COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT



THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,)) SUPERIOR COURT
VS.) NO. A-090435
JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,)) • ~
DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.	0CT 0 9 1337

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT:

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT: IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 🔑 OF 101 (PAGES 18884 TO 28668 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1987

VOLUME 90

PAGES 13509 TO 13668, INCL.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY

1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ.

10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

> ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

<u></u>	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	6 8	17	6	5	14	ಪ	12		10	9	ထ	7	თ	О Т	4	ω	22	<u> </u>
						ZOELLER, LESLIE H. FURTHER	SWARTOUT, BRUCE	REDMOND, JOHN PAUL	PIERCE, DALE R.	HAWKINS, ROBERT	COKER, JERRY	ALFARO, ROGER	<u>ALPHABET I</u>		SWARTOUT, BRUCE	HAWKINS, ROBERT	ZOELLER, LESLIE H.	PIERCE, DALE R.	ZOELLER, LESLIE H.	ALFARO, ROGER	REDMOND, JOHN PAUL	COKER, JERRY	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	CHRONOLOGICA				TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1987
						13553 13582	13617	13523	13560	13583		13538	ICAL INDEX C		13617	13583	13582	13560	13553	13538	13523		DIRECT	FICAL INDEX	-		A	VOLUME
									13572	13607	13512		OF WITNESSES			13607		13572				13512	CROSS	€ T	1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	 		90
										13615	13519		SES			13615						13519	REDIRECT RE	U U U U)]			PAGES 13509 -
				<i></i>						,				172-141,1									RECROSS			13560	13509	13668

.

*

1			EXHIBIT INDEX FOR VOLUME	90	
2	PEOPL	F'S EXHIRI	TS:		FOR
3	12012	L 3 CXIIIDI	13.	· · ··	IDENTIFICATION
4 5	P-2-A	TO P-2-L	PHOTOGRAPHS DEPICTING PORT OF BUILDINGS AT M.C.I.	IONS	13531
6	P-3	SANTA ANA	POLICE DEPARTMENT EVIDENCE	BAG	13541
7	P-4	RIFLE, SEF	RIAL NUMBER 58314		13555
8	P-5	TWO ENVELO	OPES AND CONTENTS - Azine		13557
9	P-6	TWO TEST F	FIRE CASINGS		13606
10	P-7	DIAGRAM			13616
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28				·	

1 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1987; 10:35 A.M. DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) 3 4 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN 5 OPEN COURT OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE A MOTION OF SOME KIND? MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE FELT COMPELLED TO 8 BRING A MOTION FOR MISTRIAL BEFORE YOUR HONOR BASED UPON THE --9 THE COURT: I HAVE READ ALL OF THE PAPERS. ANYTHING 10 ELSE? I HAVE READ YOUR MOTION PAPERS. 11 IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER YOU WANT TO SAY? 12 13 MR. CHIER: NO. I MEAN IF YOU HAVE READ THE PAPERS. YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NOTHING. 14 15 THE COURT: THEN I WILL HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE. MR. WAPNER: WHATEVER HAPPENED YESTERDAY, I DON'T 16 THINK IS THE BASIS FOR A MOTION FOR MISTRIAL. THE COURT 17 18 HAS ALREADY APPLIED THE SANCTION OF STRIKING THE TESTIMONY 19 AND ADMONISHING THE JURY. I THINK THAT IS 20 SUFFICIENT. 21 AS FAR AS WHAT HAPPENED IN CHAMBERS, THERE 22 WAS NO INTENTIONAL DECEIT OF THE DEFENSE. WE HAVE HAD 23 A FULL DAY, ALMOST, OF MOTIONS IN CHAMBERS, THERE MUST 24 HAVE BEEN 15 OR 20 OF THEM MADE AND THEN THEY, OFF THE 25 CUFF, SAY, "WELL, TELL US ALL OF THE EVIDENCE YOU HAVE 26 OF THREATS BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE." AND FIRST OF ALL, THEY

DON'T EVEN REMEMBER THE SPECIFIC ITEM. SECOND OF ALL,

IT WASN'T SOMETHING -- I WAS TRYING TO THINK OF THINGS

27

MR. HUNT MAY HAVE SAID, SO THERE WAS NO INTENT TO WITHHOLD THIS OR ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE. AND AS FAR AS DISCOVERY OF CONVERSATIONS WITH THIS WITNESS AND THE OTHER ONE, I TURNED THOSE MATTERS OVER TO MR. BARENS LAST NIGHT IN HIS OFFICE. AND THAT IS ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

FO.

```
THE COURT: ON PAGE 4 YOU SAY THAT THE COURT SHOULD
 1
     NOT HESITATE TO SANCTION SUCH BEHAVIOR IN ORDER TO DETER
 2
     MR. WAPNER AND OTHERS OF HIS ILK FROM COMMITTING SUCH
 3
     MISCONDUCT IN THE FUTURE. WHO ARE OTHERS OF HIS ILK?
 5
           MR. CHIER: PERSONS THAT COMMIT THIS TYPE OF PROSECUTORIAL
     MISCONDUCT.
 6
 7
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE MOTION WILL BE DENIED. LET'S
     GET THE JURY IN, PLEASE.
 8
 9
                              JERRY COKER,
10
     THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF ADJOURNMENT, RESUMED
11
     THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
12
13
           THE COURT: YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH AT THIS TIME,
    MR. COKER. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE RECORD.
14
15
           THE WITNESS: JERRY COKER.
           THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO CORRECT YOUR MOTION ON PAGE 3,
16
     LINE 20 TO SAY MR. JERRY COKER INSTEAD OF MR. JACK COKER?
17
18
     THAT IS LINE 26.
19
           MR. CHIER: SORRY, YOUR HONOR?
20
           THE COURT: THAT IS HIS NAME.
21
           THE WITNESS: YES, JERRY.
22
           THE COURT: I WILL CORRECT IT.
23
           MR. CHIER: NO CHARGE FOR THE NAME CHANGE, YOUR HONOR?
24
           THE COURT: NO.
25
                 (THE JURY ENTERS THE COURTROOM.)
26
          THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. ALL
27
     RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED.
```

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BARENS:
3	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. COKER. MR. COKER IN THE DIAGRAM
4	THERE, YOUR OFFICE PERSONALLY IS LOCATED IN THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND
5	CORNER?
6	A YES.
7	Q ARE THERE OFFICES OF PERSONS THAT OWN OTHER
8	BUSINESSES TO WHAT I GUESS WOULD BE THE WEST OF YOU THERE?
9	A YES. THERE IS.
10	Q AND DOES I ASSUME THAT THE PEOPLE THAT OFFICE
11	IN THIS AREA AND I PRESUME THAT WEST ON THE MAP IS THIS
12	WAY?
13	A SORRY? TO THE EAST.
14	Q THIS IS EAST THE WAY THIS IS SET UP?
15	A YOU WERE CORRECT BUT I WAS INCORRECT. I WAS
16	THINKING TO THE EAST.
17	Q OKAY. OVER HERE SIR, THERE ARE OFFICES BY OTHER
18	USERS?
19	A YES, SIR, MY PERSONNEL.
20	Q OKAY. IN OTHER WORDS, ALL OF THIS AREA HERE WOULD
21	BE OCCUPIED AS A PART OF THE LEASEHOLD INTEREST YOU HAVE?
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.
23	Q AND WHAT ABOUT OVER IN THIS AREA HERE, INDICATING
24	SOUTH OF YOUR OFFICE?
25	A THIS IS THE RECEPTION AREA HERE, WITH THE SECRETARY.
26	
27	
28	

```
ALL RIGHT. SO THEN DOWN THIS LINE IS ALL
            Q
 1
      YOUR OFFICE FACILITY HERE (COUNSEL INDICATING)?
 2
            А
                  YES.
 3
            Q
                  I SEE.
 4
                   AND THAT IS THE WAY IT EXISTED BACK IN MARCH
 5
      OF 1984?
 6
            А
                  CORRECT.
 7
            Q
                  WHAT HOURS DO YOU NORMALLY WORK, MR. COKER?
 8
            Α
 9
                  PERSONAL OR THE COMPANY?
            Α
                  YOU PERSONALLY, SIR.
10
            Α
                  NORMALLY, 7:00 TO 5:00.
11
            Q IS IT A FACT THAT YOU ONLY SAW JOE HUNT ON
12
      ONE OCCASION?
13
14
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
15
                  AND THAT WAS THE INITIAL OCCASION WHEN HE
      CAME DOWN WITH A GROUP OF MAYBE SIX OR MORE ASSOCIATES
16
      OF HIS?
17
18
                 THAT IS CORRECT.
19
              YOU NEVER SAW HIM AGAIN AFTER THAT UNTIL YOU
20
      CAME TO THIS COURTROOM?
21
                  THAT IS CORRECT.
22
                  DID YOU EVER SPEAK TO HIM AGAIN AFTER THAT?
            Q
23
                  NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
24
            Q
                  DID MR. HUNT EVER THREATEN YOU?
25
            А
                  NO.
26
                  AND DID YOU EVER SEE HIM THREATEN ANYBODY?
            Q
27
            Α
                  NO, I DID NOT.
28
            Q
                  AND DID YOU EVER HEAR HE WAS SEEN ON YOUR
```

```
PREMISES AFTER THE FIRST OCCASION WHEN YOU SAW HIM IN
 1
      CONJUNCTION WITH THOSE OTHER GENTLEMEN?
 2
                  DID I EVER HEAR OF IT?
 3
 4
            Q
                  DID YOU EVER SEE -- STRIKE THAT.
                  DID YOU EVER SEE HIM ON THE PREMISES AFTER
 5
      THAT?
 6
                 NO, I DID NOT.
            Α
 7
                 DID ANY OF YOUR EMPLOYEES SEE HIM ON THE PREMISES
 8
      AFTER THAT?
 9
            A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
10
                 ALL RIGHT, YOU MENTIONED YESTERDAY THAT AFTER
11
      THIS SHOOTING AT THE BUILDING THAT YOU OCCUPY, AND I BELIEVE
12
      YOU USED THE EXPRESSION THAT YOU THOUGHT SOMEBODY WAS
13
      MAD, QUOTE, "AT US," CLOSE QUOTE, DO YOU REMEMBER SAYING
14
      THAT YESTERDAY?
15
16
            A YES, I DO.
                WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY MAKING THAT STATEMENT?
17
18
                 WELL, THE APPEARANCE OF SOMEONE SHOOTING IN
19
      YOUR BUILDING, EVIDENTLY SOMEONE WAS MAD AT US.
            Q AS OPPOSED TO A RANDOM ACT OF PERHAPS MALICIOUSNESS?
20
21
                 THAT WAS MY OPINION, THAT I DID NOT THINK
22
      IT WAS A RANDOM ACT.
23
                  DID YOU HAVE ANYBASIS IN FACT THAT CAME TO
24
     YOUR MIND THAT INITIATED THAT STATEMENT?
25
                NOTHING OTHER THAN I DEAL WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE
           Α
26
      THAT MIGHT HAVE A TENDENCY TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
27
                WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT, SIR, AND/OR WHOM
28
      DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
```

1	. A IN THE PARTICULAR INDUSTRY I WAS IN, THERE
2	WAS I DEAL A LOT WITH MIDDLE EAST PEOPLE THAT DEAL
3	WITH EXPENSIVE AUTOMOBILES AND TEND TO GET MAD IF CARS
4	DON'T PASS OR IF WE REFUSE TO DO A SERVICE FOR THEM.
5	Q DID YOU HAVE CUSTOMERS IN THAT TIME FRAME
6	IN 1984 THAT HAD TOLD YOU THEY WERE MAD AT YOU?
7	A CUSTOMERS THAT WERE PERHAPS DISSATISFIED BUT
8	DID NOT SAY THEY WERE MAD AT ME.
9	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY PRIOR EXPERIENCE WHERE
10	ANY CUSTOMER OR CUSTOMERS HAD THREATENED YOU OR YOUR BUSINESS
11	ESTABLISHMENT?
12	A NO, I DIDN'T.
13	Q ON THIS OCCASION, NO ONE THREATENED YOU, DID
14	THEY?
15	A NO, THEY DID NOT.
16	Q NO ONE FROM THE BBC OFFICES CALLED YOU UP
17	AND SAID, "WE ARE GOING TO COME DOWN AND SHOOT YOUR BUILDING":
18	A NO, THEY DID NOT.
19	Q NOW, WHEN THE COMPLAINT ABOUT THE BILL AND
20	THE EXPENSE OF PASSING THE CARS WAS MADE, THAT WAS SOMEWHAT
21	TYPICAL WITH THE TYPES OF COMPLAINTS ONE IN YOUR INDUSTRY
22	WOULD RECEIVE?
23	A THAT WAS TYPICAL, YES.
24	Q IN OTHER WORDS, YOU TYPICALLY RECEIVE COMPLAINTS
25	ABOUT IT EITHER TAKING TOO LONG TO PASS THE CARS OR
26	IT IS COSTING TOO MUCH TO PASS THE CARS.
27	A THAT IS CORRECT.

=0.

```
O AND SO THE COMPLAINTS YOU HEARD IN THIS INSTANCE
 1
     WERE CONSISTENT WITH YOUR PRIOR AND PERHAPS SUBSEQUENT
 2
     EXPERIENCE IN THIS REGARD?
 3
           A WITH PEOPLE THAT ARE INEXPERIENCED IN THAT TYPE
 4
     OF BUSINESS, YES.
 5
           Q ARE A GOOD PERCENTAGE OF YOUR CUSTOMERS
 6
     INEXPERIENCED IN THIS AREA?
 7
                I WOULD SAY 50 PERCENT.
8
               ON THE DAY THAT YOU CAME INTO YOUR OFFICE AFTER
9
     THE SHOOTING INCIDENT OCCURRED, DID YOU SEE ANYBODY FROM THE
10
     BBC THERE?
11
           A ON THE DAY?
12
13
           Q
             YES.
          Α
             NO. I DID NOT.
14
           Q
                ALL RIGHT. YOU HAD NOT SEEN ANYBODY FROM THE
15
     BBC THE DAY BEFORE, HAD YOU?
16
          Α
               NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
17
                RIGHT. YOU NEVER SPOKE TO MR. HUNT AFTER THAT,
           Q
18
     DID YOU?
19
          A I NEVER SPOKE TO MR. HUNT EXCEPT THE ONE OCCASION.
20
21
           Q
                THAT FIRST OCCASION?
22
          А
                YES.
23
                YOU HAVE HAD A VARIETY OF CONVERSATIONS WITH
24
    MR. TAGLIANETTI OVER A TIME FRAME, IS THAT NOT TRUE?
25
          A HE WAS THE PERSON THAT I SPOKE WITH MOST REGARDING
26
    THE AUTOMOBILES.
27
          Q DID YOU EVER SPEAK WITH HIM AFTER THE INCIDENT
28
    YOU DESCRIBED YESTERDAY WITH THE SHOOTING?
```

27

28

Α

Q

A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. 1 Q YOU NEVER SPOKE TO HIM AFTER THAT DATE, SIR UNTIL 2 THIS DAY? 3 A MR. TAGLIANETTI MAY HAVE BEEN THE PERSON WITH 4 MR. GRAHAM SEVERAL DAYS AFTER THE SHOOTING. I AM NOT SURE 5 IF IT WAS MR. TAGLIANETTI OR MR. DOSTI. Q ALL RIGHT. OTHER THAN THAT OCCASION THAT YOU 7 SPOKE TO SOMEONE, HAVE YOU EVER SPOKEN TO MR. TAGLIANETTI 8 SINCE THAT DATE TO THIS? 9 A NO. I HAVE NOT. 10 MR. BARENS: A MOMENT IF YOU WOULD, YOUR HONOR. 11 (PAUSE.) 12 BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU KNOW THAT NEITHER MR. HUNT 13 Q NOR MR. PITTMAN OR GRAHAM AS YOU KNEW HIM, HAD ANY INTEREST 14 IN WESTCARS AS PRINCIPALS? 15 I DID NOT KNOW WHO THE PRINCIPALS WERE. 16 AND YOU STILL DON'T? 17 Q Α I STILL DON'T. 18 DID YOU TELL ANYBODY AT THE BBC WHAT HOURS YOU 19 Q WORKED, SIR? 20 A NOT REALLY. MY HOURS WERE VARIED OR MY BUSINESS 21 22 HOURS WERE VARIED. 23 I SEE. I AM TALKING NOT YOUR BUSINESS SO MUCH 24 AS YOU, AS AN INDIVIDUAL, SIR. 25 А NO. 26 Q YOU NEVER DISCUSSED IT WITH ANYONE?

NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

THERE ARE NO SUB-TENANTS IN THE AREA THAT I

```
INDICATED WITH YOU, AS FAR AS WHAT YOU USE AS A LESSEE WHICH
 1
     WE WILL CALL GENERALLY THE WEST ADAMS FRONTAGE?
 2
 3
                NO SUB-TENANTS.
                WHAT TIME DID THE SHOOTING TAKE PLACE?
 5
                IT WAS LATER IN THE EVENING AFTER DARK, I UNDERSTAND,
     7:00, 8:00, 9:00 O'CLOCK. I REALLY DON'T KNOW.
 6
 7
           Q YOU DON'T KNOW? SO IT WAS 7:00, 8:00 OR 9:00,
     THE BEST YOU KNOW?
 8
 9
                THE BEST I KNOW.
10
           Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT IT?
11
                LATER IN THE EVENING, 9:00 10:00 O'CLOCK.
12
           Q
                ARE THERE ANY OTHER USERS OF THIS BUILDING AREA
     SOUTH OF YOU?
13
14
                THERE IS ANOTHER BUILDING SOUTH OF THIS BUILDING,
          Α
15
     YES.
16
           Q
              IN OTHER WORDS, IN THE AREA REPRESENTED IN THE
17
     TWO CONTIGUOUS RECTANGLES OUT OF THE AREA YOU INDICATED AS
18
     YOUR LEASEHOLD, ARE THERE TENANTS IN THAT AREA?
19
           Α
                YES THERE ARE.
20
           Q
                WHAT TYPE OF WORK DID THEY DO?
21
           Α
                IT IS A VERY LARGE WHOLESALE TORTILLA FACTORY.
22
           Q
                 AND DID YOU DISCUSS THIS INCIDENT WITH ANYBODY
23
     THERE?
24
               AS I RECALL, I TALKED TO THE GUARD. THEY NORMALLY
25
    HAVE A GUARD OVERNIGHT AND I TALKED TO THE GUARD IF HE HAD
26
    SEEN ANYTHING AND HE SAID HE THOUGHT HE HAD SEEN A CAR OR
27
     SOMETHING, AS I RECALL.
28
           Q YOU DON'T HAVE A GUARD ON YOUR PREMISES AT NIGHT?
```

NO. WE HAVE AN ALARM SYSTEM BUT NOT GUARD. 1 DO YOU KNOW WHY THEY HAVE A GUARD ON THE PROPERTY 2 SOUTH OF YOU? 3 THEY HAVE QUITE A FEW EMPLOYEES AND THEY WORK 4 AROUND THE CLOCK. BUT I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY HAVE A GUARD. 5 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 6 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WAPNER: 9 Q MR. COKER, FIRST OF ALL, THIS DIAGRAM, THAT ENTIRE 10 RECTANGLE REPRESENTS YOUR BUSINESS, IS THAT CORRECT? 11 A YES. 12 MR. BARENS: SORRY. WHICH TRIANGLE? 13 THE COURT: RIGHT THERE. 14 MR. BARENS: THE TOP ONE OR THE WHOLE THING? 15 BY MR. WAPNER: SO WE ARE CLEAR, STARTING FROM 16 THIS LINE ON THE TOP, GOING ALL OF THE WAY DOWN THE LINE ON 17 THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE OF THE DIAGRAM, ALL OF THE WAY ON THE 18 LINE ON THE BOTTOM OF THE DIAGRAM, ALL OF THE WAY ON THE LEFT 19 SIDE ON THIS LITTLE INDENTATION AND THEN BACK UP TO THE TOP, 20 THAT IS ALL YOUR BUSINESS, RIGHT? 21 22 THAT'S RIGHT. 23 24 25 26 27

1	Q AND THE TOP POPTION OF YOUR BUSINESS IS OFFICES?
2	A CORRECT.
3	Q WHERE THE OFFICE WORK AND THE PAPER WORK WAS
4	DONE, RIGHT?
5	A RIGHT.
6	Q THEN THE BOTTOM PORTION OF THE DIAGRAM IS
7	WHERE YOU CAN ACTUALLY DRIVE CARS INTO AND IN THIS FIRST
8	RECTANGLE YOU WOULD HAVE CARS WAITING TO BE TESTED, CORRECT?
9	A CORRECT.
10	Q AND THEN IN THIS UPPER RECTANGLE THERE WOULD
11	BE MACHINES FOR TESTING THE CARS, COMPUTER-OPERATED MACHINES?
12	A CORRECT.
13	Q AND THEN IN THE BOTTOM LEFT-HAND RECTANGLE
14	WOULD BE, LIKE, TWO SERVICE BAYS WHERE CARS WERE ACTUALLY
15	DRIVEN IN AND TESTED?
16	A CORRECT.
17	Q AND THE TORTILLA FACTORY WOULD THEN BE SOMEWHERE
18	OFF OF THIS BOARD TO THE BOTTOM; IS THAT RIGHT?
19	A CORRECT.
20	Q DID YOU SET THE POLICY FOR WHAT TIME THE OFFICE
21	WAS SUPPOSED TO CLOSE AT NIGHT, WHAT TIME THE EMPLOYEES
22	LEFT?
23	A I SET THE POLICY BY THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT
24	NEEDED TO BE DONE, WHATEVER TIME IT TOOK, YES.
25	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT TIME MOST OF THE
26	OFFICE WORKERS LEFT?
27	A THE OFFICE WORKERS LEFT APPROXIMATELY AT 5:00.
28	Q HOW MANY PEOPLE WORKED IN THE OFFICE FOR YOU,

DOING THE OFFICE TYPE WORK, THE CLERICAL TYPE OF WORK? 1 А 2 NORMALLY, THERE WERE THREE PLUS MYSELF. AND DOES THAT INCLUDE MR. FRANCE AS YOUR PARTNER 3 OR THREE IN ADDITION TO MR. FRANCE? 4 THAT INCLUDES MR. FRANCE. 5 6 Q AND WHEN THE PEOPLE IN THE OFFICE LEFT FOR THE DAY, DID YOU NORMALLY -- DID THEY TURN THE LIGHTS 7 OUT OR LEAVE THE LIGHTS ON IN THE OFFICES, IN THE NORTH 8 PART OF THE OFFICE? 9 A IN THE OFFICE PART OF THE BUILDING? 10 11 Q RIGHT. THERE WAS ONE SINGLE LIGHT LEFT ON IN THE 12 RECEPTIONIST AREA. ALL OF THE OTHER LIGHTS WERE TURNED 13 OFF. 14 15 Q WHERE ON THAT DIAGRAM WOULD THE LIGHT BE LEFT 16 ON? 17 A IN A LAMP RIGHT IN THIS LOCATION HERE (WITNESS 18 INDICATING). 19 AND THE FRONT DOOR TO THE BUSINESS IS LOCATED 20 BETWEEN THESE TWO LITTLE SLASH MARKS HERE; IS THAT RIGHT? 21 (COUNSEL INDICATING.) 22 THAT'S RIGHT. 23 Q WAS THE FRONT DOOR GLASS? 24 Α YES. 25 WAS THE LIGHT THAT WAS LEFT ON BRIGHT ENOUGH Q 26 TO BE SEEN THROUGH THOSE GLASS DOORS? 27 Α YES. 28 IS THIS AREA REPRESENTED BY KIND OF AN OPEN

```
SQUARE ON THE DIAGRAM, KIND OF AN OPEN CLERICAL-TYPE AREA
 1
      THAT HAD DESKS IN IT? (COUNSEL INDICATING.)
 2
           Α
                YES.
 3
            Q WAS THE LIGHT THAT WAS LEFT ON IN THE AREA
 4
      THAT YOU REFERRED TO BRIGHT ENOUGH TO SHINE INTO THIS
 5
      OPEN CLERICAL AREA?
 6
           A SOMEWHAT.
 7
                DO YOU KNOW IF IT COULD BE SEEN THROUGH ADAMS
 8
      STREET THROUGH THE WINDOWS THAT FACED ON THE NORTH SIDE
 9
      OF THE BUILDING?
10
           A PROBABLY SOME DIM -- DIM LIGHT COULD BE SEEN,
11
     YES.
12
           Q
              AND NORTH WOULD BE AT THE TOP OF THIS DIAGRAM?
13
           Α
              YES.
14
                DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE PERSON DEPICTED IN THE
15
     PHOTOGRAPH THAT IS PEOPLE'S -- 175 FOR IDENTIFICATION --
16
     EXCUSE ME -- IN EVIDENCE?
17
18
           А
                YES, I DO.
19
           Q
                WHO IS THAT?
           A THAT IS A PERSON KNOWN TO ME AS JIM GRAHAM.
20
21
           MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
22
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU
     WILL BE EXCUSED.
23
24
25
                        JOHN PAUL REDMOND,
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED
26
27
     AS FOLLOWS:
28
           THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN.
```

```
YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU
 1
      MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL
 2
      BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH,
 3
      SO HELP YOU GOD?
            THE WITNESS: I DO.
 5
            THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED.
 6
                  WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FULL
      NAME FOR THE RECORD?
 8
            THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS JOHN PAUL REDMOND.
 9
      R-E-D-M-O-N-D.
10
11
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
12
      BY MR. WAPNER:
13
            Q MR. REDMOND, ON MARCH THE 14TH OF 1984, WHERE
14
      DID YOU WORK?
15
16
                 AT F.C.I. INCORPORATED IN SANTA ANA.
            Q AND DO YOU KNOW THE MAN, JERRY COKER, WHO
17
      JUST LEFT THE COURTROOM?
18
19
            А
                 YES, I DO.
20
            Q
              HOW DO YOU KNOW HIM?
21
            Α
                 I WORKED FOR HIM. . HE WAS MY BOSS.
22
            Q
                  WHAT DID F.C.I. STAND FOR?
23
              FRANCE-COKER INCORPORATED.
24
            Q
                 WHAT DID YOU DO FOR MR. COKER IN MARCH OF
25
      1984?
26
              I WAS AN AIR POLLUTION TECHNICIAN INVOLVED
27
      IN THE ANALYSIS OF EXHAUST EMISSIONS, AUTOMOBILE EXHAUST
28
      EMISSIONS.
```

Q AND IN THAT CAPACITY AS AN AIR POLLUTION TECHNICIAN, WHAT WAS YOUR JOB? A IT WAS TO PERFORM TESTS ON AUTOMOBILES ACCORDING TO THE FEDERAL REGISTER FOR IMPORT AUTOMOBILE CERTIFICATION TESTING. 5 _ Q WERE YOU AT THE BUSINESS OF F.C.I. INCORPORATED ON THE EVENING OF MARCH THE 14TH OF 1984? A YES, I WAS.

