## COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT



THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	)
PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,	) ) SUPERIOR COURT
VS.	) NO. A-090435
JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,	)
DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.	) oct 0 9 1987

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT:

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

**ROOM 800** 

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT:

IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 94 OF 101 (PAGES 1439 TO 14349 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT TUESDAY, MAY 19, 1987 VOLUME 94

PAGES 14189 TO 14349, INCL.

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY

1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ.

10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	TUESDAY, MAY 19, 1987	VOLUME	94 PA	GES 14189	TO 14349
2		A.M.			14189
3		P.M.			14241
4					
5	CHRONO	LOGICAL INDEX	OF WITNESS	<u>SES</u>	
6	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
7	KARNY, DEAN				
8	(CONTINUED)	1 4 2 0 0	14252-C	14306	
9	DICKER, EVAN GEORGE	14312	14322-B	14348	
10					
11	ALPHAE	BETICAL INDEX	OF WITNESS	<u> </u>	
12					
13	DICKER, EVAN GEORGE	14312	14322-B	14348	
14	KARNY, DEAN (CONTINUED)	14200	14252-C	14306	
15					
16					
17					
18	,				
19	<u> </u>	EXHIBITS FOR V	OLUME 94		
20	PEOPLE'S EXHIBITS:			OR FICATION	IN EVIDENCE
21		IT V	1 /.	270	1/17/10
22	29 POWER OF ATTORN			239	14349
23	29-A NOTARIZATION PO ATTORNEY	ORTION OF POWE		246	
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 19, 1987; 10:40 A.M.

DEPARTMENT WEST C

HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

(APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN CHAMBERS, THE DEFENDANT BEING PRESENT:)

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE ONE THING THAT DIDN'T HAVE TO BE DONE IN CHAMBERS NECESSARILY. BUT I TALKED WITH OSCAR BREILING, WHO IS THE AGENT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WHO IS THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER IN THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA PROSECUTION, REGARDING DISCOVERY. HE, YESTERDAY, HAD HIS SECRETARY CHECK HIS NOTES REGARDING THE DISCOVERY THAT WAS TURNED OVER IN THAT CASE AND ON APRIL THE 10TH OF 1986, THEY WERE IN COURT AND THE DISCOVERY WAS DISCUSSED AND MR. HUNT'S ATTORNEY, A MR. PARKER KELLY, SAID THAT HE COULD NOT GET THE DISCOVERY FROM MR. HUNT'S LAWYERS BECAUSE THEY WERE KEEPING IT, CLAIMING THAT THEY NEEDED IT FOR THIS CASE; THAT HE HAD TRIED AND THAT HE COULDN'T GET IT AND COULD HE PLEASE GET ANOTHER SET FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE?

AND THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S POSITION WAS

"WE TURNED OVER A SET TO MR. HUNT AND IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY

OF HIS LAWYERS TO PROVIDE IT TO MR. KELLY." THE UPSHOT OF

WHICH WAS THAT JUDGE MILLER ORDERED THE PROSECUTION AT THAT

TIME TO MAKE A SECOND COMPLETE SET OF THE DISCOVERY, WHICH

WAS THEN TURNED OVER TO MR. KELLY ON APRIL THE 18TH OF 1986.

SO AT THAT POINT, THERE WOULD BE NO NEED THEN FOR MR. HUNT

OR HIS COUNSEL HERE TO RELINQUISH ANYTHING THAT THEY HAD.

AND THIS IS JUST TO COMPLETE THE RECORD THAT I BEGAN TO MAKE YESTERDAY OF WHAT IN FACT WAS TURNED OVER AND THAT THEY DID HAVE THE MATERIALS.

AND IF THE COURT WILL RECALL THE TESTIMONY OF MR. BREILING FROM THE HEARING ON THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE ISSUE REGARDING THE SEARCH AT MR. HUNT'S HOME WHERE HE WAS LIVING WITH THE ROBERTS, WHEN HE WAS QUESTIONED REGARDING LOOKING AT ANY PRIVILEGED MATERIALS, THERE WERE QUESTIONS ABOUT THESE BLACK BINDERS THAT WERE ON ONE OF THE BOOKSHELVES AND MR. BREILING'S TESTIMONY WAS TO THE EFFECT THAT HE PICKED UP ONE OF THOSE BINDERS, OPENED THE FRONT COVER, LOOKED AT IT AND IT HAD A REPORT THAT HE RECOGNIZED AS HAVING WRITTEN HIMSELF, THAT IS ONE OF HIS REPORTS FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.

AND THEREFORE, HE REALIZED THAT THE NOTEBOOKS

PROBABLY CONTAINED MATERIALS, CLOSED IT AND DID NOT LOOK

AT THE REST OF THEM. BUT THAT JUST GIVES FURTHER SUPPORT

TO THE FACT THAT AS OF THE BEGINNING OF THIS YEAR, MR. HUNT

AND THEREFORE, HIS COUNSEL, WERE IN POSSESSION OF REPORTS

FROM THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CASE.

SO. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE A RECORD OF THAT.

MR. BARENS: CAN WE RESPOND?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. BARENS: WE HAD IN FACT, TURNED OVER OUR MATERIALS
TO PARKER KELLY, WHETHER IT IS ON THE DATE MR. WAPNER IS
REFERRING TO OR NOT, I DON'T HAVE MY RECEIPT WITH ME TODAY
NOR HAVE I LOOKED AT IT RECENTLY.

I DO RECALL THAT I RETAINED ONLY TO MY

KNOWLEDGE -- THE ONLY THING I RETAINED IN ANTICIPATION OF

THIS TRIAL -- AND I WILL HAVE TO ASK MR. CHIER WHAT HE

RETAINED -- WAS A XEROX OF KARNY'S TESTIMONY AND THE

STATEMENT THAT HE GAVE TO THE A.G.'S OFFICE AND TO VARIOUS

PEOPLE. THAT WAS THE ONLY SECTION OF THAT THAT I RECALL

CONSCIOUSLY MAKING A XEROX OF AND CATALOGING IN SOME

FASHION.

WHEREAS THE REST OF THE WITNESSES' TESTIMONY
WERE TURNED OVER ALONG WITH THE KARNY MATERIALS WITHOUT
MAKING ANY COPIES OR SEEKING TO RETAIN ANYTHING.

SECONDARILY, SUBSEQUENT TO APRIL OF 1986, PARKER KELLY HAS TOLD ME ON VARIOUS OCCASIONS THAT HE HAS RECEIVED THOUSANDS OF PAGES OF ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY ON THAT MATTER, NONE OF WHICH WERE EVER GIVEN US BY THIS PROSECUTOR OR BY

•

THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA PEOPLE OR INDIRECTLY THROUGH MR. KELLY OR ANY OTHER SOURCE.

MR. WAPNER: AS FAR AS THE THOUSANDS OF PAGES ARE

CONCERNED, AS COUNSEL AND THE COURT NOW KNOW, ALMOST EVERY
THING THAT HAS BEEN USED IN THE PENALTY PHASE BUT FOR MAYBE

TWO OR THREE REPORTS WERE ALL CONTAINED --

THE COURT: AM I NOW CALLED UPON TO MAKE ANY DECISION OF ANY KIND?

MR. WAPNER: NO. I WAS JUST MAKING THE STATEMENTS
ON THE RECORD. LET ME JUST FINISH THIS STATEMENT.

ALL OF THE MATERIALS USED BY THE PROSECUTION

IN THE PENALTY PHASE OF THIS CASE, SAVE MAYBE ONE OR TWO

REPORTS, WERE ALL CONTAINED IN THE ORIGINAL MATERIALS, ALL

DATED BEFORE JANUARY OF 1986 WHEN THE PRELIMINARY HEARING

WAS HELD IN THE OTHER CASE.

MR. BARENS: LEST YOUR HONOR BE MISLED INNOCENTLY IN THIS SITUATION, MANY OF THE WITNESSES WE HAVE HAD AT THIS HEARING NEVER TESTIFIED IN THE PRELIMINARY HEARING THAT MR. CHIER AND I DID IN SAN MATEO. SO WE NEVER HAD REPORTS FROM THOSE FOLKS, EITHER.

WE JUST HAVE REFERENCES TO MATERIALS THAT YOU KNOW -- I SEE THEM TESTIFYING ABOUT TODAY, FOR INSTANCE, MR. BLUE NEVER TESTIFIED UP THERE. THE PEOPLE FROM THE -- THE TWO FOLKS THAT WERE FROM THAT DAVEY GLEN APARTMENT, THE BELMONT APARTMENTS, NEVER TESTIFIED UP THERE.

I FORGET, YOUR HONOR. BUT I DON'T WANT YOUR
HONOR TO BE HERE WITH AN IMPRESSION THAT THIS IS A DUPLICATE
OF THE HEARING THAT WAS CONDUCTED UP THERE BECAUSE IN FACT,

IT IS NOT, SAVE THE DENTAL PEOPLE AND KARNY. THAT WAS ALL WE HAD THERE.

IN FACT, THIS WILL BE LONGER THAN THAT WAS
IN TERMS OF GROSS TIME, I WOULD SPECULATE. IN ANY EVENT,
HERE WE ARE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD IS CLEAR. ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. WAPNER: I THINK THAT THE DEFENSE ASKED TO COME INTO CHAMBERS. I DON'T KNOW WHY.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I ONLY WANTED TO APPROACH
THIS IN CHAMBERS BECAUSE I FELT YOUR HONOR TOOK EXCEPTION
TO WHAT YOUR HONOR MIGHT HAVE CONSIDERED A RED HERRING DRAWN
ACROSS THE CASE YESTERDAY, WHICH YOUR HONOR MADE COMMENT
TO THE DEFENSE.

WE HAVE A FURTHER BASIS ON NEW FACTS FOR A MOTION THIS MORNING. WE WANTED TO PUT IT ON THE RECORD FOR THE DISCOVERY OF THE HOLLYWOOD MATERIALS, KEYING OFF OF THE FACT THAT WE NOW SEE TESTIMONY ABOUT TWO TRUNKS DOWN HERE.

WE SEE MR. BLUE TALKING ABOUT ONE TRUNK AND SAYING THAT IF IT WAS IN HIS RECORDS, HE WOULD KNOW ABOUT IT AND HE WOULD PRODUCE A RECEIPT.

WE SEE KARNY TALKING ABOUT A SECOND TRUNK

PURCHASED AT THE SAME PLACE ON A SUBSEQUENT DATE. YET,

MR. BLUE IS SAYING THAT THERE IS NO RECORD OF SUCH AN EVENT.

WE UNDERSTAND FROM THE NEWSPAPER THAT THERE

IS ALSO A TRUNK SCENARIO IN HOLLYWOOD THAT SEEMS -- IF

THE DEFENSE THEORY IS THAT KARNY OPERATED WITH SOME

CONSISTENT M.O. IN BOTH SETTINGS, THE TRUNK WOULD GIVE FURTHER REASON THAT DEFENSE SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO DISCOVERY OF THOSE MATERIALS. 

28

THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND. WHAT DOES THE TRUNK 1 HAVE TO DO WITH THE THING IN HOLLYWOOD? 2 MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME ONE SECOND, YOUR HONOR. 3 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS 4 AND THE DEFENDANT.) 5 THE COURT: HAVE HIM EXCUSE YOU. 6 MR. BARENS: I MEANT TO SAY EXCUSE MR. HUNT. 7 YOUR HONOR SAID TO ME, I BELIEVE, "I DON'T 8 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE TRUNK HAS TO DO WITH IT." 9 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THE SECOND TRUNK HAVE TO DO WITH 10 THE HOLLYWOOD THING? 11 MR. BARENS: I UNDERSTAND. 12 I THINK MR. HUNT WAS COMMENTING ACCORDINGLY IN 13 MY EAR ABOUT WHAT YOUR HONOR WAS SAYING. I WOULD JUST LIKE 14 TO RESPOND AS WELL. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 16 (FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN 17 MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.) 18 MR. BARENS: COULD MR. HUNT SPEAK TO YOU? 19 THE COURT: NO. LET HIM TALK TO YOU. 20 MR. BARENS: OKAY. 21 (FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN 22 MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.) 23 MR. BARENS: WELL, THE DEFENSE WOULD CONTEND THAT THE 24 25 OTHER TRUNK BEING REFERRED TO COULD BE THE TRUNK IN HOLLYWOOD 26 DESCRIBED IN THE PUBLICATION IN HOLLYWOOD, WHICH WOULD GO

TO IMPEACHING KARNY'S TESTIMONY ABOUT THE DISPOSITION AND

UTILIZATION OF THE TRUNK AND WHAT IT WAS IN FACT PURCHASED

PURSUANT TO.

