COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

VS.

JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT,

AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

OCT 0 9 1987

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 6/ OF 101 (PAGES 9499 TO 9666, INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HO

HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT
THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 1987
VOLUME 61

PAGES 9499 TO 9666, INCL.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY 1725 MAIN STREET SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ. 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

THURS	DAY, MARCH 5, 19	987 VOLUI	ME 61	PAGES 949	9 TO 9666
		A.M.			9499
		P.M.			9577
	CHRONG	NOCICAL INDEX	05 M.TNE		
}	CHRONI	DEOGREAL INDEX	OF WITNE	<u> </u>	
PEOPL	E'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
LEIS,					
	FURTHER		9528	9560	9566
				-	9573
CHO1,	FURTHER	9578	9599	9630	
	FURTHER			9641 9647	1
TAGLI	ANETTI, STEPHEN	9651			
	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES				
CHOI	1065811 11	0.7.70			_
CHU1,	FURTHER	95/8	9599	9630	9631
	FURTHER			9647	9643 9649
LEIS,			0 5 2 9	0576	0550
	FURTHER		3,720	9560	9550 9566 9573
TAGLI		0651		3777	3373
.7.0217	erizy Sicilicia	1001			
EXHIBITS FOR VOLUME 61					
PEOPLE'S FXHIBITS:				FOR IDENTIFICATION	
116 PLASTIC BAG CONTAINING BULLETS				9583	
202 HANDWRITTEN LISTS, MARCH 1 AND JUNE 20				9544	
203	LIST OF INVESTM	ENTS MADE IN 1	984	<u> </u>	9561
	PEOPLE 116 202	CHRONG PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: LEIS, HANNELORE (RESUMED) FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN ALPHAE CHOI, JOSEPH H. FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN ALPHAE CHOI, JOSEPH H. FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN E PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS: 116 PLASTIC BAG CON 202 HANDWRITTEN LIS	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT LEIS, HANNELORE (RESUMED) FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF STREET STRE	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNES PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS LEIS, HANNELORE (RESUMED) 9528 FURTHER FURTHER CHOI, JOSEPH H. 9578 9599 FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN 9651 ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESS CHOI, JOSEPH H. 9578 9599 FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER FURTHER TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN 9651 EXHIBITS FOR VOLUME 61 PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS: 116 PLASTIC BAG CONTAINING BULLETS 202 HANDWRITTEN LISTS, MARCH 1 AND JUNE 20	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT LEIS, HANNELORE (RESUMED) 9528 9536 FURTHER 9560 FURTHER 9630 FURTHER 9630 FURTHER 9641 FURTHER 9647 TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN 9651 ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES CHOI, JOSEPH H. 9578 9599 9619 FURTHER 9647 FURTHER 9647 FURTHER 9647 FURTHER 9640 FURTHER 9647 LEIS, HANNELORE (RESUMED) 9528 9536 FURTHER 9647 TAGLIANETTI, STEPHEN 9651 EXHIBITS FOR VOLUME 61 PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS: IDENTI

```
1
     SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 1987; 9:45 A.M.
 2
     DEPARTMENT WEST G
                                 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
 3
     APPEARANCES:
 4
           THE DEFENDANT HUNT AND DEFENDANT PITTMAN WERE
 5
           PRESENT REPRESENTED BY JEFF BRODEY, ESQ. STANDING
 6
           IN FOR ARTHUR BARENS AND RICHARD CHIER;
 7
           FREDERICK WAPNER, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF
 8
           LOS ANGELES COUNTY, REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF
 9
           THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
10
11
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, PITTMAN.
           MR. BRODEY: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. JEFF BRODEY,
12
13
     B-R-O-D-E-Y FOR MR. PITTMAN.
14
           THE COURT: YOU HAVE TWO MOTIONS, MR. BRODEY?
15
           MR. BRODEY: YES, SIR, I DO.
16
           THE COURT: THESE TWO SAME MOTIONS ARE EXACTLY THE SAME
17
     MOTIONS THAT WERE HEARD BY ME IN CONNECTION WITH THE CASE
18
     WHICH WE PRESENTLY HAVE ON TRIAL IN THE HUNT CASE.
19
                 YOUR PAPERS ARE EXACTLY THE SAME EXCEPT IN ONE
20
    INSTANCE. I ASSUME YOU PREPARED THEM FIRST AND GAVE THEM
21
    TO THE OTHER SIDE; IS THAT RIGHT?
22
          MR. BRODEY: IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SOME JURY SELECTION
23
    PAPERS, YOUR HONOR, THAT IS CORRECT.
24
                AND I TOLD YOUR CLERK AND SHE HAS THOSE SEPARATED
25
    IN A FILE.
26
                THESE TWO MOTIONS THAT ARE IN FRONT OF YOU, OF
27
    WHICH ONE IS A DISCOVERY MOTION TO DISCOVER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
28
    IN REGARD TO DEAN KARNY, AND I BELIEVE ANOTHER MOTION WAS
```

1 FILED BY MR. BARENS WHICH WAS SEPARATE THAN MINE. 2 AND THE OTHER MOTION I AM CALENDARING TODAY IS 3 A MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO OUR RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL. 4 WE WERE HERE ON JANUARY 27 AND THE PEOPLE MOVED 5 TO CONTINUE THE CASE OVER OUR OBJECTION, SO NOW I AM COMING 6 BACK IN TODAY TO ASK YOU TO DISMISS THE CASE BECAUSE THE 7 DELAY IS FAR -- IS FAR OVER THE 60-DAY LIMIT AND I HAVE 8 SUBMITTED POINTS AND AUTHORITIES. 9 I REALLY CAN SUBMIT THE CASE BASED ON THAT. 10 THE COURT: HADN'T YOU BEEN HERE BEFORE WITH MR. PITTMAN 11 AND HADN'T YOU STIPULATED BEFORE WE STARTED THE HUNT CASE 12 THAT YOU WOULD WAIT YOUR TURN UNTIL AFTER WE HAD FINISHED 13 THE HUNT CASE AND THEN YOU WOULD BE GOING TO TRIAL? 14 MR. BRODEY: I AM NOT SURE THAT "STIPULATION" IS THE 15 RIGHT WORD, BUT I DID MAKE THAT STATEMENT TO THE COURT. 16 THE COURT: IT IS AN ORDER THAT I MADE PURSUANT TO YOUR 17 STATEMENT, YOUR STIPULATION. 18 MR. BRODEY: AND SINCE THAT TIME, WHAT WE HAVE DONE 19 IS RECONSIDERED AND WE ARE ASKING --20 THE COURT: HOW CAN WE RECONSIDER IT AFTER WE HAVE 21 STARTED THE TRIAL? AND IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO TRY BOTH OF THEM 22 AT THE SAME TIME. 23 MR. BRODEY: I BELIEVE UNDER THE CASES, YOUR HONOR, 24 EVEN THOUGH --25 THE COURT: IS IT POSSIBLE TO TRY TWO SEPARATE CASES 26 AT THE SAME TIME? 27 MR. BRODEY: IN THIS COURTROOM IT ISN'T.

THE COURT: WELL, SUPPOSE I WERE TO SEND IT OUT, HOW

CAN HE GO THERE AND COME IN HERE, RUN OUT THERE AND COME BACK 1 HERE AGAIN? 2 MR. BRODEY: WELL, I THINK THE ISSUE IS WHETHER OR NOT 3 THIS CASE IS SUCH THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CANNOT 4 SUPPLY ANOTHER LAWYER TO TRY THE CASE. THAT IS THE ISSUE. 5 THE COURT: WELL, THAT IS NONSENSE. I WILL DENY THE 6 MOTION. 7 MR. BRODEY: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: I NEVER HEARD OF ANYTHING LIKE THAT, 9 EXPECTING TO HAVE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, WHO IS PREPARED IN 10 BOTH CASES, TO RUN AFTER A WITNESS THERE, RUN BACK IN HERE 11 AGAIN AND RUN TO ANOTHER COURT AND RUN BACK HERE AGAIN. THAT IS RIDICULOUS. THAT IS IDIOTIC, MORE THAN RIDICULOUS. I 13 NEVER HEARD OF ANYTHING LIKE THAT, PARTICULARLY IN VIEW OF 14 THE FACT THAT YOU STIPULATED THAT WE TRY THIS CASE FIRST AND YOU WOULD TRY YOUR CASE AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE HUNT CASE. 17 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, JUST FOR THE RECORD, I THINK THAT THAT LAST POINT IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE IN A SENSE, BY MAKING 18 THAT STIPULATION, THAT PUT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ON NOTICE THAT NO ONE ELSE NEED BE PREPARED TO TRY THE CASE BECAUSE IT WAS AGREED THAT ONCE MR. HUNT'S CASE WAS FINISHED, 22 THAT MR. PITTMAN'S CASE WILL GO TO TRIAL. 23

IF THEY HAD SAID, WELL, WHEN THE DAY COMES, WHETHER HUNT IS IN TRIAL OR NOT, WE WANT TO GO TO TRIAL, THAT IS ANOTHER STORY AND PERHAPS WE COULD HAVE HAD SOMEONE GEAR UP FOR IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT IS ONE MOTION. WHAT IS YOUR OTHER MOTION?

12

15

16

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

FO

28

1 MR. BRODEY: WE WISH TO DISCOVER ANY SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS INVOLVING ANY OFFENSES IN WHICH DEAN KARNY IS NAMED 2 3 AS A SUSPECT. 4 THE COURT: WELL, THERE ISN'T ANY SUCH THING. I HAVE 5 GONE THROUGH THAT. 6 I HAVE HAD A HEARING ON THAT. THERE IS NOT A 7 POSSIBILITY OF ANYTHING LIKE THAT EVER HAPPENING. 8 MR. WAPNER: AS THE COURT KNOWS THERE IS AN AFFIDAVIT FILED WITH THIS COURT PURSUANT TO THE MOTION MADE BY MR. 9 10 HUNT AND HIS COUNSEL REGARDING THAT SAME INCIDENT AND THAT AFFIDAVIT FROM THE DETECTIVE HANDLING THE CASE FOR THE LOS 11 12 ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT STATES THAT MR. KARNY IS NOT A 13 SUSPECT IN THE CASE. 14 THE ONLY THING THAT THE COURT DID NOT DO IN THAT 15 INSTANCE, BECAUSE THE MOTION WAS WITHDRAWN, WAS TO HAVE AN 16 IN CAMERA HEARING. 17 I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS A REQUEST FOR THAT NOW 18 OR NOT. 19 MR. BRODEY: I ASK THAT YOU HAVE AN IN CAMERA HEARING 20 TO MAKE A DETERMINATION OF WHETHER OR NOT MR. KARNY WAS A 21 SUSPECT IN ANY OTHER OFFENSES. YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: SUPPOSING HE WAS? 23 MR. BRODEY: SORRY? 24 THE COURT: SUPPOSE HE WAS? 25 MR. BRODEY: IF HE WAS A SUSPECT AND FOR SOME REASON, 26 HE IS NOT BEING PROSECUTED --

THE COURT: WELL, HOW CAN YOU USE THAT? IT IS ONLY

IF HE IS ACTUALLY ACCUSED AND HE IS INDICTED OR THERE IS

1 A COMPLAINT AGAINST HIM AND HE IS CHARGED, THAT YOU MIGHT 2 POSSIBLY BE ABLE TO USE IT IN CROSS-EXAMINATION, IF HE IS 3 A WITNESS. 4 MR. BRODEY: WELL, HOW DO WE KNOW THERE IS NOT SOME 5 SPECIAL CONSIDERATION BEING GIVEN TO HIM AND HE IS --6 THE COURT: SUPPOSE THERE WAS? 7 MR. BRODEY: IF THERE WAS SOME SPECIAL CONSIDERATION 8 GIVEN TO HIM AND HE IS NOT BEING PROSECUTED AS A RESULT OF 9 THAT --10 THE COURT: WELL, IT SAYS IN THE AFFIDAVIT THAT THERE 11 WAS NOT ANY CONSIDERATION. THERE WAS NOT THE SLIGHTEST 12 BREATH OF SUSPICION THAT HE HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT. 13 MR. BRODEY: WELL, I DON'T KNOW --14 THE COURT: WELL, TAKE A LOOK AT IT. 15 I WILL TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE FACT THAT 16 THERE WAS SUCH AN AFFIDAVIT. THAT MOTION WILL BE DENIED. 17 MR. BRODEY: THAT ONE, TOO? 18 THE COURT: YES. 19 MR. BRODEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 20 (PAUSE,) 21 MR. WAPNER: THE TRIAL REMAINS SET IN APRIL? 22 MR. BRODEY: APRIL THE 3RD. 23 THE COURT: IS THAT THE TRIAL DATE? 24 MR. WAPNER: YES. 25 THE COURT: WE HAVE TO HANDLE THIS, ALSO. HAVE THEY 26 JOINED IN THE MOTION? 27 MR. BRODEY: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, LET ME ADD

THIS. MR. BARENS AND MR. CHIER WISH TO JOIN IN THAT DISCOVERY

MOTION.

THE COURT: WE HAVE GOT NOTICE HERE THAT THEY WERE

JOINED. THAT MOTION WOULD BE DENIED. WHEN THEY COME IN,

I WILL TELL THEM THAT THE MOTION WAS DENIED IN THE SAME WAY.

MR. BRODEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

```
1
    SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 1987; 10:35 A.M.
 2
    DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
 3
                (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
 4
 5
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
 6
                CHAMBERS WITH ALL COUNSEL BEING PRESENT.)
 7
          MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE HAVE THE DEFENDANT
8
    SIT OVER THERE DURING THE MOTION?
 9
          THE COURT: NO, WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE HIM.
10
          MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. COULD I ADVISE HIM?
11
          THE COURT: SURE.
12
          THE CLERK: MR. PHILLIPS IS WAITING OUT THERE TO BE
13
    INVITED IN, TOO.
14
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD WILL INDICATE WE
15
    ARE PRESENTLY IN CHAMBERS.
16
                THE PEOPLE HAVE MADE A MOTION THAT HASN'T BEEN
17
    FILED BECAUSE THEN IT WOULD BE A MATTER OF RECORD, TO
18
    PROHIBIT THE FILMING OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA COVERAGE, VOICE
19
    RECORDING OF DEAN KARNY. AND THE GROUNDS SET FORTH IN THE
20
    MOTION MIGHT JUSTIFY -- MIGHT JUSTIFY THE COURT MAKING A
21
    RULING TO THE EFFECT THAT SO FAR AS KARNY IS CONCERNED, THAT
22
    THERE BE NO MEDIA.
23
                AND BY MEDIA, I MEAN ELECTRONIC COVERAGE OF
24
    HIM OR -- NO TAPE RECORDINGS EITHER, IS THAT THE IDEA?
25
          MR. WAPNER: NOT ONLY NO TAPE RECORDINGS BUT NO ARTISTS!
26
    RENDERING OF HIM EITHER.
27
          THE COURT: THE REASON FOR IT ARE THE INSTANCES YOU
28
    HAVE SET FORTH IN YOUR MOTION PAPERS THAT THERE ARE THREATS
```

AGAINST HIS LIFE OR POTENTIALLY THERE MAY BE SOMETHING HAPPENING TO HIM, IS THAT THE IDEA? MR. WAPNER: ABSOLUTELY, THAT IS EXACTLY THE IDEA. I FIRMLY BELIEVE IF HIS VOICE AND FACE ARE BROADCAST ON TELEVISION, IF HIS PICTURES APPEAR IN THE NEWSPAPERS, THAT HIS LIFE IS SERIOUSLY IN DANGER.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AGAIN, IT IS TO STRUCTURE AN ARTIFICIAL SETTING THAT WILL ONLY BE NEGATIVE IN TERMS OF THE DEFENDANT, WHOM

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE HAS PROBLEMS WITH THE MOTION FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: NUMBER ONE, I BELIEVE THAT IT IS ALL ACADEMIC BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND FROM COUNSEL FOR THE MEDIA, THE MEDIA ALREADY HAS PHOTOGRAPHS OF HIM AND HAS HAD EXPOSURE TO HIM IN THE PAST.

WE WERE UP IN SAN MATEO COURT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING UP THERE. THERE WAS NO CONSTRUCTION OF THAT NATURE.

THE OTHER CONCERN I HAVE IS, THE JURY WILL OBVIOUSLY SEE THAT SUDDENLY, WE HAVE A WITNESS TREATED DIFFERENTLY THAN ANY OTHER WITNESS IS TREATED. THERE ARE SEVERAL IMPLICATIONS THAT CAN BE DRAWN FROM THAT.

ONE IS, IS HE POSSIBLY MORE BELIEVABLE BECAUSE HE BECOMES AN "IMPORTANT WITNESS" THAT THEY SHOULD PERHAPS PAY MORE ATTENTION TO.

TWO, THE OBVIOUS IMPLICATION FROM THE ADDITIONAL SECURITY THAT MIGHT AFFECT OBVIOUSLY -- THESE JURORS ARE NOT DUMB PEOPLE. THEY ARE GOING TO THINK TO THEMSELVES, WHY IS THIS GUY'S TESTIMONY BEING TAKEN WITHOUT ANY RECORDING OR RECORD BEING MADE IN A MEDIA SENSE OR IN AN ELECTRONIC SENSE?

I DON'T THINK THAT IT WILL TAKE LONG FOR ONE OR TWO OF THEM TO START FIGURING OUT WELL, GEE, MAYBE HIS LIFE WAS IN DANGER AND THE ONLY GUY WHO IS ON TRIAL BEING THE DEFENDANT, THEY ARE GOING TO OBVIOUSLY IMPLY THAT HIS LIFE IS IN DANGER AS A RESULT OF SOMETHING THE DEFENDANT ALLEGEDLY SAID OR DID OR SOME THIRD PERSON ATTRIBUTED TO THE DEFENDANT, ET CETERA.

I SUBMIT, HAS ENOUGH TROUBLES IN THIS CASE. 1 I DON'T THINK THAT KARNY HAS ANY RIGHT TO ANY 2 SPECIAL PROTECTION BY THIS COURT, BASED ON WHAT I CONSIDER 3 TO BE TOTALLY SPECIOUS ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS DEFENDANT. CERTAINLY YOUR HONOR, IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO DISPROVE 5 THOSE TYPES OF ALLEGATIONS. YOU KNOW, IT IS LIKE THAT OLD 6 BUSINESS OF CALLING SOMEBODY A COMMUNIST. IT IS VERY DIFFICULT. 7 YOU HAVE A DEFENDANT ON TRIAL FOR MURDER. 8 THE DEFENDANT GOING TO SAY, WELL, I WON'T KILL THE PRIMARY 9 WITNESS AGAINST ME? 10 THE PROBLEM IS, THE RHETORIC INVOLVED AND THE 11 DISCUSSION THAT THE D.A. MAKES IS GETTING BACK TO THE BEATING 12 YOUR WIFE ROUTINE. 13 I DON'T THINK KARNY -- THERE IS NO EVIDENCE IN 14 15 THE MOVING PAPERS THAT MR. HUNT HAS EVER TAKEN A SPECIFIC 16 ACTION AGAINST KARNY, MADE A SPECIFIC REMARK AGAINST KARNY. 17 THERE IS A REFERENCE IN THERE THAT SOMEONE LOCATED 18 KARNY. THE LOCATION WAS MADE, AS WE UNDERSTAND IT, DIRECTLY 19 BY AN INVESTIGATOR WORKING FOR A LAWYER REPRESENTING REZA ESLAMINIA IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CASE, REZA BEING A 20 DEFENDANT IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CASE. 21 22 AT NO TIME, HAS DEFENSE IN THIS CASE, EVER TRIED 23 TO LOCATE MR. KARNY. WE HAVE SIMPLY OPERATED OFF HIS 24 STATEMENTS AND POLICE REPORTS AND PRIOR TESTIMONY AT THE 25 PITTMAN CASE AND PRIOR TESTIMONY IN THE SAN FRANCISCO CASE. 26 I HAVE -- I BELIEVE THAT COUNSEL UP THERE AT ONE

TIME ARRANGED SOME KIND OF A MEETING WHERE KARNY WAS

INTERVIEWED BY DEFENSE COUNSEL, ET CETERA, ET CETERA, FOR

28

WHATEVER END THAT WENT TO.

IT WAS ALL KIND OF CLOAK AND DAGGERISH AND THAT WAS THE ONLY TIME THAT I KNOW KARNY HAS EVER BEEN PRODUCED.

WE NEVER EVEN ASKED FOR THAT TYPE OF THING DOWN HERE. I DON'T SEE WHY KARNY SHOULD GET ANY MORE SPECIAL TREATMENT BY THIS COURT THAN HE HAS ALREADY GOTTEN BY THE GOVERNMENT TO DATE.

THE PROBLEM IS, TWO MORE COMMENTS THAT I WOULD HAVE. IF YOUR HONOR GOES FOR THIS MOTION, I BELIEVE IT PICTURES THIS COURT AS AN ENDORSER OF KARNY AND HIS TESTIMONY, HIS TESTIMONY TO THE EFFECT THAT HIS LIFE IS ENDANGERED BY THE DEFENDANT. HIS TESTIMONY IS NOT TO YOUR HONOR, BUT TO --THE COURT: NO. NO, THERE IS NO IMPLICATION BY THIS

DEFENDANT. THE PEOPLE DON'T SAY IT IS BY THIS DEFENDANT. HE SAYS HIS LIFE IS IN DANGER FROM OTHER SOURCES

TOO. IS THAT TRUE?

5 、

THE COURT: IS THAT TRUE?

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS IT, FROM THIS DEFENDANT AND FROM OTHER SOURCES.

ONCE HIS IDENTITY, HIS PICTURE AND HIS VOICE ARE BROADCAST, ANYBODY CAN HAVE ACCESS TO HIM.

THE COURT: WELL, ISN'T THERE SECURITY, IS IT TO THE POINT WHERE HE CAN'T EASILY BE FOUND?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, AS FAR AS THE PARTICULARS OF HIS SECURITY, I AM WILLING TO TALK TO THE COURT ABOUT THAT IN CAMERA. I WON'T TALK TO THE COURT --

THE COURT: OF COURSE NOT.

MR. WAPNER: -- I WON'T MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT THAT WITH COUNSEL HERE.

THE COURT: PARDON ME. THERE HAVE BEEN DAYS HERE WHERE WE HAVE HAD NO CAMERAS AT ALL IN THE COURTROOM. WHY SHOULDN'T THE JURY BE JUST AS SUSPICIOUS OF THAT?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I THINK ON THE DAYS --

THE COURT: IF THERE IS NO CAMERA IN THE COURTROOM

AT THE TIME HE TESTIFIES, THE JURY WON'T DRAW ANY INFERENCES

THAT IT IS DIFFERENT FROM THE DAYS WE HAD NO CAMERA HERE.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR. I MIGHT NOTE IT WAS MY
OBSERVATION THAT THE DAYS CAMERAS WEREN'T HERE, WE WERE INTO
A BIT OF WHAT I CALL FINANCIAL DATA TESTIMONY, THAT WE ALL
HAD A BIT -- OR WHICH I HAD A BIT OF DIFFICULTY STAYING
AROUSED FOR.

YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE ONLY THING WE HAVE TO

CONSIDER IS HOW IS THIS WITNESS DIFFERENT FROM MR. DICKER

OR MR. MAY. I THINK THOSE GENTLEMEN CERTAINLY, I WILL SUBMIT,

THAT YOUR HONOR KNOWS --

THE COURT: THE THOUGHT OCCURRED TO ME THAT THEY WEREN'T CONCERNED ABOUT THEIR EXPOSURE TO THE CAMERAS AND THEY WERE JUST AS SUBJECT IN THIS CASE, AS WELL AS THE CASE UP IN SAN FRANCISCO, AREN'T THEY? I MEAN THE CASE IN SAN MATEO, THEY ARE JUST AS MUCH INVOLVED UP THERE, TOO. WON'T THEY BE CALLED UP THERE ALSO?

MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY WILL BE CALLED UP THERE.

THE COURT OBVIOUSLY KNOWS THE GENERAL NATURE

OF THAT CASE AND I WOULD SAY MR. DICKER AND MR. RAYMOND AND

MR. MAY ARE SOMEWHAT LESS INVOLVED.

THE COURT: DON'T YOU THINK IF THE POLICE AND YOUR PROTECTORS, WHOEVER IT IS WHO HAS CONTROL AND KNOW WHERE HE IS AND HOW TO KEEP HIM AWAY FROM ANYBODY, DON'T YOU THINK IF THEIR PROTECTION IS SUFFICIENTLY SECURE, THAT IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE WHETHER HIS FACE APPEARS ON TELEVISION OR OTHERWISE?

MR. WAPNER: ABSOLUTELY NOT. IF THE COURT WILL GIVE
ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS WITH THE COURT IN CAMERA
WITHOUT THE PRESENCE OF DEFENSE COUNSEL, SOME OF THE
PARTICULARITIES OF THAT, I WILL BE HAPPY TO LAY THIS OUT
BUT I WON'T DO THAT IN THE PRESENCE OF MR. BARENS.

THE COURT: I DON'T EXPECT YOU TO.

MR. WAPNER: NO. I CATEGORICALLY DISAGREE WITH THAT.

AND THE BASIC REASON FOR THAT IS THAT IF THE

CAMERAS ARE ALLOWED IN THE COURTROOM, THEN THERE IS LIKELY

TO BE, AT LEAST NATIONAL AND PERHAPS INTERNATIONAL COVERAGE

OF THIS. I CAN ONLY TELL YOU FROM MY EXPERIENCE DURING THIS TRIAL THAT MY PARENTS HAVE HAD FRIENDS WHO HAVE COME BACK FROM AUSTRALIA AND THEY SAID, "GEE, WE READ ABOUT YOUR SON'S CASE IN THE PAPER IN AUSTRALIA" AND SO IF THERE ARE PICTURES TAKEN, THEY GO ON THE WIRE SERVICES AND THEY COULD END UP IN NEWSPAPERS ALL OVER THE WORLD. SIXTY MINUTES IS HERE. 20-20 IS HERE. IT IS GOING TO WIND UP IN NATIONAL COVERAGE.

```
1
           THE COURT: HOW DO YOU INTEND TO GET HIM INTO THE
2
     COURTROOM?
3
           MR. WAPNER: WELL, THAT IS ALSO --
4
           THE COURT: IF HE COMES THROUGH THE CORRIDOR, ANY OF
5
     THE PRESS OUT THERE CAN TAKE HIS PICTURE. I CAN'T CONTROL
6
     THAT.
7
           MR. WAPNER: JUDGE, THAT IS SOMETHING WE WILL HAVE
8
     TO HANDLE.
9
                WE CAN ONLY ASK YOU TO DO WHAT YOU HAVE THE POWER
10
     TO DO.
11
                 HOW WE INTEND TO GET HIM INTO THE COURTROOM IS,
12
     AGAIN, A MATTER OF SECURITY THAT I AM NOT GOING TO DISCLOSE
13
     TO ANYBODY.
14
                 I CAN'T GET THIS COURT TO ORDER THE PRESS TO
15
     ACT RESPONSIBLY AND IF THEY WANT TO RUN AROUND TRYING TO
16
     GET PHOTOGRAPHS OF HIM. THAT IS THEIR BUSINESS. I CAN ONLY
17
     ASK THIS COURT TO CONTROL THAT OVER WHICH IT HAS CONTROL.
18
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I THINK AT THIS POINT WE OUGHT
19
     TO HEAR FROM THE ATTORNEY FOR THE --
20
           MR. BARENS: CAN I MAKE ONE OTHER COMMENT --
21
           THE COURT: YES.
22
           MR. BARENS: -- THAT BECOMES OBVIOUS.
23
           THE COURT: I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT THE EFFECT IN
24
     THE JURY SO MUCH.
25
           MR. BARENS: JUST ONE OTHER COMMENT, YOUR HONOR.
26
           THE COURT: YES.
27
           MR. BARENS: IF THE PRESS IS EXCLUDED, THEY ARE GOING
```

TO MAKE A BIG DEAL OUT OF IT.

THE COURT: THE PRESS ISN'T GOING TO BE EXCLUDED.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

MR. BARENS: I MEAN IF THE ELECTRONIC MEDIA PEOPLE, WHICH I LOOK AT AS AN ASPECT OF THE PRESS, IS EXCLUDED, THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE A BIG DEAL OUT OF IT.

THE COURT: THEY CAN MAKE ANY KIND OF A DEAL OUT OF IT THEY WANT. IF I THINK IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE IT SHOULD BE DONE, I AM GOING TO DO IT IRRESPECTIVE OF HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT IT.

MR. BARENS: I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE JURY TAINT FROM THE BIG DEAL, ABOUT DRAWING AN OPINION FROM THEIR ABSENCE, THAT SOMEBODY CAN SAY TO THE JURY, "GEE, WE READ ABOUT THAT IN THE PAPER." YOU KNOW HOW IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PUT THESE PEOPLE IN A VACUUM.

THE COURT: THUS, USING THAT LOGIC. THEY READ IN THE NEWSPAPERS ABOUT THE REMARKS YOU MADE TO THE MEDIA BEFORE I IMPOSED THE GAG ORDER. THAT IS WHY I TOLD YOU AT THAT TIME THAT THINGS LIKE THAT SHOULD NEVER BE DISCUSSED IN THE PRESS, IT GETS BACK TO THE JURY IN ANY EVENT AND THAT IS WHY I TOLD YOU NOT TO DO THINGS LIKE THAT.

MR. WAPNER: MAY WE GET BACK TO THE POINTS COUNSEL MADE?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. WAPNER: FIRST, THEY SAID IT WAS ACADEMIC BECAUSE THEY MAY HAVE A PICTURE OF KARNY ALREADY. WELL, EVEN IF THEY HAD PICTURES, IT DOESN'T MAKE IT ACADEMIC BECAUSE WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS HAVING 60 MINUTES, 20-20, CNN AND NATIONAL MEDIA COVERAGE AND HAVING THAT PERSON'S PICTURE AND VOICE ON THE TELEVISION BROADCAST NATIONALLY.

AND WHAT THEY DO OR THEY DON'T DO IF IN FACT
THEY HAVE HIS PICTURE IS NOT UP TO THIS COURT AND SHOULD
HAVE NO BEARING ON THIS COURT'S DETERMINATION.