FO.

```
AND IN THE EVENING HOURS, WAS THERE ANYONE ELSE
           Q
 1
     THERE BESIDES YOURSELF?
 2
                 YES THERE WAS.
 3
           Q
                 WHO WAS THAT?
 4
           А
                RANDY HATZL.
 5
           Q
                H-A-T-Z-L?
 6
           Α
             YES.
 7
 8
                AND IN THE EVENING HOURS, WHAT WERE YOU DOING
     AT THE BUSINESS ON MARCH 14, 1984?
9
10
                WE WERE RUNNING SOME TESTS ON CARS.
                WHAT PORTION OF THE BUSINESS WERE YOU WORKING
11
     IN AT THAT TIME?
12
                IN THE BACK AREA WHERE THE ACTUAL EQUIPMENT IS
13
     AND THEN IN THE SOAK AREA, WHAT THEY CALL THE SOAK AREA WHICH
14
15
     IS A LARGE ROOM WITH A CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT.
                DO YOU SEE THE DIAGRAM ON YOUR RIGHT ON THE BOARD?
16
           Q
17
           А
                YES.
18
                 DOES THAT INDICATE WHAT AREA OF THE -- DOES THAT
19
     DIAGRAM GENERALLY, IN A ROUGH SENSE, DEPICT THE BUSINESS
20
     LOCATED --
21
           Α
                YES.
22
           Q
                 -- AT 3132 WEST ADAMS?
23
           А
                YES.
24
                 COULD YOU SHOW ME ON THE -- WELL, LET ME BACK
25
     UP FOR A SECOND. AT SOME POINT, DID YOU HEAR SOME LOUD NOISES
26
     THAT. NIGHT?
27
          A YES.
28
           Q
                 JUST BEFORE YOU HEARD THOSE NOISES, CAN YOU SHOW
```

A MORE THAN TEN, LESS THAN THIRTY. 1 AND WHEN YOU HEARD THOSE, YOU WERE STANDING IN 2 THE AREA THAT YOU HAVE MARKED WITH YOUR INITIALS AND THE 3 NUMBER 1? 4 5 A THAT'S RIGHT. AT THE TIME THAT YOU HEARD THOSE, DID YOU SEE 6 ANYTHING? 7 AT FIRST NOT. BUT AS I WHIRLED AROUND WHERE THE 8 NOISES WERE HAPPENING, I SAW A LITTLE PUFF OF DRYWALL COME 9 OUT OF ONE OF THE WALLS CLOSE TO WHERE RANDY WAS AND ONE OF 10 THE CARS. 11 Q WHEN YOU SAY YOU WHIRLED AROUND, WHICH DIRECTION 12 WERE YOU FACING WHEN YOU INITIALLY HEARD THE NOISE? 13 A I WAS FACING TOWARDS THE NOISE. 14 15 WHERE DID YOU HEAR THE NOISE COMING FROM? Q 16 Α I COULDN'T TELL. WHEN YOU WERE INITIALLY FACING TOWARD THE NORTH 17 AND YOU WHIRLED AROUND, WHERE DID YOU LOOK? 18 19 A I LOOKED PRETTY MUCH ALL AROUND. I ACTUALLY 20 WHIRLED AROUND. 21 O CAN YOU GO TO THE DIAGRAM AND PUT A LITTLE "X" 22 WHERE YOU SAW THE PUFF OF DRYWALL COMING OUT? 23 A YES. ACTUALLY, I SHOULD MODIFY MY POSITION A 24 LITTLE BIT. I WAS ACTUALLY A LITTLE CLOSER TO HERE. BUT 25 THE DIAGRAM IS OFF. 26 SO I SAW THE PUFF OF DRYWALL COME OUT ABOUT THERE 27 (INDICATING).

Q PUT AN "X" WHERE YOU SAW THE DRYWALL.

1	A (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
2	Q WHEN YOU SAW THE DRYWALL COME OUT WHAT DID YOU
3	THINK?
4	A I THOUGHT THAT IT MUST HAVE BEEN A BULLET.
5	Q AND WHEN YOU REACHED THAT CONCLUSION, WHAT DID
6	YOU DO?
7	A I WALKED OVER TO HERE, FIRST.
8	Q WHAT IS OVER THERE?
9	A THIS IS A DOOR HERE THAT GOES INTO THE FRONT
10	OFFICE AREA.
11	Q WHEN YOU GOT TO THE DOOR, WHAT HAPPENED?
12	A I SAW THAT THESE WINDOWS THERE WAS GLASS AND
13	STUFF ON THE GROUND.
14	Q KEEP YOUR VOICE UP.
15	A I SAW THERE WAS GLASS ON THE GROUND AND THERE
16	WERE HOLES IN THE WINDOWS.
17	Q WHEN YOU HAD LAST SEEN THE WINDOWS, WERE THEY
18	INTACT?
19	A YES.
20	Q WHEN YOU NOTICED THAT THERE WERE HOLES IN THE
21	WINDOWS AND GLASS ON THE GROUND, WHAT DID YOU DO?
22	A I WENT INTO MR. COKER'S OFFICE AND I KNEW THAT
23	HE KEPT A GUN IN THAT AREA. I GOT THE GUN AND THEN FROM THERE,
24	I WALKED INTO THIS OFFICE AND CALLED THE EMERGENCY NUMBER
25	FOR THE POLICE.
26	Q THAT WOULD BE 911?
27	A 911.
28	Q AFTER YOU CALLED 911, DID YOU STAY IN THAT OFFICE

```
WHERE YOU HAD MADE THE PHONE CALL?
 1
 2
                NO. THEN I WENT OVER TO WHERE RANDY WAS TO MAKE
     SURE HE WAS OKAY.
 3
 4
           Q
                 WHERE WAS MR. HATZL AT THAT TIME?
           А
 5
                HE WAS STILL IN THE CAR.
 6
           Q
                WAS HE ALL RIGHT?
 7
          Α
                HE WAS OKAY.
           Q
 8
                WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU WENT TO WHERE HE WAS?
 9
                WE BOTH GOT OUT OF THAT AREA AND WE CLOSED --
     THERE WAS A ROLL-UP DOOR ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE BUILDING
10
     THAT WE CLOSED.
11
          Q WOULD YOU TAKE THIS GREASE PENCIL AND MAKE TWO
12
13
     SLASH MARKS ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE WALL WHERE THE ROLL-UP
14
     DOOR WAS?
               (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
15
          Α
16
               PLEASE STAND ON THE OTHER SIDE AND POINT TO IT
17
     SO THE JURORS CAN SEE.
18
          Α
                THE DOOR WAS BETWEEN THOSE TWO POINTS.
19
           Q
                CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT A ROLL-UP DOOR IS?
20
             IT IS A FLEXIBLE METAL DOOR THAT ROLLS UP LIKE
21
    A WINDOW SHADE.
22
          Q
                BEFORE YOU HEARD THOSE NOISES, THE DOOR WAS UP?
23
          А
                YES.
24
          Q
             HOW HIGH IS THAT DOOR, DO YOU KNOW?
25
                IT GOES ALL OF THE WAY TO THE CEILING, WHICH IS
26
    PROBABLY ABOUT 16 OR 20 FEET. BUT IT WAS ONLY OPEN ABOUT
27
     EIGHT FEET.
```

1	Q WERE THERE LIGHTS ON INSIDE THE BACK AREA
2	OF
3	A WHERE I WAS
4	Q WHERE YOU WERE WORKING?
5	A YES.
6	(WITNESS RESUMES WITNESS STAND.)
7	Q AFTER YOU PUT DOWN THE METAL ROLL-UP DOOR,
8	WHAT DID YOU DO?
9	A WE JUST WENT INTO THE ROOM ABOVE WHERE THE
10	"X" IS, WHERE THE DRY WALL WAS, AND WAITED FOR THE POLICE
11	TO COME.
12	Q WHAT IS IN THAT ROOM?
13	A THE ANALYZER EQUIPMENT AND THE COMPUTERS.
14	Q DID YOU NOTICE ANY DAMAGE TO ANY OF THAT EQUIPMENT
15	A NOT AT THE TIME.
16	Q DID YOU AT SOME POINT?
17	A MR. BARENS: OBJECTION ON RELEVANCY AS TO
18	DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
20	Q BY MR. WAPNER: AT SOME POINT, DID YOU LOOK
21	AT THAT TO SEE WHETHER THERE WAS ANY DAMAGE TO THE EQUIPMENT?
22	A YES.
23	Q WHEN DID YOU LOOK AT IT?
24	A WHEN THE POLICE WERE THERE.
25	Q WHAT DID YOU SEE?
26	A I SAW TWO DENTS IN TWO OF THE METAL CABINETS
27	OF EQUIPMENT AND A DENT IN THE METAL CABINET OF THE C.R.T.
28	FOR THE COMPUTER.

```
Q CAN YOU JUST GO AND PUT A TRIANGLE ALSO ON
 1
      THERE WHERE THOSE ITEMS WERE?
 2
            A UH-HUH, JUST A SMALL TRIANGLE HERE. (WITNESS
 3
      INDICATING.)
 4
            Q HAD YOU NOTICED ANY DAMAGE TO THOSE PIECES
 5
      OF EQUIPMENT BEFORE YOU HEARD THESE NOISES?
 6
 7
            Α
                 NO.
 8
            Q
                 HOW LONG DID IT TAKE FOR THE POLICE TO GET
      THERE?
 9
10
            А
                 PROBABLY FIVE, TEN MINUTES.
                 AFTER THE POLICE GOT THERE, WHAT DID YOU DO?
            Q
11
           А
                 I FELT VERY RELIEVED.
12
                  I WALKED AROUND WITH THEM A LITTLE BIT AND
13
      SURVEYED THE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING AND, FURTHER, THE
14
      INSIDE OF IT.
15
                DID THE POLICE TAKE SOME PICTURES OF THE BUILDING?
16
17
            A YES, THEY DID.
           MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A SERIES OF PICTURES
18
19
      THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS P-2-A THROUGH -L.
      I HAVE SHOWN THEM TO COUNSEL.
20
21
           THE COURT: P-2 THROUGH WHAT?
22
           MR. WAPNER: -A THROUGH -L.
23
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEY WILL BE MARKED 2-A,
24
     -B, -C, -D THROUGH -L. IS THAT IT?
25
           MR. WAPNER: CORRECT. THEY ARE PICTURES THAT DEPICT
26
     VARIOUS PORTIONS OF THE BUILDING AT F.C.I. INCORPORATED.
27
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. REDMOND, SHOWING YOU PEOPLE'S
28
     2, STARTING WITH 2-A, CAN YOU HOLD THAT UP AND TELL ME
```

```
WHAT THAT IS.
 1
            A THIS IS A PICTURE FROM ACROSS THE STREET OF
      THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING. (WITNESS INDICATING.)
 3
            Q AND ARE THERE THREE, WHAT APPEAR TO BE, WINDOWS --
                YES, THEY ARE WINDOWS.
            Α
 5
            Q
                 -- IN THAT PICTURE?
 6
            A YES.
            Q DOES THAT ACCURATELY DEPICT THE BUILDING THE
 8
      WAY IT LOOKED THAT NIGHT AFTER THE SHOOTING?
 9
10
            A YES, IT DOES.
11
                WOULD THAT PICTURE REFLECT THE NORTH SIDE
      OF THE BUILDING HERE, INCLUDING THESE WINDOWS THAT ARE
12
13
      INDICATED BY THE SLASH MARKS? (COUNSEL INDICATING.)
14
            A YES, IT DOES.
15
                 WOULD YOU JUST HOLD THAT UP MAYBE A LITTLE
      HIGHER SO THE JURORS CAN SEE IT?
16
            A UH-HUH. (WITNESS COMPLIES.)
17
18
            Q
                WHAT IS THE NEXT ONE?
19
                THE NEXT PICTURE IS A VIEW OF THE EAST SIDE
      OF THE BUILDING WITH CARS IN THE PARKING LOT.
20
21
           THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU RUN THAT ALONG THE SIDE
22
      THERE? SOME OF THEM CAN'T SEE FROM THERE.
23
            Q BY MR. WAPNER: OKAY. WOULD THAT BE THIS
24
      PORTION OF THE BUILDING HERE INDICATED BY THE LINE ON
25
      THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE DIAGRAM? (COUNSEL INDICATING.)
26
          A YES.
27
           Q AND THE NEXT PICTURE, WHICH IS 2-C, WHAT DOES
28
      THAT INDICATE?
```

```
THESE ARE TWO OF THE WINDOWS ON THE NORTH
 1
      SIDE OF THE BUILDING, I BELIEVE THE TWO ON THE RIGHT TOP
 2
      OF THERE (WITNESS INDICATING).
 3
                  THESE TWO? (COUNSEL INDICATING.)
 5
            Α
                  YES.
            Q
                  INDICATING THE TWO ON THE NORTH?
 6
            Α
                  ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING.
 7
 8
            Q
                  THE NORTH SIDE, THE TWO EASTERN --
            Α
                  THE EASTERNMOST WINDOWS, YES.
 9
            Q
                  EASTERNMOST, ALL RIGHT.
10
                  AND THERE APPEAR TO BE SOME HOLES IN THESE
11
      WINDOWS; WERE THEY THERE BEFORE YOU HEARD THESE NOISES?
12
13
            Α
                  NO.
14
15
16
                 AND DO 2-D, -E, -F AND -G APPEAR TO DEPICT
17
      CLOSER-UP VIEWS OF THOSE SAME -- OF THE WINDOWS ON THE
      NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING?
18
19
            А
                 YES, THEY DO.
20
                 AND THE DAMAGE THAT IS DEPICTED IN THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS
            Q
21
     WAS NOT THERE BEFORE YOU HEARD THESE NOISES?
22
                  IT WAS NOT.
23
           MR. WAPNER: MAY I PASS THOSE BRIEFLY IN FRONT OF
24
     THE JURY, YOUR HONOR?
25
           THE COURT: YES.
26
           MR. WAPNER: MAYBE I COULD JUST PASS THEM AROUND.
27
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
28
                  (WHEREUPON, THE EXHIBITS WERE PASSED
```

TO THE JURY.)

```
Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND DOES THE PHOTOGRAPH THAT IS
 1
     2-H DEPICT THE DRYWALL THAT IS OPPOSITE THESE WINDOWS ON THE
 2
 3
     NORTH SIDE?
 4
          A YES IT DOES.
           Q AND CAN YOU SEE WHAT APPEARS TO BE SOME HOLES
 5
     IN THE DRYWALL?
 6
 7
          A YES.
 8
           Q WERE THEY THERE BEFORE YOU HEARD THOSE NOISES
 9
     THAT NIGHT?
10
          A NO.
11
          MR. WAPNER: MAY I JUST WALK THAT QUICKLY IN FRONT OF
12
     THE JURY, YOUR HONOR?
13
          THE COURT: YES.
14
                (PAUSE.)
15
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. REDMOND, WHAT IS ON THE OTHER
16
    SIDE OF THE WALL THAT IS DEPICTED IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH?
17
               THE INSTRUMENT ROOM WHERE THE TRIANGLES ARE.
18
          Q THAT'S THE ROOM DEPICTED BY THE RECTANGLE I AM
19
    POINTING TO NOW?
20
          Α
               YES.
21
              WHAT IS DEPICTED IN THE TWO PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE
22
    2-I AND -J?
23
         A THOSE ARE VIEWS OF THE WINDOW ON THE ENTRY ON
24
    THE EAST SIDE OF THE BUILDING.
25
            BASICALLY THE FRONT DOOR OF THE BUILDING AND
          Q
26
    WINDOW NEXT TO IT?
27
          A YES, RIGHT.
28
               THAT WOULD BE THE WINDOW TO THE SOUTH OF THE
          Q
```

AND DID YOU STAY THERE UNTIL THE POLICE LEFT?

27

28

ANSWERED THEIR QUESTIONS.

Q

```
YES.
          Α
 1
                HOW MUCH LATER AFTER THE POLICE LEFT, DID YOU
 2
           Q
     STAY?
 3
          A NOT VERY LONG, JUST LONG ENOUGH TO LOCK THE DOORS
 4
 5
     AND LEAVE.
           Q
               DID YOU CALL MR. COKER? AT SOME POINT THAT NIGHT?
 6
           A I DON'T HONESTLY REMEMBER. I REMEMBER CALLING
     EITHER MR. FRANCE OR MR. COKER.
 8
 9
           Q AND WAS THE DAMAGE TO THE OUTSIDE AND INSIDE OF
     THE BUILDING REPAIRED?
10
11
          A YES. IT WAS.
12
               HOW LONG DID IT TAKE TO REPAIR THE DAMAGE, IF
           Q
13
     YOU KNOW?
14
         MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, RELEVANCY.
15
          THE COURT: OVERRULED. WE MIGHT AS WELL GET THE WHOLE
16
     PICTURE.
          THE WITNESS: SOME OF IT WAS REPAIRED FAIRLY SOON, WITHIN
17
18
     THE NEXT FEW DAYS. BUT SOME, I DON'T THINK WAS REPAIRED FOR
19
     AT LEAST A COUPLE OF WEEKS.
20.
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: HOW LONG WERE THERE HOLES IN THE
21
     DRYWALL, IF YOU KNOW?
22
                AS I RECALL, A COUPLE OF WEEKS.
           А
23
           Q·
                THE WINDOWS WERE REPAIRED EARLIER?
24
          Α
                YES.
25
          Q THE TELEPHONE THAT IS DEPICTED IN 2-F, WAS IT
26
     IN THIS CONDITION BEFORE YOU HEARD THOSE NOISES ON THAT NIGHT?
27
          А
                NOT IT WAS NOT.
28
                AND DO ALL OF THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT I HAVE SHOWN
```

٠, ٦

YOU, 2-A THROUGH -L, ACCURATELY DEPICT THE CONDITION OF THE BUILDING AFTER THE SHOOTING THAT NIGHT? A YES THEY DO. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. MR. BARENS: NO QUESTIONS. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU WILL BE EXCUSED.

•

MR. WAPNER: CALL OFFICER ALFARO. 1 2 3 ROGER ALFARO, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED 4 AS FOLLOWS: 5 THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN. 6 7 YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL 8 BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 10 THE WITNESS: I DO. 11 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. 12 13 WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FULL 14 NAME FOR THE RECORD. 15 THE WITNESS: ROGER ALFARO, A-L-F-A-R-O. 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WAPNER: 19 Q MR. ALFARO, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? 20 THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT. Α 21 Q WHAT DO YOU DO FOR THEM? 22 NOW I AM ASSIGNED TO THE NARCOTICS DETAIL. 23 HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED FOR THE SANTA ANA 24 POLICE DEPARTMENT? 25 Α APPROXIMATELY SIX YEARS. 26 Q IN 1984, WHAT WAS YOUR ASSIGNMENT? 27 Α PATROL. 28 ON MARCH THE 14TH OF 1984, DID YOU GET A CALL

```
TO GO TO 3132 WEST ADAMS IN THE CITY OF SANTA ANA?
 1
            А
                 YES, SIR.
 2
                  AND AFTER RECEIVING THAT CALL, WHAT DID YOU
 3
      DO?
 4
                 MYSELF AND OFFICER CABRERA PROCEEDED TO THE
            А
 5
      LOCATION AND WE MADE CONTACT WITH TWO SUBJECTS THERE.
 6
 7
            Q
                  WAS EITHER OF THOSE PEOPLE IN COURT TODAY?
 8
            А
                 YES, SIR.
            Q
                  WHO WAS THAT?
 9
10
            Α
                  THE GENTLEMAN THAT JUST LEFT.
                  MR. REDMOND?
            Q
11
          A
                 YES, SIR.
12
            Q
                  AFTER YOU MADE CONTACT WITH MR. REDMOND, WHAT
13
      HAPPENED?
14
            Α
                 I CONDUCTED A C.S.I., CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION.
15
16
            Q
                  DID THAT INCLUDE TAKING SOME PHOTOGRAPHS?
            Α
17
                  YES, SIR.
                 AND WHEN YOU INVESTIGATED THE CRIME SCENE,
18
      WHAT DID YOU SEE?
19
20
              SEVERAL BROKEN WINDOWS OR HOLES IN WINDOWS.
21
                HAVE YOU SEEN HOLES IN GLASS BEFORE THAT HAD
22
      BEEN MADE BY BULLETS?
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
                AND THE HOLES THAT YOU SAW THAT NIGHT, WHAT
25
      DID THEY APPEAR TO BE TO YOU?
26
           Α
              BULLET HOLES.
27
              AFTER YOU SAW THE HOLES IN THE WINDOWS, WHAT
            0
28
    DID YOU DO?
```

```
WE THEN MADE AN AREA CHECK OF THE PARKING
 1
      LOT AND FOUND SOME EXPENDED CARTRIDGES.
 2
                  WHICH PARKING LOT OR LOTS DID YOU CHECK?
 3
            Q
                  THE NORTH AND EAST SECTIONS OF THE LOT.
 4
                  AND ARE THERE IN FACT PARKING SPACES ALONG
 5
      THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING?
 6
            Α
 7
                 I AM NOT SURE.
            Q
                 SHOWING YOU A PICTURE THAT HAS BEEN MARKED
 8
      AS 2-A, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
 9
            Α
                  YES.
10
            Q
                 WHAT IS IT?
11
            Α
12
                  A BUSINESS AT 33 -- I BELIEVE 3300 WEST ADAMS
      STREET.
13
            Q HOW ABOUT 3132 WEST ADAMS, DOES THAT SOUND
14
      ABOUT RIGHT?
15
16
                 OH, 3132, YES, SIR.
17
                 DOES THAT APPEAR TO DEPICT THE NORTH SIDE
18
      OF THE BUSINESS?
19
            Α
                 YES, SIR.
20
              DO THERE APPEAR TO BE PARKING SPACES ALONG
            Q
      THE SIDE OF THE WALL OF THE BUILDING THERE?
21
22
            Α
                  YES, SIR.
23
                  AND DOES 2-B APPEAR TO BE THE EAST SIDE OF
24
      THE BUSINESS?
25
           А
                YES, SIR.
26
            Q
              ARE THERE PARKING SPACES ALONG THERE ALSO?
27
            А
                 YES, SIR.
28
            Q
                  AND WHEN YOU WENT TO THE CRIME SCENE AND COLLECTED
```

```
THE EVIDENCE, WAS IT FROM BOTH OF THOSE PARKING LOTS?
 1
            А
                  YES, SIR.
 2
                  WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU
 3
      COLLECTED?
 4
 5
                  PLACED IT INTO A BAG, LATER PLACED IT INTO
      THE EVIDENCE LOCKER NO. 1 AT THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT.
 6
 7
            Q
              WHAT WAS IT THAT YOU PICKED UP?
                 THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGES.
 8
            MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE HERE A BROWN PAPER
 9
      BAG AND ATTACHED TO IT ARE TWO WHITE SHEETS, ONE ENTITLED
10
      "PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE CARD" AND THE OTHER ONE THAT JUST
11
      SAYS "EVIDENCE, SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT."
12
                  MAY THE BAG. ITS CONTENTS AND THE TWO CARDS,
13
      AS WELL AS THE THIRD CARD THAT SAYS "B.H.P.D. PROPERTY
14
      EVIDENCE TAG" BE MARKED AS --
15
16
         THE COURT: P-3?
            MR. WAPNER: -- 3 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
17
18
            THE COURT: YES.
            MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU PEOPLE'S 3 FOR IDENTIFICATION,
19
20
      DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT ITEM?
21
            Α
                 YES.
22
                  WHAT IS IT?
            Q
23
                  THE BAG WITH THE EVIDENCE TAGS.
24
                 WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN THAT ITEM BEFORE?
            Q
25
            А
                  WHEN I PLACED IT IN THE EVIDENCE LOCKER.
26
            Q
                  WOULD YOU OPEN IT, PLEASE, AND TELL ME IF
27
     YOU RECOGNIZE THE CONTENTS THERE?
28
                  FIRST OF ALL, IS IT SEALED RIGHT NOW?
29
                  YES, IT IS.
```

```
Q
                 OKAY. CAN YOU JUST TURN THAT AROUND AND HOLD
 1
     IT UP SO THE JURY CAN SEE IT. AND THE SEAL, WAS THAT SEAL
 2
     PLACED ON THERE BY YOU OR BY SOMEONE ELSE?
 3
           Α
                 SOMEONE ELSE.
 4
                 BEFORE YOU OPEN IT -- MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT?
 5
           THE COURT: YES.
 6
                 (PAUSE.)
 7
           MR. WAPNER: MAY I SEE THE BAG FOR JUST A MOMENT?
 8
                CAN YOU OPEN THIS BY JUST KIND OF CUTTING -- TRYING
 9
     TO CUT THROUGH IT SO WE CAN PERHAPS LEAVE THE SEAL INTACT?
10
           Α
                 (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
11
                 WOULD YOU REMOVE THE CONTENTS, PLEASE?
           Q
12
           Α
                 (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
13
14
           Q
                 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?
                 YES I DO.
           Д
15
16
           Q
                 HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE THEM?
           Α
17
                 THEY HAVE MY INITIALS ON THEM.
18
                 ON EACH ONE?
           Q
19
           Α
                 YES, SIR.
20
           Q
                 YOUR INITIALS ARE WHAT?
21
           Α
                 RA.
22
                 AND CAN YOU SHOW US ONE OF THOSE WITH AN EXAMPLE
23
     WHERE YOU PUT YOUR INITIALS?
24
           Α
                 HERE ( INDICATING).
25
           0
                 AND YOU PUT IT ON WITH SOME KIND OF A PEN?
26
           Α
                 YES, SIR.
27
           Q
                 HAVE YOU SEEN THESE TYPES OF EXPENDED SHELL CASINGS
28
     BEFORE?
```

-1

1	A YES I HAVE.
2	Q OKAY. CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT TYPE OF SHELL
3	CASINGS THEY ARE?
4	A THEY BELONG TO A RIFLE, I BELIEVE A 30-30.
5	Q WHAT IS A 30-30?
6	A .30 CALIBER.
7	Q AND CAN YOU TELL US GENERALLY WHERE IN THE PARKING
8	LOT THESE WERE COLLECTED FROM?
9	A JUST LAYING ON THE PAVEMENT IN THE NORTH SIDE
10	OF THE LOT, NORTHWEST PORTION, I BELIEVE AND ON THE EAST
11	PARKING LOT.
12	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION AS TO WHETHER THEY
13	WERE ALTOGETHER IN A CLUMP OR WHETHER THEY WERE SPREAD OUT
14	OR HOW YOU FOUND THEM?
15	A THEY WERE SPREAD OUT BUT MAINLY IN THE SAME AREA.
16	Q AND THERE WERE TWO WHEN YOU SAY IN THE SAME
17	AREA, WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
18	A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY HOW MANY THERE WERE IN
19	CERTAIN AREAS. BUT THERE WAS A CLUMP IN THE NORTH PART OF
20	THE LOT AND A CLUMP ON THE EAST SIDE.
2.1	Q AND ARE ALL THESE SHELL CASINGS THE SAME CALIBER?
22	A YES, SIR.
23	Q HOW MANY OF THEM DID YOU RECOVER?
24	A 11.
25	Q ARE ALL 11 THERE? DO THEY APPEAR TO BE?
26	A YES, SIR.
27	Q WHEN YOU TOOK THEM FROM THE LOCATION AND YOU PUT
28	THEM IN THE EVIDENCE LOCKER, DID YOU FILL OUT SOME KIND OF

```
A RECEIPT, PROPERTY RECEIPT?
 1
                  YES. SIR.
 2
            Q
                  WHAT IS THAT?
 3
            Α
                  IT IS AN EVIDENCE TAG.
            Q
                  IS THAT THERE IN FRONT OF YOU?
 5
           А
                  YES, SIR.
 6
            Q
                  WHICH ONE IS THAT?
 7
 8
           Α
                  IT WOULD BE THIS ONE, THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM.
                  AND THE PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE TAG HAS YOUR INITIALS
           Q
 9
     ON IT AND YOUR NAME, "R. G. ALFARO"?
10
11
                  YES, SIR.
12
                 AND THEN THE EVIDENCE, THE SANTA ANA POLICE
     DEPARTMENT PAPER THAT SAYS "EVIDENCE, SANTA ANA POLICE
13
     DEPARTMENT," YOU REFERRED TO THAT AS A CHAIN OF CUSTODY. CAN
14
     YOU EXPLAIN THAT?
15
16
                 IT HAS THE CRIME, THE VICTIM, THE CASE NUMBER
     AND IT HAS MY NAME, COLLECTED IN THE PACKAGE BY ME, THE DATE,
17
18
     THE TIME, RELINQUISHED TO THE LOCKER BY ME AND THE DATE AND
19
     TIME IT WAS PLACED IN THE LOCKER.
20
                 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF KEEPING A PAPER LIKE THAT?
           Q
21
                 IT IS MORE OF A CHAIN OF CUSTODY-TYPE THING.
22
                 IN OTHER WORDS, TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU KEEP TRACK
23
     OF WHEN IT WENT INTO THE LOCKER AND WHEN IT GOES OUT AND THINGS
24
     LIKE THAT?
25
           А
                YES, SIR.
26
           Q
                 DID YOU EVER CHECK THE ITEMS OUT OF THE LOCKER
27
     AFTER YOU PUT THEM IN THERE INITIALLY?
28
```

NO, SIR.