. -

SECONDARILY, IF THE TRUNK IN HOLLYWOOD --

THE COURT: YOU MEAN THE SECOND TRUNK WAS PURCHASED

WITH THE IDEA THAT SOMETIME IT MIGHT BE USED TO ACCOMMODATE

A BODY OF SOMEBODY THAT HE MET LATER ON IN THE JAIL?

MR. BARENS: LET ME TELL YOU WHAT WE REALLY GO TO, YOUR HONOR. WHAT WE ARE REALLY GOING TO IS, SUPPOSING MR. BLUE IS RIGHT AND THERE IS ONLY ONE TRUNK AND THE TRUNK DESCRIBED BY KARNY SUBSEQUENTLY IS ALLEGED TO HAVE HAD CERTAIN HOLES IN IT AND HAD CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS ABOUT IT AS A RESULT OF THE TRANSACTION AND EVENTS THAT HE DESCRIBES. IF THE TRUNK IN HOLLYWOOD WERE OF A SIMILAR DESCRIPTION WITH SIMILAR MATCHING CHARACTERISTICS, IT WOULD GO TO IMPEACH KARNY'S TESTIMONY ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED TO THE TRUNK IN THIS INSTANCE, IF ANYTHING AT ALL HAPPENED IN THIS INSTANCE.

IF IN FACT THE HOLLYWOOD TRUNK HAD A MATCHING

DESCRIPTION, IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO THE DEFENSE.

(FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN

MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.)

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO REPLY TO THIS?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, I THINK THE LAST PART OF IT IS A NON SEQUITUR, THAT IF THE TRUNKS ARE SIMILAR, I DON'T KNOW WHAT DIFFERENCE THAT MAKES.

BUT THE BASIC RESPONSE IS, AS THE COURT HAS
INDICATED BEFORE, WHICH IS THAT UNLESS THERE IS SOME
INDICATION THAT MR. KARNY HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF THIS, AND
SINCE THERE HAS BEEN NO CASE FILED, I DON'T SEE HOW THERE
CAN BE A CONVICTION.

1 THE COURT: IF WE HAVE A CATEGORICAL STATEMENT BY THE 2 RESPONSIBLE POLICE OFFICER IN THIS CASE THAT THERE IS 3 ABSOLUTELY NOT A SCINTILLA OF EVIDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH 4 THAT HOLLYWOOD MURDER WHICH CONNECTS IN ANY WAY KARNY'S 5 PARTICIPATION OR HAVING ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT -- DIDN'T HE 6 SAY THAT ON THE RECORD? 7 MR. WAPNER: HE DID. 8 THE COURT: THAT IS ALL. I AM SATISFIED WITH THAT. 9 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN --10 THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO RESURRECT THIS LITTLE 11 FIGMENT OF SOMEBODY'S IMAGINATION THAT THERE IS SOME 12 CONNECTION BETWEEN KARNY AND THE HOLLYWOOD MURDER. 13 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, RESPECTFULLY, IF I COULD JUST, 14 AGAIN, TRY TO MAKE AN APPROPRIATE DEFENSE RECORD HERE AS WELL. 15 THE COURT: YOU HAVE MADE THE RECORD CLEAR NOW. WE DON'T 16 NEED ANYTHING MORE ABOUT IT. LET'S GO ON, WILL YOU? LET'S 17 GET THIS CASE SETTLED. 18 THE DEFENDANT: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE ANOTHER POINT. 19 I AM SORRY BUT WE DO. 20 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR POINT? 21 THE DEFENDANT: THERE IS A POINT. 22 MR. BARENS: WHAT IS IT? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I BROUGHT IT UP TO YOU EARLIER. 24 (FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN 25 MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.) 26 MR. BARENS: COULD I HAVE A MINUTE? WE WERE DENIED 27 ACCESS TO THE DEFENDANT, JUST BECAUSE OF THE SYSTEM. IT WASN'T 28 ANYTHING I AM SAYING THAT ANYBODY DID BAD, YOUR HONOR. IT

```
IS JUST THAT WE HAD A GLITCH THIS MORNING WITH THE DEFENDANT
1
    BEING MADE AVAILABLE TO COUNSEL FOR A CONFERENCE. WE NEED
2
    TO MAKE A RECORD BEFORE THIS WITNESS FINISHES HIS TESTIMONY.
3
          THE COURT: YOU CAN MAKE A RECORD SOME OTHER TIME.
4
    LET'S GO ON AND GET THIS TRIAL STARTED.
5
          MR. BARENS: WE NEED TO DO IT, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, BEFORE
6
    THE WITNESS FINISHES HIS TESTIMONY.
7
          THE COURT: THAT IS ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN DO IT BEFORE
8
    THE WITNESS FINISHES HIS TESTIMONY. LET'S GET ON, WILL YOU?
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
10
                 IN OPEN COURT:)
11
          MR. BARENS: COULD WE APPROACH? I WILL MAKE THE
12
13
    CONTINUING POINT WHILE THE JURY IS COMING IN, PLEASE.
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, APPROACH THE BENCH.
14
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
15
16
                AT THE BENCH:)
          MR. BARENS: A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
17
18
                 (FURTHER UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN
19
                MR. BARENS AND THE DEFENDANT.)
20
                 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN DEFENSE
21
                 COUNSEL.)
22
          MR. BARENS: LET ME MAKE A STATEMENT PRELIMINARILY.
23
          THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU WERE THE ONE THAT JUST TALKED
24
    TO HIM. IT WAS ON THE BASIS OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT YOU
25
    WANTED TO COME UP HERE.
26
          MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, MR. CHIER, I BELIEVE, IS
27
    COGNIZANT OF THE ARGUMENT.
```

I AM JUST TRYING TO SAY THAT YOUR HONOR DOES TAKE

EXCEPTION TO THE PROCEEDINGS BUT I AM SIMPLY TRYING TO DO WHAT I BELIEVE I AM BEING OBLIGED TO DO FOR THE RECORD AND NOW IF WE COULD DEFER AND HAVE MR. CHIER ADDRESS IT. AND I BELIEVE MR. CHIER HAD MORE TIME TO SPEAK ABOUT IT THAN I DID. THE COURT: OKAY, GO AHEAD. MR. CHIER: MR. HUNT, THE DEFENDANT, SEEKS AN ORDER EXCLUDING THE TESTIMONY OF MR. KARNY BECAUSE OF THE FAILURE OF THE PEOPLE TO RETURN A DOCUMENT, EXHIBIT NO. 37. THE COURT: WELL. ARE WE BACK TO THAT AGAIN? MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR --THE COURT: THAT IS DENIED. LET'S GET ON, WILL YOU, PLEASE? I DON'T WANT TO HEAR ANYTHING FURTHER. FOUR TIMES, I HAVE HEARD THAT KIND OF MOTION. MR. BARENS: OKAY. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:) 

4F

```
1
           THE COURT: GET THE JURORS.
2
                  (JURORS ENTER THE COURTROOM.)
3
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND
     GENTLEMEN. SORRY ABOUT THE TIME AGAIN.
4
5
                 LET'S CONTINUE.
6
          MR. WAPNER: ISN'T THIS OUR USUAL STARTING TIME?
7
8
                            DEAN KARNY,
9
     THE WITNESS ON THE STAND AT THE TIME OF ADJOUNMENT, RESUMED
10
     THE STAND AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
11
12
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
13
     BY MR. WAPNER:
14
          Q MR. KARNY, AFTER THE TRUNK WAS PLACED ON THE
15
     BACK OF THE U-HAUL TRUCK AND YOU GOT IN THERE, DID THE TRUCK
16
     START DRIVING?
17
                ALMOST IMMEDIATELY.
          Α
18
                WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO BE DRIVING THE TRUCK?
           0
19
          Α
             JIM PITTMAN.
20
           Q
             COULD YOU SEE MR. PITTMAN FROM WHERE YOU WERE
21
     IN THE BACK OF THE TRUCK?
22
          Α
                NO, I COULDN'T.
23
             THE GRAY BMW WITH MR. REZA ESLAMINIA IN IT
24
     WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE -- WHAT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE HAPPENED
25
     TO THAT CAR?
26
         A HE WAS SUPPOSED TO REMAIN WITH THE CAR IN THE
27
     BAY AREA.
28
           Q BEFORE YOU GOT INTO THE TRUCK, DID YOU SEE
```

STOPPED?

```
HIM GET INTO THE BMW?
1
             I DON'T REMEMBER.
2
                AND THE YELLOW PICKUP TRUCK THE CAMPER SHELL,
3
     WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO GET INTO THAT TRUCK?
4
5
             JOE AND BEN.
          Α
             AFTER THE U-HAUL TRUCK STARTED DRIVING, DID
6
7
     SOMETHING HAPPEN?
                WELL, THE DOOR HAD NOT BEEN CLOSED.
8
             WHICH DOOR?
9
                 THE REAR DOORS IN THE BACK COMPARTMENT WHERE
10
     I WAS. AND AS SOON AS THE TRUCK TOOK OFF, THE DOOR FLEW
11
12
     OPEN.
                  AND SO I GRABBED THE DOOR CLOSED AND I HELD
13
     IT CLOSED WITH ONE HAND AND THE OTHER HAND ON THE OTHER
14
     SIDE OF THE TRUCK UNTIL THE TRUCK STOPPED THE NEXT TIME.
15
              YOU DESCRIBED THE DOORS ON THE BACK OF THE
16
     TRUCK AS SIMILAR TO THE DOORS IN THE BACK OF THE COURTROOM.
17
     WERE BOTH OF THEM SWINGING OPEN OR WAS JUST ONE?
18
19
                 JUST ONE.
           Α
             AS YOU WERE HOLDING ONTO THE DOOR AND HOLDING
20
     ONTO THE TRUCK, HOW FAR WERE YOU FROM THE TRUNK THAT WAS
21
22
     IN THE TRUCK BED?
23
                 IT WAS CLEAR ACROSS THE COMPARTMENT.
24
             WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY HOW FAR IN DISTANCE?
           Q
25
                 SEVEN FEET OR SO. MAYBE A LITTLE FURTHER.
           Α
26
                AT THE TIME, HOW LONG WAS IT THAT YOU WERE
           Q
27
     STANDING THERE HOLDING ONTO THE DOOR BEFORE THE TRUCK
```

1	A ABOUT 45 MINUTES.
2	Q DURING THAT TIME, WERE YOU ABLE TO HEAR ANY-
3	THING, ANY SOUNDS COMING FROM THE TRUCK?
4	A YES.
5	Q WHAT DID YOU HEAR?
6	A KNOCKING AND SCREAMING. IT WAS NOT REALLY
7	LOUD SCREAMING BUT PLEADING TO LET HIM OUT AND GASPING.
8	Q GASPING?
9	A YES.
0	Q AND THE PERSON INSIDE THE TRUNK SAYING, "PLEASE
1	LET ME OUT"?
2	A YES.
3	Q DID THAT CONTINUE FOR THE ENTIRE 45 MINUTES
4	THAT YOU WERE HOLDING ONTO THE DOOR?
5	A YES, BUT SOMETIMES IT STOPPED FOR A FEW MINUTES.
6	Q AFTER THE TRUCK STOPPED AFTER THAT 45 MINUTES,
7	WHAT HAPPENED?
8	A THEN BEN DOSTI GOT INTO THE BACK OF THE TRUCK
9	WITH ME.
20	Q WHEN MR. DOSTI CAME TO GET INTO THE BACK OF
21	THE TRUCK, WAS ANYONE ELSE THERE?
22	A I DIDN'T GET OUT OF THE TRUCK, SO I CAN'T SAY
23	FOR SURE.
24	Q WHEN MR. DOSTI GOT INTO THE TRUCK, DID HE HAVE
25	SOMETHING WITH HIM?
26	A YES. HE HAD A BLUE NYLON BAG AND IT HAD A
27	GUN IN IT AND A TOWEL AND A BOTTLE OF CHLOROFORM AND A
28	SCREWDRIVER AND A FEW OTHER THINGS.

