CANNOT PERFORM AND HE IS PUT IN JAIL BECAUSE OF CONDUCT,

THAT THAT WAS IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE.

IN LAW, THAT IS NOT TRUE. I TAUGHT CONTRACT LAW.

I HAVE NEVER KNOWN AN INSTANCE WHERE THAT IS AN EXCUSE.

MR. BARENS: WELL, I WOULD NEVER DENY YOUR HONOR HAS
A SUPERIOR KNOWLEDGE TO MY OWN ABOUT CONTRACT LAW.

WHAT I AM SAYING IS THAT THE DEBT REMAINS

OUTSTANDING AT THIS TIME. IT WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM DURING

THAT YEAR, TO PERFORM THE CONTRACT.

I BELIEVE AT LAW, HE WILL BE EXCUSED. THERE WOULD BE SOME REFORMATION OF THE CONTRACT TO HAVE A TIME TO PAY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MADE YOUR POSITION CLEAR.

MR. WAPNER: ARE WE NOW GOING TO GET INTO THIS WHOLE
POINT OF WHEN HE GOT OUT OF JAIL AND HE HAS NOW HAD A YEAR
SINCE HE WAS OUT OF JAIL TO PERFORM AND HE HAS NOT PERFORMED?

THE COURT: WELL, HE HAS BEEN TOO BUSY.

MR. BARENS: I HOPE THAT --

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH THIS WHOLE LINE

OF INQUIRY. IT WAS IMPROPER FROM THE GET GO.

THE COURT: THAT IS EXACTLY WHY I CALLED HIM UP BECAUSE
I DON'T THINK THAT THE FACT THAT HE HAD BEEN IN JAIL HAS
ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT.

THE INDICATION IS LOOK, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, BE NICE TO HIM. HE HAS BEEN IN JAIL FOR A YEAR. THAT IS THE IMPLICATION OF THE QUESTION.

MR. WAPNER: CAN I NOW BE PERMITTED --

THE COURT: YOU STAY AWAY FROM THAT.

MR. WAPNER: ARE WE NOW PERMITTED TO CLEAR THIS UP SO

I CAN ASK THE WITNESS, DO YOU KNOW HE HAS BEEN OUT OF JAIL

FOR THE PAST YEAR AND STILL HAS NOT PAID YOU A DIME?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THAT IS --

THE COURT: WELL, STAY AWAY FROM THAT. LET'S STAY AWAY FROM THAT, WILL YOU? THIS IS ENOUGH.

27

28

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 2 IN OPEN COURT:) 3 MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 4 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 5 MR. WAPNER: NOT FROM THE PEOPLE. 6 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I DID FORGET AN IMPORTANT 7 POINT AT THE BENCH. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, COME BACK AGAIN. 9 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 10 AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF 11 THE JURY:) 12 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE DO HAVE A GRIEVOUS 13 SITUATION ON OUR HANDS AS A RESULT OF COMMENT MADE THAT 14 YOUR HONOR EXPRESSED IN FRONT OF THE JURY THAT MR. HUNT WAS 15 IN JAIL AS A RESULT OF HIS CONDUCT. THE IMPLICATION BEING 16 THAT HE IS GUILTY OF SOMETHING IF HE IS IN JAIL AS A RESULT 17 OF HIS CONDUCT. 18 THE COURT: LET THE RECORD SPEAK FOR ITSELF. 19 DO YOU WANT ME TO CLEAR IT UP FOR THE JURY, TELL 20 THEM HE HAS BEEN IN JAIL AS A RESULT OF THIS CASE, DO YOU 21 WANT ME TO DO THAT? 22 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, NO. 23 IF YOU WILL JUST HEAR ME OUT, PLEASE. 24 THE COURT: YOU ARE THE ONE THAT OPENED THE DOOR. YOU 25 ARE THE ONE THAT TRIED TO GET THE SYMPATHY OF THE JURY THAT

THE COURT: YOU ARE THE ONE THAT OPENED THE DOOR. YOU ARE THE ONE THAT TRIED TO GET THE SYMPATHY OF THE JURY THAT HE HAS BEEN IN JAIL FOR ONE YEAR. THERE IS NO REASON UNDER THE SUN FOR YOU TO HAVE MADE THAT REMARK BECAUSE, AS A MATTER OF LAW, THE FACT THAT HE WAS IN JAIL FOR A YEAR DOESN'T

```
EXCUSE THAT. IT IS NOT AN IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE.
 1
     YOU ARE THE ONE THAT OPENED THE DOOR.
 2
 3
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IRRESPECTIVE OF THAT, WHAT
 4
     I HAVE TO QUESTION, YOUR HONOR, IS THE REMARK THAT WAS
 5
     MADE THAT HE WAS IN JAIL AS A RESULT OF HIS CONDUCT.
 6
           THE COURT: LET IT GO, ALL RIGHT. THAT IS ALL. YOU
 7
     HAVE MADE YOUR RECORD. LET'S GO ON.
 8
           MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 9
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
10
                 IN OPEN COURT:)
11
           THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER OF MR. WEISS?
12
           MR. WAPNER: NOT FROM THE PEOPLE, YOUR HONOR.,
13
           THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?
14
           MR. BARENS: NO. NOTHING. THANK YOU.
15
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE.
16
           MR. WAPNER: ALPH GORE.
17
           THE COURT: WILL YOU APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE?
18
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
19
                 AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF
20
                 THE JURY:)
21
           THE COURT: ALL OF THIS IS GOING TO BE CUMULATIVE.
22
                 WHY SHOULD WE WASTE OUR TIME BY HAVING ONE
23
     WITNESS AFTER ANOTHER AFTER ANOTHER COME IN. PUTTING IN
24
     THE DETAILS OF HOW MUCH MONEY THEY LOST? CAN'T WE SUMMARIZE
25
     THAT IN SOME WAY? GO INTO HOW MUCH MONEY HE LOST AND THAT
26
     IS ALL.
27
           MR. WAPNER: I WILL TRY TO BE BRIEF WITH THIS WITNESS.
```

THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO PUT ANY EXHIBITS IN,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

HE CAN TESTIFY.

MR. WAPNER: I CAN SUMMARIZE IT.

THE COURT: THAT GOES TO ALL OF THE PEOPLE THAT LOST MONEY. WE ARE NOT TRYING A LAWSUIT HERE WITH RESPECT TO PEOPLE AS TO HOW MUCH THEY LOST. IT IS ONLY AS PART OF THE ENTIRE TRANSACTION WITH THE BBC AND THE OTHERS, THAT IS THE ONLY REASON I PERMITTED IT IN. LET'S NOT GO INTO THE NAUSEATING DETAILS ABOUT EVERY LITTLE TRANSACT.ION THAT TOOK PLACE IN THIS CASE.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

IN OPEN COURT IN THE HEARING OF THE

JURY:)

ALAN GORE.

CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. PLEASE.

YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.

THE WITNESS: I DO.

SHALL I SIT?

THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED.

HAVE A SEAT AND SAY YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: ALAN GORE.

THE CLERK: AND YOUR FIRST NAME IS SPELLED?

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

\$2,500.

Α

```
DID MR. WEISS TELL YOU THAT HE HAD INVESTED SOME
      0
MONEY WITH MR. HUNT AND HAD MADE A FAIRLY SUBSTANTIAL
RETURN FAIRLY QUICKLY?
            YES, HE HAD.
      Q
            AND WHEN WAS IT THAT MR. WEISS TOLD YOU THAT?
      Α
            THE FIRST TIME I HEARD THE NAME JOE HUNT MENTIONED
WAS IN THE BEGINNING OF JUNE, 1983.
      Q
            WHEN DID YOU DECIDE TO INVEST?
      Α
            IT WAS, I THINK, THE 12TH OF JULY.
      Q
            HOW MUCH DID YOU INVEST INITIALLY?
      Α
            $10,000.
      Q
            DID YOU GET A RETURN ON THAT MONEY?
      MR. BARENS: WHAT YEAR ARE WE IN?
      THE WITNESS: 1983.
      THE COURT: 1983.
      MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
      Q
            BY MR. WAPNER: WERE YOU PAID SOME PROFIT ON
THAT MONEY?
      Α
           YES, AFTER SOME DIFFICULTY BECAUSE --
      Q
            WHEN WAS THAT?
            BECAUSE MR. HUNT ALLEGEDLY SAID THAT THE
ACCOUNTANT -- THE ACCOUNTANCY PROCEDURES HAVE NOT BEEN ON
LINE AND THERE WERE SEVERAL OTHER TECHNICAL MATTERS.
            BUT EVENTUALLY, WE WERE PAID. I THINK IT WAS
ABOUT A LITTLE OVER TWO MONTHS LATER AT A RATE OF 13 PERCENT
A MONTH OR 26 PERCENT IN ALL.
      Q
           HOW MUCH DID YOU GET IN SEPTEMBER?
```

```
1
                AND WAS THAT ACTUALLY PAID OUT TO YOU?
 2
                IT WAS PAID OUT TO ME AND TO THREE OTHER INVESTORS,
 3
     INCLUDING MR. WEISS.
 4
                AFTER MR. WEISS FIRST TOLD YOU ABOUT IT. DID
 5
     YOU TALK TO MR. HUNT?
 6
           Α
                YES.
 7
           Q BEFORE YOU INVESTED WITH HIM, DID YOU SPEAK TO
 8
     HIM?
                YES, ON TWO OCCASIONS.
           Α
10
                 DID HE MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION TO YOU ABOUT THE
11
     INVESTMENT?
12
           Α
                YES.
13
                 HE TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO US THE METHODOLOGY THAT
14
     HE WAS EMPLOYING.
15
                 DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE METHODOLOGY AT THAT TIME?
16
                IT WAS NOT TOO CLEAR BUT THERE WAS SOME REFERENCE
17
     TO WHAT IS KNOWN AS INVERTED BUTTERFLIES.
18
              DID HE INDICATE TO YOU HOW MUCH RETURN YOU COULD
           Q
19
     EXPECT ON YOUR INVESTMENT?
20
           Α
                IT WAS SUBSTANTIAL.
21
                 ON A MONTHLY BASIS OR -- AT THE TIME, IT WAS
22
     EVERY MONTH OR BETWEEN A MONTH AND TWO MONTHS, AND SUBSEQUENT
23
     TO THAT, SOME MONTHS LATER, IT WAS EVERY QUARTERLY, EVERY
24
     THREE MONTHS.
25
           Q DID HE INDICATE TO YOU WHAT THE RISK OF LOSS
26
     WAS?
27
                 HE SAID THAT UNDER NO CONDITIONS OR NO
28
     CIRCUMSTANCES WE WOULD LOSE MORE THAN 15 PERCENT OF OUR
```

PRINCIPAL. Q THAT IS 15 PERCENT? 15 PERCENT. AFTER YOU WERE PAID THE MONEY IN SEPTEMBER OF 1983, DID YOU INVEST MORE MONEY WITH MR. HUNT? Α YES, IN MID-FEBRUARY, '84. HOW MUCH DID YOU INVEST AT THAT TIME? Q FIVE ADDITIONAL, FIVE ADDITIONAL THOUSAND. WHY DID YOU INVEST THE ADDITIONAL \$5,000? Q I HAD FIGURED -- I HAD NOTICED THAT THERE WAS A PATTERN TO THE RETURNS AND THAT THE RETURNS OCCURRED AT A RATE OF ABOUT 13 PERCENT A MONTH, SO I COMPUTED THAT, IF I INVESTED ABOUT 15,000 IN ALL THAT IT WOULD BRING ME A NET --A GROSS RETURN OF, A MONTHLY GROSS RETURN, IT WOULD PROVIDE FOR INCIDENTALS AND ONGOING EXPENSES. 

HOW MUCH WAS THAT?

IT WAS \$4,012.