Α

1-3

Q AND THESE 11 CARTRIDGES THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT 1 2 OF YOU, ARE THE ONES THAT YOU IN FACT. COLLECTED FROM THE PARKING LOT OF THE BUSINESS ON THE NIGHT OF MARCH 14? 3 YES, SIR. 4 5 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT? (PAUSE.) 6 7 BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU DO AN EXAMINATION OF THE Q INSIDE OF THE BUSINESS, ALSO? 8 9 Α YES, SIR. 10 DID YOU SEE ANY LOCATIONS WHERE THE BULLETS HAD PENETRATED ACTUALLY INTO THE SOUTHERN PORTION, THROUGH THE 11 DRYWALL AND INTO THE SOUTHERN PORTION OF THE BUSINESS? DO 12 YOU RECALL? THAT WOULD BE INTO THE WORKING AREA AT THE BOTTOM 13 PORTION OF THE DIAGRAM. 14 15 Α THE BOTTOM PORTION THERE? 16 DO YOU REMEMBER GOING INTO A ROOM THAT HAD SOME 17 TESTING, COMPUTER-TYPE TESTING EQUIPMENT IN IT? 18 Α NO I DON'T. 19 NOW, HOW IS IT -- CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US HOW IT 20 IS THAT THE CASES ARE EXPENDED FROM A RIFLE AND FALL ON THE 21 GROUND, IF YOU KNOW? 22 THEY ARE EJECTED OUT OF THE RIFLE. 23 AND WOULD THAT INDICATE THAT IT IS AN AUTOMATIC Q 24 OR SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLE? 25 Α YES. 26 AND HOW IS IT THAT THEY ARE EJECTED OUT OF THE 27 RIFLE, IF YOU KNOW? 28 EACH TIME THE TRIGGER IS PULLED, IT IS

AUTOMATICALLY EJECTED OUT OF THE CHAMBER.

1	Q AND ANOTHER ROUND IS PUT IN?
2	A IN THE CHAMBER, YES.
3	Q DID YOU RECOVER ANY GUN THAT NIGHT?
4	A NO, SIR.
5	Q DID YOU FIGURE OUT HOW MANY SHOTS WERE SHOT
6	THROUGH THE WINDOWS ON THE NORTH SIDE AND HOW MANY SHOTS
7	WERE SHOT THROUGH THE WINDOWS ON THE WEST SIDE
8	A NO.
9	Q EXCUSE ME. THE EAST SIDE.
10	A NO, I DIDN'T.
11	Q WAS THERE AN OFFICER CABRERA THERE ALSO?
12	A YES, SIR.
13	Q WHAT WAS HIS FUNCTION?
14	A HE WAS THE OFFICER THAT HANDLED THE CRIME
15	REPORT AND ALSO HE HELPED ME IN LOCATING THE DAMAGE TO
16	THE BUILDING.
17	Q DO YOU HAPPEN TO RECALL WHETHER OR NOT THERE
18	WERE ANY LIGHTS ON INSIDE THE OFFICE PORTION OF THE BUSINESS
19	AT THE TIME YOU GOT THERE?
20	A I BELIEVE THERE WERE.
21	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
22	THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS?
23	MR. BARENS: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP
25	DOWN. YOU WILL BE EXCUSED.
26	THE WITNESS: IS HE GOING TO TAKE THE EVIDENCE?
27	THE COURT: YOU JUST LEAVE IT THERE.
28	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, CAN I HAVE ABOUT FIVE MINUTES

OR LESS? I ANTICIPATED CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THESE WITNESSES

AND I HAVE ONE WITNESS I CAN PUT ON BRIEFLY BUT DETECTIVE

ZOELLER WENT TO GET A PIECE OF EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE APPROACH THE BENCH WHILE WE ARE WAITING FOR THAT? WE HAD SOME MOTION TO THE COURT.

THE COURT: YES.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:)

THE COURT: YES?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AS A SIDE MATTER, THE BAILIFF,
MR. QUINN, CAME UP TO ME JUST AS WE WERE STARTING AND
SAID THAT A JUROR HAD REPORTED TO HIM THAT I HAD BEEN
SEEN TO HAND A PIECE OF PAPER THAT BROOKE ROBERTS HAD
WRITTEN A NOTE ON TO MR. HUNT, WHICH I DID YESTERDAY.
I DON'T KNOW WHAT PART OF THE DAY IT OCCURRED, BUT SOME
PART. THERE WAS A PIECE OF LINED NOTEBOOK PAPER THAT
I PUT ON THE COUNSEL TABLE AND HANDED TO MR. HUNT. I
AM SURPRISED AND POSSIBLY DISTRESSED THAT A JUROR WOULD
REPORT ME FOR THAT. I THINK THE JUROR OBVIOUSLY THINKS
I HAVE DONE SOMETHING WRONG. I THINK, LEST THERE BE SOME
PREJUDICE AGAINST THE DEFENSE COUNSEL AS A RESULT OF THAT,
I DON'T KNOW HOW EXACTLY TO PROCEED TO TELL THE JUROR.

THE COURT: MAYBE THEY THOUGHT THERE WAS SOMETHING.

I DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA AS TO WHY THAT REMARK WAS MADE.

I WILL ASK PAT QUINN ABOUT IT LATER ON AND FIND OUT A

LITTLE MORE ABOUT IT.

MR. BARENS: ONLY BECAUSE YOU MIGHT WANT TO COMMENT 1 TO THE JUROR THAT THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH DEFENSE 2 COUNSEL DOING THAT. 3 THE COURT: NO, THAT WILL POINT IT UP. I DON'T 4 THINK IT IS DESIRABLE DOING THAT. 5 6 MR. BARENS: I JUST DIDN'T WANT THE JUROR FLOATING AROUND, THINKING I HAVE DONE SOME TRANSGRESSION TO THE 7 LEGAL SYSTEM. 8 THE COURT: I THINK IT WOULD REDOUND NOT TO THE 9 FAVOR OF THE DEFENDANT, THEY WOULD RESENT IT. JUST LET 10 IT LIE AND DON'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT IT. I WILL ASK HIM 11 12 ABOUT IT. MR. BARENS: PERHAPS YOUR HONOR COULD, IN AN IN 13 14 CAMERA SETTING, IN CASE IT IS RELEVANT LATER ON, ASK THE BAILIFF TO TELL YOU. YOU DON'T NEED TO TELL EITHER COUNSEL 15 16 WHO IT WAS. BUT IF YOUR HONOR WOULD IN SOME SEALED FASHION 17 PUT THE JUROR'S NAME, MAYBE YOUR HONOR COULD WRITE A NOTE 18 TO THE COURT AND PUT IT IN A SEALED COURT EXHIBIT. 19 THE COURT: I WILL TALK TO HIM FIRST AND I WILL 20 DECIDE WHAT TO DO LATER. 21 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 22 YOUR HONOR, WE DO HAVE ANOTHER HOUSEKEEPING 23 CHORE. IS DETECTIVE ZOELLER GOING TO GET THE RIFLE NOW? 24 MR. WAPNER: YES. 25 MR. BARENS: BEFORE THE RIFLE IS BROUGHT IN THE 26 COURTROOM, AS THERE HAS BEEN NO FOUNDATION LAID, WE WOULD 27 LIKE THE FOUNDATION LAID THROUGH THIS -- I THINK THEY

HAVE TO LAY THEIR FOUNDATION THROUGH THE GARDENA POLICE

DEPARTMENT. HERE HE IS WITH THE GUN IN FRONT OF THE JURY. THE COURT: THE GARDENA POLICE DEPARTMENT? I DON'T UNDERSTAND.

MR. BARENS: HERE IS THE WAY THEY PLAN TO GO ON
THIS. THEY ARE NOW GOING TO PUT INTO EVIDENCE THAT PITTMAN
WAS APPREHENDED BUT NOT ARRESTED -- I DON'T THINK HE WAS
ARRESTED -- IN ANY EVENT, PITTMAN WAS, ONE NIGHT, TAKING
TARGET PRACTICE IN THE GARDENA OFFICE, SHOOTING A GUN
AT A PIECE OF WOOD. THE NEIGHBORS COMPLAINED ABOUT THE
NOISE, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND THEY HAD A 415 TYPE
OF SITUATION GOING ON. SO THE POLICE CAME OVER AND INTERVIEWED
PITTMAN AND THEN TAKE THIS GUN AWAY FROM HIM THAT HE IS
SHOOTING AT THE PIECE OF WOOD WITH THAT NIGHT.

THE COURT: THEY WANT TO ESTABLISH THIS WAS THE RIFLE FROM WHICH THESE BULLETS WERE EJECTED, THE CASINGS OUT OF IT?

MR. WAPNER: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. BARNES: EXCEPT, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEMS WITH THAT.

NUMBER ONE, IT IS AGAIN USING PITTMAN AS A METHOD TO GET TO HUNT AND WE WILL NEVER HAVE -- I DON'T SEE WHERE HE IS TIED IN, INSOFAR IN TERMS OF FOUNDATION LAID OR CORPUS BEING ESTABLISHED FOR THIS ALLEGED CRIME.

I DON'T FIND ANY CORPUS SO FAR AND TO ALLOW
THE GUN IN, SIMPLY BECAUSE PITTMAN IS IN POSSESSION OF
A GUN AT THIS JUNCTURE, WITHOUT ANY OTHER TYING EVIDENCE,
I DON'T KNOW WHERE WE ARE GOING.

2 FO. **25**

THE COURT: I SUPPOSE THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO SHOW A CONNECTION IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE BETWEEN PITTMAN AND THE DEFENDANT WITH RESPECT TO THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT.

MR. BARENS: BUT THE ONLY THING WE ARE GOING TO END
UP WITH AGAIN, IS THERE IS GOING TO BE A STATEMENT BY
TAGLIANETTI ATTRIBUTED TO PITTMAN, NOT TO HUNT.

BUT WHAT YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO HAVE TO DO IS

TO ALLOW THE PEOPLE TO -- YOU ARE GOING TO VIOLATE HUNT'S

RIGHT TO CONFRONTATION BY ALLOWING A STATEMENT MADE TO PITTMAN

TO BE ATTRIBUTED TO HIM IN THIS SETTING.

I DON'T THINK THAT IS LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE.

THE COURT: PITTMAN WAS A CO-CONSPIRATOR. EVERYTHING
HE DID AND SAID IN CONNECTION WITH THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT
IS ADMISSIBLE AGAINST THE DEFENDANT.

MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD LIKE
TO DEFER TO MR. CHIER IN MAKING THE RESPONSE.

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, THE CONSPIRACY UNDER WHICH THE PEOPLE SOUGHT TO AND SUCCEEDED IN GETTING STATEMENTS ADMITTED DURING THE GUILT PHASE, WAS A CONSPIRACY TO DO IN RON LEVIN.

THIS NEW EVIDENCE BEING OFFERED NOW CONCERNING
THE GUN, IS NOT IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY WHICH THE
PEOPLE ALLEGED EXISTED DURING THE GUILT PHASE.

AND IN THESE DECLARATIONS -- WELL, THEY ARE NOT IN FURTHERANCE OF THAT CONSPIRACY.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THESE ARE

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES, INDEPENDENT CRIMES? AN INDEPENDENT

CRIME, YOU ESTABLISH THE FACT THAT THERE IS A CONSPIRACY TO

AN INDEPENDENT CRIME.

PITTMAN WAS PART OF THAT CONSPIRACY WITH THE DEFENDANT. IS 2

THAT WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO SHOW?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS TRUE, ALTHOUGH I DON'T KNOW THAT THE STATEMENTS OF MR. PITTMAN WILL COME IN.

THIS IS WHAT HE IS ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH.

BUT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN ADMITTED ON THE GUILT PHASE CAN BE CONSIDERED BY THE JURY IN THIS PHASE WHICH INCLUDES ALREADY THE CONNECTION THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED BY MR. HUNT TO MR. PITTMAN AND TO THE ENTIRE BBC.

THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT MR. HUNT CALLED ALL OF THE SHOTS, THE THINGS THAT HAPPENED AT THE BBC.

THE COURT: I THINK THE JURY WOULD HAVE A RIGHT TO CONSIDER ALL OF THAT.

MR. BARENS: ARE WE SAYING LITERALLY CALLED THE SHOTS? THAT WAS A JOKE, FOR THE RECORD.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD

IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND

HEARING OF THE JURY:)

MR. WAPNER: WE CALL DETECTIVE ZOELLER.

LES ZOELLER,

CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO. 1 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY NECESSITY FOR 2 HIS HAVING BEEN CALLED -- THAT IS, TO HAVE HIM SWORN AGAIN. 3 HE WAS PREVIOUSLY SWORN ON THE GUILT PHASE. BUT NONETHELESS --4 MR. BARENS: THE DEFENSE WILL WAIVE IT. 5 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 7 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WAPNER: 9 10 DETECTIVE ZOELLER, AS THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER IN THIS CASE, DID YOU GO TO THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT 11 12 ON MAY 8TH, 1987? 13 А ON MAY 5 I WENT TO THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT. 14 0 OKAY. WERE YOU ACCOMPANIED BY ANYONE WHEN YOU 15 DID THAT? 16 Α YES. 17 Q WHO WAS THAT? 18 Α YOU, MR. WAPNER. 19 Q ALL RIGHT. I GOT THE DATE WRONG, RIGHT? 20 Α RIGHT. 21 ALL RIGHT. AND AMONG OTHER THINGS, DID YOU PICK 22 UP SOME EVIDENCE FROM THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT? 23 Α YES. 24 WHAT WAS IT THAT YOU PICKED UP? 25 I PICKED UP THE BAG CONTAINING THE 11 SHELL 26 CASINGS, PLUS TWO LEAD FRAGMENTS. 27 Q AND WERE YOU REQUIRED TO SIGN SOME CHAIN OF CUSTODY 28

FORM BEFORE THAT EVIDENCE WAS RELEASED TO YOU?

A - 3

. .

A I SIGNED THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM THAT IS

ATTACHED TO IT, PLUS I SIGNED A RECEIPT WHICH IS LAYING ON

THE COUNSEL TABLE, WHICH I OBTAINED ONE COPY AND THEY

RETAINED THE OTHER COPY.

THE COURT: THERE IS NO DISPUTE ABOUT THE CHAIN, IS THERE, ABOUT THE BULLETS AND SO ON?

MR. BARENS: WELL, I DON'T WANT TO WAIVE ANYTHING, FOR THE RECORD. LET HIM MAKE THE RECORD, JUDGE.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU THE BULLETS THAT HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 3 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?

A YES I DO.

Q WHAT ARE THEY?

A IT IS THE 11 SHELL CASINGS, .30 CALIBER SHELL CASINGS PLUS THE ENVELOPE WITH THE TWO LEAD FRAGMENTS.

AND WHEN I BROUGHT THEM BACK TO THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT, I PUT ONE OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE EVIDENCE TAGS ON IT, WHICH JUST CAME OFF.

Q THAT WAS THE YELLOW EVIDENCE TAG THAT IS ON THERE NOW?

A THAT'S CORRECT. PLUS WHEN I OBTAINED IT, I SIGNED ON THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM THAT IS ATTACHED TO IT.

27

28

Q

А

Q

WHAT IS IT?

IT IS A .30 CALIBER CARBINE RIFLE.

AND WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN IT BEFORE?

```
THIS IS THE WEAPON THAT I RECEIVED OUT OF GARDENA'S
 1
     EVIDENCE ON THE 5TH OF MAY OF THIS YEAR.
 2
                WHEN YOU TOOK IT FROM THE GARDENA POLICE DEPARTMENT,
 3
     WHAT DID YOU DO WITH IT?
 4
                AS FAR AS PHYSICALLY, WHEN IT TOOK IT?
 5
           Q
             CORRECT.
 6
          A I BROKE THE ADJUSTABLE STOCK DOWN. IT WAS IN
 7
     THAT FORM WHEN I RECEIVED IT.
 8
                AND AS IT APPEARS NOW, IS THAT THE METAL PORTION
 9
     THAT PROTRUDES FROM THE BACK? DOES THAT ACT AS A HANDLE?
10
          А
                YES.
11
               AND THE PIECE THAT IS AT THE END OF THAT METAL
12
     PORTION, DOES THAT MOVE?
13
           A IT MOVES TO BE A SHOULDER REST. THAT'S CORRECT.
14
                ONCE YOU TOOK IT FROM THE GARDENA POLICE
15
     DEPARTMENT, DID YOU TAKE IT AND BOOK IT INTO EVIDENCE IN
16
     BEVERLY HILLS?
17
           A YES.
18
           Q DID YOU PUT A BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT
19
     TAG ON IT?
20
21
                I DID. IT IS STILL ATTACHED.
22
                AFTER YOU DID THAT, AT SOME POINT, DID YOU TAKE
23
     THE GUN AND THE SHELL CASINGS THAT YOU RECEIVED FROM SANTA
24
     ANA, SOMEPLACE ELSE?
25
           A YES. I TOOK IT TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
26
     CRIME LAB.
27
           Q
                FOR WHAT PURPOSE?
28
           A TO COMPARE THE GUN TO THE SANTA ANA METAL CASINGS
```

AND TO THE SHELL CASINGS THAT WERE FOUND LOCATED WITH THE GUN. MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT? (PAUSE.) MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE TWO OTHER ENVELOPES PLUS AN LAPD EVIDENCE TAG AND A COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CRIMINALISTICS LAB RECEIPT. MAY ALL OF THOSE COLLECTIVELY BE MARKED AS 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION? THE COURT: SO MARKED.

BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU PEOPLE'S 5 FOR 1 IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? 2 YES, I DO. 3 4 WHAT IS IT? IT'S A -- THE ONE LARGER MANILA ENVELOPE HAS 5 BEEN SEALED, SO I AM NOT OPENING IT AT THIS POINT, BUT 6 IT HAS THE MAGAZINE WHICH FITS IN HERE, WHICH CONTAINS 7 8 THE BULLETS FOR SHOOTING. AND THE SMALLER ENVELOPE HAS A .30 CALIBER 9 10 BULLET AND A .30 CALIBER SHELL CASING INSIDE AND IT, TOO, IS SEALED. 11 WHEN YOU SAY THE MAGAZINE THAT CONTAINS THE 12 BULLETS, CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US HOW THAT WORKS? 13 THE MAGAZINE IS A PORTION THAT CONTAINS, YOU 14 PUT THE LIVE ROUNDS OR BULLETS INSIDE OF IT, AND I BELIEVE 15 THIS PARTICULAR ONE HOLDS 30, AND THEN YOU FIT IT INSIDE 16 THE GUN THUSLY AND UPON CLOSING THE BREECH OR THE ACTION, 17 IT EJECTS ONE INTO A LIVE POSITION, ENABLING YOU TO PULL 18 19 THE TRIGGER AND FIRING THE BULLETS. 20 ONCE YOU PULL THE TRIGGER, DOES IT PUT ANOTHER Q 21 ROUND INTO THE CHAMBERS AUTOMATICALLY? 22 YES, IT EJECTS THE EMPTY SHELL CASING AND 23 AUTOMATICALLY PUTS ANOTHER ONE INTO THE LIVE POSITION. 24 AFTER YOU TOOK THOSE ITEMS TO THE SHERIFF'S 25 CRIME LAB, DID YOU SEE THEM AGAIN? 26 A YES, I PICKED THEM UP BEFORE COURT YESTERDAY 27 MORNING FROM THE CRIME LAB. 28 AFTER YOU PICKED THEM UP FROM THE SHERIFF'S

CRIME LAB, WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THEM? 1 I BROUGHT THEM TO COURT. 2 Q AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE RIFLE THAT IS PEOPLE'S 3 4, AS WELL AS THE SHELL CASINGS THAT ARE PEOPLE'S 3, AND 4 THE MAGAZINE AND SHELLS THAT ARE PEOPLE'S 5? 5 A THAT'S CORRECT. 6 MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU 8 9 MAY STEP DOWN. MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME, 10 11 YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN? 12 MR. WAPNER: I MEAN NOTHING FURTHER FOR THE REST 13 OF THE MORNING. 14 15 THE COURT: OH, I SEE. 16 MR. WAPNER: I AM NOT THROUGH. MR. BARENS: GOD FORBID. 17 THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE 18 WILL TAKE OUR CUSTOMARY RECESS UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. 19 20 THE SAME ADMONITION I HAVE BEEN GIVING YOU 21 THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL WILL BE STILL APPLICABLE. THANK 22 YOU. 23 (AT 11:50 A.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 24 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 25 26 27

```
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1987; 1:38 P.M.
 1
      DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE
 2
                  (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
 3
 4
            THE COURT: ARE YOU READY?
 5
            MR. WAPNER: YES. WE CALL DALE PIERCE.
 6
 7
                           DALE R. PIERCE,
 8
      CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED
 9
      AS FOLLOWS:
10
            THE CLERK: YOU DO SCLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY
11
      YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT
12
      SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE
13
      TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
14
            THE WITNESS: I DO.
15
            THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE AND
16
      SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
17
            THE WITNESS: DALE, D-A-L-E, MIDDLE INITIAL R. LAST
18
      NAME PIERCE, P-I-E-R-C-E.
19
            THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
20
21
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
      BY MR. WAPNER:
23
                 MR. PIERCE, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
            Q
24
            Α
                 THE CITY OF BELL GARDENS.
25
            Q WHAT DO YOU DO FOR THEM?
26
                POLICE LIEUTENANT. IN 1984, WHERE WERE YOU
            Α
27
      WORKING?
28
```

1	A CITY OF GARDENA.
2	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO FOR THE CITY OF GARDENA?
3	A I WAS A POLICE SERGEANT.
4	Q AND WERE YOU WORKING AS A POLICE SERGEANT
5	ON MAY THE 12TH OF 1984?
6	A YES.
7	THE COURT: 1980 WHEN?
8	MR. WAPNER: '4.
9	Q AND ON THAT DATE, WERE YOU WORKING WHAT
10	WAS YOUR ASSIGNMENT? WHAT DID YOU WORK THAT DAY?
11	A I WAS A WATCH SERGEANT FOR THE AFTERNOON SHIFT.
12	Q WHEN DID THE AFTERNOON SHIFT START?
13	A IT STARTED AT 3:00.
14	Q AND AS A WATCH SERGEANT, DID YOU STAY AT THE
15	STATION OR WERE YOU ACTUALLY OUT DRIVING A CAR IN THE
16	STREET?
17	A NO, IN THE FIELD, UNIFORMED PATROL.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

FO.

```
1
                AND IN THAT CAPACITY, DID YOU MONITOR OR RECEIVE
     A RADIO CALL REGARDING SHOTS FIRED?
 2
           А
                 YES.
 3
                 APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME WAS THAT?
 4
                APPROXIMATELY 23 MINUTES AFTER 8:00 IN THE EVENING
 5
     OR 8:23 P.M.
 6
                 WHEN YOU HEARD THAT CALL, WERE YOU IN YOUR CAR?
 7
           Q
           Α.
                 YES.
 8
           Q
                 WHAT DID YOU DO?
           Α
                 RESPONDED TO THE AREA.
10
           Q
                 WHAT AREA WAS IT?
11
                 IT WAS THE AREA OF 132ND STREET AND HALLDALE
12
     AVENUE WITHIN THE CITY OF GARDENA.
13
                CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT AREA, PLEASE.
14
           Q
15
           Α
                 ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY COMMERCIAL-INDUSTRIAL AREA.
16
           Q
                 WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESSES ARE LOCATED THERE?
17
                 LIGHT MANUFACTURING, WAREHOUSE-TYPE BUSINESSES.
18
           Q
                WHEN YOU GOT TO THAT AREA, WHAT DID YOU NOTICE,
19
     IF ANYTHING?
20
             I HEARD GUNSHOTS COMING FROM THE OUTSIDE OF
21
     132ND STREET AND WEST OF HALLDALE.
22
                 I TAKE IT, IN YOUR CAPACITY AS A POLICE OFFICER,
23
     YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE SOUND OF GUNSHOTS?
24
           Α
                 YES.
25
               WHEN YOU HEARD THOSE GUNSHOTS, WHAT DID YOU DO?
           Q
26
                BEGAN CORDONING OFF THE AREA AND REQUESTING
27
     ADDITIONAL UNITS, REQUESTING AIR SUPPORT AND BEGAN TO TRY
28
     AND NARROW DOWN THE LOCATION FROM WHICH THE SHOTS WERE BEING
```

-2

1	Q IN THAT POSITION, WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE?	
2	A I OBSERVED A MALE EXIT A DOORWAY ON THE WEST	
3	SIDE OF THE BUILDING.	
4	Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT PERSON, PLEASE?	
5	A HE WAS A BLACK MALE, STOCKY BUILD. HE WAS,	
6	AS I RECALL, IN HIS LATE TWENTIES.	
7	Q AND DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY LEARN THAT PERSON'S	
8	NAME?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q WHAT WAS HIS NAME?	
11	A JAMES GRAHAM.	
12	Q WHEN YOU SAW MR. GRAHAM COME OUT OF THE BUILDING,	
13	WHAT HAPPENED WHEN HE CAME OUT OF THE BUILDING?	
14	A HE WAS GIVEN CERTAIN DIRECTIONS TO FOLLOW,	
15	WHICH HE FOLLOWED AND COMPLIED WITH AND SUBSEQUENTLY CONTACT	
16	WAS MADE WITH HIM.	
17	Q LET ME STOP YOU FOR A SECOND. WAS HE GIVEN	
18	ANY DIRECTIONS IN ORDER TO GET HIM OUT OF THE BUILDING	
19	OR DID HE JUST HAPPEN TO COME OUT?	
20	A NO. HE WALKED OUT.	
21	Q BUT HE WAS NOT ORDERED OUT BY BULLHORN OR	
22	ANYTHING LIKE THAT?	
23	A NO.	
24	Q WHEN HE CAME OUT OF THE BUILDING, WHAT DIRECTIONS	
25	WAS HE GIVEN?	
26	A HE WAS GIVEN DIRECTIONS TO WALK TOWARD THE	
27	POLICE CAR AND HE WAS SPECIFICALLY PLACED INTO WHAT, IN	
28	MY OPINION, AT THAT TIME WAS A SAFER LOCATION TO MAKE	

```
1
      CONTACT WITH HIM.
            Q
                 WHICH WAS WHERE?
 2
 3
                 WHICH WAS NORTH OF THE EXIT DOORWAY HE EXITED.
                 AND AFTER HE WAS PUT IN THAT LOCATION, WHAT
            Q
 5
      DID YOU DO?
           А
                 HE WAS SEARCHED AND CONTACTED.
 6
            Q
                 DID YOU TALK TO HIM?
 7
 8
            Α
                 YES.
 9
            Q
                 DID YOU TRY TO FIND OUT WHAT IF ANYTHING HE
      KNEW ABOUT THE SHOTS THAT WERE BEING FIRED?
10
           Α
                 YES.
11
12
           Q
                AND AFTER TALKING TO HIM, DID YOU GO SOME
13
      PLACE?
14
           Α
                 YES.
15
                WHERE DID YOU GO?
           Q
16
                 TO THE OFFICE AREA OF THAT BUILDING THAT HE
17
      EXITED.
18
                WHAT WAS IT THAT HE TOLD YOU WHEN YOU TALKED
19
     TO HIM OUT THERE?
20
          A THAT HE WAS FIRING THE GUN, THAT HE DIDN'T
21
     KNOW IT WAS ILLEGAL TO SHOOT A GUN IN HIS OWN BUSINESS
22
     AND THAT HE WAS JUST TAKING TARGET PRACTICE.
23
           Q
                AND AFTER HE TOLD YOU THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
24
           А
                 I THEN ASKED HIM WHERE THE GUN WAS.
25
           Q
                WHAT DID HE SAY?
26
           Α
                 HE SAID, "IT IS ON TOP OF THE DESK IN THE
27
     OFFICE."
28
                WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER HE TOLD YOU THAT?
           Q
```

```
A I THEN ASKED HIM IF WE COULD GO IN AND OBTAIN
 1
      THAT WEAPON, WHICH HE SAID WE COULD.
 2
 3
                  AND THEN WE ENTERED -- I ENTERED THE BUILDING.
      ENTERED THE OFFICE AREA AFTER SECURING THE REMAINDER OF
 4
 5
      THE BUILDING.
                 I ENTERED THE OFFICE AREA AND RETRIEVED A
 6
      .30 CALIBER CARBINE.
 7
 8
            Q WHAT DID YOU DO WITH IT AFTER YOU RETRIEVED
      IT?
 9
            A I HANDED IT TO THE ASSIGNED OFFICER, WHICH
10
      WAS OFFICER GERALD BRUCE AND TOLD HIM TO BOOK IT IN EVIDENCE.
11
                SHOWING AN ITEM WE HAVE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S
12
      4 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
13
            A IT APPEARS SIMILAR TO THE WEAPON THAT WAS
14
      RECOVERED. WITHOUT REFERRING TO THE REPORT DOCUMENT,
15
16
      DOCUMENTING THIS INCIDENT, I COULDN'T SAY POSITIVELY THAT
17
      THIS IS THE SAME WEAPON.
18
            Q DID YOU FILL OUT AN EVIDENCE -- OR DID SOMEONE
19
      FILL OUT AN EVIDENCE TAG FOR IT?
20
           А
                 YES.
21
            Q DID YOU, IN THE REPORT, MAKE NOTE OF THE SERIAL
22
     NUMBER OF THE WEAPON?
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
             AND DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT REPORT WITH
25
     YOU?
26
           Α
                YES, I DO.
27
              DO YOU HAVE THE SERIAL NUMBER MEMORIZED?
           Q
28
                 NO, I DO NOT.
```

1	Q COULD YOU CHECK THAT TO CHECK YOUR REPORT?
2	A IT IS LISTED ON PAGE 2 OF THE FIVE-PAGE REPORT.
3	AND THE SERIAL NUMBER MATCHES. IT WOULD BE ONE AND THE
4	SAME AS ON THE WEAPON.
5	Q ALL RIGHT. HAVING CHECKED THE REPORT AND
6	THE SERIAL NUMBER, THAT WEAPON THAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR HAND
7	IS PEOPLE'S 4 IN THE PENALTY PHASE, IN FACT THE SAME WEAPON
8	THAT YOU RECOVERED THAT DAY?
9	A YES, IT IS.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

FO.