SECOND OF ALL, AS THE COURT HAS POINTED OUT,

IT IS NOT DIFFERENT THAN ANY OTHER WITNESS BECAUSE WE HAVE

HAD SEVERAL DAYS DURING THIS TRIAL WHEN WE HAVE HAD NO CAMERAS

AT ALL.

THIRD OF ALL, THE IDEA THAT SOMEHOW BECAUSE THERE ARE NO CAMERAS, THE JURY IS THEREFORE GOING TO INFER THAT THERE HAVE BEEN THREATS AGAINST MR: KARNY'S LIFE, THERE IS SUCH A LEAVE OF LEAF IN THAT ARGUMENT THAT IT ALMOST DEFEATS ITSELF WITHOUT ANYTHING MORE.

DOES THAT MEAN THAT BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE CAMERAS
WHEN THE PEOPLE TESTIFIED ABOUT BANK RECORDS, THAT THEY
THOUGHT THOSE BANKERS WERE, THEIR LIVES WERE THREATENED OR
ANYBODY ELSE WHO TESTIFIED?

AND THERE WERE NOT ONLY FINANCIAL WITNESSES BUT OTHER PEOPLE WHO TESTIFIED WHO THERE WERE NO CAMERAS IN THE COURTROOM FOR.

ALSO, THIS WITNESS, ALONG WITH SOME OTHER PEOPLE, WAS THREATENED BY THE DEFENDANT ALL AT THE SAME TIME AT THAT MEETING, "IF YOU GO TO THE POLICE YOU WILL BE DEALT WITH" AND IT WAS THE SAME THREAT THAT WAS MADE TO ALL OF THE WITNESSES AND WE KNOW IN SPECIFIC INSTANCES, THE DEFENDANT DISCUSSED KILLING JEFFREY RAYMOND'S GIRLFRIEND, KILLING THE MAYS, AND I DON'T PUT THIS WITNESS IN ANY DIFFERENT CATEGORY THAN THAT.

THE COURT: WHY WEREN'T THE OTHERS CONCERNED ABOUT IT,
DICKER AND MAY?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, FOR THE SAME REASON MR. BARENS IS GIVING US SUCH A STRENUOUS ARGUMENT -- FOR THE SAME REASON HE MADE A BIG DEAL ABOUT MR. KARNY IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT AND FOR THE SAME REASON MR. KARNY AND NONE OF THE OTHER WITNESSES ARE IN THE WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM.

THAT IS BECAUSE MR. KARNY IS, AS COUNSEL KNOWS

OR HE WOULD NEVER BE MAKING THIS ARGUMENT, WHICH I FRANKLY

FEEL IS VERY IRRESPONSIBLE, IS THE PRIMARY WITNESS IN THE

CASE AND HE MORE SO THAN ANYBODY ELSE, IS AT RISK IN THIS

CASE.

THE OTHER PEOPLE ARE DEFINITELY FEARFUL. THEY
HAVE DONE THINGS TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR OWN SAFETY. THERE
IS NO QUESTION THAT THEY ARE FEARFUL. I CAN GIVE YOU
SPECIFIC INSTANCES IF YOU WANT, OF DISCUSSIONS I HAVE HAD
WITH THEM AND THEIR PARENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES.

THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO ARE AFRAID OF THIS DEFENDANT. MR. HUNT'S RIGHTS AREN'T PREJUDICED ONE IOTA ONE WAY OR ANOTHER IF THERE ARE NO CAMERAS IN THE COURTROOM.

THE ONLY REASON HE OBJECTS TO HAVING THE CAMERAS
IS THAT IT IS SOMEHOW, GOING TO AFFECT MR. KARNY AND MAKE
IT PERHAPS EASIER FOR THEM TO BORE IN ON HIM IN CROSSEXAMINATION.

IT COULD AFFECT HOW HE FEELS, IF HE FEELS HIS SECURITY IS THREATENED. THEN IT MIGHT AFFECT HIS DEMEANOR WHEN HE TESTIFIES. THAT IS THE ONLY PURPOSE FOR OBJECTING TO THIS.

THE CAMERAS.

I AM FRANKLY, OUTRAGED THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY
OBJECTION. YESTERDAY, WE HAD A MOTION BY THEM TO DISMISS
THIS CASE BECAUSE THERE IS A MEDIA CIRCUS. NOW, THEY TURN
RIGHT AROUND AND SAY THAT THEY WANT A MEDIA CIRCUS. HE WANTS

THERE IS NO PURPOSE FOR THAT, EXCEPT THAT THEY
WANT TO GET TO MR. KARNY. THAT'S ALL. THEY DON'T CARE ABOUT
ANYTHING ELSE.

THEY ARE JUST TRYING TO GET TO HIM. AND I AM OFFENDED AND OUTRAGED. I CAN'T EXPRESS TO THIS COURT IN STRONGER TERMS HOW I FEEL ABOUT THIS MOTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GET THE --

MR. BARENS: MAY I RESPOND TO SOMETHING OF A PERSONAL NATURE?

THE COURT: I WANT TO GET THE LAWYER IN.

MR. BARENS: COULD I RESPOND TO ONE POINT OF A PERSONAL NATURE? I TAKE EXCEPTION TO COUNSEL SAYING THAT I AM BEING IRRESPONSIBLE IN THE MOTION.

I BELIEVE I HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE DEFENDANT.

I AM OBLIGATED TO FULFILL THEM AS A MATTER OF LAW AND I DON'T

BELIEVE THAT I HAVE COME IN HERE AND --

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THAT YOUR POINT THAT THE JURY MIGHT IN SOME WAY, DRAW SOME INFERENCES FROM THE FACT THAT THERE IS NO MEDIA COVERAGE ON THIS WITNESS, IS FLIMSY, AT BEST.

WILL YOU ASK THE LAWYER TO COME IN? I WANT HIS INPUT.

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I DID AT MR. PHILLIPS'

20

23

24

25

27

28

CABLE NEWS NETWORK.

FIRST OF ALL YOUR HONOR, I DID JUST RECEIVE THE MOTION THIS MORNING. I WOULD INITIALLY MAKE A REQUEST AS TO THAT, THAT IF YOUR HONOR HAS ANY INCLINATION TOWARDS GRANTING THE MOTION, THAT WE WOULD APPRECIATE AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND IN WRITING THIS MOTION.

28

THE COURT: I WILL GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. 1 MR. PHILLIPS: WE WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT. 2 THE COURT: YES. DO YOU WANT TO SUBMIT IT --3 MR. PHILLIPS: COULD I FILE SOMETHING TOMORROW MORNING, 4 IF IT IS ACCEPTABLE? 5 THE COURT: NEXT MONDAY WOULD BE ALL RIGHT. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: MONDAY IS PREFERABLE. 7 THE COURT: WHEN DO YOU EXPECT TO CALL YOUR WITNESS? 8 MR. WAPNER: IF THEY ARE GOING TO RESPOND IN WRITING, 9 I WANT TO HAVE THE CHANCE TO READ IT. 10 THE COURT: TRY TO FILE IT BY TOMORROW. 11 MR. PHILLIPS: I WILL TRY TO FILE IT BY THE CLOSE OF 12 BUSINESS TOMORROW. 13 THE COURT: SERVE A COPY ON COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, 14 15 WILL YOU? MR. PHILLIPS: DO YOU WANT ME TO MAKE ANY OTHER POINTS 16 17 NOW, IF WE ARE GOING TO RESPOND IN WRITING? 18 THE COURT: AS LONG AS YOU ARE GOING TO RESPOND IN WRITING, YOU ARE JUST REPEATING NOW WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO 19 SAY IN YOUR BRIEF, IN ANY EVENT. WHEN DO YOU WANT IT, MONDAY? 20 MR. PHILLIPS: I WOULD LIKE TO FILE IT ON MONDAY, IF 21 22 I COULD. 23 THE COURT: SURE. MONDAY BY 4 O'CLOCK. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: FINE. AND IF I CAN, MAYBE YOU COULD 25 CLARIFY FOR ME YOUR RULING WITH RESPECT TO DISCLOSURE OF THE 26 MOTION AND ANY OPPOSITION THAT I MIGHT FILE.

THE COURT: WELL, COUNSEL FOR THE PEOPLE BELIEVE THERE

ARE SOME STATEMENTS MADE THAT MIGHT REFLECT UNFAVORABLY ON

THE DEFENDANT AS TO THE GROUNDS FOR SEEKING TO HAVE THE 1 MEDIA BARRED, ELECTRONIC MEDIA BARRED. 2 3 THEY DON'T WANT TO HAVE THAT MADE PUBLIC. IS THAT TRUE? 4 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: I WOULD WANT YOU TO RESPECT THAT IF YOU 6 WOULD, PLEASE. I DON'T THINK THAT YOU NEED TO SET FORTH IN 7 YOUR BRIEFS TO ANYBODY, ANY OF THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH THE 8 9 PEOPLE HAVE SOUGHT TO DISCUSS YOUR MEMORANDUM TO BAR THE MEDIA. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: WELL, I SHOULD SAY THAT I HAVE ALREADY SHOWN A COPY OF THE MOTION THAT MR. WAPNER GAVE ME TO SEVERAL 11 12 OTHER REPORTS WHO WERE IN THE COURTROOM THIS MORNING. 13 MR. WAPNER DID NOT ADVISE ME THAT THERE WAS AN ORDER PREVENTING ME FROM DOING THAT. I HAVE DISCUSSED IT 14 WITH MY CLIENT ON THE TELEPHONE. I HAVE NO CONTROL OBVIOUSLY, 15 16 OVER OTHER REPORTERS WHO DO NOT WORK FOR MY CLIENTS. 17 THE COURT: WELL, MR. WAPNER GAVE IT TO YOU TO FURNISH 18 A BASIS AND A STRONG REASON WHY THEY SHOULD BE BARRED. THAT 19 IS PROBABLY WHY HE GAVE IT TO YOU. 20 MR. WAPNER: WELL, IT WAS REQUESTED. HE SAID THAT HE 21 WAS GOING TO APPEAR ON BEHALF OF THESE PEOPLE. 22 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE DISCLOSED 23 IT TO ANYBODY ELSE. THIS IS INTENDED TO BE CONFIDENTIAL. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: THERE WAS NO INDICATION TO ME THAT THERE 25 WAS ANYTHING MORE CONFIDENTIAL ABOUT THIS PLEADING THAN ANY 26 OTHER PLEADING IN THE CASE, WHICH HAS BEEN OPEN TO THE PUBLIC 27 CONSISTENTLY THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GET THAT MEMORANDUM, OKAY?

MR. PHILLIPS: OKAY. I WILL. 1 THE COURT: SERVE A COPY ON MR. BARENS. 2 MR. PHILLIPS: WILL THERE BE A HEARING THEREAFTER ON 3 THE MOTION AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO ARGUE THE MOTION? 4 THE COURT: BEFORE KARNY TAKES THE STAND, I WILL LET 5 YOU KNOW. 6 7 MR. PHILLIPS: FINE. THANK YOU. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 8 MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME, MR. PHILLIPS. OBVIOUSLY, 9 MR. PHILLIPS DOESN'T HAVE MUCH CONTROL OVER HIS CLIENTS. BUT 10 I THINK THAT IF IT IS NOT CLEAR ALREADY, IT SHOULD BE 11 COMMUNICATED THAT THE COURT DID NOT ORDER THE MOTION FILED 12 SO THAT IT WOULD NOT BE MADE PUBLIC TO THE MEDIA. 13 14 I THINK THAT THAT SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED BY MR. PHILLIPS TO HIS CLIENTS. 15 THE COURT: IT IS NOT A FILED MOTION. IT IS NOT A MATTER 16 OF RECORD. YOU BETTER TELL YOUR PEOPLE. BE GUIDED ACCORDINGLY. 17 18 MR. PHILLIPS: MAYBE I SHOULD CLARIFY JUST EXACTLY WHAT YOUR HONOR'S ORDER IS WITH RESPECT TO THE MOTION. 19 THE COURT: WELL, THE INFORMATION IN THE MOTION -- THE 20 REASON WHY THE PEOPLE ARE SEEKING TO BAR THE ELECTRONIC MEDIA 21 22 WHEN KARNY IS ON THE STAND -- YOU HAVE READ IT. YOU KNOW 23 THE VARIOUS REASONS WHY IT IS SET FORTH. 24 WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE THAT MADE PUBLIC. AT LEAST, 25 THE DEFENDANT DOESN'T WANT TO HAVE IT MADE PUBLIC. I DON'T 26 CARE. 27

BUT IF THE DEFENSE THINKS THAT IT MIGHT BE

PREJUDICIAL TO THE DEFENDANT, IF THE REASONS STATED THERE

SOMEHOW OR OTHER BECOME PUBLIC AND THE JURY MIGHT HEAR ABOUT IT, IT WOULD BE PREJUDICIAL TO THEIR CASE. I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY THEY WOULD WANT THAT.

}

THE ONLY REASON YOU WERE TOLD ABOUT IT IS SO
YOU WOULD KNOW WHAT THE BASIS IS THAT THE PEOPLE SEEK TO
HAVE THE MEDIA BARRED FOR HIS TESTIMONY. THAT IS THE ONLY
REASON THAT WAS GIVEN.

MR. PHILLIPS: YOUR HONOR, I GUESS I AM A LITTLE PUZZLED
ABOUT THE PROCEDURE HERE.

YOU ARE SAYING THE MOTION HASN'T BEEN FILED BUT
YOU ARE, NEVERTHELESS, APPARENTLY GOING TO CONSIDER THE
POSSIBILITY OF EXCLUDING CAMERAS FROM THE COURTROOM BASED
UPON THE MOTION?

THE COURT: WELL, THE MOTION WILL BE FILED IN CAMERA

IF IT IS FILED -- WHEN IT IS FILED.

MR. PHILLIPS: I GUESS MY QUESTION IS, IS YOUR HONOR MAKING SOME FINDING WITH RESPECT TO THE NEED FOR --

THE COURT: I AM NOT MAKING ANY FINDING AT ALL. I

AM WAITING UNTIL I GET YOUR BRIEF.

MR. PHILLIPS: NO. MAYBE I AM NOT MAKING MYSELF CLEAR.

IS YOUR HONOR MAKING SOME FINDING WITH RESPECT TO THAT?

THE COURT: THIS HAS NOT BEEN FILED YET SO, THEREFORE,

IT IS NOT A MATTER OF COURT RECORD. THEREFORE, I AM SUGGESTING

THAT YOU TELL YOUR PEOPLE, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE DEFENDANT,

NOT TO DISCLOSE THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH THE PEOPLE ARE SEEKING

TO HAVE THEM BARRED.

MR. PHILLIPS: FINE. I AM HAPPY WITH THAT.

I OBVIOUSLY CAN'T REPRESENT WHAT PEOPLE WILL

DO WHOM I DON'T REPRESENT OR WHAT INFORMATION HAS ALREADY

BEEN DISCLOSED.

.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU DO TELL THE PEOPLE YOU 2 DO REPRESENT. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR, I WILL BE HAPPY 4 TO DO IT. 5 I WILL HAVE THE BRIEF FOR YOU MONDAY. 6 MR. CHIER: MAY I SEE YOU BEFORE YOU LEAVE? 7 MR. PHILLIPS: I WILL CERTAINLY HAVE IT BY HAND TO 8 THE PARTIES. I OBVIOUSLY CAN'T CONTROL WHAT THE OTHERS DO. 9 (MR. PHILLIPS EXITS CHAMBERS.) 10 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO SEE ANYBODY. HE IS MEDIA. 11 I DON'T WANT ANY COMMUNICATIONS BY YOU, BETWEEN YOU OR ANY 12 OF YOU, BETWEEN ANY OF THE MEDIA OR ANY OF YOU. 13 MR. CHIER: I WANT TO TALK TO HIM. 14 THE COURT: THAT GOES TO YOU. I DON'T WANT YOU TO 15 TALK TO ANYBODY. 16 MR. CHIER: I WILL SPEAK TO THAT ATTORNEY FOR THE PRESS. 17 YOUR HONOR. I HAVE A LEGITIMATE RIGHT TO. 18 THE COURT: YOU HAVE NOT, NO. YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO. 19 I AM TELLING YOU NOT TO TALK TO ANY OF THE PRESS. 20 MR. CHIER: I AM SORRY. I CAN'T ABIDE BY THAT. 21 THE COURT: THEN I WILL TAKE CARE OF YOU IF I HAVE 22 TO. I WILL BE HOLDING YOU IN CONTEMPT. I WILL BE HAPPY 23 TO HOLD YOU IN CONTEMPT OF COURT BECAUSE EVERYTHING ELSE 24 YOU HAVE DONE IN THIS COURT DESERVES IT. 25 MR. CHIER: I KNOW YOU WILL BE HAPPY TO. 26 THE COURT: I AM ORDERING YOU NOT TO TALK TO ANYBODY 27 REPRESENTING THE PRESS OR WITH THE PRESS ABOUT ANYTHING HAVING 28

TO DO WITH THIS AND I WILL SHUT YOU OUT OF THE COURTROOM

```
1
     AND SHUT YOU OUT OF ANY CONFERENCES THAT WE HAVE HERE.
 2
           MR. BARENS: JUDGE, COULD I ASK A QUESTION?
 3
           THE COURT: WOULD YOU ORDER YOUR SUBORDINATE HERE TO
     LISTEN TO THE COURT'S DIRECTIONS?
 4
5
           MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6
           THE COURT: YOU ARE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.
7
           MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
8
                 I JUST WANTED TO HAND THE GUY MY CARD ON SERVING
9
     ME ON THE MOTION.
10
           THE COURT: GIVE HIM YOUR CARD.
11
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS ALL I WANTED TO DO, YOUR HONOR.
12
           THE COURT: THAT YOU CAN DO.
13
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
15
                 OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING
16
                 OF THE JURY:)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```

```
1
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
2
3
                            HANNELORE LEIS,
     THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF ADJOURNMENT, RESUMED
4
5
     THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
           THE COURT: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN. YOU ARE
6
7
     STILL UNDER OATH.
8
                SO IF YOU WOULD JUST STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR
9
     THE RECORD.
10
          THE WITNESS: HANNELORE LEIS.
11
          THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. I
     AM SORRY FOR THE DELAY. WE HAD MATTERS TO TAKE CARE OF
12
13
     OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.
14
                GO AHEAD.
15
16
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
17
     BY MR. BARENS:
18
               GOOD MORNING.
          Q
19
                GOOD MORNING.
20
                MISS LEIS, DO YOU RECALL BEING PRESENT AT THE
          Q
21
    MEETING ON, I BELIEVE IT WAS ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 22, 1984,
22
    WHEREIN ALL OF OR MOST OF THE INVESTORS IN THIS BUSINESS
23
    SETTING WERE PRESENT?
24
         A YES.
25
          Q DO YOU REMEMBER OR DO YOU KNOW HOW THAT MEETING
26
    WAS ARRANGED?
27
          A WE SENT OUT LETTERS TELLING THE INVESTORS ABOUT
28
    THE MEETING.
```

```
. 1
                  AND THIS WAS A MEETING HELD IN BEVERLY HILLS?
           Q
 2
                  YES.
 3
           Q
                  DO YOU RECALL WHERE IT WAS?
                 I THINK IT WAS ON BEVERLY DRIVE, SOUTH BEVERLY
 5
     DRIVE.
 6
                 AND DO YOU REMEMBER APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY PEOPLE
           Q
 7
     WERE PRESENT AT THAT MEETING?
 8
                ABOUT 80 OR NINETY SOME, I THINK.
 9
           0
                 DID MR. HUNT SEEM TO CONDUCT THE MEETING?
10
           Α
                 YES.
11
           Q
                AND AT THAT MEETING, DID MR. HUNT SAY IN SUM
12
     AND SUBSTANCE THAT THE INVESTORS' MONEY WAS NO LONGER THERE?
13
           Α
                RIGHT.
14
           Q
                 AND DID HE EXPLAIN TO THEM WHAT HAD HAPPENED
15
     TO THEIR MONEY?
16
           Α
                YES.
17
                DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE NATURE OF THAT EXPLANATION
           Q
18
     AMOUNTED TO?
19
           Α
                WELL, THEY MADE SOME BAD INVESTMENTS.
20
                 AND THAT THE INVESTMENTS -- WAS THE SENSE YOU
21
     GOT OUT OF THAT, THAT THE MARKET IN WHICH THE INVESTMENTS
22
     HAD BEEN MADE HAD TURNED AGAINST THE INVESTOR AND, THEREFORE,
23
     THE MONEY WAS LOST?
24
           Α
                YES.
25
           Q
                 DID MR. HUNT PERMIT THE MEETING TO BE TAPE RECORDED?
26
                 I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T THINK SO.
27
           THE COURT: YOU DON'T THINK SO, YOU SAID?
28
           THE WITNESS: I DON'T REMEMBER REALLY. I DON'T RECALL.
```

```
1
                 BY MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T RECALL ONE WAY OR THE
           Q
 2
     OTHER?
 3
           Α
                NO.
 4
                 -- WHETHER THE SUBJECT WAS DISCUSSED WITH MR.
 5
     HUNT BY ANYBODY THAT WAS THERE?
               NO, I DON'T RECALL.
 6
 7
                 OKAY. YOU DON'T RECALL HIM SAYING TO SOMEONE,
 8
     YOU CAN'T TAPE RECORD THIS MEETING, DO YOU?
 9
           Α
                 NO.
10
                 DURING THAT MEETING, AFTER HE HAD TOLD THE
     INVESTORS THAT THE MONEY HAD BEEN LOST, DID MR. HUNT RESPOND
11
12
     TO QUESTIONS THAT THE INVESTORS HAD?
13
           A YES.
14
                 DID MR. HUNT TRY TO, ACCORDING TO WHAT YOU HEARD,
15
     TURN AROUND AND BLAME THE INVESTORS FOR THE LOSS?
16
           Α
             BLAME THE INVESTORS?
17
           Q
                YES.
18
           Α
                 NO.
19
           Q
                 HE DIDN'T TRY TO, IN YOUR ESTIMATION OR SENSE
20
     OF IT, TURN THE MEETING AROUND BACK ON THE INVESTORS AND
21
     IT WAS JUST THAT HE SAID, WE LOST THE MONEY, AND THEN HE
22
     ANSWERED QUESTIONS AND THAT WAS ABOUT IT?
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
                 ALL RIGHT, NOW WHILE YOU WERE WORKING AT THE
25
     BBC, DID YOU BECOME FAMILIAR WITH AN EXPRESSION CALLED
26
     PARADOX PHILOSOPHY?
27
                I DIDN'T BECOME FAMILIAR WITH IT.
28
```

I HEARD ABOUT IT.

1	Q AND WHO DID YOU HEAR ABOUT THAT FROM?					
2	A VARIOUS SOURCES. I DON'T RECALL WHICH PERSON					
3	IN PARTICULAR BUT I, YOU KNOW, IT WAS MENTIONED.					
4	Q DID YOU HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT YOU COULD OBSERVE,					
5	THAT EVERYBODY WAS WALKING AROUND THERE MESMERIZED BY SOME					
6	PARADOX PHILOSOPHY?					
7	A NO.					
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Q

```
DID IT SEEM TO BE JUST SOMETHING THAT WAS
           0
1
     DISCUSSED FROM TIME TO TIME AND THE REST OF THE TIME PEOPLE
2
     WERE REALLY JUST DOWN DOING BUSINESS?
3
                IT WASN'T DISCUSSED FROM TIME TO TIME. I MEAN,
4
     I DON'T KNOW. THEY MIGHT HAVE DISCUSSED IT IN THEIR OWN
5
     MEETINGS. I WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THOSE MEETINGS.
6
                IN THE OFFICE, IT WAS NOT DISCUSSED, NO.
7
           Q
                ALL RIGHT. YOU MENTIONED AT LEAST -- DID THE
8
     PARENTS OF THESE YOUNG MEN THAT WERE INVOLVED IN THIS, EVER
9
     COME UP TO THE OFFICE?
10
          Α
                YES.
11
           Q
                AND WHAT PARENTS DID YOU SEE UP THERE?
12
           A I SAW MR. MAY AND I SAW MRS. KARNY.
13
           Q
                AND YOU DIDN'T SEE --
14
          THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. SHE HAS NOT FINISHED, YET.
15
16
          MR. BARENS: SORRY.
17
          THE WITNESS: THAT WAS ABOUT IT.
18
           Q
             BY MR. BARENS: AND THERE WAS NO ATTEMPT TO
19
     ALIENATE OR SEPARATE THE PARENTS FROM THOSE BOYS?
20
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION.
21
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
22
               BY MR. BARENS: TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WERE THE PARENTS
23
     THAT CAME UP THERE READILY ADMITTED TO THE OFFICE?
24
          Α
                YES.
25
                AND THEY WOULD SEE THEIR SONS WHILE THEY WERE
          Q
26
    UP THERE?
27
          Α
                YES.
```

WOULD THEY GENERALLY SOCIALIZE WITH THE OTHER

Α

NO.

28

OR OF BLACKENED HEART?

WHILE YOU WERE WORKING AT THE BBC, DID YOU EVER 1 SEE ANY CONDUCT GOING ON, THAT YOU FELT WAS ILLEGAL OR SHADY 2 OR LIKE CHEATING OR STEALING? 3 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, COMPOUND. VAGUE. CALLS FOR 4 CONCLUSION. 5 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. ARE YOU ASKING HER LEGAL ADVICE 6 AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SHE BELIEVES IT WAS LEGAL? OR WHETHER 7 SHE BELIEVES IT WAS UNETHICAL? 8 MR. BARENS: I AM ASKING HER --9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST ASK YOUR QUESTIONS, WILL 10 YOU? 11 12 BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU SEE ANYTHING GOING ON Q THAT YOU THOUGHT ANYBODY WAS STEALING? 13 14 Α NO. 15 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION ON 16 THE PART OF THE WITNESS. 17 THE COURT: I WILL LET IT STAND. GO AHEAD. 18 THE WITNESS: NO. 19 Q BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU SEE ANYTHING THAT YOU 20 THOUGHT WAS SINISTER? 21 MR. WAPNER: WE CAN'T GET THROUGH A DAY WITHOUT ONE 22 OF THOSE. 23 THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT SINISTER MEANS? 24 THE WITNESS: NO. CAN YOU EXPLAIN? 25 THE COURT: SHE DOESN'T EVEN KNOW WHAT IT MEANS. 26 Q BY MR. BARENS: WELL, SINISTER WOULD MEAN, DID

YOU SEE ANYTHING GOING ON THAT WAS BAD OR SUBVERSIVE OR EVIL

I AM TRYING TO REMEMBER WHAT IT SAID IN THE DICTIONARY. THAT TYPE OF THING? A NO. Q YOU DIDN'T SEE ANY OF THAT GOING ON? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WAPNER:
3	Q BEFORE THE 26TH OF SEPTEMBER WHEN THE MEETING
4	WAS HELD WITH THE INVESTORS, DID MR. HUNT EVER TELL ANY OF
5	THE INVESTORS THEY WERE LOSING MONEY?
6	A NO.
7	Q AND THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE PREPARED BY YOU OF
8	THE "PROFITS" THAT THE INVESTORS WERE MAKING, WERE DONE AT
9	THE DIRECTION OF MR. HUNT, RIGHT?
10	. A YES.
11	Q BUT YOU NEVER TOOK ANY COMMODITIES STATEMENTS,
12	DID YOU, AND USED THOSE AS A BASIS TO FIGURE OUT THE PROFITS
13	A NO.
14	Q DID YOU EVER SEE ANY COMMODITY STATEMENTS?
15	A NO I DID NOT.
16	Q WHO TOOK CARE OF THAT STUFF? WAS THAT MR. HUNT?
17	A MR. HUNT, PROBABLY. YES.
18	Q HOW OFTEN DID YOU SEE MR. MAY, SR. OR MRS. KARNY
19	IN THE OFFICE?
20	A I SAW MR. MAY I THINK I SAW HIM ONLY ONCE.
21	AND MRS. KARNY, I SAW HER VARIOUS TIMES, ABOUT THREE OR FOUR
22	Q DID MRS. KARNY HAD THE FAMILY INVESTED SOME
23	MONEY?
24	A YES.
25	Q MRS. KARNY YOU SAW THREE OR FOUR TIMES IN WHAT
26	SPAN OF TIME?

A I DON'T KNOW. BETWEEN THE TIME I WAS THERE, FROM MARCH UNTIL AUGUST.

```
Q MR. MINGARELLA, DID HE WORK WITH RYAN HUNT ON
1
     THE FIRE RETARDANT CHEMICAL BUSINESS?
2
               YES HE DID.
          Α
3
                THAT BUSINESS EVER GET OFF THE GROUND, TO YOUR
4
     KNOWLEDGE?
5
          A I DON'T THINK IT DID, NO.
6
                AT SOME POINT, DID MR. MINGARELLA AND RYAN HUNT
7
     STOP COMING TO THE OFFICES?
8
9
          A YES. FIRST MR. MINGARELLA AND THEN LATER ON,
    MR. HUNT.
10
             MR. HUNT, SR.?
          Q
11
12
          Α
                YES.
               WHEN WAS THAT, THAT MR. MINGARELLA STOPPED COMING
13
    TO THE OFFICES?
14
15
               MIGHT HAVE BEEN MAY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
16
               HOW LONG AFTER THAT, DID MR. HUNT, SR., STOP COMING
    TO THE OFFICES?
17
18
          A ABOUT A COUPLE OF WEEKS OR A MONTH OR SO.
19
          Q
                DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT PART OF MAY IT WAS THAT
20
    MR. MINGARELLA STOPPED COMING?
21
          A NO.
22
                SO, BEFORE -- WHEN I SAY "STOPPED COMING", AT
23
    ONE POINT HE WAS WORKING AT THE OFFICE AND HE WAS THERE EVERY
24
    DAY, RIGHT?
25
          A RIGHT.
26
                THEN AFTER THAT, IT SEEMED LIKE HE WAS NO LONGER
          Q
27
    WORKING THERE?
28
          A YES.
```

	Q FROM THE TIME WHERE IT APPEARED HE CEASED WORKING
1	
2	THERE, YOU ONLY SAW HIM DID YOU SEE HIM AFTER THAT?
3	A YES, ONCE AFTERWARDS AFTER HE NO LONGER WORKED
4	THERE, HE CAME TO THE OFFICE.
5	Q WHEN WAS THAT?
6	A IT IS VERY HARD TO REMEMBER. I DON'T REMEMBER.
7	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT TIME OF THE DAY IT WAS THAT
8	HE WAS THERE OR HOW LONG HE WAS THERE?
9	A IT WAS QUITE A BIT. HE TALKED TO ME AND HE TALKED
10	TO SOME OTHER PEOPLE, TOO.
11	WE HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS. WE TALKED ABOUT
12	VARIOUS THINGS.
13	Q DID IT APPEAR THAT HE WAS THERE ON BUSINESS OR
14	JUST TO SOCIALIZE OR COULD YOU TELL?
15	A I REALLY COULDN'T TELL.
16	Q DID YOU EVER SEE RON LEVIN AT THE OFFICE?
17	A NO I DID NOT.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

A RIGHT.