27

28

Q

Α

1	Q	WAS THAT A CHECK ON CYCLATRONICS?	
2	А	NO. IT WAS ON MR. HUNT'S PERSONAL ACCOUNT, BANK	
3	OF AMERICA,	ROSEWOOD BRANCH.	
4	Q	SO IT WAS JOE HUNT'S PERSONAL ACCOUNT?	
5	A	YES.	
6	Q	THEN AFTER THAT, YOU INVESTED ANOTHER \$5,000?	
7	А	RIGHT. AND RECEIVED ANOTHER PAYMENT.	
8	Q	WHEN DID YOU GET THAT?	
9	А	I THINK IT WAS IN MARCH.	
10	Q	AND HOW MUCH DID YOU GET AT THAT TIME? .	
11	А	I THINK IT WAS \$5300, I THINK.	
12	Q	AND DID YOU GET SOME MONEY AGAIN IN JUNE FROM	
13	HIM?		
14	A	YES. WELL, I HAD BEEN I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS	
15	RELEVANT, BU	JT I WAS OVERSEAS AND A FRIEND DEPOSITED THE AMOUNT	
16	FOR ME.		
17		THERE WAS A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT.	
18	Q	THAT WAS APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH?	
19	А	IT WAS \$10,254, I THINK.	
20	Q	SO NOW YOU ARE AHEAD OF THE GAME?	
21	А	CORRECT.	
22	Q	YOU WENT TO EUROPE?	
23	А	I HAD BEEN IN EUROPE AT THE TIME.	
24	Q	ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU SEE STEVE WEISS IN EUROPE?	
25	А	YES.	
26	Q	DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH	
27	THE INVESTMENTS?		
28	А	WELL, I SAW HIM AT HIS IN-LAW'S HOUSE NEAR	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

VICTORIA STATION IN LONDON. THERE WAS -- I THINK IT WAS THE 19TH OF JULY, 1984. HE HAD BEEN THROUGH EUROPE IN A DIFFERENT ROUTE. I HAD BEEN THROUGH ON A DIFFERENT ROUTE. AND WHEN I FIRST SAW HIM, HE SAID --MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WHAT HE SAID IS HEARSAY. THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. BY MR. WAPNER: DID MR. WEISS EXPRESS TO YOU SOME CONCERN ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH THE INVESTMENTS? MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: HE SAID THERE ARE CERTAIN PROBLEMS WITH THE ACCOUNT, WITH THE INVESTMENTS. MR. BARENS: A MOTION ON THE RESPONSE, YOUR HONOR. MR. WAPNER: IT IS OFFERED NOT SO MUCH TO PROVE THE TRUTH, BUT TO EXPLAIN SUBSEQUENT CONDUCT. THE COURT: EXPLAIN SUBSEQUENT ACTION? I WILL PERMIT IT. BY MR. WAPNER: AFTER TALKING TO MR. WEISS IN LONDON, AT SOME POINT DID YOU RETURN TO LOS ANGELES? Α YEAH. MAY I ADD SOMETHING? MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR? (PAUSE.) BY MR. WAPNER: MR. GORE, WE WILL COME BACK TO Q THIS.

BUT AFTER YOU TALKED TO MR. WEISS IN LONDON AT SOME POINT, DID YOU RETURN TO LOS ANGELES?

A YES.

AND WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO LOS ANGELES, DID YOU 1 0 TALK TO THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE? 2 3 A YES. I CALLED THE OFFICE THE SECOND DAY AFTER 4 ARRIVAL. 5 AND I BELIEVE I SAW MR. HUNT SOMEWHERE. LET'S SAY SEVERAL DAYS AFTER MY RETURN. 6 7 MR. BARENS: WHAT TIME ARE WE AT? 8 THE WITNESS: I RETURNED ON THE 24TH OF JULY. SO IT 9 WOULD BE --10 THE COURT: 1984? 11 THE WITNESS: 1984. 12 Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND HOW LONG AFTER YOU GOT BACK, 13 DID YOU TALK TO MR. HUNT? 14 A COULD HAVE BEEN SEVERAL DAYS THEREAFTER. I 15 DISCUSSED WITH HIM IN GENERAL, YOU KNOW, MY IMPRESSION OF 16 THE TRIP AND BEAR IN MIND AT THE TIME, WE HAD AN EXCEEDINGLY 17 CORDIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. HUNT. 18 Q YOU HAD BEEN ON PRETTY GOOD TERMS WITH HIM DURING 19 THE COURSE OF THE INVESTMENT? 20 Α YES. 21 DID YOU ASK HIM AT THE TIME YOU GOT BACK, HOW 22 THE INVESTMENT HAD BEEN DOING? 23 YES. HE SAID THAT EVERYTHING HAD YOU KNOW, GONE 24 NICELY. AND I SAID TO HIM, "JOE, I HAVE BEEN TO LONDON AND 25 THIS TIME I AM DETERMINED TO PURCHASE MYSELF A FLAT IN SOUTH 26 KENSINGTON." THAT IS A SECTION OF LONDON. 27 "AND I WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE THE INVESTMENT OR

I WOULD LIKE TO CONSULT WITH YOU ABOUT INCREASING THE

```
INVESTMENT AND WITH THE EARNINGS OF THE INVESTMENT, INCREASED
 1
     INVESTMENT, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE FUNDS TO PURCHASE THE
 2
     FLAT."
 3
           Q AND WHEN WAS THAT? WHEN DID THAT CONVERSATION
 4
     TAKE PLACE?
 5
           A EITHER IN THE VERY LAST DAYS IN JULY OR THE FIRST
 6
     DAYS IN AUGUST. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN MORE THAN ONE CONVERSATION.
 7
           Q
                WHAT DID HE SAY WHEN YOU TOLD HIM THAT YOU WANTED
 8
     TO INVEST SOME MORE?
 9
                THAT THE ACCOUNT IS PERFORMING -- OR THE INVESTMENT
10
     IS PERFORMING VERY WELL AND WE COULD EXPECT POSSIBLY MORE
11
     THAN 25 PERCENT.
12
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE WANT TO APPROACH AGAIN.
13
           THE COURT: WE CAN DO IT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE
14
     SESSION TODAY.
15
           MR. BARENS: WELL, IT IS NOT OF THE NATURE THAT WOULD
16
     PERMIT THAT AT THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE WE ARE IN
17
     MIDSTREAM OF THE TESTIMONY AND --
18
19
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
20
           THE WITNESS: LET ME CLARIFY FOR COUNSEL --
21
           THE COURT: NO. WAIT JUST A MINUTE.
22
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
23
                 AT THE BENCH:)
24
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE WELL AFTER JUNE 6TH
25
     OR JUNE 7 IN ANY EVENT, IN THIS TESTIMONY.
26
                 IF THE TESTIMONY IS BEING OFFERED TO SHOW MOTIVE.
27
     I FAIL TO SEE THE RELEVANCY OF THE CONDUCT WELL PAST JUNE 6TH.
28
```

WE ARE NOW INTO AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER OR WHATEVER.

I DON'T SEE WHAT THAT HAS TO DO WITH MOTIVE, VIS-A-VIS MR. LEVIN OR THE ACTIVITIES THAT SURROUND MR. LEVIN. ALSO, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS WELL AFTER OBVIOUSLY, ANY MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED PRIOR TO JUNE 6 OR 7. 

F

MR. WAPNER: IT GOES TO SHOW THE WHOLE NATURE OF THE SCHEME, YOUR HONOR, THAT THIS WAS WHAT WAS GOING ON BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER THE TIME THAT THE MURDER WAS COMMITTED.

IT SHOWS HOW THE WHOLE SCHEME WAS CONSTITUTED. THAT HE

CONTINUALLY TOLD PEOPLE THAT THE INVESTMENT WAS DOING WELL,

IT WAS MAKING MONEY, "GIVE ME MORE MONEY" AND THEY DID AND THEY BELIEVED HIM.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THERE HAS NOT BEEN A WITNESS
THAT EVER SAID HUNT SAID "GIVE ME MONEY."

THE COURT: LET'S NOT HAVE THAT. IT IS TOO CUMULATIVE.

AS I TOLD YOU, YOU HAVE HAD TWO OR THREE NOW WHO WILL TESTIFY PRECISELY THE SAME WAY. WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A MULTIPLICITY OF ALL OF THESE WITNESSES, YOU KNOW. THERE IS A LIMIT TO HOW LONG WE CAN GO ON WITH THE REPETITIOUS TESTIMONY THAT WE HAVE BEEN HEARING.

MR. WAPNER: I WAS TRYING TO DO IT FAIRLY QUICKLY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

MR. BARENS: BUT YOUR HONOR, MY MOTION GOES TO RELEVANCY.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND --

THE COURT: WELL, HE INDICATED TO YOU THE RELEVANCY,

THAT THERE IS A CONTINUING SCHEME AND COURSE OF CONDUCT,

A CONSPIRACY THAT HAS GONE ON WITH RESPECT TO THE OPERATION

OF THESE ALLEGED INVESTMENTS WHICH WERE MADE.

MR. BARENS: BUT YOUR HONOR, IN THE POST JUNE 6 PERIOD, WE HAVE TO MAKE A DIFFERENT INQUIRY REALLY.

THE COURT: IT ISN'T CUT OFF JUST BECAUSE THE MURDER

ALLEGEDLY WAS COMMITTED ON JUNE 6TH, I CAN'T STOP EVERYTHING

AFTER THAT. I THINK THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS PROPERLY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SHOWN THAT THERE WAS A CONTINUING CONSPIRACY AND CONTINUING COURSE OF CONDUCT AND I WILL PERMIT IT TO BE DONE. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:) BY MR. WAPNER: MR. GORE, AS A RESULT OF YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. HUNT, DID YOU INVEST MORE MONEY IN AUGUST? YES. Α MR. BARENS: OBJECTION. THAT MISCHARACTERIZES THE TESTIMONY SAYING "AS A RESULT". THE COURT: AFTER THE CONVERSATION. NOT AS A RESULT OF. BY MR. WAPNER: AFTER THE CONVERSATION, DID YOU INVEST SOME MORE MONEY WITH MR. HUNT? Α YES. Q WHY? WELL, WITH THE AMOUNT INCREASED, IT WAS PROJECTED THAT THE EARNINGS, EVEN IF THEY CONTINUED AT 13 PERCENT A MONTH, WHICH THEY HAD BEEN BEFORE EXCEPT FOR THE PREVIOUS PAYMENT OF JUNE, IT WOULD ALLOW ME \$12,000 BY THE NEXT QUARTER. Q WHO WAS IT PROJECTED BY? А MR. HUNT PROJECTED IT. 0 HOW MUCH MONEY DID YOU GIVE HIM? I GAVE HIM ADDITIONAL \$18,000 TO A TOTAL OF PRINCIPAL OF \$33,000. Q WHEN DID YOU GIVE HIM THE \$18,000?

A I THINK IT WAS THE 9TH OF AUGUST.

Q AND DID YOU AT SOME POINT FIND OUT FROM MR. HUNT
THAT IN FACT THERE WERE NOT PROFITS BEING MADE?

A THE FIRST -- WELL, THERE WERE ASSURANCES GOING

BACK AND FORTH. BUT THE AXE DROPPED ON THE 17TH OF SEPTEMBER

WHEN MR. WEISS CALLED ME IN THE MORNING, MID-MORNING LIKE,

AND ASKED ME TO DRIVE OVER TO THE OFFICE, FROM WHERE I LIVED

IS ABOUT A MILE OR SO, AND WE CAME IN TO SEE MR. HUNT.

Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU AT THAT TIME?

A THERE WAS STEVE, MR. BROWN, CHESTER BROWN AND MYSELF, AND THIS IS WHEN HE FIRST TOLD US THAT THE MONEY HAS BEEN LOST OR HE USES THE EXPRESSION "IT WAS LOST IN ITS ENTIRETY IN THE MARKETPLACE."

AND WHEN I SAY "MONEY," IT IS NOT MY MONEY THAT IS INVOLVED. IT IS THE TOTAL INVESTMENT OF 72 PEOPLE.

Q AND DID YOU GO TO A MEETING THAT HE CALLED OF ALL OF THE INVESTORS?

A YES.

SUBSEQUENT TO THAT, I THINK IT WAS ON THE 21ST OR THE 22ND OF SEPTEMBER, IT WAS ON A SATURDAY, SATURDAY AFTERNOON, MR. HUNT BY USE OF A MEMO TO ALL INVESTORS CALLED A MEETING ON SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE IN BEVERLY HILLS. I THINK THERE WERE VIRTUALLY ALL OF THEM IN ATTENDANCE, MAYBE ABOUT, SAY, WELL OVER 60 PEOPLE AT THIS POINT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I THINK AT THIS POINT WE WILL TAKE A RECESS.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL TAKE A RECESS NOW UNTIL 3:15.

1	THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WILL STILL APPLY.
2	(RECESS.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

THE CLERK: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. STATE YOUR NAME ONCE AGAIN.

THE WITNESS: ALAN GORE.

WITH CHESTER BROWN AND STEVE WEISS BEFORE THE MAY MEETING,
DID MR. HUNT AT THAT TIME, TELL YOU THAT HE WAS EXPECTING
ANY MONEY THAT WAS GOING TO COVER THE LOSSES HE HAD JUST TOLD
YOU ABOUT?

A WELL, IF I REPHRASE FROM THE SEQUENCE OF THAT STATEMENT, THAT THE MONEY WAS LOST IN TOTAL IN THE MARKETPLACE AND IT WAS DUE TO THE NEGLIGENCE OR INCOMPETENCE OF CERTAIN ONES OF HIS ASSOCIATES, WHO DIDN'T WATCH THE POSITIONS TAKEN IN THE COMMODITIES TRADING.

AND THEN, THAT -- LET ME REPHRASE THAT -- AND THAT CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS HE HAD, THAT MR. HUNT HAD, CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS DUE TO HIM FROM PEOPLE EITHER FROM OVERSEAS OR SWITZERLAND SPECIFICALLY AND WITH THE HELP OF THAT MONEY, ONCE IT COMES IN, HE WILL REIMBURSE THE INVESTORS.