OFFICER BRUCE SEALED THE ITEMS.

THERE ARE SOME RED SEALS ON THERE THAT SAY

"EVIDENCE" THAT ARE PLACED ON THERE AT GARDENA, OR WERE THOSE

27

28

IT APPEARS TO BE SOMEBODY'S INITIALS OR MARK.

I AM PLACING BOTH THE LIVE AND EXPENDED SHELL

CASING IN A BROWN BAG BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE.

CAN YOU PLACE THOSE ITEMS BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE?

25

26

27

28

А

Q

Q INCIDENTALLY, DOES THE BROWN BAG HAVE ANY MARKINGS ON IT WHATSOEVER, A NAME OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT? IT DOESN'T APPEAR SO. THANK YOU. YOU CAN PLACE THAT BACK IN THE ENVELOPE Q WOULD YOU ALSO PLACE THAT BOTTOM PORTION THAT YOU CUT OFF BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE. (WITNESS COMPLIES.) AND NOW CAN YOU OPEN THE LARGER ENVELOPE THAT Q IS PART OF PEOPLE'S 5. A ONCE AGAIN, I WILL CUT THE BOTTOM PORTION OR BOTTOM SEAL OF THE ENVELOPE AND I AM REMOVING FROM IT ONE CLIP THAT WOULD APPEAR TO FIT A .30 CALIBER CARBINE.

⁷ · 3

}F

1	Q AND ARE THERE ANY INITIALS ON THERE?
2	A THERE DO NOT APPEAR TO BE ANY INITIALS.
3	Q OKAY. DID YOU PLACE THAT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE?
4	A TAKING THE PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED CLIP AND PLACING
5	IT BACK IN THE ENVELOPE AND ALSO THE PORTION THAT WAS
6	CUT OFF AT THE BOTTOM OF THE ENVELOPE.
7	Q DID YOU RECOVER THE EXPENDED BULLET AND LIVE
8	ROUND FROM THE TRASH CAN OR DID SOMEBODY ELSE DO THAT?
9	A NO. OFFICER BRUCE DID THAT.
10	Q AND WAS THAT IN YOUR PRESENCE?
11	A YES.
12	Q AND WERE YOU AT THE STATION WHEN ALL OF THESE
13	ITEMS WERE BOOKED INTO EVIDENCE?
14	A I DON'T RECALL.
15	Q WHEN YOU WENT INSIDE THE BUSINESS AND RECOVERED
16	THE GUN, WHAT ELSE WAS INSIDE THAT BUSINESS?
17	A LARGE MACHINERY, SOME OFFICE FURNITURE, SOME
18	LARGE PIECES OF WOOD.
19	Q WERE THERE ANY CARS IN THERE THAT YOU WERE
20	ABLE TO SEE?
21	MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, RELEVANCY.
22	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
23	THE WITNESS: I DON'T RECALL.
24	Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU A PICTURE WE HAVE
25	MARKED AS 175 FOR IDENTIFICATION, ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE
26	THE PERSON IN THAT PICTURE?
27	A NO.

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

```
THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS?
 1
            MR. BARENS: YES, SIR.
 2
 3
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
      BY MR. BARENS:
 5
            Q OFFICER PIERCE, WHEN YOU WENT INTO THE BUILDING
 6
      ON THAT OCCASION, APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME WAS IT THAT
 7
      YOU ACTUALLY ENTERED THE PREMISES?
 8
                 PROBABLY VERY CLOSE TO 8:30.
 9
            Q
                 JUST A FEW MOMENTS AFTER YOU ARRIVED?
10
           A MINUTES, MINUTES AFTER.
11
                MINUTES AFTER YOU ARRIVED? AND THIS IS AFTER
            Q
12
      MR. GRAHAM HAD EXITED THE BUILDING?
13
           A YES.
14
15
                AND DID HE APPEAR TO BE GOING ANYWHERE IN
16
      PARTICULAR WHEN HE EXITED THE BUILDING?
17
                HE APPEARED, AS I RECALL -- HE APPEARED TO
18
      BE WALKING TOWARD THE STREET OR --
19
           Q
                HE WASN'T RUNNING, WAS HE?
              VERY NONCHALANT.
20
           А
21
           Q
              CASUAL? .
22
           Α
                 CASUAL.
23
           Q
                WAS HE ARMED?
24
           А
              NO, SIR.
25
                DIDN'T HAVE ANY -- YOU GENTLEMEN, I SUPPOSE
26
     AT SOME POINT OR ANOTHER, SEARCHED HIM OR FRISKED HIM
27
     OR SOMETHING?
28
           A YES.
```

```
Q
                  AND HE WAS NOT ARMED AT ALL, WAS HE?
 1
                  NO.
 2
                  ALL RIGHT. DID HE RESIST YOUR OVERTURE TO
 3
            Q
      SPEAK TO HIM?
 4
 5
                  NOT AT ALL.
                  WAS HE COOPERATIVE WITH YOU?
 6
 7
                  EXTREMELY.
 8
                  HE TOLD YOU, AS I THINK YOU SAID, THAT HE
            Q
 9
      HAD BEEN IN THERE TARGET PRACTICING IN THE BUILDING?
            Α
                  THAT'S WHAT HE STATED.
10
            Q
                  AND YOU ASKED HIM IF YOU COULD GO IN?
11
            Α
                  YES.
12
            Q
                  HE COOPERATED WITH THAT REQUEST?
13
14
            Α
                  YES.
15
                  NOW, ISN'T IT A FACT THAT BEFORE YOU WENT
      IN, HE TOLD YOU RIGHT WHERE YOU COULD FIND THE GUN THAT
16
      HE WAS SHOOTING?
17
18
            А
                  YES.
19
            Q
              ALL RIGHT. WHEN YOU WENT IN, WAS THE GUN
20
      IN PLAIN VIEW?
21
            Α
                  YES.
22
            Q
              HOW WAS IT LOCATED?
23
            Α
                  I AM NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.
24
                  WAS IT LAYING ON A DESK OR WAS IT LYING AGAINST
25
      THE WALL OR HOW WAS IT SITUATED, SIR?
26
                  NO. IT WAS LYING ON TOP OF THE DESK, AS HE
27
      DESCRIBED IT.
28
```

JUST AS HE TOLD YOU YOU WOULD FIND IT?

1	А	YES.
2	Q	WAS THE MAGAZINE AFFIXED TO IT?
3	А	AS I RECALL, YES. IT WAS.
4	Q	AND WERE THERE ANY SHELLS INSIDE THE MAGAZINE?
5	А	AS I RECALL, NO, THERE WAS NOT.
6	Q	IT LOOKED AS THOUGH WHOEVER HAD BEEN FIRING
7	THE GUN	HAD EMPTIED THE MAGAZINE OF SHELLS AND THEN PLACED
8	THE GUN	ON THE DESK?
9	А	YOU COULD SURMISE THAT.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

0 NOW, YOU RETRIEVED SOME EXPENDED CARTRIDGES AT 1 SOME POINT, DID YOU NOT? 2 Α I DID NOT. 3 SOMEONE ELSE DID? 4 YES. Α 5 6 AND DID SOMEONE TELL THEM WHERE YOU COULD FIND THOSE CARTRIDGES? 7 THAT IS POSSIBLE BUT I DON'T -- I DON'T RECALL 8 А SPECIFICALLY. 10 WERE THE CARTRIDGES ALL IN A TRASH CAN? Α YES. 11 12 AS THOUGH SOMEONE HAD GONE AROUND THE OFFICE PREMISES, PICKED UP THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGES, ASSUMING THEY 13 DIDN'T SHOOT THEM OVER THE TRASH CAN SO THAT THEY CONVENIENTLY 14 DROPPED IN, SOMEONE HAD PICKED THEM UP AND PUT THEM IN THE 15 16 TRASH CAN? 17 A IT WOULD APPEAR SO. 18 Q THAT WAS DONE BEFORE YOU ARRIVED? 19 Α APPARENTLY. 20 Q THEY WEREN'T HIDDEN? WERE THEY IN PLAIN VIEW 21 IN THE TRASH CAN? 22 I DIDN'T SEE THEM. I --Α 23 OKAY, NOW DID YOU FIND ANYTHING IN THE BUILDING 24 SUGGESTIVE OF SOMEONE TAKING TARGET PRACTICE? 25 Α A LARGE BLOCK OF WOOD. 26 COULD YOU DESCRIBE THE LARGE BLOCK OF WOOD, SIR. 27 TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, IT WAS A LARGE 28

PIECE OF MACHINED WOOD.

1-1

1	BY MACHINED, I MEAN THAT IT WAS NOT A TREMENDOUS
2	STUMP OR A LIMB BUT IT HAD BEEN CUT FROM A LARGE PIECE OF
3	wood.
4	Q COULD YOU GIVE ME AN APPROXIMATE DESCRIPTION OF
5	THE DIMENSIONS OF THAT PIECE OF WOOD, SIR.
6	A I WOULD SAY APPROXIMATELY 18 INCHES TO 2 FEET
7	IN HEIGHT AND I WOULD SAY 14 TO 18 INCHES IN DEPTH.
8	Q SO IT WOULD BE ROUGHLY RECTANGULAR SHAPED, TWO
9	FEET BY A FOOT AND A HALF, ROUGHLY?
10	A ROUGHLY.
11	Q ALL RIGHT. WAS THAT SITTING ON TOP OF SOMETHING
12	OR WAS IT, PER SE, ON THE FLOOR, SIR?
13	A MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT IT WAS ON THE FLOOR.
14	Q WAS IT SUPPORTED BY ANYTHING?
15	A I BELIEVE IT WAS AGAINST THE SOUTH WALL OF THE
16	BUILDING.
17	Q LAID UP AGAINST THE WALL LIKE WE MIGHT SEE AGAINS
18	THE WALL TO MY RIGHT, SO THAT IF I WERE TARGET PRACTICING
19	WITH IT, I GUESS I WOULD HAVE TO BE AIMING DOWN AT IT, I
20	SUPPOSE.
21	A I SUPPOSE YOU WOULD.
22	Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU FIND ANY EVIDENCE THAT THERE
23	WERE ANY SHOTS THAT HAD BEEN FIRED AT THIS PIECE OF WOOD?
24	A THERE WERE INDENTATIONS IN THE WOOD THAT LED ME
25	TO BELIEVE THAT, YES, THAT THERE WERE SHOTS FIRED INTO THAT
26	PIECE OF WOOD.
27	Q DID YOUR OBSERVATION OF THE SCENE AND THE PIECE

OF WOOD AND WHERE IT WAS LOCATED SEEM TO VERIFY WHAT

```
MR. GRAHAM HAD TOLD YOU HE HAD BEEN DOING IN THERE?
 1
            А
                 YES.
 2
                 IT SEEMED LIKE HE TOLD YOU THE TRUTH?
 3
                 YES.
 4
                ALL RIGHT. DID YOU SEE ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY OTHER
 5
     BULLET HOLES IN THE VICINITY, IN THIS ROOM?
 6
 7
           А
                 NO.
 8
                OKAY. DID YOU OBSERVE HOW MANY MARKS SUGGESTED
     TO YOU, IF IT DID, HOW MANY BULLET SHOTS IN THIS PIECE OF
 9
     WOOD THERE WERE?
10
           A SEVERAL.
11
12
           Q SEVERAL?
                 YOU HAD HEARD THE REPORTS OF SEVERAL SHOTS PRIOR
13
     TO YOUR ENTRY INTO THE PREMISES, HAD YOU NOT?
14
15
           A I HAD HEARD SEVERAL SHOTS.
16
                 IN FACT, YOU ENDED UP FINDING WHAT APPEARED TO
     BE SEVERAL MARKS ON THIS PIECE OF WOOD THAT INDICATES SEVERAL
17
18
     SHOTS.
19
           Α
                 YES.
20
           Q
                 DID YOU SECURE THAT PIECE OF WOOD?
21
           Α
                 NO, SIR.
22
                 YOU JUST LEFT IT AT THE SCENE?
           Q
23
           Α
                 YES.
24
                 WAS THERE ANYONE ELSE IN THE BUILDING WHEN YOU
           Q
25
     ARRIVED?
26
           Α
                 NO.
27
           Q
                 HAVE YOU EVER SEEN JOE HUNT BEFORE?
28
                 NO.
```

1-3

1	Q	ASSUMING THAT WE HAVE JOE HUNT TO MY RIGHT.
2	A	ASSUMING THAT IS MR. HUNT, I HAVE NEVER SEEN HIM
3	BEFORE.	
4	Q	HE WAS DEFINITELY NOT THERE THAT NIGHT?
5	А	DEFINITELY.
6	Q	DID MR. GRAHAM EVER MENTION JOE HUNT TO YOU?
7	А	NO.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	l	
22		
23		
24		
25	ı	
26	ı	
27		
28		

- 4

۱F

```
O HE DIDN'T SAY THAT JOE HUNT HAD ANYTHING TO DO
 1
     WITH HIM TAKING TARGET PRACTICE, DID HE?
 2
           Α
                NO.
 3
                DID HE TELL YOU THAT JOE HUNT WORKED IN THAT
 4
     BUILDING?
 5
          А
                NO.
 6
           Q DID HE EVER TELL YOU JOE HUNT HAD EVER BEEN IN
 7
     THAT BUILDING?
 8
           Α
                NO.
 9
           Q
                OKAY. DID JIM GRAHAM TELL YOU THIS WAS HIS GUN?
10
           Α
                I BELIEVE SO.
11
                HE SAID, "THAT'S MY GUN"?
           Q
12
           Α
                 YES.
13
                 OR WORDS TO THAT EFFECT?
14
           0
           Α
                WORDS TO THAT EFFECT.
15
16
           Q
                 DID YOU EVER CHECK THE REGISTRATION ON THE GUN?
                IT WAS IN FACT, CHECKED. YES.
17
           Α
18
                AND WHO WAS IT REGISTERED TO?
           Q
19
           А
                THERE IS NO REGISTRATION.
20
                OKAY. IT WAS JUST NOBODY'S GUN?
           Q
21
          A IT IS NOT IN FILE WITHIN THE COMPUTER OR IT WAS
22
     NOT AT THAT TIME.
23
           Q OKAY. SO THE ANSWER IS THAT YOU JUST DON'T KNOW
24
     IF IT IS REGISTERED TO ANYONE OR NOT?
25
         A IT IS NOT REGISTERED WITHIN THE STATE OF
26
     CALIFORNIA.
27
           Q OKAY.
28
           Α
                AT THAT TIME.
```

1	Q OKAY. WAS THERE ANY EVIDENCE AT THE SCENE THAT
2	ANY OTHER PERSONS WERE USING THAT BUILDING PREMISES THAT YOU
3	WALKED INTO?
4	A FOR WHAT PURPOSE?
5	Q FOR ANY PURPOSE. COULD YOU SEE ANYBODY ELSE'S
6	DESK OR ANYTHING THAT INDICATED TO YOU THAT OTHER PERSONS
7	WERE UTILIZING THE PREMISES YOU WALKED INTO?
8	A IT WOULD HAVE BEEN CONSISTENT WITH MY OBSERVATIONS
9	THAT MORE THAN ONE INDIVIDUAL ACCESSED THAT BUILDING.
10	Q ALL RIGHT. WAS THERE A LIGHT ON IN THE BUILDING?
11	A YES.
12	Q WAS THE LIGHT ON ALREADY WHEN YOU WALKED IN THERE?
13	A YES.
14	Q WHEN YOU OBSERVED THE GENTLEMAN LEAVE THE BUILDING,
15	HE DIDN'T APPEAR TO SECURE THE BUILDING BEFORE HE EXITED?
16	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
17	Q OKAY. WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR, WHEN YOU WENT BACK
18	IN THERE, DID YOU NEED TO USE A KEY OR REQUIRE ASSISTANCE
19	TO GO THROUGH THE DOOR OR WAS THE DOOR JUST UNLOCKED AND YOU
20	WALKED IN?
21	A I RECALL IT WAS UNLOCKED.
22	Q WAS MR. GRAHAM ARRESTED FOR THIS?
23	A PHYSICALLY OR TECHNICALLY?
24	Q PHYSICALLY, SIR.
25	A NO.
26	Q HE WAS IN FACT, ISSUED A CITATION?
27	A THAT'S CORRECT.
28	Q WAS IT A MISDEMEANOR TYPE CITATION?

1 Α INFRACTION TYPE. 2 NOT EVEN A MISDEMEANOR? AN INFRACTION. FOR THE 3 JURY'S REFERENCE, IF YOU KNOW, DO YOU KNOW THE DIFFERENCE 4 BETWEEN AN INFRACTION AND A MISDEMEANOR? 5 Α YES. 6 Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT THAT IS, SIR? 7 А AN INFRACTION CANNOT CARRY ANY TYPE OF JAIL 8 PENALTY. Q I SEE. AND SO, WHAT YOU DO IS, WRITE HIM UP THIS 10 INFRACTION. IT APPEARS TO BE ON YOUR REPORT. IT LOOKS LIKE 11 BB-102MC? 12 A NO. 13 Q WHATEVER THAT IS? 14 I THINK IF I MIGHT REVIEW THIS REPORT -- I CAN 15 PROBABLY GIVE YOU THE APPROPRIATE SECTION. I BELIEVE AS A 16 MATTER OF FACT, FROM RECALL, I CAN TELL YOU IT IS 3-5.102. 17 THAT IS THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF GARDENA. 18 Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT WE HAVE JUST REFERRED TO, THESE 19 ARE INFRACTION TYPE SETTINGS WHERE YOU DON'T TAKE PEOPLE TO 20 JAIL TYPE OF THINGS? 21 A THAT'S CORRECT. 22 Q ALL RIGHT. AND AN INFRACTION I PRESUME SIR, 23 CARRIES ONLY SOME SORT OF A FINE? 24 Α YES. 25 Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE FINE IS FOR THIS TYPE OF 26 THING? 27 A I HAVE NO IDEA. 28 Q ALL RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE MAXIMUM BAD THING

```
IS THAT COULD HAPPEN TO YOU FOR DOING THIS?
 1
          A THE MAXIMUM BAD THING I WOULD IMAGINE IS THE
 2
     RELEASE OF SOME MONETARY VALUE OF YOUR OWN. THE UPWARD
 3
    MAXIMUM, I HAVE NO IDEA.
 4
          Q PROBABLY NOT THE DEATH PENALTY? THANK YOU, SIR.
 5
          MR. WAPNER: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
 6
 7
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
          MR. WAPNER: I WOULD LIKE TO CALL DETECTIVE ZOELLER,
 8
     JUST BRIEFLY.
9
10
                          LESLIE H. ZOELLER,
11
    RECALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY
12
13
    SWORN, TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:
14
15
                      FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
    BY MR. WAPNER:
17
          Q DO YOU WANT TO STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD?
18
         А
               LESLIE H. ZOELLER, Z-O-E-L-L-E-R.
19
          Q DETECTIVE ZOELLER, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR WORK
20
    AS THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER IN THIS CASE, DID YOU BECOME
21
    FAMILIAR WITH A BUSINESS KNOWN AS WESTCARS OF NORTH AMERICA
22
    AND MICROGENESIS OF NORTH AMERICA?
23
          A YES.
24
          Q DID YOU KNOW THAT THEY HAD A WAREHOUSE IN THE
25
    CITY OF GARDENA?
26
         A YES I DID.
27
          Q DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION, DID YOU
28
    EVER HAVE OCCASION TO GO DOWN THERE?
```

1	A I DID.
2	Q WHAT IS THE ADDRESS OF THE WAREHOUSE?
3	A 1518 WEST 132ND STREET, GARDENA.
4	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.
6	DO YOU WANT TO FURNISH THE INFORMATION CONTAINED
7	IN THE NOTE FROM ONE OF THE JURORS?
8	MR. WAPNER: I WAS JUST ABOUT TO, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
10	MR. WAPNER: WE CALL ROBERT HAWKINS.
11	
12	ROBERT HAWKINS,
13	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED
14	AS FOLLOWS:
15	THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU
16	MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL
17	BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO
18	HELP YOU GOD?
19	THE WITNESS: I DO.
20	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL
21	YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
22	THE WITNESS: ROBERT HAWKINS, H-A-W-K-I-N-S.
23	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. WAPNER:
26	Q MR. HAWKINS, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
27	A I AM EMPLOYED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
28	DEPARTMENT.

ł	Q AND WHAT DO FOR THEM?
2	A I AM A DEPUTY SHERIFF, FIREARMS EXAMINER ASSIGNED
3	TO THE SCIENTIFIC SERVICE BUREAU.
4	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WORKING WITH THE FIREARMS
5	BUREAU?
6	A AS A FIREARMS AND TOOL MARKS EXAMINER, I HAVE
7	BEEN ASSIGNED A LITTLE OVER 11 YEARS TO THE CRIME LABORATORY.
8	Q WHAT IS A FIREARMS AND TOOL MARK EXAMINER?
9	A A FIREARMS AND TOOL MARK EXAMINER IS A PERSON
10	WHO EXAMINES FIREARMS AND TOOL MARK EVIDENCE AND RENDERS
11	OPINIONS ON THAT EVIDENCE AND THEN TESTIFIES AS TO HIS
12	OPINIONS.
13	Q AND YOU HAVE BEEN DOING THAT FOR SOME 11 YEARS?
14	A A LITTLE OVER 11 YEARS, YES.
15	Q CAN YOU RELATE TO THE JURY BRIEFLY YOUR QUALIFI-
16	CATIONS TO SERVE IN THAT CAPACITY?
17	A FROM 1968 TO 1971, I SERVED AS A FIREARMS
18	INSTRUCTOR WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S TRAINING
19	ACADEMY.
20	FROM 1970 TO THE PRESENT DATE, I HAVE SERVED
21	AS A FIREARMS INSTRUCTOR WITH PASADENA CITY COLLEGE.
22	I DO HAVE A STATE OF CALIFORNIA TEACHING CREDENTIA
23	AND THE SUBJECT I TEACH IS FIREARMS, TOOL MARKS AND TEAR
24	GAS.
25	I AM A MEMBER OF THE ASSOCIATION OF FIREARMS
26	AND TOOL MARK EXAMINERS, WHICH IS A WORLDWIDE ORGANIZATION.
27	I HOLD THE DISTINGUISHED MEMBERSHIP IN THAT ORGANIZATION.

I DO ATTEND SEMINARS AND I GIVE PAPERS AT

THE ORGANIZATION MEETINGS.

I HAVE ATTENDED THE F.B.I. ACADEMY ON TWO
SEPARATE OCCASIONS AND THE SUBJECTS TAUGHT AT THE ACADEMY
WHEN I ATTENDED WERE DISTANCE DETERMINATION, POWDER PATTERNING
AND CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION.

I ALSO HAVE ATTENDED THE SMITH AND WESSON

ACADEMY IN SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS, THE ARMORY SCHOOL

THERE. I HAVE TOURED THE SMITH AND WESSON FACTORY AND

OTHER FACTORIES THAT MANUFACTURE FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION

COMPONENTS.

I HAVE TESTIFIED AS A FIREARMS EXAMINER AS AN EXPERT ON THE STAND 300 TIMES NOW AND I HAVE SERVED AS A DEPUTY SHERIFF FOR 28 YEARS.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA OF APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY ITEMS YOU HAVE LOOKED AT TO EXAMINE THEM FOR TOOL MARKS AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE?

A I BELIEVE I SPOKE WITH YOU A COUPLE OF HOURS AGO, I DID CHECK AND AS OF LAST DECEMBER, THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF EXAMINATIONS I PERFORMED WERE APPROXIMATELY 13,000 CARTRIDGE CASE EXAMINATIONS.

I BELIEVE THERE WERE A LITTLE OVER 20,000 FIREARMS I HAVE EXAMINED AND APPROXIMATELY 11,500 BULLET EXAMINATIONS.

Q WHEN YOU DO THESE EXAMINATIONS, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF DOING THESE EXAMINATIONS, FIREARM AND TOOL MARK EXAMINATIONS?

A WELL, THE PURPOSE OF EXAMINING FIREARMS IS

FOR MANY REASONS: THE FUNCTION OF THE FIREARM, THE DETERMINATION

AS TO THE SAFE HANDLING OF THE FIREARM, THE SAFETIES, AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

AS FAR AS BULLET AND CARTRIDGE CASE EXAMINATION,
NORMALLY, WHAT WE ARE CONCERNED WITH IS DETERMINING WHETHER
A PARTICULAR CARTRIDGE CASE OR A PARTICULAR BULLET WAS
FIRED IN A PARTICULAR FIREARM, THEN WE RENDER THE OPINION,
WAS IT FIRED IN THAT FIREARM, WAS IT NOT FIRED IN THAT
FIREARM OR CAN WE GIVE A POSITIVE OPINION.

5 , _

1	Q IN THIS CASE, DID YOU EXAMINE SOME EXPENDED
2	SHELL CASINGS AND A PARTICULAR FIREARM TO SEE IF THOSE
3	CASINGS HAD IN FACT BEEN FIRED FROM THE SAME FIREARM?
4	A YES, I DID.
5	Q WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
6	A THE 8TH, WHICH WAS FRIDAY, THE 8TH OF MAY
7	OF THIS YEAR.
8	Q I WANT TO SHOW YOU THREE EXHIBITS THAT ARE
9	LABELED MAYBE I WILL AND MAYBE I WON'T THEY ARE
10	LABELED 3, 4 AND 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION, AND ASK IF YOU
11	RECOGNIZE THESE.
12	A I EXAMINED PEOPLE'S 4 AND PEOPLE'S 5, I AM
13	REMOVING THE TWO ITEMS FROM THE ENVELOPE. I DID EXAMINE
14	THEM.
15	AND PEOPLE'S, ALSO LABELED PEOPLE'S 5, THE
16	MAGAZINE WAS EXAMINED.
17	AND PEOPLE'S 3, THE ENVELOPE CONTAINING MY
18	WRITING, I DID EXAMINE AND I CAN OPEN UP THE PAPER BAG
19	AND EXPLAIN WHAT IS IN INSIDE.
20	Q OKAY.
21	A INSIDE THE BAG, PEOPLE'S 3, ARE 11 .30 CARBINE
22	CALIBER EXPENDED CARTRIDGES, WHICH I DID EXAMINE, AND
23	AN ENVELOPE WHICH STILL HAS MY SEAL, WHICH CONTAINS LEAD
24	FRAGMENTS, WHICH I DID EXAMINE.
25	Q FIRST OF ALL, THE TWO ENVELOPES THAT ARE PEOPLE'S 3
26	AND I GUESS BOTH OF THE ENVELOPES THAT ARE PEOPLE'S 5,
27	HAVE RED SEALS ON THE BACK OF THEM.

A THAT IS CORRECT.

