COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT



	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,))) SUPERIOR COURT
VS	5.) SUPERIOR COURT) NO. A-090435
JOE HUNT, AKA L AKA JOSEPH HENR)
0	DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.	0 9 1027

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 78 OF 101 (PAGES)2337 TO /2498, INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 1987 VOLUME 78

PAGES 12337 TO 12498 INCL.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY

1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT: ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ.

10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS



				PACILLIO, ROBERT 12402 12408 12417	LEVIN, MARTIN 12337 12345 12346	HART, LISA 12351 12369 12397	EDMONDS, THOMAS WARREN 12418 12438 12449	EDHOLM, PAUL 12454 12474 12479	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	EDHOLM, PAUL 12454 12474 12479	EDMONDS, THOMAS WARREN 12418 12438 12449	PACILLIO, ROBERT 12402 12408 12417	HART, LISA 12351 12369 12397	LEVIN, MARTIN 12337 12345 12346	REBUTTAL WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES		ע. ז.	P.M.	TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 1987 VOLUME 78 PAGES 12
							12453				12453				RECROSS		 	12402	12337	337 - 12498

28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	1 9	1 6	17	1 6 1 5	4	ವ 	₹ :		5 6	۰ ۵	8 7	თ	4 0	ω	N	- *
												DEFENDANT'S	247	246	245	244	243	242	241	230 - 240	PEOPLE'S EX			INDEX FOR V
										EXCEPT FOR	CALL DEFENSE EXHIBITS NOT PREVIOUS	EXHIBITS:	XEROX OF STARTING COMPOSITE	PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP ADMONITION	PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP ADMONITION	PHOTOGRAPHS - RICHAR HERMAN	GROUP OF FILE FOLDERS	BLANK GREEN FILE	LETTER TO RON LEVIN FROM Yvonne burke	(PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED)	XHIBITS: IDE	EXHIBITS FOR VOLUME 78		VOLUME 78 (CONTINUED)
										T RR))USLY	:	12465	12451	12451	12426	12344	12343	12338		FOR DENTIFICATION			
										12491			1	12490	12490	12490	12490	12490	12490	12490	EVIDENCE			

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 1987; 10:45 A.M. 1 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 DEPARTMENT C 3 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. 5 6 7 REBUTTAL 8 MR. WAPNER: CALL MARTIN LEVIN. 9 10 MARTIN LEVIN, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE ON REBUTTAL, HAVING BEEN 11 12 PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 13 THE CLERK: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN SO YOU ARE 14 STILL UNDER OATH. 15 IF YOU WOULD JUST TAKE A SEAT. 16 THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. 17 THE CLERK: AND STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE RECORD. 18 THE WITNESS: MARTIN LEVIN. 19 MR. WAPNER: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT? I AM TRYING 20 TO LOCATE THE EXHIBITS, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. WAPNER: 24 MR. LEVIN, YOU RECOGNIZE THE PICTURE THAT IS Q 25 153 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 26 YES. 27 Q AND WHO IS IN THAT PICTURE? 28 MY SON, RON, MY WIFE, CAROL AND YVONNE BURKE.

1 Q IS THAT YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE, WHO WAS AT ONE 2 POINT RUNNING FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF 3 CALIFORNIA? 4 A YES. 5 AND DID I ASK YOU TO TRY AND FIND OUT WHEN IT 6 WAS THAT THAT PICTURE WAS TAKEN? 7 A YES. 8 DID YOU GO THROUGH SOME DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAD AT HOME TO TRY AND FIND OUT WHEN THAT PICTURE MIGHT HAVE 9 10 BEEN TAKEN? 11 A YES, IT WAS EITHER 1977 OR 1978. ALL RIGHT, AND IN ORDER TO CLEAR UP WHETHER IT 12 13 WAS '77 OR '78, DID YOU FIND A LETTER THAT WAS WRITTEN BY MISS BURKE TO YOUR SON? 14 15 A YES. 16 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A DOCUMENT THAT I WOULD 17 LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS 241 FOR IDENTIFICATION THAT APPEARS 18 TO BE A LETTER FROM YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE TO RON LEVIN. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 20 Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. LEVIN, DO YOU RECOGNIZE 21 EXHIBIT 241 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 22 A YES. 23 24 25 26 27

AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THOSE?

28

Q

ARE SOME OF THOSE DATED AFTER JUNE OF 1984? MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. COULD WE APPROACH (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH OUT OF THE PRESENCE AND MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM TRYING TO FATHOM WHAT WE THE COURT: WELL, THE PHOTOGRAPH IS PROPER REBUTTAL. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THE COURT WILL RECALL AT THE END OF THE PEOPLE'S CASE, I SAID THAT I WAS GOING TO REST CONDITIONALLY AND THAT I WOULD CALL ANOTHER WITNESS BUT THAT IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING HE WOULD BE CALLED BY THE DEFENSE. AND THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT AT THAT TIME ON THE RECORD THAT THIS WITNESS WOULD EITHER BE CALLED BY THE DEFENSE OR THE FACTS WOULD BE PROVEN LATER ON OR STIPULATED TO. THEY SAID THAT THEY WOULD CALL HIM. THEY SAID THE COURT: I THINK YOU DID SAY THAT YOU WERE GOING TO. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE DURING TODAY'S PROCEEDINGS THAT REBUTTAL IS LIMITED -- OTHER THAN FOR THIS WITNESS OF COURSE -- TO MATTERS --THE COURT: WELL, HE RESTED SUBJECT TO CALLING THE 28

WITNESS. YOU SAID YOU WERE GOING TO CALL HIM. NOW HE WANTS TO REOPEN AND --MR. BARENS: NO. MY COMMENT IS NO LONGER GOING TO THAT SUBJECT. MY COMMENT GOES TO AFTER THIS WITNESS, SIR. THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN? AFTER THIS WITNESS? MR. BARENS: SUBSEQUENT WITNESSES CALLED FOR THE PURPOSE OF REBUTTING THINGS THAT THE DEFENSE DID DURING THE DEFENSE CASE, RATHER THAN ADDING NEW SUBJECTS, THAT WE STAY WITHIN THE GUIDELINES AND --THE COURT: I AM FACED WITH THIS AT THE MOMENT. SO YOU GO AHEAD. WHEN THAT TIME COMES I WILL LISTEN TO IT. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 2 IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND 3 HEARING OF THE JURY:) 4 Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. LEVIN, ARE SOME OF THOSE 5 AMERICAN EXPRESS RECEIPTS DATED AFTER JUNE OF 1984? YES. 6 Α AND WOULD THOSE BE ONES THAT YOU PICKED UP WHEN 7 YOU WERE COLLECTING YOUR SON'S MAIL? 8 9 А YES. O IS THERE IN FACT ONE THERE FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE 10 11 OF 1984? I DON'T SEE ONE HERE FOR JUNE. 12 Α 13 Q ALL RIGHT. DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION -- THAT 14 IS FINE. THANK YOU. 15 DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO AN EXHIBIT THAT WE 16 HAVE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 100, WOULD YOU JUST LOOK AT THAT 17 BRIEFLY? 18 A YES. 19 AND HAD YOU SEEN AT YOUR SON'S APARTMENT IN THE 20 YEAR 1984, OTHER FILE FOLDERS SIMILAR TO THAT? 21 A YES. 22 AND WHERE DID YOU SEE THOSE? Q 23 IN THE SMALL OFFICE OF HIS HOME. 24 AND WERE THE FILE FOLDERS THAT YOU SAW NEW OR 25 USED? IN OTHER WORDS, DID THEY HAVE THINGS IN THEM OR WERE 26 THEY UNUSED AND IN BOXES, OR BOTH? 27

I SAW BOTH. I SAW ONES THAT WERE USED AND SOME

28

THAT WERE NOT USED.

```
AND THE ONES THAT WERE NOT USED, WHERE DID YOU
          0
1
    SEE THOSE?
2
         A SOME WERE ON THE SHELF AND SOME WERE IN THE
3
    GARAGE.
            AND WHAT DID YOU FIND IN THE GARAGE?
5
          A I FOUND THREE OR FOUR CASES OF THESE FOLDERS
6
    THAT WERE PACKED IN JUST REGULAR CASES.
7
               AND WHEN YOU SAY "CASES," WHAT WAS IN THE CASES
8
9
    THAT YOU FOUND?
               FIVE OF THOSE PACKAGES WOULD BE IN THE CASE.
10
               INDICATING THE BOX THAT I AM NOW HOLDING THAT
          Q
11
    IS ON THE TABLE (COUNSEL INDICATING)?
12
          A YES, FIVE OF THOSE WOULD BE IN THE CASES.
13
               AND WERE THERE THREE OR FOUR CASES?
14
               I DON'T REMEMBER, TWO, THREE OR FOUR, YES.
15
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD REFLECT THAT
16
     I AM OPENING THIS BOX THAT IS MARKED ACCO ON THE TOP?
17
18
          THE COURT: YES.
          MR. WAPNER: AND REMOVING FROM IT ONE GREEN FILE, AND
19
    MAY THAT FILE, WHICH IS IN BLANK, BE MARKED AS 242 FOR
20
     IDENTIFICATION?
21
          THE COURT: IT WILL BE SO MARKED.
22
               BY MR. WAPNER: AND DID ALL OF THE BOXES THAT
23
     YOU SAW IN THE GARAGE CONTAIN FILES IDENTICAL TO 242 FOR
24
25
     IDENTIFICATION?
26
          A YES.
          Q AND YOU SAY SOME OF THOSE, THAT WERE UNUSED AND
27
```

BLANK IN THE SMALL OFFICE?

```
A YES.
1
               AND DID YOU FIND OTHERS IN THE SMALL OFFICE THAT
2
   ACTUALLY CONTAINED MATERIAL?
3
4
          Α
              YES.
          Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THOSE?
5
         A 1 TOOK A LOT OF THE MATERIAL OUT AND JUST KEPT
6
7
    THE FOLDERS.
          Q HOW WERE THEY LABELED?
8
          A THEY WERE PRINTED ON THE OUTSIDE OF THE -- ON
9
10
    THE BOTTOM OF THE FOLDERS.
         Q AND DID YOU BRING -- AFTER YOU EMPTIED THOSE,
11
12
    DID YOU KEEP SOME OF THEM AT YOUR HOME?
13
         A YES.
               AND DID YOU BRING SEVERAL OF THOSE TO COURT TODAY?
14
          Q
15
               YES.
          Ą
          Q AND ARE THESE THE FILE FOLDERS THAT YOU BROUGHT
16
    (COUNSEL INDICATING)?
17
18
         Α
               YES.
          Q AND WERE THEY ALL LABELED IN THE MANNER THAT
19
    THEY ARE NOW WITH WHITE LABELS ON THE BOTTOM AND THEN TYPED
20
21
    ON THEM?
22
         A YES.
          MR. WAPNER: MAY THOSE FILES AS A GROUP BE MARKED 243
23
24
    FOR IDENTIFICATION, YOUR HONOR?
25
          THE COURT: SO MARKED.
26
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOUR SON HAVE ANY SCARS ON
27
    HIS FACE THAT YOU KNEW OF?
28
          Α
               NONE.
```

```
Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT COLOR RON'S EYES WERE?
1
              THEY WERE BLUE.
2
         MR. WAPNER: NOTHING FURTHER.
3
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
5
    BY MR. BARENS:
6
         Q GOOD MORNING, MR. LEVIN. WAS RON LEVIN METICULOUS
7
    ABOUT HIS PAPERWORK IN HIS FILES?
8
         A YES.
9
         O I PRESUME IF HE HAD A NEW MATTER -- YOU WOULD PRESUME
10
    THAT HE WOULD USE ONE OF THOSE FILES TO PUT THE NEW MATTER
11
    IN?
12
         A I COULD PRESUME. I DON'T KNOW.
13
          Q YOU COULDN'T TELL? HE HAD HUNDREDS OF THOSE FILES,
14
    DID HE NOT?
15
         A HE HAD QUITE A FEW.
16
               THOSE WERE UNUSED, WERE THEY NOT?
          Q
17
               THE NEW ONES WERE UNUSED.
18
          Α
          Q AND THE NEW ONES LOOKED LIKE THE OLD ONES HE HAD
19
20
    BEEN USING?
21
               THEY WERE THE SAME TYPE OF FOLDERS.
             YOU TOOK THE PAPERS OUT OF THOSE FILES THAT WERE
22
23
    IN THE DESK, DID YOU NOT?
24
         A YES.
          Q AND THOSE FILES THAT WERE ON THE DESK, LOOK TO
25
    YOU -- DO THEY NOT, LIKE THE NEW FILES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN USED,
26
27
    DON'T THEY?
28
          A WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT?
```

```
Q THE FILES THAT ARE ON THE TABLE IN FRONT OF YOU
1
    SIR, THOSE LOOK TO YOU LIKE THE NEW FILES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
2
    USED, DON'T THEY?
3
         A YES. THEY ARE THE SAME FILES.
4
              IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE KIND OF A CONSISTENT WORK
5
    PATTERN THAT HE USES THE SAME TYPES OF MATERIALS?
6
         MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
7
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
8
          Q BY MR. BARENS: DID HE THROW HIS OLD FILES AWAY,
9
    TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
10
         A I DON'T KNOW.
11
              YOU FOUND A LOT OF HIS FILES, DID YOU NOT, SIR?
          Q
12
             YES.
          Α
13
          Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HIS FINGERPRINTS ARE ON THOSE
14
    FILES IN FRONT OF YOU?
15
          Д
              DO I KNOW?
16
          Q YES.
17
          A I DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE FINGERPRINTS. BUT I
18
    IMAGINE THEY WOULD BE.
19
         MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MR. LEVIN.
20
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANY REDIRECT?
21
          MR. WAPNER: YES.
22
23
                         REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24
25
    BY MR. WAPNER:
          Q JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, THE FILES THAT ARE
26
     243, THOSE ARE THE FILE JACKETS, CORRECT?
27
28
          A YES.
```

```
WHEN YOU TOOK THEM FROM HIS HOUSE, THEY WERE FULL
1
2
    OF INFORMATION THAT WERE IN THOSE FILES?
3
              YES, SURE.
             WHAT DID YOU DO WITH ALL OF THE INFORMATION?
4
5
                I TOOK THE INFORMATION THEN I THREW THEM AWAY.
    I USED THE FILES -- I HAVE BEEN USING THE FILES FOR MY OWN,
6
7
    PERSONAL USE.
8
          O USING THE FILE JACKETS?
9
                YES, FILE JACKETS FOR MY OWN, PERSONAL USE.
10
    THAT'S RIGHT.
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
11
12
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
13
          MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH FOR JUST A SECOND?
14
          THE COURT: YES.
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
15
16
                AT THE BENCH:)
17
          MR. WAPNER: I JUST WANTED TO TELL YOU THAT I AM GOING
18
    TO CALL LISA HART TO THE STAND. I AM NOT SURE EXACTLY WHERE
19
    SHE IS. SHE IS EITHER IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE RIGHT NOW --
20
          THE COURT: WELL, DIDN'T YOU ARRANGE FOR IT BEFORE YOU
21
    CAME IN HERE?
22
          MR. WAPNER: YES. BUT SHE IS IN JURY TRIAL IN JUDGE
23
    ALBRECHT'S COURT. SHE IS TALKING TO WITNESSES.
24
                 I DON'T KNOW WHETHER SHE IS IN HIS COURTROOM OR --
25
           THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU TAKE A LOOK AND SEE IF SHE
26
    IS IN THERE?
27
           MR. WAPNER: I DIDN'T KNOW EXACTLY --
28
           MR. BARENS: COULD MR. CHIER ADDRESS THE COURT FOR A
```

MOMENT, SIR?

THE COURT: WHAT DOES HE WANT?

MR. BARENS: I BELIEVE THAT WHEN I WAS NOT HERE YOUR HONOR,
THE DAY THAT YOU DISCUSSED THE ISSUE OF THE ADMITTANCE OF
DOCUMENTS INTO EVIDENCE -- I AM NOT SURE WHETHER IT WAS LAST
THURSDAY OR FRIDAY --

THE COURT: YOU MEAN GOING OVER THE EXHIBITS?

MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. THERE WAS AN ISSUE WHERE

MR. CHIER ADVISED ME THAT THE COURT SAID THAT THE DEFENSE COULD

NOT CALL LISA HART.

MR. WAPNER: THE COURT NEVER MADE THAT RULING.

THE COURT: DON'T YOU BELIEVE HIM ABOUT THAT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I MAY HAVE A MISUNDERSTANDING.

THE COURT: YES. IT IS A MISUNDERSTANDING. I DON'T SAY YOU COULD OR COULD NOT CALL ANY WITNESS.

MR. BARENS: THAT'S RIGHT. AN OFFER OF PROOF FROM THE DEFENSE WAS REQUIRED.

THE COURT: WELL, AN OFFER OF PROOF IS ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT THAN CALLING THE WITNESS.

MR. BARENS: CAN WE HAVE AN OFFER OF PROOF NOW? IF THE PEOPLE PLAN TO CALL HER, I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THE SAME OFFER OF PROOF. WHEN WE WERE GOING TO CALL LISA HART, YOUR HONOR SAID THAT WE WERE FORBIDDEN TO DO SO WITHOUT MAKING AN OFFER OF PROOF.

THE COURT: I DIDN'T SAY THAT.

MR. BARENS: WELL, I DON'T THINK YOU USED THE WORD "FORBIDDEN" BUT YOUR HONOR MADE A DEMAND FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF.

5 F

THE COURT: SO WHAT DO YOU WANT?

MR. BARENS: AN OFFER OF PROOF.

THE COURT: HE WANTS TO REBUT THE TESTIMONY I ASSUME OF WHAT THE WITNESSES SAID THAT LISA HART WAS TELLING THIS GIRL THAT SHE SHOULDN'T TESTIFY TO THIS AND TO THAT.

```
5 - 1
                      MR. WAPNER: RIGHT.
           1
                      THE COURT: THAT IS PROPER REBUTTAL.
           2
                      MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. AND WE, OF COURSE, TODAY HAVE
            3
                A CHANCE FOR SURREBUTTAL?
            4
                      THE COURT: SURREBUTTAL AS TO WHAT?
            5
                      MR. BARENS: ON HIS WITNESSES, SIR.
            6
                      THE COURT: SURE, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO CROSS-EXAMINE.
            7
                      MR. BARENS: SURREBUTTAL.
            8
                      THE COURT: SURREBUTTAL. WHAT SURREBUTTAL WITNESSES
            9
                ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?
           10
                      MR. BARENS: TO REBUT HIS REBUTTAL.
           11
                      THE COURT: WHO?
           12
                      MR. BARENS: THE WITNESSES THAT WILL BE CALLED TO
           13
                CONTRADICT WHAT MAY BE SAID BY THIS WITNESS.
           14
                      THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU HAVE ANY SURREBUTTAL WITNESSES,
           15
                YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO PRESENT THEM.
           16
                      MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
           17
                      THE COURT: WE WILL TALK ABOUT IT AT THAT TIME.
           18
                      MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
           19
                            (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
           20
                            IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND
           21
           22
                             HEARING OF THE JURY:)
           23
                      MR. WAPNER: CALL LISA HART.
                      THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE
           24
           25
                 SWORN.
           26
           27
```

AND ON NOVEMBER 26 -- AND THE 26TH WAS A WEDNESDAY?

28

Q AND INVESTIGATOR SCHAEFER AND SERGEANT EDMONDS

ALSO WORKED TOGETHER, THE TWO OF THEM?

A RIGHT.

Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR JOB TO BE WITH DETECTIVE EDHOLM?

A WELL, WE WERE SUPPOSED TO TALK TO CARMEN WHEN 1 WE ARRIVED, THAT WAS A PRESCHEDULED MEETING THAT WAS 2 SUPPOSED TO TAKE PLACE AROUND 1:00, BUT ENDED UP NOT TAKING 3 4 PLACE. O WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT THERE? 5 WHEN WE ARRIVED THERE SHORTLY AFTER NOON, I WAS 6 0 TOLD ABOUT A PREARRANGED SIGNAL THAT DETECTIVE MYERS FROM 7 THE TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS TO HAVE WITH CARMEN. 8 APPARENTLY, SHE WAS NERVOUS ABOUT PHONE CALLS 9 COMING IN, SO HE WAS TO PHONE HER, RING ONCE AND HANG UP 10 AND THEN TO PHONE AGAIN AND SHE WOULD KNOW WHO IT WAS WHO 11 12 WAS CALLING. Q DID HE DO THAT? 13 YES. HE WAS SUPPOSED TO DO THAT AT 1:00 O'CLOCK, 14 THAT WAS THE PREARRANGED TIME. 15 WHAT HAPPENED AT 1:00 O'CLOCK? 16 Q NO ONE ANSWERED THE PHONE. 17 WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER THAT? 18 Q WHILE I WAS SITTING THERE, HE TRIED SEVERAL MORE 19 TIMES AND WE WERE REALLY JUST SITTING THERE AND AT AROUND 20 2:30, I DECIDED THAT I WOULD TRY TO CONTACT CARMEN'S FATHER, 21 TO SEE IF THERE WAS A PROBLEM IN MEETING WITH CARMEN, SINCE 22 SHE HAD AGREED TO MEET WITH US BEFORE. 23 24 DID YOU CONTACT CARMEN'S FATHER? Q 25 YES, AT 2:30. Α WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU TALKED TO HIM? 26 Q 27 I CALLED HIM AT HIS PLACE OF BUSINESS. A⁻ DID YOU TELL HIM WHO YOU WERE? 28

5A

A YES.

I TOLD HIM I WAS A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FROM
THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, SANTA MONICA BRANCH OFFICE, AND
THAT I WAS DOWN TO TALK TO CARMEN AND CHINO ABOUT WHAT THEY
HAD TOLD THE TUCSON POLICE OFFICERS AND ALSO TOLD YOU.

Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU TALKED TO HIM?

THERE WAS A FAMILY LAWYER BY THE NAME OF LEE LARSEN AND THAT
HE WOULD LIKE TO CONSULT WITH THAT LAWYER BEFORE HE MADE

ANY PROMISES TO ME REGARDING AN INTERVIEW.

26

27

28

AND AFTER HE SAID HE WANTED TO CONSULT WITH A LAWYER. WHAT DID YOU DO?

I SAID, "OKAY" AND I GAVE HIM A NUMBER WHERE

HE SAID, "NO. JUST PHONE ME BACK IN ABOUT 10

- DID YOU DO THAT?
- YES, I CALLED HIM BACK.
- WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU CALLED HIM BACK?

HE SAID THAT HE TALKED TO HIS LAWYER AND THAT IT WAS OKAY FOR AN INTERVIEW TO BE SET UP, BUT HE WOULD PREFER FOR US TO NOT ASK CARMEN ANY QUESTIONS AND, RATHER, THAT SHE COULD DO AN IDENTI KIT OF THE PERSON THAT SHE SAW.

AND THE PURPOSE, IN MY MIND, FOR THE IDENTI KIT WAS WE WERE GOING TO BE DIVIDING UP INTO TEAMS AND THAT ONE TEAM WAS GOING TO BE TAKING THE IDENTI KIT TO GAY BARS TO SEE 1F THEY COULD FIND THAT PERSON AND ANOTHER PERSON WAS GOING TO BE TAKING IT TO CLASSIC CAR DEALERSHIPS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINDING THE PERSON, BECAUSE SHE DESCRIBED THE CAR AS BEING A CLASSIC TYPE CAR.

AND WHEN HER FATHER SAID IT WAS OKAY FOR YOU TO TALK TO HER ABOUT THE IDENTI KIT, WHAT HAPPENED THEN?

HE GAVE US THE DIRECTIONS TO A HOUSE IN RIO RICO, WHICH IS SOUTH OF TUCSON NEAR THE MEXICAN BORDER WHERE THE FAMILY HAD LIKE A VACATION HOUSE, AND THAT IS WHERE EVERYONE WOULD BE THERE.

Q AND AFTER HE GAVE YOU THE DIRECTIONS, WHAT HAPPENED?

HE GAVE US THE DIRECTIONS. HE TOLD ME TO BE THERE AROUND 7:00 O'CLOCK AND THEN WE JUST STAYED AT THE POLICE STATION WITH NOT TERRIBLY MUCH TO DO.

28 A I SAID THAT I WAS A D.A. AND THAT I WAS FROM

WHAT DID YOU SAY?

27

Q

1 2 AND HE WOULD RUN AFTER HIM. 3 Q 4 A YES. 5 6 7 8 PROSECUTOR FOR TUCSON, ALSO." 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 TO DISCUSS THE DATE, WHY THAT WAS IMPORTANT? 16 A YES. 17 WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM? Q 18 19 20 CREDIT CARD. 21 22 23 Q 24 25 THE DATE, IS THAT CORRECT? 26 A CORRECT. 27

Q

28

LOS ANGELES AND I WAS TRYING TO GET IN TOUCH WITH LEE LARSEN. HE SAID THAT LEE WAS JUST LEAVING THE COURTROOM AND DID MR. LARSEN EVENTUALLY COME TO THE PHONE? Q WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HIM, WHAT HAPPENED? I TOLD HIM WHO I WAS AND WHAT I WAS DOWN IN TUCSON FOR AND HE SAID, "OH, I UNDERSTAND BECAUSE I USED TO BE A AND HE SAID, "I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO DO. BUT THEY WOULD PREFER HER NOT TO BE INTERVIEWED." BUT IT WAS OKAY FOR US TO GO DOWN THERE TO GET AN IDENTI KIT FROM CHINO AND CARMEN AND ALSO TO DISCUSS THE DATE ON WHICH THEY HAD SEEN THIS PERSON AT THE GAS STATION. Q DID YOU TELL MR. LARSEN WHY IT WAS THAT YOU WANTED A WE THOUGHT THAT IF WE COULD GET A DATE, SHE HAD BELIEVED THAT THE PERSON WHO SHE HAD SEEN HAD PAID WITH A AND WE INTENDED TO GET THE CREDIT CARD RECEIPTS AND WE COULD FIND IT BY THE CREDIT CARD RECEIPTS. AND WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. LARSEN, HE SAID IT WAS OKAY TO GO DOWN AND TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE INDENTI KIT AND

DID HE GIVE YOU ANY INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT TALKING

28

ARRIVE?

A A GOOD HOUR.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

	Q	ANI	CAHW C	r HAI	PPE	NED	WHEN	CARME	EN GOT	THER	E? .	
WELL,	FIRST	OF	ALL,	LET	ME	ASK	YOU,	DID	CARMEN	AND	CHINO	COME
TOGETH	HER?											

A YES.

Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THEY GOT THERE?

A IT WAS SOME BIRTHDAY PARTY FOR A BROTHER OF HERS,
I THINK.

AND SHE BROUGHT SOMETHING, SOME FOOD WITH HER AND SHE PUT THE FOOD DOWN.