ļ			
1		Q	HOW DID YOU HAPPEN TO SEE THOSE THINGS IN THE
2	BAG?		
3		А	I LOOKED THROUGH IT AT THE TIME.
4		Q	WAS THAT AFTER HE GOT INTO THE TRUCK?
5		А	YES.
6		Q	THE BLUE NYLON BAG, HAD YOU SEEN THAT BEFORE?
7		Α	YES.
8		Q	WHERE HAD YOU SEEN IT BEFORE?
9		А	I HAD BOUGHT THE BAG AS A PRESENT FOR HIM WHEN
10	I WAS	IN MEX	ICO IN EARLY JUNE.
11		Q	DID IT HAVE SOME LOGO ON IT OF SOME SORT?
12		А	IT HAD A FILA LOGO ON IT.
13		Q	THAT IS A CERTAIN MANUFACTURER OF SPORTS WEAR?
14		А	RIGHT. IT IS THE INSIGNIA OF AN F.
15		Q	HOW MANY OF THOSE BAGS DID YOU PURCHASE?
16		А	I THINK FOUR.
17		Q	AND HOW MANY DARK BLUE ONES DID YOU PURCHASE?
18		Α	TWO.
19		Q	THE ONE THAT YOU SAW ON THE TRUCK THAT DAY
20	WAS D	ARK BLU	E?
21		А	RIGHT.
22		Q	WHO HAD THE OTHER DARK BLUE ONE?
23		Α	I DID.
24		Q	AND WERE YOU SHOWN THAT DARK BLUE OR A DARK
25	BLUE	FILA BA	G DURING THE GUILT PHASE OF THIS CASE, IF YOU
26	RECAL	L?	
27		А	I DON'T RECALL IF I WAS.
28		Q	AND INSIDE THAT BAG THAT MR. DOSTI BROUGHT

İ	
1	INTO THE TRUCK THAT DAY, WAS THERE A GUN?
2	A YES.
3	Q WHAT TYPE OF A GUN WAS IT?
4	A IT WAS A .25 CALIBER BERRETTA.
5	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING ATTACHED TO IT?
6	A A SILENCER.
7	Q WHAT ELSE WAS IN THE BAG?
8	A A BROWN BOTTLE WITH WHAT I THOUGHT WAS
9	CHLOROFORM AND A TOWEL, A LIGHT TOWEL, A PHILLIPS HEAD
10	SCREWDRIVER. I DON'T REALLY REMEMBER WHAT ELSE WAS IN THERE
11	BUT I THINK THERE WERE SOME OTHER THINGS IN THERE, TOO.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
O WERE YOU TOLD ANYTHING BY ANYONE ABOUT WHAT TO
1
    DO REGARDING THE CHLOROFORM?
2
               YES.
3
               WHAT WERE YOU TOLD AND WHO TOLD YOU?
4
          A I AM NOT SURE IF IT WAS BEN OR JOE. I THINK IT
5
    WAS JOE. WE WERE SUPPOSED TO OPEN THE TRUNK AND RE-CHLOROFORM
6
    WHO WAS IN THERE SO THAT HE WOULDN'T MAKE ANY MORE NOISE.
7
                DID YOU DO THAT?
          Q
8
                NO.
9
                DID MR. DOSTI DO THAT?
          Q
10
          Α
                NO.
11
               WHY NOT?
          Q
12
          A WE WERE SCARED.
13
              AFTER MR. DOSTI GOT IN THE BACK OF THE TRUCK,
14
          Q
    WAS THE DOOR SECURED?
15
16
          А
                YES, IT WAS.
                DID THE TRUCK START DRIVING AGAIN?
          Q
17
18
          А
               YES.
              AND AS THE TRUCK STARTED TO DRIVE AGAIN, WHERE
19
          Q
    IN THE TRUCK DID YOU GO?
20
21
         A WE BOTH MOVED CLOSER TO THE FRONT OF THE TRUCK,
22
    NEAR WHERE THE TRUNK WAS.
23
               WAS THE TRUNK ALL THE WAY UP AT THE FRONT TOWARD
          Q
24
    THE CAB?
25
         А
               RIGHT.
26
          Q AND AS YOU DID THAT, DID YOU HEAR ANYTHING COMING
27
     FROM THE TRUNK AT THAT TIME?
28
              A CONTINUATION OF THE SAME -- SAME NOISES AND
```

5 - 1

5-2

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

A I WAS CONCERNED THAT THE NOISE HAD STOPPED BECAUSE THERE WASN'T ENOUGH AIR FOR THE MAN AND IT WASN'T THE PLAN THAT HE DIE IN THE TRUNK.

Q HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT MAKING THESE HOLES IN THE TRUNK?

A I TOOK THE POINT OF THE PHILLIPS HEAD SCREWDRIVER

AND I JUST WORKED THEM INTO THE TRUNK. IT IS SORT OF HARD

TO DESCRIBE. I TWISTED IT BACK AND FORTH UNTIL THERE WERE

HOLES MADE.

Q AFTER YOU MADE THOSE HOLES IN THE TRUNK, WHAT HAPPENED?

A AFTER AWHILE, THE MOVEMENT AND THE KNOCKING AND THE SOUNDS STARTED AGAIN.

Q AND WERE THEY THE SAME AS BEFORE OR DIFFERENT?

A BASICALLY THE SAME BUT I THINK LESS WORDS AND

MORE GASPING.

O HOW LONG DID THAT CONTINUE?

A FOR A WHILE. I AM NOT REALLY SURE.

I WAS SORT OF LOSING TRACK OF TIME.

O WHAT DID YOU DO, IF ANYTHING, AFTER THAT?

A AFTER THAT, WELL, THERE WAS A POINT AT WHICH THE NOISE FROM THE TRUNK GOT VERY LOUD, THERE WAS MORE SCREAMING, NOT EXACTLY SCREAMING, I MEAN -- EXCUSE ME -- ANYWAY, BEN AND I GOT SORT OF SCARED THAT SOMEONE IN A NEARBY CAR WOULD HEAR THE SOUNDS AND WE DIDN'T REALLY KNOW WHAT TO DO.

WE DIDN'T WANT TO OPEN THE TRUNK BECAUSE WE WERE

SORT OF SCARED TO DO THAT, SO WE TOOK SOME TAPE AND WE COVERED

THE HOLES IN THE TRUNK FOR A LITTLE WHILE SO THAT THE MAN

INSIDE WOULD FALL UNCONSCIOUS AGAIN. 1 DID YOU COVER ALL OF THE HOLES? 2 Α I THINK SO. 3 WHAT HAPPENED AFTER YOU COVERED THE HOLES? Q 4 Α IN A LITTLE WHILE, THE NOISE STOPPED. 5 AND DID YOU LEAVE THEM COVERED FOR THE REST OF 6 THE JOURNEY? 7 Α NO. 8 WHY NOT? Q 9 BECAUSE OF THE REASON THAT I MADE THE HOLES TO 10 BEGIN WITH, THAT THERE WAS SUPPOSED TO BE ENOUGH AIR IN THERE 11 FOR THE MAN TO SURVIVE, SO THE HOLES WERE ONLY COVERED FOR 12 A LITTLE WHILE AND THEN WE TOOK THE TAPE OFF AND THEN LATER. 13 WE COVERED THEM AGAIN FOR THE SAME REASON FOR A LITTLE WHILE. 14 15 Q SO AFTER THE NOISE STOPPED, YOU THEN UNCOVERED THE HOLES AGAIN; IS THAT RIGHT? 16 А YES. 17 DID THE NOISE START AGAIN? 18 Q 19 А EVENTUALLY, YES. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

5-4

6F

1	Q WHEN IT STARTED AGAIN, WHAT DID YOU DO?
2	A AFTER A LITTLE WHILE, WE COVERED THE HOLES
3	AGAIN.
4	Q HOW MANY TIMES DID THIS PROCESS REPEAT ITSELF
5	DURING THE TRIP FROM BELMONT TOWARD LOS ANGELES?
6	A I THINK TWO OR THREE TIMES.
7	Q DID THE TRUCK STOP AGAIN ON THE WAY DOWN TO
8	LOS ANGELES?
9	A YES.
10	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN IT STOPPED THE NEXT TIME?
11	A WE GOT OUT. WE WERE OFF THE FREEWAY ON A SIDE
12	ROAD. IT WAS JUST GETTING DARK.
13	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT OUT?
14	A EVERYONE WAS OUTSIDE. THE FOUR OF US, JOE
15	AND BEN AND JIM AND I AND JOE SAID THAT THE REAR LIGHTS
16	OF THE U-HAUL WERE NOT FUNCTIONING.
17	WHEN HE TURNED THE LIGHTS ON, THERE WAS SOME
18	LIGHTS THAT GO ON IN THE BACK. AND HE WAS CONCERNED THAT
19	HE MIGHT GET PULLED OVER BY A HIGHWAY PATROLMAN OR SOMETHING
20	LIKE THAT.
21	RATHER THAN RISK THAT, THE TRUNK WAS MOVED
22	BACK INTO THE REAR OF THE PICKUP TRUCK AGAIN.
23	Q WHO DID THAT?
24	A I DON'T REMEMBER. IT WAS NOT ME. I DON'T
25	REMEMBER WHO DID IT.
26	Q AFTER THE TRUNK WAS MOVED TO THE BACK OF THE
27	PICKUP TRUCK, WHAT POSITIONS DID THE PEOPLE TAKE?
28	A JIM DROVE THE U-HAUL. BEN WAS IN THE VERY

1	FAR BACK OF THE PICKUP TRUCK. I WAS IN THE PASSENGER SEAT
2	AND JOE WAS DRIVING.
3	Q DRIVING THE PICKUP TRUCK?
4	A YES. THREE OF US, JOE AND BEN AND I WERE IN
5	THE PICKUP TRUCK AND THE TRUNK WAS AT THE FRONT OF THE
6	STORAGE COMPARTMENT IN THE PICKUP TRUCK.
7	Q WAS THE OTHER EMPTY TRUNK STILL IN THE PICKUP
8	TRUCK?
9	A YES.
10	THE COURT: WHAT HAPPENED TO THE U-HAUL TRUCK?
11	THE WITNESS: IT FOLLOWED US ALL OF THE WAY DOWN TO
12	LOS ANGELES.
13	THE COURT: WHO WAS DRIVING THAT?
14	THE WITNESS: JIM PITTMAN.
15	Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT SEPARATED THE CAB OF THE
16	PICKUP TRUCK FROM THE BED?
17	A THERE WAS A WINDOW BEHIND THE CAB OF THE PICKUI
18	TRUCK WITH A SLIDING GLASS. AND THERE WAS AN OPENING ALMOST
19	THE ENTIRE WIDTH OF THE WINDOW INTO THE REAR COMPARTMENT.
20	Q SO YOU COULD IF YOU WANTED TO, GET ACCESS BY
21	CRAWLING THROUGH FROM THE CAB TO THE BED OR VICE VERSA?
22	A THAT'S RIGHT.
23	Q SO YOU CONTINUED TO DRIVE. YOU WERE DRIVING
24	NOW SOUTH, TOWARDS LOS ANGELES?
25	A RIGHT.
26	Q AS YOU HAD BEEN IN THE U-HAUL TRUCK, THERE
27	WEREN'T ANY WINDOWS IN THE BACK WHERE YOU WERE AND YOU
28	COULDN'T SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON, RIGHT?

1	A THAT'S RIGHT.
2	Q WHEN YOU GOT INTO THE PICKUP TRUCK AND YOU
3	STARTED TO DRIVE TOWARD LOS ANGELES, DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA
4	APPROXIMATELY WHERE YOU WERE ALONG THE ROUTE?
5	A I DON'T REMEMBER. IT WAS A FEW HOURS AFTER
6	LEAVING SAN FRANCISCO AND I KNOW WE WERE ON HIGHWAY 5. I
7	DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHERE.
8	Q WHAT WAS GOING ON AFTER YOU GOT INTO THE PICKUP
9	TRUCK AND STARTED TO DRIVE TO LOS ANGELES?
10	A WE WERE DRIVING DOWN THERE. BEN WAS ALL OF
11	THE WAY IN THE BACK, BEHIND TWO TRUNKS. WE DIDN'T REALLY
12	TALK TO HIM.
13	AND JOE AND I WERE IN THE FRONT, TALKING. I
14	DON'T REMEMBER REALLY ABOUT WHAT. AND AT ONE POINT AGAIN,
15	THE NOISES STOPPED COMING OUT OF THE TRUNK FOR WHAT SEEMED
16	TO BE A LONG TIME.
17	AND SO THIS TIME, I CRAWLED BACK INTO THE REAR
18	COMPARTMENT AND I OPENED THE TRUNK.
19	Q WHY DID YOU CRAWL INTO THE REAR COMPARTMENT?
20	A JOE TOLD ME TO. THAT WAS THE ONLY WAY I COULD
21	REACH THE TRUNK. AND IT WAS CLOSER TO ME THAN IT WAS TO
22	BEN.
23	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHY HE WANTED YOU TO CRAWL
24	IN THERE AND CHECK THE TRUNK?
25	A TO SEE IF MR. ESLAMINIA WAS STILL ALIVE.
26	Q WHEN YOU GOT INTO THE BACK OF THE BED OF THE
27	PICKUP TRUCK, WHAT DID YOU DO?

I UNLATCHED THE TRUNK FASTENERS AND I OPENED

1	IT REALLY FAST AND CLOSED IT REALLY FAST.
2	Q WERE YOU ABLE TO SEE INSIDE AT THAT TIME?
3	A NOT REALLY. IT WAS DARK.
4	Q HOW LONG DID YOU LEAVE THE TRUNK LID OPEN AT
5	THAT POINT?
6	A WELL, THE FIRST TIME I OPENED IT, IT WAS JUST
7	FOR A SECOND. IT WAS JUST TO FAN SOME AIR INSIDE QUICKLY
8	AND I REALLY WAS PRETTY SCARED. AND I DON'T KNOW WHY EXACTLY
9	I DID IT THAT WAY. BUT THAT IS HOW I DID IT.
10	Q WHAT DID YOU NOTICE IF ANYTHING AT THAT TIME
11	THAT YOU DID THAT?
12	A A LOT OF HOT AIR RUSHED OUT AND A SMELL OF
13	WHAT I THOUGHT WAS URINE.
14	
15	
16	
17	,
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
AFTER YOU OPENED THE TRUNK LID VERY QUICKLY AND
          Q
1
    CLOSED IT, WHAT DID YOU DO NEXT?
2
          A I OPENED IT AGAIN.
3
          Q
               FOR HOW LONG?
4
          A WELL, THAT TIME I ACTUALLY FANNED IT A NUMBER
5
    OF TIMES TO TRY AND COOL OFF THE INSIDE OF THE TRUNK AND IT
6
    WAS PROBABLY OVERALL ABOUT 30 SECONDS THAT TIME.
7
               OF OPENING AND CLOSING THE TRUNK QUICKLY?
          Q
8
9
          А
               YES.
               WERE YOU ABLE TO SEE INSIDE AT THAT TIME?
10
          Q
         Α
               NOT REALLY.
11
              AFTER THAT 30 SECONDS OF DOING THAT, WHAT DID
12
          Q
    YOU DO?
13
               I GOT A FLASHLIGHT THAT WAS IN THE BACK OF THE
14
    TRUCK AND I LOOKED INSIDE.
15
16
          Q WHY DID YOU DO THAT?
          A BECAUSE THE MAN INSIDE WASN'T MAKING ANY SOUNDS
17
    AND I WANTED TO SEE WHAT HIS CONDITION WAS.
18
19
          Q UP TO THAT POINT, HAD YOU EVER IN YOUR LIFE SEEN
20
    HADAYET ESLAMINIA?
21
              NO, I HADN'T.
22
               WHEN YOU LOOKED INSIDE, WHAT DID YOU SEE?
23
               I SAW A MAN INSIDE IN A FETAL POSITION WITH
24
    HANDCUFFS ON.
25
          Q I WANT TO SHOW YOU A PHOTOGRAPH THAT WE HAVE
26
    MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 9 FOR IDENTIFICATION AND ASK YOU IF YOU
27
    RECOGNIZE IT.
```