Q YOU WENT TO THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER THE 21ST WHERE ALL OF THE INVESTORS WERE PRESENT, IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES.

Q AND AT THAT MEETING, DID MR. HUNT HAVE A CHECK IN HIS POSSESSION?

A YES.

THE COURT: DOES IT HAVE ANY RELEVANCY?

```
MR. WAPNER: WELL, WHAT THE NATURE OF THE GIFT WAS,
 1
      I THINK, HAS RELEVANCY TO CONNECT UP TO SOMETHING WE HAVE
 2
     HAD BEFORE.
           THE COURT: I WILL LET HIM ANSWER. GO AHEAD.
 4
                BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT WAS IT THAT HE TOLD YOU THAT
 5
     HE WANTED?
 6
           A I SUGGESTED IF HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE PERSONALIZED
 7
     STATIONERY.
           Q YOU SUGGESTED THAT?
 9
           А
                 YES.
10
                 WHAT DID HE SAY?
           Q
11
           Α
                 HE SAYS YES, HE WOULD LIKE TO, IT WOULD BE NICE,
12
     YOU KNOW, TO HAVE THAT.
13
                 AND I OFFERED, I SUGGESTED "IF YOU LIKE TO HAVE
14
     IT PRINTED OR CALLIGRAPHED OR SCRIPTED."
15
16
                AND YOU DECIDED TO HAVE IT DONE IN CALLIGRAPHY?
                I SAID, "WELL, PUT JOSEPH HUNT OR JOE HUNT ON
17
18
     IT, WHATEVER YOU CHOOSE."
19
           Q
                WHAT DID HE SAY?
20
                HE ASKED, WHICH WAS PECULIAR TO ME AT THE TIME,
21
     HE ASKED THAT THE WORD "SHADING" LIKE SHADING OF A TREE BE
22
     PUT RIGHT NEXT TO THE BBC.
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1 AND DID YOU HAVE THAT STATIONERY MADE FOR HIM? 2 А YES, I DID. 3 Q SO IT SAID, "JOSEPH HUNT ..." 4 Q "SHADING." 5 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BARENS: 10 Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. GORE. WHEN THAT REQUEST 11 WAS MADE OF YOU, THAT THAT WORD "SHADING" BE PUT ON THE 12 STATIONERY, DID YOU ASK HIM WHAT IT MEANT? 13 YES, I DID. Α 14 Q WHAT DID HE SAY? 15 HE SAID THAT IT SIGNIFIES OR DESIGNATES RANKING 16 OF PEOPLE IN THE BBC. 17 AND DID YOU ASK HIM ANYTHING FURTHER ON THAT Q 18 TOPIC? 19 A HE SAID THE SHADING APPLIES TO SOMEBODY WHO IS 20 ON THE TOP. 21 Q AND DID YOU KNOW ANY OTHER SHADINGS OR MEET ANY 22 OTHER SHADINGS? 23 Α I DIDN'T KNOW WHO ELSE THE SHADING APPLIED TO. 24 Q OKAY. WAS THAT THE END OF THE DISCUSSION? 25 WELL, I WAS OFFERING HIM A PRESENT AND HE SPECIFIED 26 THAT HE WOULD LIKE THE WORD "SHADING" ON THE TOP OF THE 27 STATIONERY. 28

SO, THE INDIVIDUAL I ORDERED THE PRINTING FROM.

```
1
     ACCOMMODATED HIM.
 2
                 BUT MY QUESTION GOES TO OTHER THAN YOUR INQUIRY
 3
     WHAT IT MEANS. HE SAID IT HAS TO DO WITH RANKING. THAT
 4
     WAS IT?
 5
                YES, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
           А
 6
                IT DIDN'T SEEM SINISTER, DID IT?
           Q
 7
           Α
                 NO.
 8
           THE COURT: HERE WE GO AGAIN.
 9
                 (LAUGHTER IN THE COURTROOM.)
10
                 BY MR. BARENS: SHADING LIKE A TREE. OKAY. YOU
           Q
11
     MET MR. HUNT THROUGH MR. WEISS?
12
           Α
                THAT'S RIGHT.
13
           Q
               AND MR. WEISS HAD TOLD YOU CERTAIN THINGS ABOUT
14
     THE SUCCESS OR LACK OF SUCCESS THAT HE HAD HAD WITH MR. HUNT?
15
           Α
                RIGHT.
16
                WHAT DO YOU DO FOR A LIVING?
           Q
17
           Α
                I AM A TECHNICAL WRITER, EDITOR.
18
           Q
                ANY PARTICULAR TYPE OF TECHNICAL WRITING YOU
19
     DO, SIR?
20
           Α
                MOSTLY COMPUTER TYPE APPLICATIONS.
21
           Q
             AND WERE YOU PRIOR TO THE TIME YOU MET MR. HUNT,
22
     AN INVESTOR?
23
                WELL, LET ME SEE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU REFER
24
     TO AS AN INVESTOR.
25
          THE COURT: DID YOU EVER BUY STOCKS OR BONDS?
26
           THE WITNESS: I HAVE NOT BOUGHT STOCKS OR BONDS SINCE
27
     THE MID-'60'S.
28
           Q BY MR. BARENS: HAVE YOU EVER TRADED IN COMMODITIES,
```

```
1
     SIR?
 2
           A NO, NOT IN COMMODITIES.
 3
                WAS THERE A REASON WHY YOU DIDN'T TRADE IN
 4
     COMMODITIES?
 5
           A I DON'T COMPREHEND THE MARKET. I HAVE NO
 6
     COMPREHENSION OF THAT MARKET.
 7
                DID YOU HAVE A SENSE THAT THAT TYPE OF INVESTMENT
           Q
 8
     WAS SPECULATIVE?
 9
           A YOU MEAN GENERALLY OR SPECIFICALLY IN THE CASE
10
     OF --
11
           Q
              I AM NOW BEFORE YOU MEET MR. HUNT, SIR.
12
                COMMODITIES APPEAR TO ME EQUALLY SPECULATIVE
           Α
13
     TO OTHER STOCKS.
14
           Q
                SECURITIES?
15
           A SECURITIES, RIGHT.
16
                AND IN ANY EVENT, YOU INITIALLY INVESTED $10,000,
           Q
17
     IF I AM FOLLOWING YOU, IN JULY OF 1983?
18
          Α
                CORRECT.
19
                HOW HAD MR. HUNT SOLICITED THAT INVESTMENT FROM
20
     YOU?
21
                HE DIDN'T KNOCK ON MY DOOR AND ASK ME TO TAKE
22
     COGNIZANCE OF HIS METHODOLOGY, BUT HE --
23
          Q YOU SAW HIM BECAUSE YOU WERE INTRODUCED TO HIM
24
     BY MR. WEISS?
25
          A CORRECT.
26
                THAT WOULD BE AT A CERTAIN TIME. AND AT THAT
27
     POINT, BEFORE YOU GAVE HIM -- DID YOU GIVE HIM $10,000 THE
28
     FIRST TIME THAT YOU MET HIM?
```

A NO. HE DESCRIBED TO US HIS METHODOLOGY, WHICH WAS REPRESENTED TO US -- BECAUSE I WAS NOT THERE BY MYSELF --AS A METHODOLOGY THAT WOULD COVER THE SPECULATIVE NATURE INHERENT IN COMMODITY TRADING OR FUTURES.

IN THAT DIALOGUE, THERE WAS A REFERENCE MADE TO 1 SOMETHING CALLED AN INVERTED BUTTERFLY THAT YOU MENTIONED? 2 CORRECT. 3 AND IS AN INVERTED BUTTERFLY A CONSTRUCT FOR A 4 Q TYPE OF POSITION ONE CAN ASSUME IN COMMODITIES TRADING? 5 6 WELL, THAT WAS NOT MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT. IF YOU WISH, I CAN ELUCIDATE WHAT MY COMPREHENSION 7 8 WAS. I SUPPOSE, YES. Q 9 IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT I MAY ASK YOU AND PERHAPS 10 IN YOUR RESPONSE YOU CAN INCORPORATE THIS: DO YOU KNOW IF 11 THAT IS AN ART WORD OR THE ART EXPRESSION, A TERM OF ART THAT 12 IS USED BY COMMODITIES DEALERS IN TRADING TO DESCRIBE A 13 14 CERTAIN POSITION CONFIGURATION? NO, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT. 15 16 BUT I KNOW NOW THAT IT REPRESENTS SOMETHING OF A -- WHAT YOU CALL IT, POSITION CONFIGURATION? 17 18 Q YES, SIR. 19 MY COMPREHENSION WAS THAT THE POSITIONS AND THEIR 20 SUBSEQUENT LIQUIDATION WHEN REPRESENTED ON A GRAPH, OVER A 21 PERIOD OF TIME ON A GRAPH WOULD DESCRIBE THE -- A CURVE SIMILAR 22 TO THE WING OF, THE SECTION OF THE WING OF A BUTTERFLY. 23 Q SO THE REFERENCE TO THE INVERTED BUTTERFLY HAS 24 SOME APPLICABILITY TO THINGS THAT HAPPEN IN THE REAL WORLD 25 OF MARKET AND COMMODITIES TRADING, IT IS NOT JUST SOME THING 26 WE MAKE UP? 27 А UH-HUH.

IS THAT CORRECT, SIR?

28

Q

A I REGRETABLY CANNOT ANSWER.

YOU SEE, I CAN'T EXTRAPOLATE TO THE GREATER WORLD, SO TO SPEAK.

MR. LAWRENCE MAY CERTAINLY, CAN DO THAT BETTER,
HE DEALS WITH THAT. BUT MY REFERENCE WAS ENTIRELY WHAT JOE
HUNT SAID.

Q AFTER INVESTING \$10,000 IN JULY AND IN SEPTEMBER
YOU GOT BACK 2500, I THINK YOU SAID?

A YES.

Q AND THEN BETWEEN THEN AND FEBRUARY, WERE YOU RECEIVING MONTHLY OR ON ANY OTHER BASIS, DISTRIBUTION?

A WHAT WAS ORIGINALLY MONTHLY TURNED TO BE BIMONTHLY AND SUBSEQUENT TO THAT, ANOTHER BIMONTHLY AND SUBSEQUENT TO THAT, QUARTERLY.

Q BUT IS THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION YES, SIR, THAT YOU GOT MONEYS BETWEEN SEPTEMBER AND FEBRUARY OF, WELL, BETWEEN '83 AND '84?

A YES, I RECEIVED PAYMENTS ON ALL DISTRIBUTION DECLARED.

Q WHEN YOU GOT THOSE DISTRIBUTIONS, DID YOU GET

ANY TYPE OF AN INVESTOR ADVISORY THAT SAID, YOU KNOW, CHECK

HERE, INDICATING WHETHER YOU WANT TO RECEIVE YOUR

DISTRIBUTION OR REINVEST YOUR DISTRIBUTION?

A NO.

YOU SEE, THINGS WERE MORE INFORMAL, LET'S SAY, IN '83 AS DISTINCT FROM '84.

DURING THE BEGINNING OF '84, MR. HUNT CHOSE TO GO VIA MORE FORMALIZED PROCEDURES.