I WOULD SAY WITHIN 45 SECONDS, SHE WAS IN WITH SERGEANT EDHOLM, DOING THE IDENTI KIT.

Q BEFORE SHE WENT IN WITH DETECTIVE EDHOLM TO DO THE INDENT! KIT, DID YOU INTRODUCE YOURSELF TO HER?

A I DID.

THE WITNESS: IN MY OFFICE.

MR. WAPNER: WE CAN PRODUCE IT LATER FOR COUNSEL, IF 1 HE WANTS TO INSPECT IT. 2 MR. BARENS: I HAVE NEVER SEEN ONE. 3 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 4 BY MR. WAPNER: AND WHEN YOU TOLD HER THAT YOU 5 WERE A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DID YOU SAY ANYTHING ELSE 6 AT THAT TIME? 7 A SHE SEEMED TO KNOW WHO I WAS AND BE EXPECTING 8 US. AND I WOULD SAY SHE LITERALLY STAYED IN THE ROOM 45 SECONDS 9 10 INDENTI KIT. 11 Q AND WHEN SHE DID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO? 12 13 14 15 TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT. 16 17 THIS PERSON WHO WAS THE EXPLORER SCOUT? 18 A ABOUT AN HOUR. 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE SHE WENT INTO THE ROOM WITH HER FATHER TO DO THE A I STAYED IN THE -- IT WAS A LIVING ROOM, WITH CHINO AND THERE WAS A FRIEND OF HIS WHOSE NAME I DON'T REMEMBER. BUT HE WAS HAWAIIAN. AND HE WAS AN EXPLORER SCOUT FOR THE AND HOW LONG WERE YOU IN THAT ROOM WITH CHIND AND Q AND HOW MUCH OF THAT HOUR DID YOU SPEND TALKING TO THE EXPLORER SCOUT AND HOW MUCH DID YOU SPEND TALKING TO CHINO? A CHINO DIDN'T WANT TO TALK TO ME. I HAD RECEIVED ORDERS NOT TO TALK TO HIM, ANYWAY. SO I SPENT THE MAJORITY OF THE TIME EITHER IN SILENCE OR TALKING WITH THE EXPLORER SCOUT. DID YOU EVER TELL CHINO THAT YOU DIDN'T BELIEVE

HIM?

1	A BELIEVE HIM ABOUT WHAT?
2	Q ABOUT ANYTHING?
3	A NO.
4	Q DID YOU EVER TRY TO DISSUADE HIM FROM COMING HERE
5	TO TESTIFY AS A WITNESS?
6	A I DIDN'T TALK ABOUT THAT AT ALL, NO.
7	THE COURT: DID YOU DISCUSS INDICATE WITH EITHER ONE
8	OF THE PERSONS WHO TESTIFIED HERE AND MADE THE IDENTIFICATION,
9	ABOUT THE CASE AT ALL, ABOUT THE PROSPECTS OF CONVICTION AND
10	THINGS OF THAT KIND?
11	THE WITNESS: NO. NO, SHE ASKED ME WHY SUCH A FUSS WAS
12	BEING MADE. CARMEN ASKED ME THAT.
13	Q BY MR. WAPNER: WAS THAT AT SOME POINT LATER?
14	A YES.
15	MR. WAPNER: CAN WE GET TO THAT IN SEQUENCE?
16	THE COURT: GO AHEAD. I THOUGHT IT WAS AT THIS CONFERENCE
17	Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU TELL CHING IF IN FACT YOU
18	WERE WITH HIM AND THE EXPLORER SCOUT, THAT YOU HAD BEEN TOLD
19	NOT TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT THE CASE?
20	A YES. 1T WAS SLIGHTLY UNCOMFORTABLE BECAUSE HE
21	WAS SITTING THERE. I COULDN'T AND DIDN'T WANT TO SAY ANYTHING.
22	AND THE EXPLORER SCOUT WAS THERE. SO THIS
23	EXPLORER SCOUT AND I JUST STARTED CHATTING ABOUT RAPE VICTIMS.
24	Q WAS HE ASKING YOU QUESTIONS?
25	A YES. I HAD HAD A LOT OF EXPERIENCE WITH RAPE
26	CASES AND HE HAD JUST HAD ONE. AND HE WAS ASKING ME ABOUT
27	THE PROSECUTION OF RAPE CASES.

Q INCIDENTALLY, HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED IN THE D.A.'S

1	OFFICE?	
2	Α	I HAVE BEEN A D.A. FOR ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF YEARS.
3	Q	BEFORE THAT, WHERE DID YOU WORK?
4	Α	I WAS A CITY ATTORNEY FOR ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF.
5	Q	THAT IS THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
6	А	YES.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		·
28		

7 F

MR. BARENS: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCY ABOUT RAPE, UNLESS THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT IS THE LAST QUESTION ON O AND THE EXPLORER SCOUT HAD HAD THIS RAPE CASE SO HE WAS INTERESTED IN IT AND WANTED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT Q AND AFTER YOU FINISHED TALKING WITH THE EXPLORER SCOUT, AT SOME POINT DID CARMEN FINISH HER IDENTI KIT OR AND WHAT HAPPENED -- WHEN DID YOU NEXT SEE HER? WHEN SHE CAME OUT OF THIS LITTLE ROOM IN WHICH SHE HAD BEEN WITH DETECTIVE EDHOLM AND HER FATHER, SHE CAME AND HOW LONG WAS SHE IN THERE ALTOGETHER, IF AND WHEN SHE CAME OUT, WHAT HAPPENED? A SHE CAME OUT, SAYING THAT SHE COULDN'T DO . IT, THAT IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT. AND SHE WAS UPSET AND

```
SEEMED TIRED. AND THAT WAS IT.
1
                AND DID YOU TALK TO HER AT THAT POINT?
2
                 SHE CAME OUT AND SHE ASKED ME SOME QUESTIONS.
3
                WHAT DID SHE SAY?
                SHE -- WELL. FIRST SHE STARTED TALKING ABOUT
5
     WHAT SHE HAD SEEN AND WHAT HAD HAPPENED AT THAT GAS STATION.
6
                WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN SHE SAID THAT?
7
           A 1 TOLD HER THAT I HAD GIVEN HER LAWYER MY PROMISE
8
     AS A DA THAT SHE WASN'T GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT THAT, I
9
     ASKED HER NOT TO TALK ABOUT THAT.
10
                 I DIDN'T EVEN BRING IN A NOTE PAD WITH ME TO
11
    MAKE ANY NOTES.
12
                WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU TOLD HER THAT?
13
           Q
             SHE HAD A TENDENCY TO TALK AND SHE JUST TALKED
14
     A LOT, AND SO I WOULD SAY ABOUT THREE OR FOUR TIMES, SHE
15
16
     STARTED TALKING AND SHE WOULD TALK ABOUT THAT AND I ASKED
     HER, YOU KNOW, NOT TO TALK ABOUT THAT AND SHE --
17
18
           Q
             AND --
19
                OH, I AM SORRY.
                AND WHEN YOU WOULD ASK HER NOT TO TALK ABOUT
20
     THAT, DID SHE AGAIN HAVE A TENDENCY TO START TALKING ABOUT
21
22
     IT AGAIN?
23
          Α
                A FEW TIMES.
24
                DURING THE COURSE OF HER TALKING TO YOU --
           0
                 WELL, DID YOU EVER TELL HER THAT YOU WERE ANYTHING
25
26
     OTHER THAN A LAWYER?
27
                 NO.
           Α
28
                 DID YOU TELL HER THAT SHE WAS MESSING UP ALL
           Q
```

7 – 2

OF THE INVESTIGATIVE WORK AND THAT SHE HAD BETTER BE SURE BECAUSE OF ALL OF THE WORK THAT HAD BEEN DONE? Α NO. Q DID YOU EVER TRY TO DISSUADE HER FROM COMING HERE AND TESTIFYING AS A WITNESS? Α NOT AT ALL. AND IN FACT, HADN'T ONE OF YOUR PURPOSES IN GOING DOWN THERE BEEN TO BECOME A LIAISON WITH HER AND MR. LOPEZ? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, "IN FACT," IS LEADING. THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES. THAT IS KIND OF IRONIC.

- 3

28

1 DID YOU EVER GIVE HER YOUR CARD? Q 2 Α YES. 3 AND DID YOU SEE WHAT SHE DID WITH IT? Q WHEN I GAVE IT TO HER, SHE TOOK IT. 4 Α 5 DID SHE EVER THROW IT DOWN ON THE FLOOR OR ANY-Q 6 THING? THROW IT DOWN ON THE GROUND IN FRONT OF ME? 7 8 Q YES. 9 Α NO. DID YOU EVER ASK HER ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE 10 Q CASE AT ALL OR WHAT SHE HAD SEEN IN THE GAS STATION? 11 12 Α NO. 13 SHE ASKED ME QUESTIONS. 14 DID YOU ATTEMPT TO GET FROM HER AND HER FATHER Q 15 THE DATE THAT SHE HAD BEEN AT THE GAS STATION? 16 А YES. 17 WHILE CHINO WAS DOING THE IDENTI KIT, SHE WAS 18 OUTSIDE IN THE LIVING ROOM AND THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS IS, 19 SHE STARTED TALKING A FEW TIMES ABOUT THE CASE AND I ASKED 20 HER NOT TO AND THEN SHE ASKED ME SOME QUESTIONS AND I ANSWERED 21 THEM. 22 AND THEN CHING CAME OUT OF THE ROOM AND HE HAD 23 DONE ONE, SO SHE WANTED TO SEE WHAT HE HAD DONE, SO SHE AND 24 I, AND I BELIEVE HER FATHER, WENT INTO THIS ROOM WHERE THEY 25 WERE DOING THIS AND SHE LOOKED AT IT AND SHE SAID THAT THAT 26 WASN'T IT AT ALL, THAT IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE IT AT ALL.

AND WE WENT INTO THE BACK OF THE LIVING ROOM AREA WHERE HE

AND THEN WE LEFT AND WENT OUT TO THE LIVING ROOM --

```
HAS A LITTLE OFFICE AND HE OPENED UP HIS DATE BOOK AND HE
1
2
     SAID HE COULD TELL BY LOOKING AT THE DATE BOOK WHAT DATE
3
    THEY HAD BEEN TO THAT CAFE NAPOLI, WHICH APPARENTLY THEY
    HAD BEEN THERE THAT NIGHT BECAUSE HE HAD BEEN OUT OF TOWN
5
    AND IT WAS WRITTEN ON HIS DATE BOOK.
6
                DID YOU GET A DATE FROM HIM?
           Q
7
                YES.
8
              AT SOME TIME. DID YOU TRY TO CHECK. DID YOU TALK
9
    TO PEOPLE IN TUCSON, INCLUDING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, TRYING
10
    TO CONFIRM THE DATE THAT SHE SAID SHE HAD MISSED CLASS?
11
          A I TALKED TO DETECTIVE MEYERS. HE SAID HE WAS
12
    DOING ALL OF THE COORDINATING WITH CARMEN.
13
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
14
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY EXAMINE.
15
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16
17
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. BARENS:
19
               MS. HART, YOU WORK IN MR. WAPNER'S OFFICE, DO
20
    YOU?
21
                YES, MY OFFICE IS DOWN THE HALL FROM HIS.
22
                AND I GUESS YOU HAVE WORKED TOGETHER EVER SINCE
23
    YOU CAME IN THE OFFICE?
24
                EVER SINCE I HAVE BEEN IN SANTA MONICA.
          Α
25
          Q
                AND THAT IS FOUR AND A HALF YEARS?
26
                I HAVE BEEN IN SANTA MONICA FOR ABOUT A YEAR
          Α
27
    AND FOUR MONTHS.
```

WAS MR. WAPNER WORKING ON THE HUNT CASE WHEN

28

Q

CASE.

```
WHO TOLD YOU ABOUT THE PITTMAN CASE?
          Q
1
                MAYBE FRED AND MAYBE SOMEBODY ELSE IN THE OFFICE.
2
3
    I DON'T SPECIFICALLY RECALL.
                SOME OTHER D.A. IN THE OFFICE?
4
          Q
5
          Α
                POSSIBLY.
                AND WHEN WAS IT THAT YOU FIRST FOUND OUT ABOUT
6
    THE JOE HUNT CASE?
7
8
               AS I SAID, I DON'T SPECIFICALLY RECALL THE EXACT
    DATE SOMEBODY MENTIONED THE CASE IN THE OFFICE.
9
10
          Q
                DID ANYBODY EVER TELL YOU ABOUT THE JOE HUNT CASE?
          А
                WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
11
                WELL, DID ANYBODY EVER TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT
12
    THAT CASE THAT YOUR OFFICE WAS WORKING ON?
13
                SURE.
14
          Α
15
                WHO WAS THAT?
          0
16
          А
                PROBABLY FRED.
17
          Q
                AND WHEN WAS THAT?
18
          А
                WELL, IT IS HARD TO ANSWER WHEN BECAUSE WE CHAT
19
    ABOUT OUR CASES ALL OF THE TIME.
20
            SO, PICKING OUT A SPECIFIC DATE ABOUT SOMETHING
          Q
21
    IS A LITTLE DIFFICULT?
22
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
23
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
24
          Q BY MR. BARENS: WELL IN ANY EVENT, OUT OF THE MANY
25
    TIMES -- DID YOU TALK ABOUT THE JOE HUNT CASE MANY TIMES?
26
                MORE SINCE THE TRIAL WAS COMING UP THAN BEFORE.
27
                THE TRIAL WAS COMING UP IN NOVEMBER OF 1986?
          Q
28
                YES.
```

```
AND YOU HAD MORE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT IT THEN?
1
          0
2
          Α
                YES.
               I GUESS YOU CAN'T REMEMBER ANY OF THE DATES OF
3
          Q
4
    THOSE DISCUSSIONS EITHER?
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
5
6
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
             BY MR. BARENS: AND DURING THOSE DISCUSSIONS, WERE
7
8
    YOU TOLD ABOUT WHAT THIS CASE WAS ABOUT FACT-WISE?
               A LITTLE BIT AND I READ THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE.
9
          Α
10
               AND DID YOU READ ANYTHING ELSE?
          Q
                I HAVE READ ARTICLES IN THE PAPER ABOUT IT.
11
          Α
                DID YOU READ ANY POLICE REPORTS?
12
          Q
                1 DON'T THINK I EVER HAVE READ ANY POLICE REPORTS.
13
                DID YOU READ ANYTHING ELSE? YOU READ NEWSPAPER
14
           Q
    ARTICLES AND THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE. WHAT ELSE DID YOU READ?
15
               1 READ A TRANSCRIPT OF ONE PERSON'S TESTIMONY.
16
          Α
17
             WHOSE TESTIMONY?
           Q
18
                DEAN KARNY'S TESTIMONY.
          Α
19
                INDEED. AND AFTER YOU READ MR. KARNY'S TESTIMONY,
           0
    I GUESS YOU HAD SOME FEEL FOR WHAT YOUR OFFICE'S THEORY OF
20
21
    THE CASE WAS, DID YOU NOT?
22
           THE COURT: ON THE COURT'S OWN MOTION, I WILL SUSTAIN
23
    AN OBJECTION. LET'S PROCEED WITH WHAT SHE KNOWS ABOUT THE
24
    CASE THAT IS MATERIAL TO THE CASE.
25
                 LET'S GET ON, PLEASE. SHE HAS TESTIFIED
26
    SPECIFICALLY AS TO WHAT HAPPENED IN ARIZONA.
27
           MR. BARENS: FINE, YOUR HONOR. I THINK THAT HER
```

ORIENTATION --

```
1
           THE COURT: I MADE A RULING NOW. GO AHEAD.
           Q
                 BY MR. BARENS: WELL, CERTAINLY BY THE TIME --
2
     LET'S SEE. YOU WERE FIRST ASKED TO GO TO ARIZONA WHEN?
3
             I BELIEVE IT WAS AROUND NOVEMBER 25.
4
                BEFORE YOU WENT TO ARIZONA, HAD YOU EVER READ A
5
     DESCRIPTION OF RON LEVIN?
6
7
                 JUST THAT WHICH WAS IN THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE.
                AND DID YOU EVER READ ANY OF THE DESCRIPTION OF
8
     RON LEVIN FROM ANY OF THE MATERIALS IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE OTHER
     THAN THE RON LEVIN ARTICLE?
10
11
          A YOU MEAN THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE?
                SORRY. THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE?
12
           Q
           Α
13
                 NO.
                DID YOU EVER TALK TO ANYBODY ABOUT A DESCRIPTION
14
    OF RON LEVIN BEFORE YOU WENT TO TUCSON?
15
16
          Α
                NO.
                HAD YOU EVER SEEN HIM BEFORE YOU WENT TO TUCSON?
17
          Q
18
          Α
                NO.
19
                DID YOU EVER SEE A PICTURE OF HIM BEFORE YOU WENT
          Q
20
    TO TUCSON?
21
          Α
                I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
22
                YOU DIDN'T SEE ONE IN THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE, DID
          Q
23
    YOU?
24
               I SAW THE SKETCH IN THE ESQUIRE ARTICLE BUT I DIDN'T
25
    SEE ANY PICTURES.
26
               I SEE. ASIDE FROM THAT, YOU DIDN'T SEE ANY
27
    PICTURE, RIGHT?
28
          Α
                RIGHT.
```

1	Q IS THAT CORRECT?
2	A YES.
3	Q OKAY. SO, WHO ASKED YOU TO GO TO TUCSON?
4	A MY SUPERVISOR, JIM BASQUE.
5	Q WHEN HE ASKED YOU TO GO, WHY DID HE TELL YOU HE
6	WAS ASKING YOU TO GO?
7	A HE ASKED ME TO GO TO BE A LIAISON BETWEEN OUR OFFIC
8	AND CARMEN AND CHINO, IN CASE THEIR COOPERATION WAS NECESSARY
9	AND THEY NEEDED A CONTACT PERSON IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE.
10	I WAS SUPPOSED TO MAINTAIN A GOOD RELATIONSHIP
11	WITH THEM SO THAT THEY COULD CALL SOMEBODY WHO WAS NOT GOING
12	TO BE IN TRIAL EVERY DAY.
13	I WAS SUPPOSED TO GO IN CASE THE INVESTIGATORS
14	HAD ANY QUESTIONS, LEGAL QUESTIONS THAT NEEDED THE ASSISTANCE
15	OF A LAWYER AND YOU KNOW, JUST REPORT BACK TO THE D.A.'S
16	OFFICE WHAT HAPPENED.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
DID YOU FEEL THAT MS. CANCHOLA OR MR. LOPEZ HAD
1
          0
2
    INVITED YOU TO COME TO TUCSON TO SPEAK TO THEM, THEN?
3
          A I WAS ASKED BY MY OFFICE TO GO. I DIDN'T GET THE
    FFFLING THAT THEY INVITED ME. I NEVER MET THEM BEFORE.
4
          Q DID YOU EVER TELL THEM ON THE PHONE THAT YOU WERE
5
    COMING DOWN?
6
               NO. JIM MEYERS WAS HANDLING THAT.
7
          Q BEFORE YOU LEFT, WERE YOU GIVEN ANY SORT OF A
8
9
    BRIEFING ABOUT WHAT HAD OCCURRED WITH THESE PEOPLE?
10
               YES.
          А
            AND HOW GAVE YOU THAT BRIEFING?
11
          0
12
               FRED WAPNER. AND I LISTENED TO TAPES.
          Α
               YOU LISTENED TO A TAPE THAT THEY MADE WITH CERTAIN
13
          Q
14
    POLICE PEOPLE AND WITH MR. WAPNER?
15
          A YES.
16
               AND DID YOU LISTEN TO THAT MORE THAN ONCE OR JUST
          Q
17
    ONCE?
18
          Α
               JUST ONE TIME.
19
               DID YOU READ ANY MATERIAL?
          0
               I THINK I READ A REPORT FROM THE TUCSON POLICE
20
21
    DEPARTMENT BUT I DON'T BELIEVE I RECEIVED THAT UNTIL I GOT
22
    TO TUCSON.
23
          Q DID YOU LISTEN TO ALL OF THE TAPES?
24
               I LISTENED TO MOST OF THE TAPES.
          Α
25
                SCME YOU DID NOT LISTEN TO?
          Q
26
          А
                I FAST-FORWARDED SOME PARTS.
27
                I SEE. SO I GUESS WHEN YOU WENT TO TUCSON, YOU
28
    WERE PRETTY WELL FAMILIAR WITH WHAT THEY HAD TO SAY ABOUT AN
```

3-5

```
IDENTIFICATION THEY HAD MADE IN THE GAS STATION?
1
               YES.
          Α
2
             AND DID YOU KNOW ABOUT THEM HAVING SELECTED A
          0
3
    CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPH OUT OF A POLICE LINEUP?
4
               YES.
          Α
5
                AND YOU KNEW EACH ONE OF THEM HAD DONE THAT?
          0
6
          А
                NO.
7
               YOU DIDN'T KNOW THEY BOTH HAD MADE A SELECTION?
8
               IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME THAT -- MAYBE
9
    I WAS WRONG -- THAT CARMEN HAD MADE A TENTATIVE SELECTION.
10
          Q A TENTATIVE SELECTION? WHO TOLD YOU THE WORD
11
    "TENTATIVE"?
12
          A I AM NOT SURE IT WAS THE EXACT WORD. BUT THAT
13
    WAS MY UNDERSTANDING.
14
          Q THAT IS YOUR WORD, IS IT NOT?
15
               YES, MY WORD.
          Д
16
               NO ONE USED THAT WORD?
17
          A THAT IS JUST MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WAS TOLD
18
    TO ME. THAT IS JUST MY STATE OF MIND.
19
          O I SEE. DID MR. WAPNER EVER TELL YOU THAT HER
20
21
    IDENTIFICATION WAS TENTATIVE?
          A I DON'T REMEMBER PRECISELY THE WORDS HE USED.
22
          O DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT SHE HAD SAID AT THAT
23
    TIME THAT SHE WAS 90 PERCENT SURE?
24
          A I DON'T RECALL KNOWING IF THAT IS WHAT SHE SAID --
25
    THAT THAT IS WHAT SHE SAID, THAT SHE WAS 90 PERCENT SURE.
26
          Q DIDN'T YOU HEAR ON THE TAPE THAT YOU PLAYED, HER
27
28
    SAY THAT SHE WAS --
```

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE. 1 THE COURT: HE IS ASKING WHETHER SHE HEARD ANYTHING ON 2 THE TAPE. WAS THERE ANYTHING ON THE TAPE ABOUT HER SAYING 3 SHE WAS 90 PERCENT SURE? THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL HEARING THAT ON THE TAPE. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 6 Q BY MR. BARENS: YOU DON'T RECALL WHETHER SHE SAID . 7 THAT OR DID YOU HEAR ANYTHING -- DID YOU HEAR HER SAY ANYTHING 8 ABOUT HOW SURE SHE WAS ON THE TAPE? I DON'T RECALL KNOWING AT THAT TIME, THAT THAT 10 PORTION OF HER IDENTITY WAS ON THE TAPE. 11 Q DID YOU LISTEN TO THE TAPE OF CHINO LOPEZ? 12 A I AM TRYING TO REMEMBER. I WAS LISTENING TO THE 13 TAPE WITH MY WALKMAN. I FAST-FORWARDED PORTIONS OF IT. 14 I BELIEVE I MAY HAVE HEARD PORTIONS OF CHINO. 15 BUT I AM NOT CERTAIN. 16 O WERE YOU BRIEFED BY ANYONE BESIDES MR. WAPNER 17 BEFORE YOU WENT TO TUCSON? 18 A I BELIEVE MR. MACMAC, ALSO. 19 Q AND HE HAD BEEN PRESENT DURING THE INTERVIEW? 20 RIGHT. HE HAD GONE DOWN TO TUCSON WITH FRED 21 22 WAPNER. Q HE TOLD YOU ABOUT STUFF YOU HEARD ABOUT ON THE 23 24 TAPE THAT YOU LISTENED TO? A THEY BRIEFED ME FIRST. I LISTENED TO THE TAPE 25 ON THE PLANE ON THE WAY DOWN THERE. I HAPPENED IN A SHORT 26 27 PERIOD OF TIME. Q I SEE. YOU WERE GOING TO GO DOWN THERE TO MAKE

28

C

```
ARRANGEMENTS TO FACILITATE THEIR COMING TO LOS ANGELES?
1
                NO.
2
               I SEE. YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY ARRANGEMENTS FOR THEM
3
    TO TAKE A FLIGHT TO BE PRESENT AT THE TRIAL, DID YOU?
          A THAT WAS NOT MY REASON FOR GOING AT THAT TIME.
5
               I SEE. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU GO?
          Q
                JUST ONE TIME.
7
               I SEE. NOW --
8
          THE COURT: I DON'T WANT YOU TO SAY "I SEE," EVERY TIME
9
    SHE ANSWERS. THIS IS GETTING A LITTLE MONOTONOUS.
10
          MR. BARENS: 1 SEE, YOUR HONOR.
11
          THE COURT: DO YOU SEE THAT, TOO?
12
          MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.
13
          O WHEN YOU SAY THAT YOU WERE GOING TO ASK FOR SOME
14
    SORT OF -- GOING TO BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER LEGAL QUESTIONS
15
    WHEN YOU GOT THERE, WHAT SORT OF LEGAL QUESTIONS?
16
         A IF THEY HAD ANY. I AM NOT CERTAIN THAT THERE WERE
17
    ANY THAT WERE TO COME UP. BUT I WAS AVAILABLE IF SOMETHING
18
    SHOULD ARISE AND THEY NEEDED A D.A. TO BE THERE.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

3 - 1

```
A I DON' T KNOW WHO WAS A MEMBER OF THE FAMILY
1
    AND WHO WAS A GUEST.
2
                THIS TONY WASN'T A MEMBER OF THE FAMILY AND HE
3
    WAS THERE.
       Q DID THERE SEEM TO BE OTHER PERSONS PRESENT BESIDES
    TONY?
6
               I DON'T KNOW.
          Α
                THAT WAS THE ONLY ONE YOU MET?
8
          Q
                RIGHT, AND THE BROTHER.
9
               WHY DID YOU GO THERE AT 7:00 P.M.?
          Q
10
                THAT WAS THE TIME MR. CANCHOLA WANTED US TO GO
11
    THERE.
12
         Q HAD YOU EVER CALLED CARMEN OR CHINO WHEN YOU
13
    GOT TO TUCSON?
         A WE CALLED CARMEN AND SHE WASN'T HOME, AND WE
15
    CALLED CHINO AND I DID SPEAK WITH CHINO.
16
         Q HAD YOU LOOKED AT ANY POLICE LINEUP PHOTOGRAPHS
17
    BEFORE YOU GOT THERE?
18
         A I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
19
          Q HAD YOU LOOKED AT THE LINEUP CARD THAT WAS
20
    SHOWN TO CHING AND CARMEN?
21
          A I REMEMBER SEEING 1T, BUT 1 DON'T BELIEVE I SAW
22
23
     IT BEFORE I WENT DOWN THERE.
24
          Q
               WHEN DID YOU SEE IT?
                I BELIEVE I SAW IT WHEN I WAS DOWN THERE.
25
          Α
26
                WHILE YOU WERE THERE?
          Q
27
                YES.
28
                AND WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW IT?
```

PΑ

THAT CARMEN AND CHINO HAD SAID. WE TALKED ABOUT THE PHONE SYSTEM THAT HE HAD ESTABLISHED WITH CARMEN AND WE TALKED ABOUT TRYING TO GET AHOLD OF HER. Q AND YOU WERE TOLD ABOUT THE FACT THAT THEY HAD MADE SOME SORT OF AN IDENTIFICATION AGAIN, BY DETECTIVE MEYERS? A 1 DO NOT SPECIFICALLY RECALL MEYERS SAYING THAT. Q HE NEVER TOLD YOU THAT THEY HAD TAKEN A PICTURE OUT OF A POLICE LINEUP? A NO. Q YOU WERE NOT AWARE THAT THEY HAD MADE A POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION?