1	Ψ.	DO 100 KNOW HOW THEY GOT THERE?
2	А	I PUT THEM ON THERE.
3	Q	WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
4	А	THE 8TH OF MAY, FRIDAY.
5	Q	AND WHEN YOU RECEIVED EACH OF THOSE ENVELOPES,
6	DID THEY HA	VE ANY TYPE OF RED SEAL ON THEM?
7	· A	NOT THIS EVIDENCE SEAL, NO.
8	Q	WERE THEY SEALED IN SOME FASHION?
9	AS	NOT WHEN I RECEIVED THEM, NO.
10	Q	THERE ARE TWO ITEMS IN THE SMALLER ENVELOPE
11	THAT IS PAR	T OF PEOPLE'S 5, ONE IS AN EXPENDED SHELL CASING
12	AND ONE IS	A LIVE ROUND.
13	А	I WILL REMOVE THEM FROM THE ENVELOPE, YES.
14		ONE IS A ROUND OF AMMUNITION AND ONE IS AN
15	EXPENDED CA	RTRIDGE CASE.
16	Q	DO THEY HAVE SOME INITIALS ON THERE?
17	А	YES.
18	Q	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THEM?
19	А	YES.
20	Q	WHOSE INITIALS ARE THEY?
21	А	THOSE ARE MINE.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

26

27

28

TYPE OF AMMUNITION TO USE?

A I SELECTED REMINGTON PETERS AND WINCHESTER, SINCE
I HAD NO P.S.82 HEAD STAMP TO TEST FIRE.

Q WHERE DID YOU GET THE AMMUNITION TO TEST FIRE
WITH?

A IN THE LAB, WE HAVE AN INVENTORY OF AMMUNITION
FOR TEST FIRING. AND I USED THE AMMUNITION IN THE INVENTORY.

AND AFTER YOU GOT THIS INVENTORY OF AMMUNITION, 1 DID YOU TEST FIRE THE GUN? 2 3 YES I DID. Q HOW WAS THAT DONE? 4 5 I USED LIKE I SAY, TWO ROUNDS OF AMMUNITION. ONE WAS THE WINCHESTER. THE OTHER ONE WAS THE REMINGTON PETERS. 6 7 I TOOK THE RIFLE IN THE TEST FIRING ROOM. I FIRED ONE ROUND INTO THE COLLECTOR TO RECOVER A CARTRIDGE CASE. 8 I THEN FIRED THE OTHER ROUND INTO THE WATER 9 RECOVERY TANK TO RECOVER A BULLET FROM THE FIREARM. 10 WHEN YOU SAY "RECOVER A BULLET," COULD YOU HOLD 11 .UP THE LIVE ROUND AND JUST EXPLAIN TO US BRIEFLY WHAT THE 12 DIFFERENCE IS BETWEEN RECOVERING A CARTRIDGE CASE AND 13 RECOVERING THE BULLET? 14 A A ROUND OF AMMUNITION IS THE ENTIRE BULLET 15 16 CARTRIDGE CASE, POWDER AND PRIMER. 17 ONCE IT IS FIRED, THE BULLET PORTION WHICH IS 18 THE TOP PORTION HERE, LEAVES THE CARTRIDGE CASE AND OF COURSE. 19 EXITS THE GUN THROUGH THE MUZZLE. 20 THEN WHAT REMAINS IS THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASE 21 AND WHEN I RECOVER A BULLET, I FIRED THE BULLET INTO THE WATER 22 TO RECOVER IT UNDAMAGED. 23 Q WERE YOU ABLE TO MAKE ANY COMPARISON BETWEEN THE 24 BULLET THAT YOU FIRED AND ANYTHING THAT YOU HAD IN FRONT OF 25 YOU IN PEOPLE'S 3 OR 5? 26 A THE BULLET, NO. 27 Q WHY NOT? 28

THE ONLY THING THAT I COULD FIND THAT CLOSELY

RESEMBLED THE BULLET, WAS LEAD FRAGMENTS. 1 AND IT APPEARED TO ME TO BE JUST FRAGMENTS OF 2 3 A ROUND OF AMMUNITION, OF A BULLET. BUT THERE WAS NO OUTSIDE MATERIAL OR JACKET MATERIAL TO BE COMPARED AT ALL. 5 Q AND THE TWO EXPENDED SHELL CASINGS THAT YOU RECOVERED FROM TEST FIRING THE RIFLE, WHAT DID YOU DO WITH 6 7 THOSE? 8 A I PLACED THEM IN THE LAB ENVELOPE FOR TEST FIRES AND KEPT THEM AT MY DESK AND USED THEM FOR COMPARISON. 9 10 WHAT DID YOU COMPARE THEM TO? 11 A I COMPARED THEM TO THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASE 12 IN PEOPLE'S 5 AND THE 11 EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASES IN PEOPLE'S 3. 13 Q AND DID YOU FORM AN OPINION AFTER MAKING THAT 14 COMPARISON? 15 A I DID. 16 0 WHAT WAS THAT OPINION? 17 IN MY OPINION, THE 11 CARTRIDGE CASES FROM 18 PEOPLE'S 3, WERE FIRED IN THE .30 CALIBER CARBINE PLAINFIELD 19 RIFLE WHICH I LABELED ITEM 1 WHICH WAS SUBMITTED TO ME ON 20 THIS CASE. 21 ALSO MY OPINION IS THAT THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGE 22 CASE FROM PEOPLE'S 5 WAS FIRED IN THE .30 CARBINE CALIBER 23 PLAINFIELD RIFLE THAT I HAD LABELED PEOPLE'S 1, WHICH IS IN 24 FACT, PEOPLE'S 4 IN THIS MATTER. WHICH I HAD LABELED 25 PEOPLE'S 1, WHICH IS PEOPLE'S 4. 26 Q AND ON WHAT IS YOUR OPINION BASED? 27 A MY OPINION IS BASED ON THE FACT THAT ON THE TEST

FIRED EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASE WHICH WERE THE KNOWN SAMPLES

1	FROM THE FIREARM, I COULD OBSERVE NUMEROUS MARKS ON BOTH THE
2	HEAD OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE AND THE RIM OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE
3	WHICH IN MY OPINION, WERE INDIVIDUAL TO THAT PARTICULAR
4	FIREARM.
5	THESE MARKS REPEATED ON BOTH TEST FIRES TO A GREAT
6	DEGREE, ALTHOUGH NOT EXACTLY. AND THESE MARKS WERE FOUND
7	ON EACH OF THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASES, THE 11 FROM PEOPLE'S 3
8	AND THE ONE FROM PEOPLE'S 5.
9	AND THEY DID REPEAT TO A DEGREE THAT I FELT THEY
10	COULD HAVE BEEN FIRED IN THIS PARTICULAR FIREARM, PEOPLE'S 4
11	AND NO OTHER FIREARM.
12	Q AND NO OTHER FIREARM AT ALL?
13	A NO OTHER FIREARM AT ALL.
14	Q WOULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US HOW IT IS WELL, WHAT
15	IS ON THE EXPENDED SHELL CASINGS THAT YOU USED, AS A BASIS
16	FOR COMPARISON? ARE THERE MARKS OF SOME SORT ON THERE?
17	A YES.
18	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US HOW IT IS THAT THOSE MARKS
19	COME TO BE LEFT ON THOSE CASINGS?
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ВF

Q ALL RIGHT. WHEN A ROUND OF AMMUNITION IS

FIRED IN A FIREARM, THIS ROUND IS PLACED INTO THE CHAMBER

OF THE FIREARM. AND IT IS HELD INTO THE CHAMBER OF THE

FIREARM BY A BOLT AT THE BASE OF THIS CARTRIDGE CASE.

SO WHAT HAPPENS IS A FIRING PIN STRIKES THE IGNITER OR

THE PRIMER AT THE BASE OF THIS ROUND OF AMMUNITION LOCATED

AT THE BACK. NOW, THIS FIRING PIN STRIKES IT AND CRUSHES

THE MATERIAL IN IT AND CAUSES IT TO BURN. THE BURNING

THEN SENDS FLAME INTO THE POWDER INSIDE THE CARTRIDGE

CASE.

THIS POWDER BURNS AND CREATES TREMENDOUS PRESSURE.

THE PRESSURE BUILDS UP IN THIS CASE TO SOMEWHERES BETWEEN

25- TO 50,000 POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH, NOT QUITE 50,000

POUNDS BUT SOMEPLACE IN BETWEEN THERE.

THIS PRESSURE IS IN ALL DIRECTIONS INSIDE
THE CHAMBER. IN OTHER WORDS, THE PRESSURE IS PUSHING
BACK, SIDEWAYS, FORWARD, EVERYWHERE.

IT CAUSES THE BULLET WHICH FOLLOWS THE PATH
OF LEAST RESISTANCE, DOWN THE BORE. SO IT SPEEDS THE
BULLET OUT BECAUSE THERE IS A WAY FOR THE PRESSURE TO
BE RELEASED DOWN THE BORE.

THE PRESSURE THROUGHOUT THE CHAMBER CAUSES

THE CARTRIDGE CASE TO BE FORMED AGAINST THE WALLS AND

AGAINST THE BOLT FACE THAT IS HOLDING IT IN.

NOW, WHAT HAPPENS IS SOMETHING LIKE CREATING A COIN. WHEN YOU STAMP SOMETHING, YOU CREATE AN IMAGE ONTO THE SURFACE YOU STAMP.

THIS PRESSURE CAUSES THE REVERSE IMAGE OF

22B-2

FO.

ANY IMPERFECTION IN THE CHAMBER OR ON THE BOLT FACE TO BE PRESSED INTO THE CARTRIDGE CASE.

NOW, AT THE SAME TIME, AS THE BULLET LEAVES
THE CHAMBER AND GOES DOWN THE BARREL TOWARD THE MUZZLE,
THERE IS A LITTLE HOLE IN THE BOTTOM OF THE BARREL WHERE
THIS TREMENDOUS PRESSURE SQUIRTS DOWN INTO THE HOLE AND
DRIVES A PISTON BACKWARDS WHICH KNOCKS THIS BOLT BACK.

SIMPLY STATED, THERE IS A LITTLE MORE TO IT
THAN THAT BUT IT DRIVES THE BOLT BACKWARDS.

AND THIS ACTION THEN WORKS ON REMOVING THE CARTRIDGE CASE FROM THE CHAMBER BECAUSE THERE IS A LITTLE CLAW OR A LITTLE HOOK, AN EXTRACTOR AS IT IS CALLED, THAT HOLDS ON TO THE RIM OF THIS CARTRIDGE CASE RIGHT AT THE BASE. THERE IS A LITTLE RIM AT THE BASE. THIS EXTRACTOR IS PART OF THE BOLT AND WHEN THE PISTON DRIVES THE BOLT BACKWARDS, IT GRABS THIS CARTRIDGE CASE AND DRAGS IT OUT OF THE CHAMBER.

AS IT DRAGS IT OUT, IT STRIKES ANOTHER PIECE 1 OF THE FIREARM WHICH IS STATIONARY, WHICH IS CALLED AN 2 INJECTOR, AND IT STRIKES THE RIM OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE 3 AND THROWS IT OUT OF THE FIREARM. 4 NOW, ALL OF THESE ACTIONS MARK THE CARTRIDGE 5 CASE. THE EXTRACTOR MARKS IT. THE EJECTOR MARKS IT. 6 ANY IMPERFECTION IN THE BOLT FACE MARKS IT. ANY IMPERFECTION 7 INSIDE THE CHAMBER AS IT IS BEING DRAGGED OUT, MARKS IT, 8 PLUS AS IT IS COMING OUT, THE BOLT TURNS AND AS IT TURNS, 9 IF THERE IS ANY IMPERFECTION ON THE RIM WHERE THE EXTRACTOR 10 IS, IT CAUSES MARKS ON THE RIM OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE. 11 12 SO ALL OF THESE ACTIONS AND ALL OF THESE PRESSURES CAUSE MARKS ON A CARTRIDGE CASE WHICH CAN BE REPEATED. 13 I SAY "CAN BE" BECAUSE NOTHING IS NECESSARILY 14 ALWAYS REPEATED. 15 16 BUT IN THIS CASE, THE REPETITION WAS SUCH THAT I COULD FIND MARKS ON EACH EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASE 17 18 THAT CORRESPONDED TO MARKS FOUND ON THE TEST FIRING. 19 IS EACH GUN AND EACH -- THE PART OF EACH GUN Q 20 IN TERMS OF THE MARKS IT MAY HAVE ON IT, UNIQUE? 21 Α YES. 22 MR. CHIER: THERE IS NO FOUNDATION FOR THAT, YOUR 23 HONOR. 24 THE COURT: OBJECTION OVERRULED. 25 MR. CHIER: HE DIDN'T TESTIFY TO ANOTHER GUN LIKE 26 THIS. HE CAN'T SAY THAT. 27 THE COURT: OVERRULED. GO AHEAD.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU WANT TO EXPLAIN THE

BASIS FOR THAT?

A YES.

IN THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS OF FIREARMS,

EACH PART IS MANUFACTURED AND EACH PART IS MANUFACTURED

BY A TOOL AND THIS TOOL, ALTHOUGH IT CREATES ONE PART

AFTER THE OTHER, THE TOOL ITSELF WEARS DOWN AND THE METAL

THAT IT IS WORKING ON RESISTS IN DIFFERENT WAYS SO YOU

GET SOME CHATTERING, YOU GET SOME INDIVIDUALITY IN THE

MARKS CAUSED BY THESE TOOLS UNDER MICROSCOPIC OBSERVATION,

NOT NECESSARILY SEEN BY THE NAKED EYE.

ALSO, SOME PARTS ARE FITTED AND SOME PARTS

ARE FILED AND SOME PARTS ARE DAMAGED AND SOME PARTS GET

WORN AND AS TIME GOES ON, SOME OF THE ORIGINAL MARKS ON

THE SURFACES WEAR AWAY BUT NEW MARKS ARE ADDED BY EITHER

WEAR OR ABUSE OR USE OR RUST OR WHATEVER WE HAVE.

SO THAT A FIREARM, IN ESSENCE, LOSES A LITTLE INDIVIDUALITY AS THE YEARS GO ON AND USE GOES ON BUT IT GAINS INDIVIDUALITY AND THESE INDIVIDUAL MARKS ARE WHAT WE LOOK FOR.

ALSO, THE FIRING PIN RIDES IN A HOLE DOWN
THE CENTER OF THE BOLT AND THE BOLT IS DRILLED AND I FIND
THAT MANY TIMES IN DRILLING -- IN FACT, MOST TIMES IN
DRILLING, THE DRILLING BREAKS THROUGH AT THE END AND LEAVES
A LITTLE BIT OF A BROKEN AREA OR AN UNEVEN AREA RIGHT
AT THE FIRING PIN HOLE OPENING AND UNDER PRESSURE, THE
FLOW OF THE BRASS INTO THIS HOLE CREATES AN INDIVIDUAL
FACE, SO TO SPEAK, ON THE CARTRIDGE CASE HEAD.

Q WHEN YOU EXAMINED THE BULLET, THE EXPENDED

A FO.

SHELL CASING IN THIS CASE, WHAT WERE THE MARKS OR POINTS

OF SIMILARITY THAT YOU USED TO FORM THE OPINION THEY CAME

FROM THIS GUN?

A FIRST OF ALL, I OBSERVED THE BREECH OR THE BREECH FACE IMPRESSION, WHICH IS THE BOLT FACE, THE AREA AROUND THE FIRING PIN ON THE PRIMER.

Q THE BREECH FACE IS WHAT YOU DESCRIBED BEFORE
AS HOLDING ACTUALLY THE BULLET IN?

A YES. THE BREECH FACE OR THE BOLT FACE, THAT IS THE PART THAT IS HOLDING THE CARTRIDGE CASE IN.

Q IS THAT PART OR PARTS WITH THIS STAMPING EFFECT 1 YOU DESCRIBED? 2 YES. 3 Q WHEN YOU EXAMINED THAT, WHAT DID YOU FIND? 4 I EXAMINED THAT AND I FOUND IRREGULARITIES AROUND 5 THE FIRING PIN IMPRESSION. THE FIRING PIN LEAVES GENERALLY 6 A ROUNDED IMPRESSION. AROUND THAT, I FOUND IRREGULARITIES 7 THAT FORMED INTO THE FIRING PIN HOLE. I FOUND THIS 8 IRREGULARITY OR THIS FACE, AS I CALL IT, REPEATED, ALTHOUGH 9 NOT EXACTLY BUT THE FORM REPEATED ON EACH CARTRIDGE CASE. 10 I FOUND SEVERAL --11 THE COURT: MAY I INTERRUPT? I THINK WE HAVE A SMALL 12 EMERGENCY. WE WILL TAKE A 15-MINUTE RECESS AT THIS TIME. 13 14 THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL TAKE A 15-MINUTE RECESS, 15 16 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. 17 MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH? 18 THE COURT: YES. 19 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 20 AT THE BENCH:) 21 THE COURT: THE JUROR HAS A BELLYACHE. 22 MR. BARENS: OH, IT IS THE MAN. 23 MR. WAPNER: THAT IS THE ONLY REASON I WANTED TO 24 APPROACH WAS TO SEE THAT NOTE. 25 MR. BARENS: YOU KNOW, I JUST DID NOT THINK. I DID 26 TEAR IT. I DIDN'T MEAN TO DO THAT. 27 THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED THAT, DO WE?

MR. WAPNER: IT IS UP TO THE COURT.

 $\sqrt{-1}$

THE COURT: I DON'T THINK SO. 1 2 MR. WAPNER: I JUST WANTED TO KNOW THE REASON. 3 MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A MATTER. 4 THE COURT: YES. 5 MR. CHIER: I ANTICIPATE THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO PUT 6 MR. SWARTOUT ON TODAY. 7 MR. WAPNER: YES. MR. CHIER: I HAVE A MOTION IN THE NATURE OF A MOTION 8 9 IN LIMINE TO PROHIBIT THE TESTIMONY OF MR. SWARTOUT OR ANY OF THE POLICE OFFICERS THAT INTERVIEWED MR. SWARTOUT, UNTIL 10 THERE HAS BEEN SOME PRIMA FACIE SHOWING BY INDEPENDENT 11 12 EVIDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE CONSPIRACY BETWEEN 13 MR. PITTMAN AND MR. HUNT, EITHER THE CONSPIRACY ALLEGED IN 14 THE INFORMATION CONCERNING MR. LEVIN, OR IF IT BE A DIFFERENT 15 CONSPIRACY THAT THE PEOPLE CLAIM, PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF 16 THAT CONSPIRACY, WHICH I THINK WOULD BE A PRECURSOR TO THE 17 RECEIPT OF THIS EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR. 18 IN GOING THROUGH THE MATERIALS PROVIDED US BY 19 MR. WAPNER, THERE APPEARS TO BE ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE WHICH 20 21 22 23 ACTION BETWEEN HIM AND MR. HUNT. 24 25 26

WOULD SUGGEST -- NO ADMISSIBLE INDEPENDENT EVIDENCE WHICH WOULD SUGGEST THAT ANYTHING MR. PITTMAN DID WITH RESPECT TO MR. SWARTOUT WAS THE RESULT OF ANY JOINT ACTION, CONCERTED SECOND OF ALL, THERE DOES NOT EVEN APPEAR TO BE SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE THAT MR. PITTMAN WAS IN FACT THE PERSON WHO THREW THIS INERT LIQUID ON MR. SWARTOUT, EXCEPT FOR AN ALLEGED STATEMENT MADE BY MR. PITTMAN TO MR. GENE BROWNING, WHO TESTIFIED EARLIER.

27

COMING THIS AFTERNOON, UNLESS THERE IS SOME JUDICIAL INTERVENTION, IS THE TESTIMONY ABOUT DOUBLE OR TRIPLE HEARSAY WHICH IS NOW GOING TO BE ASCRIBED TO MR. HUNT IN A SITUATION WHERE THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO EVIDENCE THAT I AM AWARE OF, THAT MR. PITTMAN AND MR. HUNT CONSPIRED FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, EITHER TO EXTORT MR. SWARTOUT OR TO SCARE HIM OR FOR SOME ILLEGAL PURPOSE AND THAT THERE WAS AN OVERT ACT COMMITTED PURSUANT TO THIS CONSPIRACY BY MR. PITTMAN.

MR. BARENS: MR. HUNT.

MR. CHIER: NO. THAT MR. PITTMAN -- FIRST, THERE WAS A CONSPIRACY AND THERE IS NO EVIDENCE AS TO WHAT THE CONSPIRACY WAS, WHO THE MEMBERS WERE OR WHAT THE OVERT ACTS WERE AND WHAT THE OBJECT WAS, YOUR HONOR. IT IS JUST SOMETHING --

MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE TO SEQUENCE THAT FIRST.

THE COURT: WELL, LET'S HEAR WHAT THE D.A. SAYS.

MR. WAPNER: IT IS NOT THAT COMPLICATED. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE A CONSPIRACY BECAUSE IN THIS CASE, THERE WAS A STATEMENT BY MR. HUNT TO MR. TAGLIANETTI, ONE SAYING THAT HE HAD SENT MR. PITTMAN DOWN THERE AND TWO, THAT --

THE COURT: TO SEE SWARTOUT, YOU MEAN?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

THE COURT: AND DO WHAT?

MR. WAPNER: THROW THIS STUFF ON HIM. I AM NOT SURE WHETHER HE SAID TO KILL HIM BUT ALSO HE TOLD MR. TAGLIANETTI THAT HE HAD A HIT LIST. HE SHOWED IT TO HIM. MR. SWARTOUT WAS ON IT.

```
IT IS NOT A STATEMENT BY MR. PITTMAN. IT IS A
 1
     STATEMENT BY MR. HUNT TO MR. TAGLIANETTI.
 2
           THE COURT: YOU WILL HAVE MR. TAGLIANETTI TESTIFY FIRST?
 3
          MR. WAPNER: YES.
 4
          THE COURT: HE IS GOING TO TESTIFY WITH RESPECT TO THIS
 5
    PARTICULAR INCIDENT?
 6
          MR. WAPNER: YES. BUT HE IS NOT HERE TODAY. I MEAN,
 7
     IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A HEARING AND HAVE MR. TAGLIANETTI TESTIFY
8
    FIRST, I CAN'T HAVE HIM HERE TODAY.
9
          MR. CHIER: COULD I --
10
          THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T THINK THAT -- YOU ARE TELLING
11
    US WHAT HE IS GOING TO TESTIFY TO AND YOU WILL TIE IT UP?
12
13
          MR. WAPNER: RIGHT.
          MR. CHIER: I DON'T THINK IT TAKES CARE OF THE PROBLEM.
14
15
    THE PROBLEM IS THAT THERE IS NOT EVEN SLIGHT INDEPENDENT
    EVIDENCE, EXCEPT EXCLUSIVE OF THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS OF
16
    THE EXISTENCE OF A CONSPIRACY. ABSENT THAT, THERE HAS TO
17
18
    BE --
19
          THE COURT: IF WHAT THE D.A. SAYS HUNT TOLD TAGLIANETTI --
    THAT HE WAS GOING TO SEND PITTMAN DOWN THERE AND HE HAD A
20
21
    HIT LIST. ONE OF THE NAMES ON THE HIT LIST WAS MR. SWARTOUT.
22
    HE IS SENDING PITTMAN DOWN TO DO HIM IN OR SOMETHING LIKE
23
    THAT.
24
                AND THEN HE ALSO FOLLOWS THAT UP. WHAT IS WRONG
25
    WITH THAT?
26
         MR. CHIER: BECAUSE THAT IS --
27
          THE COURT: IT IS THE VERY DEFENDANT HIMSELF WHO SAID
28
```

THAT.

MR. CHIER: BEFORE YOU CAN ADMIT THE STATEMENTS YOUR 1 HONOR, THERE HAS TO BE SOME -- AS PART OF A CONSPIRACY, THERE 2 HAS TO BE SOME INDEPENDENT SHOWING THAT THERE IS A CONSPIRACY. 3 YOU CANNOT PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF A CONSPIRACY BY THE 4 STATEMENTS THEMSELVES. 5 MR. BARENS: IT IS HEARSAY AND --6 MR. WAPNER: THE CONSPIRACY DOESN'T NECESSARILY HAVE 7 TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS. IF A CRIME IS COMMITTED 8 AND THE WITNESS TESTIFIES THAT I AM THE VICTIM AND SOMEBODY SHOT AT ME, I NEVER SAW THIS PERSON BUT SHOTS WERE FIRED. 10 THEN THE NEXT WITNESS COMES IN AND SAYS, "I FIRED 11 THE SHOTS," OR, "I TOLD SOMEONE TO FIRE THE SHOTS," IT IS 12 NOT ADMISSIBLE? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TELLING ME? 13 MR. CHIER: YOU CAN'T PROVE IT WITH --14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I THINK THERE WILL BE A 15 SUFFICIENT NEXUS BETWEEN THE --16 MR. CHIER: EXCUSE ME. THERE IS NO CRIME HERE, JUDGE. 17 THE COURT: DO YOU MEAN IT IS NOT A CRIME TO THROW SOME 18 LIQUID OR SOME SUBSTANCE AT SOMEBODY WHICH CAUSES A BURNING 19 20 SENSATION? I THINK HE CAN GET THAT IN. 21 (RECESS.) 22 23 24 25 26 27

F

THE COURT: MRS. MICKELL, MY STAFF AND I WANT TO WISH YOU A HAPPY BIRTHDAY.

MS. MICKELL: THANK YOU. NO NUMBERS.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. HAWKINS, CAN YOU EXPLAIN
TO US THE MARKS ON THE EXPENDED CASINGS AND THE TESTFIRED BULLETS THAT INDICATED TO YOU THAT THEY WERE FIRED
FROM THE SAME WEAPON AND NO OTHER?

MR. CHIER: I AM GOING TO OBJECT ON PERHAPS THE BEST EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THINGS THAT ARE NOT LIKE WRITINGS BUT THEY ARE SYMBOLS BUT THEY ARE SUBSTITUTES FOR WRITINGS AND WE ARE HAVING SECONDARY EVIDENCE OF THESE THINGS.

MR. WAPNER: FIRST OF ALL, THE BEST EVIDENCE RULE HAS TO DO WITH HAVING THE THINGS, THE ORIGINALS HERE, AND THEY ARE HERE.

AND SECOND OF ALL, THE PURPOSE OF THAT IS

SO THAT THE JURY CAN LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT OR THE WRITING

AND THEY CAN KNOW. IN THIS CASE, SINCE IT IS ALL MICROSCOPIC,

THEY CAN LOOK AT THESE AND THEY WON'T HAVE A CLUE AS TO

WHAT IS GOING ON.

THIS WAY, YOU HAVE SOMEONE TO EXPLAIN IT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU MAY ANSWER THE QUESTION.

DO YOU WANT TO HAVE IT READ AGAIN?

THE WITNESS: NO, I KNOW THE QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.

I BELIEVE IT WAS EXPLAINING WHAT I SAW ON

THE CARTRIDGE CASE HEAD AREA AND I DESCRIBED IT AS A FACE,

THE BEST WAY TO DESCRIBE IT, AND THAT IS THE AREA AROUND

THE FIRING PIN IMPRESSION, THAT CONSISTED OF AN IRREGULAR

1 SI

SHAPE AND IN MY OPINION, THIS IRREGULAR SHAPE WAS CAUSED BY THE IRREGULAR HOLE THAT THE FIRING PIN RODE IN WHEN IT STRUCK THE PRIMER.

NOW, THIS IRREGULAR SHAPE HAD SEVERAL DISTINCT
FEATURES ABOUT IT, WHICH WERE REPEATED ON EACH CARTRIDGE
CASE, BOTH THE TEST FIRES AND THE EXPENDED CARTRIDGE CASES,
PEOPLE'S 3 AND 5. THEY WERE REPEATED, NOT IDENTICALLY,
NOT EXACTLY, BUT TO A DEGREE. SOME HEAVIER THAN OTHERS.
SOME MORE DISTINCT THAN OTHERS.

FO. 18

ALSO, ON THE HEAD OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE WERE IMPRESSIONS THAT WERE NOT REALLY BOLD BUT REPEATED EACH TIME, SUCH AS THE EJECTOR STRIKE, WHICH STRIKES THE BACK OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE AND THROWS IT OUT OF THE FIREARM. THERE WERE MARKS AT TWELVE O'CLOCK, WHICH I AM SPEAKING AS YOU LOOK AT THE CLOCK, THERE WERE MARKS, PRESSURE MARKS CAUSED POSSIBLY BY THE EXTRACTOR STRIKING THE CARTRIDGE CASE AS IT FED IT INTO THE CHAMBER.