A THAT IS THE MAN.

TRUCK?

А YES. 1 Q DURING THAT FIVE OR TEN MINUTES, DID YOU HEAR 2 ANYTHING COMING FROM INSIDE OF THE TRUNK? 3 Α NO. 4 AFTER THAT FIVE OR TEN MINUTES, WHAT DID YOU DO? 0 5 A I CRAWLED BACK INSIDE AND I OPENED THE TRUNK AND 6 I CHECKED FOR A PULSE. 7 0 HOW DID YOU DO THAT? 8 I THINK I CHECKED ON HIS NECK AND I ALSO FELT 9 10 IN FRONT OF HIS MOUTH FOR BREATHING. WHEN YOU CHECKED ON HIS NECK, WHAT DID YOU NOTICE? 11 A I DIDN'T NOTICE A PULSE. I NOTICED THERE WAS 12 NO PULSE BUT I DIDN'T --13 I WASN'T SURE IF I EVEN KNEW HOW TO CHECK FOR 14 A PULSE SO I DID IT A NUMBER OF TIMES. 15 Q DID YOU DO IT A NUMBER OF TIMES ON THE NECK OR 16 17 IN OTHER PLACES? A I REALLY DON'T REMEMBER IF I -- IF I CHECKED HIS 18 19 PULSE ON HIS WRIST, I DON'T THINK I DID. 20 I THINK IT WAS JUST ON HIS NECK. 21 Q HOW DID YOU CHECK FOR THE BREATHING? 22 I JUST PUT MY HAND IN FRONT OF HIS LIPS. Α 23 IN ANY OF THE TIMES WHEN YOU CHECKED FOR A PULSE. Q 24 WERE YOU ABLE TO FEEL ONE? 25 Α NO. 26 Q WHEN YOU PUT YOUR HAND IN FRONT OF HIS LIPS, WHAT 27 DID YOU NOTICE?

7 – 3

28

Α

NOTHING.

7- "	1	Q COULD YOU FEEL ANY BREATHING OR AIR COMING OUT?
	2	A NO.
	3	Q AFTER YOU DID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
+	4	A I LOOKED AT HIM SOME MORE AND I NOTICED THAT THERE
	5	WAS STILL THE SAME MOVEMENT THAT I HAD SEEN BEFORE IN HIS
<b>,</b> <b>,</b>	6	BODY AND I REALIZED THAT IT WAS PROBABLY MOVEMENT FROM THE
	7	ROAD AND THE MOVEMENT OF THE TRUCK, RATHER THAN BREATHING,
-	8	WHICH IS WHAT I THOUGHT IT WAS BEFORE.
	9	AND THEN I I JUST SAID TO JOE THAT I THOUGHT
	10	THAT HE WAS DEAD.
8F	11	
	12	
•	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
- k	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
·	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

```
JOE HUNT WAS DRIVING AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME?
1
           Q
2
                 YES.
3
                 WHAT WAS HIS REACTION WHEN YOU SAID YOU THOUGHT
4
     HE WAS DEAD?
5
                 HE SAID TO CHECK AGAIN. AND I THINK HE TOLD
           Α
6
     ME TO SEE ABOUT GIVING HIM MOUTH-TO-MOUTH RESUSCITATION.
7
                  DID YOU CHECK AGAIN?
8
                  YES.
9
                 WAS THE RESULT THE SAME?
           Q
10
                  YES.
                 DID YOU GIVE HIM MOUTH-TO-MOUTH RESUSCITATION?
11
           Q
12
           Α
                 NO, I DIDN'T.
13
                 WHY NOT?
           Q
14
                  WELL, I WAS SCARED. BUT I WAS ALSO SURE HE
15
               AND I JUST SAID, "HE IS DEAD, JOE. IT IS NOT
     WAS DEAD.
16
     GOING TO DO ANY GOOD."
17
                 WHAT DID MR. HUNT DO OR SAY AT THAT TIME?
           Q
18
                 HE SAID SOMETHING LIKE, "OH SHIT," KIND OF
19
     QUIETLY. AND --
20
                 THEN WHAT DID HE DO?
           Q
21
                 WELL. WE JUST SORT OF SAT THERE FOR A MINUTE.
22
     AND I SAID, "I GUESS WE BLEW IT."
23
               WHAT DID HE DO?
24
                 AND HE SAID THAT THERE WAS STILL THINGS THAT
25
     WE COULD DO, THAT WE STILL HAD REZA ESLAMINIA IN OUR CAMP,
26
     IN OUR RANKS AND THAT REZA ESLAMINIA WAS THE OLDEST SON
27
     OF THIS MAN AND THAT WE COULD PROBABLY STILL MANAGE TO GET
28
     WHAT WE WANTED OUT OF THE SITUATION, EVEN THOUGH MR. ESLAMINIA
```

```
HAD DIED.
1
           O WHEN HE WAS TALKING TO YOU ABOUT GETTING THINGS
2
     FROM MR. ESLAMINIA ANYWAY AND DOING IT THROUGH REZA, HOW
3
4
     LONG WAS THAT AFTER YOU HAD TOLD HIM THAT MR. ESLAMINIA
     WAS DEAD?
5
           A WITHIN A COUPLE OF MINUTES.
6
                 WHAT WAS MR. HUNT'S DEMEANOR OR TONE AT THAT
7
     TIME WHEN HE SAID THOSE THINGS?
8
9
           MR. CHIER: OBJECTION, IRRELEVANT.
          THE COURT: OVERRULED.
10
           THE WITNESS: HE WAS CALM. HE WAS KIND OF LIKE --
11
12
          MR. CHIER: ASKED AND ANSWERED, YOUR HONOR.
          THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
13
           THE WITNESS: KIND OF SOOTHING BECAUSE I WAS SORT
14
     OF UPSET. AND IT SEEMED LIKE HE WAS TRYING TO BE POSITIVE
15
16
     ABOUT THE SITUATION.
              BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU CONTINUE TO DRIVE TOWARD
17
           Q
18
     LOS ANGELES?
19
           A YES.
20
           Q WHEN YOU WERE DRIVING TOWARD LOS ANGELES, DID
21
     YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT TO DO WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
22
           Α
                 YES.
23
           Q AND WHAT WERE THOSE DISCUSSIONS?
24
               WELL SPECIFICALLY, JOE SAID THAT HE DIDN'T
25
     WANT JIM PITTMAN TO FIND OUT.
26
           Q
             DID HE SAY WHY?
27
                 WELL, HE DIDN'T WANT HIM TO FIND OUT THAT
28
     MR. ESLAMINIA HAD DIED WHEN HE DID AND HE DID SAY WHY.
```

HE SAID THAT JIM WOULD BE REALLY UPSET THAT 1 THE PLAN HAD GOTTEN FOULED UP. AND WELL, IT WAS SOMETHING 2 3 WE COULD HAVE PREVENTED AND BASICALLY THE IDEA WAS THAT HE DIDN'T WANT HIM TO KNOW THAT WE HAD FOULED UP OUR PART 4 5 OF THE PLAN WHILE JIM WAS IN THE U-HAUL BECAUSE HE WOULD 6 LOSE CONFIDENCE IN JOE AND IN WHAT WE WERE DOING. 7 SO JOE SAID THAT WHEN WE GOT BACK TO L.A., 8 THAT I SHOULD GET OUT OF THE TRUCK WITH JIM -- WELL. LET'S 9 SEE. I AM GETTING A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED, HERE. THAT I SHOULD TELL JIM TO JUST GO ON HOME AND 10 THAT WE WOULD TALK TO HIM IN THE MORNING, WHICH I DID. 11 12 WHEN YOU GOT TO L.A., WHERE DID THESE TWO TRUCKS Q 13 FIRST GO? TO WHAT LOCATION IN LOS ANGELES? WE STOPPED ON THE SIDE STREET NEXT TO THE 14 15 WILSHIRE MANNING. I THINK IT WAS MANNING DRIVE OR AVENUE. 16 WHEN YOU STOPPED ON MANNING, DID YOU GET OUT 17 OF THE PICKUP TRUCK? 18 YES. Α 19 DID YOU GO UP AND TALK TO MR. PITTMAN AT THAT 0 20 TIME? 21 YEAH. HE WAS GETTING OUT OF THE U-HAUL AND 22 I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY IF HE CAME TO THE PICKUP. 23 NO -- ACTUALLY, I DO REMEMBER NOW. JOE AND 24 BEN AS SOON AS I GOT OUT OF THE PICKUP TRUCK, THEY DROVE 25 OFF BECAUSE AS I SAY, JOE DIDN'T WANT JIM TO KNOW THAT MR. 26 ESLAMINIA HAD DIED. 27 SO HE TOLD ME TO TELL JIM WHAT I JUST EXPLAINED. 28