```
AT THE BEGINNING, WE MERELY CALLED HIM ON THE
 1
     PHONE AND SAID, "JOE, I CHOOSE NOT TO ROLL OVER."
 2
                 BUT I HAD AN UNDERSTANDING WITH HIM. BECAUSE WE
 3
     WERE ON FRIENDLY TERMS, THAT I CHOSE THAT I WOULD CONTINUE
4
     TO COLLECT THE DISTRIBUTION OR OBTAIN THE DISTRIBUTIONS.
5
           Q THAT WAS AN OPTION YOU HAD, SIR?
6
           Α
                YES.
7
8
               A ROLL-OVER, SO WE DON'T CONFUSE EVERYBODY, MEANS
     WE REINVEST?
9
           A CORRECT.
10
                NOW, DID HUNT RECOMMEND TO YOU THAT YOU TAKE YOUR
11
     DISTRIBUTION?
12
           А
                NO.
13
                 I CHOSE IT MYSELF.
14
           Q SO YOU HAD SOLE DISCRETION YOU COULD MAKE AS AN
15
     INDIVIDUAL?
16
           A THAT'S CORRECT.
17
              YOU MENTIONED THAT IN MID-FEBRUARY YOU INVESTED
18
           Q
19
     AN ADDITIONAL $5,000?
20
           Α
                CORRECT.
21
                DO YOU KNOW BY FEBRUARY WHAT THE GROSS AMOUNT
22
     WAS YOU HAD RECEIVED BETWEEN JULY AND FEBRUARY?
23
           Α
                NO, NOT JULY.
24
                 COUNSELOR, YOU MEANT SEPTEMBER, BECAUSE JULY WAS
25
     INVESTMENT.
26
                ALL RIGHT, SEPTEMBER. THANK YOU, SIR.
           Q
27
                 WHAT WAS THE TOTAL DOLLARS YOU HAD RECEIPTED?
28
           Α
                YES, ROUGHLY $6500. I THINK IT WAS SIXTY-SIX.
```

	2	Q	THANK YOU, SIR.
	3	,	WHEN YOU PUT UP THE \$5,000 IN MID-FEBRUARY, AS
	4	YOU TESTIFIE	D, WERE YOU AWARE THAT MR. WEISS HAD DONE SO PRIOR
	5	TO THEN?	
	6	А	NO.
	7	Q	IN OTHER WORDS, THIS INVESTMENT DECISION YOU
	8	ELECTED WAS	JUST SOMETHING YOU DECIDED TO DO AS AN INDIVIDUAL?
	9	А	YES.
	10		I THINK I MENTIONED BEFORE, WELL, THERE WAS AN
	11	INFUSION OF	CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY. IN OTHER WORDS,
	12	MORE PEOPLE	CAME INTO THE FOLD, SO TO SPEAK, INVESTORS.
	13	Q	YES, SIR.
	14	А	I PROJECTED, BECAUSE I SAW A PATTERN, I DETECTED
	15	A PATTERN FRO	OM THE DISTRIBUTION, IN OTHER WORDS, IT WAS
	16	ROUGHLY ABOU	T 13 PERCENT OF THE MONEY I INVESTED THAT MR. HUNT
	17	PAID US ON A	MONTHLY BASIS IN RETURN, SO I PROJECTED WHAT
	18	MY ONGOING EX	XPENSES WOULD BE TO MAINTAIN MYSELF AND FOR
	19	WHATEVER OTHE	ER PURPOSES AND THAT MEANS THAT I WOULD HAVE MADE
	20	APPROXIMATELY	Y \$2500 A MONTH AND THAT WAS SUFFICIENT.
F	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

I BEG YOUR PARDON.