MR. CHIER: I MOVE TO STRIKE THAT AS SPECULATION.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. GO AHEAD.

THE WITNESS: THERE WERE ALSO MARKS ON THE INSIDE

OF THE FIRING PIN IMPRESSION CAUSED BY THE SLIGHT IRREGULARITY

OR PITTED SHAPE OF THE LITTLE, ROUND FIRING PIN ITSELF.

AND THESE LITTLE POCKMARKS APPEARED TO FLOW

IN A DIRECTION TOWARD THE TOP OF THIS FACE THAT I OBSERVED

AROUND THE FIRING PIN HOLE.

ALSO, ON THE RIM OF THE CARTRIDGE CASE, IN
OTHER WORDS, IF WE NO LONGER LOOK AT THE HEAD BUT LOOK
AT THE RIM AROUND IT, WE THEN -- OR I FOUND CERTAIN REPEATED
MARKS. I FOUND ON EACH CARTRIDGE CASE THE REPEATED MARKS
GOING ACROSS THE RIM IN IRREGULAR LINES AND THESE IRREGULAR
LINES REPEATED ON EACH CARTRIDGE CASE.

I ALSO FOUND EXTRACTOR MARKS ON EACH CARTRIDGE

CASE AND I THEN FOUND A VERY PECULIAR MARK ON EACH OF

THE 12 CARTRIDGE CASES, THE 11 FROM PEOPLE'S 3 AND THE

ONE FROM PEOPLE'S 5 AND OF COURSE, ON THE TWO TEST FIRES.

THE BEST WAY I CAN DESCRIBE IT, IT LOOKED

LIKE A BAR CODE FROM THE GROCERY SHOPPING OR GROCERY ITEMS

WHERE IT CONSISTS OF THICK AND THIN LINES OF DIFFERENT

SPACES AND SPACES IN BETWEEN.

AND THIS MARK WAS CAUSED, IN MY OPINION, BY
AN IRREGULARITY I OBSERVED ON THE INSIDE OF THE BOLT AND
AS THE BOLT AND EXTRACTOR PULLS THE CARTRIDGE CASE OUT,
THE BOLT TURNS AND THIS LITTLE SCRATCHED AREA CREATING
THESE LINES AND SPACES WAS THEN FORMED ONTO THE RIM OF
THE CARTRIDGE CASE.

ALL OF THESE MARKS AND THE INDIVIDUALITY 1 OF THE MARKS AND THE REPETITION OF THE MARKS, IN MY RECOLLECTION 2 AND MY OBSERVING OTHER CARTRIDGE CASES IN MY CAREER AS 4 A FIREARMS EXAMINER, CAUSED ME TO FORM THE OPINION THAT EACH AND EVERY CARTRIDGE CASE I OBSERVED WAS FIRED IN 5 THE SAME FIREARM AS THE TWO TEST FIRES THAT I HAD TEST 6 FIRED IN PEOPLE'S 4, THE SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLE. 7 Q BY MR. WAPNER: AND ARE THE JURORS GOING TO 8 BE ABLE TO SEE THESE MARKS YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT IF THEY 9 TAKE THE BULLETS INTO THE JURY ROOM? 10 THE COURT: BULLETS OR CASINGS? 11 12 MR. WAPNER: EXPENDED CASINGS. THE WITNESS: IT IS POSSIBLE THAT SOMETHING OF AN 13 IRREGULARITY CAN BE OBSERVED BUT AS FAR AS ACTUALLY BEING 14 ABLE TO SEE THE MARKS THAT I AM TALKING ABOUT, I REALLY 15 THINK A COMPARISON MICROSCOPE WOULD BE NECESSARY BECAUSE 16 17 THEY ARE VERY SMALL. 18 HOWEVER, IF IT WOULD PLEASE THE COURT. I WOULD 19 BE HAPPY TO ALLOW ANYONE TO LOOK AT THE CARTRIDGE CASES. 20 ALTHOUGH THE COMPARISON WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE UNDER A 21 MICROSCOPE. 22 BY MR. WAPNER: AND DID YOU BRING WITH YOU 23 THE TWO TEST FIRE CASINGS THAT YOU USED FOR COMPARISONS? 24 YES, I DID. А 25 Q ALL RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE THOSE? 26 Α I DO. 27 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT WITH THE WITNESS?

28

THE COURT: YES.

1	(PAUSE.)
2	MR. WAPNER: MAY THESE BE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 6 FOR
3	IDENTIFICATION?
4	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
5	Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU FINISHED DOING YOUR
6	COMPARISON, DID YOU PUT EACH OF THE ITEMS BACK INTO THE
7	ENVELOPE THAT THEY HAD COME FROM?
8	A YES.
9	Q WAS IT AT THAT TIME THAT YOU PLACED THE RED
10	SEALS ON THEM THAT SAY "EVIDENCE" ON THEM?
11	A THAT'S CORRECT.
12	Q AND AFTER YOU PLACED THE SEALS ON THEM, WHAT
13	DID YOU DO WITH THE ENVELOPES?
14	I THEN PUT THE ENVELOPES TOGETHER WITH A CLIP,
15	ALONG WITH THE FIREARM, AND KEPT THEM THERE AT MY DESK
16	UNTIL THEY WERE PICKED UP BY DETECTIVE ZOELLER.
17	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
18	(PAUSE.)
19	
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BARENS:
22	Q BEAR WITH ME, AS I DO NOT PROFESS ANY EXPERTISE
23	IN THIS AREA. I SUPPOSE IN PREPARATION FOR YOUR TESTIMONY
24	TO MAKE THIS TEST COMPLETE, DID YOU FIRE ANOTHER IDENTICAL
25	RIFLE TO THAT TO SEE WHAT SORT OF A SIGNATURE THAT WEAPON
26	WOULD LEAVE ON EXPENDED CARTRIDGES?
27	A NO, I DID NOT. NOT IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE,

NO.

Q OKAY. HAVE YOU EVER DONE THAT IN OTHER CASES YOU HAVE PREPARED FOR?

A I DON'T RECALL -- WELL, YES, WE HAVE TEST FIRED FIREARMS THAT WERE MADE, MANUFACTURED ONE AFTER THE OTHER WITH THE SAME TOOLS, JUST TO DETERMINE IF WHAT WE SAW REALLY WAS INDIVIDUALITY.

Q RIGHT.

YOU DIDN'T DO THAT IN THIS CASE?

A NO, I DIDN'T.

THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO GET THE SAME KIND OF A RIFLE MADE RIGHT AFTER THAT ONE, WOULDN'T YOU, IN ORDER TO MAKE THAT SAME KIND OF TEST?

THE WITNESS: IF I WANTED TO DETERMINE THAT THE NEXT MANUFACTURED RIFLE AFTER THIS ONE CONTAINED THE SAME, I WOULD HAVE TO FIND THE NEXT RIFLE.

THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO FIND -- WHERE WOULD YOU FIND IT?

THE WITNESS: I HAVEN'T THE VAGUEST IDEA, SINCE THE SERIAL NUMBERS REALLY DON'T COUNT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD.

 $\mbox{\sc Q}$ $\mbox{\sc BY MR. BARENS:}$ YOU WERE ABLE TO DO THAT IN OTHER CASES, WERE YOU NOT, SIR?

A YES, WE WERE.

Q SO YOU WERE ABLE, IN SOME INSTANCES, TO DO IT AND YOU ARE TELLING ME YOU WEREN'T ABLE TO DO THAT HERE?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO FIND ANOTHER IDENTICAL RIFLE TO THIS, WOULD YOU NOT, SIR?

```
I COULD FIND ANOTHER PLAINFIELD, YES, MANUFACTURED
 1
     BY THE PLAINFIELD MACHINE COMPANY.
 2
 3
                 COULD YOU FIND ANOTHER ONE JUST LIKE THAT?
           А
                 NO.
 4
                 I HAVE TEST FIRED NUMEROUS PLAINFIELD RIFLES BUT --
 5
 6
              IS THIS THE ONLY ONE YOU HAVE SEEN IN YOUR LIFE
     THAT LOOKED LIKE THIS?
 7
 8
           A I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY APPEARANCE. YOU
     MEAN THE OUTWARD APPEARANCE OF THE RIFLE?
 9
10
             I PRESUME THAT THAT TYPE OF A WEAPON, SIR, HAS
     A TYPE TO IT, IT IS A MODEL SO AND SO OR WHATEVER ONE WOULD
11
12
     REFER TO THAT AS.
13
           A I HAVE SEEN NUMEROUS PLAINFIELD RIFLES, YES, LIKE
     THAT.
14
15
                OKAY. I PRESUME THOSE ALL HAD, GENERALLY SPEAKING,
           Q.
16
     THE SAME CHARACTERISTICS OF THIS ONE?
17
           Α
                 YES, THEY WOULD.
18
           Q
                 OKAY. AND I PRESUME THOSE WERE AVAILABLE TO YOU?
19
           Α
                 WE HAVE SOME AVAILABLE TO US, YES.
20
           Q
                 THEY ARE MADE BY THE SAME FACTORY?
21
           Α
                 YES.
22
                 AND PERHAPS BY . THE SAME TOOLING?
           Q
23
                 THAT I WOULDN'T KNOW.
           Α
24
                 THAT IS POSSIBLE, YES.
25
           Q
                POSSIBLE, MAYBE NOT POSSIBLE.
26
                 IF YOU WERE TO OBTAIN ONE OF THOSE RIFLES, YOU
27
     COULD THEN REPEAT ANALOGOUS TESTS ON ONE OF THOSE RIFLES,
28
     COULD YOU NOT, SIR?
```

THE SAME MARK. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE WOULD BE EXTRACTOR MARKS.

- 3

THERE WOULD BE EJECTOR MARKS. THERE WOULD BE FIRING PIN MARKS, IMPRESSION AND BOLT MARKS AND BREECH FACE MARKS, YES. ALL OF THOSE MARKS COULD BE FOUND IN ANY NUMBER OF GUNS, NOT NECESSARILY .30 CARBINE.

WHAT I MEANT WAS THE PARTICULAR MARKS, IN OTHER WORDS, THE INDIVIDUALITY IN THE MARKS WAS NOT REPEATED OR WOULD NOT BE REPEATED ON ANOTHER GUN. BUT THE TYPE OF MARK, YES, WOULD BE REPEATED.

Q YOU DESCRIBE THAT IN SOME OF THE MARKS THAT YOU FOUND, THAT AS YOU WENT THROUGH THESE VARIOUS SAMPLES, THEY WERE MORE OR LESS PRESENT IN DIFFERENCES OF THE SAMPLE; IS THAT CORRECT?

A DIFFERENCE OF DEGREE OF ABILITY TO SEE, YES.

HOW DO YOU EXPLAIN THAT, SIR? Q

2 3

EACH CARTRIDGE CASE, EACH ROUND OF AMMUNITION IS SLIGHTLY

WELL. I WOULD EXPLAIN THAT BY SAYING THAT

IN MY EXAMINATION AND TOURS AND PAPERS GIVEN

4

DIFFERENT FROM THE OTHER.

5

ON THE MANUFACTURING PROCESS, FOR INSTANCE, AT SIERRA 6

7

BULLET MANUFACTURING, INSPECTORS CONSTANTLY EXAMINE THE

8

WALL THICKNESS. THEY WEIGH THE CARTRIDGE CASE. THEY

9

THROW OUT DAMAGED CARTRIDGE CASES. THEY FIND THAT EACH

10

CARTRIDGE CASE IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT IN THE MACHINING

11

PROCESS. THE AMOUNT OF POWDER IN EACH CARTRIDGE CASE,

12

IN EACH ROUND OF AMMUNITION VARIES SLIGHTLY.

13

EACH LOT OF POWDER VARIES SLIGHTLY, EVEN AS

14

TO THE TYPE OF POWDER.

15

EACH PRIMER MANUFACTURED IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT.

16

SOME PRIMERS END UP WITH A LITTLE HARDER METAL. SOME

17

HAVE MORE POWDER IN THEM.

8 FO.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

EACH BULLET IS SEATED DIFFERENTLY. EACH TIME,
THEREFORE, THIS RIFLE IS FIRED, THE ROUND MAY BE POSITIONED
IN A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT WAY, HELD IN BY THE EXTRACTOR.
IT MIGHT HAVE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT PRESSURES. IT MIGHT
STAY MOMENTARILY LONGER IN THE CHAMBER BEFORE BEING EXTRACTED.
IT MAY CAUSE MORE OR LESS PRESSURE.

THE FLOW FROM THE BRASS UNDER PRESSURE MAY
END UP DEEPER IN THE CAVITY THAN THE PRIOR CARTRIDGE CASE.
SO THERE ARE SO MANY FACTORS THAT ALL ADD UP TO THIS,
THAT YET, EACH CARTRIDGE CASE IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT THAN
THE OTHER.

Q WE GET INTO DEALING WITH THE PHYSICS PRESENT
IN THIS SETTING AND SOME INHERENT VARIATIONS BECAUSE OF
JUST THE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF WHAT WE ARE DEALING WITH?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q IF I SUPPOSE WE HAD AN ANALOGOUS WEAPON, WE WOULD FIND SOME SIMILAR VARIATIONS AS A RESULT OF JUST PURE PHYSICS, FOR WANT OF ANY OTHER EXPRESSION, IN THAT RIFLE, AS WELL?

A IF I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, ANOTHER RIFLE WOULD HAVE THE SAME TYPE OF VARIATIONS IN EACH CARTRIDGE CASE. YES.

Q AND INTERNAL VARIATIONS?

A YES.

Q WE GET INTO SOME MATTERS OF DEGREE, DO WE NOT, AS FAR AS DIFFERENTIATION?

A DEGREE IN DIFFERENTIATION? IN OTHER WORDS,

IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN THAT.

Α

NO.

WELL, FOR INSTANCE, YOU DESCRIBED THAT THE 1 FIRING PIN IMPACTING THE PRIMER CREATES AN IMPRESSION 2 THAT YOU FIND UNIQUE TO THIS RIFLE. IS THAT CORRECT? 3 YES. А 4 AND IF I HAD AN IDENTICAL RIFLE OF THE SAME 5 VINTAGE, I PRESUME THAT THE FIRING PIN WOULD MAKE A FACE 6 IN THE PRIMER ON THAT ONE, AS WELL? 7 А IT COULD. 8 9 AND I PRESUME THAT THAT FACE IN SOME RESPECTS INEVITABLY WOULD HAVE SOME SIMILARITIES? 10 IT COULD HAVE SOME SIMILARITIES. 11 Q WITH THE FACE ON THIS ONE? 12 A YES, IT COULD. 13 Q THEN WE WOULD BE INTO A MATTER OF DEGREE OF 14 DIFFERENTIATION? 15 THAT WOULD BE A GOOD WAY TO PUT IT. YES. 16 Q . NOW, IN PREPARING FOR SOME OF THE 300 CASES 17 YOU TEST FIRED, HAVE YOU EVER MADE BLOWUPS OF PHOTOGRAPHS 18 TAKEN BY THE MICROSCOPIC TELESCOPE THAT YOU USE TO VISUALIZE 19 20 THESE IMPRESSIONS? 21 А YES. 22 Q AND YOU BRING THOSE INTO COURT, DO YOU NOT? 23 I HAVE, YES. 24 THEN YOU DO THE SAME THING WITH THE COMPARISON 25 PHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU TAKE OF THE TEST BULLETS? 26 Α I HAVE DONE THAT, YES. 27 DID YOU DO THAT IN THIS CASE? Q

1	Q WAS THERE A REASON WHY?	
2	A I WAS NOT ASKED TO.	
3	MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.	
4		
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
6	BY MR. WAPNER:	
7	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED IN THOSE SITUATIONS	
8	WHERE YOU HAVE LET ME START AGAIN.	
9	YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD TEST FIRED IDENTICAL	
10	GUNS WITH THE SAME SERIAL OR SEQUENTIAL SERIAL NUMBERS?	
11	A YES.	
12	Q AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF DOING THAT?	
13	A IN ONE CASE, WE WERE ABLE TO FIND FIVE WALTHER	
14	PPK FIREARMS WITH CONSECUTIVE NUMBERS.	
15	AND THIS OCCURRED IN SEARCHING THE ENTIRE	
16	UNITED STATES AND FINDING ONE IN NEW YORK AND A COUPLE	
17	IN A DEALER IN CALIFORNIA, AND SOME, SOMEWHERE ELSE. I	
18	FORGET.	
19	WE DID EXAMINE THEM. WE DID TEST FIRE THEM.	
20	WE DID FIND THEM TO BE EXTREMELY DIFFERENT, EXTREMELY	
21	DIFFERENT IN THE BREAKING OF THE FIRING PIN HOLE, BULLET	
22	DIFFERENCES IN STRIAE.	
23	THEY WERE, EACH ONE, UNIQUE TO THEMSELVES.	
24	WE ALSO WENT TO THE FACTORY AND PURCHASED CONSECUTIVELY	
25	MANUFACTURED BARRELS AND FIRED THEM IN A RECEIVER TO DETERMINE	
26	IF CONSECUTIVELY MANUFACTURED BARRELS COULD BE DISTINGUISHED	
27	ONE FROM THE OTHER.	
28	Q WHAT DID YOU FIND?	

THE RESULT WAS POSITIVE. YES, WE COULD DISTINGUISH 1 THE BULLETS FIRED FROM EACH INDIVIDUAL BARREL, EVEN WHEN 2 THEY WERE CONSECUTIVELY MANUFACTURED. WE HAVE ALSO PURCHASED LENGTHS OF BARRELS 4 5 SUCH AS FROM THE BARRELS FOR JENNINGS .22. AT ONE TIME. THEY WERE CUT FROM LENGTHS OF BARRELS. WE TOOK ONE LENGTH 6 AND WE CUT IT IN SMALL LENGTHS AND FIRED EACH LENGTH AND DETERMINED THAT THERE WERE DIFFERENCES EVEN WITHIN EACH 8 LENGTH OF BARREL. 9 I HAVE ALSO FIRED FIREARMS AND THEN FIRED 10 THAT SAME FIREARM WITH A CUT BARREL, IN OTHER WORDS, WITH 11 THE FRONT PORTION REMOVED, AND FOUND THAT THE FIRST PORTION 12 WAS INDIVIDUAL TO THE LAST PORTION. 13 14 SO, THOSE TESTS HAVE BEEN MADE, YES. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 16 17 THE WITNESS: MAY I PUT THESE BACK IN THE ENVELOPES? 18 MR. WAPNER: PLEASE. WHILE HE IS DOING THAT, I 19 HAVE A DIAGRAM THAT I WOULD LIKE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S NEXT IN ORDER, PEOPLE'S 7 FOR IDENTIFICATION. IT PURPORTS 20 21 TO DEPICT TWO BUILDINGS AND TWO DIFFERENT STREETS. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 23 HOW ARE YOU FEELING, MR. RUTHERFORD? 24 MR. RUTHERFORD: I HAVE GOT A HEADACHE. 25 MR. WAPNER: WE CALL BRUCE SWARTOUT.

26

27

28

BRUCE SWARTOUT,

CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED

AS FOLLOWS: 1 THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY 2 YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT 3 SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE 4 TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 5 THE WITNESS: I DO. 6 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL YOUR 7 NAME FOR THE RECORD. 8 THE WITNESS: BRUCE SWARTOUT, S-W-A-R-T-O-U-T. 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. WAPNER: 12 Q MR. SWARTOUT, IN 1983 AND 1984, DID YOU OWN 13 A COUPLE OF BUSINESSES? 14 Α WELL, I WAS AN OWNER AND DIRECTOR OF TWO BUSINESSES, 15 YES. 16 WHAT WERE THE NAMES OF THE BUSINESSES? Q 17 18 ONE WAS U.S. FLYWHEELS. THE OTHER WAS COGENCO 19 SYSTEMS, INC. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

9 FO.

WHAT WAS U.S. FLYWHEELS? 0 1 IT WAS A HIGH TECHNOLOGY COMPANY WITH PATENTS 2 AND IT WAS AN ENERGY STORAGE DEVICE. THE PUBLIC MAY KNOW 3 IT BETTER BY THE FLYWHEEL POWERED CAR THAT BILL LEAR AND I 4 DESIGNED. 5 0 WHEN DID YOU DESIGN THAT? 6 THAT WAS IN THE MID-170'S. 7 Q AND FLYWHEEL IS SOMETHING THAT IS DESIGNED TO 8 STORE ENERGY? 9 A YES, SIR. 10 WHO HELD THE PATENT FOR THAT DEVICE? Q 11 WELL, THEY WERE MY PATENTS AND I ASSIGNED THEM 12 TO U.S. FLYWHEELS AND LATER, TO COGENCO SYSTEMS. 13 WHAT IS COGENCO SYSTEMS? 14 Q 15 IT WAS ALSO A RESEARCH FIRM BUT IN SMOKE STACK 16 ENERGY, COGENERATION AS WE KNOW IT TODAY. 17 CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT BRIEFLY. 18 WELL, IT IS THE CONVERSION OF THERMAL ENERGY FROM 19 ANY SMOKE STACK IN THE COUNTRY TO ELECTRICITY AND THE USE OF A MULTI-FUEL POWER, IT WOULD USE ANY KIND OF FUEL, INCLUDING 20 21 COAL, POWDERED COAL OR PETROLEUM COKE AND A SCRUBBING DEVICE TO ELIMINATE ACID RAIN, WHICH INVOLVES NITROGEN-OXIDES AND 22 23 SULFUR-OXIDES AND REACTIVE OR ORGANIC GASES REMOVED FROM THE 24 EXHAUST. 25 THE TWO COMPANIES THAT WERE U.S. FLYWHEELS AND 26 COGENCO SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED, WHAT WERE THEY FOUNDED FOR 27 THE PURPOSE OF DOING?

WELL, I GUESS IT GOES BACK, SINCE I WAS THE

- 1

FOUNDER, I GOT MAD AT THE ARABS FOR SHUTTING OFF OUR OIL AND
I GOT OUT OF REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT
IT.

Q HAD YOU ALREADY PATENTED THESE TWO DEVICES BEFORE

THE COMPANIES WERE FORMED?

A NO, SIR.

WE ACQUIRED PATENTS FROM A NUCLEAR PHYSICIST AT THE LAWRENCE-LIVERMORE LAB AND WE THEN IMPROVED UPON THEM IN OUR ESTABLISHMENT AND FILED OUR OWN PATENTS, IMPROVING ON IT.

Q WERE THESE COMPANIES FORMED FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING THESE PRODUCTS TO THE POINT WHERE THEY COULD BE MARKETED?

A YES, SIR.

Q AT SOME POINT IN 1983, HAD YOU GOTTEN TO THAT POINT?

A ON THE COGENERATION WHICH INVOLVED BOILERS, YES.

MR. BARENS: OBJECTION. I DON'T SEE THE RELEVANCE,
ALTHOUGH IT IS INTERESTING.

THE COURT: HE IS GOING TO CONNECT IT, I ASSUME. HE
IS ASKING THESE QUESTIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SHOWING WHAT
THE BUSINESS WAS; IS THAT RIGHT?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION TO STRIKE IT LATER.

MR. BARENS: OPTIMISTIC ABOUT THAT.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: THE COGENERATION SYSTEMS HAD BEEN DEVELOPED TO THE POINT WHERE YOU AT THAT POINT WERE ATTEMPTING

TO MARKET IT OR GOING TO ATTEMPT TO MARKET IT? A YES. WE HAD OUR WASTE HEAT BOILER FINISHED AND DEMONSTRATED, THE BURNER FINISHED AND DEMONSTRATED AND WE HAD NOT, HOWEVER, FINISHED THE SCRUBBER, THE REMOVAL OF THE ACID RAIN VILLAINS. A-F

WELL, HE FIRST WROTE A LETTER IN AUGUST.

27

28

Q

OF 1983?

AND WHEN YOU MET FIRST WITH MR. HUNT AND MR. DOSTI

F

چە. ب

1	Q WHAT HAPPENED THAT FOLLOWING MONTH?	
2	A CONTRACTS WERE DRAFTED BY PETE REAGAN AND	
3	KENNETH ELGIN AND JOE HUNT BETWEEN OUR TWO COMPANIES AND	
4	BBC.	
5	Q YOUR TWO COMPANIES BEING U.S. FLYWHEELS AND	
6	COGENCO SYSTEMS?	
7	A YES. ACTUALLY, THERE WERE, AT THE TIME OF	
8	THE DRAFTING OF THE CONTRACTS, TWO BBC COMPANIES AS WELL	
9	AS TWO OF OURS.	
10	Q WHAT WERE THE BBC COMPANIES?	
11	A I BELIEVE THE BBC'S CORRECT NAME WAS BBC OF	
12	NORTH AMERICA. THERE MAY BE	
13	Q BBC CONSOLIDATED OF NORTH AMERICA?	
14	A YES. THEN THERE WAS A SECOND COMPANY CALLED	
15	CYCLATRONICS OF NORTH AMERICA.	
16	Q AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF DRAFTING THESE	
17	CONTRACTS?	
18	A WELL, THE BBC BOYS WANTED CONTROL OF OUR TWO	
19	COMPANIES IN ORDER TO FURTHER A CONTRACT THAT WE HAD DRAFTED	
20	WITH A COMPANY IN COLORADO.	
21	Q DID YOU ALREADY HAVE A CONTRACT WITH THIS	
22	COMPANY IN COLORADO OR WERE YOU ATTEMPTING TO GET ONE?	
23	A WE WERE NEGOTIATING AND AT THE DRAFTING STAGE	
24	OF IT.	
25	Q WHAT COMPANY WAS THAT?	
26	A THERE WERE TWO COMPANIES THERE. I AM SORRY	
27	TO MAKE IT COMPLEX. BUT UNITED FINANCIAL OPERATIONS WAS	
28	MR. BILL KILPATRICK'S COMPANY AND HE WAS FORMING A MERGER	

26

27

28

Q 2 AND MR. HUNT AND THE PEOPLE FROM THE BBC WANTED TO MAKE AN AGREEMENT WITH MR. KILPATRICK? MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH 4 AT THIS POINT ON THIS EVIDENCE? 5 THE COURT: YES. 6 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:) 8 THE COURT: YES? 9 MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR --10 MR. BARENS: COULD I DEFER TO MR. CHIER ON THE MOTION, 11 SIR? 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 13 MR. CHIER: WE HAD A RATHER LENGTHY AND PROTRACTED 14 HEARING IN CHAMBERS BEFORE THE COMMENCEMENT OF THIS PENALTY 15 PHASE WHEREIN, I THOUGHT WE DELINEATED AND HAD AN UNDERSTANDING 16 ABOUT WHAT WAS AND WHAT WAS NOT ADMISSIBLE AT THIS TYPE 17 OF A HEARING. 18 19 WHAT IS NOT ADMISSIBLE AND IT IS CLEAR IS NOT ADMISSIBLE, ARE MISCELLANEOUS ACTS OF BAD CHARACTER, 20 INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ADVANTAGE AND THINGS OF 21 22 THAT TYPE. 23 WHAT IS ADMISSIBLE ARE SPECIFIC ACTS OF VIOLENCE 24 AGAINST ANOTHER HUMAN BEING. THIS -+ 25 THE COURT: WHAT IS ALSO ADMISSIBLE IS MOTIVE.

WITH THE COMPANY IN CANADA CALLED SATURN ENERGY AND RESOURCES.

THE COURT: IT IS ADMISSIBLE TO EXPLAIN CERTAIN ACTS WHICH WERE TAKEN LATER ON. THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE

MR. CHIER: MOTIVE IS NOT --

LEADING UP TO?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

MR. CHIER: THE PROBLEM HERE IS THAT NOTHING WAS

DONE BY MR. HUNT HERE, NOR IS THERE ANY EVIDENCE IT WAS --

THE COURT: I HAVE NOT HEARD ANYTHING YET. LET'S

HEAR IT FIRST. IF THERE IS ANYTHING OBJECTIONABLE, THEN

YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION TO STRIKE AND I WILL GRANT IT IF

IT IS, AND TELL THE JURY TO DISREGARD IT.

MR. CHIER: I THINK WHAT IS OBJECTIONABLE IS THAT THEY ARE NOW GETTING INTO A DISCUSSION WHERE THERE WAS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ADVANTAGE.