SO I WALKED UP TO JIM AND WE WENT UPSTAIRS TO APARTMENT 1206

AND I TOLD HIM THAT HE MIGHT AS WELL JUST GO HOME.

```
G WHEN YOU -- BEFORE MR. HUNT AND MR. DOSTI LEFT,
1
    DID THEY TELL YOU WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO GO AND WHERE YOU
2
    SHOULD MEET THEM?
3
         A YES.
4
                THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO THE HOUSE THAT WE HAD
5
    RENTED ON BEVERLY GLEN AND THAT I SHOULD MEET THEM THERE LATER.
6
         O WHEN YOU WENT UPSTAIRS TO 1206 WITH MR. PITTMAN,
7
    WHAT DID YOU TELL HIM?
8
          A I TOLD HIM -- I TOLD HIM JUST THAT, I TOLD HIM
9
    THAT HE MIGHT AS WELL GO ON HOME AND THAT I WAS GOING TO MEET
10
    JOE AND BEN AND I WOULD BRING THEM SOME FOOD.
11
         Q DID MR. PITTMAN LEAVE AND GO HOME, AS FAR AS YOU
12
13
    KNOW?
               HE LEFT AND I THINK HE WENT HOME.
          Α
14
              DID YOU GET SOME FOOD, DID YOU GET SOME FOOD AT
15
    THE WILSHIRE MANNING?
16
          A YES.
17
18
          Q
               AND WHERE DID YOU GO?
19
               I -- THEN I DROVE TO THE HOUSE ON BEVERLY GLEN.
          Q APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME OF THE DAY OR NIGHT WAS
20
    IT WHEN YOU GOT TO THE HOUSE ON BEVERLY GLEN?
21
22
                I THINK IT WAS ABOUT 10:30 AT NIGHT OR SO.
23
                WHEN YOU GOT THERE, WHAT WAS HAPPENING?
24
                JOE AND BEN WERE IN THE ENTRY HALL OF THE HOUSE
25
    THERE. THEY PICKUP TRUCK WAS PARKED IN FRONT AND THEY HAD
26
    UNLOADED --
27
                WELL, I KNOW THEY HAD UNLOADED THE TRUNK WITH
```

MR. ESLAMINIA IN IT AND --

28

9-1

```
WHERE HAD THEY TAKEN IT?
          Q
1
                 -- AND SOME OF THE OTHER ITEMS.
2
                AND THEY WERE IN THE ENTRY HALL AS WELL.
3
                WAS THE TRUNK WITH MR. ESLAMINIA IN IT IN THE
          Q
4
    ENTRY HALL?
5
                YES.
          А
6
                WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT?
          Q
7
                WE WENT INTO THE NEXT ROOM AND WE TALKED FOR A
8
    WHILE.
9
                WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT?
10
                ABOUT WHAT WE WERE GOING TO DO NEXT.
11
               UP TO THAT POINT, HAD THERE BEEN ANY PLANS MADE
12
    ABOUT WHAT TO DO WITH MR. ESLAMINIA'S BODY?
13
          Α
                I DON'T THINK SO.
14
                DO YOU KNOW WHY THAT WASN'T PART OF THE ORIGINAL
15
     PLAN?
16
          A BECAUSE, ACCORDING TO THE ORIGINAL PLAN, HE WAS
17
     SUPPOSED TO BE KEPT AND INTERROGATED FOR UP TO A NUMBER OF
18
19
     WEEKS BEFORE HE WAS GOING TO BE KILLED AND WE DIDN'T PLAN
     ANYTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE WE FIGURED THAT WE HAD TIME TO THINK
20
21
     ABOUT IT, TO MAKE SOME PLANS.
22
           Q AND WHEN YOU WERE IN THE LIVING ROOM, IT WAS YOU,
23
     JOE HUNT AND BEN DOSTI?
24
          A RIGHT.
25
                WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT?
           Q
26
               WELL, WE TALKED SOME ABOUT HAVING -- HAVING REZA
27
     GET INVOLVED AS HIS FATHER'S ELDEST SON AND PERHAPS EVENTUALLY,
```

GETTING SOME OF HIS FATHER'S ASSETS.

9-7

9 – 3

AND WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT WHAT TO DO WITH THE BODY. 1 WAS THERE ANY DECISION MADE --Q 2 WELL, WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT IN TERMS OF WHAT 3 TO DO WITH THE BODY? 4 A WE TALKED ABOUT WHETHER -- WHETHER IT WAS 5 NECESSARY FOR THE BODY TO BE DESTROYED OR NEVER FOUND OR WHETHER 6 IT COULD JUST BE DISPOSED OF IN A WAY THAT -- WELL, IF IT 7 WAS FOUND, IT WOULDN'T MATTER THAT MUCH. 8 DID YOU DISCUSS THE PROS AND CONS OF THAT? Q 9 YES. Α 10 WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT IN THAT REGARD? 11 WELL, WE TALKED ABOUT THE FACT THAT ACCORDING 12 TO REZA, THERE WERE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE OR ENTITIES THAT WOULD 13 HAVE WANTED HARM TO COME TO MR. ESLAMINIA, SO THAT IF THE 14 BODY WAS FOUND AND IF IT WERE IDENTIFIABLE AS MR. ESLAMINIA. 15 IT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY POINT THE FINGER AT US BUT IT COULD 16 POINT THE FINGER AT ANYONE, SO THAT THERE DIDN'T SEEM TO BE 17 AS GREAT A NECESSITY IN THIS SITUATION TO DESTROY THE BODY 18 OR TO, WELL, TO MAKE SURE IT WAS NEVER, EVER FOUND. 19 AS GREAT A NECESSITY IN THIS SITUATION AS OPPOSED 20 Q TO WHAT? 21 22 Α AS OPPOSED TO THE SITUATION WITH RON LEVIN'S 23 BODY. 24 DID YOU DISCUSS WHO IT WAS THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE 25 THAT MIGHT WANT TO DO AWAY WITH MR. ESLAMINIA? 26 Α I DON'T THINK SO. 27 IT WAS JUST GENERALLY MENTIONED EARLIER IN OUR

DISCUSSIONS AND OUR PLANNING SESSIONS THAT MR. ESLAMINIA WAS

AN ENEMY OF THE AYATOLLAH IN IRAN.

28

1	Q AND AFTER YOU HAD THIS DISCUSSION IN THE LIVING
2	ROOM, DID YOU COME TO ANY CONCLUSIONS?
3	A YES. WE CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT IT WASN'T
4	GOING TO BE NECESSARY TO DESTROY THE BODY.
5	Q AND AFTER COMING TO THAT CONCLUSION, WHAT WAS
6	DONE?
7	A BEN AND JOE TOOK THE TRUNK WITH THE BODY DOWN-
8	STAIRS INTO THAT BASEMENT.
9	Q DID YOU GO DOWN THERE WITH THEM?
10	A NO, I DIDN'T.
11	Q WERE YOU TOLD BY SOMEONE WHAT HAPPENED WHEN
12	THEY WENT DOWN THERE?
13	A YES, I WAS.
14	Q WHO TOLD YOU?
15	A IT WAS JOE AND BEN TOGETHER. I DON'T REALLY
16	REMEMBER.
17	BUT THEY WENT THERE TO TAKE THE BODY OUT AND
18	TO REMOVE THE HANDCUFFS AND TO SEE WHAT WAS IN HIS POCKETS
19	AND REMOVE IT.
	AND DED WOLL EVED CEE ANYTHING THAT WAS TAKEN
20	Q AND DID YOU EVER SEE ANYTHING THAT WAS TAKEN
20 21	FROM THE BODY AT THAT POINT?
	· ·
21	FROM THE BODY AT THAT POINT?
21 22	FROM THE BODY AT THAT POINT?  A SOMETIME LATER ON, I THINK I DID SEE SOME KIND
21 22 23	FROM THE BODY AT THAT POINT?  A SOMETIME LATER ON, I THINK I DID SEE SOME KIND  OF I FORGET EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS, SOME ITEM THAT WAS TAKEN
21 22 23 24	FROM THE BODY AT THAT POINT?  A SOMETIME LATER ON, I THINK I DID SEE SOME KIND  OF I FORGET EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS, SOME ITEM THAT WAS TAKEN  FROM HIS POCKETS THAT HAD SOME WRITING ON IT LIKE A KIND

A SOMETIME LATER.

```
Q AFTER JOE AND BEN TOOK THIS TRUNK DOWN TO THE
1
2
     BASEMENT, WHAT HAPPENED?
3
                WELL, I WAS SUPPOSED TO GO UPSTAIRS AND SORT
4
     OF KEEP A LOOK OUT; OUT THE WINDOW.
5
          Q DID YOU DO THAT?
6
                YES, I DID.
7
                 AND AT SOME POINT, DID YOU COME BACK DOWN THE
8
     STAIRS?
9
          A TO THE MAIN FLOOR, BUT I DIDN'T GO INTO THE
10
     BASEMENT.
11
          Q DID YOU SEE THE TRUNK BACK UPSTAIRS IN THE
12
     MAIN FLOOR AT SOME POINT?
13
          A YES.
14
                WHAT HAPPENED TO IT AFTER THAT?
15
              IT WAS LOADED BACK INTO THE PICKUP TRUCK SO
16
     THAT WE COULD TAKE IT SOMEWHERE.
17
          Q HAD YOU DECIDED AT THAT POINT WHERE YOU WERE
18
     GOING TO TAKE IT?
19
                WE TALKED ABOUT TAKING IT UP TO SOLEDAD CANYON.
          Α
20
                 AND WAS THAT ALL THREE OF YOU TALKING ABOUT
21
     THAT?
22
                 I THINK SO.
           Α
23
                WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE BODY WAS PUT IN THE
24
     TRUNK?
25
                BEN LEFT. HE WENT HOME.
           Α
26
           Q WHAT DID YOU DO?
27
                 WELL, SINCE BEN HAD GONE DOWNSTAIRS TO THE
28
     BASEMENT WITH JOE, I GOT TO GO AND TAKE THE BODY TO BE
```

DISPOSED OF WITH JOE. Q AND THE TRUNK WAS NOW IN THE BACK OF THE PICKUP TRUCK? A YES. Q DID SOMEONE GET INTO THE PICKUP TRUCK TO DRIVE? A JOE DROVE AND I SAT IN THE PASSENGER SEAT. 

1	Q WHERE DID YOU GO?
2	A WE DROVE TO SOLEDAD CANYON.
3	Q WHEN YOU GOT TO SOLEDAD CANYON, WHERE IN SOLEDAD
4	CANYON DID YOU GO?
5	A WE WENT UP THIS DIRT ROAD WHERE WE HAD BEEN
6	BEFORE ONE TIME. I HAD BEEN THERE ONE TIME BEFORE WITH
7	JOE.
8	Q LET ME STOP YOU FOR ONE SECOND. DID YOU HAVE
9	ANY DISCUSSION WITH MR. HUNT ON THE WAY UP THERE TO SOLEDAD
10	CANYON, ABOUT WHERE IT WAS THAT YOU WERE GOING TO GO?
11	A YES.
12	Q WHAT WAS THE DISCUSSION?
13	A THAT WE WERE GOING TO GO UP TO THE SAME AREA
14	WHERE HE HAD LEFT RON LEVIN'S BODY.
15	Q DID HE TELL YOU APPROXIMATELY WHERE THAT WAS?
16	A HE SAID IT WAS ABOUT FIVE MILES UP OFF OF
17	SOLEDAD CANYON ROAD ON THIS DIRT ROAD. I DON'T KNOW IF
18	IT IS A FIRE ROAD OR A RANGER'S ROAD OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
19	Q WHEN YOU WERE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION, WERE
20	YOU STILL ON THE FREEWAY?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND WHEN YOU GOT OFF OF THE FREEWAY AND WENT
23	TO SOLEDAD CANYON, YOU GOT OFF THE FREEWAY AND YOU WERE
24	DRIVING IN SOLEDAD CANYON. IS THERE FIRST, LIKE A TWO-LANE
25	PAVED ROAD?
26	A YES.
27	Q AND THEN AT SOME POINT, DID MR. HUNT DRIVE
28	THE TRUCK OFF OF THE PAVED ROAD AND UP ONTO THIS DIRT OR