```
1
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: I WANT TO SHOW YOU TWO LETTERS --
2
    I THOUGHT I WAS GOING TO SHOW YOU TWO LETTERS -- I WILL SHOW
3
    THEM TO YOU IN A MINUTE.
4
                YOU MADE OUT THE CHECKS THAT WERE SENT TO THE
5
    INVESTORS TO PAY THEM FOR THEIR INVESTMENTS, RIGHT?
6
          Α
                YES.
7
          Q
            WHO SIGNED THEM?
8
          A ·
                JOE HUNT.
9
          Q
                THOSE WERE CHECKS WRITTEN SINCE THE TIME YOU
10
    WERE THERE, ON THE FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING CORPORATION
11
    ACCOUNT, CORRECT?
12
          Α
               RIGHT.
13
          Q
            WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF THE CHECKBOOKS ON THOSE
14
    ACCOUNTS?
15
          Α
               I WAS.
16
               AND WHEN THE DEPOSITS CAME IN FROM THE INVESTORS,
17
    WHAT WOULD YOU DO?
18
          A I MADE -- WELL, I GAVE THEM A RECEIPT, NOTED
19
    IT IN THEIR, YOU KNOW, THEIR FILE, AND MADE A DEPOSIT AT
20
    THE BANK OR PREPARED THE DEPOSIT.
21
          THE COURT REPORTER: WHAT WAS THE LAST PART OF YOUR
22
    ANSWER?
23
          THE WITNESS: I MADE THE DEPOSIT, I PREPARED THE DEPOSIT
24
    FOR THE BANK.
25
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: AFTER PREPARING THE DEPOSIT,
26
    YOU WOULD GIVE IT TO ONE OF THE YOUNG MEN AT THE OFFICE AND
27
    THEY WOULD TAKE IT TO THE BANK, RIGHT?
```

1 O DID YOU AT SOME TIME NOTIFY MR. HUNT WHEN THE 2 CHECKS THAT HAD BEEN DEPOSITED, HAD CLEARED? 3 Α YES. 4 SOMETIMES THEY PUT A HOLD ON A CHECK, SOMETIMES 5 IT WAS THREE OR FOUR DAYS AND I WOULD TELL JOE WHEN THEY 6 WERE READY, WHEN THEY HAD CLEARED. 7 Q DID YOU KEEP TRACK OF THE CHECKS GOING INTO AND 8 OUT OF THE FINANCIAL FUTURES ACCOUNT? 9 INTO, OUT OF -- I MEAN I WOULD TELL JOE AND JOE 10 WOULD MAKE THE WITHDRAWAL. 11 AND DID YOU TRY AND KEEP A RECORD OF THE WITH-Q 12 DRAWALS? 13 Α YES. 14 AND WERE YOU AWARE THAT DURING THE TIME THAT 15 FINANCIAL FUTURES TRADING ACCOUNT WAS OPENED, THAT THERE 16 WAS CLOSE TO \$400,000 IN CHECKS WRITTEN TO CASH, SIGNED BY 17 MR. HUNT ON THAT ACCOUNT? 18 A I MUST HAVE BEEN, YES. I SAW IT AT THE END OF 19 THE MONTH. 20 DID YOU EVER MENTION TO MR. HUNT ABOUT THE FACT 21 THAT THERE WERE LOTS OF CHECKS BEING WRITTEN TO CASH ON THAT 22 ACCOUNT? 23 Α NO. 24 Q DID YOU EVER QUESTION HIM ABOUT THAT? 25 Α NO. 26 Q WHY NOT? 27 WELL, FIRST I DIDN'T THINK ANYTHING OF IT, LET 28

ME TELL YOU, BECAUSE IT WAS NEVER ANY DIFFERENT DIRECTION,

THERE WAS NO CHANGES TAKING PLACE.

I WAS UNDER THE ASSUMPTION HE HAD BEEN TRADING BEFORE I CAME TO THE OFFICE, OKAY, SO HE HAD A SYSTEM THE WAY HE RAN HIS BUSINESS AND IT SEEMED TO WORK PRETTY WELL. I MEAN HE SEEMED TO MAKE A LOT OF MONEY.

Q FROM WHAT HE SAID?

A YES, AND WHAT WE PAID OUT, TOO. I DID MAKE THE PAYMENTS TO THE INVESTORS. I HAD NO --

I SAID, "WELL, THIS IS WORKING VERY NICELY, SO WHO AM I TO QUESTION HIM, WHAT HE IS DOING? SO IF THIS IS THE WAY IT IS WORKING, SO BE IT, THIS IS THE WAY IT WORKS."

SO I NEVER ATTEMPTED TO ASK HIM.

```
1
       · Q WAS THERE ANOTHER REASON YOU DIDN'T QUESTION
 2
     MR. HUNT?
 3
                 WELL, YOU DIDN'T, WELL, YOU JUST DID NOT APPROACH
 4
     JOE AND QUESTION HIM, I MEAN I DIDN'T.
 5
           Q
                WHY NOT?
 6
           Α
                HE JUST HAD -- YOU COULDN'T. I MEAN I COULDN'T.
 7
                 IT WAS LIKE, HE WAS VERY -- TO ME, I COULDN'T
8
     PUSH HIM IN ANY WAY, DIRECTION. I MEAN YOU COULDN'T PUSH
9
     HIM OR ANYTHING ELSE.
10
                 JOE HUNT KNEW WHAT HE WAS DOING AND HE WAS THE
11
     BOSS AND THAT IS THE WAY YOU DEALT WITH HIM.
12
           Q
                 HE WAS IN CONTROL OF THE BUSINESS?
13
           Α
                 RIGHT.
14
           Q
                 WAS THERE SOME REASON THAT YOU REMEMBERED THAT
15
     MR. HUNT MADE THIS COMMENT, "YOU JUST MISSED RON LEVIN"?
16
           Α
                 NO.
17
           Q
                 AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHEN IT WAS THAT THAT
18
     COMMENT WAS MADE?
19
           Α
                 NO.
20
           Q
                 IN EARLY JUNE OF 1984, DID MR. HUNT GO TO NEW
21
     YORK?
22
                 HE WAS IN NEW YORK. HE CALLED ME FROM NEW YORK.
           Α
23
                AND WHEN HE CALLED YOU FROM NEW YORK, WHAT DID
24
    HE CALL ABOUT?
25
           Α
                 HE WANTED ME TO ARRANGE A PREPAID TICKET FOR
26
     HIM TO GO TO LONDON.
27
                A PREPAID TICKET?
           Q
28
           Α
                YES.
```

1	Q DID YOU MAKE THAT ARRANGEMENT WITH HIM?
2	A YES.
3	Q DID HE CALL YOU BACK TO CONFIRM THAT YOU HAD
4	MADE IT OR WAS IT JUST ONE TELEPHONE CALL?
5	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF HE CALLED ME BACK, BUT IT
6	WORKED APPARENTLY. THE TICKET WAS SET UP AND
7	Q DID YOU ARRANGE FOR THE TICKET THROUGH WINGS
8	TRAVEL AGENCY?
9	A YES.
10	Q AND THAT IS THE TRAVEL AGENCY THAT THE BBC HAD
11	DEALT WITH OFTEN?
12	A WE DEALT WITH THEM ALL OF THE TIME. WE HAD AN
13	ACCOUNT THERE.
14	Q SO YOU ARRANGED A TICKET FOR MR. HUNT TO FLY
15	TO LONDON?
16	A RIGHT.
17	Q AND DID HE DO THAT, AS FAR AS YOU KNOW?
18	A AS FAR AS I KNOW, YES.
19	Q THE LIST THAT YOU PREPARED THAT YOU HAD IN FRONT
20	OF YOU YESTERDAY, THAT I BELIEVE WAS 87, HAD THE DATES ON
21	IT OF WHEN THE PEOPLE INVESTED MONEY; IS THAT RIGHT?
22	A YES.
23	Q DID IT HAVE DATES ON THERE OF WHEN THE MONEY
24	WAS PAID OUT?
25	A NO.
26	Q ALL RIGHT. SO IT HAD THE MONEY THAT WAS PAID
27	OUT BUT JUST NOT WHEN IT WAS PAID OUT, CORRECT?
28	A RIGHT.

```
1
                I WANT YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT TWO DOCUMENTS THAT --
 2
           MR. WAPNER: FOR THE PRESENT, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE
     TO HAVE MARKED AS 202 FOR IDENTIFICATION. THESE ARE COPIES
 3
     AND I INTEND AT SOME LATER POINT TO SUBSTITUTE THE ORIGINALS.
 4
 5
                 THEY ARE HANDWRITTEN LISTS, ONE DATED MARCH THE
     1ST AND ONE DATED JUNE THE 20TH. MAY THEY TOGETHER BE 202
 6
 7
     FOR IDENTIFICATION?
 8
           THE COURT: SO MARKED.
 9
           MR. WAPNER: IT SAYS ACTUALLY "MARCH 1 CHECKS AND JUNE
10
     THE 20TH CHECKS."
           Q MISS LEIS, SHOWING YOU THESE TWO LISTS THAT ARE
11
     MARKED 202 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NAMES
12
     OF THE PEOPLE ON THOSE TWO LISTS?
13
14
           A YES, I DO.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Q AND ARE THOSE ALL PEOPLE WHO HAD INVESTED WITH 1 THE BBC AND WERE PART OF THE STEVE WEISS INVESTMENT GROUP? 2 Α YES. 3 ON BOTH OF THOSE LISTS? 4 А YES. 5 NOW, I WANT TO SHOW YOU TWO LETTERS I WAS MAKING 6 REFERENCE TO BEFORE. ONE IS DATED MAY THE 29TH. IT SAYS, 7 "DEAR INVESTOR." 8 AND ONE IS DATED JULY 18TH. THEY ARE BOTH FROM 9 1984. IT ALSO SAYS, "DEAR INVESTOR." 10 THOSE HAVE BEEN MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 189 AND 192 11 FOR IDENTIFICATION. 12 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE? 13 Α YES I DO. 14 Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT YOU TYPED THOSE LETTERS? 15 Α YES I DID. I TYPED THEM. 16 17 Q AND WERE THOSE LETTERS SENT OUT TO ALL OF THE INVESTORS? 18 19 Α YES THEY WERE. 20 AND THAT IS, TO ALL OF THE INVESTORS IN THE STEVE 21 WEISS FAMILY ACCOUNT? 22 А YES. 23 INCIDENTALLY, COULD YOU PUT A PERCENTAGE ON THE STEVE WEISS FAMILY ACCOUNT, WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL 24 INVESTORS OF JOE HUNT, DID THAT REPRESENT, IF YOU KNOW? 25 26 WELL, I REALLY DON'T KNOW BECAUSE I ONLY DEALT 27 WITH THE STEVE WEISS FAMILY ACCOUNT. I DIDN'T DEAL WITH THE 28 OTHERS.

Α

NO.

THERE WERE ONLY A FEW OTHERS. I KNOW A FEW NAMES. 1 WHO WERE THE OTHERS THAT YOU KNOW THE NAMES OF? 2 Q 3 DR. RUBINI. THE COURT REPORTER: PLEASE SPELL THAT. 4 THE WITNESS: R-U-B-I-N-I. AND THEN OF COURSE, DEAN 5 KARNY'S MOTHER. 6 THAT WAS IN THE I.M.O., INTERNATIONAL MARKETING 7 THING. 8 BY MR. WAPNER: DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH DR. RUBINI 9 10 INVESTED? I THINK IT WAS ABOUT 50,000. 11 Α DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH MRS. KARNY INVESTED? 12 Q 13 Α NO, NOT REALLY. DID YOU SAY THAT YOU THOUGHT THOSE OTHER MONEYS 14 Q WERE INVESTED IN THE I.M.O. ACCOUNT? 15 16 BECAUSE IT WAS A SPECIAL ACCOUNT FOR THE PEOPLE YOU KNOW, FOR THE OFFICE. LIKE, THE MAY BROTHERS HAD THEIR 17 MONEY AND MY MONEY WENT IN THERE, TOO, THE MONEY THAT I BROUGHT 18 19 IN. 20 Q WHO TOLD YOU THAT IT WENT IN THERE? 21 WELL, I WAS ASKING. I WAS ASKING JOE WHERE I 22 SHOULD PUT MY MONEY. 23 HE SAID, "I THINK YOU BETTER PUT IT IN THE I.M.O. 24 ACCOUNT." THAT IS THE INTERNATIONAL MARKETING OPERATIONS 25 ACCOUNT. 26 Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE THE MONEY FROM THE STEVE WEISS 27 FAMILY ACCOUNT WAS GOING?

Q JOE DIDN'T TELL YOU? 1 Α 2 NO. MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, PLEASE. 3 4 THE COURT: YES. (PAUSE.) 5 BY MR. WAPNER: WERE YOU PAID YOUR SALARY FAIRLY 6 REGULARLY UP TO A CERTAIN POINT? 7 8 Α YES. AND AT WHAT TIME DID THAT STOP? I THINK THE END OF AUGUST, BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER. 10 А WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT TIME? 11 Q Α 12 WELL, WE RAN OUT OF MONEY. HOW LONG DID YOU CONTINUE TO WORK THERE? 13 Q 14 А UNTIL OCTOBER 20TH. 15 Q AND HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT YOU RAN OUT OF MONEY 16 AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME? 17 WELL, IT BECAME FAIRLY OBVIOUS BECAUSE I THINK THAT JOE HUNT AND LAUREN RABB OR SOMEBODY WENT TO THE OWNER 18 19 OF THE BUILDING OR THE MANAGER, TRYING TO ARRANGE THAT WE COULD STAY THERE YOU KNOW, IN THE OFFICES WITHOUT PAYING RENT. 20 21 Q THIS WAS BY THE END OF AUGUST? 22 IT WAS SEPTEMBER I THINK, THE BEGINNING OF 23 SEPTEMBER. IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 24 SO BY THAT POINT, THERE WAS NO MONEY TO PAY YOUR 25 SALARY AND THERE WAS APPARENTLY NO MONEY TO PAY THE RENT ON 26 THE BUILDING? 27 Α RIGHT.

AND WAS THERE A TIME WHEN MR. HUNT USED TO TRADE

- 3

28

F

```
1
           Q
                 WHEN YOU FIRST HEARD -- WHEN WAS IT THAT YOU
     FIRST HEARD ABOUT LEVIN AT THE OFFICE, IF YOU DID?
 2
 3
                THE BEGINNING OF MAY, I SUPPOSE.
 4
                AND THAT IS WHEN YOU WERE ASKED TO TYPE THE LETTERS
 5
     THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT?
 6
           А
             YES.
 7
                 I NOTICED THAT THERE WAS A LETTER THAT I TYPED
 8
     IN THE BEGINNING OF MAY BUT I DIDN'T PAY ANY MUCH ATTENTION
 9
     TO IT.
10
           Q IS THAT ONE OF THESE LETTERS THAT IS ON THE
     EXHIBIT THAT IS, I BELIEVE IT IS 94 --
11
12
           THE COURT: A, B AND C.
13
           MR. WAPNER: YES, 94, I BELIEVE.
14
           Q
                 BY MR. WAPNER: ONE OF THESE LETTERS THAT WAS
15
     IN PEOPLE'S 94, THAT IS DATED MAY THE 3RD; IS THAT RIGHT?
16
           Α
                 YES.
17
                THERE WAS ANOTHER LETTER THAT YOU WERE SHOWN
18
     YESTERDAY THAT WAS APPARENTLY DATED MAY 1ST; IS THAT RIGHT?
19
           A ONE FROM EARLY MAY.
20
                 OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER HOW MUCH IT WAS BEFORE
21
     THOSE LETTERS THAT YOU FIRST HEARD ABOUT LEVIN?
22
                 I DIDN'T PAY ANY ATTENTION BECAUSE LEVIN, IT
23
    WAS JUST ANOTHER NAME OR WHATEVER.
24
                 YOU SEE, IT WASN'T STANDING OUT.
25
                 I ONLY PAID MORE ATTENTION TOWARDS THE END WHEN
26
    WE WERE MAKING THE AGREEMENT, WHEN WE WERE WRITING THESE
27
    OTHER LETTERS BECAUSE IT CAME UP MORE FREQUENTLY.
28
```

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER

```
1
     QUESTIONS.
 2
 3
                          RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
     BY MR. BARENS:
                MISS LEIS, DID YOU HEAR A DISCUSSION AT ANY TIME
 5
 6
     ABOUT A GENTLEMAN NAMED KIRKPATRICK?
 7
           A YES.
 8
           Q AND WHEN --
 9
           MR. WAPNER: KILPATRICK?
10
           MR. BARENS: HIM, TOO.
11
           Q AND WHEN DID YOU HEAR A DISCUSSION OF THAT
12
     INDIVIDUAL?
13
           Α
             WELL, HE CAME TO THE OFFICE. LIKE I SAID, I
14
     DON'T REMEMBER THE TIME, WHAT DATE IT WAS.
15
                WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY THAT YOU
           Q
16
     SAW HIM IN MAY AND JUNE OF 1984?
17
           A COULD BE. COULD BE.
18
               WAS HE INVOLVED AS A POTENTIAL INVESTOR OR
19
     DEVELOPER WITH MR. HUNT CONCERNING MICROGENESIS?
20
           А
                YES.
21
                 AND DID HE SEEM, THE WAY HE WAS TREATED BY EVERYONE,
22
     TO BE AN IMPORTANT GUY TO THE MEMBERS?
23
           Α
                YES.
24
                DID YOU SEE A LOT OF HIM AT ONE TIME?
           Q
25
           Α
                YES, HE WAS THERE QUITE A BIT, YES.
26
                 WAS THERE DISCUSSION APPARENT TO YOU, IF YOU
           Q
27
    KNOW, ABOUT HIM INVESTING A LOT OF MONEY IN THE DEVELOPMENT
28
     OF THE TECHNOLOGY?
```

1	A YES.
2	Q IN FACT, YOU HAD LUNCH WITH HIM AT ONE POINT,
3	DID YOU NOT?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND WHO WAS AT LUNCH WITH YOU AND THIS MR.
6	KIRKPATRICK?
7	A JIM GRAHAM JIM PITTMAN.
8	Q THOSE DISCUSSIONS WITH THAT GENTLEMAN CONTINUED
9	INTO LATE JULY, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
10	A COULD BE, YES. PROBABLY.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

А

```
DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE WAS ASSOCIATED WITH A
 1
            Q
     COMPANY CALLED SATURN RESOURCES?
 2
 3
           Α
                  I DON'T REALLY KNOW.
 4
                  HAD YOU HEARD THAT NAME SATURN OR THAT WORD SATURN
     USED --
 5
 6
           Α
                 YES.
 7
           Q
                 -- AT THAT TIME AT THE OFFICE THERE?
 8
                 I HAVE HEARD THE WORD AND THE NAME USED UP THERE,
           Α
 9
     YES.
10
                 AND DO YOU ASSOCIATE THE NAME SATURN WITH
           Q
     ACTIVITIES THAT WERE GOING ON WITH MICROGENESIS?
11
12
                I SUPPOSE SO.
13
                YOU WERE ASKED EARLIER IF IN MAY, IT APPEARED
     THAT MR. HUNT WAS NO LONGER GETTING IN THERE BEFORE YOU AND
14
     LOOKING AT THE QUOTRON MACHINE, TO WHICH I BELIEVE YOU
15
16
     ANSWERED YES.
17
                 ISN'T IT ALSO TRUE THAT DURING MAY AND INTO JUNE
18
     WAS WHEN HUNT APPARENTLY WAS SPENDING MUCH OF HIS TIME
19
     INVOLVING MICROGENESIS AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF THAT TECHNOLOGY?
20
           Α
                 YES.
21
                 WASN'T IT A FACT THAT AT THAT TIME, INSTEAD OF
22
    DISCUSSING COMMODITIES, THE FOCUS OF HUNT'S ATTENTION AND
23
    APPARENTLY EVERYBODY ELSE IN BBC, WAS ON TRYING TO GET THE
24
    MICROGENESIS INVOLVEMENT GOING?
25
           A RIGHT.
26
                 DIDN'T EVERYBODY UP THERE SEEM TO BE CONCERTED
27
     IN THEIR EFFORTS TO GET MICROGENESIS ON THE ROAD?
28
          А
                RIGHT.
```

1 NOW, YOU WERE ALSO ASKED ABOUT TWO LETTERS DATED 0 2 MAY 29 AND JULY 18TH, WHICH ARE LETTERS TO INVESTORS, WHICH 3 YOU MENTIONED WERE MAILED OUT TO THE WEISS FAMILY PEOPLE? 4 Α RIGHT. 5 Q MISS LEIS, DID MR. HUNT LONGHAND BOTH OF THOSE 6 LETTERS? 7 А YES. 8 AND HE HANDED THEM TO YOU JUST LIKE ALL OF THE 9 OTHER LETTERS WE HAVE DISCUSSED? 10 Α RIGHT. 11 AND THEN YOU WOULD PROCEED WITH TRANSCRIBING 12 THEM AT THAT POINT? 13 Α YES. 14 THAT WAS, AGAIN, CONSISTENT WITH HIS TYPICAL 15 PRACTICE IN GIVING YOU MATERIALS TO PREPARE? 16 Α RIGHT. 17 Q NOW YOU MENTIONED THERE CAME A TIME, I THINK 18 YOU SAID IN AUGUST, WHERE THERE DIDN'T APPEAR TO BE RENT 19 MONEY? 20 А YES, IT COULD HAVE STARTED --21 I WAS ON VACATION AT THE BEGINNING OF AUGUST 22 AND WHEN I GOT BACK THERE WAS A BREAK-IN. 23 Q THERE HAD BEEN A BREAK-IN AT BBC? 24 THERE WAS A BREAK-IN AT BBC. 25 AND AFTER THAT BREAK-IN, THERE WAS AT LEAST, Q 26 OR AT LEAST YOU GAINED SOME KNOWLEDGE OF THAT FACT THAT SOME 27 ARRANGEMENTS WERE TRYING TO BE MADE ABOUT THE RENT ON THE 28 SUITE?

1	. A RIGHT.
2	Q WAS MR. HUNT TRYING TO REMAIN IN BUSINESS
3	APPARENTLY?
4	A YES.
5	Q HE WASN'T JUST SAYING, "WE ARE GOING TO FOLD
6	UP THIS OPERATION AND LEAVE."
7	A NO, NOT AT ALL.
8	Q QUITE TO THE CONTRARY.
9	HE WAS LOOKING TO DO WHAT HE COULD TO KEEP THE
10	SHIP AFLOAT?
11	A RIGHT.
12	Q NOW IS IT NOT A FACT THAT AFTER IN THE PERIOD
13	IN JUNE, AFTER JUNE, YOU KNOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT IN MAY
14	AND JUNE THEY WERE PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON THE MICROGENESIS
15	ACTIVITY.
16	ISN'T IT A FACT THAT AFTER THAT PERIOD OF TIME
17	WHEN THE INTEREST STARTED GOING INTO MICROGENESIS, DIDN'T
18	THEY STOP TAKING IN THE MONEYS FROM INVESTORS THAT WOULD
19	OTHERWISE HAVE GONE INTO THE COMMODITIES MARKET?
20	A DID WE STOP TAKING INVESTMENTS, YOU MEAN NEW
21	INVESTMENTS?
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Q INTO THE --1 Α NEW INVESTMENTS. 2 Q YES? 3 А YES, BECAUSE WE HAD WRITTEN THIS LETTER. 4 Q RIGHT. 5 WE HAD WRITTEN THIS LETTER THAT WE ARE NOT ACCEPTING 6 ANY MORE INVESTMENTS. 7 RIGHT. AND THEN AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, MR. HUNT 8 Q WAS NO LONGER LOOKING AT THE QUOTRONS AND THAT? AND THEY 9 STOPPED TAKING INVESTMENTS TO GO INTO THE COMMODITIES MARKET? 10 RIGHT. 11 BECAUSE AS YOU KNEW IT, THE FOCUS OF ATTENTION 12 WAS NOW INTO THE MICROGENESIS RELATED ACTIVITIES? 13 A WELL, I DON'T KNOW BECAUSE OF. I MEAN, THERE 14 WAS A LETTER SAYING THAT HE IS NOT GOING TO ACCEPT ANY MORE 15 16 MONEY. I DON'T KNOW. 17 HE SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THE MICROGENESIS. I DON'T KNOW THE REASON BEHIND IT. 18 19 NOW, YOU SAW A LOT OF CHECKS IN A SUMMARY YOU 20 -MADE THERE, WHERE THERE WERE REFERENCES TO I THINK --MR. WAPNER REFERENCED \$400,000 TO CASH. DO YOU KNOW THAT 21 22 MR. HUNT USED THE CASH THERE TO PURCHASE CASHIER'S CHECKS 23 MADE OUT TO CERTAIN PARTIES? 24 Α I DON'T KNOW. 25 YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT WAS DONE WITH THOSE MONEYS? Q 26 Α NO. 27 ALL RIGHT. NOW, YOU MENTIONED THAT MR. HUNT Q 28 SEEMED TO BE THE BOSS UP THERE AND IN CONTROL OF THE BUSINESS.

I WOULD LIKE TO DEVELOP A BETTER SENSE OF THE

TYPE OF DEMEANOR OF THAT, THE IMPLICATION OF THAT TYPE OF

STATEMENT. WAS MR. HUNT ACTING LIKE SOME HITLER UP THERE

OR A TYPE -- THAT TYPE OF PERSON OR WAS HE ACTING LIKE HE

WAS THE KIND OF JUST, THE LAST PERSON RESPONSIBLE? THAT

EVENTUALLY, SOMEONE HAS TO MAKE A DECISION AND HE IS THE ONE

THAT HAS TO MAKE THE FINAL DECISION?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.

Q WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE IN THAT REGARD? DID HE HAVE BACK AND FORTH DIALOGUES WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BBC?

A YES, ABSOLUTELY.

Q AND WAS HE WILLING TO LISTEN TO THEIR OPINIONS?

A YES.

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION ON THE PART OF THE WITNESS.

THE COURT: WAIT UNTIL THE OBJECTION IS MADE BEFORE YOU ANSWER. I WILL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION.

I WILL LET THE ANSWER STAND.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.

Q BY MR. BARENS: AND HOW WAS IT WHEN YOU HAD
ASSIGNMENTS TO BE COMPLETED, YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU COULDN'T
PUSH MR. HUNT. HOW WAS IT WHEN THERE WAS AN ASSIGNMENT TO
BE COMPLETED? DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU WOULD REMIND
HIM SOMETHING NEEDED TO BE DONE?

A YES.

Q AND WHAT WOULD HAPPEN?

A HE WOULD EITHER TAKE CARE OF IT OR NOT TAKE CARE 1 2 OF IT. 3 DID HE EVER EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT HIS POLICY WAS 4 IN THAT REGARD? 5 Α AS IT PERTAINS TO ME? 6 Q YES. 7 WELL, HE WOULD TELL ME -- I THINK ONE TIME RIGHT IN THE BEGINNING, BECAUSE I HAVE A TENDENCY TO MAKE SURE THAT 8 THINGS GET DONE. AND I WOULD SAY SOMETHING TO SOMEBODY, "IF 9 MY BOSS DOESN'T DO IT THE FIRST TIME, I WILL TELL HIM AGAIN." 10 11 I WOULD TELL HIM AGAIN. 12 BUT JOE HUNT TOLD ME RIGHT IN THE BEGINNING, HE SAID, "LORE, YOU ONLY HAVE TO TELL ME SOMETHING ONCE. IF 13 14 I DON'T DO IT, I CHOOSE NOT TO DO IT." 15 ALL RIGHT. ON THE OTHER HAND, IF SOMETHING DIDN'T Q 16 GET DONE THEN, WOULD HE BLAME YOU OR OTHER PEOPLE FOR IT? 17 NO, NOT ME AT ALL. NO. 18 ALL RIGHT. SO HE SEEMED TO ESTABLISH HIS OWN 19 PACE OF DOING THINGS AND ONCE HE ESTABLISHED THAT, THAT WAS 20 JUST THE WAY HE ACTED IT OUT? 21 Α THAT'S IT, YES. 22 NOW, IN FACT TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID MR. HUNT GO 23 TO LONDON IN JUNE? 24 Α YES. 25 Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN IT WAS IN JUNE? 26 Α I THINK IT WAS THE BEGINNING OF JUNE. 27 AND AT THE BEGINNING OF JUNE, AS I UNDERSTAND 28 IT, HE WENT FIRST TO NEW YORK, CORRECT? AND THEN HE WENT

TO --MR. WAPNER: IS THAT YES? THE WITNESS: WELL, HE MUST HAVE GONE BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW, YOU KNOW. HE CALLED ME FROM NEW YORK. HE SAID HE WAS IN NEW YORK. HE CALLED ME FROM NEW YORK. I DID NOT ARRANGE FOR HIM TO GO TO NEW YORK. THE COURT: YOU DIDN'T KNOW HE WENT TO NEW YORK, DID YOU? THE WITNESS: NO.