THAT IS THE LAST TESTIMONY, YOUR HONOR, THAT HE HAD A CONTRACT IN COLORADO THAT HE WANTED TO TAKE OVER AND I KNOW WHAT IS COMING AND --

THE COURT: I THINK WE OUGHT TO HEAR ALL OF THE FACTS AND THE BACKGROUND FOR THE ACTS THAT THEY CLAIM ARE AGGRAVATING.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE YOUR HONOR,
THEN, TO ASK THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO CAUTION THE WITNESS
THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE TESTIMONY ABOUT THIS POINT
CONCERNING MR. HUNT HAVING FLEECED THIS GUY OUT OF HIS
BUSINESS OR HAVING COST HIM A LOT OF MONEY AND CAUSED
HIS FINANCIAL RUIN. THAT IS EXACTLY WHERE WE ARE GOING,
WHAT WE ARE GOING TO HEAR AND --

THE COURT: ALL OF THE MOTIVATIONS AND ALL OF THE BACKGROUND WHICH WOULD EXPLAIN A PARTICULAR FACT WHICH THEY ARE LEADING UP TO, I THINK, SHOULD BE ALLOWED IN IN THIS CASE. I WILL LET HIM GO AHEAD.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IN OTHER WORDS --THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT WHAT IT IS YOU ARE INQUIRING ABOUT. SO FAR, HE HAS DESCRIBED HIS BUSINESS. HE HAS HAD CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT. THAT IS ALL. MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE GENTLEMAN IS GOING TO START TALKING NOW ABOUT HOW HUNT HAS COST HIM A LOT OF MONEY AND RUINED HIS --THE COURT: WELL, WE HAD A LOT OF PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT LOSING MONEY THROUGH HIM ON THE GUILT PHASE. MR. BARENS: BUT THIS IS NOT RELEVANT FOR THE PENALTY PHASE TO SHOW THAT FURTHER PEOPLE LACK MONEY AND --MR. WAPNER: THE POINT IS THAT SOMEBODY WALKING UP TO A MAN IN A PARKING LOT AND THROWING ACID ON HIM OR SOMETHING OUT OF THE BLUE, MAKES NO SENSE UNLESS YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF EXACTLY WHAT THE MOTIVE WAS FOR THIS.

-B FO.

MR. BARENS: BEGGING TO DIFFER. IF THE OFFER OF
PROOF IS THAT THEY ARE GOING TO SAY TAGLIANETTI SAYS THAT
HUNT TOLD THIS GUY TO GO DOWN AND DO THIS, OKAY, IT IS
SUFFICIENT FOR THEM TO PUT TAGLIANETTI ON TO SAY THAT
HUNT TOLD ME TO GO AND DO THIS --

THE COURT: MOTIVATION IS VERY IMPORTANT. OUT OF
THE BLUE, A MAN DOESN'T SAY TO GO DOWN THERE AND PUT ACID
ON HIM. YOU HAVE GOT TO GET THE BACKGROUND FOR IT. THIS
IS WHAT HE IS LEADING UP TO.

MR. BARENS: THE FACT THAT WE ARE TRYING THE PENALTY PHASE, WHETHER HUNT DID IT OR NOT --

THE COURT: I AM OVERRULING THE OBJECTION AT THIS TIME. PROCEED.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. SWARTOUT, I THINK THAT

IT IS PROBABLY GOING TO GET A LITTLE CONVOLUTED. I WILL

TRY TO KEEP IT AS SIMPLE AS I CAN. BUT FIRST OF ALL,

YOU WERE IN THE PROCESS OF MAKING SOME AGREEMENTS WITH

MR. KILPATRICK, IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES, SIR.

Q AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR MAKING THOSE

AGREEMENTS BETWEEN YOUR COMPANY AND MR. KILPATRICK'S COMPANY?

A MR. KILPATRICK HAD FORMED 44 LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS
AND RAISED OVER \$200 MILLION FROM INVESTORS AND HAD COMMITTED
TO THESE INVESTORS THAT THEY WOULD BUY 44 SYSTEMS, BOTH
COGENERATION AND MICRONIZING EQUIPMENT AT \$5 MILLION APIECE
OR \$220 MILLION, WHICH WAS QUITE ATTRACTIVE. THAT, I

1	I HOPE, ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION.
2	Q YOU WERE TO PROVIDE TO MR. KILPATRICK THE
3	COGENERATION EQUIPMENT?
4	A WELL, THE MICRONIZING AND COGENERATION EQUIPMENT,
5	YES, SIR.
6	Q AND DID YOU HAVE THE MICRONIZING OR THE EQUIPMENT?
7	DID YOU HAVE TO PURCHASE THAT FROM SOMEBODY ELSE?
8	A WE HAD TO PURCHASE THAT FROM BBC.
9	Q ALL RIGHT. THE MICRONIZING IS THE GRINDING
10	EQUIPMENT OR THE EQUIPMENT NECESSARY TO MAKE THE PARTICLES
11	SMALL ENOUGH TO
12	A YES. IT GRINDS COAL TO A POWDER OF OVER 325
13	MESH, SO IT CAN BE BURNED IN OUR BURNER.
14	Q AND IT IS THIS BURNER THAT IS THE BASIS FOR
15	THE COGENERATION SYSTEM?
16	A IT MAKES THE THERMAL ENERGY FOR IT, YES.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 FO.

```
AND WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT ABOUT GETTING
           Q
 1
     MONEY TO DEVELOP YOUR PRODUCTS, WHAT WAS THE UPSHOT OF THAT?
 2
                  I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW YOU MEAN THE UPSHOT?
 3
                 OKAY, THEN MAYBE THAT WASN'T A GOOD QUESTION.
 4
                 YOU WERE AT THE POINT OF NEEDING MONEY TO BUILD
 5
     THE PRODUCTS THAT YOU WERE GOING TO THEN SELL TO
 6
     MR. KILPATRICK, CORRECT?
 7
 8
                 WE DID, YES.
                 AND YOU WERE ATTEMPTING TO GET THAT MONEY FROM
 9
     MR. HUNT?
10
11
           Α
                 WELL, THROUGH MR. ELGIN.
                AND WHEN YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT, WHAT ARRANGEMENT
12
     DID YOU MAKE WITH HIM BY WAY OF HIS DESIRING TO PROVIDE YOU
13
     WITH THE MONEY?
14
15
           MR. CHIER: ASSUMING FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
16
           THE COURT: GO AHEAD. YOU MAY ANSWER.
                 BY MR. WAPNER: IF ANY?
17
           THE COURT: OVERRULED.
18
19
           THE WITNESS: SIR?
                 BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT ARRANGEMENTS DID YOU MAKE
20
21
     WITH MR. HUNT OR ANY OF THE BBC COMPANIES IN THAT REGARD?
22
                 WELL, THE CONTRACT PROVIDED, THAT WAS SIGNED BY
23
     MR. HUNT AND WITH MR. ELGIN AS A WITNESS AND SIGNED BY
24
     MR. RAGAN OF OUR COMPANY, WHO HAD MY POWER OF ATTORNEY, TOO,
25
     AT THE TIME, THAT HE WOULD PUT UP $50,000 IMMEDIATELY,
26
     $800,000 --
27
           MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT, ON THE GROUND OF BEST
```

- 1

28

EVIDENCE. NOW HE IS - -

1 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

GO AHEAD. PLEASE TELL US ABOUT IT, WILL YOU?

THE WITNESS: \$800,000 IN TEN DAYS AND 10 MILLION IN

SIX MONTHS AND WOULD CONVEY ALL OF THE OUTSTANDING ISSUED

SHARES OF CYCLATRONICS, WHICH PURPORTEDLY HAD THE BROWNING --
DR. BROWNING'S MICRONIZING TECHNOLOGY.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: SO YOU WOULD GET \$10 MILLION,
IN ESSENCE, FOR COGENCO AND YOU WOULD ALSO TAKE CONTROL OF
CYCLATRONICS INCLUDING THE TECHNOLOGY THAT MR. BROWNING HAD;
IS THAT RIGHT?

A THE USE OF THAT FOR THE CONTRACT WITH MR. KILPATRICK OF UNITED FINANCIAL.

Q WERE YOU TOLD BY SOMEBODY THAT MR. BROWNING'S TECHNOLOGY, IN FACT, RESIDED IN THE COMPANY CALLED CYCLATRONICS?

A YES.

Q AND AFTER, DID YOU IN FACT SIGN, OR YOUR COMPANY SIGN A CONTRACT WITH MR. HUNT'S COMPANY THAT PROVIDED, AS YOU JUST DESCRIBED?

A THERE WERE LOTS OF DOCUMENTS FINALIZED, YES, THAT DID THOSE THINGS.

MR. CHIER: I MOVE TO STRIKE AS NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

Q BY MR. WAPNER: AS A RESULT OF THAT, WHAT ACTIONS
DID YOU AND THE OTHERS CONNECTED WITH YOUR COMPANIES TAKE
WITH REGARD TO CYCLATRONICS, THE COMPANY THAT SUPPOSEDLY HAD
MR. BROWNING'S TECHNOLOGY IN IT?

A THREE OF OUR OLD DIRECTORS, WHO HAD GIVEN CONTROL

#5.7°

TO BBC, MET AND WITH THE SHARES, ELECTED BOTH DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS OF CYCLATRONICS, WHICH WOULD BE OWNED BY COGENCO.

WHO WERE THOSE THREE PEOPLE?

IT WAS RANDELL RAGAN, FRANK OXARART AND MYSELF.

AT SOME POINT LATER, DID YOU FIND OUT SOMETHING ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT MR. BROWNING'S TECHNOLOGY -- STRIKE THAT.

AT SOME POINT AFTER THAT, IT COULD BE AT ANY POINT IN THE FUTURE, DID YOU FIND OUT THAT MR. HUNT HAD ATTEMPTED TO DO SOMETHING ELSE WITH MR. BROWNING'S TECHNOLOGY?

Α YES.

WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THAT? Q

MR. CHIER: OBJECTION. HEARSAY.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

```
BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THAT?
           Q
 1
                WE THOUGHT THAT THE CONTRACT HAD BEEN EXECUTED
 2
     BETWEEN COGENCO -- THIS WAS AFTER MY RESIGNATION ON
 3
     NOVEMBER THE 1ST OF '83 -- WE ASSUMED THE CONTRACT HAD BEEN
 4
     SIGNED. WE HAD BEEN TOLD IT HAD BEEN SIGNED.
 5
           Q WHICH CONTRACT IS THAT?
 6
           A BETWEEN COGENCO AND UNITED FINANCIAL AND SATURN
 7
     ENERGY FOR THE $220 MILLION CONTRACT.
 8
               AND THAT CONTRACT WAS TO INCLUDE COGENCO OWNING
 9
     MR. BROWNING'S TECHNOLOGY THROUGH THE CYCLATRONICS BUSINESS?
10
               YES, IT DID.
11
           Q
                WHY DID YOU MAKE THAT ASSUMPTION?
12
          A WHAT ASSUMPTION?
13
               THAT THE CONTRACTS HAD BEEN SIGNED WITH
14
           Q
     MR. KILPATRICK?
15
          A WELL, WE WERE INFORMED BY BOTH MR. KILPATRICK
16
     AND MR. HUNT.
17
         Q AT SOME POINT LATER, DID YOU FIND OUT THAT THAT
18
19
    IN FACT WASN'T THE CASE?
20
          A YES.
21
                WHEN DID YOU FIND THAT OUT?
22
            IT WAS THE FOLLOWING YEAR IN THE SPRING, I HAVE
    FORGOTTEN THE DATE, MARCH OR APRIL, IN A CONVERSATION WITH
23
24
    MR. KILPATRICK IN DENVER.
25
          Q AND WHAT WAS THE CONVERSATION ABOUT?
26
          Α
            I ASKED HIM --
27
                YOU SEE, THERE HAD BEEN NO MONEY COMING IN SO
28
    I INQUIRED.
```

	Q	NO MONEY COMING IN TO WHERE?
1		
2	A	INTO COGENCO TO PAY ANYONE OR THE RENT.
3	Q	WHERE WAS THAT MONEY SUPPOSED TO COME FROM?
4	А	FROM BBC.
5	Q	THAT WAS PURSUANT TO THE CONTRACT THAT SAID THERE
6	WAS GOING TO	BE \$50,000 RIGHT AWAY, THEN \$800,000 SHORTLY AFTER
7	THAT AND TH	EN \$10 MILLION WITHIN SIX MONTHS?
8	А	YES, THE LETTER OF COMMITMENT, RIGHT.
9	Q	AND WAS ANY OF THAT MONEY FORTHCOMING?
10	. А	NO.
11	Q	AND WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN BEING IN DENVER IN
12	THE FIRST P	LACE, IN THE SPRING OF 1984?
13	А	WELL, THIS WAS A TELEPHONE CONVERSATION.
14		I WAS NOT IN DENVER.
15	Q	ALL RIGHT. YOU TALKED TO MR. KILPATRICK?
16	А	YES.
17		AND IN QUESTIONING MR. KILPATRICK, HE TOLD ME
18	THAT THE CO	NTRACT HAD NOT, AS HE THOUGHT, BEEN SIGNED WITH
19	COGENCO SYS	TEMS BUT WITH A COMPANY CALLED MICROGENESIS, WHICH
20	I HAD NEVER	HEARD OF THAT.
21	Q	WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME, IN FACT, DURING THAT
22	TELEPHONE C	ONVERSATION THAT YOU HEARD OF MICROGENESIS?
23	А	YES, THAT IS TRUE.
24	Q	AND WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU HEARD ABOUT THAT?
25	А	WELL, I GOT RATHER MAD.
26	Q	AND THIS WAS THE CONTRACT THAT COGENCO WAS
27	SUPPOSED TO	HAVE WITH MR. KILPATRICK, THAT IS, COGENCO AND
28	CYCLATRONIC	S WERE SUPPOSED TO HAVE WITH MR. KILPATRICK AND

YOU FOUND OUT THAT IN FACT IT WAS NOT CYCLATRONICS BUT IN FACT, MICROGENESIS? A NO. WE FOUND OUT THAT THE NAME OF COGENCO SYSTEMS, IN THE CONTRACT, HAD BEEN CROSSED OUT AND THAT MICROGENESIS HAD BEEN INSERTED ALL THROUGH THE CONTRACT AND IN THE SIGNATURE LINE AND SIGNED WITH MR. KILPATRICK.

ve.

Q AND WHEN YOU FOUND THAT OUT, WHAT DID YOU 1 DO? 2 3 A I CALLED A BOARD MEETING AND INFORMED OUR DIRECTORS -- THOSE OF US THAT WERE STILL REMAINING AS 4 PART OF THE OLD BOARD. AND WE DECIDED TO GO DIRECTLY 5 WITH A NEW CONTRACT TO MR. KILPATRICK, WHO OFFERED THAT 6 TO US. 7 DID YOU DO THAT? Q 8 Α YES. 9 Q WHEN WAS THAT DONE? 10 WE IMMEDIATELY STARTED NEGOTIATIONS, WHICH 11 WERE DIFFICULT BECAUSE MICROGENESIS WOULD NOT BACK AWAY. 12 DID YOU ATTEMPT TO HAVE MICROGENESIS BACK Q 13 AWAY? 14 15 Α YES. 16 Q HOW DID YOU DO THAT? Α 17 THROUGH MR. KILPATRICK. 18 AND WHEN YOU STARTED THESE NEGOTIATIONS, WAS THIS IN THE SPRING OF 1984? 19 20 THE SPRING AND SUMMER. 21 AND AT SOME POINT WHILE YOU WERE ATTEMPTING 22 TO GET A NEW AGREEMENT WITH MR. KILPATRICK, DID SOMETHING 23 HAPPEN TO YOU OUTSIDE OF A BUSINESS, OUTSIDE OF YOUR OFFICES 24 IN IRVINE? 25 A YES. 26 CAN YOU PLACE THIS INCIDENT IN POINT OF TIME? 27 GIVE US A TIME FRAME IN TERMS OF WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH

THESE VARIOUS CONTRACTS AT THAT TIME.

A WELL, I THINK IT WAS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN APRIL

10 AND APRIL 13 THAT WE LEARNED OF THE CONTRACT WITH MICROGENESIS

AND UNITED FINANCIAL AND SATURN ENERGY.

Q AND THEN WHEN YOU LEARNED OF THAT, WHEN YOU

FIRST LEARNED OF THAT CONTRACT, IS THAT WHEN YOU TOLD

MR. KILPATRICK -- DID YOU EVER MAKE A STATEMENT TO MR.

KILPATRICK ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT MICROGENESIS HAD THE TECHNOLOGY

THEY PURPORTED TO HAVE?

A WELL, MR. KILPATRICK INFORMED ME THAT THEY

HAD TAKEN OUR TECHNOLOGY AS WELL AS MICROGENESIS FROM

DR. BROWNING AND PUT IT -- SORRY -- AS WELL AS CYCLATRONICS

TECHNOLOGY OF DR. BROWNING AND PUT IT ALL INTO MICROGENESIS

IN ORDER TO MAKE THE CONTRACT THEN WITH MICROGENESIS AND

THE OTHER TWO KILPATRICK COMPANIES.

Q AND WHEN YOU WERE TOLD THAT, DID YOU TELL

MR. KILPATRICK ANYTHING ABOUT WHO IN FACT HAD THE TECHNOLOGY?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?

A I TOLD HIM THAT WE HAD 100 PERCENT OF THE STOCK OF CYCLATRONICS. WE HAD GIVEN ALL OF THE CONTROLLING SHARES OF BOTH COGENCO, THE UNISSUED SHARES OF COGENCO AND U.S. FLYWHEELS TO THE BBC IN EXCHANGE FOR IT. AND THEY HAD NO RIGHT TO IT.

WE WERE THE BOARD AND WE WERE THE OFFICERS

ON IT STILL, EVEN THOUGH IT WAS OWNED BY COGENCO SYSTEMS.

AND THAT WAS SUBSEQUENT TO THE BBC TAKING CONTROL OF THE BOARD OF COGENCO.

Q DID YOU TELL THAT TO MR. KILPATRICK WHEN YOU

. .

Q WHAT TYPE OF BUILDINGS ARE LOCATED ON MC GAW AT 1372 AND 1392?

A THERE WERE TWO TILT-UP BUILDINGS, NOT IN

PROPORTION BUT APPROXIMATELY LOCATED IN RELATION TO EASTMAN

AND MC GAW.

Q WHEN YOU SAY, "NOT IN PROPORTION," DOES THAT MEAN THAT ONE IS BIGGER THAN THE OTHER?

A 1372 IS MUCH BIGGER THAN 1392, YES.

Q AND WHEN YOU WENT TO WORK ON THE MORNING OF APRIL THE 13TH, 1984, WHAT TIME DID YOU ARRIVE?

A JUST BEFORE 8 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING.

Q DID YOU DRIVE YOUR CAR?

A YES I DID.

Q WHERE DID YOU PARK IT?

A I PARKED -- MAY I POINT?

Q WHY DON'T YOU JUST TAKE THIS RED PEN AND DRAW
A RECTANGLE ON THE DIAGRAM.

A LET'S SEE. I CAME UP MC GAW AND TURNED IN HERE
AND PARKED APPROXIMATELY THERE. THERE ARE TWO OR THREE
PARKING SPOTS THERE.

Q CAN YOU DO THAT AGAIN? BUT, DO IT FROM THE OTHER SIDE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO PUT A MARK THERE. BUT GO TO THE OTHER SIDE SO THE JURY CAN SEE.

A I PARKED THERE. THERE ARE THREE STALLS AND I
THINK -- I THINK THERE ARE. I DIDN'T DO A VERY GOOD JOB.

I THINK I PARKED IN THE SECOND SPACE FACING THE BUILDING BECAUSE I ALWAYS PARKED HERE. THIS IS THE DOORWAY AT 1372. MY OFFICE WAS IN THIS BUILDING.

```
O SO, YOU PARKED AND THE FRONT OF YOUR CAR WAS FACING
 1
     THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING?
 2
          A YES. THE FRONT OF THE CAR WAS -- I DON'T BELIEVE
 3
     SO. I AM NOT SURE. IT WAS EITHER AGAINST THE BUILDING OR
 4
     OFF TO THE SIDE, HERE. I AM NOT TOO CERTAIN OF THAT. THIS
 5
     IS FOUR YEARS LATER.
 6
 7
          Q ALL RIGHT. AND YOU COULD JUST RESUME YOUR SEAT
     FOR THE TIME BEING. WHEN YOU DROVE UP --
 8
           THE COURT: THIS IS APRIL OF 1984?
9
          THE WITNESS: '83 -- WAIT A MINUTE. '84 IS RIGHT, SIR.
10
          THE COURT: SO IT IS ONLY THREE YEARS?
11
          THE WITNESS: YES. THAT'S TRUE. SORRY, SIR.
12
13
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHEN YOU DROVE UP TO THE PARKING
14
15
     SPACE, DID YOU NOTICE ANYONE IN PARTICULAR LOCATED THERE?
16
          A YES. IT WAS THE UNUSUAL. THERE WAS A BLACK MAN
17
     LEANING UP AGAINST 1372, JUST ONE OR TWO STALLS AWAY FROM
18
     WHERE I PARKED.
19
          O CAN YOU JUST GO BACK TO THE DIAGRAM AND TAKE
20
     THIS OTHER GREASE PENCIL AND PUT AN "X" WHERE THIS PERSON
21
     WAS?
22
          Α
                HE WAS APPROXIMATELY AT THIS SPOT (INDICATING).
23
          Q
                OKAY.
24
          А
                WHERE I COULD SEE HIM FROM THE DRIVER'S SIDE.
25
    AS I PULLED IN.
26
          Q AND THAT IS THE SPOT WHERE YOU PUT THE "X" ON
27
     THE DIAGRAM?
```

A YES. HE WAS ACTUALLY LEANING WITH HIS BACK AGAINST

Q AFTER YOU PULLED IN TO THE LOCATION WHERE YOU PARKED, WHAT DID YOU DO?

A WELL, I DID AS I ALWAYS DO, I HAD A FOUR-DOOR BROWN CAR, AND I GOT OUT THE DRIVER'S SIDE AND I HAD MY BRIEFCASE ALWAYS IN THE BACK SEAT ON MY -- ON MY SIDE OF THE CAR, SO I OPENED THE BACK SEAT DOOR ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE AND REACHED IN TO GET THE BRIEFCASE BY THE HANDLE.

Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU DID THAT?

A I SAW A FLASH AND BEFORE I COULD -- BEFORE
I COULD WHIRL AROUND, I FELT SOMETHING HIT MY BACK.

I THOUGHT I WAS STABBED.

Q WHY DID YOU THINK YOU WERE STABBED?

A WELL, IT WAS -- IT -- I SAW A FLASH OF, IT

MAY HAVE BEEN THROUGH THE GLASS OF THE DOOR THAT WAS OPEN

AND THE SUN WAS COMING UP FAIRLY HIGH IN THE EAST, WHICH

WAS RIGHT IN MY EYES, AS I LOOKED THROUGH THIS DOOR, THE

GLASS OF THE DOOR, AND AT THE TIME I THOUGHT I WAS STABBED,

YOU KNOW, AND I WAS VERY FRIGHTENED, VERY CONFUSED.

Q WHAT DID YOU FEEL AT THE TIME?

A WELL, IT WAS --

Q WHAT WAS THE PHYSICAL SENSATION THAT YOU FELT?

A WELL, AT FIRST IT WAS -- LIKE I SAY, I THOUGHT

I WAS STABBED AND THEN IT WAS VERY COLD AND I COULDN'T --

I -- AS I RAN AFTER HIM, AFTER WE SCUFFLED,

25 | I RAN AFTER HIM AND CHASED HIM.

THERE WAS A BIG SEMI TRUCK BACKING IN TO OUR PLANT THERE AND THE DRIVER --

Q HOLD ON A SECOND.

1	A I AM SORRY.		
2	Q BECAUSE WE ARE A LITTLE BIT AHEAD OF OURSELVES.		
3	A OKAY. I AM SORRY.		
4	Q AS YOU WERE GETTING YOUR BRIEFCASE OUT OF		
5	THE CAR, YOU SAID YOU SAW A FLASH, WHICH DIRECTION WAS		
6	IT COMING FROM, WHERE DID YOU SEE THAT?		
7	A WELL, AS I SAY, AS I WHIRLED, I SAW A FLASH		
8	OF LIGHT. I THOUGHT IT WAS A KNIFE. BUT I COULD NOT		
9	BE CERTAIN OF THAT.		
10	Q WERE YOU WHIRLING JUST AS IN THE COURSE OF		
11	GETTING YOUR BRIEFCASE OUT OR FOR SOME OTHER REASON?		
12	A NO, I HAD JUST TAKEN HOLD OF THE BRIEFCASE		
13	WHEN THIS LIQUID, WHATEVER IT WAS, WAS THROWN ON MY BACK		
14	AND I DIDN'T SEE HIM THROW IT.		
15	Q WAS IT BEFORE THAT THAT YOU SAW THIS FLASH?		
16	A NO.		
17	AS I WHIRLED, I SAW IT.		
18	Q SO DID YOU FEEL SOMETHING ON YOUR BACK BEFORE		
19	YOU WHIRLED AROUND?		
20	A YES.		
21	Q WHAT WERE YOU WEARING?		
22	A I HAD TAKEN OFF MY BUSINESS SUIT AND HAD PUT		
23	IT IN THE BACK SEAT TO KEEP THE COAT FROM WRINKLING, AS		
24	MY WIFE REQUIRES THAT, AND SO I WAS JUST IN A SHIRT AND		
25	TIE.		
26	Q SO BEFORE YOU SAW THAT FLASH, YOU FELT SOMETHING		
27	ON YOUR BACK; IS THAT RIGHT?		
28	A I BEG YOUR PARDON?		

I BEG YOUR PARDON?