26

27

28

STATION?

A I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

Q WELL, DID DETECTIVE MEYERS TELL YOU SOMETHING

CONCERNING LOCATING THE PERSON THAT WAS SEEN IN THE GAS

28

UP, AS A SUSPECT?

THE WITNESS: NOT IN MY PRESENCE.

ABOUT GAY BARS AND PARKING LOTS? 1 THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHERE THE GAY BARS 2 WERE SO THAT WHEN WE GOT THIS IDENTI KIT FROM CARMEN, WHICH 3 WAS SUPPOSED TO BE IN THE EARLY AFTERNOON, THE OFFICERS WHO WERE NOT FROM THAT TOWN WOULD KNOW WHERE TO GO. 5 Q AND AS FAR AS YOU KNEW UP UNTIL THAT POINT, DID 6 ANYONE TELL YOU THAT ANYBODY HAD BEEN LOOKING FOR THE PERSON 7 THAT WAS SEEN IN THE GAS STATION? 8 A NO ONE TOLD ME THAT. 9 OKAY. DO YOU KNOW IF ANYONE HAD GONE TO ANY 10 CAR LOTS OR GAY BARS, LOOKING FOR A PERSON, BY THE TIME 11 YOU GOT TO TUCSON? 12 A NO. 13 DID YOU KNOW WHETHER ANY SILVER-HAIRED MEN HAD 14 BEEN ROUNDED UP BY THE TUCSON POLICE FOR INVESTIGATION BY 15 16 THAT TIME? A NOT AT THAT TIME. 17 HOW ABOUT LATER? Q 18 A LATER, SOMEBODY FROM OUR OFFICE DISCOVERED SOME-19 BODY WHO HAD A CLASSIC CAR THAT WAS SIMILAR IN COLOR AND 20 THE PERSON WHO OWNED THAT CAR HAD A VERY SIMILAR DESCRIPTION 21 WITH THE ONE WITH WHICH CARMEN HAD GIVEN. 22 Q DID CARMEN OR CHINO EVER SAY THAT WAS THE PERSON 23 THEY SAW IN THE GAS STATION? 24 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. THAT CALLS FOR HEARSAY. 25 THE COURT: DID THEY EVER VIEW THIS MAN THAT WAS PICKED 26

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD. 2 Q BY MR. BARENS: NOW YOU SAY THAT WHEN YOU ARRIVED 3 AT THE HOUSE, THEY SEEMED TO KNOW WHO YOU WERE? 4 A YES. 5 HOW WOULD THEY KNOW WHO YOU WERE? 6 I WOULD ONLY BE GUESSING, BUT I ASSUME THAT MR. 7 CANCHOLA HAD TOLD THEM THAT WE WERE COMING DOWN. 8 YOU DON'T KNOW THAT, DO YOU? 9 А NO. 10 AND YOU SAY THAT YOU THOUGHT THEY SEEMED TO BE 11 EXPECTING YOU? 12 A YES. 13 AND WHEN THEY ARRIVED AT THE HOUSE, YOU HAD BEEN 14 THERE FOR AN HOUR? 15 А YES. 16 WHY DO YOU SAY THEY SEEMED TO BE EXPECTING YOU? 17 BECAUSE WHEN THEY WALKED IN, THEY DIDN'T SAY 18 "WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE? WHAT IS GOING ON?" 19 THEY WALKED IN AND SAID, "HERE WE ARE. SORRY 20 WE ARE LATE." 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

A 1 DON'T REMEMBER HER USING WORDS TO THAT EFFECT.

```
I REMEMBER HER SAYING, "I CAN'T DO IT. IT IS TOO DIFFICULT."
1
                YOU NEVER HEARD HER SAY ANYTHING LIKE WELL,
2
    THROUGH THE INDENTI KIT, I CREATED THE PERSON I SAW IN THE
3
    GAS STATION?
                NO. SHE NEVER SAID THAT. SHE JUST ASKED FOR A
          Α
5
    PICTURE.
6
                SHE ASKED FOR A PICTURE?
          0
7
                SHE SAID, "IF YOU JUST SHOW ME THE PICTURE OF THE
8
    PERSON --" AND I EXPLAINED TO HER THAT WE COULDN'T DO THAT.
9
                SO YOU DIDN'T DO THAT?
          0
10
          Α
                NO.
11
               WHEN SHE STARTED TO SPEAK TO YOU, YOU SAY THREE
          0
12
    OR FOUR TIMES YOU HAD TO TELL HER TO STOP ASKING YOU QUESTIONS?
13
               NO. I DIDN'T MIND HER ASKING ME QUESTIONS. I
14
    TOLD HER THAT SHE SHOULDN'T TALK ABOUT WHAT SHE HAD SEEN
15
    BECAUSE I PROMISED HER LAWYER THAT WE WOULDN'T BE TALKING ABOUT
16
    THAT.
17
                YOU TOLD HER THAT THREE OR FOUR TIMES?
          Q
18
                YES.
          Δ
19
                YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THE ROOM, DID YOU?
          Q
20
                SORRY?
          A
21
                YOU DIDN'T LEAVE THE ROOM DID YOU, WHEL SHE WAS
22
          Q
     TALKING?
23
          Α
                 NO.
24
                WHEN SHE WAS SPEAKING TO YOU, WAS THERE ANYBODY
25
           Q
     ELSE PRESENT IN THE ROOM?
26
                YES.
27
           Α
                WHO WAS THAT?
```

Q

Α THE EXPLORER SCOUT. 1 TONY BRUNO? Q 2 YES. Α 3 Q WHO ELSE? I BELIEVE HER FATHER WAS ALSO THERE. Α 5 HOW ABOUT HER BROTHER? Q 6 HER BROTHER CAME IN FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. 7 THEN HE STARTED CHATTING WITH ME ABOUT LOS ANGELES BECAUSE 8 HE HAD WORKED IN A MC DONALDS IN LOS ANGELES. 9 AND HE STAYED MAYBE 10 MINUTES AND LEFT. BUT THAT 10 WAS NOT WHEN SHE WAS THERE. 11 Q I SEE. NOW, YOU SAY THAT SHE ASKED YOU SOME 12 QUESTIONS? 13 YES. Α 14 WHAT QUESTIONS DID SHE ASK YOU? 15 SHE ASKED ME WHY SUCH A FUSS WAS BEING MADE. 16 WHAT DID YOU ANSWER HER? 17 I TOLD HER THAT THIS WAS A VERY SERIOUS CASE AND 18 THAT OUR OFFICE BELIEVED MR. HUNT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE 19 DEATH OF RON LEVIN. 20 Q YOU TOLD HER THAT IT WAS YOUR OFFICE POLICY CR 21 YOUR OFFICE ORIENTATION? 22 I TOLD HER OUR OFFICE BELIEVED THAT. 23 YOU TOLD HER THAT IN SO MANY WORDS, DID YOU NOT, 24 THAT YOU THOUGHT THAT MR. HUNT HAD KILLED THE MAN THAT SHE 25 MIGHT HAVE SEEN IN THE GAS STATION? 26 A I TOLD HER EXACTLY WHAT I TOLD YOU I SAID. 27

I MEAN, I DIDN'T USE ANY OTHER WORDS. THOSE ARE THE WORDS

10B F

I USED.

Q I SEE. DID YOU TELL HER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY
THAT THE PERSON THAT SHE HAD THOUGHT SHE HAD SEEN WAS DEAD?
OR THAT AT LEAST, YOUR OFFICE THOUGHT SO?

A I TOLD HER OUR OFFICE BELIEVED THAT MR. HUNT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATH OF RON LEVIN.

Q I GUESS BY TELLING HER THAT STATEMENT, YOU AT LEAST STRONGLY IMPLIED TO HER, THAT AT LEAST YOU BELIEVED SOMEONE WAS IN FACT, DEAD? IS THAT CORRECT?

A I DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE TOOK FROM WHAT I SAID. BUT

THIS WAS A DEATH PENALTY CASE AND YOU KNOW, OUR OFFICE WAS SEEKING THE DEATH PENALTY AND THAT IT WAS A SERIOUS CASE. AND WE WERE TAKING WHAT SHE SAID VERY SERIOUSLY, WHICH IS WHY WE HAD TO RESPOND QUICKLY AND COME DOWN ON A HOLIDAY WEEKEND.

```
DID YOU EVER TELL HER THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR
1
          Q
    NOT SOMEBODY WAS DEAD WAS A QUESTION FOR THE JURY TO DECIDE?
2
                I DIDN'T TALK TO HER ABOUT THAT.
3
                SO ALL YOU TOLD HER WAS THAT YOUR OFFICE SAID HUNT
4
    WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR A DEAD MAN?
5
                WELL. I TOLD HER THAT OUR OFFICE BELIEVED MR. HUNT
6
    TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATH OF RON LEVIN. IT WAS A SERIOUS
7
    CASE AND WE WERE SEEKING THE DEATH PENALTY AND THAT WAS WHY
8
    WE WERE DOWN THERE. IT WAS A SERIOUS CASE.
9
                DID YOU TELL HER THAT YOU KNEW RON LEVIN WAS DEAD?
10
          0
                NO.
          Α
11
                DID YOU TELL HER THAT ANYBODY DID?
          Q
12
13
          Α
                 SORRY?
                 DID YOU TELL HER ANYBODY KNEW HE WAS DEAD?
14
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
15
16
          THE WITNESS: NO.
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
17
                 HAVE YOU TOLD US EVERYTHING THAT YOU TOLD HER THAT
18
19
    YOU RECALL?
20
          MR. BARENS: DO YOU MIND IF I INQUIRE?
21
          THE COURT: HAVE YOU TOLD US EVERYTHING?
22
          THE WITNESS: SHE ASKED ME IF WE COULD SHOW HER CUST
    ONE PICTURE. SHE SAID, "IF YOU SHOW ME THE PICTURE OF THE
23
24
    MAN, I WILL TELL YOU WHETHER OR NOT IT IS HIM." AND SHE
25
    COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE WOULDN'T SHOW HER ONE PICTURE.
26
                 AND SO I EXPLAINED THE LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICY ABOUT
27
     SHOWING SOMEBODY JUST ONE PICTURE AND WE DON'T DO THAT.
```

MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT.

(PAUSE.) 1 BY MR. BARENS: NOW, YOU HAD TOLD SOMEONE I SUPPOSE, 2 Q THAT YOU WEREN'T GOING TO DISCUSS THE CASE WITH MS. CANCHOLA? 3 A I TOLD MR. LARSEN AND I ALSO TOLD MR. CANCHOLA 4 THAT. 5 WELL, WHEN YOU TOLD CARMEN THIS BUSINESS ABOUT RON LEVIN AND JOE HUNT BEING RESPONSIBLE, ACCORDING TO YOUR 7 OFFICE, DID YOU THINK THAT WAS CONSISTENT WITH THE PROMISE 8 THAT YOU HAD MADE? 9 10 A YES. Q IT WAS? HOW WAS THAT CONSISTENT? WASN'T THAT 11 TALKING ABOUT THE CASE? 12 A NO. HER ATTORNEY ASKED ME NOT TO QUESTION HER 13 ABOUT WHAT SHE HAD SEEN AT THE GAS STATION. I WAS RESPONDING 14 TO HER QUESTIONS AS TO WHY WE WERE DOWN THERE ON A BIRTHDAY 15 16 AND ON A HOLIDAY WEEKEND AND WHY WE WERE MAKING SUCH A FUSS. Q I GUESS YOU DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE TO SAY TO HER. 17 18 "I CAN'T DISCUSS WITH YOU WHY WE ARE HERE"? 19 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 20 21 Q BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU EVER TRY TO SAY TO HER. "LISTEN, I DON'T WANT TO BIAS YOU IN ANY WAY"? DID YOU EVER 23 SAY THAT TO HER? 24 Α NO. 25 Q UH-HUH. YOU NEVER SAID ANYTHING TO HER ABOUT WELL, 26 YOU KNOW, EVEN THOUGH WE FEEL THAT JOE HUNT IS ENTITLED TO 27 A FAIR TRIAL UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION --28 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.

```
THE COURT: SUSTAINED. WILL YOU PLEASE ASK QUESTIONS
1
     WHICH TOUCH UPON WHAT HER TESTIMONY IS? DID SHE SAY THIS?
2
3
     DID SHE SAY THAT? THEN, DON'T BRING IN ALL THESE ARGUMENTS
     YOU HAVE AS TO WHY YOUR CLIENT SHOULD HAVE TO BE HERE.
4
                 LET'S GO ON, WILL YOU PLEASE?
5
          MR. BARENS: THEY PUT HER ON, JUDGE.
6
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.
7
          MR. BARENS: THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION --
8
          THE COURT: WELL, THAT IS NOT CROSS-EXAMINATION. THAT
9
10
     1S SUMMING UP YOUR CASE.
           Q BY MR. BARENS: WELL, NOW WHEN YOU TOLD HER THIS
11
     BUSINESS ABOUT MR. HUNT, WHAT DID SHE SAY?
12
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, VAGUE. "BUSINESS ABOUT MR. HUNT"?
13
           Q BY MR. BARENS: WELL, THE STATEMENT THAT I AM NOT
14
15
    SUPPOSED TO ASK YOU ABOUT ANY MORE.
16
          MR. WAPNER: SAME OBJECTION.
17
          THE COURT: WHAT DID SHE RESPOND AFTER YOU TOLD HER THE
18
    NATURE OF THE CASE?
19
          THE WITNESS: ACTUALLY AFTER THAT, SHE STOPPED SAYING
20
    ANYTHING AT ALL.
21
            BY MR. BARENS: SO ONCE YOU MADE THAT STATEMENT,
          0
22
    SHE JUST STOPPED?
23
          Α
               YES.
24
                OKAY. DID YOU MAKE THAT KIND OF A STATEMENT TO
          Q
25
    CHINO?
26
          Α
                NO.
27
          Q
                DID SHE ASK YOU ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
28
          Α
                NO.
```

1	Q THAT STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE TO HER THAT YOU	J MADE
2	REFERENCE TO IN THE LAST TWO OR THREE MINUTES, DID YOU	MAKE
3	THAT STATEMENT TO MR. CANCHOLA?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q HER FATHER?	
6	A YES.	
7	Q YOU TOLD HIM THAT? WHEN DID YOU TELL HIM TH	⊣ΑT
8	STATEMENT?	
9	A BEFORE SHE GOT THERE.	
10	Q BEFORE SHE GOT THERE?	
11	A YES.	
12	Q AND DID YOU TELL THAT STATEMENT TO ANYBODY	ELSE?
13	A NO. I TOLD IT TO MR. CANCHOLA BECAUSE HE W	ANTED
14	TO KNOW WHY WE WERE DOWN THERE ON A HOLIDAY WEEKEND ON	THE
15	BROTHER'S BIRTHDAY, ALSO.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

11 F

HAD YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSION WITH ANYONE BEFORE 0 1 YOU WENT TO TUCSON ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT IT IS PROPER TO TELL 2 THESE PEOPLE ABOUT WHAT YOU BELIEVED THE FACT BASIS OF MR. 3 WAPNER'S CASE WAS? 4 NO. I DIDN'T BELIEVE I WAS TELLING HER SOMETHING 5 THAT SHE HADN'T ALREADY READ IN THE ARTICLE. 6 7 0 I SEE. DID IT SAY ANYTHING IN THE ARTICLE ABOUT THE 8 SANTA MONICA D.A.'S OFFICE PROSECUTING THE CASE? 9 I BELIEVE THAT IT DID. 10 IT DID? IT DID SAY THAT? 0 11 I BELIEVE SO. 12 A NOW, WHEN YOU GOT DOWN THERE, DID THESE FOLKS 13 14 TELL YOU THEY KNEW ALL ABOUT THAT? 15 NO. L 16 O I SEE. HAD YOU HEARD ANYTHING ON THE TAPE THAT YOU 17 LISTENED TO WHERE THESE REFERENCES TO MR. HUNT WERE MADE, 18 19 TO EITHER MS. CANCHOLA OR MR. LOPEZ? A WELL, SHE HAD SAID THAT SHE READ THIS ARTICLE, 20 WHICH WAS A VERY DETAILED ARTICLE ABOUT, YOU KNOW, VARIOUS 21 22 ASPECTS OF THE CASE AND SO IT WAS A REFERENCE TO ME THAT 23 SHE KNEW WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THE CASE AT LEAST. 24 AND THEN SHE SAID SHE IDENTIFIED SOMEBODY, IN 25 THE TAPE SHE SAID THAT, FROM THAT GAS STATION, SO I ASSUMED 26 THAT SHE KNEW SOMETHING ABOUT THE CASE. 27 YOU ASSUMED. Q

28 MY ACTUAL QUESTION, MRS. HART, HAD BEEN WHETHER

```
YOU HEARD ANYTHING ON THE TAPE WHERE A STATEMENT WAS MADE
1
     BY ANYONE TO MS. CANCHOLA OR MR. LOPEZ, SIMILAR TO THE
2
3
     STATEMENT YOU MADE TO THEM ABOUT MR. HUNT, DID ANYBODY SAY
    THAT TO THEM ON THOSE TAPES?
4
               I DON'T SPECIFICALLY RECALL THAT.
5
                THE FACT IS, YOU NEVER HEARD THAT, DID YOU?
          Q
6
          A IF I DID, IF IT IS IN THE TAPE, I DON'T REMEMBER
7
    IT NOW.
8
          MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
9
                (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10
         MR. BARENS: SIR?
11
          THE COURT: I AM SORRY. GO AHEAD. ONE OF OUR TELEPHONES
12
     ISN'T WORKING SO I AM GETTING CALLS ON MY PRIVATE LINE AND
13
    THE CLERK HAS TO TAKE IT IN THERE.
14
          MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, I AM NOT GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT
15
16
    THE MARKET, JUDGE.
          Q ALL RIGHT, YOU REALIZED, DID YOU NOT, WHEN YOU
17
     WENT THERE, THAT MS. CANCHOLA HAD IDENTIFIED SOMEONE SHE
18
    HAD SEEN ALIVE IN THE GAS STATION; IS THAT CORRECT?
19
         A I AM SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THAT QUESTION?
20
21
               YOU REALIZED. BEFORE YOU MET MS. CANCHOLA, THAT
     SHE HAD MADE AN IDENTIFICATION OF A PERSON IN BEING, A LIVE
22
     PERSON SHE OBSERVED IN THE GAS STATION?
23
24
          A RIGHT, SHE SAID SHE SAW SOMEBODY AND SHE DESCRIBED
25
     THAT PERSON.
26
         O RIGHT.
27
                DID YOU CONSIDER THAT YOUR TELLING HER ABOUT
```

A DEAD PERSON COULD HAVE A BIAS ON HER SUBSEQUENT IDENTIFICATION!?

THE COURT: SHE HAS ALREADY TESTIFIED THAT CANCHOLA

HAD READ THE ARTICLE TALKING ABOUT A DEAD PERSON IN THERE. WE

DON'T HAVE TO GO ANY FURTHER THAN THAT.

MR. BARENS: SIR, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A D.A.

SAYING SOMETHING AND SOME COMMERCIAL WRITER IN AN ESQUIRE

MAGAZINE, SIR, IN TERMS OF THE IMPACT IT MIGHT HAVE. A PERSON

SPEAKING TO YOU MIGHT BE MORE PERSUASIVE THAN SOMEONE WRITING

IT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, REPEAT THE QUESTION.

1 MR. BARENS: COULD WE HAVE IT READ BACK, SIR? 2 (WHEREUPON, THE PENDING QUESTION WAS 3 READ BY THE REPORTER.) 4 THE WITNESS: I DID NOT BELIEVE THAT WHAT I SAID WOULD 5 BIAS HER. O BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU CONSIDER YOUR STATEMENT 6 7 MIGHT HAVE A CHILLING EFFECT ON HER WILLINGNESS TO SUBSEQUENTLY 8 COME FORWARD TO THIS COURTROOM? 9 A NO. 10 1 TRIED TO ANSWER HER QUESTIONS. SHE WAS VERY 11 CONCERNED. 12 O DID YOU THINK YOUR TELLING HER THAT YOU FELT MR. HUNT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR SOMEONE'S DEATH MIGHT HAVE A 13 14 CHILLING EFFECT ON HER BECOMING A DEFENSE WITNESS FOR MR. 15 HUNT? 16 NO. А 17 NEVER CROSSED YOUR MIND? Q 18 A I DIDN'T TELL HER THAT I BELIEVED THAT. 19 JUST THAT YOUR OFFICE DID, IS THAT IT? 0 20 Α YES. 21 AND NO. I DIDN'T THINK THAT IT WOULD. 22 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 23 THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT? 24 MR. WAPNER: YES, I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS. 25 26 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 27

28

BY MR. WAPNER:

O WHEN YOU EXPLAINED TO HER ABOUT NOT SHOWING HER

WITH DETECTIVE MEYERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF HIM SETTING UP A

Q

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE

WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED.

DO YOU HAVE FURTHER REBUTTAL?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL TAKE OUR CUSTOMARY RECESS AT THIS TIME UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I GAVE YOU STILL APPLIES.

(AT 12 NOON A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL

1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.)

```
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 1987; 1:40 P.M.
1
                                 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
     DEPARTMENT WEST C
2
                 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
3
4
5
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GOOD AFTERNOON.
                CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE.
6
          MR. WAPNER: YES, ROBERT PACILLIO.
7
8
                            ROBERT PACILLIO,
9
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE IN REBUTTAL, WAS SWORN AND
10
    TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
11
          THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE
12
13
     SWORN, PLEASE.
14
                YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY
     GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE
15
     TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU
16
17
     GOD.
18
          THE WITNESS: SO HELP ME GOD, I DO.
          THE CLERK: IF YOU WOULD BE SEATED THERE AT THE WITNESS
19
20
     STAND.
21
                NOW WOULD YOU STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE
22
     RECORD, PLEASE?
23
          THE WITNESS: ROBERT PACILLIO, P-A-C-I-L-L-I-O.
24
25
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
26
     BY MR. WAPNER:
27
           Q MR. PACILLIO, WHERE DO YOU WORK?
28
           A SHEARSON-LEHMAN BROTHERS.
```

```
Q WERE YOU THE STOCKBROKER FOR A PERSON NAMED
1
    RON LEVIN?
2
          A YES.
3
          Q AND DID YOU HANDLE ACCOUNTS FOR HIM IN THE LATTER
4
    PART OF '83 AND EARLY 1984?
5
         A YES.
6
          Q DURING THAT TIME, DID YOU GET A CALL FROM SOMEONE
7
    AT A LEASING COMPANY?
8
         A YES.
9
          Q
              AND DID THEY ASK YOU ABOUT HIS NET WORTH?
10
         А
               YES.
11
          Q DID YOU TELL THEM ABOUT THAT?
12
         MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH?
13
    I THINK YOUR HONOR KNOWS WHAT THE ISSUE IS.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```

. .

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE JURY:)

MR. BARENS: SIR, MAY WE HAVE AN OFFER OF PROOF AS
TO WHAT THIS HAS TO DO WITH REBUTTAL TO THE DEFENSE CASE?

MR. WAPNER: SURE. UNTIL THE DEFENSE CASE WAS PRESENTED,

IT WAS COMPLETELY UNCLEAR AS TO WHETHER THE DEFENSE WAS GOING

TO BE THAT THIS MAN IS NOT DEAD --

THE COURT: WHAT?

MR. WAPNER: IT WAS UNCLEAR AS TO WHETHER THE DEFENSE WOULD BE THAT THIS MAN IS NOT DEAD OR WHETHER THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO BE THAT SOMEBODY ELSE KILLED HIM.

AND ONCE IT BECAME CLEAR AFTER THE DEFENSE PRESENTED

THEIR CASE, THAT THE THEORY OF THE DEFENSE IS THAT HE IS

ALIVE AND LIVING IN TUCSON, THAT OBVIOUSLY, THE SUGGESTION

IS THAT HE IS LIVING OFF OF ASSETS THAT HE HAD FROM BEFORE.

AND THERE IS SOME SUGGESTION THAT THIS WITNESS

MADE A STATEMENT TO SOMEONE AT THE CAR LEASING COMPANY ABOUT

HIS NET WORTH AND THIS WITNESS WILL TESTIFY THAT HE OBSERVED

THE TWO CHECKS, ONE FOR \$980,000 AND ONE FOR \$500,000 AND

IT WAS BASED ON HIS SEEING THE CHECKS THAT HE MADE THE STATE—

MENT TO THE CAR LEASING COMPANY THAT THE PERSON HAD A NET

WORTH OF ONE MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL SUBMIT IT.

DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD?

MR. BARENS: I BETTER SAY SOMETHING.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T RECALL EVER DURING

4-2

THE DEFENSE CASE EVEN SPECULATING ABOUT HOW MR. LEVIN WAS FUNDING HIS PRESENT EXISTENCE, NOR DID I OFFER ANY TESTIMONY WHATEVER ON HOW HE WAS FUNDING HIS PRESENT EXISTENCE.

THAT WAS DONE STRICTLY DURING CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE PEOPLE'S WITNESSES DURING THEIR CASE IN CHIEF. AT NO TIME WAS THERE ANY WITNESS FOR THE DEFENSE THAT EVER, EVER MENTIONED ANYTHING ABOUT LEVIN'S FINANCES, HOW HE SUPPORTS HIMSELF, HOW HE USED TO SUPPORT HIMSELF OR EVEN SPECULATING AS TO HOW HE COULD SUPPORT HIMSELF AT PRESENT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU HAVE GOT YOUR OBJECTION ON THE RECORD. THAT WILL BE OVERRULED.

> (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY:)

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
2 IN OPEN COURT:)
3 Q BY MR. WAPNER: DO YOU EVER RECALL TALKING TO
4 SOMEBODY FROM A CAR LEASING COMPANY ABOUT A CREDIT REFERENCE
5 FOR MR. LEVIN?
6 A YES.

Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THEY ASKED YOU?

A THEY ASKED ME --

MR. BARENS: OBJECTION AS TO HEARSAY. WE DON'T EVEN KNOW WHO HE IS TALKING TO.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: THEY ASKED ME IF I WAS FAMILIAR WITH THE ACCOUNT OF GENERAL PRODUCERS CORP.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU TELL THEM -DID YOU MAKE ANY STATEMENTS TO THEM ABOUT HIS NET
WORT-, IF YOU RECALL?

4 YES.

O WHAT DID YOU TELL THEM?

MR. CHIER: HEARSAY.

THE WITNESS: I TOLD THEM THAT HE HAD AN ACCOUNT WITH ME WORTH APPROXIMATELY \$200,000, BETWEEN A HUNDRED AND TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND, PLUS A COMMODITIES ACCOUNT, WHICH I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH COMMODITIES, WORTH -- CONTROLLING ABOUT \$750,000 WORTH OF DEUTSCHE MARKS, I THINK DEUTSCHE MARKS BUT I AM NOT SURE ABOUT THAT, AND THAT I BELIEVE THAT HE HAS CASH OF ONE POINT FIVE MILLION.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND WHAT WAS YOUR BELIEF THAT HE HAD CASH OF ONE POINT FIVE MILLION BASED ON?