```
THEN I AM SKIPPING A BIT AHEAD. IN JUNE, YOU
 1
           Q
 2
     SAID YOU GOT $10.284?
 3
           Α
                  UH-HUH.
 4
           Q
                 NOW WE AER IN JUNE OF 1984?
 5
           Α
                 CORRECT.
 6
           Q
                 AND WHAT ACCOUNT WAS THAT DRAWN ON?
 7
           Α
                 THIS IS ON FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING OF NORTH
 8
     AMERICA.
 9
                 OKAY. AND PRIOR -- AND MR. WAPNER MENTIONED
10
     THAT AT THAT POINT, YOU WERE AHEAD.
11
                 TO WHAT NUMBER WERE YOU AHEAD, SIR, IN TERMS
12
     OF THE NET DOLLARS INVESTED? IN OTHER WORDS, HARD CAPITAL
13
     VERSUS RETURN HARD CAPITAL?
14
                 I WAS AHEAD AFTER RECEIPT OF THAT $10,000, $254.
           Α
15
                 $254?
           Q
16
           А
                 YEAH.
17
           Q
                 OKAY. AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, YOU HAD MENTIONED
18
     I BELIEVE, THAT YOU HAD RECEIVED A CHECK AND IF I AM WRONG
19
     ON THIS, CORRECT ME, SIR, THAT YOU AT THAT POINT IN TIME,
20
     HAD RECEIVED A CHECK ON A CYCLATRONICS ACCOUNT?
21
                 NO. THE FIRST CHECK ONLY WAS ON THE CYCLATRONICS
22
     OF NORTH AMERICA ACCOUNT.
23
           Q
                 THE FIRST $2,500?
24
           Α
                 $2,600, ABOUT.
25
                 $2,600. WHEN YOU GOT THAT CHECK, DID YOU INQUIRE
26
     OF MR. HUNT WHAT THAT WAS ALL ABOUT?
27
                 NO. WELL, HE PREEMPTED US ON THAT. HE WROTE
28
     THE CHECKS. AND HE SAID THAT INCIDENTALLY, YOU WILL BE
```

Q

RECEIVING YOUR PAYMENT OR YOUR PROFIT OR YOUR EARNINGS ON 1 2 CHECKS OF A COMPANY THAT YOU WILL HEAR A GREAT DEAL ABOUT. 3 Q YOU WERE THUSLY, ADVISED WERE YOU NOT SIR, OR 4 AT LEAST YOU BECAME AWARE, THAT MR. HUNT WAS INVOLVED IN 5 OTHER AREAS OF COMMERCIAL TRANSACTIONS OTHER THAN JUST BEING 6 AN INVESTOR/TRADER IN COMMODITIES? 7 A YEAH. YES, SOME INKLING BEFORE SEPTEMBER. WE 8 ARE DISCUSSING SEPTEMBER OF '83, RIGHT? 9 RIGHT NOW, YES. 10 AND SOME BEFORE AND THAT WENT ON THAT OF COURSE, SINCE I WAS USED TO SPEAKING TO HIM ON AN AVERAGE OF LET'S 11 12 SAY ONCE EVERY WEEK TO TEN DAYS AND SEEING HIM ABOUT JUST 13 AS OFTEN, OTHER PROJECTS WERE BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION, AS 14 THEY WERE TO THE ATTENTION OF OTHER PEOPLE. 15 DURING THOSE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT OTHER SITUATIONS 16 MR. HUNT WAS INVOLVED IN AS A FINANCIAL OPERATOR, DID HE 17 TALK TO YOU ABOUT ANY INVESTMENTS OR DEVELOPMENTS HE HAD 18 IN HIGH TECHNOLOGY FIELDS? 19 WELL, IF YOU MEAN THE MICROGENESIS OR THE 20 CYCLATRONICS, YES. 21 Q HE DISCUSSED THAT WITH YOU? 22 Α YES. THAT'S RIGHT. 23 DID HE TELL YOU HE WAS TRYING TO SELL OPTIONS Q 24 ON THAT EQUIPMENT? 25 A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY THAT. BUT MORE, HE WAS --26 YOU SEE, HE FOCUSED MORE ON MICROGENESIS AND HOW IT PERFORMED 27 AND WHAT IT WAS.

HE TOLD YOU WHAT THE TECHNOLOGY COULD DO?

1 Α YES. 2 Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU? 3 HE SAID THAT IT COULD OVERRIDE INTO THE MICRO 4 REGION. THAT MEANS VERY TINY. AND IT COULD INVOLVE A VARIETY 5 OF SILICA AND KINDRED SUBSTANCES. 6 AND WHAT DID SILICA MEAN TO YOU? Q 7 SILICA? I PRESUME THAT IT IS SILICONE COMPOUNDS 8 WHICH ARE ANYWHERE FROM SAND TO ROCK. 9 AND IT COULD BE GLASS? 10 YES. IT COULD BE GLASS, TOO BECAUSE A MAIN 11 COMPONENT OF GLASS IS SILICA. 12 DID YOUR UNDERSTANDING HAVE ANY APPLICABILITY 13 TO THE PRODUCTION OR MANUFACTURING OF COMPUTER CHIPS OR 14 RELATED SUBSTANCES? 15 NO, NO. NONE WHATSOEVER. THE COMPUTER APPLICATION 16 IN MY WORK, IS ONE THING. THIS COMPUTER NEVER CAME UP ON 17 THIS. 18 BESIDES, IT WAS IN A TESTING STAGE AT THE TIME, 19 A PROTOTYPE, MORE. 20 HE TOLD YOU THAT THEY WERE ATTEMPTING TO REDUCE 21 THIS STUFF TO PRACTICE, SO TO SPEAK? 22 YES. Α 23 Q DID HE EVER MENTION SOMEONE NAMED KILPATRICK TO 24 YOU? 25 Α NO. 26 DID HE EVER MENTION ANY LARGE NUMBER OF AMOUNTS 27 IN TERMS OF WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF INVESTORS 28 OR CO-VENTURERS?

SURE. BUT YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT ALTHOUGH THERE

28

Q

В

HAD BEEN AN INVESTMENT YOU SAY INTO YOUR ACCOUNT IN JUNE, 1 2 THAT I BELIEVE THAT YOU ENCOUNTERED MR. WEISS IN JULY? 3 Α YES. 4 AND EVIDENTLY, SOMETHING SEEMED TO HAVE CHANGED 5 BETWEEN YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT HAPPENED IN EUROPE, THAT 6 PERSONAL ACCOUNT IN JUNE AND WHAT MR. WEISS WAS SAYING TO 7 YOU IN JULY? 8 A RIGHT. 9 NOW, YOU CAME BACK WITH A FEELING OF CONCERN 10 AS A RESULT OF YOUR DISCUSSION WITH MR. WEISS? 11 WELL, I THOUGHT THAT YOU KNOW, THAT I DIDN'T 12 IMAGINE IT WOULD BE AS DRASTIC AS IT TURNED OUT TO BE AND 13 AS MR. HUNT SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISED US IT WAS. 14 Q SOMEWHERE BETWEEN YOUR DISCUSSION WITH MR. WEISS 15 AND THE SEPTEMBER -- TO COIN YOUR EXPRESSION -- GREAT 16 DEBACLE, YOU MADE ANOTHER \$18,000 INVESTMENT? 17 WELL, I WAS REASSURED BY MR. HUNT IN MEETINGS 18 THAT OCCURRED IN THAT INTERVAL OF TIME, THAT YOU KNOW, THAT 19 ALL IS WELL. 20 AND THAT WELL, IN REALITY, I COULDN'T JUDGE THE 21 SEVERITY OF THE REVERSALS PURPORTED OR THE PROBLEMS. THEY 22 WERE NOT CALLED REVERSALS. STEVE USED THE WORD "PROBLEMS." 23 NOW, WHEN YOU DECIDED TO INVEST \$18,000, THAT 24 WAS BASED ON A CONSTRUCT YOU HAD MADE IN YOUR OWN MIND AS 25 TO WHAT YOU WANTED TO DO? 26 A RIGHT. 27

2 ME \$18,000?

A NO, HE DIDN'T.

4

5

3

1

BUT I SAID, "JOE, I WOULD LIKE TO PURCHASE MYSELF
A FLAT IN SOUTH KENSINGTON AND I WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE THE
INVESTMENT IF AND WHEN WOULD YOU PROJECT AS MY EARNINGS?"

HUNT DIDN'T SAY TO YOU, MR. GORE, WOULD YOU GIVE

6 7

Q AND HE DID A PROJECTION --

8

A YES.

9

Q -- TYPE ACTIVITY?

10

A YES. \$12,000 PER THREE MONTHS PER QUARTER.

11

Q AND THEREFORE, YOU WENT AHEAD.

12

HE HADN'T SOLICITED THE \$18,000 PRIOR TO THE TIME YOU INITIATED THE DIALOGUE, SIR?

13 14

NO, HE DIDN'T SOLICIT IT PER SE.

15

Q WHEN THE SEPTEMBER MEETING -- FIRST, YOU HAD A MEETING ON THE 17TH AND I BELIEVE YOU WERE IN THE COMPANY OF TWO OTHER PEOPLE, MR. BROWN AND --

17

18

16

YES, MR. WEISS AND MR. BROWN.

19

Q DID YOU KNOW WHY THE MEETING FOR THE 22ND, WHICH I SUBMIT WAS THE DATE, WAS BEING SET UP?

20 21

22

23

A WELL, SEVERAL DAYS BEFORE OR WITHIN THE WEEK OR

SO BEFORE, WHICH WAS THE 17TH, I WOULD SAY, YES, THE WEEK

OR SO BEFORE, MR. BROWN INTIMATED TO ME THAT HE HAD, FOR SOME

REASON HE COULDN'T BE -- COULDN'T FEEL SECURE WITH MR. HUNT'S

ASSURANCES THAT THE MONEY WAS THERE, A DIRECT QUOTE "THE

MONEY WAS THERE." PRESUMABLY TO MAKE THE NEXT DISTRIBUTION

24 25

MONEY WAS THERE," PRESUMABLY TO MAKE THE NEXT DISTRIBUTION.

27

28

26

MR. HUNT, WAS THERE ANY DIALOGUE DURING THAT MEETING TO THE

BUT IN THE SEPTEMBER 17 MEETING THAT YOU HAD WITH

EFFECT WHERE MR. WEISS ASKED HIM TO SET UP THE MEETING FOR THE FOLLOWING WEEK?

A I DON'T REMEMBER STEVE SUGGESTING IT. HE MAY HAVE.

Q MR. HUNT, DID HE TELL YOU --

A OR MR. HUNT MAY HAVE VOLUNTEERED IT AND SAYS IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO DO; ONE OF THE TWO.

Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND, AS YOU LEFT THERE ON THE MEETING ON THE 17TH, THAT AMONGST WHAT ELSE MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED IN THE NEXT MEETING, THAT HUNT WAS GOING TO TELL THE INVESTORS THAT THE MONEY WAS LOST?

A YES, BECAUSE HE ALREADY TOLD US. HE TOLD US ON THAT OCCASION WHAT HAPPENED.

Q SURE, BUT DID YOU HAVE A SENSE THE FOLLOWING WEEK'S MEETING WAS TO FURTHER EXPAND TO THE AUDIENCE OF KNOWLEDGE ON THAT?

A YES.

AND FURTHER, IF I --

MR. HUNT INTIMATED THAT HE WOULD ALSO WOULD LIKE
TO SET UP MACHINERY FOR REIMBURSEMENT, SO TO SPEAK, FOR MAKING
GOOD ON THE LOSSES.

Q HE SAID THAT TO YOU ON THE 17TH?

A YES.

Q WAS THERE A DISCUSSION THAT HE WAS GOING TO OFFER UP SOME PROMISSORY NOTES TO TRY TO REIMBURSE THE INVESTORS?

A NOT -- I DON'T -- I AM NOT CERTAIN THAT HE DID

IT AT THE TIME.

I AM ALMOST CERTAIN, I WOULD SAY, IF I WERE TO

CHOOSE THE QUESTION, IT WAS NOT THE TIME I FIRST BECAME, YOU KNOW, AWARE OF THAT, OF THE PROMISSORY NOTES IN THE MEETING OF THE 22ND OR THE 21ST OF SEPTEMBER.

Q BUT ANY EVENT, YOU HAVE SOME RECOLLECTION OF SOME DISCUSSION OF SOME NATURE ON THE 17TH OF HUNT FINDING SOME MECHANISM, I BELIEVE WAS YOUR WORD, TO REPAY THE INVESTORS?

HE SAID AMOUNTS OF MONEY WERE DUE TO HIM FROM OVERSEAS.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

YES.

Α

MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY 3 STEP DOWN. 4 THIS WITNESS MAY BE EXCÚSED. 5 MR. WAPNER: LARRY MAIZE. 6 7 LARRY MAIZE. 8 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 9 AS FOLLOWS: 10 THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE 11 SWORN. 12 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE 13 14 TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP 15 YOU GOD? 16 THE WITNESS: I DO. 17 THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD BE SEATED THERE ON THE WITNESS 18 STAND. 19 MR. BARENS: CAN WE APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 21 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 22 AT THE BENCH:) 23 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T THINK THIS GENTLEMAN 24 TESTIFIED AT ANY OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARINGS OR ANYTHING ELSE 25 TO DATE. I HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO DISCOVERY ON THIS WITNESS WHICH. 26 DEPENDING ON WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS WITNESS IS, MAY OR MAY 27 NOT BE PROPER.

COULD I ASK FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF FROM THE PEOPLE

1

3

4

5. 6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

AS TO THE RELEVANCY AND PURPORTED TESTIMONY WE ARE GOING TO RECEIVE?

MR. WAPNER: SURE.

MR. HUNT HAD AN ACCOUNT -- MAYBE I SHOULD BACK UP AND REPHRASE THAT --

THERE WERE TWO ACCOUNTS AT SHEARSON AMERICAN EXPRESS IN BEVERLY HILLS IN THE NAME OF INTERNATIONAL MARKETING OPERATIONS. THEY WERE TRADED BY MR. HUNT BUT THE EVIDENCE FROM THIS WITNESS WILL BE THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WERE ACTUALLY THERE AT THE BROKERAGE HOUSE AND DOING THE TRADING WERE MR. DOSTI AND A STEVE LOPEZ.

WE WILL HAVE MR. LOPEZ AS A WITNESS TO INDICATE THAT HIS INSTRUCTIONS WHEN HE WAS THERE TO DO THE TRADING, WHICH WAS ONLY A PORTION OF THE TIME, CAME FROM MR. HUNT AND THAT THIS WITNESS IS HERE TO LAY A FOUNDATION AS TO WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE ACCOUNT.

THAT MR. LOPEZ WAS INTRODUCED TO THIS WITNESS BY A PERSON NAMED STOCKTON AND THAT SET UP THESE ACCOUNTS, AND THAT MR. LOPEZ AND MR. DOSTI CAME IN AND TRADED THE ACCOUNTS.

AS TO WHAT HAPPENED ON THE ACCOUNTS, THERE IS ANOTHER WITNESS FROM THE BROKERAGE HOUSE WHO WILL COME IN, WHO IS A MR. TAYLOR. THE STATEMENTS THAT MR. TAYLOR HAS, THE FORM OF STATEMENTS THAT HE HAS, HAVE COME TO US FROM SHEARSON IN NEW YORK, BUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STATEMENTS IN A DIFFERENT FORMAT WERE TAKEN BY THE S.E.C. FROM MR. HUNT'S FILES. THEY WERE SUBSEQUENTLY COPIED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AS PART OF DISCOVERY AND THEY WERE

THEN PROVIDED TO COUNSEL IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CASE AND TO ME HERE AS PART OF DISCOVERY AND THAT INFORMATION ALL SHOULD BE DISCOVERY THAT MR. BARENS HAS HAD FROM THE PRELIMINARY HEARING OF MR. HUNT, FROM THE ESLAMINIA CASE FOR MONTHS AND MONTHS AND MONTHS. SO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STATEMENTS, THOSE COMMODITY BROKERAGE STATEMENTS WHICH THIS SUBSEQUENT WITNESS WILL TESTIFY TO, SHOWING THE LOSSES THAT THIS ACCOUNT HAD AND THAT THE FACT THAT THE MONEY WAS TRANSFERRED HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE TO COUNSEL, AT LEAST TO MY UNDERSTANDING, FOR A LONG TIME. 

F

MR. BARENS: I AM NOT SURE MR. WAPNER IS FINISHED.

MR. WAPNER: SO, THAT IS THE OFFER OF PROOF THAT THIS WITNESS WILL TESTIFY ABOUT MR. LOPEZ COMING IN AND SETTING UP THE ACCOUNT AND THEN MR. DOSTI AND MR. LOPEZ COMING IN AND TRADING ON THE ACCOUNTS.

THE COURT: BUT, WHAT HAS THAT GOT TO DO WITH THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, THIS WAS THE ACCOUNT THAT -- THESE WERE THE ACCOUNTS THAT MR. HUNT WAS SUPPOSEDLY TRADING OR ALLEGEDLY THE COMMODITIES, THE ACCOUNTS THAT HE HAD AND HE WAS ALLEGEDLY TRADING MONEY FOR THOSE INVESTORS.

HE IS NOW -- WE HAVE TESTIMONY FROM WITNESSES
WHO SAY THAT MR. HUNT MADE STATEMENTS TO THEM OF AN AVERAGE
MONTHLY RETURN BETWEEN NOVEMBER AND FEBRUARY OF 17.69 PERCENT.

AND PEOPLE SUCH AS MR. GORE, WERE ACTUALLY PAID
MONEY DURING THIS TIME. MR. TAYLOR FROM THE BROKERAGE HOUSE
WILLCOME IN AND SAY THAT IN FACT, THESE ACCOUNTS BY THE
END OF -~ THE MIDDLE TO THE END OF FEBRUARY, WERE IN A LOSING
POSITION OF APPROXIMATELY A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS
AS OF FEBRUARY AND THAT CONTINUED IN A LOSING POSITION WHEN
THEY WERE EVENTUALLY TRANSFERRED OVER TO E. F. HUTTON AT
THE END OF APRIL.

AND WE INTEND TO BRING IN ANOTHER WITNESS FROM

E.F. HUTTON, TWO WITNESSES FROM E. F. HUTTON, TO SAY THAT -
MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, PLEASE?

(BRIEF PAUSE.)

MR. WAPNER: I WILL HAVE ANOTHER COUPLE OF WITNESSES :
FROM E. F. HUTTON TO SHOW WHAT HAPPENED TO THE MONEY IN THOSE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACCOUNTS WHEN IT WAS TRANSFERRED TO E. F. HUTTON. THAT IN FACT, THE BULK OF THE POSITIONS WERE TRANSFERRED IN APRIL AND THAT THEY LOST \$650,000 IN APRIL AND ANOTHER \$100.000 BY THE END OF MAY.

MR. BARENS: COULD I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR, BEFORE I SPEAK?

(PAUSE.)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A PARENTHETICAL COMMENT TO MAKE. THEN I WOULD LIKE TO DEFER TO MR. CHIER TO RESPOND TO MR. WAPNER.

MY PARENTHETICAL, IF I MAY -- MY PARENTHETICAL COMMENT IS YOUR HONOR, YOU SHOULD BE ADVISED AND I WANT THE RECORD TO BE MADE CLEAR THAT I AM NOT MR. HUNT'S COUNSEL ON --

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. BARENS: MR. HUNT'S COUNSEL IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA MATTER.

THE COURT: I KNOW THAT YOU ARE NOT.

MR. BARENS: AND YOUR HONOR, AT THE TIME AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. KNOWING THAT I WAS NOT GOING TO GO FORWARD ON THAT, I SURRENDERED ALL OF MY PAPER WORK IN THAT MATTER TO THE EXTENT SAME HAD BEEN MADE AVAILABLE TO ME AT THAT TIME, TO WHOEVER WAS GOING TO BECOME PRESENT COUNSEL, WHO I HAVE NOT SINCE OVER A YEAR AGO, HAD ANY --

THE COURT: YOU MEAN YOU NEVER HEARD OF THIS ACCOUNT WE ARE GOING INTO NOW?

MR. BARENS: I HAVE NEVER SEEN ANY PAPER WORK.

26 27

THE COURT: YO

THE COURT: YOU NEVER HEARD OF THIS ACCOUNT?

MR. BARENS: MR. WAPNER MENTIONED TO ME YESTERDAY THAT HE WAS GOING TO HAVE THE WITNESS HERE TODAY. I HAVE NEVER SEEN AND I REPRESENT THIS CATEGORICALLY TO THE COURT, I HAVE NEVER SEEN ANY PAPER WORK ON THIS ACCOUNT AND AT THE TIME I DID THE PRELIMINARY HEARING IN SAN MATEO, I NEVER SAW ANY PAPER WORK AT THAT TIME ON THIS ACCOUNT.

WHETHER IT WAS AVAILABLE OR NOT, I WILL JUST
TELL YOUR HONOR, I WAS PRIMARILY CONCERNED ABOUT OTHER THINGS
UP THERE.

1 MR. WAPNER: LET ME MAKE ANOTHER STATEMENT. IT IS 2 MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS IN MR. HUNT'S POSSESSION AT THE TIME OF THE SEARCH BY THE SEC OF THE FILES, 3 WERE NOT TAKEN BY THE SEC. THEY COPIED THEM. 4 5 THE ORIGINALS WERE LEFT IN THE FILES. SO THE 6 ORIGINALS OF THE DOCUMENTS OF THE TRADING ON THAT ACCOUNT, 7 WERE IN THE FILES OF MR. HUNT. 8 THE COURT: HE HAD ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS? 9 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT, THE STATEMENTS. 10 THE COURT: ON ALL OF THE ACCOUNTS? 11 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT, THE STATEMENTS OF TRADING. 12 THE COURT: WELL, HE HAS SOMETHING TO SAY? 13 MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD, YOUR HONOR? 14 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 15 MR. CHIER: WE MADE A NUMBER OF DISCOVERY MOTIONS ASKING 16 FOR THE NAMES OF WITNESSES, FOR ALL DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE 17 TO BE USED IN THE PROSECUTION'S CASE IN CHIEF. 18 THIS MAN'S NAME WAS NEVER DISCLOSED, NOR WERE 19 DOCUMENTS PRODUCED OR DELIVERED TO US. WE DO NOT HAVE THE 20 DOCUMENTS. WE DID NOT KNOW UNTIL YESTERDAY THAT THIS MAN 21 WAS GOING TO TESTIFY. 22 WE HAD NO IDEA WHAT HE WAS GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT. 23 THE OFFER OF PROOF SEEMS TO ASSERT THAT --24 THE COURT: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT YOUR CLIENT HAS 25 ALL THOSE DOCUMENTS AND HE KNOWS WHAT IT IS ALL ABOUT. 26 MR. CHIER: HE MAY WELL HAVE IT BUT --27 THE COURT: HE SHOULD HAVE SHOWN THOSE TO YOU.

MR. CHIER: THERE ARE DRAWERS AND DRAWERS AND DRAWERS

1 OF DOCUMENTS. WE CAN'T LOOK AT ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS. 2 THE COURT: WELL, YOU BOTH ANSWERED READY FOR TRIAL. 3 I ASSUMED THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OR DID KNOW ALL ABOUT 4 IT. 5 MR. CHIER: WELL, I AM NOT FINISHED YET --6 THE COURT: LET'S PROCEED. 7 MR. CHIER: I AM NOT FINISHED MAKING THE RECORD AND --8 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 9 MR. CHIER: THEY ARE ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH AN AGENCY 10 RELATIONSHIP HERE BY THE ACTS AND DECLARATION OF THE AGENT. 11 SECOND OR THRID OF ALL, THAT THIS EVIDENCE IS 12 EVIDENCE THAT IS IRRELEVANT TO THE ISSUE OF MOTIVE OR ANY 13 OTHER ISSUE IN THIS CASE. IT HAS NO PROBATIVE VALUE. ITS 14 ONLY VALUE IS TO FURTHER DARKEN THE CHARACTER OF MR. HUNT. 15 IT IS TIME-CONSUMING AND PREJUDICIAL. WE ARE 16 TOTALLY SURPRISED BY THIS. WE ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE A LOOK 17 AT THE DOCUMENTS. 18 WE CANNOT CROSS-EXAMINE THIS MAN ON THIS KIND 19 OF NOTICE. WE ARE SURPRISED. AND THIS MAN SHOULD NOT TESTIFY 20 AT THIS TIME. THERE HAS BEEN NO FOUNDATION FOR A RELATIONSHIP 21 THAT THE PEOPLE ARE ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH THROUGH THIS 22 WITNESS. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. WAPNER, ANYTHING FURTHER? 24 MR. WAPNER: NO. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER EXCEPT A HOUSE-25 KEEPING DETAIL, WHICH IS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM 26 WITH THIS WITNESS THAT WE HAVE WITH MR. FRIEDMAN. THAT IS, 27 THAT HE IS A COMMODITIES BROKER.

HE MAKES HIS MONEY IN THE MORNING. IT IS NOW

1 FIVE MINUTES TO 4:00. 2 IF WE DON'T FINISH WITH THIS WITNESS, I EXPECT 3 THAT HE WILL PROBABLY WANT TO COME BACK IN THE AFTERNOON. 4 THE COURT: HE WILL COME BACK TOMORROW AFTERNOON. 5 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, ONE LAST THING I WOULD LIKE 6 TO ADD FOR THE RECORD. AT NO TIME DURING THE PRELIMINARY HEARING OF MR. HUNT ON THE OTHER MATTER IN SAN FRANCISCO, 7 WAS ANY REFERENCE MADE TO THIS ACCOUNT OR ANY OCCASION GIVEN 8 WHERE I WOULD HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY ON THIS ACCOUNT OR LOOKED 10 AT DOCUMENTS ON THIS ACCOUNT. OTHERWISE, I WOULD HAVE DONE 11 SO. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 13 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 14 IN OPEN COURT IN THE HEARING OF THE 15 JURY:) 16 THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE 17 RECORD. 18 THE WITNESS: LARRY MAIZE, M-A-I-Z-E. 19 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, WAS THE WITNESS SWORN? 20 THE COURT: YES. HIS NAME IS ON THE LIST OF WITNESSES 21 THAT YOU HAD PRESENTED TO COUNSEL. I WILL INDICATE THAT. 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. WAPNER: 25 MR. MAIZE, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? Q 26 Α SHEARSON, LEHMAN BROTHERS. 27 Q WHAT DO YOU DO FOR THEM? 28 I AM A STOCK BROKER. А

1	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED FOR THEM AS A STOCK
2	BROKER?
3	A SINCE 1973.
4	Q IN 1983, WERE YOU WORKING FOR THEM?
5	A YES, I WAS.
6	Q AT WHAT LOCATION?
7	A 166 NORTH CANNON.
8	Q IS THAT IN BEVERLY HILLS?
9	A BEVERLY HILLS.
10	Q IN 1983, DID YOU KNOW SOME PERSON NAMED STEVE
11	STOCKTON?
12	A I CERTAINLY DID.
13	Q DID HE INTRODUCE YOU TO A PERSON NAMED STEVE
14	LOPEZ?
15	A YES, HE DID.
16	Q WHEN WAS THAT DONE?
17	A I GUESS IT WAS LAST PART OF 1983.
18	Q AND AS A RESULT OF MEETING MR. LOPEZ, WHAT
19	HAPPENED? DID YOU HAVE SOME DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. LOPEZ?
20	A YES, I DID. HE TOLD ME
21	MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. WHAT HE TOLD HIM
22	IS HEARSAY.
23	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT DATE?

28

Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A NO, I DON'T.
- Q AND WHAT WAS USED TO OPEN THAT ACCOUNT?
- A I THINK HE OPENED THE ACCOUNT. HE PUT, I THINK,

  A THOUSAND DOLLARS INTO THE ACCOUNT WHEN HE FIRST OPENED IT.
  - Q WERE THERE SOME BONDS THAT WERE THEN BROUGHT IN?
- A AFTERWARDS.
  - Q HOW LONG AFTERWARDS?
- 8 A SEVERAL WEEKS AFTERWARDS.
  - Q AND DID THOSE BONDS -- WERE THEY TRANSFERRED FROM SOMEONE ELSE TO MR. LOPEZ?
- 11 A YES.
  - A YOUNG LADY CAME IN, SIGNED ALL OF THE NECESSARY

    PAPERS TO PUT HER BONDS INTO MR. LOPEZ' ACCOUNT.
- 14 Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT HER NAME WAS?
- 15 A I DON'T RECALL OFFHAND.
- Q AND AFTER THOSE BONDS WERE PUT INTO THE ACCOUNT,

  17 DID MR. LOPEZ -- WHAT DID HE DO?
  - A THEY PUT IT IN THE MARGIN ACCOUNT AND I THINK

    IT WAS THE SAME DAY, HE TOOK OUT A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY,

    I THINK AROUND \$95,000.
    - Q WAS THAT ESSENTIALLY A LOAN AGAINST THE --
    - A AGAINST THE BONDS THAT WAS PUT INTO HIS ACCOUNT.
    - Q AND AFTER MR. LOPEZ OPENED UP THE STOCK ACCOUNT,
      DID YOU HAVE SOME DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM ABOUT OPENING UP ANY
      OTHER TYPE OF ACCOUNTS?
- 26 A HE TOLD ME THAT HE -- THEY WERE GOING TO OPEN
  27 UP A COMMODITY ACCOUNT UNDER ANOTHER NAME, UNDER A
  28 CORPORATE NAME.

9-4 Q WHEN THE DOCUMENTS WERE BROUGHT -- WHAT DOCUMENTS 1 WERE THEY THAT WERE BROUGHT IN WITH THE NAME OF HUNT ON THEM? 2 Α CORPORATE PAPERS, PAPERS THAT WERE NECESSARY TO 3 OPEN UP A CORPORATE ACCOUNT. Q WAS MR. HUNT'S NAME TO GO ON THAT ACCOUNT AT THAT 5 TIME? 6 A YES, IT WAS. 7 MR. BARENS: BEST EVIDENCE OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 9 Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU GOT THAT INFORMATION 10 AND SAW MR. HUNT'S NAME ON THERE, WHAT DID YOU DO? 11 WHEN I TOOK THE NECESSARY PAPERS IN TO THE MANAGER 12 TO SIGN, HE SAYS "WE CANNOT -- WE CANNOT OPEN UP AN ACCOUNT 13 14 THAT HAS MR. HUNT'S NAME ON IT". 15 Q AND WHAT HAPPENED AFTER HE GAVE YOU THAT 16 INFORMATION? 17 A THEY SAID IT WASN'T NECESSARY TO HAVE MR. HUNT'S 18 NAME ON IT AND THEY WENT BACK AND GOT OTHER PAPERS ELIMINATING 19 HIS NAME. 20 Q AND AFTER THEY GOT THOSE PAPERS, WAS AN ACCOUNT OPENED IN THE NAME OF INTERNATIONAL MARKETING OPERATIONS? 21 22 Α IT WAS. 23 WHEN WAS THAT DONE? Q 24 A ALMOST THE SAME PERIOD OF TIME. I DON'T REMEMBER 25 THE EXACT DATE. 26 Q WHAT TYPE OF AN ACCOUNT WAS IT?

A IT WAS A COMMODITY ACCOUNT.

AND AFTER THAT COMMODITY ACCOUNT WAS OPENED, WHAT

27

28

Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