NEEDED A TICKET TO LONDON AND THAT TICKET IS PURCHASED AND

THEN SOME TIME GOES BY AND THEN AFTER THAT, YOU SEE MR. HUNT

```
IN LOS ANGELES?
 1
           A YES. IT WAS QUITE A WHILE. IT WAS ABOUT -- ALMOST
 2
     TWO WEEKS, I WOULD SAY.
 3
           Q DURING THAT ENTIRE TWO-WEEK PERIOD, YOU DIDN'T
 4
     SEE HIM IN LOS ANGELES?
 5
           Α
 6
                NO.
             OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NOTHING FURTHER.
           Q
 7
 8
                     FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
10
     BY MR. WAPNER:
           O IT WAS MAY OF '84 OR THEREABOUTS THAT THE TRADING
11
     WHICH YOU THOUGHT WAS ACTIVITY OF MR. HUNT COMING IN AND
12
     WORKING ON THE STOCK MARKET, HAD STOPPED; RIGHT?
13
14
          A YES, SOMETIME.
               AND MR. BARENS WAS SUGGESTING TO YOU THAT THAT
15
     IS BECAUSE -- MIGHT BE BECAUSE THEY WERE THEN ACTIVELY INVOLVED
16
     IN MICROGENESIS. DID YOU KNOW WHY HE STOPPED TRADING AT THAT
17
    TIME?
18
19
                I DIDN'T KNOW THAT HE STOPPED TRADING.
20
                HE JUST DIDN'T COME INTO THE OFFICE?
21
                HE JUST DIDN'T SIT THERE AND YOU KNOW, LOOK AT
22
    HIS -- WHAT DO YOU CALL THAT?
23
          THE COURT: QUOTRON.
24
          THE WITNESS: QUOTRON?
25
          Q
               BY MR. WAPNER: THE LITTLE MACHINE?
26
          А
                THE LITTLE MACHINE, REUTERS OR WHATEVER.
27
               HAD THEY STOPPED TAKING MONEY FROM INVESTORS AT
          0
28
    THAT POINT?
```

-6

```
NO. I THINK WE TOOK IT -- ACCORDING TO THOSE
1
     LETTERS, I MEAN, WE SAID --
 2
           Q IS THIS THE LIST YOU PREPARED OF ALL THE
3
     INVESTMENTS THAT WERE MADE IN 1984?
           A RIGHT.
 5
          MR. WAPNER: MAY THAT BE MARKED YOUR HONOR? I THINK
 6
     IT IS --
 7
          THE COURT: WASN'T IT MARKED?
 8
          MR. WAPNER: NO. IT WAS NOT MARKED. MAY IT BE 203?
9
          THE COURT: YES.
10
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND IN JUNE OF 1984, HOW MUCH
11
    MONEY DID MR. HUNT TAKE IN FROM INVESTORS IN THE STEVE WEISS
12
     FAMILY ACCOUNT?
13
          A $276,743.58.
14
          Q WHEN WAS IT THAT YOU TOOK YOUR VACATION, WHAT
15
    PART OF AUGUST?
16
17
          Α
                THE BEGINNING OF AUGUST.
18
          Q
               A WEEK? TWO WEEKS?
19
          A I HAD PLANNED TWO WEEKS.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

```
1
                 BY MR. WAPNER: ALL RIGHT. DID YOU ACTUALLY
           0
 2
     GO FOR THE TWO WEEKS?
 3
           Α
                 NO.
 4
           Q
                 HOW LONG WERE YOU ACTUALLY GONE?
 5
           А
                 I WAS GONE THE FIRST WEEK.
 6
                 AND DID YOU COME BACK TO THE OFFICE AFTER THAT?
           Q
 7
           А
                 YES.
 8
           Q
                 SO YOU CAME BACK TO THE OFFICE BEGINNING -- IS
9
     IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU CAME BACK TO THE OFFICE THE BEGINNING
10
     OF THE SECOND WEEK IN AUGUST?
11
                 YES, THE MIDDLE OF IT SORT OF.
12
           Q
                 AROUND THE 15TH OR SO?
13
           Α
                 SOMEWHERE LIKE THAT.
14
           0
                 IN 1984, THE 1ST OF AUGUST WOULD HAVE BEEN ON
15
     A WEDNESDAY AND THE NEXT MONDAY WOULD BE THE 6TH AND THE
16
     NEXT, THE FOLLOWING MONDAY WOULD BE THE 13TH OF AUGUST; DOES
17
     THAT HELP YOU AT ALL IN TERMS OF WHEN YOU MIGHT HAVE COME
18
     BACK TO THE OFFICE?
19
           Α
                IT WAS AFTER THE 15TH.
20
           0
                 AND DID YOU COME BACK ON A MONDAY?
21
           Α
                 YES -- YES.
22
           0
                 OKAY. AND WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO THE OFFICE. THEY
23
     WERE ALREADY HAVING PROBLEMS WITH THE RENT?
24
                WHEN I GOT BACK TO THE OFFICE, THE BIG DEAL WAS
25
     THE BREAK-IN.
26
                 BUT WERE THERE SOME DISCUSSIONS AT THAT POINT
27
     ABOUT PROBLEMS WITH THE RENT?
28
           A NO, I DON'T THINK SO. I DIDN'T HEAR ANYTHING
```

```
1
      THEN.
 2
                 SO IT WAS THE BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER?
 3
                 YES, IT MUST HAVE BEEN.
 4
           Q
                 AND WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THAT YOU WERE ACTUALLY
 5
     PAID YOUR SALARY?
 6
           Α
                I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY.
 7
                 I WAS PAID, I KNOW THAT I GOT MY CHECK OUT FOR
 8
     MY VACATION. AFTER THE VACATION, EVERYTHING WAS FINE THEN
 9
     AND AFTER THAT, I DON'T KNOW IF I GOT ANOTHER CHECK BUT THEN
10
     IT STARTED.
11
             SO THE END OF AUGUST, BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER?
12
           Α
                UH-HUH.
13
           THE COURT REPORTER: IS THAT ANSWER YES?
14
           THE WITNESS: YES. SORRY.
15
           MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
16
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
17
           MR. WAPNER: LET ME JUST ASK ONE THING TO CLEAR UP
18
     THIS LIST THAT IS 203 FOR IDENTIFICATION.
19
                THE LIST THAT YOU PREPARED THAT IS 203; DID YOU
           Q
20
     MAKE THAT BY TAKING FACTS OFF OF WHAT WAS ANOTHER COPY OF
21
     PEOPLE'S 87?
22
           Α
                YES.
23
                 AND 87 IS THE LIST THAT YOU ACTUALLY PREPARED
24
    FOR MR. HUNT FOR THE SEPTEMBER MEETING IN 1984, CORRECT?
25
          А
                RIGHT.
26
                AND IN THE DOCUMENT THAT IS PEOPLE'S 203, DID
           Q
27
    YOU -- HOW DID YOU PREPARE THAT DOCUMENT?
28
                THIS ONE?
```

```
1
           Q YES.
 2
           A I TOOK THE FIGURES OFF, I WENT THROUGH -- I DID
     IT BY DATE RATHER THAN ALPHABETICAL.
 3
           Q AND SO YOU TOOK THE DOCUMENT THAT IS PEOPLE'S
 4
     87?
 5
 6
          А
                RIGHT.
 7
                AND YOU WENT DOWN BY DATES AS TO WHEN PEOPLE
 8
     HAD INVESTED?
 9
           A YES.
10
                 AND THEN ON THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT
     OF YOU, DID YOU LIST ALL OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAD INVESTED EACH
11
12
     MONTH?
13
          А
                YES.
14
           Q AND SO THAT YOU GOT ON THAT DOCUMENT FOR EACH
     MONTH THE DATE THAT THE PERSON INVESTED, THE NAME OF THE
15
16
     PERSON AND THE AMOUNT?
17
           А
                YES.
18
                AND THEN THE TOTAL AT THE END OF EACH MONTH?
           Q
19
          А
                RIGHT.
20
                AND THAT IS HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THE FIGURES YOU
           Q
21
    GAVE US YESTERDAY FOR THE INVESTMENTS FOR EACH MONTH?
22
          Α
                RIGHT.
23
          MR. WAPNER: MAY 203 BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE, YOUR
24
     HONOR?
25
          THE COURT: ANY PARTICULAR REASON FOR IT?
26
          MR. WAPNER: ONLY THAT IF THERE IS AN OBJECTION, IF
27
    THERE IS SOME KIND OF FOUNDATIONAL OBJECTION NOW, I WOULD
28
     LIKE TO CLEAR IT UP WHILE THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND RATHER
```

THAN HAVE TO BRING HER BACK. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL RECEIVE IT. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. MR. BARENS: WE NEED A MOMENT WITH THE EXHIBIT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

1 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BARENS: 3 Q ON THE LIST YOU HAVE PREPARED -- AND I AM GOING 4 TO COME UP AND TAKE A LOOK AT THAT WITH YOU -- ISN'T IT A 5 FACT THAT ON THE INCOMING MONEYS, THAT THEY STOPPED TAKING 6 THOSE INVESTMENTS AFTER THE 15TH OF JUNE? 7 A RIGHT. 8 AND ISN'T THAT CONSISTENT WITH A LETTER WHICH 9 WAS SENT OUT TO THE INVESTORS UNDER DATE OF MAY 29, ADVISING 10 THAT AFTER THE 15TH OF JUNE THEY SIMPLY WEREN'T GOING TO 11 TAKE ANY MORE INVESTOR MONEY? 12 Α THAT'S RIGHT. 13 SO AFTER THAT, IT IS STOPPED? Q 14 Α RIGHT. 15 OKAY. AND AFTER THAT, THE ONLY INVESTOR MONEY 16 YOU HEARD BEING DISCUSSED WAS KILPATRICK CONCERNING 17 MICROGENESIS --18 THE COURT: THAT IS REPETITIOUS. WE HAVE GONE THROUGH 19 ALL OF THAT ONCE OR TWICE. 20 Q BY MR. BARENS: -- AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE? 21 THE COURT: SHE HAS TESTIFIED TO THAT. 22 BY MR. BARENS: OKAY. NOW LOOKING AT THE EXHIBIT 23 HERE, YOU HAD USED THIS EXHIBIT, BEING PEOPLE'S 20 -- 87. 24 THE COURT: THIS IS 203 HERE (INDICATING). 25 BY MR. BARENS: 87 BECOMES 203 IN YOUR HANDWRITING. Q

LOOKING AT THIS AND DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION

TO THE -- THERE IS A COLUMN THERE, IS THERE NOT, FOR STEVE

WEISS?

```
1
           А
                 YES.
 2
                 AND IN THE FIRST COLUMN STARTING FROM THE LEFT,
 3
     DOES IT SHOW ACTUAL REAL WORLD DOLLAR CONTRIBUTIONS BY MR.
 4
     WEISS?
 5
                YES, IT DOES.
 6
           MR. WAPNER: THESE ARE EXHIBITS.
 7
           MR. BARENS: THOSE ARE MINE. TAKE YOURS AND LEAVE
 8
     MINE.
9
           THE COURT: IF THEY ARE EXHIBITS, THEY BELONG TO THE
10
     COURT AND NOT TO YOU ANYMORE.
11
           MR. BARENS: NO. THESE ARE MINE.
12
           THE COURT: ARE THEY IN EVIDENCE?
13
           MR. BARENS: NO. THESE ARE MINE, JUDGE.
14
           THE COURT: OH, ALL RIGHT, YOU CAN KEEP THEM.
15
           MR. BARENS: I BROUGHT THESE FROM HOME.
16
                 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
17
                 BY MR. BARENS: IN THAT FIRST COLUMN, ARE THERE
18
     THREE ENTRIES, WHICH I THINK YOU COULD EASILY TOTAL FOR ME,
19
     SHOWING WHAT HIS CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS WERE?
20
           Α
                 RIGHT.
21
           Q
                 HOW MUCH DOES IT SHOW?
22
           Α
                 80,000.
23
                 AND IN THE NEXT COLUMN, THE NUMBERS THERE WOULD
           Q
24
     SHOW WHAT?
25
           Α
                THE DATES.
26
                 NO, THE DATES, I AM NOT CONCERNED WITH. I AM
           Q
27
     SORRY.
28
                 THE THIRD COLUMN, WHAT DOES THAT FIGURE SHOW?
```

1	А	THE MONEYS DISTRIBUTED TO THE INVESTOR.
2	Q	HOW MUCH DOES IT SHOW DISTRIBUTED TO HIM?
3	А	83,470.
4	Q	AND THEN THE NEXT COLUMN, WHAT DOES THAT FIGURE
5	REPRESENT?	
6	A	THE NET INVESTMENT.
7	Q	AND WHAT DOES THE NET SHOW?
8	А	THE NET SHOWS \$3,470.
9	Q	\$3,470? IT LOOKS AS THOUGH HE GOT MORE OUT THAN
10	HE PUT IN.	
11		OKAY, THE INVESTOR APPEARS TO BE PLUS THIRTY-FOUR
12	SEVENTY FROM HIS ACTUAL HARD CASH INVESTMENT.	
13	А	RIGHT.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	1	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		·
3		

NOW. AFTER THAT HARD CASH INVESTMENT IS ACCOUNTED 1 FOR FOR MR. WEISS, DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER HARD CASH 2 INVESTMENT HE EVER MADE? 3 A I HAVE HEARD THAT HE MADE ANOTHER -- BUT I ONLY KNOW HE TOLD ME THAT HE PUT IN ANOTHER \$50,000. BUT NOT INTO 5 THIS. 6 7 С WHAT WAS THAT PUT INTO? 8 IT WAS APPARENTLY SOME INVESTMENT THAT JOE MADE WITH STEVE WEISS' MONEY AND CHESTER BROWN'S MONEY AND IT WAS 9 SORT OF MORE RISKY, APPARENTLY. BUT THEY APPARENTLY, MADE 10 A LOT OF MONEY WITH IT. 11 O ALL RIGHT. AND IT APPEARED -- THE SENSE YOU GOT 12 FROM THE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THIS OTHER INVESTMENT, IS THAT 13 14 IT WAS SOMETHING OF A MORE RISKY NATURE EVEN THAN THESE COMMODITIES THINGS YOU GUYS HAD BEEN DOING? 15 16 A YES. 17 MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 18 (PAUSE.) 19 BY MR. BARENS: YOU MADE SOME REFERENCE TO AN 20 OFFICE BREAK-IN BEING DISCUSSED WHEN YOU RETURNED FROM YOUR 21 HOLIDAY. 22 DID THAT SEEM TO BE A BURGLARY OR WAS IT BEING 23 DISCUSSED AS AN INSIDE JOB, FROM WHAT YOU HEARD? 24 COULD YOU MAKE AN ASSESSMENT AS TO WHAT THE 25 PERCEPTION OF THAT WAS? 26 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR HEARSAY AND 27 SPECULATION ON THE PART OF THE WITNESS. 28

THE COURT: SUSTAINED. SHE DOESN'T KNOW. HOW WOULD

```
SHE KNOW WHETHER IT WAS A BURGLARY OR AN INSIDE JOB? SHE
 1
     IS NOT A DETECTIVE.
 2
           MR. BARENS: I DON'T KNOW IF SHE KNOWS UNTIL I ASK
 3
     HER.
 4
           THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. LET'S GET
 5
     ON TO SOMETHING ELSE.
 6
           Q BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER DEVELOP KNOWLEDGE
 7
     AS TO WHAT HAPPENED?
 8
           MR. WAPNER: SAME OBJECTION, HEARSAY. CALLING FOR
 9
10
     SPECULATION.
           Q BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER SEE ANY BROKEN DOORS
11
     OR LOCKS?
12
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION AS TO RELEVANCE.
13
          THE COURT: WELL, SHE CAN ANSWER THAT.
14
           THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS BROKEN OR NOT.
15
     I REALLY DON'T KNOW.
16
17
                I WAS SO SHOCKED WHEN I GOT IN THERE, WHAT IT
18
     LOOKED LIKE.
19
          Q BY MR. BARENS: OKAY. WHAT DO YOU MEAN YOU WERE
20
    SHOCKED?
21
          A WELL, I MEAN, IT WAS VERY SHOCKING BECAUSE
22
    EVERYTHING WAS GONE, ALL OF THE TYPEWRITERS, YOU KNOW, ALL
23
    OF THE XEROX MACHINES, ALL OF THE EQUIPMENT, EVERYTHING.
24
                IT WAS JUST A VERY SHOCKING THING WHEN YOU WALK
25
    INTO A PLACE LIKE THAT.
26
          Q
               AND MR. HUNT SEEMED UPSET?
27
          A WELL, I HAD SPOKEN TO HIM ON THAT SATURDAY AND
28
```

HE TOLD ME THERE WAS A BREAK-IN AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

```
Q AND WHAT DID HE SEEM TO BE DOING AS FAR AS AN
 1
     ACTIVITY LEVEL IN OCTOBER?
 2
 3
               HE WAS WORKING ON DIFFERENT THINGS. HE WAS TRYING
     TO DO SOME BUSINESS.
 4
             WAS HE TALKING TO SOME OF THE INVESTORS?
 5
           Q
           А
 6
                HE WAS TALKING TO SOME INVESTORS.
 7
           Q AND JUST CARRYING ON SOMEWHAT JUST YOU KNOW. THE
     WAY HE ALWAYS ACTED AND THE WAY HE DID BUSINESS, THAT TYPE
 8
     OF THING?
 9
10
          A YEAH. AND HE WAS TALKING TO SOME NEW PEOPLE I
     HAD NEVER SEEN BEFORE.
11
12
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.
13
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FURTHER?
14
          MR. WAPNER: JUST A COUPLE OF THINGS.
15
          THE COURT: WELL, THEN YOU WILL START THE WHOLE CYCLE
16
     AGAIN.
          MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. IT IS NOT THAT
17
18
     IMPORTANT.
19
          THE COURT: I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS TO ASK YOU.
20
           HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU SAY RON LEVIN WAS AT THE
21
     PREMISES?
22
          THE WITNESS: I NEVER SAW HIM THERE.
23
          THE COURT: NEVER SAW HIM AT ALL?
24
          THE WITNESS: NO.
25
          THE COURT: HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY LETTERS FROM RON LEVIN
26
     ADDRESSED TO THE BBC OR ANYBODY ELSE?
27
          THE WITNESS: NOT THAT I RECALL.
28
          THE COURT: DID YOU EVER GET ANY REPLY TO THOSE LETTERS
```

Q DID ANY OF THOSE LETTERS THAT YOU TYPED ASK LEVIN

```
TO SEND YOU SOME KIND OF WRITTEN RESPONSE?
 1
           А
                 NO.
 2
                ANY OF THEM SAY TO SIGN HERE AND RETURN THE
 3
     ENCLOSURE OR PUT THE X OR SEND YOUR POSTCARDS, FOLKS? NONE
 4
     OF THAT WAS IN THOSE LETTERS, WAS THERE?
 5
           А
                 NO.
 6
                ANY DEADLINES ESTABLISHED OR RESPONSES TO THOSE
 7
           Q
 8
     LETTERS?
           THE COURT: THE LETTERS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES. ALL RIGHT?
9
           MR. BARENS: QUITE SO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
11
12
                      FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13
14
     BY MR. WAPNER:
15
                AFTER YOU TYPED THOSE LETTERS TO MR. LEVIN, DID
           Q
     YOU TYPE ANY LETTER TO MR. LEVIN OTHER THAN THE THREE THAT
16
     ARE IN THE PACKAGE THAT IS PEOPLE'S 94, THE ONES YOU WERE
17
18
     SHOWN YESTERDAY?
19
          А
                NO, NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
20
           Q
                SO YOU DON'T RECALL TYPING ANY OTHER LETTERS TO
21
    MR. LEVIN?
22
           Α
                 NO.
23
                 DID YOU AFTER YOU SAW MR. HUNT IN THE OFFICE WITH
24
    THIS CONTRACT AND THE CHECK, DID YOU EVER TYPE A LETTER TO
25
    MR. LEVIN SAYING, "PLEASE SEND ME THE MONEY," OR "MAKE THE
26
    CHECK GOOD"?
27
          A NO.
28
                DID YOU EVER TYPE A LETTER TO MR. LEVIN SAYING,
           Q
```

5 F

```
MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
 1
                  (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN DEFENSE
 2
 3
                  COUNSEL.)
 4
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. THANK
 5
     YOU.
 6
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 7
                  THE WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED?
 8
           MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 9
           THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
10
                 YOU ARE EXCUSED.
11
                 ALL RIGHT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,
12
     WE WILL TAKE OUT NOON RECESS AT THIS TIME.
                 THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WOULD STILL APPLY.
13
14
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD I MAKE AN INQUIRY?
15
           THE COURT: YES.
16
           MR. BARENS: WE DON'T NEED THE REPORTER.
17
           THE COURT: OFF THE RECORD?
18
           MR. BARENS: SURE.
19
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY AT THE BENCH
20
                 BETWEEN THE COURT, MR. BARENS AND
21
                 MR. WAPNER.)
22
                 (PROCEEDINGS WERE ADJOURNED AT 12:00 NOON
23
                 TO RESUME AT 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)
24
25
26
27
```

```
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 1987; 1:35 P.M.
 1
     DEPARTMENT WEST C
 2
                                HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
 3
                  (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE
                  EXCEPT MR. CHIER IS NOT PRESENT.)
 5
 6
                  (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
 7
                 IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE AND
                 HEARING OF THE JURY: )
 9
           MR. BARENS: MR. CHIER WILL NOT ATTEND THIS AFTERNOON.
10
           THE COURT: QUEL DOMAGE.
11
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I NEED FIVE TO 4:00.
12
           THE COURT: YES.
13
                 (BENCH CONFERENCE NOT REPORTED.)
14
                 (THE JURY ENTERS THE COURTROOM.)
15
           THE COURT: INCIDENTALLY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IF
-16
     BY CHANCE, ANY OF YOU DON'T HEAR ANY QUESTIONS OR ANY ANSWERS,
17
     WILL YOU RAISE YOUR HANDS? I WILL SEE THAT IT IS REPEATED
18
     SO THAT YOU GET IT. ALL RIGHT?
19
                 CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
20
           MR. WAPNER: WE CALL DR. CHOI.
21
22
                            JOSEPH H. CHOI,
23
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED
24
     AS FOLLOWS:
25
           THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY
26
     YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL
27
     BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH,
28
     SO HELP YOU GOD.
```

THE WITNESS: I DO. THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE WITNESS: JOSEPH CHOI, C-H-O-I. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WAPNER: Q DR. CHOI, BY WHOM ARE YOU --MR. BARENS: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES. (PAUSE.) MR. BARENS: COULD WE APPROACH THE BENCH FOR A MOMENT? THE COURT: YES.

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 2 AT THE BENCH:) 3 THE COURT: YES? 4 MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE THAT THIS WITNESS IS CALLED 5 FOR THE PURPOSES OF CREATING HYPOTHETICALS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS 6 LF A PERSON GETS SHOT AND HOW YOU BLEED OR DON'T BLEED OR --7 THE COURT: YES, WE HAD THAT SAME TESTIMONY IN THE 8 PITTMAN CASE, DIDN'T WE? 9 MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE YOU HAD THIS TYPE OF TESTIMONY 10 IN THE PITTMAN CASE. 11 THE COURT: I THINK I ALLOWED IT THEN AND THERE WAS 12 AN OBJECTION TO IT. 13 I AM GOING TO ALLOW IT NOW. 14 MR. BARENS: I HAVEN'T MADE MY OBJECTION. 15 THE COURT: YOU CAME UP TO MAKE AN OBJECTION, DIDN'T 16 YOU, I ASSUME? 17 MR. BARENS: YES. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MAKE IT FOR THE RECORD. 19 MR. BARENS: I AM OBJECTING AS TO THE PROBATIVE VALUE. 20 THERE HAS BEEN NO TESTIMONY TO DATE OR ANY FORENSIC EVIDENCE 21 TO ESTABLISH MR. LEVIN WAS KILLED BY A GUN DEVICE AND NO 22 ILLUSTRATION MADE OF EVIDENCE FOUND AT THE SCENE THAT WOULD 23 SUGGEST HE WAS KILLED BY A GUN OR OTHER WEAPON AND THAT UNDER 24 352, OBVIOUSLY THE INFLAMMATORY SUGGESTIONS CONTAINED IN THIS 25 WITNESS' TESTIMONY FAR OUTWEIGHS THEIR PROBATIVE VALUE. 26 PARTICULARLY IN THE SETTING WHEN THERE HAS NOT BEEN ONE 27 SCINTILLA OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT ANY OF THE AREA OF TESTIMONY 28

THAT HE WOULD BE TESTIFYING ABOUT, SIR.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, IT WILL BE CONNECTED

UP WITH THE TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS IN TERMS OF THE MANNER

IN WHICH THE KILLING WAS ACCOMPLISHED AND THE CALIBER OF

THE GUN THAT WAS USED TO ACCOMPLISH THE KILLING AND SO IN

THAT SENSE, I HAVE NO HESITATION ABOUT SAYING THAT IT IS

RELEVANT TO CONNECT IT UP TO THAT EVIDENCE THAT WILL BE HEARD

LATER.

SECOND OF ALL, ALTHOUGH THERE MAY BE SOME
HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS INVOLVED, IT CAN ALL BE DONE WITHOUT
THE USE OF HYPOTHETICALS BY JUST ASKING HIM IF, WHAT HAPPENS
WHEN A CERTAIN GUN IS USED, OF A CERTAIN CALIBER, AND WHAT
ARE THE VARIOUS FACTORS THAT WOULD CAUSE A PERSON TO BLEED
OR NOT TO BLEED AND WHEN A BULLET WOULD OR WOULD NOT STAY
IN THE HEAD AND HOW DOES THAT VARY, DEPENDING UPON THE
CALIBER OF THE BULLET THAT IS USED?

SECOND OF ALL, LET'S ASSUME THAT THERE WAS NO WITNESS WHO WILL CONNECT IT UP, AND THERE WILL BE, WHAT IS THE HARM DONE? THEY HAVE NOW HEARD TESTIMONY THAT IS GOING TO BE TOTALLY IRRELEVANT TO THEM SO IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. BUT THERE WILL BE A WITNESS WHO WILL CONNECT IT ALL UP.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL PERMIT IT.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:)

Q BY MR. WAPNER: DR. CHOI, WHO DO YOU WORK FOR?

A I AM PRESENTLY EMPLOYED AS A CHIEF OF THE MEDICAL

DIVISION AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE.

1 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH HOW THE VARIOUS -- THE Q 2 VARIOUS BLEEDING THAT MIGHT RESULT FROM VARIOUS TYPES OF 3 GUNSHOT WOUNDS AND THE IMPACT ON THE BODY THAT VARIOUS TYPES 4 OF BULLETS WILL MAKE? 5 А YES. 6 AND HAVE YOU HAD IN THE COURSE OF YOUR 18 YEARS 7 OF WORKING AT THE CORONER'S OFFICE, A CHANCE TO OBSERVE THAT? 8 Α YES. 9 AND HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN OTHER COURTS 10 AS AN EXPERT ON GUNSHOT WOUNDS AND THE MARKS THAT THEY LEAVE IN THE BODY AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE? 11 12 А YES. 13 AND I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT AREAS. Q 14 FIRST OF ALL, IN REGARD TO THE AMOUNT OF BLOOD 15 THAT MIGHT BE -- OR THE AMOUNT OF BLEEDING THAT MIGHT BE 16 CAUSED BY A PARTICULAR GUNSHOT WOUND, ARE THERE CERTAIN 17 FACTORS THAT ARE RELEVANT IN DETERMINING HOW MUCH BLOOD YOU 18 MIGHT EXPECT FROM A PARTICULAR GUNSHOT WOUND? 19 WELL, THERE ARE SEVERAL FACTORS INVOLVED. А 20 OKAY. COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THOSE ARE, PLEASE? Q 21 FIRST IS THE SIZE OF THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET Α 22 AND ALSO THE TYPE OF GUN, EITHER HANDGUN OR RIFLE, ALSO 23 DISTANCE BETWEEN THE GUN AND THE SUBJECT AND ALSO THE LOCATION 24 WHERE THE PERSON WAS SHOT OR IN A PARTICULAR AREA, WAS SHOT. 25 LET'S TAKE THOSE ONE BY ONE. WHAT DIFFERENCE Q 26 DOES THE SIZE OF THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET MAKE IN DETERMINING 27 HOW MUCH BLEEDING YOU CAN EXPECT?

IT IS DEFINITELY A LARGER CALIBER BULLET WOULD

```
CAUSE A LARGER HOLE AND IT CAUSES MORE BLEEDING.
 1
           Q SHOWING YOU AN EXHIBIT THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
 2
     MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 116 FOR IDENTIFICATION, YOUR HONOR, WHICH
 3
     IS IN A PLASTIC BAG THAT CONTAINS SEVERAL DIFFERENT BULLETS --
 4
 5
     MAY THAT BE MARKED 116?
           MR. BARENS: OBJECTION STRAIGHT UP AS TO THE RELEVANCY,
 6
 7
     YOUR HONOR.
          THE COURT: OVERRULED.
 8
 9
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH ON THIS?
           THE COURT: DO WE HAVE TO APPROACH ON EVERY QUESTION
10
     THAT HAS BEEN ASKED?
11
12
          MR. BARENS: WELL, I WILL ARGUE IT FROM HERE.
13
          THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO ARGUE IT. YOU MADE YOUR
14
     OBJECTION. I HAVE OVERRULED IT.
15
           MR. BARENS: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A STATEMENT FOR THE
16
     RECORD.
17
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT
18
                 THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE JURY:)
19
           THE COURT: MAKE YOUR STATEMENT.
20
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I PRESUME MR.
21
    WAPNER IS GOING TO LAY SOME KIND OF FOUNDATION FOR THE BULLETS.
22
    WE HAVE NO SPECIFIC TESTIMONY AVAILABLE THAT THESE BULLETS
23
    OR BULLETS OF AN EXACT TYPE TO THOSE BULLETS WERE USED
24
     REFERABLE TO MR. LEVIN.
25
                 IT GETS US INTO AN AREA OF SPECULATION. WHAT
26
    IS THE RELEVANCY OF THOSE BULLETS?
27
                 WE COULD HAVE PULLED ANY KIND OF BULLETS OUT.
28
     INCLUDING BULLETS FROM AN ELEPHANT GUN OR A PEA SHOOTER.
```

THE PROBLEM IS THE IMPLICATION TO THE JURY THAT THESE BULLETS WERE USED TO ASSASSINATE MR. LEVIN. THE COURT: HOW CAN YOU DRAW THAT INFERENCE? YOU MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THOSE BULLETS WEREN'T TAKEN FROM ANY BODY. WOULD YOU? MR. WAPNER: FIRST OF ALL --MR. BARENS: DID YOUR HONOR SAY ANY BODY? MR. WAPNER: FIRST OF ALL, THESE BULLETS ARE PURELY --THE COURT: THE SAME CALIBER? MR. WAPNER: PURELY FOR DEMONSTRATIVE PURPOSES. THEY WERE USED IN THE PITTMAN TRIAL. IT IS THE SAME BULLETS THAT WERE USED IN THE PITTMAN TRIAL. IT IS CLEAR THAT THEY ARE USED FOR A DEMONSTRATIVE PURPOSE. HOW CAN THIS BULLET BE USED ON ANYBODY? THEY ARE INTACT. THEY ARE NOT SPENT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MADE YOUR RECORD. MR. BARENS: I THINK I HAVE MADE MY POINT. THANK YOU.