1	FIRE ROAD?
2	A YES.
3	Q HOW FAR UP DID YOU GO?
4	A I DON'T THINK IT COULD HAVE BEEN MORE THAN
5	A MILE. WE WERE INTENDING TO GO UP FARTHER.
6	Q WHAT HAPPENED?
7	A BUT THERE WE WERE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT
8	AND WE WERE DRIVING UP THERE AND THERE WAS A TRUCK PARKED
9	UP THERE. AND IT WAS SO DARK THAT WE COULDN'T TURN OUR
10	HEADLIGHTS OFF TO DRIVE PAST IT.
11	BUT WE KNEW IF HE KEPT THE HEADLIGHTS ON, OUR
12	LICENSE PLATE WOULD BE VISIBLE.
13	AND SO, WE DECIDED RATHER THAN TO CONTINUE
14	UP PAST THE TRUCK SO THAT IT COULD SEE US GOING UP AND COMING
15	BACK, WE WOULD JUST TURN AROUND AND LEAVE THE BODY SOMEWHERE
16	AT A LOWER AREA.
17	Q DID YOU SEE ANY PEOPLE IN THAT TRUCK THAT WAS
18	PARKED UP THERE?
19	A NO, WE DIDN'T.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
11-1
                     Q
                          WHAT KIND OF A TRUCK WAS IT, DO YOU KNOW?
           1
                    A I THINK IT WAS A PICKUP TRUCK WITH A, I THINK
           2
               IT HAD A CAMPER SHELL ON THE BACK.
           3
                     Q AFTER YOU SAW THAT TRUCK, DID MR. HUNT TURN YOUR
           4
               TRUCK AROUND?
           5
                    A YES.
           6
                         AND WHERE DID HE GO?
           7
                         WE DROVE BACK DOWN THE ROAD WHERE THERE WAS A
           8
               SORT OF PLATEAU AND WHAT SEEMED TO BE A STEEP HILLSIDE, OFF
           9
               OF THE SIDE, I MEAN.
          10
                         WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT TO THAT LOCATION?
          11
                    A WE STOPPED THE TRUCK, WE GOT OUT AND WE TOOK THE
          12
               TRUNK OUT OF THE TRUCK.
          13
                         AFTER YOU GOT THE TRUNK, WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME
          14
               THAT YOU HAD ACTUALLY CARRIED THAT TRUNK WITH MR. ESLAMINIA
          15
               IN IT?
          16
                  A I THINK SO.
          17
                         DID YOU TAKE ONE SIDE OF IT AND MR. HUNT TAKE
          18
               ONE SIDE OF IT?
          19
          20
                   A YES.
          21
                    Q WAS IT HEAVY?
          22
                    А
                          YES, IT WAS.
          23
                    Q
                          WHAT DID YOU DO WITH IT?
          24
                         WE MOVED THE TRUNK ALL THE WAY UP TO THE EDGE
          25
               OF THE HILLSIDE AND WE OPENED IT UP AND WE TILTED IT OVER
          26
               AND WE ROLLED THE BODY DOWN THE HILL.
          27
                   Q COULD YOU SEE HOW FAR DOWN THE HILL THAT HIS BODY
```

ROLLED?

11 2 1 2 3 IT STOP PRETTY QUICKLY. 5 6 7 Q WHERE DID YOU GO? 8 A WE DROVE BACK TOWARD L.A. 9 Q DID YOU GO DIRECTLY HOME? 10 Α NO. 11 12 13 14 WAS EVIDENCE. 15 16 17 18 LEFT BEHIND AND WE THREW THAT AWAY, TOO. 19 20 DUMPSTERS THROUGHOUT THE AREA. 21 22 THAT YOU HAD HAD IN THE BACK OF THE TRUCK? 23 A YES. 24 25 26 SMELL. 27

28

LOS ANGELES?

A IT WAS KIND OF HARD TO SEE TOO FAR DOWN THE HILL BUT IT DIDN'T REALLY ROLL TOO FAR DOWN BECAUSE I -- I HEARD Q AFTER YOU DID THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO? A WE PACKED UP THE TRUCK AGAIN AND WE PUT THE TRUNK BACK IN THE REAR COMPARTMENT AND WE DROVE AWAY. WE -- WE STOPPED IN, I THINK, SYLMAR AND MAYBE A COUPLE OF OTHER PLACES TO THROW AWAY THE ITEMS LIKE THE TRUNK AND SOME OF THE OTHER STUFF THAT WE HAD THAT WE THOUGHT THERE WAS A BLUE PLASTIC TARP THAT I GUESS WHEN THE TRUNK WAS OPENED UP DOWN IN THE BASEMENT OF THE HOUSE, IT WAS DONE ON THE TARP SO THAT THERE WOULDN'T BE ANY EVIDENCE WE JUST THREW STUFF OUT OF THE WINDOWS AND IN Q DID YOU EVENTUALLY THROW AWAY ALL OF THE ITEMS AND THEN WE OPENED UP THE BACK WINDOW ON THE CAMPER SHELL TO AIR OUT THE BACK OF THE TRUCK SO THAT IT WOULDN'T Q WHERE DID YOU GO TO WHEN YOU GOT BACK TO

A AT THAT TIME, WE WENT BACK TO THE PLACE WHERE 1 WE LIVED, THE MANNING. 2 Q DID YOU OPEN UP THE BACK WINDOW OF THE CAMPER 3 AS YOU WERE DRIVING BACK TO THE MANNING? 4 A YES. 5 C WHAT HAPPENED TO THE SECOND TRUNK THAT YOU HAD 6 PURCHASED? 7 A THE NEXT DAY, BEN AND I WENT TO THE HOUSE ON 8 BEVERLY GLEN, SINCE WE HAD RENTED IT FOR SIX WEEKS, AND WE 9 BROKE IT ALL -- WE BROKE IT ALL UP. WE BROKE THE TRUNK AND 10 WE THREW IT AWAY. 11 Q WAS IT EASY OR DIFFICULT TO BREAK IT UP? 12 A IT WAS QUITE HARD BECAUSE IT WAS A VERY STURDY 13 TRUNK. 14 WHERE DID YOU THROW IT AWAY? 15 Q A WE DROVE AROUND, I THINK IT WAS THE WESTWOOD AREA 16 AND WE THREW PARTS OF IT AWAY IN VARIOUS TRASH DUMPSTERS IN 17 ALLEYS. 18 AFTER DOING THAT, WERE THERE ANY EFFORTS MADE 19 BY MR. HUNT OR ANYBODY INVOLVED IN THE BBC TO ATTEMPT TO TAKE 20 CONTROL OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA'S ASSETS? 21 22 Α YES. WAS THAT DONE IMMEDIATELY, LIKE THE NEXT DAY? 23 Q 24 A NO, IT WASN'T. 25 Q I WANT TO GET BACK TO THAT. 26 BUT SOMETHING ELSE IN THE SEQUENCE OF THINGS, 27 DID YOU CONTINUE TO RENT THE BEVERLY GLEN HOUSE FOR THE SIX

11 7

28

WEEKS?

12F

WELL, WE ONLY RENTED IT THE ONE TIME. WE DIDN'T MAKE ANY MORE PAYMENTS.

BUT WHAT WE ACTUALLY TRIED TO DO WAS TO GET OUR MONEY BACK.

AND WHO TRIED TO DO THAT?

I BELIEVE BEN DOSTI MADE THE PHONE CALLS TO THIS STEVEN POWERS, OR ELSE TO THE AGENT, I AM NOT SURE. I THINK HE TALKED TO STEVEN POWERS AND HE TOLD HIM THAT --

WELL, SEE, WE HAD ORIGINALLY RENTED THE PLACE BY TELLING THE STORY THAT JOE WAS A WRITER AND THAT HE NEEDED A QUIET SECLUDED PLACE, SO AS OUR EXCUSE FOR ASKING FOR OUR MONEY BACK, HE TOLD HIM THAT THE FUNDING FOR JOE'S PROJECT HE WAS WRITING HAD BEEN WITHDRAWN AND THE DEAL HAD FALLEN THROUGH AND NOW THERE WAS GOING TO BE NO PROJECT AND SO COULD HE PLEASE GIVE THE MONEY BACK BECAUSE HE WOULD BE ABLE TO RENT THE HOUSE THE VERY NEXT DAY TO SOMEONE ELSE, IF HE WANTED.

```
DID YOU HEAR MR. DOSTI MAKE THIS PHONE CALL?
1
          Q
2
          Α
                 YES.
                 WHOSE NAME DID HE USE?
3
                 HE USED MY NAME BECAUSE I AM THE ONE THAT HAD
4
    MET MR. POWERS AND SIGNED THE LEASE. AND HE DIDN'T KNOW
5
    WHO BEN WAS. BUT I WAS TOO NERVOUS TO CALL.
6
          Q DID YOU GET SOME OF THE MONEY BACK FOR THE
7
8
    HOUSE RENTAL?
          A YES.
9
10
                HOW MUCH?
          Q
11
          Α
                HALF, IT WAS I THINK, $3,500 FROM MR. POWERS
    AND THEN I THINK THE REAL ESTATE AGENT RETURNED HER PORTION
12
13
     OF ABOUT $1,000 THAT WAS THE COMMISSION.
                WAS THAT MONEY GIVEN TO YOU OR TO SOMEONE ELSE?
14
          Q
                 THE $3,500 THAT MR. POWERS RETURNED WAS GIVEN
15
16
     TO JOE.
                 WE WENT TO THE HOUSE TO GET IT AND I WAITED
17
     IN THE CAR AND JOE WENT AND GOT THE MONEY, I THINK.
18
19
          THE COURT: WHAT HOUSE ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?
20
          THE WITNESS: MR. POWERS' HOUSE, SORRY.
21
          THE COURT: WHERE WAS THAT?
22
          THE WITNESS: IT WAS ON SUNSET BOULEVARD IN HOLMBY
23
     HILLS, I THINK.
24
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID HE HAVE AN OFFICE AS PART
25
     OF HIS HOUSE?
26
        A YES. IT WAS A POOL HOUSE THAT WAS MADE INTO
27
     AN OFFICE. AND THE MONEY FROM THE REAL ESTATE AGENT, I
28
     BELIEVE I WENT AND PICKED UP THAT MONEY MYSELF AFTER IT
```

1 HAD BEEN PREARRANGED. 2 AND AFTER YOU DID THAT, WHAT EFFORTS WERE MADE 3 TO ATTEMPT TO GAIN CONTROL OF THE ASSETS OF MR. ESLAMINIA? 4 WELL, WE WAITED A WHILE FIRST OF ALL BECAUSE 5 THEORETICALLY, WE WEREN'T SUPPOSED TO KNOW ANYTHING AT ALL 6 ABOUT THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MR. ESLAMINIA. 7 SO WE JUST SAT QUIETLY AND EVENTUALLY, REZA 8 SAID THAT SOMEONE HAD CONTACTED HIS FAMILY, HIS MOTHER AND 9 TOLD THEM ABOUT THIS AND --10 THE COURT: TOLD THEM ABOUT WHAT? 11 THE WITNESS: THAT HIS FATHER HAD DISAPPEARED. SO 12 APPARENTLY, ONE OF THE PEOPLE HAD SAID THAT, "WELL, REZA, 13 YOU ARE THE OLDEST, FIRST SON IN THE FAMILY AND YOU BETTER 14 DO SOMETHING." 15 AND THAT SEEMED TO BE THE PREFECT OPPORTUNITY 16 FOR REZA TO COME IN AND TAKE CHARGE, AS AN ELDEST SON WOULD 17 DO IN SUCH A SITUATION. 18 Q BY MR. WAPNER: HOW LONG AFTER JULY 30TH OF 19 1984 WAS THAT? 20 A I THINK IT WAS NO LONGER THAN A WEEK. 21 AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, WHAT WAS DONE? Q 22 AS A RESULT OF THAT, THE LAWYERS IN THE OFFICE 23 WERE TOLD ABOUT THIS. THEY WEREN'T TOLD THAT WE HAD 24 ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MR. ESLAMINIA. 25 BUT THEY WERE TOLD, "LOOK, OUR FRIEND REZA, 26 HAS A PROBLEM. HIS FATHER HAS DISAPPEARED. HE IS OUR 27 FRIEND. HE IS IN OUR GROUP. LET'S TRY TO HELP HIM OUT." 28 SO AS A RESULT, THE LAWYERS WENT TO WORK TO GAIN INFORMATION