```
MR. LEVIN TOLD ME THAT HE WAS GOING TO DEPOSIT
1
     A COUPLE OF CASHIER'S CHECKS WITH ME.
2
                 DID HE EVER SHOW YOU THOSE CHECKS?
           Q
3
          Α
                YES.
4
               AND SHOWING YOU AN EXHIBIT THAT WE HAVE MARKED
5
    AS PEOPLE'S 4 FOR IDENTIFICATION, WOULD YOU LOOK AT THE TWO
6
    CHECKS.
7
           THE COURT: THAT IS IN EVIDENCE, ISN'T IT?
8
           MR. WAPNER: I AM SORRY. IN EVIDENCE. THANK YOU.
9
               WOULD YOU LOOK AT THOSE CHECKS AND TELL ME IF YOU
10
     RECOGNIZE THEM?
11
           А
                YES.
12
                WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN THOSE BEFORE?
           Q
13
                MR. LEVIN'S HOME.
           Α
14
                 AND ARE THOSE THE CHECKS THAT YOU WERE REFERRING
           Ç
15
    TO?
16
          Д
                YES, I THINK SO, I THINK.
17
                 DO THEY APPEAR TO BE SIMILAR TO THE ONES HE SHOWED
           Q
18
    YOU?
19
                YES.
           А
20
                 DO YOU RECALL THE COLOR OF THE ONES HE SHOWED YOU?
           Q
21
           Δ
                 GRAY.
22
                 AND DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE WAS GOING TO DEPOSIT
23
     THESE CHECKS IN HIS ACCOUNT?
24
           Α
                YES.
25
                 DID HE EVER DO THAT?
           Q
26
           Α
                 NO.
27
                 AND DID THOSE CHECKS FORM THE BASIS FOR YOUR
           Q
28
```

```
STATEMENT TO THE PEOPLE AT THE LEASING COMPANY?
1
              YES.
2
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
3
4
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
5
    BY MR. BARENS:
6
               GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. PACILLIO.
7
                MR. PACILLIO, DIDN'T MR. LEVIN WITHDRAW SOME
8
    $75,000 FROM HIS ACCOUNT WITH YOU FOLKS ON MAY 11, 1984?
               NO. HE DIDN'T.
10
                WE RETURNED A CHECK THAT WAS BAD THAT HE HAD
11
    WRITTEN TO US, I BELIEVE THAT IS THE CASE.
12
               WHOM DID YOU RETURN IT TO?
          Q
13
                IT WAS RETURNED TO SHEARSON LEHMAN BROTHERS.
14
               WHAT DID THAT LEAVE IN HIS ACCOUNT AS OF THAT DATE?
15
          A I THINK THERE WAS A DEBIT OF ABOUT $2200, I
16
     BELIEVE.
17
                I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE STATEMENTS.
18
          THE COURT: YOU MEAN HE OWED SHEARSON LEHMAN THE $2200?
19
          THE WITNESS: $3200, I BELIEVE.
20
          Q BY MR BARENS: SO YOU HAD A NEGATIVE WHERE HE
21
     ENDED UP AFTER THAT TRANSACTION ACTUALLY INDEBTED TO YOU FOR
22
     AN OVERDRAFT?
23
          A YES.
24
25
26
27
28
```

16F

```
DID YOU EVER COLLECT THAT?
          Q
1
2
          Α
                NO.
                NOW, HOW ABOUT MAY 1ST? HAD HE MADE A WITHDRAWAL
3
          Q
    THEN?
4
                I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE ACTIVITY OF THE ACCOUNT.
5
          Α
          Q
                YOU ARE NOT?
6
                NOT ON THE CHECKS. NOT ON THE CHECKS AND DEPOSITS,
7
          Α
    DAY-TO-DAY DEBITS AND CREDITS.
8
                DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH HE HAD IN HIS ACCOUNT ON
9
          0
    MAY 1ST?
10
                NO. I DON'T.
11
          Α
                DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH THE ACCOUNT IS OPENED FOR?
12
          Q
                1 BELIEVE $100,000, SEVENTY-FIVE OR ONE HUNDRED.
13
          Α
    MAYBE IT WAS ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE.
14
                 WAS IT MAYBE $125,000?
15
          0
16
          А
                MAYBE.
17
                WHEN WAS THAT?
          Q
                I DON'T REMEMBER THAT DATE.
18
           А
19
                 DO YOU KNOW WHERE THE $125,000 WENT?
           0
20
                YES.
          Α
21
                 WHERE DID IT GO?
           Q
                HE BOUGHT SOME SMEARSON AGGRESSIVE GROWTH FUNDS
22
    AND HE WROTE A CHECK FOR I THINK, FIFTY SOMETHING THOUSAND.
23
    THAT FIGURE RINGS A BELL. IT WAS A DEBIT AGAINST THE ACCOUNT.
24
25
                AND WHO WAS THE CHECK FOR $56,000 FOR?
           Q
26
             I HAVE NO IDEA.
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. THAT MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE.
27
     I BELIEVE HE SAID FIFTY SOME THOUSAND. COUNSEL ASKED ABOUT
```

27 NO I DIDN'T. I DIDN'T HAVE CONTROL OF THAT 28 ACCOUNT.

```
1
          Q
                WHO DID?
                A GENTLEMAN IN MY OFFICE.
          Α
2
                WHAT IS HIS NAME?
3
          Q
                ROBERT DUFFY.
4
          Α
                DID MR. DUFFY EVER VERIFY THAT?
5
          Q
                NO.
6
          Α
7
                DID HE EVER ATTEMPT TO?
          Q
                EXCUSE ME. REPEAT THE QUESTION, PLEASE.
8
          Α
                DID HE EVER ATTEMPT TO, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, SIR?
9
          Q
               NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
10
          Α
              OKAY. NOW, LET ME ASK YOU THIS. THE CHECKS
11
          0
    THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU THAT WERE SHOWN TO YOU AS BEING
12
    SWISS CASHIER'S CHECKS, THOSE AREN'T CASHIER'S CHECKS, ARE
13
14
    THEY?
15
             NO.
          Α
               THEY ARE SOMEWHAT LOOKING LIKE PERSONAL CHECKS,
16
         0
17
    SIR?
18
          А
             I GUESS THEY ARE.
            I SEE. LET ME JUST LOOK AT THOSE WITH YOU FOR
19
    A MOMENT. DO THESE CHECKS APPEAR TO BE SIGNED BY ANYBODY?
20
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. THE DOCUMENTS
21
22
    SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES.
23
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
24
          THE WITNESS: I DO NOT KNOW. I DO NOT SEE A SIGNATURE
25
    EXCEPT THIS RIGHT HERE. I DON'T KNOW WHO --
                BY MR. BARENS: IT CERTAINLY IS NOT A SINGLE
26
           Q
27
     R. DOES IT APPEAR TO BE?
28
           Α
                NO.
```

```
1
                 ON EITHER ONE, DOES IT, SIR?
2
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. AGAIN, THE DOCUMENTS SPEAK
3
    FOR THEMSELVES.
4
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
5
          Q
                 BY MR. BARENS: NOW, HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT THESE
6
    ARE THE TWO CHECKS THAT MR. LEVIN SHOWED YOU AT HIS HOUSE?
7
                I AM NOT SURE THEY ARE THE SAME CHECKS.
8
                YOU ARE NOT SURE THOSE ARE THE SAME CHECKS HE
9
    SHOWED YOU? HE JUST SHOWED YOU SOME CHECKS, SWISS CHECKS,
10
    IS THAT CORRECT?
11
          Д
               YES.
12
             AND NOW I AM SHOWING YOU SOME SWISS CHECKS AGAIN
13
    OR MR. WAPNER IS. AND I WILL, TOO.
14
                AND SO YOU ARE TELLING ME THAT YOU CAN'T BE SURE
15
    IF THOSE AT ALL ARE THE SAME AS THE OTHER SWISS CHECKS YOU
16
    SAW?
17
          A NO. I CAN'T BE SURE.
18
          MR. BARENS: A MOMENT?
19
                 (PAUSE.)
20
                BY MR. BARENS: SIR, DOES IT REQUIRE $150,000
21
    CASH TO BUY CONTRACTS FOR $750,000 IN DEUTSCHE MARKS?
22
                I DON'T KNOW.
23
           Q
                DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THAT KIND OF
24
    PROCEDURES?
25
          Α
                 NO.
26
                 NOW, IN GIVING OUT CREDIT INFORMATION, DO YOU
27
    RELY SOLELY UPON WHAT THE CUSTOMER TELLS YOU?
28
                I RELY ON WHAT IS IN THE ACCOUNT.
```

```
AND WHEN YOU PASS TO A CAR LEASING FACILITY THAT
          Q
1
    SOMEONE IS WORTH A MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, WHAT
2
    DO YOU RELY ON, SIR? .
3
         MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. IT CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
                IT IS ALSO IRRELEVANT. "WHAT DO YOU RELY ON
5
    GENERALLY?"
6
7
                THE ONLY THING THAT IS RELEVANT IS WHAT HE DID
    IN THIS CASE.
8
          THE COURT: I WILL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
9
          Q BY MR. BARENS: SIR, DID MR. LEVIN LOSE ANY MONEY
10
    IN THE ACCOUNT WITH YOU FOLKS?
11
         A HE MADE MONEY AND HE LOST MONEY. I DON'T -- I
12
    HAVE NOT EXAMINED THE ACCOUNTS AND FIGURED THEM OUT.
13
         Q ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU WERE CALLED BY THE LEASING
14
    COMPANY TO GIVE A CREDIT REFERENCE, WHAT DID YOU LOOK AT TO
15
    ANSWER THEIR INQUIRY, SIR?
16
         A THE ACCOUNT, THE DATE THE ACCOUNT WAS OPENED, HOW
17
    MUCH MONEY WAS IN THE ACCOUNT OR SECURITIES AND THAT IS
18
    BASICALLY IT, AND HOW LONG I HAVE KNOWN HIM.
19
          Q SO IN MAKING YOUR RESPONSE, YOU HAD TO LOOK AT
20
21
    DATA PROVIDED YOU BY CERTAIN RECORDS THAT SHEARSON MAINTAINED
    ABOUT THE ACCOUNT?
22
23
          A MY RECORDS.
24
               AND YOUR RECORDS ARE MADE UP BY WHOM, SIR?
          Q
25
          Α
                BY SHEARSON.
26
                AND ARE YOU BASICALLY PASSING ON UNVERIFIED
          Q
27
    INFORMATION TO OTHER PEOPLE?
```

NO, NOT AT ALL.

```
1
           Q
                 HOW ABOUT IN THIS CASE?
           Α
                THIS WAS NOT VERIFIED, THESE CHECKS.
2
                 DIDN'T I ASK YOU ABOUT THOSE CHECKS, I MEAN ABOUT
3
     THE INFORMATION YOU GAVE THE PERSON FROM THE CAR LEASING
5
     COMPANY?
                WHAT IS IN THE ACCOUNT IS VERIFIED.
6
                I SEE.
7
                 SO WHAT YOU TOLD THEM WAS IN THE ACCOUNT WAS
8
    VERIFIED, SIR?
9
          Α
               YES.
10
11
          Q AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THEM WAS IN THE ACCOUNT?
          THE COURT: HE HAS ALREADY TESTIFIED. REPETITION WON'T
12
    DO YOU ANY GOOD ANY WAY. OBJECTION SUSTAINED.
13
14
          MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T HEAR ONE, YOUR HONOR.
15
          THE COURT: I AM MAKING THE OBJECTION, TOO.
16
          MR. BARENS: OH, I SEE. ALL RIGHT.
17
          THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO REPEAT IT THREE OR FOUR
18
    TIMES. THE JURY IS VERY INTELLIGENT AND THEY CAN GET IT THE
19
    FIRST TIME.
20
         MR. BARENS: I SEE. WELL, I THOUGHT MR. WAPNER WOULD
21
    MAKE AN OBJECTION.
22
          Q IN ANY EVENT, WHY DID YOU HAPPEN TO BE AT
23
    MR. LEVIN'S HOUSE TO BE SHOWN THOSE CHECKS ON WHATEVER DAY
24
    THAT WAS?
25
          A I DON'T REMEMBER.
26
               DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN IT WAS?
          Q
27
          Α
                NO, I DON'T.
28
```

DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YEAR IT WAS?

Q

```
'83, 1983 OR '84.
          Α
1
          Q
                1983 OR --
2
                I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT MONTH.
3
               OKAY, BY THE WAY, DURING MAY OF 1984, DID RON LEVIN
4
    EVER CALL YOU FROM NEW YORK CITY?
5
          A HE SAID HE WAS CALLING ME -- HE WAS GOING TO
6
    NEW YORK BUT I AM NOT SURE WHERE HE CALLED ME FROM.
7
          THE COURT: HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO NEW YORK?
          THE WITNESS: YES.
9
          Q BY MR. BARENS: DID HE EVER CALL YOU AND TELL YOU
10
    HE WAS CALLING YOU FROM NEW YORK?
11
         A NO.
12
               DID HE EVER CALL YOU AND TELL YOU HE WAS CALLING
13
    YOU LONG DISTANCE?
14
                NO.
          А
15
            DID YOU RECEIVE A PHONE CALL FROM HIM DURING MAY
16
          Q
    OF 1984?
17
                YES.
          Α
18
          Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU IN THAT PHONE CALL?
19
                HE SAID "IT'S ME." HE DID NOT IDENTIFY HIMSELF.
20
          Α
                HE SAID "I AM GETTING SOME MONEY AND I WILL CALL
21
22
    YOU SOON."
                THAT WAS THE LAST CONVERSATION I HAD WITH HIM.
23
          THE COURT: HE NEVER CALLED YOU AFTER THAT?
24
25
          THE WITNESS: NO.
          Q BY MR. BARENS: DID HE TELL YOU WHERE HE WAS GETTING
26
27
    THE MONEY FROM?
          A I AM ASSUMING THAT HE WAS IN NEW YORK.
28
```

```
YOU ARE ASSUMING HE WAS IN NEW YORK?
          Q
1
                THAT HE WENT TO NEW YORK, YES.
2
                DID HE TELL YOU THAT IT WAS A BUSINESS DEAL HE
          Q
3
    WAS WORKING ON?
4
          Α
                NO.
5
               DID HE TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT TYPE OF
6
    SITUATION WAS EXISTENT THAT WAS GOING TO PRODUCE THIS MONEY
7
    THAT WAS COMING IN?
8
          Α
                NO.
9
          Q
                DID YOU ASK HIM?
10
          Α
                NO.
11
          Q
                DO YOU KNOW WHY HE CALLED YOU?
12
          Α
                NO.
13
                DID HE SAY WHY?
          Q
14
          A
                NO.
15
                JUST BECAUSE HE CALLED YOU, WAS ANYTHING ELSE SAID
16
    IN THAT CONVERSATION, ASIDE FROM "I AM GOING TO GET SOME MONEY
17
    TO DEPOSIT WITH YOU FOLKS"?
18
             NOT THAT I REMEMBER.
19
          Α
               NOW, IS IT CORRECT THAT MR. LEVIN GOT HIS MONEY
20
     BUT OF THE SHEARSON ACCOUNT BEFORE YOUR COMPANY TRIED TO
21
22
     CERSET HIS UNPAID CREDIT CARD BILL OF $46,000?
23
          A THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.
          THE COURT: YOU MEAN DID HE TRY TO GET IT OUT, IS THAT
24
25
    IT?
26
          THE WITNESS: HE TRIED TO GET THAT $75,000 CHECK CLEARED,
27
     YES.
28
          Q BY MR. SARENS: HE DID, DID HE NOT?
```

1 A YES, HE DID. 2 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. 3 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER OF THIS WITNESS? 4 MR. WAPNER: JUST A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. 5 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. WAPNER: 8 Q HE TRIED TWICE TO PUT THAT \$75,000 THROUGH AND 9 IT WAS BOUNCED BOTH TIMES, RIGHT? 10 A IT WAS RETURNED, "UNCOLLECTED FUNDS" THE FIRST TIME 11 AND THE SECOND TIME IT WAS A RETURN. NONSUFFICIENT FUNDS. 12 IT WASN'T PAID BY YOU ON EITHER OCCASION? Q 13 Α NO. 14 DID YOU EVER TELL THE CAR LEASING PEOPLE THAT 15 MR. LEVIN HAD IN EXCESS OF A MILLION DOLLARS IN COMMODITIES 16 AND SECURITIES? 17 A NOT TO MY MEMORY. 18 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 19 MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

8 F

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. WAPNER: 2 THE ORIGINAL \$125,000 WAS GONE, WASN'T IT, SIR? Q YES. 4 Α MR. WAPNER: NOTHING FURTHER. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN. 6 MAY THE WITNESS BE EXCUSED? 7 MR. WAPNER: NO OBJECTION. 8 MR. BARENS: NO OBJECTION. 9 MR. WAPNER: WE NOW CALL TOM EDMONDS. 10 11 THOMAS WARREN EDMONDS, 12 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE IN REBUTTAL, HAVING BEEN 13 PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 14 THE CLERK: YOU HAVE BEEN SWORN BEFORE. HAVE A SEAT. 15 STATE YOUR NAME. YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. 16 THE WITNESS: THOMAS WARREN EDMONDS, E-D-M-O-N-D-S. 17 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. WAPNER: Q MR. EDMONDS, YOU ARE EMPLOYED BY THE BEVERLY 21 22 HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT? 23 A YES. DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN THE INVESTIGATION IN TUCSON, 24 Q 25 ARIZONA AS A PART OF THIS CASE? 26 YES, I DID. Α 27 HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU GO THERE? Q 28 TWICE. Α

```
WHEN DID YOU GO THERE THE FIRST TIME?
          Q
1
                NOVEMBER 26, 1986.
          Α
2
                WHO DID YOU GO WITH?
3
          Q
                DETECTIVE PAUL EDHOLM AND LISA HART FROM THE
          Α
4
    D.A.'S OFFICE AND MYSELF.
5
          Q AND DID YOU GO ALSO WITH -- EXCUSE ME, AN
6
    INVESTIGATOR SCHAEFER?
7
                YES, BILL SCHAEFER FROM THE D.A.'S OFFICE.
8
                HE IS AN INVESTIGATOR WITH THE D.A.'S OFFICE?
9
          Q
                YES, SIR.
10
          Α
             WHEN YOU GOT TO TUCSON, DID THE FOUR OF YOU SPLIT
11
          Q
    UP INTO TWO TEAMS?
12
                YES, WE DID.
13
          Α
                WHO DID YOU GO WITH?
14
          Q
                I WENT WITH BILL SCHAEFER. AND PAUL EDHOLM AND
15
    LISA HART WERE DETAILED TO HANDLE ANOTHER ASSIGNMENT.
16
               DID YOU AND MR. SCHAEFER MEET UP WITH SOMEONE
17
    ELSE?
18
          A YES. WE MET A MAN NAMED RALPH. I DON'T RECALL
19
20
    HIS LAST NAME.
                BUT HE IS SOME FRIEND OF BILL SCHAEFER'S BUT
21
22
    IS A RETIRED POLICEMAN FROM TUCSON.
23
                DID HE HELP YOU IN THE INVESTIGATION?
          Q
24
                YES, HE DID.
          Α
25
                WHAT IS THE FIRST THING THAT YOU AND MR. SCHAEFER
          Q
26
    AND THIS PERSON NAMED RALPH DO?
27
            WE WENT TO A GASOLINE STATION, VICKERS IS THE
28
     BRAND, LOCATED AT SIXTH AND CAMPBELL IN TUCSON TO REVIEW
```

```
GASOLINE RECEIPTS SINCE WE HAD INFORMATION THAT THE PERSON
1
    THAT WAS SEEN BY THE TWO WITNESSES LIVING OVER IN TUCSON --
2
    THEY FELT THAT HE HAD USED A CREDIT CARD IN PURCHASING GAS,
3
    DUE TO THE LENGTH OF TIME HE WAS AT THE PAY WINDOW.
                SO WE WENT THROUGH SOME GAS CHARGE SLIPS AT THE
5
    GASOLINE STATION.
6
               WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU DID THAT?
          A WELL, WE FOUND THAT WE DIDN'T KNOW THE CORRECT
8
    DATE AND THERE WERE TOO MANY, WITHOUT HAVING A CORRECT DATE,
9
    TO GO THROUGH. IT WOULD TAKE TOO LONG.
10
               WHAT DID YOU DO AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME?
          Q
11
         A WE CONSULTED WITH THIS MAN WHOSE FIRST NAME IS
12
13
    RALPH. I DON'T RECALL HIS LAST NAME.
         Q LET ME ASK YOU SOMETHING. WHILE YOU WERE STILL
14
    AT THE GAS STATION, DID YOU TALK TO THE PERSON WHO RAN THE
15
16
    GAS STATION?
         A WE TALKED TO A MANAGER AND ATTENDANT THERE, YES,
17
18
    SIR.
         O WERE YOU ABLE TO GET ANY HELP FROM THEM ABOUT
19
    PARTICULARLY SEEING ANYTHING ON THIS DAY?
20
         A NO. IT TURNED OUT THAT BOTH OF THEM -- ONE OF
21
    THEM HAD NOT EVEN BEEN WORKING FOR THE COMPANY DURING THE
22
23
    TIME FRAME THAT WE EXPECTED THIS HAPPENED. AND THE OTHER
24
    ONE HAD NOT BEEN ON DUTY.
25
          Q THEN AFTER YOU TALKED TO THEM, YOU SAY YOU TALKED
26
    TO THIS PERSON RALPH AGAIN?
27
          Α
               YES.
```

WHAT DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT?

28

Q

A WE WANTED TO -- WE HAD INFORMATION THAT MR. LOPEZ HAD MENTIONED THE CAR POSSIBLY BEING A HORNET.

AND I KNEW THAT A HUDSON HORNET WAS MANUFACTURED DURING THE '50'S AND EARLY '60'S, I BELIEVE.

SO WE WERE GOING TO TRY TO LOCATE ANY HUDSON

HORNETS THAT MIGHT BE IN THE TUCSON AREA. SO WE ASKED RALPH

FOR THE NAME OF A CLASSIC AUTO DEALER OR SOMEBODY THAT HAD

KNOWLEDGE OF CLASSIC CARS IN TUCSON.

WE WENT TO A BUSINESS CALLED SUBURBAN MOTORS.

1	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
2	A WE INTERVIEWED THE PROPRIETOR AND HIS ASSISTANT.
3	Q WHEN YOU TALKED TO THEM, WHAT INFORMATION DID
4	YOU GET?
5	A WE GAVE THEM A DESCRIPTION OF A VAGUE DESCRIPTION
6	OF A GENTLEMAN WE WERE TRYING TO LOCATE AND THE TYPE OF
7	CAR, A HUDSON HORNET.
8	Q AND WHAT WERE YOU TOLD AT THAT POINT?
9	A HE SAID THAT HE RECALLED SEEING SOMEBODY THAT
10	FITS THAT DESCRIPTION WITH A HUDSON THE PREVIOUS SATURDAY
11	AT A CHURCH AUTO SHOW.
12	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT THAT INFORMATION?
13	A AFTER WE
14	MR. BARENS: FOR THE RECORD, WE MAKE A HEARSAY OBJECTION
15	TO THOSE COMMENTS.
16	THE COURT: YES.
17	MR. BARENS: WE HAVE AN ONGOING HEARSAY OBJECTION.
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
19	MR. BARENS: THAT IS ONGOINGLY (SIC) OVERRULED, I ASSUME
20	ABOUT THOSE CONVERSATIONS?
21	THE COURT: YOU READ MY MIND.
22	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
23	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
24	Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER THAT?
25	A WE WENT TO THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND EVENTUALLY,
26	AFTER WAITING SEVERAL HOURS, CONTACTED THE PRIEST.
27	Q IS THAT THE CHURCH WHERE HE HAD TOLD YOU THE
28	AUTO SHOW HAD TAKEN PLACE?

18B

1	A YES.
2	Q AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU TALKED TO THE PRIEST?
3	A HE CONFIRMED THERE HAD BEEN AN AUTO SHOW AND
4	HE GAVE US ACCESS TO THE RECORDS.
5	AND THROUGH THE RECORDS, WE EVENTUALLY DETERMINED
6	THAT A MAN NAMED RICHARD HERMAN DID IN FACT, HAVE GRAY HAIR
7	AND DID OWN A HUDSON AND WAS AT THE SHOW.
8	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT THAT INFORMATION?
9	A WE DIDN'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE HE LIVED. WE HAD
10	AN INTERSECTION OF 28TH STREET AND KOLB, WHICH IS K-O-L-B.
11	Q LET ME INTERRUPT YOU FOR ONE SECOND. WAS 1T
12	RIGHT THEN AT THE CHURCH THAT YOU GOT THE INFORMATION THAT
13	THIS PERSON, MR. HERMAN, HAD BEEN AT THE SHOW OR DID YOU
14	HAVE TO DO SOMETHING FURTHER?
15	A NO. WE FOUND OUT AT THE CHURCH. WE MADE A PHONE
16	CALL TO ANOTHER GUY WHO WAS A POLICEMAN WHOSE NAME WAS ON
17	THE LIST THAT HAD BEEN SHOWING A CAR.
18	Q BY THE TIME YOU GOT THE INFORMATION WELL,
19	WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU GET ABOUT THIS PERSON, MR. HERMAN?
20	A WE HAD HIS NAME, RICHARD HERMAN. HE LIVED
21	THIS PERSON WE CALLED TOLD US THAT HE LIVED NEAR 28TH AND
22	KOLB.
23	Q DID THE PERSON YOU CALLED TELL YOU THAT MR. HERMAN
24	HAD BEEN AT THE AUTO SHOW?
25	A HE TOLD US THAT HE HAD BEEN AT THE AUTO SHOW
26	AND HE LOOKS LIKE KENNY ROGERS, THE ENTERTAINER.
27	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHAT KIND OF A CAR MR. HERMAN
28	HAD?

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY ONE OF THOSE BE MARKED

26

27

28

Q

Α

MIGHT APPEAR IN THOSE PICTURES?

YES.

WE WANTED THEM ALL TO BE THE SAME BACKGROUNDS SO