```
(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
 1
 2
                 IN OPEN COURT:)
 3
           MR. WAPNER: CAN I HAVE JUST A MOMENT?
                 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
 4
 5
                 BY MR. WAPNER: DR. CHOI, SHOWING YOU THIS EXHIBIT
     THAT IS MARKED PEOPLE'S 116, WHAT ARE THOSE IN THAT PACKAGE?
 6
                 THESE ARE VARIOUS SIZES OF AMMUNITION.
 7
           Α
           0
                 AND WHAT IS THE SMALLEST --
 8
                 WHEN YOU SAY "VARIOUS SIZES," DOES THE CALIBER
 9
10
     OF A BULLET REFER TO THE SIZE ACTUALLY OF THE BULLET?
           Α
                 YES.
11
                 AND WHAT IS THE SMALLEST SIZE OR CALIBER THAT
12
           Q
     YOU HAVE CONTAINED IN THAT EXHIBIT?
13
           A IT SEEMS TO BE .22 CALIBER IS THE SMALLEST ONE
14
15
     HERE.
16
           Q
                 AND THE NEXT ONE THEN IN THAT PACKAGE IS WHAT
17
     CALIBER?
18
           Α
                 IT APPEARS TO BE A .25 CALIBER.
19
           Q
                 AND WHAT IS THE NEXT ONE?
20
                 IT APPEARS TO BE .32 CALIBER BULLET.
           Α
21
                 AND THE NEXT ONE IN ORDER?
           Q
22
                 THE NEXT ONE IN ORDER IS .380 AUTOMATIC.
           Α
23
                 WHAT IS THE NEXT ONE IN ORDER IN THAT PACKAGE?
           Q
24
           Α
                 9 MILLIMETER.
25
           Q
                 AND THE NEXT ONE IN ORDER?
26
           Α
                 NEXT IN ORDER IS .38 SPECIAL.
27
           THE COURT REPORTER: .38 SPECIAL?
28
           THE WITNESS: YES.
```

Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND WHAT IS THE NEXT ONE THAT 1 2 IS THE ONE ON THE TOP? 3 A YES, A .357 MAGNUM. 4 MR. WAPNER: CAN I WALK THOSE IN FRONT OF THE JURY BRIEFLY? 5 6 THE COURT: YES. 7 MR. BARENS: COULD I ASK FOR A STIPULATION THAT THESE 8 BULLETS CAME FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLY OF BULLETS? MR. WAPNER: MAY I SHOW THESE JUST A MOMENT? 9 MR. BARENS: SO WE HAVE SOME COMMENT THAT THESE CAME 10 FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLY OF BULLETS? 11 THE COURT: WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE WHERE THEY 12 CAME FROM? 13 14 MR. BARENS: I JUST DON'T WANT A MISTAKE MADE. THE COURT: NO MISTAKE WILL BE MADE. IT WILL BE PERFECTLY 15 16 CLEAR. 17 MR. BARENS: DO YOU MIND STIPULATING? 18 MR. WAPNER: NO, I DON'T. I WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR 19 ASKING ME THESE THINGS BEFORE YOU ASK THE COURT. 20 THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT THESE BULLETS WERE 21 BROUGHT TO COURT AT ANOTHER PROCEEDING APPROXIMATELY A YEAR 22 AND A HALF AGO BY DETECTIVE ZOELLER FOR THE PURPOSES OF USING 23 THEM AS A DEMONSTRATION OF THE SIZES OF VARIOUS SIZES OF 24 BULLETS. 25 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

26 O BY MP WARNED DR CHOI AN

Q BY MR. WAPNER: DR. CHOI, ARE THEY NOW IN THE ORDER YOU HAVE TOLD US ABOUT?

A YES.

27

WHICH IS THE SMALLEST ONE IN THE PACKAGE, WHICH 1 CALIBER? 2 A THE LEFT ONE IS -- THE LEFT-MOST ONE IS THE 3 SMALLEST CALIBER, WHICH IS .22 CALIBER. 4 5 (MR. WAPNER SHOWS EXHIBIT TO JURORS.) BY MR. WAPNER: AND THE EFFECT OF THE CALIBER 6 OF THE BULLET ON THE AMOUNT OF BLEEDING YOU MIGHT EXPECT IS 7 THAT THE SMALLER BULLET, IT IS GOING TO MAKE A SMALLER HOLE 8 AND THERE IS GOING TO BE LESS BLEEDING, RIGHT? A YES, BASICALLY. 10 AND THE BIGGER THE BULLET IS GOING TO MAKE A 11 BIGGER HOLE AND THERE IS GOING TO BE MORE BLEEDING? 12 Α 13 YES. Q OKAY, THE NEXT FACTOR YOU TOLD US ABOUT WAS THE 14 15 TYPE OF GUN. 16 WHAT EFFECT WOULD THE TYPE OF A GUN HAVE ON THE AMOUNT OF BLEEDING YOU MIGHT EXPECT? 17 A YES, SUCH AS THE SAME .22 CALIBER BULLET WHICH 18 19 IS USED WITH RIFLE, EITHER IT WILL PRODUCE A LARGER 20 DESTRUCTION AND THEY DO CAUSE MORE BLEEDING THAN WITH A 21 HANDGUN. 22 Q WHY IS THAT? 23 USUALLY, IT HAVE MORE PROPELLING ACTION SO DAMAGE Α 24 IS GREATER. 25 Q SO THAT A BULLET SHOT FROM A RIFLE HAS MORE 26 PROPELLING ACTION OR IT IS SHOT WITH MORE FORCE? 27 YES, HIGH VELOCITY. THE VELOCITY WILL MAKE A 28 LARGER DAMAGE, SO WHILE PROPELLING LONGER PERIOD, IT WILL

\$

LL.

က

ß

σ

 α

A YES.

1 Q SO YOU COULD EXPECT A SMALLER WOUND FROM A HANDGUN 2 THAN YOU COULD FROM A RIFLE? 3 А THAT'S RIGHT. 4 AND AS FAR AS THE DISTANCE FROM THE WOUND OR FROM THE GUN TO THE SUBJECT, WHAT EFFECT DOES THAT HAVE ON 5 6 THE AMOUNT OF BLEEDING THAT YOU COULD EXPECT? 7 USUALLY, CLOSER, THE LARGER DAMAGES. LIKE A CONTACT WOUND, WOULD CAUSE LARGER EXPLOSIVE WOUND COMPARING 8 TO A DISTANT SHOT. 9 10 Q SO A BULLET THAT IS FIRED FROM A CLOSER RANGE, IS GOING TO CAUSE MORE -- A LARGER WOUND AND THEREFORE, MORE 11 BLEEDING THAN ONE SHOT FROM A FARTHER DISTANCE, CORRECT? 12 13 A YES. WELLL ACTUALLY, IF IT IS BEYOND TWO FEET, 14 YOU DON'T MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE. BUT A CLOSER DISTANCE SUCH 15 AS A CONTACT WOUND WOULD CAUSE A MUCH LARGER GAS EXPLOSION 16 DAMAGE BECAUSE IT IS CONTACTED AND GAS WILL BE COMING OUT 17 FROM THE GUN BARREL IN A MUCH LARGER AMOUNT. 18 ON THE OTHER HAND, BEYOND TWO FEET, THEN THERE 19 WOULDN'T BE ANY REAL GAS EFFECT VERY MUCH, THAT DISTANCE 20 BEYOND TWO FEET. IT WILL BE A BIG DIFFERENCE. 21 THEY WOULD BE ABOUT THE SAME OR MUCH FARTHER 22 SITUATION, MAYBE MAKING A HOLE FOR THIS AT ABOUT 50 YEARDS 23 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. THEN IT WILL MAKE A SMALLER HOLE, 24 T00. 25 Q WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT A CONTACT WOUND, ARE YOU 26 TALKING ABOUT A WOUND THAT IS PRODUCED BY ACTUALLY PLACING 27 THE GUN AGAINST THE SKIN AND SHOOTING IT?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU DO THAT, THERE IS A LARGE EXPLOSION OF GASES FROM THE BARREL OF THE GUN WHICH CAUSES A LARGER WOUND, CORRECT? А YES. WHAT HAPPENS AS YOU START TO PLACE THINGS IN BETWEEN Q THE BARREL OF THE GUN AND THE WOUND? WHAT EFFECT DOES THAT HAVE ON THE TYPE OF WOUND THAT IS MADE? Α THAT WOULD ACT LIKE A DISTANCE WOUND. SO EVEN IF IT WERE SIX INCHES BUT IF YOU HAVE SOME ATTACHMENT OR ANYTHING TO MUFFLE IT, THEN THE WOUND WOULD LOOK LIKE MAYBE BEYOND TWO FEET AND SMALLER. WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS, IF YOU PUT SOMETHING

IN BETWEEN THE BARREL OF THE GUN AND THE SKIN, THAT IT CREATES A SITUATION WHERE A WOUND WOULD LOOK LIKE ONE THAT WAS SHOT FROM FARTHER AWAY? IS THAT RIGHT, WHERE THE HOLE WOULD ACTUALLY BE SMALLER?

A YES, SOMETHING LIKE A MUFFLER OR SILENCER OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. IT WOULD BE LIKE THAT.

WHAT EFFECT WOULD PUTTING A SILENCER ON THE GUN Q HAVE ON THE TYPE OF WOUND THAT YOU COULD EXPECT TO FIND?

THAT IS WHAT I MEAN. FOR YOU TO HAVE A SILENCER IN IT, THEN IF FOR CLOSE RANGE, IT MAY APPEAR DISTANT. IT WOULD MAKE A SMALLER HOLE.

Q WHY IS IT THAT YOU PUT A SILENCER ON IT AND IT WOULD CREATE A SMALLER GUNSHOT WOUND ON THE BODY?

Α BECAUSE IT MUFFLES AND THE GAS LEAKS MEANTIME. SO IN BETWEEN THE MUZZLE OF THE GUN TO THE CYLINDER, THERE IS A SMALL DISTANCE. THIS DISTANCE WILL BUFFER A GREAT DEAL.

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 ALL RIGHT. SO THE GASES THAT YOU WOULD EXPECT Q TO COME OUT OF THE MUZZLE, IF IT WAS A CONTACT WOUND, ARE 2 GOING TO BE FARTHER AWAY FROM THE SKIN, CORRECT? А YES. TYPE OF WOUND THAT WOULD BE PRODUCED AND HOW MUCH BLEEDING COULD YOU EXPECT IN THAT INSTANCE? IT WOULD BE LESS BLEEDING. Q WHY IS THAT?

AND WHAT HAPPENS IF IN ADDITION TO A SILENCER, YOU INTRODUCE ANOTHER FACTOR SUCH AS A PILLOW IN BETWEEN THE WOUND AND THE GUN? WHAT EFFECT WOULD THAT HAVE ON THE

WELL, IT WOULD AFFECT -- IF THERE IS ANY EFFECT,

WELL, ONE OF THE THINGS, A PILLOW, IT ABSORBS THE BLOOD. AND THE OTHER FACTOR IS OF COURSE, IS THE BULLET GOES IN BETWEEN THE PILLOW SECTIONS. SO IT HAS TO BE LESS FORCEFUL.

WOULD THE PILLOW ALSO TEND TO ABSORB SOME OF THE GASES THAT WERE COMING OUT OF THE BARREL OF THE GUN AND THE SILENCER?

А YES.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SO THE PILLOW WOULD ACT TO PLACE EVEN MORE DISTANCE 1 FROM WHERE THE BULLET COMES OUT OF THE BARREL OF THE GUN AND 2 THE WOUND ITSELF, CORRECT? 3 Α YES. AND YOU STILL SAID THAT IT WOULD ACT TO ABSORB 5 ANY BLOOD THAT MIGHT BE THERE? 6 7 Α YES. 8 AND WHAT EFFECT DOES THE LOCATION OF THE WOUND ON THE BODY HAVE ON HOW MUCH BLEEDING YOU MIGHT EXPECT FROM 9 IT? 10 WELL, DEPENDING ON THE BLOOD VESSELS ARE REACHED. 11 SUPPOSE IF BUTTOCK IS SHOT, THE BUTTOCK AREA IS SHOT, IT MAY 12 NOT BE VERY MUCH BLEEDING. 13 ON THE OTHER HAND, MAYBE HEART AREA IS SHOT, YOU 14 WOULD HAVE A LARGE AMOUNT OF BLOOD, SUCH AS IF AORTA WAS SHOT, 15 IT WOULD CAUSE MASSIVE BLEEDING. 16 17 Q IF YOU WERE SHOT IN THE HEART? 18 HEART OR LUNGS CAUSE MASSIVE BLEEDING. Α 19 Q WHAT ABOUT IN THE HEAD? 20 Α HEAD ALSO PRODUCE SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF BLEEDING. 21 WHAT EFFECT, IF ANY, DOES THE LOCATION OF THE 22 WOUND HAVE ON THE LIKELY TIME OF DEATH BETWEEN THE INFLICTION 23 OF THE WOUND AND THE TIME OF DEATH? 24 YES. IF THE HEART STOPS, THEN BLEEDING WILL BE 25 STOPPED AND ONLY PASSIVE DRAINAGE MAY COME OUT. 26 BUT IF HEART IS STILL POUNDING, EVEN IF SOME OTHER 27 AREA IS NOT FUNCTIONING, BUT HEART IS STILL FUNCTIONING, THEN 28

THE ACTIVE BLEEDING WILL STILL CONTINUE.

1 Q SO IF YOU ARE SHOT IN THE AREA OF THE BODY THAT 2 IS GOING TO KILL YOU FAIRLY QUICKLY, THEN THE HEART WILL STOP 3 FAIRLY QUICKLY, CORRECT? А YES. 5 AND ONCE THE HEART STOPS, THERE IS NO ACTIVE 6 PUMPING OF BLOOD, CORRECT? 7 Α THAT IS RIGHT. 8 Q AND IF THERE IS NO ACTIVE PUMPING OF BLOOD BY 9 THE HEART, CAN THERE STILL BE BLEEDING FROM THE WOUND? 10 IT MAY. IT DEPENDS ON CIRCUMSTANCES. 11 Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT, PLEASE. 12 Α THE HEART -- THE HEART IS SHOT -- RESTATE YOUR 13 QUESTION. 14 THE QUESTION IS, ASSUMING THAT A PERSON IS SHOT Q 15 AND THE SHOT KILLS THEM FAIRLY QUICKLY AND, THEREFORE, THE 16 HEARTHAS STOPPED PUMPING BLOOD, ARE THERE INSTANCES WHERE 17 YOU CAN STILL EXPECT SOME TYPE OF BLOOD TO COME OUT OF THE 18 WOUND? 19 YES, THAT IS WHAT I SAID ABOUT THE DRAINAGE. Α 20 ALL RIGHT. SO AT THAT POINT, YOU HAVE GOT A HOLE 21 IN THE BODY WHERE THE BLOOD COULD DRAIN OUT OF IT; IS THAT 22 CORRECT? 23 Α YES. 24 IS THE BLOOD FLOWING OUT AT THAT POINT, BASICALLY, 25 BY THE FORCE OF GRAVITY? 26 Α THAT'S RIGHT. 27 SO IF A PERSON IS LYING PRONE ON HIS STOMACH AND 28

IS SHOT FROM THE BACK IN A PLACE THAT KILLS HIM FAIRLY QUICKLY,

WOULD YOU EXPECT THAT A GUNSHOT WOUND TO THE HEAD

WOULD PRODUCE DEATH FAIRLY QUICKLY? A WELL, DEPENDS ON WHERE THE BULLET PERFORATE THROUGH. IF -- USUALLY, THE UPPER PART OF THE HEAD, A PERSON DOESN'T DIE THAT QUICK. SOMETIMES BECOME COMATOSE AND REMAIN UNCONSCIOUS FOR A WHILE AND THE BLOOD BUILD UP, THEN WILL DIE LATER. BUT IF LOWER PART, SUCH AS BRAIN STEM AREA IS SHOT, THEN THE PERSON WOULD DIE VERY QUICKLY, IMMEDIATELY OR MATTER OF MINUTES.

Ξ

TIMES IT STAYS IN.

1 0 AND IF A PERSON IS SHOT IN THE HEAD, WHAT FACTORS 2 WOULD DETERMINE WHETHER THE BULLET THAT THEY WERE SHOT WITH, 3 WOULD STAY IN THE HEAD OR WOULD COME OUT? 4 A THAT ALSO HAS TO DO WITH THE SIZE OF THE BULLET 5 AND RIFLE OR HANDGUN. 6 AND WHAT EFFECT DOES THE SIZE OF THE BULLET HAVE 7 ON WHETHER OR NOT THE BULLET WOULD ACTUALLY STAY IN THE 8 PERSON'S HEAD OR WHETHER YOU WOULD EXPECT IT TO COME OUT 9 OF THE HEAD AFTER THEY WERE SHOT? 10 USUALLY -- IT IS NOT ALWAYS, BUT USUALLY, A LARGER 11 BULLET WILL GO THROUGH THE HEAD. 12 OF COURSE, IT HAS TO BE -- WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT --13 EITHER WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A BABY OR AN ADULT, WE ARE TALKING 14 ABOUT. 15 ASSUMING WE ARE TALKING ABOUT AN ADULT. 16 A OKAY. ASSUMING WE ARE TALKING ABOUT AN ADULT 17 HEAD, THE LARGER CALIBER BULLET MAY USUALLY GO THROUGH BOTH 18 SIDES OF THE HEAD. 19 ON THE OTHER HAND, A SMALLER CALIBER BULLET WILL 20 USUALLY STAY INSIDE. 21 WITH THE 22 CALIBER BULLET, WOULD YOU EXPECT 22 IT TO STAY INSIDE THE HEAD OR COME OUT THE OTHER SIDE? 23 Α USUALLY IN MY EXPERIENCE, THEY WILL STAY INSIDE. 24 WHAT ABOUT A .25 CALIBER BULLET? Q 25 Α THEY MOSTLY STAY INSIDE ALSO. 26 Q AND A .32 CALIBER BULLET? 27 .32 CALIBER BULLET SOMETIMES IT GOES OUT. SOME-

1 Q AND A .38 CALIBER BULLET? 2 USUALLY A .38 CALIBER BULLET WILL GO OUT AND 3 GO THROUGH THE HEAD FROM SIDE -- ONE SIDE TO THE OTHER. 4 ALL RIGHT. AND I THINK I SKIPPED A COUPLE. WHAT ABOUT A .380 BULLET AND 9 MILLIMETER BULLET? 5 6 THOSE .380 AND 9 MILLIMETER, THEY ALSO USUALLY 7 GO THROUGH BOTH SIDES. 8 SO, IN THIS PACKAGE HERE, THE TWO SMALLEST ONES 9 THAT YOU HAVE IN HERE WOULD USUALLY STAY IN THE HEAD, IS 10 THAT RIGHT? 11 Α YES. 12 AND THE NEXT ONE WHICH IS THE .32 CALIBER BULLET, 13 SOMETIMES WOULD GO IN ONE SIDE AND OUT THE OTHER AND SOME-14 TIMES IT WOULD STAY IN? 15 А YES. 16 AND THE REST OF THEM THAT ARE IN HERE, MOSTLY 17 YOU WOULD EXPECT BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, THAT THEY WOULD 18 ACTUALLY COME OUT OF THE HEAD. IS THAT RIGHT? 19 A YES. 20 THE COURT: WHAT WOULD BE THE EFFECT OF HAVING A 21 SHOT THROUGH A CUSHION OR A PILLOW? 22 THE WITNESS: ON THE BULLET ITSELF, SIR? 23 THE COURT: YES. 24 THE WITNESS: WELL, THE BULLET ITSELF --25 THE COURT: WOULD IT LESSEN THE VELOCITY MORE AND TEND 26 TO STAY IN THE HEAD? 27 THE WITNESS: YES. 28 Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT ABOUT THE EFFECT OF A SILENCER

ON THE GUN? WHAT EFFECT WOULD THAT HAVE ON WHETHER THE BULLET 1 WOULD STAY IN THE HEAD OR GO OUT? 2 3 THAT MAY AFFECT IT SOME, BUT USUALLY, IT DOESN'T SEEM TO REALLY AFFECT IT VERY MUCH. THEY DO, BUT THEY DO 4 5 NOT AFFECT IT IS GREATEST CALIBER. Q IF YOU ARE USING A .32 CALIBER BULLET FOR EXAMPLE 6 AND IT IS SHOT THROUGH A CUSHION OF SOME SORT, WOULD IT BE 7 MORE LIKELY TO STAY IN THE HEAD THAN TO COME OUT? 8 9 WELL, IT MAY AFFECT IT A LITTLE BIT. BUT REALLY, 10 THE INFLUENCE BY THE SILENCER OR PILLOW ALONE, WON'T AFFECT 11 IT THAT MUCH. 12 USUALLY, IF ANYTHING AFFECTS IT, USUALLY IT WILL 13 BE SLOW. SO YOU DON'T USUALLY -- IT WILL STAY INSIDE THE HEAD BUT NOT DEFINITELY. I CANNOT SAY DEFINITELY IT WILL 14 15 STAY INSIDE THE HEAD. 16 Q WOULD ONE SHOT TO THE HEAD WITH A .22 CALIBER 17 BULLET KILL SOMEBODY? 18 YES, DEPENDS ON WHERE IT WAS SHOT. 19 AND CAN ONE SHOT TO THE HEAD WITH A .25 CALIBER 20 BULLET KILL SOMEBODY? 21 Α YES. 22 I ASSUME THAT IT IS THE SAME FOR ALL OF THE BULLETS 23 WE HAVE IN THIS PACKAGE THAT IS 116 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 24 Α YES. 25 YOU SAID THAT IF THERE WAS SOME KIND OF A PILLOW

OR CUSHION BETWEEN THE GUN AND THE WOUND, THAT THAT WOULD

27 ACT LIKE A SPONGE TO HELP COLLECT BLOOD? 28

A YES.