```
CONNECTION DID MR. LOPEZ AND MR. DOSTI HAVE WITH THE ACCOUNT?
           MR. LOPEZ AND MR. DOSTI CAME IN EVERY SINGLE
MORNING.
            IN FACT, THEY EVEN GOT THERE BEFORE I DID.
            AND THEY ARE THE ONES -- I THINK MR. DOSTI -- IS
THE ONE THAT GENERATED THE POSITIONS THAT THEY WANTED TO PUT
ON THE ACCOUNT.
      Q
           WAS MR. LOPEZ ALWAYS THERE?
      Α
            JUST ABOUT ALL OF THE TIME.
      Q
            WHEN YOU SAID "THEY CAME IN EVERY MORNING" --
      Α
            YES.
      Q
            WHERE DID THEY COME TO?
      Α
           THEY CAME TO MY OFFICE.
      Q
           WHAT DID THEY DO WHEN THEY WERE THERE?
           THEY WOULD SIT AND WATCH THEIR POSITIONS AND WHEN
IT HIT CERTAIN SPREADS BETWEEN -- THEY ALWAYS PUT ON SPREADS --
WHEN IT HIT A CERTAIN PRICE, THEY TRIED TO GENERATE -- THEY
TRIED TO GENERATE A TRADE.
          WHEN YOU SAY THEY WATCHED THE MARKET, WAS THERE
ACTUALLY SOME KIND OF A MACHINE OR TAPE OR SOMETHING THAT
THEY WATCHED?
      A YES. THERE IS A QUOTRON MACHINE.
      THE COURT REPORTER: A WHAT?
      THE WITNESS: A QUOTRON MACHINE, AT WHICH YOU CAN PICK
UP THE TRADES AS THEY TAKE PLACE.
      Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT DOES A QUOTRON MACHINE TELL
YOU?
```

IT TELLS YOU THE PRICE OF THE LAST TRADE.

WHEN YOU SAID HE HAD THE PHONE TIED UP ALL DAY

28

Q

0 F

1	Q AND GO AHEAD. AS YOU WALKED IN THE FRONT DOOR
2	A MY OFFICE IS ON THE FAR SIDE IN THE CORNER.
3	Q AND WHERE ARE THE QUOTRONS LOCATED?
4	A IF I WERE SITTING HERE, MY QUOTRON WOULD BE RIGHT
5	IN FRONT OF ME.
6	Q AND IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT WOULD GIVE THEM
7	THE COMMODITIES' PRICES?
8	A IF THEY WANTED TO WALK ACROSS THERE, WE HAVE
9	A BOARD THAT ALSO HAS COMMODITIES' PRICES.
10	Q THAT FLASHES ACROSS THE SCREEN OR SOMETHING?
11	A NOT REALLY. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN INCONVENIENT
12	FOR THEM TO WATCH THAT BOARD.
13	Q SO, WOULD THE TWO OF THEM ACTUALLY SIT IN YOUR
14	OFFICE AND LOOK AT THE QUOTRON?
15	A YES.
16	Q AND DID THEY USE THE TELEPHONE THAT WAS IN YOUR
17	OFFICE?
18	A YES, THEY DID.
19	Q AND AT SOME POINT, DID THEY STOP COMING IN?
20	A YES. THEY BASICALLY STOPPED COMING IN AROUND
21	THE BEGINNING OF MARCH. THEY WEREN'T IN THEY STOPPED
22	IN OCCASIONALLY, BUT NOT VERY REGULAR.
23	THE COURT: WHAT YEAR IS THAT?
24	THE WITNESS: PARDON ME?
25	THE COURT: WHAT YEAR?
26	THE WITNESS: '84.
27	Q BY MR. WAPNER: SO AS OF THE BEGINNING OF MARCH
28	OF 1984, THEY REALLY STOPPED COMING IN REGULARLY?
1	

1	A YES.
2	Q WAS THERE A SECOND INTERNATIONAL MARKETING OPTIONS
3	ACCOUNT OPENED?
4	A YES, INTERNATIONAL MARKETING NUMBER TWO.
5	Q WHEN WAS THAT OPENED?
6	A I REALLY CAN'T ANSWER THAT. IT WAS SOMEWHERE
7	AROUND FEBRUARY OR MARCH OR SOMETHING IN THAT RANGE.
8	Q WHAT HAPPENED
9	A '84.
10	Q WHAT HAPPENED TO THE TWO INTERNATIONAL
11	WELL, THE INTERNATIONAL MARKETING ACCOUNT NUMBER
12	ONE THAT WAS OPENED UP, DO YOU KNOW WHAT FUNDS WERE USED
13	INITIALLY TO START THAT ACCOUNT?
14	A IT WOULD BE CONJECTURE.
15	Q DID YOU HAVE THE STATEMENTS? HAVE YOU HAD A
16	CHANCE TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE STATEMENTS?
17	A I KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY THEY PUT IN TO START.
18	Q HOW MUCH WAS THAT?
19	A \$90,000.
20	Q AND THE INTERNATIONAL MARKETING ACCOUNT NUMBER
21	TWO, WAS THAT THE SAME PEOPLE, MR. DOSTI AND MR. LOPEZ?
22	A YES, IT WAS.
23	Q WHAT HAPPENED TO THE TWO ACCOUNTS, THE INTERNATIONAL
24	MARKETING ACCOUNTS?
25	A THEY NEVER REALLY I CAN'T EVEN REMEMBER IF
26	THEY DID ANYTHING IN INTERNATIONAL MARKETING NUMBER TWO.
27	BUT INTERNATIONAL MARKETING NUMBER ONE, WE
28	TRANSFERRED OUT OF SHEARSON, I GUESS, IN APRIL OF 1984.