```
IT WOULD BE A FAIR LINEUP.
1
                 ALL SIX PICTURES WERE TO HAVE THE SAME BACKGROUND?
           0
2
          Α
                YES.
3
                WHERE WERE YOU INTENDING TO GET THE OTHER FIVE
          0
4
     PICTURES FROM?
5
          Α
                FROM THE TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT.
6
                AND IN THAT CONNECTION, WHEN YOU WENT BACK ON
           Q
7
    DECEMBER THE 3RD, WHAT DID YOU DO?
8
                WE MADE AN APPOINTMENT WITH MR. HERMAN AND DROVE
           А
9
    HIM OVER TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND HAD HIM PHOTOGRAPHED.
10
                WHAT TYPE OF A PHOTOGRAPH DID YOU TAKE?
           Q
11
                A REGULAR MUG BOOKING PHOTO.
           Α
12
                THE SAME TYPE OF PICTURE THAT WOULD BE TAKEN --
           Q
13
                 OF A CRIMINAL.
           Α
14
                -- OF SOMEONE IF HE WAS ARRESTED AND BOOKED FOR
           Q
15
    A CRIMINAL CHARGE?
16
                YES, SIR.
17
          А
                AND WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU HAD THAT PHOTOGRAPH
           Q
18
19
     TAKEN?
                WE PREPARED THE LINEUP FROM FIVE OTHER PHOTOS THAT
20
     WERE PROVIDED BY THE IDENTIFICATION BUREAU IN TUCSON.
21
                 DID YOU PREPARE THE LINEUP THAT SAME DAY?
22
23
          Α
                YES.
                WHEN YOU TOOK THE BOOKING PHOTOGRAPH, DID YOU GET
24
           Q
     IT IMMEDIATELY DEVELOPED OR WAS IT IMMEDIATELY DEVELOPED?
25
26
                NO. WE HAD TO WAIT.
           Α
                 I AM NOT SURE ACTUALLY IF IT WAS -- IT MIGHT HAVE
27
     BEEN THE NEXT DAY THAT WE HAD TO WAIT FOR IT TO GET IT
28
```

DEVELOPED. I AM NOT SURE WHAT THE TIME WAS. IT COULD HAVE BEEN THE NEXT DAY. Q AND YOU PUT THAT PHOTOGRAPH AND FIVE OTHERS IN A PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP? A YES, I DID. Q SHOWING YOU 236 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? YES, SIR. А Q AND WHAT IS IT? A IT IS A PHOTO LINEUP, NUMBER 5 OF WHICH IS MR. RICHARD HERMAN.

9-

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU HAVE TO DO WITH THE SELECTION OF THE OTHER FIVE PHOTOGRAPHS?

I SELECTED THEM FROM -- THEY HAD A LOOSE-LEAF NOTEBOOK WITH PLASTIC INSERTS THAT CONTAINED PHOTOS AND WE WENT THROUGH THEM UNTIL WE FOUND SOME INDIVIDUALS THAT HAD BEARDS AND THE GENERAL AGE AND SIMILAR FACES.

IT TOOK QUITE A LONG TIME TO FIND THAT TYPE OF AN INDIVIDUAL.

WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU GOT THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP PREPARED?

WE MADE AN APPOINTMENT WITH CARMEN CANCHOLA.

Q CANCHOLA?

Α YEAH, AND JESUS LOPEZ.

WE COULDN'T MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH HIM AT THE TIME BECAUSE WE DIDN'T KNOW WHERE HE WAS BUT WE DID EVENTUALLY GET TO SEE -- HAVE MS. CARMEN CANCHOLA MEET US AT THE TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHERE SHE WAS SHOWN THE PHOTO LINEUP.

AND DID SHE SELECT ANYBODY FROM THE LINEUP? Q

NO.

HAD YOU TAKEN WITH YOU ANOTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC SPREAD CONTAINING SOME VEHICLES?

> YES, SIR. Á

WHERE HAD YOU GOTTEN THAT FROM?

WE GOT ONE OF THE PICTURES FROM THE PRIEST. WE GOT A COUPLE OF THEM BEFORE WE LEFT FOR TUCSON FROM DETECTIVE ZOELLER, I BEL)EVE, AND ONE OTHER ONE MIGHT HAVE BEEN FROM THE PRIEST.

Q SHOWING YOU 234 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU

```
1
    RECOGNIZE THAT?
2
               YES.
          Α
          Q
3
             WHAT IS IT?
          A IT IS PHOTOGRAPHS OF SIX AUTOMOBILES.
5
                THIS ONE IS MR. HERMAN'S CAR, THAT WE TOOK A PICTURE
    OF HIS CAR.
6
7
              THAT IS IN THE LOWER LEFT-HAND CORNER?
8
              RIGHT.
9
              DOES THAT PHOTOGRAPH IN THE LOWER LEFT-HAND CORNER
10
    ACCURATELY DEPICT MR. HERMAN'S CAR THE WAY THAT YOU SAW IT
11
    IN NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER OF 1986?
12
        A EXCEPT FOR THE FACT THAT THE LICENSE PLATE IS
13
   COVERED WITH PAPER.
14
               HE DIDN'T WANT HIS LICENSE PLATE SHOWN.
15
              HOW ABOUT THE COLOR OF THE CAR. DOES THAT PHOTOGRAPH
16
   ACCURATELY REPRESENT THE COLOR OF THE CAR?
17
        A IT IS SIMILAR.
18
               IT LOOKS MORE BROWN HERE THAN IT ACTUALLY IS.
19
   IT HAS MORE OF A GOLD CAST TO IT BUT IT IS VERY SIMILAR.
20
        O WHY WAS IT THAT YOU PUT THAT CAR AND THE PICTURE
21
   OF MR. HERMAN IN THOSE TWO PHOTO SHOWUPS?
22
         A BECAUSE WE BELIEVED THAT AT THE TIME THAT THIS
23
   MIGHT BE THE MAN THAT HAD BEEN SEEN BY THESE TWO POTENTIAL
24
   WITNESSES AT THE VICKERS STATION.
25
         Q AND WHEN YOU SHOWED THE PHOTO LINEUP TO MS. CANCHOLA
26
   OF CARS, DID SHE SELECT ANY CARS LOOKING THE CLOSEST TO THE
27
   ONE SHE SAW?
28
         A SHE PICKED THE CENTER CAR IN THE TOP AS HAVING
```

1	
1	THE SAME GENERAL SHAPE. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T BELIEVE IT WAS
2	THE CAR BUT IT WAS THE SAME SIMILAR SHAPE.
3	Q DID YOU EVENTUALLY MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH
4	MR. LOPEZ AND SHOW THOSE TO HIM?
5	A WE DIDN'T MAKE AN APPOINTMENT.
6	WE KNEW WHERE HE WAS AND WE WENT OVER THERE.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Q	HOW DID YOU KNOW WHERE HE WAS?
2	А	CARMEN TOLD US.
3	Q	AND ONCE SHE TOLD YOU WHERE HE WAS, YOU WENT
4	OVER TO THAT	LOCATION?
5	А	YES. SHE SAID THAT HE WAS AT THE HIGH SCHOOL
6	ASSISTING TH	HE COACH, TEACHING WRESTLING OR COACHING WRESTLING
7		SO SHE GAVE US DIRECTIONS AND WE WENT TO THE
8	HIGH SCHOOL	•
9	Q	WHEN YOU GOT TO THE HIGH SCHOOL, DID YOU GO TO
10	THE GYM?	
11	А	YES, SIR.
12	Q	WHAT WAS GOING ON THERE WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
13	A	WRESTLING PRACTICE.
14	Q	WHAT WAS MR. LOPEZ DOING WITH RESPECT TO THE
15	WRESTLING PA	RACTICE?
16	А	HE WAS SEATED, WATCHING IN A GROUP OF MEN. WE
17	IDE:,TIFIED (DURSELVES TO THE OBVIOUS COACH, WHO WAS STANDING
18	AND YELLING	COMMANDS. AND HE CALLED JESUS FOR US.
19	Q	WHEN JESUS WAS CALLED OVER, WHAT DID YOU DO?
20	А	WE ASKED HIM IF WE COULD SPEAK TO HIM OUTSIDE
21	AND HE SAID	YES. HE WENT OUT WITH US.
22	Q	WHO WAS THERE AT THAT TIME BESIDES YOU?
23	А	BILL SCHAEFER FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
24	Q	AND WAS HIS FRIEND RALPH, STILL WITH YOU OR NOT?
25	А	NO.
26	Q	WHEN YOU WENT OUTSIDE, IT WAS JUST THE THREE
27	OF YOU?	
28	Α	YES.

Q

WHAT DID YOU SAY TO MR. LOPEZ AT THAT TIME? 0 1 I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW HIM SOME 2 PHOTOS OF AUTOMOBILES AND A SERVICE STATION. 3 WE ALSO SHOWED CARMEN THE SERVICE STATION PICTURES, 4 OF SEVERAL VICKERS STATIONS. 5 WHERE DID YOU GET THE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE VICKERS 6 0 STATIONS? 7 WE DROVE AROUND TUCSON AND EVERY VICKERS WE SAW, A 8 9 WE TOOK A PICTURE OF IT. WHY DID YOU DO THAT? 10 A TO CONFIRM WHETHER SHE HAD THE EXACT LOCATION 11 BECAUSE MR. HERMAN HAD TOLD US THAT HE TRADED AT A VICKERS 12 STATION BUT NOT THE PARTICULAR ONE AT CAMPBELL AND SIXTH. 13 Q WAS THERE SOME CONCERN, BASED ON SOME STATEMENT 14 SHE HAD MADE EARLIER ABOUT THE CONFIGURATION OF THE GAS 15 16 STATION? *_* YES. 17 THAT WAS THAT SHE HAD PUT -- WHAT WAS THAT CONCERN? 18 Q THE SODA POP MACHINE AND THE CONFIGURATION OF 19 THE OFFICE WAS IN A DIFFERENT PLACE ORIGINALLY THAN THE STATION 20 21 AT SIXTH AND VICKERS (SIC) HAS. IT IS MORE SIMILAR TO ANOTHER STATION THAT THEY 22 DO IN FACT HAVE, WHERE THE OFFICE IS CLOSER TO THE REAR OF 23 24 THE LOT AT VICKERS AND SIXTH (SIC). THE PAY BOOTH IS LOCATED CLOSER TO THE ROAD AND 25 THE POP MACHINE IS UP THERE. IT IS FOR THE SECURITY OF THE 26 27 SODA POP.

AND WHEN YOU SHOWED CARMEN THE PHOTOGRAPHS OF

_	
1	THE GAS STATION, DID SHE SELECT ONE?
2	A YES, SHE DID.
3	Q WHICH ONE DID SHE SELECT?
4	A SIXTH AND VICKERS.
5	Q SIXTH AND CAMPBELL?
6	A SIXTH AND CAMPBELL, SORRY.
7	Q WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. LOPEZ, DID YOU SEEM TO
8	GET ALONG WITH HIM?
9	A YEAH. I THOUGHT HE WAS A REAL NICE GUY.
10	Q DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT ANYTHING OTHER THAN
11	JUST STRICTLY THE FACTS OF THE CASE?
12	A YES. WE TALKED ABOUT CHEWING TOBACCO.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

20B

27

28

1 Q WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT THEN? WHAT DID YOU SAY 2 ABOUT THAT? 3 A WE TALKED ABOUT DIPPING. HE DIPS AND I DIP. 4 WE BOTH CHEW TOBACCO. 5 Q FOR NON-DIPPERS, IS THAT A SLANG EXPRESSION FOR 6 PEOPLE WHO USE CHEWING TOBACCO? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE ISSUE IS RELEVANCY. 8 THE COURT: WELL, WE ARE OVER IT NOW. THERE WON'T 9 BE ANYTHING FURTHER ABOUT 1T. 10 MR. BARENS: JUST IN CASE THERE ARE JURORS WHO ARE 11 AGAINST CHEWING TOBACCO. 12 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 13 Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU ASK MR. LOPEZ ABOUT 14 THE PHOTOGRAPHS FIRST OF ALL, OF THE GAS STATION? 15 A YES, I DID. 16 Q DID HE PICK ONE? 17 A I BELIEVE HE DID. HE PICKED THE SIXTH AND CAMPBELL 18 STATION, AS WELL. 19 Q DID YOU ASK HIM ABOUT THE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE 20 CARS? 21 YES. 22 WHAT DID HE SAY? 23 HE INDICATED ALSO THAT THE SQUARE, LINCOLN CAR 24 WAS THE RIGHT SHAPE AND THEN HE POINTED TO A VEHICLE THAT 25 TURNED OUT TO BE A 1967 VALIANT CAR AND HE SAID IT WAS LIKE

Q WHAT DID THAT '67 VALIANT LOOK LIKE?

LOT OF THE SCHOOL WHERE WE WERE TALKING.

ALSO, THE SHAPE OF THE '67 VALIANT THAT WAS PARKED IN THE

```
BOXY, KIND OF A SQUARE CAR.
          Α
1
                WELL, WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT THE '67 VALIANT,
          Q
2
    DID HE SAY THAT IT WAS SIMILAR IN SHAPE TO THAT CAR?
3
                YES.
4
          Α
             AND DID YOU SHOW HIM THE PHOTOGRAPHS -- THE SIX
5
    PHOTOGRAPHS, ONE OF WHICH IS MR. RICHARD HERMAN?
6
               YES. I DID.
          Д
7
                WHAT DID HE SAY AT THAT TIME?
8
             HE SAID THE HAIR ON NUMBER 5, WHICH IS MR. HERMAN,
9
    IS VERY CLOSE TO THE HAIR THAT HE SAW ON THE INDIVIDUAL AT
10
    THE SERVICE STATION.
11
            DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THE -- DID HE IDENTIFY
12
    ANYBODY OTHER THAN THAT?
13
14
          Α
                NO.
                 DID YOU ASK HIM TO GIVE YOU A DESCRIPTION OF
15
    THE PERSON HE HAD SEEN WITH THE SILVER HAIR AT THE GAS STATION?
16
               YES, I DID.
          Δ
17
                DID HE GIVE YOU ONE?
18
          Q
19
          Д
                YES.
                WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
20
          Q
                HE TOLD ME THAT THE MAN WAS 45 TO 50 YEARS OLD,
21
    FILE-TEN TO FIVE-ELEVEN, VERY STRIKING LOOKING GUY THAT WAS
22
    VERY WELL BUILT AND WORKED OUT IN A GYM REGULARLY.
23
                 I ASKED HIM TO COMPARE THE GUY HE SAW TO MY BUILD
24
    AND HE SAID THE GUY WAS MUCH BETTER BUILT THAN ME AND HE
25
    HAD MORE DEFINED, BIGGER ARMS AND A BIGGER CHEST THAN I HAVE.
26
                 DID YOU ASK HIM WHAT THE MAN HE SAW WAS WEARING?
27
           Q
28
                 YES, I DID.
           Α
```

1	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
2	A HE TOLD ME THAT THE MAN HAD A SHORT-SLEEVED
3	POLO SHIRT ON AND TIGHT JEANS AND HE SAID EVERYTHING FIT
4	HIM IMPECCABLY AND THAT IS WHAT HE MOST REMEMBERS ABOUT THE
5	MAN, IS HOW EVERYTHING WAS JUST RIGHT ON HIM.
6	I BELEIVE BILL SCHAEFER ASKED HIM IF HE BELIEVED
7	THE MAN WAS GAY AND JESUS SAID THAT HE FELT HE WAS.
8	Q DID HE ASK HIM ABOUT BEING ABLE TO SEE THE MUSCLE
9	DEFINITION IN HIS BODY, BASED ON THE CLOTHES HE WAS WEARING?
10	A YES, HE DID.
11	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
12	A HE SAID EVERYTHING JUST SHOWED JUST RIGHT. ALSO,
13	I ASKED HIM ABOUT A SCAR BY HIS EYE THAT HAD BEEN DESCRIBED.
14	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
15	A HE SAID HE DIDN'T SEE ANY SCAR BY HIS EYE.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	<u> </u>
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 1 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. BARENS: 4 SIR, GOOD AFTERNOON, OFFICER. 0 5 SIR, WHEN YOU HAD THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP THAT 6 YOU PREPARED, BEING PEOPLE'S 236, DO YOU REMEMBER ASKING 7 CARMEN CANCHOLA, "DO YOU SEE ANY INDIVIDUALS IN THERE THAT 8 RESEMBLE THE MAN," DID YOU ASK HER THAT QUESTION? 9 I BELIEVE SO. Д 10 WHAT WAS HER ANSWER? Q 11 NO. Α, 12 DID DETECTIVE SCHAEFER -- STRIKE THAT. 13 Q WHEN YOU SHOWED THE SAME PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP TO 14 CHIND LOFEZ, DO YOU REMEMBER ASKING HIM, "WE TOOK SOME 15 PICTURES OF PEOPLE AT THE POLICE FACILITY BUT THEY WEREN'T 16 NECESSARILY IN CUSTODY, DO YOU SEE ANYBODY IN THERE THAT 17 RESEMBLES THE PERSON?" 18 A YES, SIR. 19 AND WHAT DID HE SAY TO YOU WHEN YOU ASKED HIM THAT 20 21 QUESTION? HE SAID, "NO." 22 DID HE EVER TELL YOU WHEN HE LOOKED AT THE 23 PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP OF THE VEHICLES THAT HE, IN FACT, SAW A 24 PHOTO OF THE VEHICLE HE SAW THAT DAY? 25 26 A NO. HE DIDN'T. DID CARMEN EVER TELL YOU THAT? 27 Q 28 NO.

```
NO?
          Q
1
                NO.
          Α
2
                DID EITHER ONE OF THEM EVER TELL YOU THAT RICHARD
          Q
3
    HERMAN WAS THE PERSON THEY SAW IN THE GAS STATION?
                NO.
          Α
5
             DID YOU EVER FIND OUT THAT RICHARD HERMAN WAS NOT
6
    EVEN IN THE GAS STATION THAT NIGHT?
7
         A I DON'T KNOW WHAT NIGHT IT WAS YET THAT THIS
8
    HAPPENED.
9
             DID RICHARD HERMAN EVER TELL YOU THAT HE HAD BEEN
10
    IN THAT GAS STATION?
11
             NO.
          А
12
             DID YOU EVER WRITE A REPORT THAT SAID THAT RICHARD
          0
13
    HERMAN WAS NOT THE PERSON THEY HAD SEEN IN THE GAS STATION?
14
                YES.
          А
15
                YOU WROTE THAT IN YOUR REPORT, DIDN'T YOU?
           Q
16
                YEAH.
          А
17
                 SIR?
          Q
18
                YES, I DID.
          А
49
             YOU DON'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT THAT IS WHO THEY
20
     WERE IDENTIFYING, DO YOU, SIR?
21
          \stackrel{\smile}{\sim}
                NO.
22
                WHEN YOU WERE PREPARING THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP
23
    IN FRONT OF YOU THERE, YOU SAID THAT IT TOOK KIND OF LONG TO
24
     FIND THAT SORT OF INDIVIDUAL, DO YOU REMEMBER MAKING THAT
25
     STATEMENT?
26
                YES.
          Α
27
                WHY WAS THAT?
           Q
28
```

10.0

```
BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT IN ANY SPECIFIC ORDER IN THERE
          Α
1
    AND IN THE BOOK. YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH AND FIND PEOPLE THAT
2
    HAVE A GENERAL APPEARANCE.
3
                LIKE IF I WERE TRYING TO PUT A PICTURE TOGETHER
4
    OF YOU. SEVERAL PEOPLE THAT LOOK LIKE YOU, I MIGHT HAVE A HARD
5
    TIME FINDING SOMEBODY THAT LOOKED LIKE YOU.
6
          THE COURT: YOU MEAN HE IS THAT DISTINCTIVE, IS HE?
7
          THE WITNESS: HE IS SO HANDSOME.
8
                (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
9
                BY MR. BARENS: WELL, FOR ONE THING DIFFERENT FROM
10
    ME. IS I NOTICE THAT ALL OF THOSE FELLOWS HAVE BEARDS, DID
11
    THEY NOT, SIR?
12
          A YES, THEY DID.
13
                HAD YOU BEEN TOLD THAT THE PERSON THAT HAD BEEN
14
     SEEN IN THE GAS STATION HAD A BEARD?
15
16
          A
                NO.
                THE PICTURE I HAD OF MR. LEVIN, HE HAD A BEARD.
17
18
          Q
                1 SEE.
                 BUT NO ONE TOLD YOU THAT THE PERSON IN THE GAS
19
     STATION HAD A BEARD, DID THEY?
20
21
          A I AM NOT TOO SURE IF AT THAT POINT THAT I INITIALLY
22
     KNEW THAT HE WAS CLEAN SHAVEN.
                 I AM NOT SURE AT WHAT POINT I GOT THAT INFORMATION,
23
24
     TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH.
25
          MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, IF WE MIGHT, SIR.
26
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
27
                AND MR. CHIER.)
                 BY MR. BARENS: YOU SAY WHEN YOU WERE TALKING TO
28
           Q
```

```
MR. LOPEZ, YOU DISCUSSED THE CASE A BIT BEFORE YOU STARTED
1
     TALKING ABOUT CHEWING TOBACCO?
2
3
          Α
                YES, THAT WAS NEAR THE END.
4
          Q
                INDEED.
5
                 WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT THE CASE, WHAT DID YOU
6
    TELL HIM ABOUT THE CASE?
7
          A I TOLD HIM THAT IT WAS A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION
8
    AND THAT -- LET ME THINK EXACTLY HOW IT WENT.
9
                ACTUALLY, THE CONVERSATION, IT WAS VERY BRIEF.
10
    WE TALKED TO HIM, WALKING FROM THE GYM TO THE TRUNK LID OF
11
    THE CAR THAT WE USED TO SET A BRIEFCASE ON AND HE WANTED TO
12
    KNOW WHAT WAS HAPPENING AND 1 TOLD HIM IT WAS REGARDING A
13
    HOMICIDE CASE THAT HE HAD SUPPLIED INFORMATION TO TUCSON ON,
14
    AND HE ALREADY KNEW THAT WE HAD BEEN AROUND. YOU KNOW. IT
15
    WASN'T A NEWS FLASH.
16
                I TOLD HIM THAT I HAD SOME PICTURES OF THE GASOLINE
17
    STATION, THAT WE WANTED TO CONFIRM WHAT STATION HE HAD SEEN
18
    AND THE PICTURES OF A CAR AND A MAN AND THAT WAS ABOUT IT.
19
          Q WHEN YOU TOLD HIM IT WAS A HOMICIDE CASE, IS THAT
20
    ALL YOU SAID?
21
          A I AM NOT TOO SURE.
22
            DID YOU EVER TELL HIM ANYTHING ABOUT SOMEBODY BEING
23
    DEAD?
24
               WELL, THE WORD "HOMICIDE" IMPLIES THAT PRETTY
25
    STRONGLY.
26
                (LAUGHTER IN COURTROOM.)
27
               SIR, COULD YOU NOT HAVE A HOMICIDE CASE ABOUT
28
    SOMEONE THAT YOU PRESUMED DEAD BUT YOU COULDN'T FIND?
```

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

Q BY MR. BARENS: SIR, WHAT DID YOU KNOW ABOUT THE HUNT CASE? I MEAN LET ME BACK UP AND TRY TO MAKE THAT MORE SPECIFIC FOR YOU.

DID YOU EVER TELL MR. LOPEZ THAT MR. LEVIN HAD BEEN KILLED OR WAS DEAD?

A I DON'T THINK I TOLD HIM EXACTLY THE WAY YOU ARE TELLING IT.

BUT I THINK I TOLD HIM THAT WE HAD A VICTIM THAT
WAS DEAD AND THAT WE WERE TRYING TO FIND OUT IF HE WASN'T DEAD,
THAT IF HE WAS IN FACT ALIVE. I TOLD HIM ALONG THOSE LINES,
YES.

27

28

CANCHOLA?

- TOLD THEM THAT THAT WAS STILL AN ISSUE? Q 1 YES. I THINK I DID. 2 I SEE. ALL RIGHT. AND WAS ANYBODY ELSE PRESENT 3 WHEN YOU TOLD THEM THAT THAT WAS STILL A QUESTION AND THIS 4 IS WHY YOU WERE DOWN IN TUCSON THERE, TO TRY TO FIGURE THAT 5 OUT? 6 A I ACTUALLY THINK IT WAS CARMEN THAT I TOLD THIS 7 TO AND NOT JESUS. I DON'T THINK I TOLD JESUS. I THINK I 8 TOLD CARMEN THAT. 9 Q WHAT DID YOU TELL CARMEN? 10 A I TOLD HER WE HAD TO FIND OUT, TO TRY TO FIND 11 OUT WHO SHE SAW. 12 Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT DID YOU TELL HER ABOUT A HOMICIDE 13 CASE, SIR? 14 A I TOLD HER THAT WE HAD A HOMICIDE CASE OR A MAN 15 WAS CHARGED WITH MURDERING SOMEBODY AND THAT WE HAD TO TRY 16 TO FIND THE PERSON THAT SHE SAW. 17 DID YOU TELL HER ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THE CASE? 18 0 NOT THAT I RECALL. I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY. 19 Q DID YOU HEAR ANYBODY ELSE TELLING HER ANYTHING 20 21 ABOUT THE CASE? A NO. BUT WHAT I TOLD HER WAS ON TAPE. SO IT 22 WOULD BE EASILY VERIFIED WHAT I TOLD HER. I DON'T REMEMBER 23 SPECIFICALLY WHAT I TOLD HER ABOUT THE CASE. 24 Q OKAY. DID YOU EVER AT ANY TIME TELL MR. LOPEZ 25
 - A I DON'T ACTUALLY THINK THAT I DID TELL HIM THE

ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE IN ADDITION TO WHAT YOU TOLD MS.

2 B