```
Q WHAT EFFECT WOULD THE THICKNESS OF SOMEBODY'S
 1
     HAIR HAVE ON HOW MUCH BLEEDING YOU COULD --
 2
 3
                WELL, HAIR ALSO ABSORBS AND SOAKS IN, DEPENDING
 4
     ON HOW MUCH HAIR THERE IS. OF COURSE, LIKE MY SITUATION,
 5
     IT IS NOT THAT GREAT --
 6
                 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
 7
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: OKAY. AND IF A -- WELL, THANK
 8
     YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
9
10
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
11
    BY MR. BARENS:
12
           Q DR. CHOI, YOU HAVE NEVER BEEN TO 144 SOUTH PECK
13
     IN BEVERLY HILLS, HAVE YOU?
14
           А
                NO.
15
                YOU HAVE NEVER EXAMINED RON LEVIN, DID YOU?
           0
16
           Α
                NO.
17
                YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE ALLEGED MURDER
18
    OF RON LEVIN AT ALL, DO YOU? OR DO YOU?
19
               NO. ACTUALLY --
20
             OKAY. SO EVERYTHING THAT YOU ARE TALKING TO
21
    US ABOUT TODAY, IS BASED ON ESTIMATIONS AND ANSWERS YOU ARE
22
    GIVING US BASED ON WHAT USUALLY HAPPENS AND WHAT MAY HAPPEN.
23
                I HAVE SEEN YOU USE THOSE WORDS A LOT IN YOUR
24
    TESTIMONY. IS THAT CORRECT?
25
          А
                THAT'S RIGHT.
26
             OKAY. IN TALKING ABOUT BLEEDING FACTORS WHICH
27
    IS WHERE WE STARTED OUT HERE, IT LOOKS LIKE -- WOULD THE
28
     PROXIMITY OF THE FIRING OUTWEIGH THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE
```

AMOUNT OF BLEEDING THAT WE MIGHT EXPECT -- WOULD THAT OUTWEIGH
THE SIZE OF THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET?

A WELL, AS I DISCUSSED ALREADY, THERE ARE VARIOUS
FACTORS INVOLVED. AND THIS COMBINATION OF FACTORS MAKES

IF IT IS A SMALLER CALIBER, YET A CONTACT WOUND,

IT WOULD MAKE A LARGER WOUND. AND A LARGER CALIBER BUT A

FARTHER DISTANCE, WOULD MAKE LESS DAMAGE. SO IT IS VARIOUS

COMBINATIONS THAT WE HAVE.

Q SO, IS A SMALLER BULLET FIRED AT CLOSE PROXIMITY
HITTING YOU IN THE BACK OF THE LOW PART OF YOUR HEAD -- IT
WOULD CAUSE A BIG WOUND, A LOT OF DESTRUCTION?

A YES.

A DIFFERENCE.

Q A LOT OF BLEEDING?

A YES. IT IS POSSIBLE.

Q IT IS POSSIBLE? WHAT PERCENTAGE, DO YOU THINK?

A RIGHT, YES.

z - 11 Q WHAT PERCENTAGE DO YOU THINK? YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE GOT A POINTBLANK BULLET TO 2 THE BACK OF THE HEAD, .22, LET'S TAKE THE SMALLEST REAL 3 LOOKING HYPOTHETICAL BULLET YOU HAVE THERE, AND YOU HAD THAT 4 SHOT OCCUR, WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IN TERMS OF DESTRUCTION 5 6 AND BLEEDING? THAT IS, WE HAVE TO -- IT IS A HYPOTHETICAL, YOU 7 Α 8 SEE, AND I REALLY --9 Q HASN'T ALL OF THIS BEEN HYPOTHETICAL SO FAR? DEFINITELY, IT IS A HYPOTHETICAL. 10 Α Q OKAY. 11 12 А ANYWAY, SUPPOSE LOCATION WAS WHERE --OKAY, IT IS AN ADULT HEAD OF A SMALL CALIBER 13 14 BULLET AND THE LOCATION IS LOWER BACK OF HEAD AND MAYBE IN LOW BACK, BUT IF IT IS IN NAP AREA, IT COULD BE MUSCLE IS 15 16 THERE, SO EVEN IF IT MAY BE A CONTACT WOUND, IT MAY CLOSE 17 UP LITTLE BIT. 18 ON THE OTHER HAND, A LITTLE BIT ABOVE, IF IT IS 19 A LITTLE BIT ABOVE THE NAPE, NO MUSCLE AREA, THEN IT MAY MAKE 20 A LARGER HOLE AND MAKE MORE BLEEDING. 21 Q WELL, A MATTER OF AN INCH COULD MAKE A BIG 22 DIFFERENCE IN THIS HYPOTHETICAL, COULDN'T IT? 23 Α YES.

24

25

26

27

28

Q TOTAL DIFFERENCE IN WHAT WE COULD EXPECT TO FIND

IN TERMS OF BLOOD EVERYWHERE, THE MATTER OF AN INCH COULD

MAKE A DIFFERENCE?

A YES.

Q INCH LOWER, MAYBE A LITTLE AMOUNT OF BLOOD OR

NOT SO MUCH BLOOD, AN INCH HIGHER, BLOOD EVERYWHERE? 1 YES, AND THE INCH DISTANCE ALONE, CONTACT TO 2 ONE INCH DISTANCE MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE, TOO. 3 Q BIG DIFFERENCE. 4 5 NOW ASSUMING A HYPOTHETICAL THAT YOU HAVE --NOW I WILL PUT THIS ONE: LET'S USE A SITUATION 6 WHERE IT IS UP AN INCH OUT OF THE MUSCLE AREA, OUT OF THE 7 NAPE OF THE NECK AND WE HAVE A POINTBLANK BULLET SHOT, BIG 8 BLEEDING, RIGHT? 9 YES -- THIS IS BIG BLEEDING BUT WHERE --10 WELL, IS THERE A PLACE WHERE YOU GET BIG BLEEDING 11 IF THIS HAPPENS, IS IT POSSIBLE? 12 OKAY, LET'S SAY ABOVE THE MUSCLE OF THE NAPE OF 13 14 THE NAPE AREA OF THE HEAD, WE WILL HAVE --15 Q LET ME INTERRUPT YOU, DOCTOR. 16 Α YES. 17 DURING YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY, YOU TOLD US ALL 18 THE KIND OF STUFF WHERE YOU DON'T GET BIG BLEEDING. YOU TOLD 19 ME ALL OF THOSE HYPOTHETICALS OF NO BIG BLEEDING, NO BIG 20 BLEEDING FROM. 21 TELL ME ONE WHERE YOU GET BIG BLEEDING, GIVE ME 22 THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT. 23 CAN YOU EVER HAVE BIG BLEEDING OR ARE YOU GOING 24 TO TELL ME YOU CAN NEVER HAVE BIG BLEEDING FROM THAT? 25 Α YES. 26 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. IT IS ARGUMENTATIVE. 27 BY MR. BARENS: GIVE ME THE BIG BLEEDING SCENARIO, 28

DOCTOR.

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT HAS TO DO WITH THIS.

WHERE ARE WE GOING? WHERE ARE THESE QUESTIONS GOING?

MR. BARENS: I WANT MY SIDE OF THIS.

THE COURT: DOCTOR, YOU TOLD US IT DEPENDS UPON WHERE
YOU ARE SHOT AND WHAT THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET IS, IT DEPENDS
UPON THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET WHETHER THERE WILL BE A LOT
OF BLEEDING OR A LITTLE?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT ELSE?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BY MR. BARENS: TELL ME HOW A SHOT WOULD HAVE Q TO OCCUR WHERE YOU GET MAXIMUM BLEEDING, BLOOD AND TISSUE EVERYWHERE, BIG PROTOPLASM FLASH. (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.) MR. BARENS: A LITTLE SOMETHING NEW. THE WITNESS: SUPPOSE .357 MAGNUM WAS JUST CONTACT IN THE BACK? Q BY MR. BARENS: YES. AND THEN EITHER -- THEN PROBABLY THEN YOU HAVE A BIG BLEED. Q BIG BLEED? TAKE ME DOWN TO A .22 SHOT, IN THE WORST CASE, IN THE BACK OF THE HEAD, AT POINTBLANK, ARE WE GOING TO HAVE BLOOD? ARE WE GOING TO HAVE BLOOD, YES. MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. WHERE IS THE WORST CASE PLACE? THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. BY MR. BARENS: YOU TELL ME, DOCTOR, WHERE IS THE WORST CASE PLACE IN THE BACK OF THE HEAD YOU CAN BE SHOT FOR BLOOD. IF WORSE CASE MEANT SOMEBODY WOULD BE DEAD, NOTHING WORSE THAN DEAD, SO WORST CASE IS --

(LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)

BY MR. BARENS: I NEED WORST CASE FOR MY HYPOTHETICAL IN TERMS OF BLOOD EMISSION. WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR IS BLOOD EMISSION, BECAUSE YOU COULD DIE WITH LESS BLOOD OR DIE WITH MORE BLOOD, ACCORDING TO WHAT YOU ARE TELLING ME.

I WANT TO GET INTO THE DIE WITH MOST BLOOD CASE.

A YES.

Q WHERE DO YOU HAVE TO BE SHOT TO DIE WITH THE MOST BLOOD CASE?

A OKAY. ACTUALLY, IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BACK,
THEN IT WILL BE THIS, BACK OF HEAD WHERE PROTRUDING AREA
(WITNESS INDICATING).

Q YES, THE ANTERIOR POINT OF THE SKULL?

A POSTERIOR.

Q POSTERIOR?

A POSTERIOR.

Q POSTERIOR POINT OF THE SKULL AREA?

A YES.

Q NOW, IN THAT SITUATION EVEN WITH A .22, WE GET A LOT OF BLOOD?

A IF THERE IS A CONTACT WOUND, IT COULD CAUSE LOTS BLOOD, BUT IF IT IS ONE-INCH AWAY, YOU DON'T LOSE VERY MUCH BLOOD.

Q DO YOU MEAN TO TELL ME THAT WITH A .22 I AM NEVER GOING TO HAVE VERY MUCH BLOOD?

A YOU SEE, YOU DON'T BECAUSE BLEEDING INSIDE THE HEAD. BUT OUTSIDE THE HEAD, THE HOLE WILL STILL BE SMALL, LIKE ABOUT ONE-SIXTEENTH OF AN INCH, SOME LARGE SYRINGE NEEDLE OR HOLE, SO THAT KIND OF HOLE CAN SEAL IT UP, SO SOMETIMES IN MY EXPERIENCE, SOMETIMES I HAVE SEEN BLOOD CLOT THAT HAS COVERED IT UP, THAT AREA, AND IT IS DIFFICULT TO FIND THE WOUND ITSELF BECAUSE IT IS AWAY FROM THE CONTACT — AWAY FROM THE HEAD AND IT WAS NOT CONTACT WOUND.

BUT IF WE JUST, AS YOU SAY, CONTACT REALLY TOUCHING, THEN GUESS WILL MAKE A LARGER HOLE. OKAY, IF WE MOVE UP TO A .38 CALIBER BULLET, I PRESUME WE HAVE A LARGER HOLE? YES. Α AND WE HAVE A LARGER EXPLOSION FROM THE CONTACT OF THE BULLET? Α YES. AND IN THAT INSTANCE, DO WE HAVE; ASSUMING YOU HAVE A SHOT FROM A COUPLE OF INCHES AWAY FROM A .38, WOULD YOU HAVE AN EXPLOSION OF PROTOPLASM AND BLOOD? YES. Α

1	Q AND WOULD NOT THE RESULT OF THAT PROTOPLASM FLASH
2	LEAVE A GAS RESIDUE IN THE AREA AROUND THE ROOM OR THE PLACE
3	WHERE THIS TOOK PLACE IN THE ENVIRONMENT?
4	A YES.
5	Q WOULD IT NOT LEAVE BARIUM AND ANTIMONY IN THE
6	ROOM?
7	A IT MAY IN THE ROOM. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT A
8	ROOM WHERE THE
9	Q IN THE ENVIRONMENT WHERE THIS HORROR STORY OCCURS.
10	A WHERE IT IS A CLOSE RANGE; WHERE THE MUZZLE WAS,
11	THEN BASICALLY, ANTIMONY AND BARIUM ARE PRIMER ELEMENTS.
12	Q SO, THESE ARE GUNSHOT RESIDUE AND THESE WILL
13	BE AROUND A DISTANCE OF UP TO TWO FEET?
14	YES?
15	A WHEREVER AROUND A TWO-FEET AREA, EITHER YOU FEEL
16	OR YOU CAN GATHER, FIND FROM THE SURFACE.
17	Q AND SO YOU ARE TELLING ME, THAT FOR A TWO-FOOT
18	AREA RADIUS, I COULD FIND THOSE WHAT ARE WE DESCRIBING?
19	ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THIS BARIUM AND ANTIMONY ARE TRACE
20	ELEMENTS IN GUN POWDER, AREN'T THEY?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT YOU WOULD FIND THOSE
23	TRACE ELEMENTS POSSIBLY IN A TWO-FOOT RADIUS OF A WOUND SITE?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND DON'T YOU HAVE TESTS YOU CAN DO TO DEMONSTRATE
26	THE PRESENCE OF THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS?
27	A YES. THAT IS ONE OF THE GUNSHOT RESIDUE TESTS.
28	O YOU HAVE ALL THOSE FORENSIC TESTS YOU CAN DO

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

AT THE SCENE TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OR LACK OF PRESENCE OF THESE ELEMENTS, SIR? YES. IF THEY ARE STUCK ON A SURFACE. 0 WHAT SORT OF TESTS CAN YOU DO?

THAT IS ONE OF THE WAYS TO TEST WHO FIRED THE GUN BECAUSE THE PERSON WHO HELD THE GUN AND THE TRIGGER, THEN THAT POWDER RESIDUE WILL BE ALL AROUND TO THE TOUCH, USUALLY MAYBE ONE FOOT.

BUT SOMETIMES YOU MAY BE ABLE TO PICK UP IN A TWO-FEET DISTANCE AND YOU MAY SEE SOME IN THE HAND.

THEN, THOSE WERE PICKED UP BY SOME STICKY SUBSTANCE LIKE SCOTCH TAPE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. YOU CAN PICK IT UP AND THEN WE CAN LOOK AT IT UNDER A ELECTRON MICROSCOPE.

Q YOU HAVE SOME SORT OF NEUTRON TEST YOU CAN DO?

ELECTRON MICROSCOPE, YES.

OKAY. WE TALKED A BIT ABOUT WHETHER THE HEART CONTINUES TO PUMP AFTER RECEIVING ONE OF THESE TYPES OF WOUNDS.

ASSUMING A WOUND TO THE MIDDLE OR UPPER PART OF THE SKULL, ASSUMING A TRAJECTORY FROM THE POINT OF THE SKULL, THE BACK OF THE SKULL, GOING TOWARD THE TOP FRONT OF THE SKULL, SIR, IS IT NOT POSSIBLE THAT THE HEART WOULD CONTINUE TO BEAT UPON RECEIPT OF THAT TYPE OF A WOUND FOR A CERTAIN PERIOD OF SOME MOMENTS?

WELL ACTUALLY, THIS IS A HYPOTHETICAL THING. IF IT WERE SLIGHTLY LOWER, THEN IT WILL GO THROUGH THE BRAIN STEM AND IT CAN BE VERY IMMEDIATELY FATAL.

ON THE OTHER HAND, IF IT GOES UP HERE, IT IS

25

26

27

28

```
JUST AN INCH HIGHER, THEN IT COULD BE FATAL BUT NOT INSTANTLY
 1
 2
     FATAL.
 3
           Q IF IT IS NOT INSTANTLY FATAL, WHAT IS GOING TO
     HAPPEN IN TERMS OF THE HEART PUMPING BLOOD OUT OF THE WOUND,
 4
 5
     DOCTOR?
 6
                THEN THERE WILL BE LOTS OF BLEEDING COME OUT.
           А
 7
           Q LOTS OF BLEEDING?
 8
          A DEPENDS UPON THE SIZE OF THE BULLET. IF THE
     WOUND IS BIG, THEN LOTS OF BLEEDING. IF IT IS SMALL, IT
9
10
     MAY NOT COME OUT BUT MAYBE INSIDE YOU WILL HAVE LOTS OF BLEED-
11
     ING.
12
          Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TYPE OF SKULL WOUNDS
13
    THAT PRESIDENT KENNEDY RECEIVED WHEN HE WAS ASSASSINATED?
14
          A I HAVE READ THE AUTOPSY REPORT ON PRESIDENT
15
     KENNEDY'S WOUND.
16
          Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT KIND OF A BULLET HE WAS
17
     SHOT WITH?
18
         MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.
19
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
20
          MR. BARENS: IT IS PART OF THE HYPOTHETICAL.
21
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED. WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO INTO
22
    EVERY KIND OF ASSASSINTATION THERE IS. WE WOULD BE HERE
23
    FOR WEEKS AND WEEKS.
24
          MR. BARENS: NOT EVERY KIND, JUDGE.
25
          THE COURT: WELL, THIS IS CLEARLY IMPROPER AND
26
    IRRELEVANT.
27
          Q BY MR. BARENS: YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH A .22 CALIBER
```

BULLET, AN ENTRY WOUND THAT CAN PRODUCE MASSIVE HEAD DAMAGE

AND BLEEDING WHILE THE VICTIM CONTINUES TO SURVIVE FOR SOME MOMENTS?

A WELL, THAT IS A LITTLE BIT -- IT IS POSSIBLE.

BUT IT IS NOT LIKELY BECAUSE THERE IS A CONTRADICTORY

SITUATION.

MEANS PROBABLY A HOLE IS SMALL OR IS NOT THAT FATAL.

Q I AM NOT INTO LIVE LONG, DOCTOR. I AM INTO ONLY LIVE LONG ENOUGH WHERE THE HEART CONTINUES TO BEAT FOR A FEW MOMENTS TO PRODUCE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF BLOOD. YOU DON'T HAVE TO LIVE VERY LONG FOR THAT TO OCCUR, DO YOU?

A YES, DEPENDS UPON THE SIZE.

1 IF IT WAS SMALL AND SEALED OFF, EVEN IF THE PERSON 2 MAY DIE VERY OUICK OR IF A BIG HOLE BUT THE PERSON MAY NOT DIE RIGHT AWAY. MAYBE HAS A CHANCE TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL 3 4 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 5 SURE. NOW, TO KNOW ANY OF THOSE THINGS OR GIVE 6 OPINIONS ABOUT ANY OF THOSE THINGS, WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE 7 THEM -- SOME PRETTY DEFINITE KNOWLEDGE ABOUT A WHOLE BUNCH 8 OF THINGS TO KNOW WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IN ANY PARTICULAR INSTANCE, 9 WOUDLN'T WE? 10 A THAT'S TRUE. 11 WE WOULD HAVE TO KNOW THE SIZE OF THE CALIBER 12 OF THE BULLET. WE WOULD HAVE TO KNOW THE PROXIMITY OF THE 13 SHOOTING. WE WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHETHER THERE IS A SILENCER 14 OR NOT A SILENCER. 15 WE WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHETHER THERE IS A PILLOW 16 AND IF THERE IS A PILLOW, I GUESS WE WOULD HAVE TO KNOW SOME-17 THING ABOUT THE PILLOW, WOULDN'T WE? 18 А YES. 19 WHETHER PERHAPS IT WAS A DOWN PILLOW OR A PILLOW 20 CONSTRUCTED FROM FOAM, I GUESS? 21 А YES. 22 Q SOME PEOPLE EVEN SLEEP ON A WOOD PILLOW? 23 Α YES. 24 Q THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF INFORMATION WE NEED TO 25 KNOW BEFORE WE CAN MAKE ANY CONCLUSIONS, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? 26 THAT'S CORRECT. IT IS DIFFICULT TO SAY EXACTLY. 27 IT IS JUST WHAT I AM SAYING SO FAR, IS WITH MY EXPERIENCE 28

ON THE BASIS OF EXPERIENCE, THE MOST LIKELY COULD HAPPEN.

BLOOD, I DON'T KNOW HOW TO QUANTIFY WHAT YOU ARE SAYING,

27

28

DOCTOR.

IS A LOT OF BLOOD A QUART OR A CUP? HOW MUCH

IS A LITTLE BLOOD; WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY YOUR REFERENCES IN

THOSE TERMS IN THIS TESTIMONY?

A YES. THAT, ALSO, OF COURSE, IS A RELATIVE TERM, LARGE AND SMALL AMOUNT.

BUT WHEN WE TALKING ABOUT LARGE IS BASICALLY WHEN WE TALKING ABOUT IS SUBSTANTIALLY, WE CAN IMAGINE IMMEDIATELY VISIBLE AMOUNT OF BLOOD AND A SMALL AMOUNT MEANT PROBABLY YOU HAVE NOT MASSIVE HEMORRHAGE. PROBABLY BLOOD IS THERE BUT IT COULD DRY UP.

Q AND THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT IS, HOW MUCH BLOOD COULD YOU LOSE ON ONE OF THOSE MAJOR HEMORRHAGE SITUATIONS, DOCTOR?

A WELL, BLOOD LOSS ALSO IMPORTANT BUT IN THE HEAD SHOT SITUATION, LOSS OF BLOOD IS NOT THAT CRITICAL FOR THE PERSON'S DEATH.

DEATH IS MOSTLY DUE TO THE FUNCTIONS STOPPED BECAUSE
A VITAL MASTER PART OF THE BODY IS SHUT OFF.

Q NOT, THAT IS NOT MY QUESTION ACTUALLY, DOCTOR.

I AM ASKING YOU ABOUT HOW MUCH BLOOD DO YOU LOSE -I AM NOT ASKING ABOUT WHAT KILLS YOU.

I AM ASKING ABOUT HOW MUCH BLOOD YOU LOSE IN A MAJOR HEMORRHAGE SITUATION INVOLVING AN IMPACT TO THE HEAD IN CLOSE PROXIMITY WITH, SAY, A .38, HOW MUCH BLOOD DO YOU LOSE WHEN IT HITS THAT WORSE CASE SITUATION, IT IS AN INCH UP AND IT IS IN THAT MAXIMUM BLOOD LOSS.

A SO A .38 CALIBER BULLET OF A CLOSE PROXIMITY,

YOU MEANT THAT CONTACT WOUND POSSIBLY YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT?

YES, SIR. Q Α THEN PROBABLY LARGEST HOLE IT CAN PRODUCE --YES, SIR. Q -- IN SUCH A CASE, PROBABLY LARGE AMOUNT OF HEMORRHAGE. YES, SIR. Q THAT I REALLY, I CANNOT TELL YOU ENTIRE BLOOD WILL COMPLETELY COME OUT OR JUST BEFORE COME OUT, MAY BE ABOUT ONE METER OF BLOOD COME OUT AND FUNCTION WILL STOP, THEN HEART FUNCTION WILL STOP AND IT MAY COME OUT. BUT AT LEAST I WOULD SAY JUST A ROUGH ESTIMATE TO HAVE SOME FIGURE IN YOUR HEAD, MAYBE YOU WOULD HAVE AT LEAST ONE LITER WILL BE OUT THERE.

```
△ - 1
                    Q
                           AND A LITER WOULD BE A FEW CUPS?
         1
                           LITER IS QUITE A BIT.
                    Α
         2
                    Q
                           QUITE A BIT?
         3
                    Α
                           I THINK IT IS FOUR QUARTS.
         4
                    Q
                           I DON'T KNOW -- BUT A LOT OF BLOOD?
         5
                    Α
                           YES.
         6
         7
                          NOW, WE HAD A HYPOTHETICAL WHERE YOU ARE TOLD
              SOMEBODY LAYING FACE DOWN IS SHOT POINTBLANK IN THE BACK OF
         8
              THE HEAD AND WE TALK ABOUT A GRAVITY BLEED WHERE THE BLOOD
         9
              RUSHES OR DOESN'T RUSH ANYWHERE AT ALL, DOES IT, IN THAT
         10
              HYPOTHETICAL, IT STAYS RIGHT IN THE HEAD NEATLY AND NO BLOOD
         11
              IS LOST, RIGHT; DO YOU REMEMBER THAT ONE YOU TALKED ABOUT?
         12
                    Α
                          YES.
         13
         14
                          WELL, WHAT HAPPENS, GIVEN THE SAME SITUATION.
              IF I TELL YOU THAT I PUT YOU IN A SHEET, TURN YOU UPSIDE DOWN
         15
              AND CARRY YOU OUT, I PUT THE VICTIM IN A SHEET, TURN HIM OVER --
         16
         17
                    Α
                          YES.
                    Q
                          -- AND CARRY HIM OUT.
         18
         19
                    Α
                          YES.
         20
                    Q
                          WHAT DOES GRAVITY DO NOW?
         21
                    Α
                          WHICH WAY, FACE DOWN?
         22
                    Q
                          WHATEVER HELPS ME THE MOST, DOCTOR.
         23
                    Α
                          FACE DOWN OR HEAD BACK?
         24
                          HEAD BACK, IN OTHER WORDS, WOULD GRAVITY HAVE --
                    Q
         25
                    Α
                          HEAD BACK?
         26
                    Q
                          WELL, GIVE ME THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT HYPOTHETICAL,
         27
              IS WHAT I AM ASKING YOU.
```

HEAD DOWN AND MASSIVE WOUND AND MASSIVE HEMORRHAGE

28

Α

```
AND WRAP IT UP WITH A --
 1
           Q
                 SHEET.
 2
           А
                 -- SHEET.
 3
 4
                 WELL, GRAVITY WILL BE IN THAT AREA, SHEET WILL
     BE SOAKED WITH BLOOD.
 5
                AND COULD SOME OF IT DRIP ON THE FLOOR, PERHAPS,
 6
           Q
 7
     IF WE ARE HAVING A LOT OF DRAINAGE AT THAT POINT?
8
                 WELL, IT DEPENDS ON HOW THICK THE SHEET IS.
9
           Q
                 INDEED.
10
                 (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
11
                 BY MR. BARENS: SHEETS, I BELIEVE ARE LIKE PILLOWS
     IN THIS INSTANCE, RIGHT?
12
                 RIGHT, IF IT IS A PLASTIC SHEET OR A COTTON SHEET,
13
           Α
     YOU SEE.
14
15
                WE HAVE TO KNOW A LOT ABOUT THAT, TOO, WOULD BE
16
     NOT, DOCTOR?
17
           Α
                 RIGHT.
18
                 I CANNOT -- ALL I CAN GIVE YOU IS WHATEVER YOU
19
     GIVE ME, THE INFORMATION, THEN I CAN INTERPRET FOR YOU.
20
           0
                 THAT IS THE POINT. ALL OF THIS TESTIMONY YOU
21
     ARE GIVING REALLY RELATES TO THE HYPOTHETICAL INFORMATION
22
     YOU HAVE TO BASE YOUR STATEMENTS ON; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
23
                 YES, AS AN EXPERT, I GIVE THE ANSWER TO YOUR
24
     QUESTION.
25
           Q
                 RIGHT.
26
                 AND SO BY THE WAY I ASK YOU THE QUESTION, I CAN
27
     MAKE THE ANSWER COME OUT; IS THAT TRUE?
28
                 THAT'S RIGHT, YEAH, DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU ARE
```

```
1
    AIMING AT.
2
          Q AIMING, DOCTOR.
3
          A YES.
         MR. BARENS: OKAY, A MOMENT IF YOU WOULD.
4
                THANK YOU, DOCTOR.
5
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

F

			: !
			!
			l

	·		

	•	İ
		1

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2	BY	MR.	WAPNER
---	----	-----	--------

Q WHAT EFFECT IF ANY DOES THE PRESENCE OF THOSE CUSHIONING AGENTS SUCH AS A PILLOW, HAVE ON THE SPREAD OF THESE TRACE ELEMENTS YOU HAVE BEEN REFERRING TO AS BARIUM AND ANTIMONY?

A EITHER CUT DOWN GREATLY, PRACTICALLY NONE WILL GO OUTSIDE.

Q SO IF THE GUN IS FIRED THROUGH A PILLOW FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT HAPPENS TO THESE TRACE ELEMENTS?

A MOST OF THE TRACE EVIDENCE WILL BE SOAKED INTO THE PILLOW.

Q WHAT EFFECT IF ANY, WOULD THE PRESENCE OF A SILENCER HAVE ON WHETHER YOU WOULD EXPECT TO FIND TRACE ELEMENTS OF GUNSHOT RESIDUE?

A EITHER IT CUTS DOWN BUT THERE WILL BE.

Q SO IF THERE WAS A PILLOW AND A SILENCER, THAT WOULD --

A THEN GREATLY CUT DOWN.

Q AND THE DIFFERENCE -- DOES EVEN THE DISTANCE

OF ONE INCH MAKE BETWEEN A CONTACT WOUND AND A NON-CONTACT

WOUND IN TERMS OF THE SIZE OF THE WOUND THAT YOU CAN EXPECT --

A THIS IS A BIG DIFFERENCE. A REAL, TIGHT CONTACT WOUND, YOU EXPECT TO HAVE A LARGER HOLE.

BUT EVEN HALF AN INCH AWAY, IT WILL BE A SMALLER WOUND.

Q WHY IS THAT?

A BECAUSE THERE WILL BE A SPACE FOR THE GAS TO

LEAD OUT, SO THE GAS DOESN'T HAVE TO TEAR UP THE TISSUE.

Q SO, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS, WHEN THERE IS A CONTACT WOUND, THERE ARE OTHER THINGS BESIDES JUST THE BULLET THAT ARE CAUSING THE WOUND, CORRECT?

A YES.

Q THERE ARE GASES AND THINGS?

A YES.

Q BUT AS YOU MOVE FARTHER AWAY AND THERE IS MORE SPACE FOR THE GASES TO GO OUT, THE LESS DAMAGE THAT IT WILL DO IN PRODUCING THE SIZE OF THE WOUND, CORRECT?

A YES. THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BARENS:

Q OKAY. DOCTOR, I AM GOING TO TRY TO HELP ON THIS HYPOTHETICAL A LITTLE BIT. I WILL SHOW YOU PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 9, A BEDROOM SCENE.

I WILL SHOW YOU THAT SO WE CAN ALL KNOW WHAT

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE, A BEDROOM SCENE. NOW, LET'S ASSUME

FOR PURPOSES OF MY HYPOTHETICAL, THAT YOU HAVE A SIX-FOOT

PERSON LYING ON THE BED WITH HIS HEAD UP TOWARD THE PILLOWS

AND YOU HAVE A CONTACT WOUND. OKAY?

A YES.

Q AND YOU HAVE A CONTACT WOUND FROM ANY CALIBER

BULLET WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. NOW, ISN'T IT TRUE NUMBER ONE,

THAT IN TERMS OF ANTIMONY AND BARIUM AND THESE TRACE ELEMENTS,

THAT TENS OF MILLIONS OF THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS ARE EMITTED

В

FROM THAT SHOT?

A WELL, IN TIGHT CONTACT WOUNDS SUCH AS MUZZLE-TO-SKIN, IT IS TIGHTLY CONTACTED, THEN PRACTICALLY ALL OF THE GAS WILL GO IN AND BUST UP THE WOUND AREA. THAT IS THE REASON THE WOUND IS BIGGER.

BUT IF IT IS A LITTLE BIT AWAY, THEN YOU WILL HAVE SOME GAS GOING OUT AND YOU WILL HAVE ANTIMONY AND BARIUM OUTSIDE IN THE AIR.

BUT, NOT IN THE TIGHT SITUATION. IF IT WAS A TIGHT SITUATION, YOU WOULD GO ALL INSIDE AND IT IS DIFFICULT TO GET ANY POWDER RESIDUE OUTSIDE THE AREA.

BULLET -- THE SHOOTING HAPPENS IN FRONT OF THAT AND THERE

```
IS A RELEASE OF THIS BARIUM AND ANTIMONY IN THERE, IT WOULDN'T
 1
 2
     PREDICTABLY -- YOU WOULDN'T FIND THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS ON
 3
     THOSE PILLOWS, ASSUMING THE HYPOTHETICAL, THE GUN IS BACK
 4
     A SPACE WHEN THE SHOT OCCURS? AND I BELIEVE YOU HAVE EXPLAINED
 5
     THAT THERE WOULD BE THEN AN ESCAPE OF THE TRACE ELEMENTS,
 6
     WOULD THERE NOT?
7
               AND THERE IS NO PILLOW OR SILENCER IN BETWEEN?
8
           Q
                THAT'S CORRECT.
9
                WELL, THEN YOU WOULD EXPECT. IT DEPENDS UPON
10
     ONE, HOW FAR IT WAS, IF IT IS BEYOND TWO FEET, THE AREA IT
11
     HAPPENS. IF THE PERSON WAS SITTING OR STANDING, IT WOULD
12
     BE BEYOND TWO FEET.
13
                 BUT IF A PERSON -- THIS IS THE THING YOU DIDN'T
14
     GIVE ME ALL. THE PERSON IS LYING DOWN?
15
                 YES.
           Q
16
           A LYING DOWN?
17
                LYING DOWN, HEAD FIRST, WITH THE HEAD UP.
           Q
18
                HEAD TOUCHING THE PILLOW, THEN THERE SHOULD BE
19
     SOME POWDER.
20
           Q
                YEAH?
21
           Α
                 POWDER RESIDUE.
22
                 NOW, IN THAT INSTANCE, DON'T WE HAVE THE ESCAPE
23
     OF MILLIONS OF THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS?
24
           Α
                 WELL, DEPENDS ON IF --
25
           Q
                IN THE HYPOTHETICAL?
26
           A
                 IF IT IS VERY CLOSE AND IT WAS NOT CONTACT WOUND,
27
     RIGHT.
28
                 NO. THERE IS A SPACE THERE.
           Q
```

Q ALL RIGHT, IF THERE WAS A SITUATION WHERE THERE WAS A PILLOW BETWEEN THE FIREARM AND THE HEAD WAS IN PROXIMITY TO THE PILLOW; IN OTHER WORDS, WE ARE GOING TO TRY TO DO IT ANOTHER WAY.

WE HAVE GOT THE SAME SCENE WITH THE HEAD UP HERE

OF THE ALLEGED VICTIM AND THERE IS A PILLOW BETWEEN THE GUN

AND -- WELL, BETWEEN THE VICTIM AND THE GUN AND A SHOT IS

FIRED, ALL RIGHT?

A YES.

Q CAN YOU TELL ME UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT

AS A MATTER OF FACT THERE WOULD ABSOLUTELY BE NO TRACE

ELEMENTS RECOVERABLE IN ANY OF THE PILLOWS OVER THERE?

A IF A PILLOW IS IN BETWEEN THE HEAD AND GUN AND TIGHTLY SHOT, THEN ALL OF THE RESIDUE WILL GO INTO THE PILLOW.

Q UH-HUH AND --

A SO YOU DON'T BLEED OUTSIDE.

Q AND YOU ARE TELLING ME THERE IS NO WAY POSSIBLE THEN THAT ANYTHING COULD ESCAPE HERE THAT WE COULD EVEN --

A IN A TIGHT SITUATION, IT WOULD BE PRACTICALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO HAVE THOSE POWDER -- POWDER TO BE OUTSIDE.

Q FOR THAT TO HAPPEN, YOU HAVE TO HAVE A PRETTY TIGHT SITUATION, WOULDN'T YOU?

A YES.

Q BECAUSE THE PROBABILITIES ARE THAT IN TERMS OF WHAT IS OBSERVABLE UNDER A NEURON MICROSCOPE, YOU DON'T NEED MUCH OF AN APERTURE IN THE EVENT TO OCCUR FOR THERE TO BE AN ESCAPE OF THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS, DO YOU?

Α THAT'S RIGHT. 1 Q I MEAN THE LEAST BIT OF APERTURE AT ALL IS GOING 2 TO ALLOW AN ESCAPE OF A FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TRACE 3 ELEMENTS; IS THAT NOT CORRECT? 4 5 WELL, ANYTHING THAT WAS PICKED UP AND WE LOOK AT, WE CAN SEE IT. BUT IF ANYTHING -- BUT EVERYTHING HAS 6 7 GONE IN, THEN NOBODY COULD SAMPLE IT, THEN WE CANNOT SEE IT. Q MY QUESTION WAS NOT EITHER OF THOSE. 8 MY QUESTION GOES TO THE LEAST AMOUNT OF APERTURE 9 10 WHATSOEVER STILL WOULD PERMIT A SIGNIFICANT ESCAPE OF TRACE ELEMENTS, WOULD IT NOT? 11 12 APERTURE BETWEEN THE GUN AND THE PILLOW YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? 13 14 YES, SIR. 15 WELL, IF THERE WAS SOME SPACE AND THE GAS LEAKED, 16 SURE, THERE WILL BE SOME ESCAPE OF GAS. 17 IN TERMS OF --18 THE COURT: PARDON ME. YOU MEAN IT WOULD ESCAPE IN 19 THE ATMOSPHERE, IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN? 20 THE WITNESS: YES. 21 THE COURT: NOT INTO THE OTHER PILLOWS LYING ON THE 22 BED; IS THAT RIGHT? 23 THE WITNESS: THAT'S RIGHT, THE GAS WILL GO OUTSIDE. 24 Q BY MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME, DOCTOR --25 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 26 BY MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME. THESE PILLOWS APPEAR Q 27 TO BE IN THE ATMOSPHERE, OR IN THE ENVIRONMENT OF MY 28 HYPOTHETICAL, DO THEY NOT, DOCTOR, OF THE HYPOTHETICAL I

GAVE YOU?