```
1
                AND IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WENT TO E.
 2
     F. HUTTON.
 3
           Q ALL RIGHT. AND LIKEWISE, WAS THE OTHER ACCOUNT
     TRANSFERRED OUT ALSO IN APRIL OF 1984?
 5
           A I DON'T THINK THERE WAS ANY MONEY LEFT IN THERE.
 6
     I THINK THEY TOOK THE MONEY -- OR I JUST CAN'T REMEMBER THAT.
 7
     OFFHAND.
 8
           Q IS THERE A MR. TAYLOR HERE FROM YOUR OFFICE,
 9
     WHO IS PREPARED TO TESTIFY ABOUT SOME OF THE STATEMENTS ON
10
     THESE ACCOUNTS? .
11
           A ABSOLUTELY.
12
           Q OKAY. I KNOW THERE WAS A QUESTION HERE. IT
13
     IS SOMEWHERE IN THE AIR, NOW.
14
                 DURING THE TIME THAT MR. DOSTI AND MR. LOPEZ
15
     WERE TRADING ON THIS ACCOUNT, DID YOU GIVE THEM ANY ADVICE
16
     AS TO WHAT TRADES TO MAKE?
17
           A I REALLY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THEY WERE DOING. I
18
     WAS AN ORDER-TAKER.
19
                EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT. AN ORDER-TAKER?
20
              ALL I DID IS TAKE THE ORDERS THAT THEY GAVE ME
21
     AND CALL IT IN OVER THE TELEPHONE.
22
                DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE TECHNIQUE THEY WERE
           Q
23
     USING?
24
                NO, I DIDN'T.
           Α
25
                DID THEY ATTEMPT TO EXPLAIN IT TO YOU?
           Q
26
                I REALLY WAS NOT INTERESTED BECAUSE OUR COMPANY --
27
     SHALL I CONTINUE IN THIS VEIN?
28
           Q
               SURE.
```

1	A BECAUSE THE PEOPLE THAT I FIRST CALLED IN NEW
2	YORK TO TURN IN THEIR ORDERS TO, THEY WEREN'T QUITE SURE
3	WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THOSE TRADES. AND THEY TOLD ME AS MUCH.
4	Q AND DID YOU YOUR ROLE IN THIS IS THAT YOU
5	WOULD GET COMMISSIONS ON THE ORDERS THAT YOU TAKE?
6	A YES.
7	Q DID THEY EVER ASK YOU FOR ADVICE?
8	A NO, THEY DIDN'T.
9	Q DID THEY ASK YOU FOR QUOTES AND PRICES?
10	A YES.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Ì	

YES.

Α

0 B

Q AND THEN YOU SAY THAT THE ACCOUNT WAS TRANSFERRED
TO SOMETHING ELSE SUBSEQUENT THERETO IN LATE '83?
A YES.
Q WELL, ARE THEY STILL IN '83 WHEN THAT OCCURRED?
A YES.
Q OKAY. IN FACT, THAT ENDS UP BEING INTERNATIONAL
MARKETING NUMBER ONE?
A BOTH ACCOUNTS WERE STILL OPEN, STEVE LOPEZ' ACCOUNT
AND INTERNATIONAL MARKETING NUMBER ONE.
Q ARE THEY BOTH STILL BEING USED?
A I BELIEVE STEVE STILL HAD HIS ACCOUNT THAT HE
USED OCCASIONALLY FOR OPTIONS OR SOMETHING.
Q THE 90,000 THAT YOU MADE REFERENCE TO WAS IN
STEVE LOPEZ' PERSONAL ACCOUNT INITIALLY?
A NO.
Q WHERE WAS THAT?
A WHEN THEY BROUGHT IN THOSE BONDS, THEY TOOK OUT
A CHECK FROM SHEARSON FOR \$95,000.
Q AND WHO WAS THE \$95,000 MADE OUT TO?
A STEVE LOPEZ.
Q OKAY. SO, WHAT HAPPENED EXACTLY IS THAT YOU
FOLKS HAVE SOME BONDS THAT YOU WERE HOLDING AS COLLATERAL
FOR A \$95,000 NOTE?
A YES. THE BONDS WERE PROBABLY WORTH \$150,000
OR \$200,000, WHATEVER.
Q BECAUSE IN THE COLLATERAL, YOU EXPECT MORE THAN
A ONE-TO-ONE?
A WELL, THAT IS BECAUSE SOME OF THE BONDS HAD

```
WERE THOSE BONDS A LIEN AGAINST HIS ACCOUNT OR
 1
     REFERABLE TO HIS ACCOUNT OR TO HIS FINANCIAL -- I AM SORRY --
 2
     TO THE INTERNATIONAL MARKETING ACCOUNT?
 3
                HIS ACCOUNT.
 4
                 SO THEY ACCRUE KIND OF TO THIS FIRST ACCOUNT,
 5
     THE STEVE LOPEZ ACCOUNT?
 6
                 YES.
           Α
 7
 8
                 NOW, MONEY IS THEN PUT INTO INTERNATIONAL MARKETING?
                 HE -- I CAN'T SAY WHETHER THAT $90,000 WENT INTO
 9
     INTERNATIONAL MARKETING NUMBER ONE, WAS THE SAME 95,000 I
10
     GAVE HIM. BUT HE TOOK OUT A CHECK FOR 95,000 AND LATER ON,
11
12
     I CAN'T REMEMBER HOW MANY DAYS, IT WAS AFTER THAT, THEY PUT
     IN A CHECK INTO INTERNATIONAL FOR 90.000.
13
                 AND YOU MADE OUT THE SIGNATURE CARDS ON
14
           Q
15
     INTERNATIONAL MARKETING AND ALL OF THAT?
16
                 MY SECRETARY DID.
17
           Q
                 YOU WERE AWARE OF THAT?
18
           Α
                 ABSOLUTELY.
19
                 AND INTERNATIONAL MARKETING SHOWED WHO AS THE
           Q
20
     PEOPLE?
21
                 THE ORIGINAL ONE THAT WE TURNED DOWN OR THE ONE
22
     THAT WE ACCEPTED?
23
                 THE ONE YOU ACCEPTED, THE ONE YOU USED.
           Q
24
           Α
                 STEVE LOPEZ AND BEN DOSTI.
25
           Q
                 OKAY. AND AT THAT POINT, THEY APPEARED TO BE
26
     TRADING IN COMMODITIES?
27
           Α
                YES.
28
                 ARE YOU A COMMODITIES BROKER, SIR?
           Q
```

```
Α
                 YES, I AM.
 1
                 AND YOU HAVE BEEN IN THIS SINCE --
 2
           Q
 3
           А
                 SINCE 1969.
                 HAS IT BEEN YOUR EXPERIENCE SINCE 1969 THAT
 4
     COMMODITIES ARE SUBJECT TO GREATER SWINGS AND FLUCTUATIONS
 5
     AS AN INVESTMENT VEHICLE THAN, SAY, SECURITIES ON THE STOCK
 6
 7
     EXCHANGE?
 8
           A I WOULD SAY SO.
                IT IS A MUCH MORE HIGHLY SPECULATIVE TYPE OF
 9
10
     INVESTMENT VEHICLE?
11
           А
                SURE IS.
12
                 SIR, IS IT A POSSIBILITY THAT IF I AM A LEVERAGED
     INVESTOR IN COMMODITIES, FOR INSTANCE, I BUY FUTURES IN ORANGES
13
     OR GOLD OR FRENCH FRANCS, THAT I COULD WIPE OUT IN ONE DAY?
14
15
          Α
                 NO.
16
           Q
                 COULDN'T HAPPEN?
17
          Α
                NO.
18
          Q
               WHY NOT?
19
                BECAUSE WE REQUIRE ENOUGH MARGIN TO OVERCOME ONE
          Α
20
    DAY'S FULL SWING.
21
                IN OTHER WORDS, THE MARKET -- OKAY, IT COULDN'T
22
    HAPPEN TO ME IN A DAY.
23
                HOW MANY DAYS MINIMUM WOULD IT TAKE ME TO WIPE
24
    OUT?
25
               IT COULD BE SEVERAL, A COUPLE OF DAYS. IT COULD
          А
26
    BE SEVERAL DAYS BUT IT COULDN'T BE ONE DAY.
27
               OKAY. BUT IN A COUPLE OF DAYS I COULD --
          Q
28
          Α
                SURE COULD.
```