```
1
    FACTS.
                I JUST TOLD HIM THAT WE WERE THERE TO TRY TO
2
3
    FIND THE PERSON THAT THEY HAD SEEN. I WAS NOT SPECIFIC WITH
    HIM, I DON'T BELIEVE.
         MR. BARENS: OKAY. A MOMENT, IF WE MIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
5
                (PAUSE.)
6
                BY MR. BARENS: NOW, LET ME JUST ASK YOU SOMETHING
7
    ABOUT WELL BUILT. DID YOU ASK HIM, "HE 1S WELL BUILT?"
8
    REFERRING TO THE PERSON HE HAD SEEN IN THE GAS STATION?
9
10
                DID 1 ASK HIM IF HE WAS WELL BUILT?
                UH-HUH.
11
          0
          A NO. I BELIEVE HE BROUGHT IT UP FIRST THAT THE
12
13
    GUY WAS. AND I ASKED HIM YES. IF HE WAS WELL BUILT.
14
                I HAD HIM REEMPHASIZE WHAT HE SAID BECAUSE THAT
15
    DIDN'T RING TRUE OF RON LEVIN BECAUSE I KNEW RON LEVIN. HE
16
    WOULD NEVER WORK OUT.
17
                IN THE GYM?
          0
18
          А
               ANYWHERE.
19
            DID MR. LOPEZ SAY TO YOU YEAH, HE LOOKED LIKE
20
    HE WORKED OUT IN THE GYM?
21
          Д
               YES.
22
            CKAY. I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU A TRANSCRIPT HERE
23
    SIR, OF YOUR QUESTIONS AND HIS ANSWERS. SEE IF THAT REFRESHES
24
    YOUR RECOLLECTION.
25
          THE COURT: LOOK AT THE OTHER PARTS OF THAT TRANSCRIPT
26
    TO SEE WHAT IT SAYS EXACTLY, TOO.
27
         MR. BARENS: LET'S SEE IF HE CAN FIND THAT INDEED,
28
    SIR.
```

```
THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. LET HIM LOOK AT IT, WILL
1
    YOU?
2
          MR. BARENS: WELL, I THOUGHT I WOULD JUST ASK HIM IF
3
    IT SAYS THAT. I WILL TAKE HIM THROUGH IT PAGE BY PAGE, SIR.
4
          THE WITNESS: THE PART I THINK YOU MIGHT POSSIBLY HAVE
5
    A QUESTION ABOUT IS WHERE IT IS UNINTELLIGIBLE --
6
7
         MR. BARENS: (LAUGHTER.)
          THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
          MR. BARENS: 1 THINK IT IS HUMOROUS.
9
          THE COURT: IT 15?
10
          MR. BARENS: I THINK IT IS HUMOROUS THAT THE PART THAT
11
    IS UNINTELLIGIBLE COMES TO THE RESCUE.
12
          THE WITNESS: WELL, WILL YOU LET ME FINISH WHAT I AM
13
    SAYING?
14
          THE COURT: HE DOESN'T WANT TO GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO
15
    DO THAT BECAUSE YOU MIGHT EXPLAIN SOMETHING THAT HE DOESN'T
16
17
    LIKE.
         MR. BARENS: WE BOTH WILL --
18
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU EXPLAIN ANYTHING THAT YOU
19
20
    WANT.
          THE WITNESS: RIGHT AFTER THERE, MY COMMENT IS, "WELL
21
22
    BUILT?" THERE IS A QUESTION MARK.
                BY MR. BARENS: YES, SIR?
23
          Q
                IN RESPONSE TO SOMETHING UNINTELLIGIBLE THAT
24
25
    HE SAYS THAT I CAN'T FIGURE OUT.
          Q WHAT DOES IT SAY ON THE NEXT LINE?
26
27
          MR. CHIER: OR THE NEXT PAGE.
          THE WITNESS: "YES, LIKE HE WORKS OUT."
28
```

Q BY MR. BARENS: DOES 1T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT A 1 GYM IN THAT LINE? DOES IT SAY WORKS OUT IN A GYM? 2 A NO. BUT IF YOU LISTEN TO THE TAPE, I THINK YOU 3 GET THE IMPRESSION THAT THAT IS WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT. AND THAT IS THE IMPRESSION THAT WHEN YOU WORK OUT, YOU WORK 5 6 OUT IN THE GYM. Q I SEE. DO YOU THINK THAT ALL PEOPLE THAT WORK 7 3 OUT, WORK OUT IN A GYM? MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE. Ĝ 10 12 13 14 - 5 16 _ -; ∂ ٠.٥ 20 2. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

```
THE WITNESS: EVERYONE I KNOW THAT DOES, WORKS OUT
1
   IN SOME SORT OF A GYM.
2
         Q BY MR. BARENS: HOW ABOUT PEOPLE THAT RUN OR
3
   BIKE OR SWIM OR DO AEROBICS? WHAT ABOUT THOSE PEOPLE?
4
         A WELL, THAT ISN'T MY CONNOTATION OF SOMEBODY THAT
5
   WORKS OUT. THEY GET LEAN BUT NOT BUFF.
6
         Q RIGHT. THE CONNOTATION YOU HAVE WHEN SOMEONE
   SAYS "WORK OUT" TO YOU, YOU THINK GYM, DON'T YOU, BASED ON
8
   YOUR EXPERIENCE?
9
             YES. I GO FOUR TIMES A WEEK.
10
         Д
         Q YOU BET. SO THAT TO YOU, IS PUMPING IRON, IS
4.4
   IT NOT, SIR?
12
13
         A YES.
               SIR?
         Q
14
          Д
               YES, SIR.
15
               (PAUSE.)
16
               BY MR. BARENS: WHEN I LOOK AT THIS, I SEE THAT
          Q
    THERE IS AN UNINTELLIGIBLE AFTER WHERE SCHAEFER IS TALKING,
18
    SIR? IT SAYS, DOES IT NOT, "SCHAEFER," AND THEN THE WORD
19
    "UNINTELLIGIBLE"?
20
              THIS IS WHAT I WAS REFERRING TO, SIR (INDICATING).
21
               "LOPEZ (UNINTELLIGIBLE)"?
22
23
               YES.
          Α
          MR. WAPNER: DO YOU HAVE THE PAGE AND LINE?
24
          MR. BARENS: WELL, WE ARE ALL AT PAGE --
25
          THE WITNESS: BECAUSE SEE, IT SAYS "WELL BUILT?" RIGHT
26
    AFTER THAT. I DIDN'T MEAN TO BE ARROGANT WHEN I SAID THAT.
27
          Q BY MR. BARENS: YOU SAID THAT HE IS WELL BUILT,
28
```

SIR? 1 A YEAH, WITH A QUESTION MARK, WHICH MEANS I WAS 2 RESPONDING TO WHAT HE HAD SAID BECAUSE I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND 3 4 WHAT HE SAID. OKAY. WELL, NOW WE WILL GO FORWARD A LITTLE 5 BIT. WHEN YOU ASKED MR. LOPEZ, "SO YOU COULD SEE HIS GUNS, 6 BIG GUNS?" WHAT DID YOU MEAN? 7 Α BICEPS. 8 THAT IS WHAT WE CALL BIG GUNS AND WHEN YOU ASKED 9 HIM, "DO YOU SEE HIS GUNS, BIG GUNS?" DID HE TELL YOU --10 HE SAID THAT HE WASN'T -- I DON'T RECALL THE 11 EXACT VERBIAGE BUT NOT THAT HE HAD BIG GUNS, JUST WELL 12 DEFINED. 13 14 HE IS NOT -- WHAT DID HE SAY? STOUT OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 15 WHAT WAS THE VERY FIRST ~- WHEN YOU SAID TO HIM, 16 "SO YOU COULD SEE HIS BIG GUNS" WHAT WAS THE VERY FIRST WORD 17 SAID AFTER THAT QUESTION, SIR? 18 WHERE IS IT? HE SAID, "NO." 19 А WHAT DID HE SAY IN THE REST OF THE SENTENCE? 20 Q "JUST -- YOU MEAN HE WAS NOT STOCKY, HE WAS WELL 21 22 BUILTIM MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 23 24 (PAUSE.) 25 26 27

23

```
MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
1
2
                (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
3
                AND MR. CHIER.)
4
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
5
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
6
7
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. WAPNER:
9
         Q MR. EDMONDS, DID YOU BRING A COPY OF THE TAPE WITH
10
    YOU TO COURT TODAY?
11
          А
               YES, SIR.
          Q AND DID YOU GET IT TO THAT -- PLAY IT SO THAT IT
12
13
    GOT TO THAT PORTION WHERE YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT HIS HEIGHT
14
    AND WEIGHT AND WHAT HE WAS BUILT LIKE?
15
          A YES, IT IS REAL CLOSE --
16
          MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE SAYS IF WE ARE GOING
17
    TO PLAY ANY TAPE, LET'S PLAY THE WHOLE THING.
18
          THE COURT: GO AHEAD WITH YOUR QUESTION.
19
          MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IF WE ARE GOING TO PLAY THE
20
    TAPE --
21
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, COULD OBJECTIONS LIKE THIS BE
22
    MADE AT THE BENCH?
23
          THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED. THAT OBJECTION IS
24
    OVERRULED.
25
                YOU WANT TO PLAY THAT PORTION WHICH IS
26
    UNINTELLIGIBLE, IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO?
27
          MR. WAPNER: JUST THE ENTIRE PORTION WHERE IT IS TALKING
28
     ABOUT THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON.
```

3-1

```
THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
1
          MR. BARENS: THEN, YOUR HONOR, I ASSUME WE CAN PLAY
2
    PORTIONS, TOO?
3
          MR. WAPNER: COUNSEL HAS HAD A COPY OF THE TAPE SINCE
4
    THE DETECTIVE RETURNED FROM ARIZONA.
5
          THE COURT: APPROACH THE BENCH, WILL YOU, PLEASE?
6
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
                 AT THE BENCH:)
8
           THE COURT: UNFORTUNATELY, WE ARE REACHING THE NIT-PICKING
9
     STAGE OF THIS PROCEEDING. I DON'T THINK IT OUGHT TO BE
10
     PURSUED ANY FURTHER.
11
                 LET 1T GO AT THAT, WILL YOU? THAT IS ENOUGH. YOU
12
     DON'T HAVE TO PLAY IT. WE HAVE GOT SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE IN
13
     THE CASE THAT YOU CAN ARGUE TO THE JURY.
14
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
15
16
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
                IN OPEN COURT:)
17
                BY MR. WAPNER: MR. EDMONDS, RIGHT AFTER THE
18
     PORTION WHERE HE SAID "JUST I MEAN, HE WASN'T STOCKY, HE WAS
19
     BUILT." DID YOU ASK HIM A QUESTION AT THAT POINT?
20
                I SAID, "WAS HE BUILT LIKE I AM?"
21
                 AND WHAT DID HE SAY?
22
           Q
                HE SAID HE HAD MORE DEFINITION.
23
           Α
24
                 THANK YOU.
           0
25
                 DID MR. LOPEZ TELL YOU THAT HE NEVER GOT THAT
     CLOSE TO THE PERSON SO THAT HE COULD SEE THE SCAR THAT HE HAD?
26
27
           Α
                YES.
28
                 BEFORE YOU SHOWED MR. LOPEZ AND MISS CANCHOLA
           Q
```

3- ^

PHOTOGRAPHS. DID YOU GIVE THEM SOME INSTRUCTIONS ON VIEWING 1 A PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP? 2 YES. I DID. 3 AND DID YOU PROVIDE THEM EACH WITH XEROX COPIES 4 OF THE INSTRUCTIONS AND ASK THEM TO SIGN IT? 5 A YES, 1 DID. 6 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE TWO ITEMS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS 245 AND 246 FOR IDENTIFICATION. 8 245 WOULD BE A PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP ADMONITION 9 SIGNED BY MISS CANCHOLA. 10 AND 246 WOULD BE A PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP ADMONITION 11 SIGNED BY MR. LOPEZ. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THERE IS NO DISPUTE THAT THESE 13 ADMONITIONS WERE GIVEN TO THEM BEFORE THEY VIEWED THE 14 PHOTOGRAPHS, IS THERE? 15 MR. WAPNER: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: WELL, THEN WHY OFFER THEM? - 7 MR. WAPNER: WELL, I THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT THAT ALL 18 19 OF THE PROCEDURES SURROUNDING THE GIVING OF THESE -- THE SHOWING OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS ARE SHOWN. 20 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. Q BY MR. WAPNER: ARE THOSE THE ADMONITIONS THAT 22 23 YOU GAVE TO BOTH MISS CANCHOLA AND MR. LOPEZ BEFORE THEY WERE 24 SHOWN THE PHOTOGRAPHS? 25 A YES. Q DID YOU READ THEM TO THEM OR DID YOU HAVE THEM 26

A I HAD THEM READ THEM.

27

28

READ THEM?

YES, THAT SOUNDS PRETTY SIMILAR TO WHAT I SAID.

Q SHOWING YOU A TRANSCRIPT OF THE TAPE ON PAGE 6, WOULD YOU JUST LOOK AT THAT AND TELL ME IF YOU SAID THAT TO HER?

A YES, SIR.

Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT WAS IT THAT YOU SAID AND WHAT WAS HER RESPONSE?

KNOW HOW WE ARE GOING TO DO IT. WE APPRECIATE YOUR
COOPERATION BECAUSE IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT TO THE CASE.

I GUESS WITH PEOPLE ON TRIAL, I MEAN, WE HAVE GOT TO FIND THE
PERSON THAT YOU SAW TO PROVE THAT IT IS NOT OUR VICTIM OR TO
PROVE IT IS. AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE TO DO. IF YOU SEE THIS
PERSON, TRY TO GET A LICENSE NUMBER, WOULD YOU, OF THE CAR?"

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BARENS:

Q SIR, AFTER YOU READ THE ADMONITION TO MISS CANCHOLA THAT YOU MARKED IN THERE, DID SHE CHANGE HER IDENTIFICATION AT ALL?

A I DON'T BELIEVE I READ IT TO CANCHOLA. I BELIEVE MEYERS READ IT TO HER WITH ME PRESENT.

NO. THE ANSWER IS NO.

Q WHEN IT WAS READ TO MR. LOPEZ, BY WHOMEVER READ

1T. DID HE CHANGE HIS IDENTIFICATION?

A NO. IT WAS PRIOR TO THEM SEEING THE PICTURES.

THE ANSWER IS NO. 1 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY 3 BE EXCUSED. 4 5 PAUL EDHOLM, 6 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE IN REBUTTAL, WAS SWORN AND 7 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 8 THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY 9 YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS 10 COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT 11 THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 12 THE WITNESS: I DO. 13 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL YOUR 14 NAME FOR THE RECORD. 15 THE WITNESS: I APOLOGICE FOR THE SUNGLASSES. I COST 16 BROKE MY OTHERS OUT IN THE HALL. 17 THE CLERK: STATE YOUR NAME. 18 THE WITNESS: PAUL EDHOLM. 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. WAPNER: 22 O BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? 23 THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS, DETECTIVE, BUNCO/ 24 FORGERY DETAIL. 25 WITH THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT? 26 Q YES, SIR. Α 27 AND DID YOU GO TO TUCSON, ARIZONA AS A PART OF 28

```
1
    AN INVESTIGATION IN THIS CASE?
2
          Α
               YES, SIR. I DID.
3
          Q AND WHY WAS IT THAT YOU WERE CHOSEN TO GO AS ONE
4
    OF THE PEOPLE TO GO TO TUCSON?
5
         A IT WAS THANKSGIVING AND I WAS ONE OF THE DETECTIVES
     WHO WAS AVAILABLE TO GO.
6
7
               DID YOU ALSO HAVE SOME FAMILIARITY WITH MR. LEVIN?
8
          A THAT'S CORRECT.
9
               WHEN YOU WENT TO TUCSON, THAT WAS WITH LISA HART,
    DETECTIVE EDMONDS AND INVESTIGATOR SCHAEFER FROM THE
10
11
    DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
12
         A THAT'S CORRECT.
13
          Q
               AND WERE YOU WITH LISA HART WHEN YOU WENT DOWN
    TO SEE CARMEN CANCHOLA AND JESUS LOPEZ AT RIO RICO?
14
15
         A YES, SIR. I WAS.
16
               HAD YOU BEEN WITH LISA HART THE ENTIRE DAY FROM
17
    THE TIME YOU GOT TO TUCSON?
18
       A YES.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

WERE YOU WITH HER AT THE POLICE STATION? Q 245 1 Α 2 YES I WAS. 3 AS FAR AS THE CALLS THAT WERE MADE, THE CONTACTS THAT WERE MADE WITH MS. CANCHOLA'S FATHER AND THE LAWYER, 4 5 WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU HAVE TO DO WITH THAT? 6 A NOTHING. I WAS NOT ON THE PHONE. THAT WAS HANDLED BY DETECTIVE JEFF MEYERS FROM THE TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT 7 8 OR BY LISA HART. I WAS NOT ON THE PHONE AT ALL. 9 WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN GOING TO TUCSON AT THAT 10 PARTICULAR TIME? 11 A TO SHOW THE WITNESSES AN IDENTI KIT TO SEE IF THEY COULD IDENTIFY ANYONE. 13 WHAT IS AN IDENTI KIT? Q 14 AN IDENTI KIT IS A DEVICE. THEY ARE PLASTIC 15 FILMS WITH VARIOUS SHAPES OF FACES AND OTHER FACIAL FEATURES. 16 AND HAIRSTYLES AND ET CETERA, THAT WHEN PUT TOGETHER, RESEMBLE A COMPOSITE OF AN INDIVIDUAL. WHICH ARE USED IN POLICE 18 INVESTIGATIONS. 10 O IS IT A MEANS OF GETTING A COMPOSITE OF THE PERSON 20 WITHOUT ACTUALLY -- WITHOUT THE PERSON OBTAINING IT ACTUALLY 21 BEING AN ARTIST? 22 A YES, THAT'S CORRECT. 23 DID YOU RECEIVE ANY TRAINING WITH RESPECT TO DOING Q 24 IDENTI KIT COMPOSITES? 25 A YES I DID. 26 Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT TO US, PLEASE? 27 I HAD 16 HOURS OF TRAINING PUT ON BY THE IDENTI 28

KITS SCHOOL IN SEPTEMBER OF 1983.

```
AND HAD YOU EVER DONE AN IDENTI KIT BEFORE YOU
1
          Q
2
    WENT TO TUCSON TO HAVE THAT ONE DONE?
3
                ONCE.
          Α
               WHEN YOU WENT TO TUCSON, DID YOU ACTUALLY TAKE
4
           Q
5
    THE KIT WITH YOU?
                YES I DID.
6
          Α
                SHOWING YOU THIS WOODEN BOX THAT SAYS "PROPERTY
7
     OF BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT," ON IT, CAN YOU TELL ME
8
9
     WHAT THAT IS?
10
               THAT IS THE IDENTI KIT.
          Α
             IS THAT THE ONE THAT YOU TOOK WITH YOU?
11
           Q
12
                YES, SIR. IT IS.
          Α
                WHEN YOU WENT TO RIO RICO, WHAT TIME DID YOU GET
13
           Q
14
     DOWN THERE?
                I WOULD SAY APPROXIMATELY 8 P.M.
15
           Α
16
                WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WERE TO MEET
     MS. CANCHOLA AND MR. LOPEZ THERE WHE' YOU GOT THERE?
17
18
             YES IT WAS.
           Α
19
                WHEN YOU GOT THERE, WERE EITHER ONE OF THEM THERE?
20
           А
                 NO.
21
                 WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
22
                I SPOKE WITH MS. CANCHOLA'S FATHER FOR
23
     APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR, WAITING FOR HER TO ARRIVE.
24
                 WAS LISA HART WITH YOU AT THAT TIME?
           Q
25
           Α
                 YES.
26
                DID YOU IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO MR. CANCHOLA WHEN
27
     YOU FIRST GOT THERE?
28
               YES 1 DID.
           Α
```

1		
1	Q	HOW DID YOU IDENTIFY YOURSELF?
2	А	AS A POLICE OFFICE. I SHOWED HIM MY BADGE.
3	Q	DID LISA HART IDENTIFY HERSELF, TOO?
4	А	YES, SHE DID.
5	Q	AND HOW DID SHE IDENTIFY HERSELF?
6	А	AS A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY.
7	Q	AFTER APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR HAD PASSED, WHAT
8	HAPPENED?	
9	A	BOTH MS. CANCHOLA AND MR. LOPEZ ENTERED THE
10	RESIDENCE.	THEY SAID SOMETHING ABOUT SORRY AND THEN WE MADE
11	OUR INTRODU	CTIONS AND IDENTIFIED OURSELVES AGAIN.
12	Q	WHO MADE YOU MADE YOUR INTRODUCTION TO THEM?
13	WHAT DO YOU	MEAN WHEN YOU SAY "WE MADE OUR INTRODUCTIONS"?
14	А	LISA AND I.
15	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT WAS SAID AT THAT TIME BY
16	LISA HART T	O EITHER OR BOTH OF THOSE TWO PEOPLE?
17	A	THAT SHE WAS A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WITH LOS
18	ANGELES COU	NTY.
19	Q	DID YOU IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO BOTH OF THEM?
20	А	YES.
21	Q	AS A POLICE OFFICER FROM THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS?
22	А	YES I DID.
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

25F

WELL, AT THE TIME THAT MS. CANCHOLA AND MR. LOPEZ 1 0 GOT THERE, WHAT TIME OF THE DAY OR NIGHT WAS IT? 2 ABOUT AN HOUR LATER. I WOULD ESTIMATE AROUND 3 Α 4 9:00 P.M. AFTER YOU MADE THE INTRODUCTIONS, WHAT HAPPENED? 5 Q A I ESCORTED MR. CANCHOLA, THE FATHER, AND CARMEN 6 INTO A BACK ROOM -- WELL, THEY INDICATED A ROOM THEY WANTED 7 8 TO HAVE THE CONVERSATION IN. I EXPLAINED THE PROCESS OF THE IDENTI KIT AND 9 THEN I BEGAN ASKING QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THE IDENTITY 10 OF THE PERSON SHE HAD SEEN. 11 Q WHAT WAS THE EXPLANATION YOU GAVE HER OF THE 12 13 IDENTI KIT? A OH, TO BACK UP, PRIOR TO THAT, I WAS INSTRUCTED 14 THAT THERE WOULD BE NO CONVERSATION REGARDING THE CASE, 15 OTHER THAN IDENTIFICATION, SO MY ONLY QUESTIONS WERE TO BE 16 17 ADDRESSED TO THE IDENTI KIT ONLY. O OKAY. AND DID YOU MAKE SOME STATEMENT EITHER 18 TO HER OR TO HER FATHER ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOU UNDERSTOOD 19 20 YOU WEREN'T TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE CASE? 21 YES. А 22 WAS THAT TO HER OR THE FATHER OR BOTH? Q 23 А BOTH. 24 AND THAT WAS BEFORE YOU GOT INTO THE ROOM IN Q 25 THE BACK? 26 Α YES. 27 WHEN YOU GOT INTO THE ROOM IN THE BACK, WHAT

DID YOU TELL HER ABOUT THE IDENTI KIT?

```
I NEEDED TO GET INFORMATION FROM HER FIRST ABOUT
1
          Α
    A DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON SHE HAD SEEN AND THEN BASED UPON
2
    THAT DESCRIPTION, I WOULD PUT TOGETHER A COMPOSITE OF WHAT
3
    THE SCHOOL INDICATES WOULD BE A STARTING PLACE, STARTING
4
    WITH THE BASIC FACE SHAPE, ET CETERA, ET CETERA, EYES, NOSE
5
    AND MOUTH, AND I INDICATED THAT TO HER.
6
                AND THEN FROM THERE, THEN SHE WOULD INDICATE
7
    TO ME WHAT CHANGES, IF ANY, THERE WOULD BE TO THIS COMPOSITE.
8
             DID YOU GET A DESCRIPTION FROM HER THAT YOU USED
9
    TO MAKE THIS FIRST BASIC STARTING POINT?
10
               YES, I DID.
11
               AND DID YOU RECONSTRUCT THAT THIS MORNING? DID
12
    YOU TAKE PIECES OF THE IDENTI KIT THIS MORNING AND PIECE
13
14
    TOGETHER AGAIN WHAT THAT STARTING PLACE PICTURE WOULD LOOK
    LIKE?
15
                YES. 1 DID.
16
          А
                DID YOU MAKE A XEROX OF IT?
          Q
17
               YES, 1 DID.
18
          Α
          MR. BARE'S: WE WOULD OBJECT, YOUR HONOR.
19
                MAY WE APPROACH?
20
21
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22
          MR. BARENS: DID IT THIS MORNING?
23
          THE COURT: PARDON ME?
24
          MR. BARENS: ABOUT THIS MORNING'S LITTLE ACTIVITY.
25
          MR. WAPNER: MAYBE I CAN CLEAR THAT UP SO WE DON'T
26
    HAVE TO APPROACH, YOUR HONOR.
27
               WHEN YOU WERE THERE IN TUCSON, DID YOU GET FROM
          Q
```

HER A DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON THAT SHE SAW?

1 A YES, I DID.

Q AND BASED ON THAT, DID YOU TAKE SOME OF THE PIECES FROM THE IDENTI KIT AND MAKE A FACE?

A YES, I DID.

Q AND DID YOU MAKE SOME NOTATION OF WHAT THOSE

PIECES WERE THAT YOU USED TO MAKE THAT INITIAL STARTING POINT

FACE?

A NO, I DID NOT.

HOWEVER, WHAT I DID WAS USE THE SCHOOL'S FORM
AND BASED UPON THE IDENTIFICATION LISTED, ACCORDING TO WHAT
THE WITNESS STATED, THOSE ARE THE CELLS, INDIVIDUAL CELLS
FOR THAT SHAPE OF FACE, NOSES, ET CETERA.