1	Q	BEFORE YOU SAW THIS FLASH, YOU SAY YOU FELT
2	SOMETHING.	
3	А	WELL, IT WAS ALMOST CONCURRENT.
4	Q	WHEN YOU FELT THIS, IS THAT WHEN YOU WHIRLED
5	AROUND?	
6	А	YES.
7	Q	WAS THE DOOR TO THE CAR STILL OPEN?
8	А	YES.
9	Q	AND DO YOU KNOW WHICH WAY IS NORTH ON THIS
10	DIAGRAM?	
11	А	WELL, I AM NOT I AM NOT SURE OF IT.
12		BUT I KNOW THAT AS MY CAR WAS HERE
13	Q	WELL, LET ME DO IT THIS WAY, BECAUSE REALLY
14	WHAT I AM GI	ETTING AT IS WHERE THE SUN WAS.
15		AT WHAT POINT WAS THE SUN, DID YOU FEEL LIKE
16	THE SUN WAS	IN YOUR DIRECTION? WHICH DIRECTION WERE YOU
17	LOOKING, IF	YOUR CAR WAS THERE (COUNSEL INDICATING).
18	А	WELL, LIKE IT SEEMED LIKE IT WAS THROUGH THE
19	WINDOW OF TH	HE DOOR AND IT WOULD BE EASTWARD, SO I GUESS
20	NORTH WOULD	BE OUT THIS OTHER DIRECTION (WITNESS INDICATING).
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

KIND OF TOWARD THE RIGHT TOP, THE TOP UPPER 1 RIGHT-HAND CORNER OF THE DIAGRAM? 2 I DON'T THINK THE STREETS ARE REALLY NORTH 3 4 AND SOUTH IN IRVINE. 5 I AM NOT SURE OF THAT, THOUGH, EITHER. Q OKAY. YOU FELT THIS LIQUID ON YOUR BACK, 6 YOU WHIRLED AROUND AND WHAT HAPPENED? 7 WELL, WE SCUFFLED A BIT AND HE RAN AND I CHASED HIM. 9 10 Q I TAKE IT THERE WAS A PERSON THERE? Α YES. 11 12 0 AND YOU SCUFFLED WITH THIS PERSON? Α YES. 13 Q THE PERSON THAT YOU SCUFFLED WITH, HAD YOU 14 SEEN THAT PERSON A FEW MOMENTS PRIOR TO THAT? 15 16 Α YES. ALL RIGHT. WHERE HAD YOU SEEN HIM? 17 18 WELL, LIKE I SAID, I COULD SEE HE HAD A WHITE CUP. I THOUGHT HE WAS DRINKING COFFEE, WAITING FOR SOMETHING 19 20 AT THE PLANT, AND HE SMILED. I RETURNED THE SMILE. 21 SO THE PERSON THAT YOU SCUFFLED WITH WAS THE 22 SAME PERSON WHO WAS STANDING LEANING UP AGAINST THE BUILDING? 23 Α YES. 24 WHEN YOU SCUFFLED WITH HIM, WHAT DID YOU DO 25 EXACTLY? WAS IT PUSHING OR SHOVING OR WHAT WAS GOING 26 ON? 27 WELL, I THOUGHT I SWUNG THE BRIEFCASE, BUT 28 I KNOW I DIDN'T HAVE THE BRIEFCASE AS I CHASED HIM, SO

1	I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE.	
2	I WAS VERY CONFUSED.	
3	Q WHERE DID YOU CHASE THIS PERSON?	
4	A WELL, I CHASED HIM RIGHT OUT. HE RAN OUT	
5	IN THAT IN THAT INSTANT, WHERE THE CAR WAS PARKED	
6	OUT BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS AND PAST THE TRUCK THAT WAS	
7	BACKING IN THERE, AND I RAN TO THE DRIVER OF THAT TRUCK	
8	AND SAID, "WHICH WAY DID HE GO?"	
9	AND HE SAID, "THAT WAY." AND I RAN OUT TO	
10	EASTMAN AND HE HAD DISAPPEARED.	
11	Q HOW OLD DID THIS MAN APPEAR TO BE?	
12	A I DIDN'T REALLY GET A GOOD LOOK AT HIM. I	
13	WOULD THINK ABOUT 38 TO 40 WOULD BE A GOOD GUESS.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

HOW OLD WERE YOU AT THE TIME THAT THIS HAPPENED? Q 1 Α 67. 2 AND CAN YOU GO TO THE DIAGRAM AND SHOW US 3 WHERE THE TRUCK WAS AND THE PATH THAT THIS MAN TOOK THAT 4 YOU CHASED? 5 (WHEREUPON, WITNESS APPROACHES DIAGRAM.) 6 THE WITNESS: THE CAB -- I MEAN THE TRACTOR OF THE 7 TRUCK WAS HERE AND HE HAD THE SEMI-TRAILER BACKED INTO 8 OUR DOCK AT THIS POINT --9 I AM SORRY, I PROBABLY SHOULD DO IT FROM 10 THIS SIDE. 11 THE DRIVER, OF COURSE, WAS UP HIGH THERE AND 12 13 THE BLACK MAN RAN OUT IN THIS DIRECTION WITH ME CHASING HIM AND WHEN I GOT TO THIS POINT, I DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER 14 HE HAD GONE IN HERE FOR SURE OR OUT THAT WAY (INDICATING). 15 16 Q AND WHICH DIRECTION DID YOU GO WHEN YOU GOT 17 UP TO THAT? 18 A I WENT RUNNING OUT TO EASTMAN AND LOOKED UP 19 BOTH SIDES OF THE STREET AND COULDN'T SEE ANYTHING. 20 BY THIS TIME, MY BACK WAS BURNING, SO I WENT 21 BACK AND WENT INTO THE MEN'S ROOM AT THE PLANT AND TOOK 22 OFF MY SHIRT AND WASHED IT, AS WELL AS MY BACK, FROM THE 23 BURNING SENSATION. 24 0 AND WHAT DID YOU WASH YOUR BACK WITH? 25 Α JUST WATER.

DID THE BURNING SENSATION GO AWAY OR DID IT

28 A IT WENT AWAY.

REMAIN?

26

1	Q AFTER YOU WASHED OFF WHATEVER IT WAS THAT
2	WAS THROWN ON YOU
3	A YES.
4	Q WHEN YOU TOOK OFF YOUR SHIRT, DID YOU EXAMINE
5	IT?
6	A I DID, YES.
7	Q WAS THERE SOME TYPE OF LIQUID ON THERE?
8	A WELL, IT WAS WET AND IT HAD A DIFFERENT COLOR
9	THAN WATER.
10	I WASHED THAT AS BEST I COULD, BECAUSE I THOUGHT
11	I WOULD HAVE TO PUT THE SHIRT BACK ON. BUT I REMEMBERED
12	I HAD A SUITCASE IN THE BACK OF THE CAR AND IT HAD ANOTHER
13	SHIRT.
14	THE POLICE WERE THERE BY THIS TIME AND TOOK
15	MY SHIRT.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

+ FO.

A-1Q DID YOU EVER SEE THE POLICE TAKE THE CUP THAT 1 YOU HAD SEEN THIS BLACK MAN HAVE EARLIER? 2 A I DON'T REMEMBER THEM PICKING UP THE CUP, NO. 3 BUT I DO REMEMBER IT WAS ON THE GROUND. WERE YOU IN APPROXIMATELY THE SAME PHYSICAL 5 CONDITION AT THAT TIME THAT YOU ARE NOW? 6 WELL, I WAS NOT QUITE SO FAT. 7 AND DID YOU MAKE A REPORT OF THIS TO THE POLICE? Q 8 THE PEOPLE IN OUR OFFICE CALLED THE POLICE. AND 9 THEY WERE THERE BY THE TIME I FOUND A CLEAN SHIRT. 10 THE BLACK MAN THAT YOU SAW THAT DAY, HAD YOU EVER 11 SEEN HIM BEFORE? 12 13 А NO, SIR. DID THE POLICE SHOW YOU SOME PHOTOGRAPHS AND ASK 14 Q YOU TO ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY HIM? 15 А YES, SIR. 16 Q 17 WERE YOU ABLE TO DO THAT? 18 А I COULD NOT IDENTIFY HIM. 19 Q AND THAT ATTACK HAPPENED WITH A DAY OR TWO OF YOUR TALKING TO MR. KILPATRICK ON THE TELEPHONE? 20 21 A NOT MANY -- NOT MORE THAN A DAY OR TWO, IT WOULD 22 SEEM. YES. 23 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 24 MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT, PLEASE. 25 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 26 AT THE BENCH OUT OF THE PRESENCE AND 27 HEARING OF THE JURY:)

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE IS REQUESTING THAT

28

```
THE COURT: I HEARD IT ON THE GUILT PHASE. THERE WAS
 1
     TONS AND TONS OF TESTIMONY RELATING TO THESE PARTICULAR
 2
     TRANSACTIONS WITH MR. SWARTOUT.
 3
           MR. CHIER: BUT NOW THE GUILT IS OVER AND --
 4
 5
           THE COURT: NO. BUT YOU ARE AWARE OF THE FACT THAT
     THE SWARTOUT MATTER WAS COMING UP.
 6
 7
           MR. CHIER: MR. WAPNER GAVE US, LATE ON SATURDAY NIGHT --
 8
           THE COURT: THAT WAS BACK IN 1985 THAT --
           MR. CHIER: PLEASE --
 9
10
           THE COURT: YOU GOT IT IN '85. THERE WAS THE REPORT
11
     ABOUT SWARTOUT. YOU SHOULD HAVE PREPARED EVERYTHING ABOUT
     SWARTOUT.
12
13
           MR. CHIER: WE DIDN'T GET SOME OF THE STUFF UNTIL
     SATURDAY NIGHT FROM MR. WAPNER.
14
15
           THE COURT: WHAT?
16
           MR. CHIER: THERE WAS A STACK LIKE THIS (INDICATING)
17
     OF FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS THAT --
18
           THE COURT: EVERYTHING WITH RESPECT TO THE TRANSACTIONS
19
     BETWEEN THE BBC AND SWARTOUT WERE KNOWN TO YOU LONG, LONG,
20
     LONG AGO.
21
          MR. CHIER: WE DID NOT GET --
22
           THE COURT: IT WAS BROUGHT UP AS A MATTER OF FACT, IN
23
     THE GUILT PHASE.
24
          MR. BARENS: COULD WE KEEP OUR VOICES DOWN, JUDGE?
25
          MR. CHIER: WE DID NOT GET NOTICE THAT THE PEOPLE INTENDED
26
     TO USE THESE UNTIL SATURDAY NIGHT. I HAD NOT BEEN ACQUAINTED
27
     PRIOR TO --
```

THE COURT: WELL, YOU SHOULD BE PREPARED. DO THE BEST

28

TIME I RECEIVED IT, I COULDN'T GET TO THE DEFENDANT. I DIDN'T SEE HIM UNTIL YESTERDAY MORNING, AT WHICH TIME I WAS BUSY WITH THE RAFT OF STUFF THAT --THE COURT: DO THE BEST YOU CAN WITH WHAT YOU HAVE GOT.

ВF

· •

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, CAN I JUST FINISH? I WENT TO SEE MR. HUNT TOMORROW NIGHT --

MR. BARENS: WE WENT TO SEE HIM LAST NIGHT. WE COULDN'T ACCESS HIM WITH THIS MATERIAL LAST NIGHT BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T BRING HIM BACK. WE WERE THERE AT 8:30 AT NIGHT. HE WAS NOT THERE.

MR. CHIER: HE WAS NOT THERE UNTIL 9:00 AT NIGHT.

MR. BARENS: THEY HAD NOT --

THE COURT: WHY?

MR. BARENS: THE JAIL CLOSES AT FIVE MINUTES TO 9:00, WHETHER YOU SEE THE GUY OR YOU DON'T. THEY DIDN'T BRING HIM BACK.

MR. CHIER: THERE ARE DOCUMENTS ON FILE WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT --

THE COURT: BUT YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT THIS. YOU NEVER TALKED TO HIM ABOUT THE SWARTOUT MATTER? YOU NEVER TALKED ABOUT THE SWARTOUT MATTER AND THE FACTS ABOUT IT?

MR. BARENS: COULD I -- IF I CAN ANSWER, I WILL JUST ANSWER.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

MR. BARENS: WE TALKED ABOUT JUST THE THINGS IN THE CHARGING PARAGRAPH, SOLELY CONCERNING THE INCIDENT THAT OCCURRED AT THE IRVINE PLANT.

WHAT WE SEEK TO DO IS, WE NEED TO -- THEY ARE TRYING TO SHOW MOTIVE ON THE PART OF THE DEFENDANT AND WE NEED TO SHOW MOTIVE ON THE PART OF THE DEFENDANT --THE PART OF THE WITNESS TOWARD THE DEFENDANT, BASED ON

ALL OF THE CONTRACTUAL STUFF THAT I DIDN'T GET UNTIL SATURDAY NIGHT.

THE COURT: WELL, WE HAD IT DURING THE GUILT PHASE.

DIDN'T YOU GO INTO THIS SWARTOUT DEAL AND THE CONTACTS

AND SO FORTH AT THAT TIME?

MR. BARENS: ONLY BRIEFLY TO TOUCH ON THE FACT THAT
THERE WAS SOME -- ALMOST NOT AT ALL.

JEFF RAYMOND TESTIFIED THAT HE LIVED DOWN

IN BALBOA I THINK FOR TWO MONTHS WITH DAVE MAY TO CHECK

INTO THE COGENCO --

THE COURT: I WILL TELL THE JURY THAT YOU WANT AN ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREPARING SOME CROSS-EXAMINATION. ALL RIGHT?

MR. BARENS: WE APPRECIATE IT.

MR. CHIER: WOULD YOUR HONOR INDICATE THAT THE MATERIALS
WERE LATE AND --

MR. BARENS: WE DIDN'T GET THEM UNTIL SUNDAY AND -THE COURT: YOU MEAN TO SAY THAT YOU ARE NOT EVEN
PREPARED TO ASK HIM ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT HE HAS TESTIFIED
HERE SO FAR?

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE THING IS --

THE COURT: YOU CAN TAKE THE REST OF THE --

MR. BARENS: I WOULD LIKE TO SEQUENCE IT FOUNDATIONALLY, BASED ON WHERE HE STARTED FROM.

MR. WAPNER: PERHAPS WHAT WE CAN DO IS DO WHATEVER EXAMINATION THEY ARE GOING TO DO ABOUT THE FACTS OF THE ACTUAL ASSAULT NOW. THEN THEY CAN DO THE BACKGROUND STUFF TOMORROW.

5 FO.

THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I SAID. WHY DON'T YOU GO INTO THOSE FACTS FIRST AND THEN THE BACKGROUND STUFF TOMORROW?

MR. CHIER: BECAUSE IN ORDER TO PROPERLY CROSS-EXAMINE
THIS MAN, YOUR HONOR, THE CROSS-EXAMINATION SHOULD FOLLOW,
GENERALLY, THE LINE OF THE DIRECT EXAMINATION. OTHERWISE --

MR. BARENS: WAIT A MINUTE. LET'S JUST DO WHAT THE JUDGE SAID. IF HE WANTS TO TELL THEM WE REQUESTED UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING, HE IS GOING TO SAY IT. THANK YOU.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE DEFENSE REQUESTS

AN ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME FOR THE PURPOSE OF FURTHER

PREPARATION. VERY RELUCTANTLY, I HAVE AGREED TO DO IT.

WE'LL TAKE AN ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME UNTIL 10:30 TOMORROW MORNING. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I GAVE YOU THROUGHOUT APPLIES. GOOD NIGHT.

(JURY LEAVES COURTROOM.)

25

26

27

FOR MR. HUNT.

28

(FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN

GET THE DISCOVERY MATERIALS WE TALKED ABOUT AT BENCH,

MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT
THAT THE DEFENSE WAS TAKEN ABACK, NOT HAVING RECEIVED
UNTIL THE WEEKEND, THIS BALLISTICS MATERIAL THAT I HAD
NO OPPORTUNITY TO PROPERLY PREPARE ON, YOUR HONOR.

THE PEOPLE MUST HAVE KNOWN FOR A GOOD DEAL OF TIME THEY WERE GOING TO PRODUCE BALLISTICS MATERIALS, WHICH WE WERE NEVER ACCESSED TO, UNTIL ACTUALLY -- AND MR. WAPNER IS GOING TO HAVE TO REFRESH MY RECOLLECTION WHETHER I GOT IT SUNDAY OR MONDAY AND HEARD IT TODAY.

I HAVE NO BACKGROUND AT ALL IN BALLISTICS.

THE COURT: YOU DID PRETTY WELL ON YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU KNOW A LOT ABOUT IT.

MR. BARENS: IT SOUNDED LIKE IT, DIDN'T IT?

THE COURT: IT CERTAINLY DID.

MR. BARENS: I CERTAINLY DON'T.

WE ARE CITING FOR THIS RELIEF, WHICH WOULD

BE A MOTION TO STRIKE THAT TESTIMONY, PEOPLE V. GRANT,

WHICH CLEARLY SAYS, AND I AM QUOTING IT: "THAT EXCEPT

FOR EVIDENCE" --

(FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.)

MR. BARENS: WHICH REFERENCES TO SECTION 193 OF
THE PENAL CODE AT PARAGRAPH 4 THEREOF, WHICH IS RECITED
IN THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE: "THAT NO EVIDENCE MAY BE
PRESENTED BY THE PROSECUTION IN AGGRAVATION UNLESS NOTICE
OF THE EVIDENCE TO BE INTRODUCED HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THE

ikang T

DEFENDANT WITHIN A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME AS DETERMINED BY THE COURT PRIOR TO THE TIME" --THE COURT: THEY GAVE IT TO YOU TWO YEARS AGO.

And the second s

MR. BARENS: NO, YOUR HONOR, NOT THE BALLISTICS 1 MATERIAL. 2 THE COURT: YOU MEAN EVERY SINGLE FACT, THEY ARE 3 REQUIRED TO GIVE THAT TO YOU? 4 5 MR. BARENS: IT IS A MAJOR KIND OF FACT, WHEN YOU ARE GOING TO PUT TOGETHER BALLISTICS EVIDENCE THAT YOU 6 7 ARE GOING TO TIE IN WITH A WEAPON, WHICH YOU ARE GOING TO PRODUCE, TYING IT IN TO THE ALLEGED ACTIVITY. IF THAT 8 WERE AVAILABLE, WE COULD HAVE --9 10 THE COURT: AS PART OF AN ASSAULT, YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOMEBODY WITH SOMETHING, SOME KIND OF A WEAPON. PART 11 OF THE ASSAULT WAS WITH A WEAPON. 12 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: NOW, YOU KNEW ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE 14 WAS A WEAPON. 15 16 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: MERELY BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T GIVE YOU 17 THE BALLISTICS ON EVERYTHING, WHICH THEY THEMSELVES DIDN'T 18 19 DO UNTIL APRIL OR MAY, WAS IT? 20 MR. WAPNER: IT WAS DONE LAST WEEK. 21 THE COURT: THEY COULDN'T GIVE YOU THAT UNTIL THEY 22 ACTUALLY DID IT, WHICH WAS LAST WEEK, AND THEY GAVE IT 23 TO YOU THEN. 24 MR. BARENS: BUT THAT IS AT THEIR MOTIVATION. 25 THE DEFENDANT: AT THEIR LEAVE. 26 MR. BARENS: THAT IS AT THEIR LEAVE. 27 I WOULD NOT INTERVIEW A WITNESS UNTIL THE

NIGHT BEFORE, IF I WERE A PROSECUTOR, AND THEN I HAND

28

6 FO. 20

THE GUY'S STATEMENT TO THE DEFENSE THE NEXT DAY.

THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE EVIDENCE THEY NEEDED.

THEY WOULD HAVE DONE IT SOONER IF THEY HAD HAD THE EVIDENCE.

MR. WAPNER: WE DID NOT PICK UP THE GUNS OR BULLETS
FROM THE SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE GARDENA POLICE
DEPARTMENT UNTIL LAST TUESDAY. AND WEDNESDAY MORNING,
THEY WERE TAKEN TO THE CRIME LAB AND AS SOON AS WE GOT
THE RESULTS, I MADE THEM AVAILABLE TO MR. BARENS.

I AM HAPPY TO HAVE THE EXHIBITS, WHICH ARE NOW IN THE CUSTODY OF THE COURT, TAKEN OUT, BY A SPECIFIC ORDER OF THE COURT, AND GIVEN TO ANY BALLISTIC EXAMINER THAT COUNSEL CHOOSES AT THE APPOINTMENT OF THE COURT, TO HAVE AN INDEPENDENT EXAMINATION CONDUCTED. I AM SURE THE SHERIFF'S PEOPLE DID IT AS A RUSH FOR US IN A FEW DAYS.

BASICALLY, IF YOU CAN FIND A PERSON AVAILABLE
TO DO IT, IT TAKES SEVERAL HOURS FOR THE PERSON TO SIT
AND EXAMINE ALL OF THESE ITEMS, AND I AM HAPPY TO HAVE
THEM SUBMITTED TO ANY INDEPENDENT EXPERT.

•

THE COURT: IS THERE ANY SERIOUS QUESTION IN YOUR MIND ABOUT THE FACT THAT IT WAS THE SAME GUN?

MR. BARENS: TO BE CANDID YOUR HONOR, NO. I AM NOT GOING TO LIE TO THE COURT THAT --

THE COURT: WHY DO YOU NEED ANOTHER BALLISTICS EXPERT?

MR. BARENS: I THINK THAT I AM PROTESTING THE MANNER IN WHICH I GET HOISTED, WITH THIS KIND OF DRAMATIC EVIDENCE THAT CHANGES THE COMPLEXION OF WHAT I AM DEALING WITH IN THIS STAGE OF THE CASE, THAT NOW, ALL OF A SUDDEN, I HAVE BALLISTIC PROBLEMS THAT I DIDN'T KNOW EXISTED UNTIL I GOT HERE.

THE COURT: IS THERE A PROBLEM ABOUT IT? YOU CONCEDED THAT IT IS THE SAME GUN.

MR. BARENS: WELL, IT ADDED A MAJOR ARROW TO THE QUIVER OF THE PROSECUTION WHEN YOU CAN MAKE A BALLISTICS ARGUMENT AS WELL AS IN ADDITION TO THE MORE GENERALIZED CONTEXT.

ALL I AM SAYING IS THAT OUT OF SENSE OF FAIRNESS,
AS WE GO THROUGH THIS --

THE COURT: WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WANT ME TO DO AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT? YOU DON'T WANT ANOTHER BALLISTICS EXPERT.

YOU DON'T WANT TO HIRE ANY. YOU ARE SATISFIED IT WAS THE SAME GUN.

WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WANT?

MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

(UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL

AND THE DEFENDANT.)

MR. BARENS: I THINK THE DEFENDANT'S CONTENTION IS THAT

IT LACKED FAIRNESS IN THE WAY THE EVIDENCE WAS PROPOUNDED

AND THE LACK OF NOTICE AS TO THE PROPOUNDING OF THAT EVIDENCE,

1

(MR. CHIER ENTERS CHAMBERS.)

3

MR. BARENS: BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF NOTICE AND BECAUSE

4

IT WAS REALLY A SIGNIFICANT TYPE OF EVIDENCE.

5

THE COURT: LAST WEEK THEY GOT THE GUN AND FOUND OUT

6

AND DID ALL OF THE TESTS.

7

MR. BARENS: AGAIN YOUR HONOR, THAT IS BY CHOICE OF

8

THE PEOPLE IN PREPARING THIS EVIDENCE AND THE ISSUES GOING

9

TO THAT TYPE OF PACING.

10

THE COURT: HAVE YOU ANY EXPLANATION AS TO THE DELAY,

11

1 MR. WAPNER?

12

MR. WAPNER: WELL, REALLY, I DON'T EXCEPT THAT I DIDN'T

13

REALLY BEGIN TO DEAL SERIOUSLY WITH THE PENALTY PHASE UNTIL

14

AFTER THE CONVICTION.

15

DURING THE GUILT PHASE, I WAS PREPARING THE GUILT

THE COURT: YOU GAVE THEM THE INFORMATION AT THE FIRST

16

PHASE AND I BEGAN WORK IN ERNEST ON THIS AFTER -- WELL, THE

17

17

18

19

OPPORTUNITY, DID YOU?

FIRST OPPORTUNITY --

20

MR. WAPNER: THE FIRST TIME THAT I HAD IT, IT WAS ALSO

21

AVAILABLE TO THEM. I DIDN'T DO IT BEFORE. AS FAR AS WHY,

22

THERE ISN'T ANY REASON OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT WE DIDN'T

23

START SERIOUS WORK ON THE GUILT PHASE UNTIL AFTER THE PENALTY

24

PHASE -- I MEAN, UNTIL AFTER THE GUILT PHASE WAS OVER.

25

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU USE THE BALANCE OF THE TIME
TO CONFER WITH YOUR CLIENT ABOUT OTHER MATTERS?

26

MR. BARENS: LET ME JUST SEE IF THERE IS ANY OTHER POINT

2728

I NEED TO ADDRESS THE COURT ON BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR?

...

4-3 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL AND THE DEFENDANT.) MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO -- I AM ASKING THE DEFENDANT NOW TO MAKE A STATEMENT THAT I WANT ON THE RECORD. IT IS NOT BY WAY OF A MOTION OR SEEKING A REMEDY. IT IS A DISCLOSURE STATEMENT THAT I WANT THE DEFENDANT TO MAKE ON THE RECORD. ВF

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION, MR. WAPNER?

MR. BARENS: I WOULD REPRESENT IN GOOD FAITH THAT IT IS NOTHING THAT I THINK THE PEOPLE WOULD OBJECT TO AT ALL. IT IS INNOCUOUS. I WOULD SAY THAT IN GOOD FAITH BEFORE IT IS PUT ON THE RECORD.

MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO OBJECTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET HIM MAKE IT.

THE DEFENDANT: YOUR HONOR, I AM IN SOMETHING CALLED "HIGH POWER" IN THE JAIL. THEY HAVE A LOT OF K-9'S IN THE AREA AS WELL AS K-10'S.

NOW, THE K-9'S ARE A GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE

A SPECIAL DESIGNATION BECAUSE THEY ARE TESTIFYING FOR OR AGAINST

SOMEBODY IN THE JAIL FACILITY.

AND I HAVE HEARD THROUGH ATTORNEYS THAT I HAVE
BEEN INTERVIEWING AND A LOT OF OTHER PEOPLE, THERE HAS BEEN
A LOT OF DISCUSSION IN THIS MODULE THAT I AM IN MY CASE
AND I HAVE SEEN FLOATING AROUND IN THERE, COPIES OF THE
ESQUIRE ARTICLE AND THE L.A. MAGAZINE ARTICLE AND SO, THEY
KEEP FLIPPING THROUGH ON MY CASE.

I AM EXTREMELY ANXIOUS ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY

THAT SOMEBODY MIGHT COME FORWARD WHO HAS A BUNCH OF

INFORMATION ABOUT MY CASE, SUGGESTING THAT I MADE SOME SORT

OF STATEMENTS TO HIM, GIVEN THE FACT THAT THERE IS SO MUCH

BACKGROUND INFORMATION IN THE JAIL.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE A RECORD OF THE FACT THAT

I AM NOT DISCUSSING MY CASE WITH ANY INMATE IN THERE.

I HAVE BEEN ADVISED NOT TO.

THE YEAR THAT I SPENT IN JAIL BEFORE I WAS

· .:

B-2

1 2

ADMITTED TO BAIL BY YOUR HONOR, I ALSO DIDN'T HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH ANYBODY.

3 4

I AM NOT MAKING ANY FRIENDS THERE. I AM JUST HAVING A POLICY OF NOT DISCUSSING ANYTHING.

5

FURTHERMORE, I AM ALSO NOT MAKING ANY THREATS

6

OR ANYTHING OR WOULD NOT MAKE ANY THREATS ABOUT ANY WITNESSES

7

IN THIS CASE OR ANY ISSUES OF ANY SORT OF VENGEANCE OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT, SINCE I JUST WANT TO MAKE A RECORD.

8 9

I APPRECIATE YOUR HONOR, THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. IT IS

10

JUST THAT THERE ARE PROBLEMS.

11

THERE WAS AN ARTICLE IN A MAGAZINE CALLED THE

12

"EASY READER" WHICH IS A SOUTHWEST MAGAZINE THAT I READ

13

RECENTLY.

MY CONCERN.

14

IT TALKS ABOUT PROBLEMS THAT PEOPLE HAVE WITH

THE ACCUSATIONS WERE COMPLETE FALLACIOUS AND THAT EXACERBATED

MR. BARENS: ONE OF THE REASONS HE WANTED THIS DONE,

YOUR HONOR, IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CASE WHICH MR. CHIER

A JAILHOUSE SNITCH, TO USE THE -- IF YOU WILL ACCEPT THE

AND I DID THE PRELIMINARY HEARING ON, WE HAD AN INCIDENT WHERE

15

THEIR CASES IN RELATIONSHIP TO PEOPLE COMING FROM THOSE

DORMITORIES AND TESTIFYING AGAINST THEM.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GUYS.

JAILHOUSE COLLOQUIALISM, RESPECTFULLY, BY THE NAME OF JEFFREY STEEL, CAME FORWARD AND MADE THIS WILD STORY UP THAT SOME THREATS WERE MADE BY HUNT AND SOME THREATS WERE MADE BY OTHER

AND IN SOME CASES IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED THAT

28

WELL, HE NEVER MADE THEM. HE WAS TRYING TO MAKE

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 .

A DEAL AND KIND OF INGRATIATE HIMSELF BECAUSE HE HAD A PENALTY COMING UP. HE HAD READ AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER. THEN HE CAME UP WITH THIS STORY.

THANK GOD, THE JUDGE DIDN'T BELIEVE IT. BUT IT WAS BIZARRE. WHAT I AM AFRAID OF --

THE COURT: YOU ARE ALL CONCERNED THAT SOMEBODY MIGHT COME FORWARD AND SAY THAT HE MADE SOME STATEMENTS TO HIM?

MR. BARENS: WELL, HE SAID THAT HUNT WAS DOWN THERE AND HUNT JUST SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL EVERYBODY THAT TESTIFIED IN THE TRIAL AND --

THE COURT: DON'T BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. I WON'T PAY ANY ATTENTION TO IT. ALL RIGHT?

MR. BARENS: I JUST WANTED --

THE COURT: DON'T BE CONCERNED. I WON'T TAKE ANY STATEMENT FROM ANYBODY IN THE JAILHOUSE THAT HE SUPPOSEDLY SAID TO THEM.

I CAN UNDERSTAND THEIR MOTIVATION. I CAN UNDER-STAND WHY THEY WOULD WANT TO COME FORWARD AND SAY SOMETHING.

MR. BARENS: I HOPE THAT YOU WILL UNDERSTAND THAT MY CONCERN IS BASED ON THE HISTORY WE HAD BEFORE.

THE COURT: DON'T BE CONCERNED ABOUT IT.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. CHIER: MAY WE SEE HIM IN THE LOCKUP?

THE BAILIFF: YES.

(AT 4:20 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.)