A PLEASE SAY THAT AGAIN.

I HEAR THE WORD ATMOSPHERE, MY EYES GO UP AND I THINK ABOUT HEAVEN. WHEN I SAY HERE IN THE ATMOSPHERE, ARE NOT THE PILLOWS IN THIS PICTURE, IF A PERSON'S HEAD WAS HERE, AREN'T THESE PILLOWS PART OF THE ATMOSPHERE OF THAT AREA THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO; WHEN YOU SAY ATMOSPHERE, ISN'T THE WORD ENVIRONMENT ' INTERCHANGEABLE?

A YEAH, BUT THE DISTANCES, YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER, YES.

. -

Q AND IF THE HEAD IS RIGHT NEXT TO THOSE PILLOWS,

SIR, IS THAT NOT THE ATMOSPHERE WITHIN WHICH THE SCENE IS

OPERATIVE?

A YOU SEE, THIS IS THE PROBLEM, HOW TIGHT IT IS.

IF IT IS VERY TIGHT, THERE WON'T BE ANY -- BUT
A LITTLE BIT AWAY, SOME WILL LEAK OUT TO THE AIR, BUT QUITE
FAR AWAY, THEN YOU WILL HAVE LARGE AMOUNT, AND EVEN UP TO
TWO FEET.

Q OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE TRAJECTORY OF PARTICLES, THEN, DOCTOR.

AS I UNDERSTAND PHYSICS, THE RESULT OF A PARTICLE'S DISCHARGE, IF I AM SHOOTING IN THE DIRECTION OF THESE PILLOWS, ASSUMING THE VICTIM'S OR TARGET'S HEAD IS HERE AND I HAVE A PARTICLE ESCAPE FROM THE GUNSHOT, WHICH DIRECTION DO THE PARTICLES GO IN TERMS OF MOLECULAR PHYSICS, WHICH WAY DO THE PARTICLES GO?

A OKAY, MAYBE -- I WILL TELL YOU BASICALLY THAT HAS TO DO WITH MOLECULAR WEIGHT.

Q YES.

A LIKE BULLETS ITSELF WILL GO STRAIGHT.

Q YES.

A THEN THERE WILL BE A METAL SHAVING FROM THE -BETWEEN BULLET AND THE GUN ITSELF GOING THROUGH THOSE LENS
AND THE GROOVES OF THE GUN, THEY WILL MAKE METAL SHAVING AND
THOSE WILL GO ALSO STRAIGHT.

SO NEXT TO HEAVY METAL WILL BE POWDER ITSELF,

POWDER PARTICLE, IF BALL-SHAPED POWDER -- BALL-SHAPED POWDER

WILL GO RELATIVELY STRAIGHT. MAYBE FLAKY POWDER WILL

```
RELATIVELY DEVIATE AND THEN FINE PARTICLES LIKE SMOKE --
 1
           Q
                 LIKE BARIUM AND ANTIMONY?
 2
 3
                 YES, SMOKE, BARIUM AND ANTIMONY WILL BE IN THE
     SMOKE.
 4
 5
                 SMOKE IS MOSTLY CARBON PARTICLES.
           Q
                 YES.
 6
           Α
                 THEY WILL NOT GO VERY MUCH FRONTWARD.
 7
                 THEY WOULD, MANY TIMES, SOME OF THEM, THE HEAVY
 8
     ONES WILL GO FRONTWARDS BUT MOST OF THEM GO SIDEWAYS AND SOME
9
     WILL GO -- SOME IN THE BACK.
10
                 SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE TRAVEL OF CARBON
11
     PARTICLES, DOCTOR, IN TERMS OF PHYSICS, IS IT NOT THE FACT
12
     THAT UNDER PRESSURE, CARBON PARTICLES TEND TO TRAVEL IN A
13
     SUNBURST FASHION, GIVEN THE HYPOTHETICAL OF A SHOOTING?
14
15
                 I THINK YOU LOST ME.
16
                 ASSUMING YOU HAVE A SHOOTING AND WE ARE GOING
     TO CALL THE TRAJECTORY OF THE SHOOTING A HORIZON, OKAY?
17
18
           Α
                 YES.
19
                 ASSUME WE HAVE A HORIZON AND THE HORIZON IS
     IMMEDIATELY IN FRONT OF THE DISCHARGE OF A FIREARM AND WE
20
21
     REALIZE THAT OUR HEAVIER PARTICLES IN THIS INSTANCE WILL
22
     PROBABLY TRAVEL STRAIGHT FORWARD.
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
                AND AS WE GET INTO OUR LIGHTER TRACE ELEMENTS,
25
     CARBON TRACE ELEMENTS, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT CONTAINED IN A
26
     GASEOUS SETTING THAT THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS WILL EXPRESS
27
     THEMSELVES MORE IN A SUNBURST FASHION AS OPPOSED TO TRAVELING
28
     IN A PREDICTABLE TRAJECTORY?
```

YES.

1 Q OKAY. AND IN THAT INSTANCE, THAT WOULD MAKE 2 A LARGER SPREAD OF THOSE PARTICLES? IS THAT NOT CORRECT? 3 А YES, RIGHT. 4 THAT LARGER SPREAD WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE IN TERMS 5 OF PHYSICS COVERING A LARGER AREA? 6 RIGHT. YOU HAVE TO HAVE QUITE A DISTANCE TO 7 HAVE THAT EFFECT. 8 MR. BARENS: QUITE SO. THANK YOU, SIR. 9 THE COURT: WILL YOU LEAVE THAT, PLEASE? 10 MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. 11 12 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. WAPNER: 14 THIS TWO-FOOT AREA, DOES THAT STILL HOLD, REGARDLESS 15 OF HOW FAR -- IS IT TWO FEET FROM THE BARREL OF THE GUN? 16 YES, MUZZLE AREA, TWO FEET IS MAXIMUM I AM TALKING 17 ABOUT. MAXIMUM. 18 IT COULD BE SPREAD A MAXIMUM OF AS MUCH AS --19 A MAXIMUM CALIBER, LARGER BULLETS. BUT SMALLER CALIBER, 20 WHEN THE MINIMUM SITUATION, IT CAN VARY GREATLY. PRACTICALLY 21 SOMETIMES NOTHING. 22 SO THE SMALLER THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET, THE 23 LESS POWDER AND GAS YOU CAN EXPECT? 24 Α THAT'S RIGHT. 25 0 AND THEREFORE, IT WOULD SPREAD OVER A SMALLER 26 AREA? 27 YES. Α 28 Q IN THE SITUATION WHERE YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT

A TWO-FOOT RADIUS OF THE MUZZLE OF THE GUN. YOU COULD EXPECT 1 2 TO FIND SOME --3 A YES, MAXIMUM SITUATION YOU CAN EXPECT. MR. WAPNER: NOTHING ELSE. 4 5 THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE, DOCTOR. LET ME HAVE THAT 6 FOR A MOMENT. 7 MR. BARENS: I WAS NOT THROUGH MYSELF, ACTUALLY. 8 THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU HAD FINISHED? 9 MR. BARENS: I WAS FOLLOWING MR. WAPNER IN THIS INSTANCE. 10 BUT I AM HAPPY TO FOLLOW YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED 12 BECAUSE I MIGHT HAVE A QUESTION OR TWO. 13 14 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. BARENS: 16 NOW SIR, IS IT NOT TRUE THAT IN INSTANCES WITH 17 CONTACT WOUNDS, YOU ARE ABLE TO FIND TRACE ELEMENTS IN THE 18 ENVIRONMENT ADJACENT TO THE WOUND SITE? 19 A IT HAPPENS OCCASIONALY. THAT IS CALLED SIDE 20 DISCHARGE. IT IS PARTICULARLY IF THE GUN IS A REVOLVER LIKE 21 THAT AND THEN BETWEEN THE CYLINDER, THE SMOKE WILL LEAK OUT. 22 ALL RIGHT. NOW, WHAT ABOUT ON THE DISCHARGE 23 OF THE CASING? WHAT HAPPENS TO THE CASING, HERE? 24 SO, WHAT HAPPENS TO THE CASING? 25 Q I DON'T KNOW. 26 THE COURT: WHAT HAS THE CASING GOT TO DO WITH IT? 27 THE WITNESS: WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? 28 MR. BARENS: I JUST THOUGHT I WOULD ADD MORE TO THE

PIE, YOUR HONOR. I WILL GO INTO ANOTHER QUESTION TO GET 1 2 US WHERE WE WANT TO GO. 3 ARE THERE INSTANCES WHERE A SILENCER WAS USED. WHERE YOU COULD STILL FIND TRACE ELEMENTS PRESENT IN THE 4 5 ENVIRONMENT ADJACENT TO THE SITE OF THE WOUND? YES. THIS IS THE SAME AS WE DISCUSSED BEFORE. 6 7 QUITE SO. NOW, IF YOU WERE TOLD THAT WE WEREN'T 8 SURE IN THE EXHIBIT I PROVIDED YOU WITH, WHETHER A GUNSHOT 9 OCCURRED IN THE POSITION THAT I GAVE YOU IN THAT PICTURE --10 IN OTHER WORDS, THE HEAD ON THE PILLOW. YOU MEAN? 11 SUPPOSEDLY LYING SIDEWAYS, YOU MEAN? 12 WELL, LET'S --Q 13 THE COURT: WELL, WHICH ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? 14 MR. BARENS: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO GET MY OUESTION 15 IN. 16 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 17 BY MR. BARENS: MY QUESTION IS, IF I WERE TO 18 TELL YOU IN A HYPOTHETICAL SENSE THAT A SHOOTING TOOK PLACE 19 IN THAT SETTING THAT I DESCRIBED TO YOU. DO YOU HAVE THINGS --20 BUT, LET'S SAY YOU FOUND NOTHING. I JUST WALK INTO THAT 21 ROOM. THERE IS NO BODY. EXCUSE THE EXPRESSION. 22 AND I SAY TO YOU, A SHOOTING TOOK PLACE HERE 23 AND I WILL TELL YOU THAT IT TOOK PLACE ON THIS BED. 24 DO YOU HAVE THINGS THAT YOU COULD DO TO TRY TO 25 DETERMINE WHETHER A SHOOTING HAD IN FACT, TOOK PLACE ON THAT

A YES.

BED, SIR?

26

28

Q WHAT DO YOU DO?

1	A WELL FIRST, LOOK FOR ANY HOLES AROUND THERE.
2	Q YES. YOU WOULD LOOK FOR HOLES ON THE BED. OKAY.
3	NOW, ASSUMING THAT WE DON'T FIND ANY HOLES, WHAT
4	CAN WE DO? WE ARE NOT AT A LOSS, ARE WE? WE CAN STILL DO
5	THINGS, CAN WE NOT?
6	A YES, SURE.
7	Q WHAT ELSE CAN WE DO?
8	A IF THE BULLET DIDN'T GO THROUGH THE HEAD AND
9	THE BULLET REMAINS INSIDE, A HOLE WON'T BE THERE.
10	Q NO.
11	A NO.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	:
28	

1 NOW, IF WE DON'T HAVE A HOLE, I AM TELLING YOU 2 THAT THERE IS NOTHING TO THE NAKED EYE THAT WE CAN OBSERVE 3 WHEN WE GET INTO THIS ENVIRONMENT. WE ARE IN THE ENVIRONMENT THAT IS DESCRIBED IN 5 THAT PICTURE AND I DON'T SEE ANYTHING. BUT I SAY TO YOU. 6 LISTEN, THERE IS NO BODY HERE, BUT I AM GOING TO TELL YOU 7 THAT A SHOOTING TOOK PLACE HERE. 8 IT IS ONE OF THOSE PROXIMITY SHOOTINGS AND I 9 AM GOING TO ASK YOU. WHAT CAN YOU DO TO HELP ME OUT TO FIND 10 OUT WHETHER THIS OCCURRED OR NOT. 11 WELL, TRY TO SEE ANY POWDERS AROUND THERE. 12 0 TRY TO SEE ANY POWDERS AROUND THERE? 13 Α YES. 14 Q NOW, TO DO THAT, I SUPPOSE YOU WOULD HAVE TO 15 TAKE SOME SAMPLES OF MATERIALS FOUND IN THAT ENVIRONMENT 16 AND TEST THEM? 17 YES, THE PILLOW OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. 18 WELL, WE GOT A LOT I GUESS, THAT WE COULD LOOK 19 AT, HERE. LET'S LOOK AT THE PICTURE TOGETHER AND SEE WHAT 20 WE CAN FIND. 21 NOW, I AM SAYING TO YOU THAT HE IS LYING ON THE 22 BED ON THE PILLOWS AND HIS HEAD ON THE SIDE OR THE FOOT 23 OR THE CORNER. WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO? 24 IS THERE A LOT OF STUFF WE CAN LOOK AT? 25 WELL, EVEN IF THERE IS NO POWDER OR ANYTHING

A WELL, EVEN IF THERE IS NO POWDER OR ANYTHING

LIKE THAT THERE, IT DOES NOT MEAN IT DIDN'T HAPPEN HERE.

BECAUSE IF THE PILLOW AND EVERYTHING WAS TAKEN OFF OR EVEN

THIS --

Q SIR, YOU ARE NOT --

THE COURT: WELL, LET HIM FINISH UP.

THE WITNESS: IF THE COVERS ARE PLACED ON HERE, SEE --

Q BY MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T ASK YOU TO PLEAD THEIR CASE. WHAT I ASKED YOU TO DO WAS TO ANSWER MY QUESTION.

MY QUESTION WAS --

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT HIM TO ANSWER THE QUESTION

THE WAY YOU WANT IT TO BE ANSWERED? LET HIM ANSWER IT THE

WAY HE WANTS TO ANSWER IT.

MR. BARENS: WELL THEN, I WILL ASK MY QUESTION AGAIN.
THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

Q BY MR. BARENS: NOW, I WILL ASK YOU -- THE DEFENSE

QUESTION IS, IRRESPECTIVE OF ANY DIRECTION IN WHICH THE

ALLEGED VICTIM WAS LYING ON THAT SURFACE SIR AND I ASKED

YOU IF THERE WAS SOMETHING YOU COULD DO TO HELP ME FIND OUT

WHETHER A SHOOTING TOOK PLACE, ARE THERE CERTAIN MICROSCOPIC

TESTS THAT WE COULD CONDUCT TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT A SHOOTING

TOOK PLACE? I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO TELL ME YOUR VIEW OF

WHETHER ONE TOOK PLACE OR NOT. I AM ASKING IF YOU ARE FAMILIAR

WITH TESTS THAT COULD BE DONE TO HELP RESOLVE THE ISSUE.

A YES. WELL, AS I SAID, FIRST VISUALLY, THE POSSIBILITY OF A SHOOTING TAKING PLACE, BY LOOKING AT ANY SOOT.

BUT OF COURSE --

THE COURT REPORTER: ANY WHAT?

THE WITNESS: SOOT. BUT OF COURSE, IT DOESN'T RULE
OUT THE POSSIBILITY. BUT ANOTHER THING IS, YOU CAN TRY AN
ENTIRE AREA OF CEILINGS AND THE BOTTOM AND THE FLOORS AND

```
1
     WHEREVER. YOU HAVE TO LOOK FOR THIS WITH STICKUM AND CHECK
 2
     EVERYTHING AND LOOK AT IT.
 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

```
OKAY, THAT IS WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT.
           Q
1
           А
                 YES, YES.
2
                 IN ORDER FOR US TO GET REAL SURE, I GUESS YOU
3
     WOULD HAVE TO DO ALL OF THOSE TESTS, WOULDN'T WE?
4
                 OF COURSE, IT IS TEDIOUS THING BUT IF FOR -- JUST
5
6
     LIKE IN LOOKING FOR NEEDLE --
           Q
                 IN THE HAYSTACK?
7
8
           Α
              -- IN HAYSTACK.
           Q
                 YOU BET.
9
10
                 YOU CAN THOROUGHLY, REALLY, IF YOU WANT TO DO
     IT, YOU CAN CHECK ALL OF THIS AND STUDY ANYTHING, ANY TRACE,
11
     EVEN IF IT SPREAD AND MOST OF IT GONE, BUT IF THERE IS
12
     ANYTHING THERE.
13
14
           Q
                 YOU BET.
15
                 BUT I GUESS IF WE REALLY WANTED TO KNOW, WE WOULD
     HAVE TO DO THOSE TESTS, WOULDN'T WE?
16
17
           А
                 WELL, EVEN IF YOU DO, YOU DON'T SEE.
18
           Q
                 RIGHT.
19
           Α
                 IT DOESN'T MEAN IT IS NOT DONE THERE.
20
           Q
                 YES.
21
           Α
                 THAT IS WHAT I AM TELLING YOU. .
22
                 EVEN IF YOU DON'T SEE IT, IT DOESN'T MEAN IT IS
           Q
23
     NOT THERE?
24
           Α
                 NO, THE SHOOTING WAS DONE, EVEN IF YOU DON'T SEE
25
     IT.
26
           Q
                 RIGHT.
27
                 BECAUSE MANY REASONS POWDER OR THIS RESIDUE MAY
28
```

NOT BE AROUND BECAUSE ALL SOAKED INTO THE PILLOW.

Q OH, SURE, I KNOW THAT STUFF, DOCTOR.

Ī

Ť

. -

_ .

BUT WHAT I AM ASKING YOU IS: IF WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WHETHER OR NOT WE CAN FIND THOSE TRACE ELEMENTS, I GUESS WE WOULD HAVE TO FIRST RUN THE TEST BEFORE WE CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THEY WEREN'T THERE?

A WELL, IT ISN'T JUST ACADEMIC.

REALLY, IF WE ARE GOING TO STUDY THEM AND CHECK ALL OF THIS, EVEN IF SOMEBODY SHOT HERE IN OPEN AIR, IF YOU WANT ME TO CHECK EVERY FLOOR AND THIS WILL BE LOTS TIME AND MONEY INVOLVED.

Q LOTS OF TIME AND MONEY?

A AND EVEN IF YOU PICK IT UP, INTERPRETATION WOULD BE REALLY DIFFICULT.

SUPPOSE YOU GOT SOME BARIUM AND SUPPOSE YOU GOT ANTIMONY, NOW HOW ARE YOU GOING TO EVALUATE THIS?

IF THERE IS SOME DENSE AREA, THEN IT IS EASY.

IF BLACK AREA AND THAT AREA, YOU LIKE TO KNOW THIS IS JUST

THE SOOT OR ANTIMONY IN IT, THAT IS THE DIFFERENCE, THAT IS

DIFFERENT MATTER.

BUT IF YOU VAGUELY PICKED OUT HERE IN THOSE HEATING DUCT AREA, YOU FOUND SOME ANIMONY AND SOOT, HOW YOU GOING TO INTERPRET THIS THING?

Q SURE.

WHAT I AM ASKING IS --

A SO IT IS REALLY -- REALLY ASKING FOR LIKE CATCH
ONE PARTICLE FISH IN THE OCEAN, PACIFIC OCEAN.

Q I AM GOING TO NARROW IT DOWN FOR YOU, DOCTOR.

I AM NOT GOING TO ASK YOU TO TEST THE WHOLE ROOM.

. 3

HOW ABOUT THE BED?

AS I SAID, THE BED, REASONABLY WOULD ASK FORENSIC PATHOLOGIST, CRIMINALIST TO DO IS VISUALLY, YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOMETHING TO WORK WITH BECAUSE IT IS VERY DIFFICULT, TRACE EVIDENCE WE ARE GOING TO WORK WITH AND IF WE DON'T SEE ANY VISUAL EVIDENCE REALLY, TRACE EVIDENCE DOESN'T MEAN VERY MUCH BECAUSE SUPPOSE --

TRACE EVIDENCE DOESN'T MEAN VERY MUCH IS YOUR Q TESTIMONY?

EVEN IF WE DON'T SEE ANY EVIDENCE THERE -- SUPPOSE THIS BLANKET WAS, AS I SAID, BROUGHT IN LATER, JUST TOOK EVERYTHING OUT AND PUT THE NEW PILLOWS AND TOOK OLD PILLOWS AND PUT IT ON THERE, THEN HOW ARE YOU GOING TO KNOW?

27

28

Α

I SAID, SIR, IF I TAKE YOU TO THAT SCENE AND I TELL YOU THAT A SHOOTING TOOK PLACE ON THAT BED AND WE HAVE NO VISUAL ON IT AT ALL, BUT I AM TELLING YOU I HAVE GOT WITNESSES THAT WILL COME IN AND OUT AND THEY WILL SAY "YEAH, YEAH, THE SHOOTING TOOK PLACE ON THAT BED." AND I AM ASKING YOU TO GIVE ME SOME HELP TO TRY TO CORROBORATE THAT UNPROVABLE TESTIMONY OTHERWISE. YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT YOU WOULD NEVER DO A SAMPLING ON THE MATERIAL ON THAT BED TO SEE IF YOU COULD FIND -- AND THIS ISN'T A WHOLE OCEAN OF THE WHOLE WORLD -- THIS IS ON A BED -- YOU WOULD NEVER DO A MATERIAL SAMPLING FOR TRACE ELEMENTS? WELL, WE CAN TRY THIS BED AREA, THE PILLOW AREA, IF WE SUSPECTED THAT IT IS THE AREA, YOU CAN TRY ALL OF THE PILLOWS AND ALL OF THE BED AND SEE. IT IS A LARGE VOLUME OF WORK BUT --SO IT GUESS IF THERE IS A LARGE VOLUME OF WORK MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE. BY MR. BARENS: IS THAT IT? I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE COURT: SUSTAINED. LET'S GET ON, WILL YOU? BY MR. BARENS: OKAY. WELL, AT LEAST WE KNOW --Q THIS IS JUST MY OPINION. Α Q THIS IS JUST YOUR OPINION?

AS AN EXPERT, I AM TELLING YOU I DIDN'T GO TO

26

27

28

YOU SEE, MOST OF THE THINGS DETERIORATE SO IT

MAY LAST FOR A WHILE, I THINK, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT -- THAT

FOR A WHILE IS -- HOW MANY DAYS I AM TALKING ABOUT. I MYSELF,

I DON'T KNOW REALLY HOW LONG IT WILL LAST.

1 SO, YOU DON'T KNOW IF IT IS DAYS OR WEEKS OR --2 RIGHT. I DON'T. 3 HOW BIG ARE THESE PARTICLES THAT WE ARE TALKING 4 ABOUT THAT YOU ARE LOOKING FOR, THOSE GUNSHOT RESIDUE PARTICLES? 5 A AS I SAID, THAT COUNSEL AND I HAVE BEEN TALKING 6 ABOUT, THIS IS SMALL. 7 THE PARTICLES IS A CARBON PARTICLE LIKE CIGARETTE 8 SMOKE, WHEN YOU SMOKE A CIGARETTE AND YOU HAVE SMOKE COMING 9 OUT. IT IS SUCH A PARTICLE. 10 THE COURT: HOW MUCH LONGER? I THINK MAYBE WE'LL REALLY 11 NEED ABOUT A TEN-MINUTE RECESS. WE WILL TAKE A TEN-MINUTE 12 RECESS, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. THANK YOU. 13 (RECESS.) 14 THE CLERK: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN. YOU ARE 15 STILL UNDER OATH, DOCTOR. 16 STATE YOUR NAME ONCE AGAIN FOR THE RECORD. 17 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS JOSEPH CHOI. 18 Q BY MR. WAPNER: DR. CHOI, THE PARTICLES THAT 19 YOU ARE LOOKING FOR THAT YOU DESCRIBED IN THE SMOKE, HOW 20 BIG ARE THEY? 21. AS I SAID, LIKE CIGARETTE SMOKE. SO IF YOU HAVE 22 SMOKE BLOWING UNDER YOUR HAND OR PAPER, THEN YOU MAY BE ABLE 23 TO SEE IT. 24 BUT IF YOU BLOW AIR, YOU CAN'T SEE IT YET. 25 INDIVIDUALLY, WE CANNOT SEE IT. ALL YOU CAN SEE IS THE GROUP. 26 SO IF YOU DON'T KNOW WHERE IN A PARTICULAR ROOM 27 SOMEBODY WAS SHOT, HOW IS IT THAT YOU GO ABOUT TRYING TO 28

FIND PARTICLES, IF THERE WERE ANY THERE IN THE FIRST PLACE?

1	A YES. THIS IS MORE DIFFICULT.		
2	Q AND IS THIS PROCESS DONE BY TAKING SOME KIND		
3	OF A MAGNETIC DISK AND YOU DAB AROUND TO SEE IF YOU PICK		
4	UP THOSE THINGS?		
5	A YES, MOSTLY A SCOTCH TAPE COVERED DISK OF ABOUT		
6	THUMBNAIL SIZE. YOU PICK OUT MOSTLY THIS IS DONE FOR		
7	HAND AREAS. BUT		
8	Q MOSTLY IT IS DONE ON PEOPLE ON THE PEOPLE'S		
9	HANDS TO SEE IF THEY HAVE ACTUALLY FIRED A GUN, IS THAT		
10	CORRECT?		
11	A YES.		
12	MR. WAPNER: NOTHING FURTHER.		
13			
14	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
15	BY MR. BARENS:		
16	Q IN THE TYPE OF STUDIES, THESE ARE NEUTRON ACTIVATIO		
17	ANALYSES, DOCTOR?		
18	A WELL, WE CAN DO IT NEUTRON ACTIVATED STUDIES.		
19	BUT OUR OFFICE IS WE DO ELECTRON MICROSCOPIC		
20	BOT OOK OFFICE IS WE DO ELECTRON MICROSCOPIC		
20	STUDY.		
21			
	STUDY.		
21	STUDY. Q YOU HAVE LOTS OF EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE FOR DOING		
21 22	STUDY. Q YOU HAVE LOTS OF EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE FOR DOING THESE KINDS OF TESTS, DOWN AT YOUR OFFICE?		
21 22 23	STUDY. Q YOU HAVE LOTS OF EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE FOR DOING THESE KINDS OF TESTS, DOWN AT YOUR OFFICE? A YES.		
21 22 23 24	Q YOU HAVE LOTS OF EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE FOR DOING THESE KINDS OF TESTS, DOWN AT YOUR OFFICE? A YES. Q AND DOCTOR, I STARTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT CASINGS		
21 22 23 24 25	Q YOU HAVE LOTS OF EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE FOR DOING THESE KINDS OF TESTS, DOWN AT YOUR OFFICE? A YES. Q AND DOCTOR, I STARTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT CASINGS AND I FORGET.		

NOW AT THIS POINT AND THE GASES ESCAPE FROM THE SIDE OF 1 2 THE WEAPON? IS THAT NOT THE CASE? 3 Α YES. 4 AND IN THIS INSTANCE, IRRESPECTIVE OF WHETHER 5 THE HEAD OR MUZZLE OF THE GUN -- WHERE IT IS, YOU HAVE AN 6 APERTURE OR ESCAPE OF THE GASEOUS MATERIAL FROM THE SIDE 7 OF THE WEAPON, SIR? 8 А YES. 9 AND THERE IS ACTUALLY AN OPENING THAT WOULD ALLOW 10 THE DISPERSEMENT OF GASEOUS MATERIALS, SIR? 11 YES. 12 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 13 MR. WAPNER: NOTHING FURTHER. 14 THE COURT: WELL, JUST ONE QUESTION. MR. BARENS WAS 15 ASKING YOU A QUESTION ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE HEAD IS 16 LYING HERE ON THE PILLOW AND THE LEGS GO TOWARD THE END OF 17 THE BED. 18 SUPPOSE THE BODY WAS PLACED THIS WAY, WITH THE 19 FEET HERE AND THE HEAD TOWARD THE SIDE OF THE BED. 20 THE WITNESS: YES. SIDE TO SIDE? 21 THE COURT: SIDE TO SIDE. WOULD YOU EXPECT ANY RESIDUE 22 INTHE PILLOWS? 23 THE WITNESS: NO. 24 THE COURT: SUPPOSE THE COMFORTER, THE TOP AND THE 25 SHEET WAS MISSING, TAKEN AWAY, WOULD YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE 26 ANYWHERE TO SEE THAT MIGHT BE RESIDUE? 27 THE WITNESS: THAT'S RIGHT, NO. THAT IS WHAT I WAS 28 TELLING MR. BARENS.

29

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

Q BY MR. BARENS: SIR, LET'S GO WITH THE JUDGE'S 1 HYPOTHETICAL NOW AND LET'S PUT THE HEAD OVER THE SIDE OF THE 2 3 BED AND SAY IT IS FACE DOWN AND THE SHOT TAKES PLACE WITH AN AUTOMATIC WEAPON AND WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A DISPERSEMENT OF GAS, ARE WE NOT, SIR? 5 Α YES. 6 7 ISN'T IT LIKELY THAT WHEN THAT DISPERSEMENT OF GAS SETTLES, THAT WE ARE GOING TO FIND MOLECULAR PARTICLES 8 ON THE CARPET? 9 10 I DON'T KNOW. IT IS TOO FAR. I FIGURE THE BED HEIGHT IS ABOUT TWO FEET, AND 11 12 ACADEMICALLY SPEAKING, MOLECULE SHOULD GRAVITATE AND GO DOWN. BUT PRACTICALLY, IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO 13 PICK UP SMOKE FROM THE CARPET. 14 15 Q DIFFICULT? 16 YES, BECAUSE LIKE WE BLOW THE SMOKE ON THE AIR, 17 SMOKE CARBON WILL LOGICALLY EITHER GO DOWN TO THE GROUND BUT 18 HOW MANY PEOPLE REALLY IN THE WORLD, THEY COULD PRACTICALLY 19 PICK UP THAT CARBON OF SMOKE FROM THE CARPET, SEE? THIS IS 20 JUST ACADEMICALLY. IT IS PROBABLY TRUE TO HAVE ALL OF THE 21 WEIGHT-BEARING ATOM WOULD GO DOWN ON THE BASIS WE TALK ABOUT. 22 SEE, BUT OTHERWISE, THEY WOULDN'T GO UPWARD DIRECTION, SEE. 23 WELL, I AM POINTING OUT AS AN ACADEMIC QUESTION, 24 SINCE YOU HAVE BEEN ASKED ONE ACADEMIC QUESTION, NOW YOU HAVE 25 GOT MINE SINCE YOU HAVE GOT THE JUDGE'S. 26 NOW PARTICLES IN MOTION JUST DON'T JUST GO UP, 27 TRACE PARTICLES HAVING ATOMIC WEIGHT GOES, END UP DOING WHAT?

THAT IS WHAT I MEAN, YES.