```
ABOUT GETTING THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF MR. ESLAMINIA'S PROPERTY
1
2
     OR ESTATE.
3
           Q AND HOW DID THEY GO ABOUT DOING THAT?
4
          A I DON'T SPECIFICALLY KNOW. BUT I GUESS THEY
     APPLIED TO THE COURT TO DO IT AND THERE WERE AFFIDAVITS
5
     AND DECLARATIONS AND THAT SORT OF THING FOLLOWED, I GUESS.
6
7
          Q AND AT SOME POINT, WERE TRIPS MADE BY MEMBERS
8
     IN THE BBC TO SAN MATEO TO TRY TO DETERMINE WHAT KIND OF
9
     ASSETS MR. ESLAMINIA HAD?
10
          A YES.
11
           Q
               DID YOU GO ON ANY OF THOSE?
12
           A I WENT UP THERE TWO TIMES.
13
                WHEN WAS THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU WENT UP THERE?
           Q
14
                I AM NOT SURE WHAT THE DATE WAS. BUT IT WAS
15
     RIGHT ABOUT THE TIME WHEN REZA HAD EITHER -- HE WAS ABOUT
16
     TO GET THE CONSERVATORSHIP OR HE HAD JUST GOTTEN IT.
17
                 AND WE WENT TO THE SAN MATEO POLICE STATION
18
    IN ORDER TO GET SOME PAPERS THAT HAD BEEN TAKEN OUT OF THE
19
     APARTMENT.
20
          Q WHO WENT TO THE POLICE STATION?
21
                JOE WENT. I WENT. REZA WENT AND I THINK AN
22
    ATTORNEY THAT WAS WORKING FOR OUR GROUP NAMED NEIL ADELMAN
23
    WENT.
24
         Q WHAT TYPE OF PAPERS WERE YOU TRYING TO GET
25
    AT THAT POINT?
26
         A I REALLY WAS NOT SURE. ALL THAT WE KNEW IS
27
    THAT SOME PAPERS HAD BEEN REMOVED FROM THE HOME IN THE COURSE
28
    OF THE POLICE INVESTIGATION OF THE DISAPPEARANCE.
```

AND REZA FELT THAT SOME OF THOSE PAPERS MIGHT 1 HAVE LISTINGS FOR SWISS BANK ACCOUNTS OR SOME OTHER 2 INFORMATION THAT WOULD BE USEFUL TO US. 3 SO, WE SOUGHT TO GET THOSE PAPERS PLUS I THINK 4 EVENTUALLY -- WELL, THAT'S -- I THINK THERE WAS ALSO 5 PERMISSION SOUGHT FOR REZA TO TAKE A LOOK THROUGH THE APARTMENT. 6 7 TO SEARCH IT. AND DID YOU GO TO THE APARTMENT AT THAT TIME? Q 8 I AM NOT POSITIVE IF IT WAS THAT DAY. BUT 9 I THINK IT WAS. 10 DID YOU GET INTO THE APARTMENT? 11 Q NO. WE GOT TO THE BUILDING AND THERE WAS NO 12 ONE AT THE APARTMENT. BUT WE HAD SOME KIND OF A COURT 13 ORDER GIVING REZA PERMISSION TO DO THAT, TO SEARCH. 14 WE WENT TO THE SECURITY GUARD AND TOLD HIM 15 THAT WE WERE THE AUTHORIZED COURT REPRESENTATIVES AND ET 16 CETERA. AND HE SAID THAT HE COULDN'T LET US IN. 17 18 SO I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT WE DID THERE. 19 BUT WE WERE TRYING TO GET IN. AND EVENTUALLY, THE POLICE 20 CAME AND OLGA VASQUEZ CAME AND THAT DAY, WE NEVER GOT IN 21 THERE. 22 23 24 25 26 27

13

WHEN WAS THE SECOND TIME THAT YOU WENT UP THERE? 0 1 A I HAVE TO TELL YOU. THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN THE SECOND 2 TIME. THE TIME WE WENT TO THE POLICE STATION AND THE TIME 3 WE WENT TO THE APARTMENT, I AM NOT SURE IF IT WAS ONE TIME 4 OR TWO TIMES. 5 BUT THE NEXT TIME AND THE LAST TIME THAT I WENT 6 UP THERE DURING THAT PERIOD WAS SOMETIME WITHIN THE NEXT --7 WITHIN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS. 8 WE -- WE HAD GOTTEN THESE CONSERVATORSHIP PAPERS 9 AND A WHOLE GROUP OF US WENT THERE LITERALLY WALKING THE 10 STREETS TO VARIOUS BANKS, SHOWING THEM OUR CONSERVATORSHIP 11 PAPERS, SAYING THAT WE WERE REPRESENTATIVES OF REZA ESLAMINIA 12 AND THAT WE WERE LOOKING FOR ANY ASSETS THAT HADAYET ESLAMINIA 13 MIGHT HAVE. 14 WERE ANY ASSETS DISCOVERED IN THAT FASHION? 15 I THINK THERE WERE A COUPLE OF CLOSED BANK ACCOUNTS 16 FOUND AND ONE BANK ACCOUNT THAT HAD A COUPLE OF HUNDRED DOLLARS 17 18 IN IT. 19 WHO PARTICIPATED IN THAT? 0 A REZA. BEN, JIM PITTMAN, JOE, MYSELF AND EVAN DICKER, 20 JOHN ALLEN. I THINK THAT IS ALL. 21 22 WAS THAT ALL DONE IN ONE DAY? Q 23 Α ALL IN ONE DAY. 24 DID YOU BREAK UP INTO TEAMS TO DO THAT? Q 25 Α YES. 26 HOW MANY DIFFERENT TEAMS WENT OUT TO TRY AND FIND Q 27 HIS ASSETS?

A I THINK FOUR TEAMS.

17 "

1.3-9 WE WERE COMBING THE AREA. 1 AT SOME POINT AFTER THAT, DID YOU SEE MR. HUNT 2 WITH A POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR HADAYET ESLAMINIA? 3 YES. 4 WHEN WAS THAT? 5 A I FIRST SAW IT WHEN HE WAS TYPING IT AT THE 6 APARTMENT WE LIVED IN. 7 HE HAD A FORM OF A POWER OF ATTORNEY AND HE WAS 8 RETYPING IT ONTO A BLANK PIECE OF PAPER. 9 MR. HUNT WAS TYPING IT? Q 10 А YES. 11 AFTER HE TYPED IT ON THE BLANK PIECE OF PAPER, 12 Q DID HE DO ANYTHING WITH IT MORE AT THE APARTMENT? 13 14 NO, I DON'T THINK SO. HE JUST TYPED UP THE FORM WITH THE SPACE AT THE 15 BOTTOM FOR MR. ESLAMINIA'S SIGNATURE AND A SPACE FOR REZA'S 16 SIGNATURE. 17 IT WAS A POWER OF ATTORNEY -- MR. ESLAMINIA 18 19 GIVING A POWER OF ATTORNEY TO HIS SON, REZA, TO ACT ON HIS 20 BEHALF. O WHAT DID YOU SEE JOE HUNT DO WITH IT AFTER THAT? 21 22 WELL, A COUPLE OF DAYS LATER IN THE OFFICE, HE HAD A PILE OF PHOTOCOPIES OF THAT TYPED POWER OF ATTORNEY 23 24 AND HE HAD AN EXEMPLAR OF WHAT MR. ESLAMINIA'S SIGNATURE WAS. 25 AS A MATTER OF FACT. THERE WERE TWO DIFFERENT 26 SIGNATURES, ONE WHICH WAS A SHORT ONE AND ONE WHICH WAS A 27 LONGER ONE THAT REZA HAD SAID WERE HIS FATHER'S SIGNATURE.

AND HE WAS -- HE WAS REPLICATING THE SIGNATURE

OF SETTING UP. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN IN WITH THAT STUFF. I

27

28

AM REALLY NOT SURE.

13-4	1	Q I WANT TO SHOW YOU A DOCUMENT THAT SAYS "UNLIMITED
i	2	POWER OF ATTORNEY" AS WELL AS A COPY OF THAT.
	3	MR. WAPNER: MAY THE COPY BE MARKED, YOUR HONOR, AS
	4	29 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
<u>.</u>	5	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
	6	Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU THE UNLIMITED POWER
29ID	7	OF ATTORNEY AND THE COPY OF IT THAT IS PEOPLE'S 29, DO YOU
	8	RECOGNIZE THOSE?
	9	A YES, I DO.
	10	I THINK THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT I GAVE TO THE
ı	11	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATOR.
14F	12	
<u> </u> 	13	
!	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
<u> </u>	21	
ľ	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
:	26	
	27	
] !	28	

Q AND LOOKING AT THE SIGNATURE ON THE LINE WHERE 14. ) THERE IS TYPING UNDERNEATH THAT SAYS "HADAYET ESLAMINIA," DOES THIS APPEAR TO YOU TO BE SIMILAR TO THE WRITING THAT YOU SAW JOE HUNT PLACE ON THE POWER OF ATTORNEY THAT HE TYPED? A YES. Q AND DOES THAT APPEAR TO YOU TO BE THE POWER OF ATTORNEY FORM THAT HE IN FACT, TYPED? A I THINK IT IS. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A FEW MORE QUESTIONS. MIGHT THIS BE AN APPROPRIATE TIME TO BREAK? THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS TIME UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. THE SAME ADMONITION I GAVE YOU WILL STILL APPLY. (AT 12 NOON A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 19, 1987; 1:35 P.M. 1 DEPARTMENT C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) 3 4 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 5 IN CHAMBERS OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE AND 6 7 HEARING OF THE JURY:) MR. BARENS: THIS WILL BE VERY BRIEF, JUDGE. 9 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, PRIOR TO THE CONVENING OF 10 COURT THIS AFTERNOON AND THE UNDERTAKING OF THE CROSS-11 EXAMINATION OF MR. KARNY, THE DEFENSE WOULD LIKE TO IDENTIFY 12 13 BY NAME SOME 16 WITNESSES THAT WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO BUT WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY OF HAVING INTERVIEWED AND 14 15 EXAMINED BY AN INVESTIGATOR BEFORE UNDERTAKING THE CROSS-16 EXAMINATION OR THE DEFENSE ASPECT OF THE PENALTY PHASE. 17 THESE WITNESSES ARE BELIEVED AT THIS POINT 18 TO BEAR DIRECTLY ON THE MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN ADDUCED IN 19 THE LAST WEEK OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AND THEIR NAMES ARE AS 20 FOLLOWS: 21 LISA MARIE SOBEL, S-O-B-E-L. 22 MR. ALI BONI, B-O-N-I. 23 MR. SAFARIAN, S-A-F-A-R-I-A-N. 24 MS. MINA, M-I-N-A, HAKIMI, H-A-K-I-M-I. 25 MR. AMIR. 26 MR. MAHMOOD, M-A-H-M-O-O-D. 27 MR. NEIL ADELMAN. · 28 THE PRESIDENT OF COEFFICIENT COATING.

MR. BRADFORD OF U-HAUL. THE MANAGER OF THE BELMONT APARTMENTS. MR. WAPNER: WHICH MANAGER OF THE BELMONT APARTMENTS, THE CURRENT ONE OR THE ONE WHO WAS WORKING THERE AT THE TIME? MR. CHIER: THE ONE WHO WAS WORKING AND APPARENTLY HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. PITTMAN. MR. WAPNER: MR. MILLER, JERRY MILLER? MR. CHIER: YES. MR. WAPNER: OKAY. MR. CHIER: IN ADDITION, BECAUSE OF THE NEW TESTIMONY THAT WE WERE UNAWARE OF BEFORE CONCERNING THE IDENTIFICATION OF MR. HUNT IN THE SKY ROOM OF THE DAVEY GLEN CONDOMINIUMS, WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PHOTOGRAPH THAT PARTICULAR PART OF THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX AND TO OBTAIN A HANDWRITING EXPERT. 

16-1

AND WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE COURT IS UNDER TIME CONSTRAINTS AND AS A RESULT OF THE PRESS OF OTHER CASES OF EITHER A CAPITAL NATURE OR A SERIOUS NATURE, YOUR HONOR.

BUT NEVERTHELESS, WE FEEL THAT FOR THE REASONS

PREVIOUSLY REITERATED AND NOW ONCE AGAIN URGED UPON THE COURT,

THAT WE SHOULD BE GIVEN SOME ADDITIONAL TIME TO PUT THIS THING

TOGETHER.

MR. WAPNER: THIS PRESENTS A REAL DILEMMA BECAUSE FIRST OF ALL, NONE OF THE PEOPLE ARE NEW. ALL OF THESE PEOPLE ARE PEOPLE THAT ARE LISTED IN THE REPORTS THAT MR. BARENS AND MR. CHIER HAVE HAD FOR OVER TWO YEARS.

THE NAMES OF LISA MARIE SOBEL WHO WAS AT ONE TIME

APPARENTLY THE GIRLFRIEND OF MR. KARNY AND THESE WITNESSES

IN RELATION TO MR. ESLAMINIA, ALL OF THEM ARE ALL IN THE REPORTS

THAT THEY HAVE HAD FOR TWO YEARS.

HERE IS THE DILEMMA. ON THE ONE HAND, THEY ARE NOT PREPARED BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS. WELL, THAT IS NOT CORRECT BECAUSE FOR TWO YEARS IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS CASE, THEY HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY.

EVERY TIME WHEN THIS CASE WAS CONTINUED FOR MONTHS

AT A TIME, THEY SAID THAT IT WAS TO DO FURTHER PREPARATION

WHICH MAKES ONE WONDER WHAT WAS THE FURTHER PREPARATION THAT

WAS BEING DONE.

BUT THE DILEMMA THAT COMES IN IS IF IN FACT, THEY
HAD THE TWO YEARS AND IF IN FACT, THEY DIDN'T DO IT, NOW WE
ARE SAYING THAT MR. HUNT IS NOT GETTING COMPETENT
REPRESENTATION. SO THAT IS THE BOX THAT THE COURT IS NOW
BEING PUT IN.

I DON'T KNOW WHY THIS STUFF WAS NOT DONE.

THE COURT: HOW DO WE KNOW IT WAS NOT DONE?

MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW.

THE COURT: BECAUSE THEY ARE GIVING US THESE NAMES MEANS

THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TESTIMONY IS GOING TO BE?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, CLEARLY THEY KNOW WHAT THE TESTIMONY

IS. THEY ARE CLAIMING THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO

INTERVIEW THE PEOPLE. I CAN'T BELIEVE THAT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO THE BEST YOU CAN. THE MOTION IS DENIED TO CONTINUE THE MATTER ANY FURTHER.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF YOU COME UP WITH SOMETHING NEW, YOU CAN COME IN AGAIN.

MR. CHIER: THERE IS SOMETHING NEW RIGHT ON THE TOP OF THE LIST.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT?

MR. CHIER: THE REAL ESTATE LADY, BERNICE RAPPAPORT

HAS FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME IDENTIFIED BROOKE ROBERTS AS THE

PERSON WHO ACCOMPANIED MR. HUNT AND MR. KARNY ON THIS SHOPPING

EXPEDITION FOR A HOUSE TO RENT.

IN FACT, THE PERSON IS BELIEVED TO BE KARNY'S

GIRLFRIEND, LISA MARIE SOBEL. WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO REASON

TO DISBELIEVE THAT THE PERSON WAS NOT BROOKE ROBERTS AND IT --

THE COURT: SUBPOENA THIS OTHER WOMAN AND HAVE HER HERE
IN COURT AND HAVE HER TESTIFY THAT SHE WAS THE ONE THAT WAS
THERE: WHAT IS THE DIFFICULTY?

MR. CHIER: WE DON'T EVEN KNOW HOW TO FIND HER AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME.

16-3

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: WELL, YOU DO WHAT YOU CAN TO FIND HER FOR THEM, WILL YOU PLEASE? YOU CAN ASK KARNY WHETHER OR NOT --YOU CAN ASK KARNY WHO THE WOMAN WAS THAT CAME OVER THERE AND IF IT IS HIS GIRLFRIEND, ET CETERA.

IF IT IS BROOKE, THEN IT IS BROOKE. THERE IS NO PROBLEM ABOUT THAT. KARNY WILL KNOW WHETHER SHE WAS THERE OR NOT.

MR. WAPNER: FINE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

MR. WAPNER: JUST BRIEFLY ON THE RECORD WHILE WE ARE ALL HERE SO WE DON'T HAVE TO DO IT AT THE BENCH, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE OF MR. KARNY'S PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT WHEN HE IS FINISHED TESTIFYING, HE IS GOING TO LEAVE IMMEDIATELY AND HE IS NOT GOING TO BE AVAILABLE TO BE RECALLED UNLESS IT IS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED.

## SO I NEED TO KNOW --

MR. BARENS: I THINK THE DEFENSE WOULD OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, REQUEST UNLESS HIS HONOR WAS TO DISAGREE WITH ME -- UNTIL WE HEAR THE BALANCE OF THE WITNESSES, I THINK AND EVERYTHING THAT YOU ARE GOING TO PUT ON IN ATTEMPTS TO CORROBORATE AND CONFIRM HIS TESTIMONY, HE SHOULD BE HELD ON CALL SUBJECT TO RECALL.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU DO THAT?

MR. BARENS: UNTIL HE IS FINISHED WITH HIS WITNESSES.

THE COURT: HAVE HIM ON CALL.

MR. WAPNER: OKAY. THE INDICATION IS TO ME, THAT HE CAN BE PRODUCED WITHIN A DAY. I HOPE THAT IS SUFFICIENT.

1 MR. BARENS: YES. 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 3 MR. BARENS: I JUST THINK IT WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE 4 FOR ME NOT TO TAKE THAT POSITION, MR. WAPNER. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. 6 (THE PROCEEDINGS IN CHAMBERS WERE 7 RECESSED TO OPEN COURT.) 8 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 9 IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND 10 HEARING OF THE JURY:) 11 12 DEAN KARNY, CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, 13 14 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 15 THE COURT: YOU MAY CONTINUE WITH YOUR DIRECT. 16 MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 19 BY MR. WAPNER: 20 O MR. KARNY, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT POWER OF 21 ATTORNEY FORMS THAT YOU SAW PRODUCED. 22 I HAVE ANOTHER DOCUMENT, YOUR HONOR, THAT I 23 WOULD LIKE TO MARK AS 29-A FOR IDENTIFICATION. 24 THE COURT: I THOUGHT WE HAD 29, THE POWER OF ATTORNEY 25 FORM WAS 29. 26 MR. WAPNER: ACTUALLY, THIS IS ALSO A PORTION OF IT, 27 THE NOTARIZATION. 28 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. FINE.

1 MR. WAPNER: THAT GOES WITH THE POWER OF ATTORNEY. 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, 29-A. Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU 29-A AND ANOTHER 3 4 DOCUMENT THAT APPEARS TO BE IDENTICAL TO IT IN A PLASTIC 5 SLEEVE, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS? 6 A I DON'T THINK I DO. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER YOU PROVIDED COPIES OF 8 THOSE TO OSCAR BREILING? 9 A I AM NOT POSITIVE. 10 I GAVE HIM A LOT OF PAPER WORK THAT WAS IN 11 MY POSSESSION AT THE TIME THAT I TALKED TO HIM AND I KNOW 12 THAT -- I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE IF I GAVE HIM THAT. 13 I DIDN'T REALLY INVENTORY IT BEFORE I GAVE 14 IT TO HIM. 15 O AT SOME POINT WAS IT DISCUSSED ABOUT SENDING 16 SOMEBODY TO SWITZERLAND TO ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN MR. ESLAMINIA'S 17 ASSETS? 18 A YES. 19 MR. CHIER: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION, 20 WITHOUT IDENTIFYING WHOM THE FORM OF SPEAKERS WERE. 21 THE COURT: I AM SORRY. I WAS INTERRUPTED. READ 22 THE OUESTION, WILL YOU, PLEASE? 23 (THE PENDING QUESTION WAS READ BY THE 24 REPORTER.) 25 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 26 Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHO DISCUSSED IT? 27 A I BELIEVE ALL FIVE OF US, BEN, JIM, JOE, REZA 28 AND MYSELF.

WHAT WAS DISCUSSED?

THROUGH THE CONSERVATORSHIP OR WHATEVER IT WAS, HE WAS ABLE TO GET SOME OF THE PAPERS AND PROPERTY FROM HIS FATHER'S APARTMENT AND THROUGH THAT, HE GOT INFORMATION THAT THERE

WAS SOME SWISS BANK ACCOUNTS OR AN ACCOUNT, I AM NOT POSITIVE,

AT ONE POINT WHEN REZA WAS ABLE TO GET SOME --

IN HIS FATHER'S NAME, SO AT THAT POINT IT WAS DECIDED THAT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA FOR SOMEONE IN THE GROUP TO GO TRY

TO GAIN CONTROL OVER WHATEVER WAS IN THOSE ACCOUNTS.

AND WHO WENT, IF ANYBODY? Q

BEN DOSTI AND REZA ESLAMINIA. Α

DO YOU KNOW APPROXIMATELY WHEN IT WAS THAT Q

THEY WENT?

A I THINK IT WAS THE END OF AUGUST, SOMETIME

AT THE END OF THE SUMMER, I AM REALLY NOT POSITIVE.

1

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q WERE ANY DOCUMENTS SENT TO THEM TO AID THEM IN ATTEMPTING TO GET THESE ASSETS, IF YOU KNOW?

A AS FAR AS I KNOW, A NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS WERE SENT TO THEM, MOSTLY DOCUMENTS HAVING TO DO WITH PROVING WHAT REZA'S CAPACITY WAS, WHAT HIS LEGAL POWER WAS TO ACT FOR HIS FATHER AND TO TAKE CONTROL OVER THE ASSETS.

Q DID YOU SEND ANY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS?

NO, NOT PERSONALLY.

DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THEY DID ANYTHING AT THE TIME THEY WERE THERE REGARDING ANY OF THE ACCOUNTS OF MR. LEVIN?

I DON'T HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THAT.

Q AT THE TIME WHEN YOU WENT TO LOOK AT THE HOUSE ON BEVERLY GLEN IN PREPARATION FOR RENTING IT, WAS THERE ANY TIME WHEN THERE WAS ANYONE ALONG WITH YOU AND JOE?

A I THINK BROOKE ROBERTS CAME WITH US ONE OF THE TWO TIMES.

Q DID YOU LOOK AT IT TWICE BEFORE YOU DECIDED TO RENT IT?

A I THINK WE DID. WE WENT TO A NUMBER OF HOUSES. IT IS HARD FOR ME TO REMEMBER RIGHT NOW.

DURING THE GUILT PHASE, YOU TOLD US THAT AT SOME POINT AFTER MR. HUNT WAS ARRESTED, YOU OBTAINED SOME OF THE POLICE REPORTS IN THE RON LEVIN MATTER?

A YES.

AND THERE WAS A PICTURE IN THOSE REPORTS THAT CAUSED YOU SOME CONCERN, IF THAT IS THE RIGHT WORD OR CAUSED YOU TO BE KIND OF UPSET?

A THAT'S RIGHT.