```
1
            Q
                 -- GO FROM IN THE CHIPS TO IN THE DRINK?
 2
           А
                 ABSOLUTELY.
 3
                 OKAY. AND THAT IS JUST THE NATURE OF WHAT THAT
            Q
 4
     LEVERAGING IS ALL ABOUT?
 5
                 IT SURE IS.
 6
                 AND LEVERAGING MEANING, YOU KNOW, IF A CONTRACT
     COST A HUNDRED DOLLARS, I ONLY NEED TO GIVE YOU FOLKS --
 7
 8
           Α
                10 PERCENT MAYBE.
 9
                 -- 10 PERCENT?
10
                 SO WITH, SAY, A THOUSAND DOLLARS, I CAN TIE UP
11
     A $10,000 DEBT?
12
           А
                 UH-HUH.
13
                 AND THEN IF THINGS DON'T GO RIGHT, I ONLY HAD
14
     A THOUSAND DOLLARS THAT I OWE YOU TEN, I OWE YOU NINE, IS THAT
15
     KIND OF HOW IT GOES?
16
                 UH-HUH.
17
          THE COURT REPORTER: IS THAT ANSWER YES?
18
           THE WITNESS: YES, IT IS.
19
                 BY MR. BARENS: AND EACH POINT OF CHANGE IN THE
20
     MARKET, BECAUSE TRADING ON LEVERAGE, IS HIGHLY AMPLIFIED
21
     IN TERMS OF ITS IMPACT ON ME?
22
           Α
                 UH-HUH, YES.
23
           Q
                 SO GOING BOTH WAYS, EH?
24
           Α
                 YES.
25
                 SO I HAVE GOT BIG UPS POSSIBLE AND I HAVE GOT
           Q
26
     BIG DOWNS POSSIBLE?
27
          А
                YES.
28
                ALL RIGHT. MAKING THOSE TYPES OF INVESTMENTS,
           Q
```

DO YOU GIVE MARKET ADVICE TO YOUR CLIENTELE? A I DIDN'T GIVE IT TO THEM. Q NO. I AM ASKING AS A GENERAL EXPERIENCE THAT YOU HAVE HAD. A NO, I DON'T, BECAUSE I DON'T DO THAT MUCH IN COMMODITIES. I AM BASICALLY A STOCK AND BOND BROKER. ALL I SAID -- ALL I WAS FOR INTERNATIONAL MARKETING WAS AN ORDER TAKER. 

Q IN THE EXPERIENCE THAT YOU HAVE HAD IN THE

COMMODITIES AREA, WOULD YOU SAY IT WOULD BE WELL NIGH IMPOSSIBLE

TO FAIL-SAFE THE INVESTOR IN THE COMMODITIES SPECULATION?

A WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

Q FAIL-SAFE THEM. SAY, LISTEN, WE WILL GO IN AND WE ARE GOING TO BUY PORK BELLIES OR SOY BEANS, WHATEVER YOU DO, AND WE CAN FAIL-SAFE THAT IF WE ARE DOING IT ON A MARGIN BASIS?

A NO.

ASSUME THE MARKET HAS TO GO AGAINST YOU, THE INTERNATIONAL SWING, SO IF IT GOES AGAINST YOU HALFWAY, THE VERY NEXT DAY WE ARE GOING TO REQUIRE YOU TO BRING IN SOME MORE MARGIN.

SO IF IT GOES AGAINST YOU AGAIN THAT SAME DAY, WE STILL HAVE ENOUGH TO CARRY US OVER IF WE HAVE TO LIQUIDATE THE ACCOUNT.

BUT THEY HAVE TO MEET MARGIN EVERY SINGLE DAY,
GOING AGAINST YOU.

Q RIGHT. AND LET'S SAY IN A WORSE CASE SITUATION,
THE MARKET SUDDENLY -- I SUDDENLY REALIZED, I WAS AN INVESTOR
AND IT TURNED AGAINST ME AND IT GOES DOWN THE FULL SWING FOR
THAT DAY AND I DON'T MEET MARGIN, YOU GUYS CALL ME AND SAY
"HEY, TROUBLE IN RIVER CITY"; WHAT HAPPENS IF I DON'T MEET
MARGIN?

A YOU ARE GONE. WE LIQUIDATE YOUR ACCOUNT AND WE WILL HAVE ENOUGH EQUITY IN THERE TO COVER THAT DEBIT BALANCE.

Q IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT YOU ARE TELLING ME IS THE WAY YOU GUYS SET IT UP TODAY IS EVEN IN THE WORST ONE DAY'S SWING THAT IT DOESN'T FALL BELOW MY NET POSITION.

Q

А THAT IS WHAT I AM TELLING YOU. 1 HAS THAT ALWAYS BEEN THE CASE? Q 2 AS FAR AS I CAN EVER REMEMBER, THAT WAS THE CASE. 3 THEN ARE YOU TELLING ME, BY THE SAME TOKEN THEN, 4 IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR AN INVESTOR IF YOU LIQUIDATE A POSITION 5 TO END UP OWING YOU MONEY? Α IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE BROKER WHO WATCHES WHO 7 MAKES SURE. IF THE MARGIN REQUIREMENT IS NOT MET, HE 8 LIQUIDATES THE ACCOUNT. 9 I CAN'T SAY WHETHER SOME OTHERS GET LAZY AND LET 10 IT SLIDE THE NEXT DAY. 11 HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF THAT HAPPENING? Q 12 NO, I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF IT HAPPEN BUT I AM SURE 13 IT HAPPENS. 14 IF THAT HAPPENED, THEN WE COULD REALLY HAVE A Q 15 CALAMITY? 16 Α YOU SURE COULD. 17 THEN NOT ONLY IS THE INVESTOR WIPED OUT BUT THE 18 INVESTOR COULD BE IN A NEGATIVE OWE POSITION TO THE FIRM? 19 20 A · YES. 21 AND THAT REQUIRES A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DILIGENCE BY THE PARTICIPATING BROKERS AND TRADERS TO TRY TO MAKE SURE 22 23 YOU DON'T HAVE ONE OF THOSE THINGS HAPPEN? 24 А ABSOLUTELY. 25 IF ONE OF THOSE KINDS OF CATASTROPHES WERE TO 26 OCCUR, PERHAPS IN TWO DAYS, WE HAVE GOT BIG TROUBLE? 27 Α UH-HUH, YES.

IF SOMEONE IS NOT BEING DILIGENT?

 $1\Delta - 3$ А EXACTLY. OKAY. WHEN YOU SAW MR. DOSTI AND MR. LOPEZ IN THERE WATCHING THE QUOTRON AND USING THE TELEPHONE, DID YOU EVER ASK THEM WHAT THEIR EXPERIENCE WAS IN THIS TYPE OF TRANSACTION LEVEL? A NO, I DIDN'T. 2 F 

1 OKAY. THEY WERE ACTING IN A MANNER AS FAR AS Q 2 YOU COULD OBSERVE. LIKE GUYS DO THAT ARE TRADERS IN 3 COMMODITIES? WERE THEY ACTING THAT PART? 4 YES THEY WERE. 5 OKAY. AND GUYS THAT ARE TRADING IN COMMODITIES 0 6 A LOT, DOING THAT, ARE THEY ON THE PHONE A LOT? 7 I REALLY CAN'T ANSWER BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE THAT 8 MANY COMMODITIES CLIENTS. I NEVER HAVE. 9 I GUESS IF YOU WERE TRADING COMMODITIES THOUGH, 10 YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE CALLING PEOPLE FOR INFORMATION AND OUDTES 11 AND STUFF LIKE THAT OR DID YOU? 12 NO. I DON'T THINK SO. Α 13 YOU JUST WATCH THAT QUOTRON DEVICE? 14 THEY WATCH THE TAPE AND THEY MAKE THEIR DECISIONS А 15 BY WATCHING THE QUOTRON. 16 WHEN YOU SAY THAT THEY ASKED YOU TO GET QUOTES, 17 WERE YOU CALLING TO GET THEM SOME KIND OF OUOTE THAT WAS NOT 18 ON THE OUOTRON? 19 NO. WHAT THEY WANTED WAS THE LATEST QUOTE THAT 20 THEY HAD ON THE COMMODITIES EXCHANGE. 21 WHAT WAS THE QUOTRON SHOWING, THEN? 22 IT MIGHT BE A MINUTE LATE. IT MAY NOT CATCH BOTH 23 SPREADS AT THE SAME TIME BECAUSE THEY USED TO HAVE TO PUT 24 SPREADS ON. 25 Q RIGHT. YOU WERE ASKED BY MR. WAPNER, DID THEY 26 REALLY WANT SPECIFIC INFORMATION OR PRECISE PRICES I THINK, 27 WERE THE WORDS THAT WERE USED. DON'T MOST PEOPLE THAT DO 28 THAT, WANT PRECISE PRICES IF THEY ARE TRADING SPREADS?

```
1
           Α
                 AGAIN, I CAN SAY THAT I REALLY DON'T KNOW.
 2
                 OKAY. LET ME ASK YOU THIS. JUST BASED ON YOUR
 3
     KNOWLEDGE, HOW COULD YOU EFFECTIVELY TRADE IF YOU DIDN'T HAVE
 4
     THE PRECISE PRICES?
 5
                 I DID EXACTLY WHAT THEY TOLD ME TO DO.
 6
                 NO. I AM ASKING YOU, I AM ASKING YOU BASED UPON
 7
    YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HOW CAN YOU AS A PERSON IN THIS INDUSTRY,
 8
     EFFECTIVELY TRADE SPREADS IF YOU DIDN'T KNOW THE PRECISE PRICES?
 9
                 WELL, I THINK YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT ORANGES AND
10
    APPLES.
11
               WHAT DO YOU MEAN, SIR? IF I AM --
12
           THE COURT: HE IS ONLY A LAWYER. HE MAY NOT KNOW ABOUT
13
    THIS. HE HAS GOT TO CATCH UP WITH YOU.
14
           THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.
15
          MR. BARENS: IF I KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS, I WOULDN'T
16
    BE IN THE POSITION THAT I AM IN.
17
                 (LAUGHTER IN THE COURTROOM.)
18
           THE WITNESS: IT WAS NOT MEANT IN A DEROGATORY WAY.
19
    THEY WERE TRADING EURO-DOLLARS OR T-BILLS OR WHATEVER. THEY
20
    ALSO HAD SPREADS, BOTH LEGS, AS THEY CALL IT.
21
                 THEY WILL GO LONG ON ONE SIDE OR SHORT ON THE
22
    OTHER. SO THEY SAY OKAY, SEE WHAT FOR EXAMPLE, THE MARCH, '85
23
    T-BILLS ARE TRADING AT VERSUS EURO-DOLLARS.
24
                 WELL, I WOULD CALL. THEY WOULD TELL ME WITHIN
25
    A PENNY OF WHAT THE SPREAD IS, AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME.
26
                 I WOULD RELAY THEM THE INFORMATION. TO BEN DOST!
27
    AND HE WOULD SAY OKAY, TO DO IT OR, LET'S HOLD OFF.
28
                AND, AS SOON AS I DID THAT --
```

Q YOU NEEDED THAT KIND OF PRECISE INPUT IN MAKING 1 2 THE INVESTMENT DECISIONS, I SUPPOSE? 3 YOU SURE DO. 4 OKAY. NOW, WHEN YOU WOULD GIVE THEM THAT 5 INFORMATION, WOULD YOU GIVE THAT TO HIM, THAT SPECIFIC MOMENT IN TIME, YOU WOULD GIVE THAT TO DOSTI? 6 7 YES. I WILL KEEP THE TRADER ON THE PHONE. 8 Q AND THEN DOSTI WOULD SAY, "LET'S DO IT"? (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.) 10 MR. WAPNER: IS THAT YES? 11 THE WITNESS: YES. 12 Q BY MR. BARENS: DOSTI WOULD SAY, HANG ON THERE, MR. MAIZE, KEEP THAT GUY ON THE PHONE. AND YOU WOULD MAKE 13 A PHONE CALL AND RUN DOWN ALL THIS INFORMATION AND LET'S 14 15 FREEZE FRAME, HERE? 16 NO. HE GAVE ME THE ORDER. Α 17 DOSTI WOULD KIND OF AD HOC, MAKE A RESPONSE? Q 18 A I FELT BEN DOSTI WAS MAKING ALL OF THE DECISIONS 19 PERSONALLY. 20 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

THE SHOTS?

## 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. WAPNER: 3 Q HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOSTI WAS THERE, WAS LOPEZ 4 ALSO THERE, DO YOU REMEMBER? WERE THERE PERIODS OF TIME 5 WHEN LOPEZ DID NOT COME IN AS OFTEN? 6 A YES. ONCE IN A WHILE, STEVE LOPEZ DID NOT COME 7 IN AND THEN HE WOULD TELL ME WHERE HE WENT. 8 DID HE GO OUT OF TOWN PERIODICALLY? 9 YES. HE WENT UP TO SAN FRANCISCO QUITE OFTEN. 10 MR. LOPEZ IS ALSO FROM MALAYSIA I BELIEVE? IS Q 11 THAT RIGHT? 12 A SOMEWHERE, YES. 13 Q DID YOU EVER KNOW HIM TO GO OUT OF THE COUNTRY 14 DURING THAT PERIOD? 15 Α YES. 16 Q FOR WHAT PERIODS OF TIME? 17 FOR SEVERAL WEEKS. HE TOLD ME HE WAS GOING TO 18 VISIT HIS FATHER. 19 Q DURING THOSE SEVERAL WEEKS, WAS MR. DOSTI STILL 20 TRADING? 21 А YES. 22 Q SO, THERE WOULD BE PERIODS OF TIME WHEN MR. LOPEZ 23 WAS IN MALAYSIA AND ALSO PERIODS OF TIME WHEN HE WAS IN SAN 24 FRANCISCO? 25 A YES. 26 WHEN DOSTI AND LOPEZ WERE THERE TOGETHER, DID 27

YOU STILL HAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT MR. DOSTI WAS CALLING

1	A I AM SURE HE WAS.
2	Q COULD YOU TELL WHETHER THE CONSTANT TELEPHONE
3	CONVERSATIONS HAD TO DO WITH BUSINESS OR WAS IT JUST SOCIAL
4	CALLS WHILE HE WAS CONDUCTING BUSINESS OR DO YOU KNOW?
5	A I CAN'T ANSWER THAT BECAUSE I REALLY NEVER PAID
6	MUCH ATTENTION TO IT.
7	Q OF THE TIME THAT MR. DOSTI SPENT IN THE OFFICE
8	ON A DAILY BASIS, WHAT PERCENTAGE IF YOU KNOW, DID HE SPEND
9	ON THE TELEPHONE?
10	A HALF OF THE TIME.
11	THE COURT: IS THAT ALL FOR MR. MAIZE?
12	MR. WAPNER: NOTHING FURTHER.
13	
14	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. BARENS:
16	Q SIR, WAS THERE EVER AN OCCASION WHEN MR. LOPEZ
17	WAS THERE BY HIMSELF, WITHOUT MR. DOSTI BEING PRESENT?
18	
10	A YES.
19	A YES.  Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ
19	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ
19 20	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?
19 20 21	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?  A I DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING ANY DECISIONS,
19 20 21 22	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?  A I DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING ANY DECISIONS, EVEN WHEN HE WAS THERE BY HIMSELF, AS FAR AS TRADING THE
19 20 21 22 23	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?  A I DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING ANY DECISIONS, EVEN WHEN HE WAS THERE BY HIMSELF, AS FAR AS TRADING THE COMMODITY ACCOUNT.
19 20 21 22 23 24	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?  A I DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING ANY DECISIONS, EVEN WHEN HE WAS THERE BY HIMSELF, AS FAR AS TRADING THE COMMODITY ACCOUNT.  Q SURE. MY QUESTION WENT TO MORE ONE OF PRESENCE.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q AND THERE WERE OCCASIONS THEN WHERE MR. LOPEZ WOULD CARRY ON BY HIMSELF?  A I DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING ANY DECISIONS, EVEN WHEN HE WAS THERE BY HIMSELF, AS FAR AS TRADING THE COMMODITY ACCOUNT.  Q SURE. MY QUESTION WENT TO MORE ONE OF PRESENCE. HE WAS THERE ON OCCASIONS BY HIMSELF WHEN MR. DOSTI WOULD

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 2 3 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. WAPNER: 5 Q IF YOU SAY YOU DON'T REMEMBER MR. LOPEZ MAKING 6 ANY DECISIONS, BUT THERE WERE DAYS WHEN HE WAS THERE BY 7 HIMSELF, WAS THERE ANY TRADING THAT WENT ON? 8 A I DON'T RECALL THERE EVER BEING ANY TRADING FROM 9 MR. LOPEZ IN COMMODITY ACCOUNTS. 10 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. MAIZE. 12 YOU MAY BE EXCUSED. 13 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 14 THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL 15 TAKE OUR ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING 16 AT 10:30. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I GAVE YOU STILL APPLIES. 17 GOOD NIGHT. 18 (AT 4:28 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN 19 UNTIL WEDNESDAY, MARCH 4, 1987, AT 20 10:30 A.M.) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27