27

28

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT NO THE BASIS 1 OF RELEVANCY AND THERE IS A MOTION TO STRIKE ALL OF THAT. 2 THE COURT: I KNOW. WILL YOU APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE? 3 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 4 AT THE BENCH, OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE 5 JURY:) 6 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE POINT OF ALL OF THIS? WE HAVE 7 THE IDENTI KIT PICTURE, HAVEN'T WE? 8 MR. WAPNER: WE DON'T HAVE ONE AND SHE CLAIMS SHE HAS 9 BEEN BROWBEATEN BY ALL OF THESE PEOPLE FROM THE POLICE 10 DEPARTMENT AND SHE FURTHER WAS NOT ABLE TO PRODUCE AN 11 IDENTIFICATION, AND I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO EXPLAIN THE 12 STEPS THAT WERE TAKEN WITH HER THAT WERE ATTEMPTED TO MAKE 13 THIS IDENTIFICATION AND THE WITNESS ATTEMPTED TO MAKE THIS 14 IDENTIFICATION AND SHE COULDN'T DO IT. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 16 MR. WAPNER: FURTHER, IT EXPLAINS THE SUBSEQUENT CONDUCT. 17 THE COURT: SHE SAID THEY WEREN'T ALL COMPLETED PIECES, 18 ISN'T THAT WHAT SHE SAID? 19 MR. WAPNER: RIGHT, RIGHT. THAT IS ANOTHER THING SHE 20 21 SAID. 22 THE COURT: ALL PIGHT. MR. WAPNER: AND ALSO, SHE CLAIMS IT WAS AFTER THIS 23 THAT SHE WAS SUPPOSEDLY BROWBEATEN BY THE DEPUTY D.A. AND 24 25

THAT SHE WAS SUPPOSEDLY BROWBEATEN BY THE DEPUTY D.A. AND
THIS GOES TO EXPLAIN HER STATE OF MIND WHEN SHE FINISHED
THIS PROCESS OF SITTING THERE FOR AN HOUR AND TRYING TO DO
THIS AND SHE SAYS "I CAN'T DO IT, I CAN'T DO IT." SHE IS
ALL UPSET, SHE CLAIMS THE POLICE HARASSED HER.

MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT.

THE QUESTION IS: HOW WAS IT SHE CAME TO BE THIS 1 UPSET? 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 MR. BARENS: MR. WAPNER USED THE WORD "BROWBEATEN" 4 FOUR TIMES, WHEN THE WITNESS WAS ON THE WITNESS STAND AND 5 I SPECIFICALLY WENT OVER THAT AND SHE NEVER USED THE WORD 6 "BROWBEATEN". 7 THE COURT: WELL, WORDS TO THAT EFFECT. 8 MR. BARENS: SHE STATED WHAT HER EXPERIENCES WERE AND 9 SHE NEVER USED THAT WORD. 10 THE COURT: WELL, WORDS TO THAT EFFECT. 11 MR. BARENS: SHE MADE A SPECIFIC STATEMENT THAT WORDS WERE SPOKEN TO HER THAT SHE INTERPRETED AS DISSUADING HER 13 FROM GOING ON WITH THIS MATTER. HOWEVER, YOUR HONOR --14 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE POINT OF YOUR OBJECTION? 15 MR. BARENS: THE DRAWING THAT THE OFFICER MAKES FROM 16 SCRATCH, ACCORDING TO THE MANUAL OR THE BOOK HE IS REFERRING 17 TO, THE RELEVANCE OF THAT AS HIS STARTING POINT AND WHAT 18 HE CONCLUDES AND RE-PUTTING TOGETHER THIS MORNING, I DON'T 19 THINK IS RELEVANT. 20 I ALSO FEEL THAT SINCE THE WITNESS STATED THAT 21 SHE WAS NOT ONLY NOT ABLE TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION FROM 22 THE IDENTI KIT AND GAVE UP IN FRUSTRATION, WHICH IS CONFIRMED 23 24 BY LISA HART, AND CONFIRMED BY --THE COURT: LET HIM TESTIFY TO WHAT HE DID. HE IS 25 26 AN EXPERT. 27 I WILL OVERRULE YOUR OBJECTION.

THE COURT: THEN YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM. MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO DO IT NOW? IT IS 3:00 O'CLOCK. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, WE WILL TAKE A RECESS. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL TAKE A 15-MINUTE RECESS AT THIS TIME. THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WILL STILL APPLY. (RECESS.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED. 1 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 2 DETECTIVE EDHOLM, WHEN YOU FIRST WENT INTO THE 3 ROOM WITH MISS CANCHOLA AND EXPLAINED TO HER WHAT THE IDENTI KIT WAS, DID YOU PUT TOGETHER A FACE USING CERTAIN CELLS IN 5 THE IDENTI KIT AND DESCRIPTION SHE HAD GIVEN YOU? 6 A YES. 7 DID YOU JUST RECONSTRUCT THAT SAME FACE THAT YOU 8 PUT TOGETHER DURING THE RECESS THAT WE JUST HAD? 9 YES I DID. 10 CAN YOU FIRST OF ALL HOLD THAT UP SO THAT WE CAN 11 SEE WHAT THAT ACTUALLY LOOKS LIKE? 12 (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.) Α 13 Q AND WAS A XEROX OF THAT THEN MADE? 14 YES. Д 15 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE XEROX GN THIS PIECE OF 16 PAPER WITH A PHOTOGRAPH ON IT THAT APPEARS TO BE A XEROX OF 17 THE PHOTOGRAPH OR THE COMPOSITE THE WITNESS HAS JUST HELD UP, 18 BE MARKED AS 247 FOR IDENTIFICATION? 19 THE COURT: SO MARKED. 20 O BY MR. WAPNER: DETECTIVE EDHOLM, IS 247 FOR 21 IDENTIFICATION, THE XEROX OF THE STARTING POINT FACE THAT YOU 22 USED WITH MS. CANCHOLA? 23 A YES, SIR. IT WAS. 24 25 26 27 28

7 F

7-1 COULD YOU HOLD THAT UP JUST SO THE JURORS CAN 1 Q 2 SEE IT. 3 (WITNESS COMPLIES.) AND THAT IS BASED ON THE DESCRIPTION THAT SHE 4 0 5 GAVE OF THE PERSON SHE SAW AT THE GAS STATION, CORRECT? 6 Α YES. 7 THAT IS AGE, HEIGHT, WEIGHT AND THINGS LIKE THAT? 8 PER THE GUIDELINES FROM THE IDENTI KIT, YES, 9 AS A STARTING POINT. SO THE IDENTI KIT, THE PEOPLE WHO MAKE THIS KIT 10 PROVIDE CERTAIN GUIDELINES BASED ON THE DESCRIPTION? 11 PURSUANT TO HEIGHT, AGE, WEIGHT, ET CETERA, YES. 12 Α AFTER YOU MADE THAT INITIAL PICTURE, WHAT WAS 13 14 THE PROCEDURE THAT YOU USED IN ORDER TO ATTEMPT TO GET A COMPOSITE SKETCH OR DIAGRAM OF THIS PERSON'S FACE? 15 16 A I THEN SHOWED IT TO THE WITNESS AND ASKED HER 17 IF THAT IS IN FACT WHAT THE PERSON LOOKED LIKE THAT SHE 18 SAW. 19 Q WHAT DID SHE SAY? 20 Α SHE SAID NO. 21 SMEHT OF DO AND CIC LYMM 0 22 I SAID, "STARTING WITH THE EYES, LET'S GO WITH A 23 THE EYES," AND WE STARTED GOING THROUGH THE VARIOUS CELLS 24 AND SAYING, "OKAY, WHAT IS WRONG WITH THE EYES? ARE THEY 25 MORE OPEN? ARE THEY MORE CLOSED? ARE THEY CLOSER TOGETHER? 26 FURTHER APART?" WHATEVER. 27 AND I DID THAT WITH ALL OF THE FEATURES ON THIS

PARTICULAR FACE.

1	Q WHAT HAPPENS WHEN SHE SAYS
2	FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN YOU DID THE EYES, WHAT WAS
3	THE FIRST THING, DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE FIRST THING YOU
4	DID WAS?
5	A I TOOK THE CELL THAT HAS THE EYES ON THEM OFF
6	OF THIS FORM AND THEN I REPLACED IT WITH ANOTHER SET OF EYES.
7	Q AND WHEN YOU REPLACED IT WITH ANOTHER SET OF
8	EYES, WHAT HAPPENED, DID YOU THEN SHOW THAT TO HER?
9	A YES, I DID.
10	Q WHAT DID SHE SAY AT THAT POINT?
11	A I KEPT ON DOING THAT FOR AN HOUR AND SHE COULDN'T
12	COME UP WITH A COMPOSITE.
13	Q DID YOU CONTINUE TO ASK HER QUESTIONS ABOUT
14	DIFFERENT FACIAL FEATURES?
15	A YES.
16	Q AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT SHE COULDN'T
17	COME UP WITH THE COMPOSITE?
18	A I SHOWED HER EYES. SHE CAME UP WITH ONE THAT
19	SHE THOUGHT WAS CLOSE.
20	THEN I WENT TO THE NOSE, THE CHIN, ET CETERA,
21	AGE LINES, HAIR STYLE, AND THEN WITH EACH OF THEM, I SHOWED
22	HER THAT PARTICULAR COMPOSITE AND SHE SAYS "NO, THAT IS NOT
23	RIGHT."
24	"WELL, WHAT IS NOT RIGHT ABOUT IT?
25	"WELL, NOW THE EYES ARE WRONG" OR
26	"NOW THE NOSE IS WRONG" OR "NOW THE CHIN IS WRONG."
27	AND THIS WENT ON, AS I SAID, FOR AN HOUR.

WHAT HAPPENED AFTER SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT?

A YES IT WAS.

Q

27

```
MY INTERVIEW WITH HER CONCLUDED AND THEN I BROUGHT
1
    MR. LOPEZ INTO THE ROOM AND STARTED THE SAME PROCEDURE WITH
2
    HIM, SHOWING HIM THE SAME STARTING POINT.
3
               WHEN SHE MADE THAT STATEMENT TO YOU, DID YOU MAKE
4
    A NOTATION OF IT?
5
         Α
               YES I DID.
6
               THAT WAS ON SOME ROUGH NOTES THAT YOU TOOK AT THE
7
    TIME?
8
            YES, SIR. IT WAS.
         Α
9
               WHEN DID YOU MAKE THE NOTE OF THE STATEMENT IN
10
    RELATION TO WHEN SHE MADE IT?
11
         A IMMEDIATELY AFTER SHE MADE IT. I WROTE IT DOWN
12
    VERBATIM.
13
         Q DID YOU THEN PUT THAT STATEMENT VERBATIM IN
14
    QUOTATIONS IN THE REPORT THAT YOU LATER PREPARED OF THE
15
    INCIDENT?
16
         A YES, SIR. I DID.
17
               WHEN MR. LOPEZ CAME INTO THE ROOM TO DO THE IDENTI
18
          0
    KIT, WAS HE BY HIMSELF OR WITH MS. CANCHOLA'S FATHER?
19
         A I DON'T RECALL. I JUST DON'T RECALL.
20
               DID YOU EVER LEAVE THE ROOM OR DID YOU STAY THERE
21
22
    AND SHE LEFT AND MR. LOPEZ CAME IN?
23
            I REMAINED IN THE ROOM THE ENTIRE TIME.
          Α
24
               WHEN MR. LOPEZ FIRST CAME IN THE ROOM, WHAT DID
          Q
25
    HE DO?
          A I EXPLAINED THE SAME PROCESS.
26
27
               AND DID YOU START WITH A PHOTOGRAPH COMPOSITE AS
28
    A STARTING POINT FOR HIM?
```

YES I DID. Α 1 WAS THAT THE SAME OR DIFFERENT AS THE ONE THAT 2 YOU USED AS A STARTING POINT FOR HER? 3 I STARTED AT THE SAME STARTING POINT. I ASKED HIM PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS, AS WELL AND THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION 5 WAS APPROXIMATELY THE SAME AS MISS CANCHOLA'S. 6 SO BASED UPON THAT, I USED THE GUIDELINES IN THE 7 IDENTI KIT, WHICH WAS THE SAME. 8 AND ONCE YOU ASKED HIM, YOU STARTED WITH THE BASIC 9 STARTING POINT AND STARTED ASKING HIM QUESTIONS. WHAT HAPPENED? 10 HE WAS ABLE TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION AFTER 11 APPROXIMATELY 45 MINUTES. 12 INCIDENTALLY, THE KIT THAT YOU TOOK WITH YOU TO 13 Q TUCSON, WAS IT INCOMPLETE IN ANY WAY? WAS IT MISSING ANY 14 PIECES? 15 NO. 16 DID YOU TELL MISS CANCHOLA THAT IT WAS MISSING 17 SOME PIECES? 18 NO. 1 DID NOT. 19 Α O AND WHAT DID YOU USE AS GUIDELINES IN TERMS OF 20 WHEN YOU ASKED HIM ABOUT CERTAIN EYES FOR EXAMPLE AND HE GAVE 21 YOU A RESPONSE, WHAT DID YOU USE AS A GUIDELINE TO KNOW WHICH 22 23 CELL TO PULL OUT? THERE WAS A BOOK THAT ACCOMPANIES THAT KIT AND 24 THERE ARE VARIOUS SEGMENTS BROKEN DOWN BY HAIR, CHIN, AGE LINES, 25 FACIAL TOWES, EYES, LIPS, NOSES, BROWS, GLASSES, BEARDS, 26 MUSTACHES, AND HEADGEAR. THAT IS HOW THE BOOK IS BROKEN DOWN 27

INTO THOSE RESPECTIVE AREAS. AND SO, I THEN REFER TO THE BOOK

AND THEN I SAID, "WELL, WHAT IS DIFFERENT ABOUT 1T?" AND THEN BASED UPON HER DESCRIPTION TO ME, I THEN TRIED TO FIND WHAT WAS DIFFERENT IN THE BOOK AND THEN FIND THE APPROPRIATE CELL AND PLACE IT ON THE COMPOSITE.

9 F

```
DID YOU SHOW THE BOOK TO HER?
1
          Q
          Α
               NO, I DID NOT.
2
               WHY NOT?
3
          Q
            THIS IS WHAT WE WERE INSTRUCTED AT THE SCHOOL,
    THAT WE SHOULD NOT SHOW THE BOOK BECAUSE THAT TENDS TO CONFUSE
5
    PEOPLE RATHER THAN TO ELABORATE ON THE IDENTIFICATION.
6
          O CAN YOU JUST HOLD THAT BOOK UP AND SHOW THE JURY,
7
    FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE PAGES OF EYES SO WE HAVE AN IDEA
8
    WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT?
9
         MR. BARENS: COULD WE MARK THAT FOR IDENTIFICATION,
10
11
    SIR?
         THE WITNESS: THIS IS THE ONLY KIT WE HAVE AT THE POLICE
12
    DEPARTMENT.
13
         THE COURT: JUST SHOW IT UP, WILL YOU, PLEASE?
14
                (WITNESS HOLDING BOOK UP FOR JURY.)
15
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND THEN 1T HAS SEVERAL DIFFERENT
16
    PAGES OF EYES AND NOSES AND THINGS LIKE THAT?
17
         A CORRECT.
18
          O AND AFTER 45 MINUTES OF WORKING WITH HIM, HE
19
    PRODUCED A COMPOSITE FACE?
20
21
               YES, HE DID.
          А
          Q ALL RIGHT, SHOWING YOU 240 FOR IDENTIFICATION,
22
23
    DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
24
                YES, SIR, I DO.
          Α
25
            WHAT IS THAT?
          Q
                THAT IS THE COMPOSITE THAT HE CAME UP WITH.
26
          Α
                AND AFTER HE CAME UP WITH THAT COMPOSITE, DID
27
          0
28
    HE MAKE ANY STATEMENT TO YOU ABOUT IT?
```

ŋ **.**. :

```
A YES, HE DID.
1
               WHAT DID HE SAY?
2
          Q
              HE SAID TWO THINGS: THAT THE CHIN SHOULD BE
3
    MORE SCULPTURED IN THIS COMPOSITE AND THE HAIR WAS COMBED
4
5
    STRAIGHT BACK, BUT OTHER THAN THAT, THIS WAS CORRECT.
        O DID YOU HAVE A MORE SCULPTURED CHIN THAT YOU
6
    COULD PUT IN THERE OR HAD YOU GONE THROUGH THOSE FEATURES
7
8
    BEFORE?
        A I HAD GONE THROUGH THAT AND THIS WAS THE BEST
    I COULD COME UP WITH.
10
        Q AFTER YOU CAME UP WITH THAT COMPOSITE WITH HIM.
11
    WHAT DID YOU DO?
12
         A WENT WITH HIM BACK INTO THE LIVING ROOM WHERE
13
14
    MR. CANCHOLA WAS WITH HIS DAUGHTER.
          Q AND HOW LONG DID YOU STAY THERE?
15
         A JUST A MATTER OF MOMENTS.
16
              WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU WENT BACK INTO THE
17
18
    LIVING ROOM?
      A 1 ASKED MISS CANCHOLA 1F IN FACT THIS COMPOSITE
19
    THAT I WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN FROM MR. LOPEZ WAS CORRECT AND
20
21
    IF SHE COULD IDENTIFY THAT.
22
              WHAT DID SHE SAY?
         Q
23
          A SHE STATED "NO."
24
              WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER THAT?
          Q
25
          Α
               LEFT.
26
                DID YOU EVER GO BACK TO TUCSON?
          Q
27
               NO.
          Α
28
               BY THE TIME YOU LEFT, WHAT TIME WAS IT?
          Q
```

1	A APPROACHING 11:00 O'CLOCK.
2	Q AND DID YOU DO ANY FURTHER INVESTIGATION THAT
3	NIGHT OR THE NEXT MORNING OTHER THAN YOU
4	A INVESTIGATION BY MYSELF, NO.
5	Q YOU BASICALLY WENT BACK TO THE HOTEL AND THEN
6	LEFT THE NEXT MORNING?
7	A CORRECT.
8	Q HOW MUCH TIME DID YOU SPEND THERE IN THE COMPANY
9	OF LISA HART AND MISS CANCHOLA AND MR. LOPEZ, THE FOUR OF
10	YOU?
11	A INITIALLY, UPON ARRIVAL IN RIO RICO, LISA HART
12	AND I SPENT APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR WITH MR. CANCHOLA AWAITING
13	THE ARRIVAL OF HIS DAUGHTER AND MR. LOPEZ.
14	THEN UPON THEIR ARRIVAL, I SPENT APPROXIMATELY
15	AN HOUR WITH MISS CANCHOLA AND APPROXIMATELY 45 MINUTES WITH
16	MR. LOPEZ AND THEN A FEW MINUTES AGAIN SHOWING THE
17	COMPOSITE TO MISS CANCHOLA AND THEN BASICALLY, MAKING OUR
18	APOLOGIES, TO LEAVE.
19	Q APOLOGIES FOR WHAT?
20	A WE HAD INTERRUPTED A BIRTHDAY PARTY FOR A MEMBER
21	OF THEIR FAMILY.
22	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
24	
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION
26	BY MR. BARENS:
27	Q GOOD AFTERNOON.
28	DID YOU EVER MAKE A COMPOSITE USING YOUR IDENTI

KIT BASED ON ANY PHOTOGRAPHS YOU SAW OF RON LEVIN?

A NO, 1 DID NOT.

Q YOU NEVER MADE AN ATTEMPT TO DO THAT?

Q DO YOU THINK YOU COULD MAKE A COMPOSITE UP WITH YOUR MACHINE THERE AND ALL OF YOUR DEVICES, OF A PHOTOGRAPH OF RON LEVIN?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.

```
1
          MR. BARENS: WELL, ISN'T THAT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT?
2
          THE COURT: OVERRULED.
3
          0
               BY MR. BARENS: IF YOU COULD DO THAT FOR ME?
4
               POSSIBLY.
          Α
5
          Q
               WOULD IT COME OUT LOOKING JUST LIKE THE PHOTOGRAPH?
6
          А
                NOT NECESSARILY.
               WELL, DID CARMEN CANCHOLA EVER TELL YOU THAT
8
    THE IDENTI KIT PICTURE WAS THE SAME AS THE PERSON SHE SAW
9
    IN THE GAS STATION?
10
         A NO.
11
          O DID CHINO LOPEZ EVER TELL YOU THAT HE WAS CERTAIN
12
    THAT THE PHOTOGRAPH ~- I AM SORRY -- THAT THE PERSON HE SAW
13
    IN THE GAS STATION WAS THE SAME PERSON HE SAW IN THE IDENTI
14
    KIT PICTURE?
15
          THE COURT: CERTAIN, YOU SAID?
16
         MR. BARENS: YES, SIR.
17
          THE COURT: DID HE SAY CERTAINLY THAT WAS THE SAME
18
    PERSON. DID HE?
19
          THE WITNESS: HE SAID THAT WAS THE PERSON THAT HE SAW,
20
    WITH THE EXCEPTION OF MORE SCULPTURED CHIN AND THE HAIR
21
    COMBED STRAIGHT BACK, THAT WAS THE PERSON.
22
          Q BY MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, NOW, SIR, IS IT BETTER
23
    TO IDENTIFY SOMEONE BASED ON A PHOTOGRAPH YOU HAVE OF SOMEONE
24
    OR ON AN IDENTI KIT PICTURE?
25
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.
26
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
27
          MR. BARENS: WELL, BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A POLICE
28
    OFFICER.
```

THE COURT: SUSTAINED. THAT DOESN'T REQUIRE AN ANSWER FROM ANYBODY. OF COURSE, A PHOTOGRAPH WOULD BE MUCH BETTER THAN A PHOTO KIT. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: I CAN TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THAT. MR. BARENS: THAT WAS A GOOD THING, YOUR HONOR. OKAY.

3 O

(PAUSE.) 1 BY MR. BARENS: HOW MANY TYPES OF NOSES ARE THERE Q 2 IN THE WORLD THAT YOU CAN DEPICT IN A DRAWING? 3 I COULDN'T BEGIN TO TELL YOU. Α 4 DO YOU HAVE THEM ALL IN THAT BOX? Q 5 I DOUBT IT. Α 6 HOW ABOUT EYES, CHINS, NOSES, EARS, EYEBROWS, 7 MOUTHS. LIPS? 8 A NO. 9 NOW, HOW ABOUT COMPLEXIONS? Q 10 Α NO. 11 YOU DON'T HAVE COMPLEXIONS IN THERE? Q 12 YES. WE DO. Α 13 DID YOU USE ANY OF THOSE? Q 14 NO. Α 15 Q NO COMPLEXIONS AT ALL? 16 THE COMPLEXIONS THAT THEY HAVE IN THERE ARE 17 BASICALLY FOR PERSONS WHO ARE BLACK COMPLECTED. OTHER THAN 18 THAT, IT IS JUST LEFT BLA'.K. 19 Q WELL, SO YOU WON'T SAY TO US, WOULD YOU, THAT ALL 20 OF THE NOSES, MOUTHS, EYES, ET CETERA, FOUND IN THE HUMAN 21 ANATOMY IN THE FACE ARE IN THAT BOX, ARE THEY? 22 THAT'S CORRECT. Α 23 CORRECT THAT THEY ARE NOT? 24 Q THAT THEY ARE NOT. 25 Α YOU KNEW PRECISELY WHAT RON LEVIN LOOKED LIKE WHEN 26 Q YOU STARTED THIS IDENT! KIT ACTIVITY, DID YOU NOT? 27 YES. I DID. Α 28

CA

O DID YOU TELL THAT TO THE PERSONS YOU WERE WORKING 1 WITH, EITHER LOPEZ OR CANCHOLA? 2 Α NO. 3 DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE COMPOSITE THAT YOU ARRIVED 4 AT WITH MR. LOPEZ WAS EVER USED ON ANY TELEVISION PROGRAM OR 5 ADVERTISEMENTS OR BULLETINS TRYING TO LOCATE THE PERSON THAT 6 IS DEPICTED IN THE IDENT! KIT DEPICTION? 7 8 I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF THAT, NO. YOU NEVER ASKED ANYBODY TO DO THAT, DID YOU? 9 10 A NO I DIDN'T. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 11 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. WAPNER: 14 Q WHEN YOU FILLSHED WITH CARMEN AFTER THE HOUR OF 15 16 TRYING TO MAKE THIS COMPOSITE, HOW DID SHE SEEM TO YOU IN TERMS OF WHETHER SHE WAS CALM, UPSET OR ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? WHAT 17 WAS HER STATE OF MIND? 18 MR. BARENS: GBJECTION. HOW WOULD HE KNOW HER STATE 19 OF MIND. SIR? 20 THE COURT: WELL, HOW DID SHE APPEAR TO YOU? 21 22 THE WITNESS: SHE --23 THE COURT: UPSET OR CALM OR WHAT? 24 THE WITNESS: SHE WAS UPSET I WOULD NOT SHOW HER THE 25 PHOTOGRAPH. 26 THE COURT: DID SHE CRY? 27 THE WITNESS: NO. 28 Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID SHE SEEM TO GET FRUSTRATED

```
DURING THE HOUR THAT SHE SPENT THERE?
40 E
           1
                          YES.
           2
                     MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
           3
                     THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU ARE
           4
               EXCUSED.
           5
                    MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME, I WOULD MOVE TO
           6
               HAVE THOSE EXHIBITS NOT PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED, RECEIVED INTO
           7
               EVIDENCE.
           8
                     THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
           9
                    MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. COULD WE HAVE THE
          10
              NUMBERS?
          11
                    THE COURT: YES, SURE.
          12
                    MR. BARENS: WHY DON'T WE DO IT AT THE END OF THE
          13
               WITNESSES, YOUR HONOR? WE CAN DO IT ALL AT ONCE.
          14
          15
                    MR. WAPNER: FOR COUNSEL'S EDIFICATION. IT WOULD START
               WITH 230 AND GO THROUGH 247.
          16
                    MR. BARENS: COULD WE ADDRESS THIS AFTER THE WITNESS.
          17
               YOUR HONOR?
          18
                    THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER WITNESSES YOU HAVE?
          19
                    MR. WAPNER: NO. THE PEOPLE REST.
          20
                     THE COURT: THE PEOPLE REST?
          21
                     MR. WAPNER: SUBJECT TO RECEIPT OF THE EVIDENCE.
          22
                     THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER FROM YOU?
          23
          24
                     MR. BARENS: NO.
          25
                     THE COURT: YOU REST, TOO?
          26
                     MR. BARENS: YES.
          27
                     THE COURT: THEN THERE IS NO REASON THAT WE SHOULD KEEP
```

THE JURY ANY LONGER.

OA-L

5

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE EXCUSE THE JURY, WE'LL PROBABLY HAVE TO TELL THEM WHEN TO COME BACK. I WOULD LIKE TO APPROACH ON THAT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY DO SO.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT 1 THE BENCH:) 2 THE COURT: AFTER THE JURY LEAVES, I HAVE SOME 3 OBSERVATIONS TO MAKE AND SOME QUESTIONS TO ASK. SO DON'T 4 RUN AWAY WHEN THE JURY LEAVES. 5 MR. BARENS: I DO NOT PLAN TO RUN AWAY, SIR. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 7 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, ON THE ISSUE OF WHEN THE JURY 8 SHOULD COME BACK, WE MIGHT TAKE A RECESS AND HAVE A BRIEF Ģ, CONFERENCE IN CHAMBERS SO AS NOT TO HAVE TO STAND OUT HERE 10 AND DO IT AND DISCUSS THE ORDER OF FINAL ARGUMENT. 11 I WANT TO HAVE SOME TIME, YOUR HONOR, TO DECIDE 12 HOW LONG EACH SIDE WOULD ARGUE AND WHEN WE ARE GOING TO START. 13 THE COURT: WELL, NEXT MONDAY. ISN'T THAT WHAT WE 14 DECIDED? 15 MR. BARENS: WELL, NUMBER ONE --16 THE COURT: TODAY IS TUESDAY. YOU HAVE WEDNESDAY AND 17 THURSDAY. 18 MR. BARENS: WELL, WHAT I WOULD LIKE YOUR HONOR, I WOULD 19 LIKE -- WELL, TUESDAY, YOUR HONOR FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS 20 NOT THE LEAST OF WHICH, I WANT TO CANDIDLY TELL THE COURT THAT 21 1 HALE SOME RESERVATIONS ABOUT SOME -- SOME PERSONAL 22 RESERVATIONS ABOUT PROCEEDING MONDAY AFTERNOON, WHICH IS THE 23 EARLIEST I THINK COUNSEL -- WELL, IT WOULD GET TO ME AND --24 THE COURT: BECAUSE OF THE HOLIDAY, YOU MEAN? 25

THE COURT: THERE IS NO HOLIDAY MONDAY AFTERNOON. MONDAY NIGHT IS THE SEDER NIGHT.

MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

26

27

MR. BARENS: MONDAY EVENING IS PASSOVER. 1 THE COURT: WELL, SUPPOSE WE LEAVE AT 4 O'CLOCK OR 2 3 O'CLOCK OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT? DOES THAT GIVE YOU ENOUGH 3 TIME? 4 MR. BARENS: BUT THAT WOULD NECESSARILY CUT SHORT MY --5 IF FOR INSTANCE. MR. WAPNER WENT FROM 9:00 TO 12:00, SIR, NOT 6 SAYING THAT HE WOULD --7 THE COURT: YOU MEAN ARGUMENT? IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN? 8 MR. BARENS: 1 DO NOT WANT TO BE INTERRUPTED BETWEEN 9 THE START AND FINISH OF MY ARGUMENT AND --10 THE COURT: WELL, YOU WON'T HAVE TO ARGUE MONDAY. 11 IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT? 12 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU WON'T HAVE TO ARGUE MONDAY. 14 MR. BARENS: BUT YOUR HONOR, I ALSO DON'T THINK THAT 15 IT IS PSYCHOLOGICALLY FAIR. THE PROBLEM THAT I HAVE IS THAT 16 I DON'T WANT THE JURY TO SLEEP ON HAVING HEARD JUST ONE SIDE 17 ARGUE. 18 MR. WAPNER: WELL, MR. BARENS, MAYBE I CAN HELP YOU OUT 19 A LITTLE BIT. ALTHOUGH I AM NEVER GOOD AT ESTIMATING THE 20 LENGTH OF MY ARGUMENTS, I WILL TELL YOU THAT BASED ON THE 21 EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, I THINK THAT IT IS REASONABLE TO THINK 22 THAT IT WOULD TAKE ME A FULL DAY TO DO MY OPENING ARGUMENT, 23 24 NO MATTER HOW YOU CUT IT. THE COURT: I WON'T HAVE YOU ARGUE IT ON MONDAY. YOUR 25 ARGUMENT WON'T BEGIN ON MONDAY. 26

MR. WAPNER: I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT WE WOULD -- BECAUSE THE COURT HAS A CALENDAR ON MONDAY, WE WOULD START AT 10:30,

27

51F

AS WE HAVE BEEN DOING. AND MY ARGUMENT --

THE COURT: IT WILL TAKE THE REST OF THE DAY?

MR. WAPNER: I WOULD THINK THAT IT HAS GOT TO TAKE PRETTY CLOSE TO THE REST OF THE DAY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN YOU DON'T HAVE TO FIGURE ON ARGUING ON MONDAY.

MR. BARENS: I APPRECIATE THE COURTESY, YOUR HONOR.

THEN YOUR HONOR, DURING ARGUMENT WE WILL START THE DAY AT

10:30, SIR?

THE COURT: YES.

28

MR. BARENS: AND BREAK THE DAY AT 4:30, SIR? 1 2 THE COURT: YES. MR. BARENS: AND THAT THE NORMAL INTERVAL IN BETWEEN. 3 4 AT LEAST FOR THE LUNCH HOUR INTERVAL, SIR? 5 THE COURT: THAT IS RIGHT. MR. WAPNER: I HOPE WE CAN BREAK AT 3:00 O'CLOCK AS 6 7 WE CAN ONLY STAND UP AND TALK FOR SO LONG. MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. THANK YOU, SIR. 8 THE COURT: OKAY, I WILL EXCUSE THE JURY NOW AND TELL THEM TO COME BACK MONDAY MORNING AT 10:30. 10 MR. BARENS: SIR, JUST TO MAKE SURE FOR THE RECORD, 11 THIS IS THE LAST TIME WE WILL EXCUSE THE JURY, AS I UNDER-12 13 STAND IT, PRIOR TO ARGUMENT, THE MOTIONS THAT HAD BEEN 14 DISCUSSED AT THE BENCH YESTERDAY CONCERNING THOSE TWO LIMITING 15 INSTRUCTION REQUESTS. THE COURT HAS DETERMINED THAT --16 THE COURT: LET'S ASSUME THEY ARE DENIED. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 17 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, FINE. 19 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 20 IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING 21 OF THE JURY:) 22 THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, AS YOU 23 KNOW, THE TESTIMONY HAS BEEN CONCLUDED IN THIS CASE. WHAT 24 REMAINS IS FOR COUNSEL TO ARGUE THEIR SIDE OF THE CASE TO 25 YOU AND AFTER THE ARGUMENT, THE COURT WILL INSTRUCT YOU AS 26 TO THE APPLICABLE LAW.

COUNSEL WILL GO OVER THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS WHICH
I WILL GIVE YOU, WITH THE COURT ON THURSDAY, SO THE REST

31-2

OF THE WEEK YOU MAY BE EXCUSED AND YOU WILL COME BACK ON MONDAY MORNING, NEXT MONDAY MORNING AT 10:30 AND THEN WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE ARGUMENT AND THEN AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ARGUMENT, THE INSTRUCTIONS, AND THEN YOU WILL BE ASKED TO DELIBERATE.

THE ADMONITION I GAVE YOU ABOUT TALKING AMONG
YOURSELVES OR WITH THIRD PARTIES OR READING ANYTHING OR HEARING
ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE WILL STILL APPLY AND I AM SURE YOU
WILL FAITHFULLY OBSERVE THAT ADMONITION.

GOOD NIGHT AND SEE YOU NEXT MONDAY. HAVE A NICE WEEKEND. GOOD NIGHT.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE

JURY:)

THE COURT: YOU WILL ALL BE SEATED, PLEASE. THE PROCEEDINGS HAVE NOT BEEN CONCLUDED.

THE RECORD WILL INDICATE THAT THE JURORS HAVE NOW LEFT THE COURTROOM.

THERE IS ONE OTHER MATTER THE COURT HAS TO TAKE UP WITH THE COUNSEL AND THE DEFENDANT.

MR. BARENS STATED TO THE COURT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE TESTIMONY WHICH WAS ADDUCED BY THE DEFENDANT THAT "THE DEFENDANT RESTS," WHICH MEANT THAT HE INTENDED NOT TO CALL THE DEFENDANT HIMSELF TO THE WITNESS STAND.

I HAVE HAD AN EXPERIENCE WHICH CAUSES ME TO TAKE
A PROCEDURE HERE WHICH NORMALLY I WOULD NOT TAKE AND THAT
IS AS FOLLOWS: I HAD A PRIOR CASE WHERE COUNSEL FOR THE
DEFENDANT HAD STATED TO THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD

```
1
    REST AND THE DEFENDANT WOULD NOT TAKE THE STAND. THE DEFENDANT
     WAS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM AT THE TIME AND SAID NOTHING.
2
    AND AFTER ARGUMENT TO THE JURY AND AFTER THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
3
4
    HAD ALMOST FINISHED HIS CONCLUDING ARGUMENT TO THE JURY,
5
    THE DEFENDANT GOT UP AND SAID, "I WANT TO TESTIFY IN THE
6
    CASE. I HAVEN'T BEEN ASKED WHETHER OR NOT I SHOULD OR
7
    SHOULDN'T TESTIFY AND I WANT TO." THAT WAS RIGHT IN THE
8
    MIDDLE OF THE CASE.
9
                SO I HAVE DECIDED IT IS A GOOD PROCEDURE, AND
10
    OTHER JUDGES HAVE FOLLOWED IT, THAT WHERE COUNSEL ANNOUNCES
11
    THE DEFENDANT WILL NOT TAKE THE STAND, ALTHOUGH THE DEFENDANT
    IS PRESENT, THERE HAS BEEN A PROCEDURE FOR THE DEFENDANT
12
13
    HIMSELF TO STATE ON THE RECORD THAT HE HIMSELF AGREES NOT
14
    TO TAKE THE STAND.
15
                AND I WILL ASK MR. HUNT AT THIS TIME WHETHER
16
    HE AGREES NOT TO TAKE THE STAND IN THIS CASE, HE WAIVES HIS
17
    RIGHT TO DO SO.
18
          THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO, YOUR HONOR.
19
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
20
          MR. BARENS: I WAS ALSO WILLING TO ENTER INTO ANY
21
    STIPULATION YOUR HONOR WANTS IN THAT REGARD.
22
          THE COURT: YES. I JUST WANTED TO BE SURE THERE ISH'T
23
    ANY POSSIBILITY LATER.
24
                THERE IS ONE CASE UP ON APPEAL WHERE EXACTLY
25
    THAT THING HAPPENED AND I WANTED TO BE SURE THERE WASN'T
26
    THAT POSSIBILITY.
27
          MR. BARENS: I WASN'T AWARE OF THAT.
```

THE DEFENDANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

4

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, DEFENSE HAS AN ISSUE AS TO THE RELEVANCY ON THE IDENTI KIT DRAWINGS, BOTH OF THEM.

ONE OF THEM IS 247 AND I HAVE FORGOTTEN THE NUMBER OF THE OTHER ONE, YOUR HONOR.

MR. WAPNER: IT IS 240.

MR. BARENS: 240 AND 247, AS THE WITNESS CANCHOLA WAS ABSOLUTELY UNABLE TO DO ANYTHING WITH IT AND AS WITNESS LOPEZ SAID THAT THE FIRST ONE, 247, WAS NOT THE PERSON HE SAW AND THAT 240 ITSELF WAS NOT THE PERSON HE SAW IN TERMS OF CHIN AND HAIR, ET CETERA, AND EYES AND "IS THERE NO COMPLEXION UTILIZED IN THE DEPICTION SHOWN?" AND I FIND THE RELEVANCY OF THESE DOCUMENTS IN TERMS OF ENABLING THE JURY TO MAKE A JUST AND WISE DECISION IS HIGHLY IRRELEVANT.

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, AS TO 247, WHICH IS THE ONE THAT IS THE STARTING POINT COMPOSITE, I HAVE NO OBJECTION IF THAT IS NOT RECEIVED.

THERE IS A MUCH DIFFERENT QUESTION, HOWEVER --

MR. WAPNER: 247, RIGHT. THAT IS THE ONE HE PUT TOGETHER AND SAID "THAT IS WHERE WE START".

THE COURT: THAT IS TWO WHAT, 247?

THE COURT: YES, THAT IS THE ONE. ALL RIGHT, THAT will NOT BE RECEIVED.

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS FINE.

AS TO 240, THAT IS AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT MATTER.

THAT IS THE PICTURE THAT MR. LOPEZ SAID TO DETECTIVE EDHOLM

"IS THE PERSON THAT I SAW EXCEPT THE HAIR IS PUSHED BACK

AND THE CHIN IS MORE SCULPTURED."

NOW, I CAN'T THINK OF ANYTHING MORE RELEVANT

THAN TO ALLOW THE JURY TO LOOK AT THAT AND COMPARE IT TO 1 PHOTOGRAPHS THAT HAVE BEEN INTRODUCED OF MR. LEVIN AND LET 2 THEM MAKE A DECISION AS TO WHETHER THEY THINK THE PERSON 3 THAT HE SAW WAS LEVIN OR SOMEBODY ELSE. IT IS CLEARLY 4 RELEVANT. 5 THE COURT: ARE THOSE THE ONLY TWO THAT YOU HAD IN 6 7 MIND? WELL, THE MOTION WITH RESPECT TO 247 WILL BE 8 9 GRANTED. AND AS TO 240, I BELIEVE THAT THE OBSERVATIONS 10 MADE BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY ARE PERTINENT AND I WILL ADMIT 11 12 THAT. NOW, ARE THERE ANY OTHER EXHIBITS TO WHICH YOU 13 FIND EXCEPTION? 14 MR. BARENS: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. 15 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I CAN GO THROUGH THEM FOR 16 COUNSEL ONE AT A TIME, I HAVE GOT A LIST, IF THAT IS GOING 17 TO MAKE THINGS MORE EXPEDITIOUS. 18 19 MR. BARENS: NO. WE WILL AGREE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HOW ABOUT, HAVE YOU GOT ALL 20 THE DEFENSE EXHIBITS ALSO IN EVIDENCE NOW OTHER THAN THOSE 21 22 WHICH HAVE BEEN OBJECTED TO? 23 24 25 26 27

2

MR. BARENS: I WOULD MOVE TO HAVE ALL OF THE DEFENSE 1 EXHIBITS MOVED INTO EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME, SPECIFICALLY 2 INCLUDING OUR BLOWUP WHICH I THINK WAS PP OR SOMETHING OVER 3 THERE. 4 THE COURT: YES. THAT IS QQ -- NO, THE BLOWUP IS PP. 5 YES. 6 MR. BARENS: BUT WE MOVE TO HAVE ALL OF THE DEFENSE 7 EXHIBITS ADMITTED. 8 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 9 MR. WAPNER: THE ONLY ONE I OBJECT TO IS RR. THAT IS 10 A PHOTOGRAPH AND THERE IS NO TIE-IN. IT WAS NEVER SHOWN TO 11 ANYBODY. 12 IT WAS A PHOTOGRAPH TAKEN OF SOMEBODY IN TUCSON 13 BUT IT WAS NEVER SHOWN TO ANY OF THE WITNESSES. IT IS OF A 14 15 PERSON NAMED --THE COURT: WELL, WHAT IS THAT? HAVE YOU GOT THAT? 16 MR. BARENS: COULD 1 JUST SEE THAT FOR A MOMENT? 17 THE COURT: YES. I WILL HAVE THE CLERK GET IT. 18 A PHOTOGRAPH OF BRIGHAM? 19 MR. WAPNER: IT WAS NEVER SHOWN TO ANYBODY AND I DON'T 20 THINK THERE IS ANY TESTIMONY BY ANY WITNESSES --21 MR. BARENS: YES. I HAD GOTTEN THAT FROM THE PROSECUTION 22 SIDE. AND I DO NOT MIND THAT --23 THE COURT: THAT WILL NOT BE RECEIVED. 24 25 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, THEN. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER MATTERS 26 I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE UP WITH COUNSEL IN CHAMBERS WHICH HAVE 27

NOTHING TO DO WITH THE PRESS, AS SUCH. WILL YOU COME TO

2-

CHAMBERS, PLEASE? 1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 2 IN CHAMBERS WITH ALL COUNSEL, THE 3 DEFENDANT NOT BEING PRESENT:) 4 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, JUST SO I GUESS ON OO --5 THE COURT: YES? 6 MR. BARENS: ON EXHIBIT OO, WE WOULD LIKE IT ALL IN UP TO THE WORDS, "YOUNG MEN." THE TOP PORTION WHERE IT ONLY SAYS. 8 "LEVIN, 42 ..." WELL, ACTUALLY, IT SHOULD GO IN AS IT IS. 9 I THINK THAT YOU CAN MAKE IT OUT. 10 THE COURT: WITHOUT ANYTHING ERASED? 11 MR. BARENS: JUST LEAVE IT AS IT IS. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT WILL BE RECEIVED THE WAY 13 IT IS. 14 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR MIGHT RECALL THAT WE HAD THE 15 WITNESS READ THE WORDS THAT WERE RELEVANT OR APPROPRIATE 16 INTO THE RECORD. 17 SO THE RECORD SHOWS THE LITERAL WORDS. 18 THE COURT: WELL, I ASKED YOU TO COME IN AND I WANT TO 19 CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO SOMETHING THAT APPEARED IN THE METRO 20 SECTION OF THE TIMES TODAY, AN ARTICLE BY LOIS TIMNICK. 21 SHE REPORTS WHAT HAPPENED. SHE POINTS OUT THAT THE ATTORNEYS FOR BOTH SIDES -- I AM QUOTING NOW, "DECLINE 23 TO COMMENT ABOUT THE ABRUPT CHANGE IN STRATEGY BECAUSE OF HAVING 24 A GAG ORDER IMPOSED BY SANTA MONICA SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE 25 LAURENCE RITTENBAND," BUT THEN IT QUOTES MR. BARENS AS "SAYING 26 27 THAT HE HAD HARDLY SLEPT SINCE FRIDAY WHILE WRESTLING WITH THE DECISION OF WHETHER TO PUT HUNT ON THE STAND, BARENS 28

33=

WOULD POINT ONLY TO HIS OPENING STATEMENT WHICH CONCLUDED WITH THE WORDS, 'NOT PROVEN, NOT GUILTY.'"

I THOUGHT I ASKED YOU NOT TO TALK TO THE PRESS ABOUT THIS CASE, ANYWAY?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I DID NOT TALK ABOUT THE CASE
TO THE PRESS.

THE COURT: WHAT THIS IS SAYING IS THAT ON THE FRIDAY YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD HARDLY SLEPT -- YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD HARDLY SLEPT SINCE FRIDAY WHILE WRESTLING WITH THE DECISION AS TO WHETHER TO PUT HUNT ON THE STAND.

"BARENS WOULD POINT ONLY TO HIS OPENING STATEMENT WHICH CONCLUDED WITH THE WORDS, 'NOT PROVEN, NOT GUILTY.'"

MR. BARENS: I CAN ONLY SURMISE YOUR HONOR THAT I SAID TO HER AND EVERYONE ELSE GATHERED -- PLEASE LET ME FINISH MY STATEMENT.

"I WON'T TALK TO YOU. I AM UNDER ORDER NOT TO DISCUSS ANYTHING THAT HAPPENS IN THIS COURTROOM WITH YOU OR ANY PART OF YOU ON THIS CASE OR ANY PREPARATION OR ANYTHING ELSE. I WILL NOT DISCUSS THIS DECISION WITH YOU."

LATER, I WAS SPEAKING WITH AN ATTORNEY BY THE NAME OF JOHN VANCE AND I COMMENTED TO MR. VANCE THAT I HAD HAD A DIFFICULT TIME MAKING MY DECISION. SHE WALKED OVER AND SAID THAT SHE HAD OVERHEARD ME SAY THAT TO JOHN VANCE.

```
THE COURT: DID SHE ALSO OVERHEAR YOUR ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
1
2
    SAY:
3
                      "ASSOCIATE DEFENSE COUNSEL RICHARD
          CHIER SAID THERE WAS NO NEED FOR HUNT TO TESTIFY
4
          BECAUSE 'THERE'S NO CASE.'"
5
                DID YOU OVERHEAR HIM SAY THAT, TOO?
6
7
          MR. BARENS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
                MR. CHIER WAS NOT PRESENT WHEN I WAS SPEAKING
8
9
    TO MR. VANCE.
          THE COURT: I WILL ASK MR. CHIER. DID YOU SAY THIS?
10
          MR. CHIER: WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE INQUIRY, YOUR
11
12
    HONOR?
          THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF A COURT
13
14
    ORDER.
15
          MR. CHIER: LET'S HAVE A HEARING.
16
          THE COURT: PARDON ME?
17
          MR. CHIER: LET'S HAVE A HEARING.
          THE COURT: I WILL HAVE A HEARING ON IT. I WILL GET
18
19
    THE REPORTER IN HERE. SHE IS QUOTING YOU AS SAYING THIS.
20
    DID . YOU SAY IT TO HER?
21
          MR. CHIER: I WANT A MEARING.
22
          THE COURT: DID YOU OR DIDN'T YOU?
          MR. CHIER: I DECLINE TO ANSWER YOUR HONOR'S QUESTION.
23
          THE COURT: THAT IS FINE. I AM GLAD YOU SAID THAT,
24
     BECAUSE I AM GOING TO MAKE A DISPOSITION OF THIS MATTER AFTER
25
26
     THE CASE IS OVER AND I AM GOING TO HOLD YOU IN CONTEMPT OF
27
     COURT FOR WILLFULLY DISOBEYING MY ORDER.
28
           MR. CHIER: WHAT ORDER?
```

33A

```
THE COURT: THE ORDER NOT TO TALK TO ANYBODY IN THE
1
    PRESS ABOUT THIS CASE IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM.
2
          MR. CHIER: MAY I BE SERVED WITH A COPY OF THE ORDER
3
4
    YOU ARE REFERRING TO?
          THE COURT: YOU WILL NOT BE SERVED WITH ANYTHING. YOU
5
    WERE GIVEN THE ORDER ON THE RECORD, YOU WERE TOLD ON THE
6
    RECORD.
3
          MR. CHIER: WHEN?
          THE COURT: SHUT UP, YOU MISERABLE -- (UNINTELLIGIBLE).
ã
          MR. BARENS: GENTLEMEN, PLEASE. THIS IS UPSETTING.
10
٠.
          THE COURT: (READING:)
12
                       "THE DEFENSE CHOSE, INSTEAD, TO RELY
          ON THE STRENGTH OF TESTIMONY BY HUNT'S FORMER
13
          GIRLFRIEND AND HER MOTHER, WHO BOTH SAID HE WAS
14
٠5
          HOME GETTING READY FOR BED ABOUT THE TIME HE AND
16
          PITTMAN ARE ACCUSED OF SHOOTING LEVIN."
          MR. BARENS: I DIDN'T SAY THAT TO LOIS TIMMICK
18
    YESTERDAY.
1 🚊
          THE COURT: (READING:)
20
                       "THE LAWYERS ALSO ARE RELYING ON
2-
          TESTIMONY BY AN ARIZONA COUPLE WHO REPORTED
22
          SEEING A MAN RESEMBLING LEVIN LATE LAST YEAR."
23
                 DID YOU DISCUSS THIS AT ALL?
24
          MR. BARENS: NO, SIR, I DID NOT IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR
25
    FORM.
          THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU SUPPOSE THEY GOT THIS FROM?
26
27
          MR. BARENS: 1S THAT A QUOTE FROM ME, S1R?
28
           THE COURT: IT IS NOT A QUOTE.
```

```
33-3
                           SHE SAID, "THE DEFENSE CHOSE, INSTEAD, TO
           1
           2
               RELY ON" --
           3
                           ALL RIGHT, ASSUMING THAT THAT WAS NOT SAID --
                    MR. BARENS: I SWEAR TO GOD, I NEVER SAID THAT.
           5
                     THE COURT: LET ME GIVE YOU ANOTHER QUOTE AND SEE WHETHER
           6
               OR NOT YOU TOLD HER THIS.
           7
                    MR. BARENS: YES, SIR.
           8
                     THE COURT: (READING:)
                                "IF CONVICTED. HUNT COULD RECEIVE THE
           9
                     DEATH PENALTY. BUT HIS ATTORNEYS SAY THEY ARE
          10
                    CONFIDENT THAT ANY CONVICTION WOULD BE OVERTURNED
          11
          12
                    ON APPEAL, BECAUSE OF WHAT THEY VIEW AS IMPROPER
                     RULINGS BY RITTENBAND THROUGHOUT THE TWO-MONTH
          13
          14
                     TRIAL."
          15
                     MR. BARENS: I SWEAR TO GOD I NEVER SAID THAT TO HER,
          16
               S13.
          17
                     THE COURT: DID YOU SAY THAT TO HER?
          18
                    MR. BARENS: NOR DID I SAY THAT TO JOHN VANCE.
          19
                    THE COURT: DID YOU SAY ANYTHING TO HER?
          20
                    MR. CHIER: I HAVE NO COMMENT TO MAKE.
          21
                    THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL TAKE IT FOR GRANTED YOU
          22
               DII.
          23
                     MR. CHIER: NO, YOU CAN'T TAKE IT FOR GRANTED.
          24
                    THE COURT: I WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY, THAT IS ALL I HAVE
          25
               TO SAY.
          26
                     MR. CHIER: YOU TAKE A LOT OF THINGS FOR GRANTED.
          27
                     THE COURT: YOU GET OUT OF HERE.
          28
                     MR. CHIER: YES, SIR, CERTAINLY.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: YOU GET OUT OF HERE. I DON'T LIKE LOOKING
2
    AT YOU.
3
                (MR. CHIER EXITS CHAMBERS.)
4
          MR. BARENS: SIR, SIR.
5
          THE COURT: YES.
6
          MR. BARENS: WE HAVE NOT MADE A DECISION ABOUT THE
7
    LENGTH OF TIME COUNSEL WILL BE PERMITTED FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT.
8
          THE COURT: HE SAID HE WOULDN'T TAKE MORE THAN A DAY,
    ISN'T THAT WHAT YOU SAID?
10
          MR. WAPNER: I SAID I THOUGHT IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE
11
    A DAY. I DON'T LIKE THE IDEA THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE
12
    FORECLOSED --
13
          THE COURT: NO, I COULDN'T FORECLOSE IT, WITH THE NUMBER
14
    OF WITNESSES AND THE TREMENDOUS NUMBER OF EXHIBITS AND EVERY-
15
    THING.
16
                YOU WON'T BE FORECLOSED EITHER AS TO HOW LONG.
17
          MR. BARENS: THAT IS ALL I WAS ASKING.
18
          THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO GIVE AN ESTIMATE?
19
          MR. WAPNER: MY ESTIMATE IS APPROXIMATELY A DAY. BUT
20
    I MEAN I TOLD YOU BEFORE I AM NOT REALLY GOOD AT ESTIMATING,
21
    BUT THAT IS MY ESTIMATE.
22
          MR. BARENS: THE ONLY REASON I ASKED, YOUR HONOR, WAS
23
    THAT IN MY PRIOR EXPERIENCE, BOTH IN FEDERAL AND STATE COURTS,
24
    I HAD JUDGES THAT OPPOSED CERTAIN LIMITATIONS ON CLOSING
25
    ARGUMENT AND NOT HAVING TRIED A CASE IN THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY,
26
    I DIDN'T KNOW.
27
          THE COURT: ALMOST INVARIABLY, I DON'T FORECLOSE OR
28
     I DON'T USUALLY IMPOSE ANY RESTRICTION.
```

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT THIS IS NO REFLECTION ON ANYTHING I HAVE DONE WITH JUNIOR MISS HERE THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOU. MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, DON'T YOU? MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. THE COURT: THANK YOU. (AT 4:03 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN, TO RESUME THURSDAY, APRIL 8, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.)