```
Q
                  ATOMIC-WEIGHTED PARTICLES GO --
 1
           Α
                  DOWN.
 2
                  -- TO THE FLOOR?
 3
 4
                 DOWN TO EITHER CARPET OR PILLOW OR ON THIS BED
     SHEET.
 5
           MR. BARENS: OKAY, THANK YOU.
 6
           THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?
 7
           MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR, FOR THE DEFENSE AS
 8
     WELL.
 9
10
           MR. WAPNER: NO, I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
11
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
                 AND DEFENDANT.)
12
13
                 BY MR. BARENS: JUST SO I CAN MAKE SURE OF THIS
     DISCIPLINE WE ARE IN HERE.
14
15
                 THE TYPE OF TEST BACKGROUND THAT YOU MADE REFERENCE
     TO IS ELECTRON MICROSCOPE ANALYSIS; IS THAT CORRECT?
16
17
                 YES, SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE ANALYSIS.
18
                 AND THAT IS MORE OF A VISUALIZED ORIENTED-TYPE
19
     TESTING SERVICE?
20
                 WELL, WHAT WE DO IS WE LOOK AT AND WE PICK OUT
21
     THROUGH THE ELECTRON MICROSCOPE AND THEN WE USE THE E.D.X.,
22
     WHICH IS THE ELECTRON DISPERSING GADGET, WHICH WILL TELL WHAT
23
     ELEMENT THAT PARTICLE IS, THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE. THAT IS VERY
24
     SOPHISTICATED, SO WE CAN TELL ANY PARTICLE, THEN WHAT ELEMENT
25
     THAT IS.
26
                THE OTHER GENERALIZED DISCIPLINE FORENSICALLY
27
     THAT WE MIGHT MAKE REFERENCE TO IS NEURON ACTIVATION ANALYSIS;
28
     IS THAT CORRECT?
```

1	A YES, IT WAS USED BEFORE.		
2	Q THAT IS A DIFFERENT THING THAN THE TYPE OF THING		
3	YOU ARE DESCRIBING YOUR OFFICE DOES, OR IS IT?		
4	A THAT IS RIGHT, IT IS DIFFERENT.		
5	PREVIOUSLY, WE USED THE ATOM, EACH TUBE HAS A		
6	SEPARATE ATOM AND SUSPECTED ATOM, WE SHOOT AND THEN WE WILL		
7	PICK IT OUT, BUT IT WAS CUMBERSOME SO WE DON'T DO THAT ANY		
8	MORE.		
9	Q A DIFFERENT GADGET?		
10	A YES.		
11	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU.		
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.		
13	MR. WAPNER: CAN I JUST ASK I KNOW IT BOUNCES BACK		
14	AND FORTH.		
15			
16	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
17	BY MR. WAPNER:		
18	Q ALL OF THESE FANCY TERMS ABOUT MICROSCOPES AND		
19	EVERYTHING, BEFORE YOU GET TO THAT STUFF, YOU HAVE TO HAVE		
20	SOMETHING TO ANALYZE, RIGHT?		
21	A YES.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	·		

```
1
           Q
                AND IN ORDER TO GET SOMETHING TO ANALYZE IN A
2
     CASE LIKE THIS, SOMBODY HAS TO GET ON THEIR HANDS AND KNEES
     WITH A PIECE OF SCOTCH TAPE AND START DABBING AROUND ON THE
3
4
     CARPET, RIGHT?
5
          A YES.
                IN HOPES THAT MAYBE YOU WILL PICK UP SOME PARTICLE
6
7
     YOU CAN'T SEE WITH THE NAKED EYE?
8
          Α
                YES.
9
                SO WHEN YOU PUT THE SCOTCH TAPE ON THE CARPET,
    YOU CAN'T LOOK AT IT AND SEE IF THERE IS ANYTHING ON IT?
10
11
          Α
                THAT'S RIGHT.
12
                ALL RIGHT. SO BEFORE YOU GET TO THE MICROSCOPES
13
    AND STUFF LIKE THAT, YOU HAVE TO SEND SOMEBODY INTO THE BEDROOM
14
    AND IF YOU DON'T KNOW WHERE THE SHOT WAS ACTUALLY FIRED,
15
    YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE WHOLE ROOM, RIGHT?
16
                THAT'S RIGHT.
17
                AND IS THAT WHAT YOU DESCRIBED AS LOOKING FOR
18
    A NEEDLE IN A HAYSTACK?
19
               YES. IT IS MAYBE HARDER BECAUSE MAYBE EVEN IF
20
    YOU FIND IT, IT IS DIFFICULT TO INTERPRET BECAUSE THAT PARTICLE
21
    WAS THERE BEFORE, MANY DAYS BEFORE OR LATER, YOU KNOW WHEN
22
    THAT PARTICLE WAS THERE.
23
          Q
                OR WHETHER IT CAME FROM OTHER SOURCE?
24
                SOMEBODY'S SHOE, FROM SHOES. YOU NEVER KNOW.
          Α
25
                IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO INTERPRET, EVEN IF YOU
26
    FIND IT.
27
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
28
```

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. GO AHEAD.

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY	MR	BARENS
וטו	MIN.	DAKENS.

Q THE CONJUNCTION OF THE PRESENCE OF BARIUM AND ANTIMONY ONLY SUGGESTS ONE SOURCE, DOESN'T IT? IS THAT GUN POWDER?

A WELL, NO. IT IS A PRIMER THAT IS MADE OF ANTI-

BUT THERE IS BARIUM IN THE SAND AND BARIUM FROM
THE FLORESCENT THINGS. THERE ARE MANY BARIUMS --

THE COURT: THE AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM, YOU MEAN?
THE WITNESS: YES, EXISTENT IN NATURE.

Q BY MR. BARENS: I AM TALKING ABOUT FINDING THEM
IN CONJUNCTION IN THE SAME PLACE. ISN'T THAT SUGGESTIVE
PRIMARILY OF GUN POWDER, SIR?

A WELL, YOU SEE, THE REASON THAT WE DO IT ON THE MATERIAL, IT IS DIFFICULT TO INTERPRET WHERE IT COMES FROM.

BUT HANDS, MOST OF HANDS IS WASHED. SO, PEOPLE WASH HANDS.

SO, YOU PICK OUT SOME BARIUM. THAT IS VERY SUSPICIOUS.

BUT IN THE FLOOR, SHOES ARE USED AND THEN IT
COULD BE PICKED UP FROM THE DIRT OR GRASS AREA OR CONCRETE
OR ASPHALT. YOU NEVER KNOW. SO IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO
INTERPRET.

Q GOING BACK TO MY QUESTION, DOCTOR, THE QUESTION FOR YOU WAS, FINDING BARIUM AND ANTIMONY IN CONJUNCTION IN THE SAME PLACE, WOULD THAT BE SUGGESTIVE OF THEIR SOURCE BEING GUN POWDER?

1 A YES. THE CHANGES ARE STRONGER BECAUSE IF YOU 2 SEE BARIUM AND ANTIMONY CAME TOGETHER AND THE INCREASED THE 3 NUMBER, THEN IT CHANGES THAT ARE STRONG. 4 MR. BARENS: THAT WAS MY QUESTION. THANK YOU. 5 THE COURT: ARE WE FINISHED? THANK YOU VERY MUCH, 6 DOCTOR. YOU WILL BE EXCUSED. 7 MR. WAPNER: PEOPLE CALL STEVE TAGLIANETTI. 8 9 STEPHEN TAGLIANETTI, 10 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 11 AS FOLLOWS: 12 THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY 13 YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL 14 BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, 15 SO HELP YOU GOD. 16 THE WITNESS: I DO. 17 THE CLERK: BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR 18 THE RECORD. 19 THE WITNESS: STEVE TAGLIANETTI. 20 THE CLERK: SPELL BOTH NAMES. 21 THE WITNESS: STEPHEN, S-T-E-P-H-E-N, TAGLIANETTI, 22 T-A-G-L-I-A-N-E-T-T-I. 23 THE COURT: YOU DON'T PRONOUNCE IT AS THE ITALIANS 24 DO? THE "G" IS SILENT? 25 THE WITNESS: YES. 26 27

```
1
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
 2
     BY MR. WAPNER:
 3
                 DO YOU KNOW THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE?
           Q
 4
           Α
                 YES.
 5
           Q
                 HOW DQ -YOU KNOW HIM?
 6
           Α
                 I KNOW MR. HUNT FROM HIGH SCHOOL.
 7
                 WHERE DID YOU GO TO HIGH SCHOOL?
           Q
 8
                 HARVARD HIGH SCHOOL.
           Α
 9
           Q
                 WERE YOU IN THE SAME GRADUATING CLASS AS MR.
10
     HUNT?
11
           Α
                 YES, I WAS.
12
           Q
                 HOW WELL DID YOU KNOW MR. HUNT IN HIGH SCHOOL?
13
           Α
                 NOT WELL AT ALL.
14
                 WERE YOU ON THE DEBATE TEAM IN HIGH SCHOOL?
           Q
15
           Α
                 YES, I WAS.
16
                 YOU WERE THE OTHER HALF OF THAT FAMOUS TEAM WE
           Q
17
    HAVE ALREADY HEARD ABOUT, CALLED THE MAY-TAG TEAM?
18
                 YES. THAT'S CORRECT.
           Α
19
                 AND OTHER THAN TAKING THE DEBATE WITH MR. HUNT,
20
     DID YOU SOCIALIZE WITH HIM AT ALL AT THAT TIME?
21
           Α
                 NO, I DID NOT.
22
           Q
                 YOU GRADUATED WHAT YEAR FROM HIGH SCHOOL?
23
           Α
                 1977.
24
                 WHEN YOU LEFT HARVARD HIGH SCHOOL, DID YOU HAVE
           Q
25
    ANY CONTACT WITH MR. HUNT IMMEDIATELY AFTER YOU LEFT?
26
          Α
                 NO, I DID NOT.
27
          Q
                 WHEN DID YOU NEXT SEE HIM AFTER YOU LEFT HIGH
28
    SCHOOL?
```

```
1
          Α
                SAW HIM BACK IN 1983.
 2
           Q
                 AND WHEN YOU FIRST SAW HIM, WHERE WAS THAT?
 3
           Α
                AT THE HARD ROCK CAFE.
 4
                WHEN YOU WERE IN HIGH SCHOOL, DID DAVE AND TOM
           Q
 5
     MAY BOTH GO TO HIGH SCHOOL WITH YOU?
6
          Α
                YES.
 7
                AND WERE YOU FRIENDLY WITH EITHER OR BOTH OF
8
     THEM IN HIGH SCHOOL?
9
           Α
                YES, I WAS.
10
           Q
                BOTH DAVE AND TOM?
11
          Α
                BOTH DAVE AND TOM.
12
           Q
                AFTER HIGH SCHOOL, DID YOU REMAIN FRIENDLY WITH
13
     THEM?
14
          Α
                YES, I DID.
15
                AND HOW WAS IT THAT YOU CAME TO MEET MR. HUNT
16
    AT THE HARD ROCK CAFE IN 1983?
17
                INITIALLY, IT WAS SET UP JUST AS A SOCIAL EVENT,
18
     JUST TO GO OUT.
19
          Q
             WHO SET IT UP?
20
          Α
                DAVE AND TOM MAY.
21
                HAVE YOU BEEN IN TOUCH WITH THEM AFTER HIGH SCHOOL
22
    AND BEFORE THIS MEETING?
23
          Α
                YES.
24
          Q
               WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF YOUR FRIENDSHIP AND DAVE
25
    AND TOM MAY BEFORE YOU MET MR. HUNT?
26
          Α
                STRICTLY SOCIAL.
27
           Q
                HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU SEE THEM?
28
                I WOULD GO OUT ONCE A WEEK OR TWICE A WEEK.
```

1	Q	WHO SET UP THIS MEETING AT THE HARD ROCK CAFE?
2	А	I REALLY DON'T RECALL.
3	Q	WHO DID YOU GO THERE WITH?
4	А	DAVE MAY.
5	Q	AND WHEN YOU WENT THERE, WAS IT PREARRANGED THAT
6	YOU WOULD M	EET MR. HUNT OR DID YOU JUST HAPPEN TO RUN INTO
7	HIM?	
8	A	NO.
9		I JUST HAPPENED TO RUN INTO HIM.
10	Q	WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU SAW HIM THERE?
11	A	WE ALL SPOKE TO ONE ANOTHER, JUST BEING ALUMNIS
12	FROM THE SA	ME HIGH SCHOOL OR UNIVERSITY HIGH SCHOOL, RATHER.
13	Q	AFTER YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT AT THE HARD ROCK
14	CAFE, DID Y	OU SEE
15		WHAT DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT, DO YOU REMEMBER?
16	А	WE SPOKE IN GENERAL WITH REGARDS TO JOE'S
17	PARTICIPATI	ON IN COMMODITIES TRADING AND HOW WELL THAT WAS
18	GOING FOR H	IM.
19	Q	HAD DAVID MAY INVESTED WITH MR. HUNT IN
20	COMMODITIES	UP TO THAT POINT?
21	А	AT THAT POINT, I REALLY DON'T RECALL.
22	Q	AND WHEN IN 1983 WAS THIS THAT YOU MET HIM AT
23	THE HARD RO	CK CAFE?
24	Α	AROUND OCTOBER.
25	Q	DID MR. HUNT TELL YOU ABOUT HIS INVESTMENTS IN
26	COMMODITIES	AT THAT TIME?
27	А	THAT HE WAS DOING EXTREMELY WELL.
28	Q	: AND WHAT ELSE DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT ANY BUSINESSES

A YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

25 26

Q AND WHEN HE ASKED YOU ABOUT OTHER BUSINESSES THAT COULD GENERATE POTENTIAL INCOME, WHAT DID YOU SAY?

27

28

A I TOLD HIM THAT I KNOW OF ONE IN PARTICULAR WHICH
I HAD IN MIND AND --

```
Q
                 WHAT WAS THAT BUSINESS?
 1
           Α
                 IMPORTING AUTOMOBILES FROM EUROPE.
 2
                 HAD YOU EVER DONE THAT BEFORE?
 3
           Q
           Α
                 YES.
 4
                 AFTER HAVING THIS CONVERSATION WITH HIM AT THE
 5
     HARD ROCK, DID YOU AGREE TO MEET HIM AGAIN? WHAT HAPPENED?
 6
7
                YES, AT THE END OF THAT MEETING IT WAS AGREED
     THAT I WOULD LATER BE IN TOUCH WITH JOE HUNT AND OTHER
 8
     INDIVIDUALS AND DISCUSS FURTHER THE IMPORTATION OF EUROPEAN
10
     AUTOMOBILES.
                 WERE YOU WORKING AT THAT TIME?
           Q
11
           Α
                 YES, I WAS.
12
13
           Q
                 WHERE WERE YOU WORKING?
           Α
                 I WAS EMPLOYED WITH THE MAY COMPANY.
14
15
                 KIND OF KEEPING IT IN THE FAMILY, SO TO SPEAK?
           Q
16
           Α
                 YES.
17
           Q
                 AND DID YOU GET IN TOUCH WITH MR. HUNT AGAIN?
18
           А
                 YES.
19
           Q
                 WHEN WAS THAT?
20
           Α
                 APPROXIMATELY A WEEK AFTER, A WEEK OR SO AFTER.
21
                 WHERE WAS IT THAT YOU GOT IN TOUCH WITH HIM AT
           Q
22
     THAT TIME?
23
           Α
                 THAT WAS AT THE THIRD STREET OFFICES.
24
                 WHEN YOU WENT TO THE THIRD STREET OFFICES AND
25
     YOU WALKED IN, WERE THERE ANY INITIALS ON THE WALL OR ANYTHING
26
     LIKE THAT?
27
           Α
                NOT AT THAT TIME, NO.
28
                 DID THAT COME SOMETIME LATER?
           Q
29
                 YES.
```

-4

Q WERE THERE SOME LARGE INITIALS THAT SAID BBC THAT WERE PUT UP? A CORRECT. Q AT THE TIME THAT YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT AT THE HARD ROCK CAFE HAD HE MENTIONED ANYTHING ABOUT BBC OR HIS PHILOSOPHY OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT? A YES, HE DID.

O WHAT DID HE SAY? 1 HE -- FROM THE BEST I CAN RECALL, THAT HE WAS 2 FORMING A GROUP CALLED THE BBC. 3 AND WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT THAT? 4 THAT IT WAS -- I TENDED TO UNDERSTAND IT AS MORE 5 OF A FRATERNAL ORGANIZATION. 6 Q THEY WERE JUST A BUNCH OF GUYS THAT WOULD DO SOCIAL 7 THINGS TOGETHER? 8 A YES, SOCIAL EVENTS, ADDITIONALLY, BUSINESS 10 ENDEAVORS. 0 WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU WENT TO HIS OFFICE ON THIRD 11 STREET? 12 A I MET WITH JOE, AGAIN, AND WE SPOKE WITH REGARD 13 TO THE AUTOMOBILE BUSINESS. 14 15 AND SPECIFICALLY, DID YOU TALK ABOUT ESTABLISHING Q 16 SOME TYPE OF A BUSINESS? YES. WE DID. 17 А 18 ALL RIGHT. WHAT DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT DOING? Q 19 A WE SPOKE ABOUT THE ENTIRE PROCEDURES FOR IMPORTING 20 A EUROPEAN AUTOMOBILE WITH NONCONFORMING STANDARDS, IMPORTING A VEHICLE TO THE STATES HERE AND RESELLING IT. 21 22 THAT IS A CAR THAT IS MANUFACTURED IN EUROPE THAT 23 IS NOT MANUFACTURED UP TO CALIFORNIA EMISSION STANDARDS. 24 AMONG OTHER THINGS, AND HAS TO BE IMPORTED AND THEN MODIFIED 25 SO IT CAN BE SOLD IN CALIFORNIA? 26 A CORRECT. 27 ARE THOSE CARS THAT HAVE COMMONLY COME TO BE

28

REFERRED TO AS GREY MARKET CARS?

```
Α
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
1
                 WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT ABOUT THAT, DID HE
2
     SEEM TO BE INTERESTED IN DOING SOMETHING LIKE THAT?
3
                 YES, HE WAS.
4
                 WHAT DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT THAT?
5
                 THAT HE WANTED ME TO PUT TOGETHER A COMPLETE
6
     PACKAGE, AS IT WAS, REFLECTING PROFITABILITY AND BREAKING
7
     DOWN ANALYSIS AS FAR AS COSTS WOULD BE CONCERNED TO GO INTO
8
     THAT TYPE OF BUSINESS.
9
                 AND DID YOU DO THAT?
           Q
10
           Α
                 YES, I DID.
11
12
           Q
                 AFTER YOU DID THAT, DID YOU MEET WITH HIM AGAIN?
           Α
                 YES, I DID.
13
                 WHEN WAS THAT?
14
           Q
                 APPROXIMATELY A WEEK AND A HALF THEREAFTER.
15
           А
16
           Q
                AND WHEN YOU MET WITH HIM AT THAT TIME, WHAT DID
     YOU TALK ABOUT?
17
18
           A I PRESENTED THE PACKAGE AND IT WAS LEFT THAT JOE
    WOULD LOOK INTO IT.
19
20
             SO YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY WORKING ARRANGEMENT WITH
21
    HIM AT THAT TIME?
22
           Α
                 AT THAT POINT, NO.
23
                AFTER YOU PRESENTED THE PACKAGE AND HE SAID HE
24
    WOULD LOOK INTO IT, DID YOU HEAR FROM HIM AGAIN AFTER THAT?
25
           Α
                YES, I DID.
26
           Q
                 HOW LONG AFTER THAT WAS THIS?
27
           Α
                 APPROXIMATELY A WEEK.
28
           Q
                 AND WHEN HE NEXT TALKED TO YOU, WHAT HAPPENED?
```

A WE -- HE CALLED AND WE SET UP A MEETING AT THE 1 THIRD STREET OFFICES, IN WHICH I CAME DOWN AND SAT DOWN WITH 2 JOE. 3 Q AND WHEN YOU MET WITH HIM AT THAT TIME, DID YOU 4 DISCUSS WHETHER OR NOT YOU WERE GOING TO ESTABLISH THIS 5 BUSINESS? 6 A YES. 7 WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT IT? 8 WE SPOKE AND HE WAS VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN 9 ESTABLISHING A BUSINESS. 10 ADDITIONALLY, WE ALSO SPOKE WITH REGARD TO 11 CONFIRMATION OF SALARY FOR MYSELF. 12 WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT ESTABLISHING A BUSINESS, 13 WAS THERE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT HOW THIS BUSINESS WAS GOING 14 15 TO BE FUNDED, WHERE THE MONEY WAS GOING TO COME FROM TO BUY 16 THE CARS, FOR EXAMPLE? A YES. 17 18 Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT WAS THAT DISCUSSION? 19 THAT DISCUSSION ENDED THAT JOE HAD THE FINANCIAL 20 BACKING TO FUND THE ENTIRE OPERATION. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

```
1
                 DID HE EVER SAY WHERE THAT FINANCIAL BACKING
2
     CAME FROM?
3
                 NO, HE DID NOT.
           Α
4
                 SO AS FAR AS YOU UNDERSTOOD, MR. HUNT WAS GOING
5
     TO PROVIDE THE MONEY?
6
                 CORRECT.
           Α
7
                 YOU DIDN'T INQUIRE INTO THE SOURCE OF HIS FUNDS?
8
           Α
                 NO, I DID NOT.
9
                 AS FAR AS SALARY THAT YOU DISCUSSED WITH HIM.
10
    DID YOU ARRIVE AT A SALARY THAT YOU WERE TO BE PAID?
11
           Α
                 YES.
12
                 HOW MUCH WERE YOU TO BE PAID?
           Q
13
           Α
                 I BELIEVE IT TO BE BETWEEN 1500 AND 1750 PER
14
    MONTH.
15
               ARE YOU SAYING THAT IT WAS GOING TO BE A VARIABLE
16
    SALARY OR YOU CAN'T REMEMBER?
17
                I CAN'T REMEMBER THE EXACT AMOUNT.
18
           Q
                 WAS THERE SOME DISCUSSION OF WHEN IT WAS THAT
19
    YOU WERE GOING TO GO TO WORK THERE?
20
           Α
                 YES.
21
                 ALL RIGHT. AND WHEN WERE YOU GOING TO START?
           Q
22
           Α
                 TWO WEEKS THEREAFTER.
23
           Q
                 AND DID YOU IN FACT, START TWO WEEKS THEREAFTER?
24
                 YES, I DID.
           Α
25
                 WHEN WAS THAT IN POINT OF TIME ON THE CALENDAR
           Q
26
    APPROIXMATELY?
27
                 I BELIEVE APPROXIMATELY NOVEMBER.
28
                 SOMETIME IN NOVEMBER OF '83?
           Q
```

```
1
           Α
                 YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
2
                 DID THE ORGANIZATION OR THE BUSINESS THAT YOU
 3
     WERE GOING TO START HAVE A NAME?
4
           Α
                 YES, IT DID.
5
           Q
                 WHAT WAS THE NAME?
6
           Α
                 WESTCARS OF NORTH AMERICA.
7
                 WHO CAME UP WITH THE NAME?
           Q
8
           Α
                 I BELIEVE IT TO BE JOE AND DEAN KARNY.
9
                 WHEN YOU WENT TO WORK IN NOVEMBER OF 1983. DID
           0
10
     THEY GIVE YOU AN OFFICE?
11
           Α
                 NO, THEY DID NOT.
12
                 WHERE DID YOU WORK OUT OF?
           0
13
                 I WORKED OUT OF -- I SHARED AN OFFICE WITH TOM
           Α
14
     MAY AND DAVE MAY.
15
           Q
                 EVENTUALLY, WERE THE OFFICES EXPANDED?
16
           Α
                 YES, THEY WERE.
17
           Q
                 DID YOU EVENTUALLY GET YOUR OWN OFFICE?
18
           Α
                 NO, I DID NOT.
19
           Q
                 ALL RIGHT. YOU ACTUALLY WERE SHARING WITH TOM
20
     AND DAVE?
21
           А
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
22
                 AT THAT TIME WHEN YOU FIRST STARTED WORKING THERE.
23
     DID THE BBC HAVE OFFICES OR -- EXCUSE ME, HAVE A WAREHOUSE
24
     IN GARDENA?
25
                 NO, THEY DID NOT.
           Α
26
                 AT SOME POINT LATER, THEY PURCHASED A WAREHOUSE
27
     OR -- EXCUSE ME. THEY RENTED A WAREHOUSE AND DAVID MAY SPENT
28
     MOST OF HIS TIME WORKING DOWN THERE?
29
                 CORRECT.
```

```
1
                WHEN YOU STARTED WORKING FOR WESTCARS, WHO WAS
           Q
 2
     WORKING WITH YOU AT THAT TIME OR WERE YOU BASICALLY DOING
 3
     IT ON YOUR OWN?
 4
           Α
                MOSTLY DOING IT ON MY OWN.
 5
                 WERE THERE ANY -- DID YOU START OUT WITH ANY
 6
     INVENTORY OR DID YOU HAVE TO PROCURE THAT ALL YOURSELF?
 7
           Α
                 NO. IT HAD TO BE PROCURED.
 8
           Q
                 HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT DOING THAT?
9
                 I HAD A CONTACT IN FRANKFORT, GERMANY.
           Α
10
           Q
                 DID YOU PURCHASE SOME CARS?
11
           Α
                 YES, WE DID.
12
           Q
                 INITIALLY, HOW MANY DID YOU PURCHASE?
13
           Α
                WE PURCHASED TWO VEHICLES.
14
           Q
                WHEN WAS THAT DONE?
15
           Α
                 APPROXIMATELY -- THE PURCHASE OF THE VEHICLES
16
     WAS -- THEY WERE PURCHASED I BELIEVE THREE WEEKS AFTER I
17
     STARTED.
18
                AND WHERE DID THE MONEY COME FROM TO PURCHASE
           Q
19
     THOSE VEHICLES?
20
           Α
                FROM JOE HUNT.
21
                 WAS THAT IN THE FORM OF A CHECK OR DID HE WRITE
22
     IT TO YOU OR DID HE WRITEIT TO SOMEBODY IN EUROPE. OR DO
23
    YOU KNOW?
24
          A NO. IT I RECALL, THE BEST I REMEMBER IS THAT
25
    WE WIRED THE MONEY. JOE WROTE A CHECK AT THE BANK AND WE
26
     WIRED THE MONEY ABROAD.
27
                WESTCARS, THIS BUSINESS CALLED WESTCARS ACTUALLY
           Q
28
```

HAD A NAME AT THAT TIME?

	{
1	A I BELIEVE IT DID.
2	Q DID YOU HAVE YOUR OWN CHECKING ACCOUNT OR WAS
3	THIS DID THIS MONEY COME OUT OF MR. HUNT'S FUNDS?
4	A AT THAT TIME, I DON'T RECALL IF THEY HAD A CHECKING
5	ACCOUNT.
6	Q AND WHAT TYPE OF CARS DID YOU BUY AND WELL,
7	HOW MUCH DID YOU SPEND ON THE VEHICLES AT THAT TIME?
8	A APPROXIMATELY \$8,000 PER VEHICLE.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23 24	
2 4 25	
25 26	
26 27	
28	

THEY WERE ALL THE SAME COLOR.

+B

Α

1	Q	WHAT COLOR?
2	. А	ANTHRACITE GRAY.
3	Q	IS THAT KIND OF A METALLIC GRAY?
4	А	YES.
5	Q	OF THE TEN CARS THAT WERE PURCHASED IN TOTAL
6	BY WESTCARS	, HOW MANY WERE EVER SOLD?
7	А	NONE.
8	Q	DID THE BUSINESS OF WESTCARS EVER MAKE ANY MONEY?
9	А	NO, IT DID NOT.
10	Q	DID THE BUSINESS OF WESTCARS EVER SPEND ANY MONEY
11	IN ADDITION	TO THE PURCHASE OF THE VEHICLES, TRYING TO MODIFY
12	THE VEHICLE	S FOR SALE IN THE UNITED STATES?
13	А	YES.
14	Q	AND WHEN YOU SAY WELL, HOW MUCH WAS SPENT
15	TRYING TO G	ET THE VEHICLES MODIFIED SO THAT THEY COULD BE
16	SOLD HERE?	
17	А	APPROXIMATELY PER VEHICLE, IT WAS AROUND \$2,500
18	IF NOT MORE	•
19	Q	SO THAT IS \$25,000, IF THERE WERE TEN VEHICLES,
20	CORRECT?	
21	А	CORREC.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

AND THERE WAS NO VEHICLE SOLD DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WORKED AT THE BBC, CORRECT? THAT'S CORRECT. AND THAT EMPLOYMENT -- WELL. AT SOME POINT YOU RESIGNED FROM THE BBC, CORRECT? THAT'S CORRECT. Q I WILL GET BACK TO THAT PROBABLY MONDAY. THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THE ADJOURNMENT NOW? MR. BARENS: IF YOU WOULD, YOUR HONOR. MR. WAPNER: I THINK IT IS PROBABLY APPROPRIATE. THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL TAKE AN ADJOURNMENT NOW UNTIL MONDAY MORNING AT 10:30. AND THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WOULD STILL APPLY. GOOD NIGHT AND HAVE A PLEASANT WEEKEND. (AT 3:55 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL MONDAY, MARCH 9, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.)