```
Q WHOSE PICTURE WAS THAT?
1
               IT WAS HADAYET ESLAMINIA'S PICTURE.
2
              AT THE TIME THAT YOU MADE YOUR FIRST STATEMENT
3
    TO PROSECUTORS AND INVESTIGATORS FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
4
    OFFICE, DID YOU TELL THEM WHERE MR. ESLAMINIA'S BODY HAD BEEN
5
    PLACED?
6
          A I TOLD THEM THAT I KNEW WHERE IT WAS. I AM NOT
7
    POSITIVE IF I TOLD THEM WHERE.
8
          Q AND ON NOVEMBER THE 30TH, 1984, DID YOU GO TO
9
    SOLEDAD CANYON?
10
              ON WHAT DATE, SIR?
          Α
11
          Q NOVEMBER 30?
12
          THE COURT: 1984?
13
          MR. WAPNER: YES.
14
          THE WITNESS: I KNOW I WENT THERE AROUND THAT TIME PERIOD.
15
    I CAN'T SAY WHAT DATE IT WAS EXACTLY.
16
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU GO WITH DETECTIVE LES
17
18
    ZOELLER OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?
19
         A YES.
20
          Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF GOING UP THERE?
21
               THE PURPOSE WAS TO SHOW HIM WHERE JOE AND I HAD
22
    PUT MR. ESLAMINIA'S BODY.
23
          O AND DID YOU TAKE HIM UP TO THE SPOT WHERE YOU
24
    IN FACT, HAD PUT MR. ESLAMINIA'S BODY?
25
          A YES I DID.
26
         Q WHEN YOU TOOK HIM UP TO THAT SPOT, DID SOMEONE
27
    START TO GO DOWN THE HILLSIDE?
28
          A YES.
```

18 ^

Q WHO WAS THAT? 1 A DETECTIVE ZOELLER AND THERE WERE A COUPLE OF OTHER 2 OFFICERS WITH HIM. 3 Q I WILL SHOW YOU A PICTURE THAT WE HAVE MARKED 4 5 AS 17 FOR IDENTIFICATION. DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT IS DEPICTED IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH? 6 A IT IS A MOUNTAINOUS AREA. I DON'T RECOGNIZE IT 7 IN PARTICULAR. 8 Q CAN YOU TELL BY LOOKING AT THE PICTURE WHETHER 9 IT IS SOLEDAD CANYON OR NOT? 10 A I CAN'T REALLY TELL. 11 Q AND DID YOU TAKE DETECTIVE ZOELLER TO THE ONE 12 LOCATION OR MORE THAN ONE ON THAT DATE? 13 A WELL, I TOOK HIM TO THE PLACE WHERE WE WOUND UP 14 PUTTING MR. ESLAMINIA. AND I THINK I ALSO TOOK HIM A LITTLE 15 16 FURTHER UP THE HILL TO SHOW HIM WHERE WE HAD TURNED THE TRUCK 17 AROUND. 18 DID YOU EVER SEE MR. HUNT PREPARE A LIST OF 19 EXPENSES REGARDING THE ESLAMINIA ABDUCTION? 20 A HE PREPARED A NUMBER OF LISTS WHEN WE WERE MAKING 21 OUR PLANS. I DON'T REMEMBER A PARTICULAR ONE THAT HAD TO 22 DO WITH EXPENSES. BUT I DID SEE HIM PREPARING A NUMBER OF 23 LISTS. 24 25 26 27

18-3

19F

1	Q WERE YOU EVER AWARE THAT MR. REZA ESLAMINIA'S
2	BROTHER WAS IN CUSTODY FOR SOME REASON?
3	A YEAH, I BELIEVE HIS, THE ELDEST OF HIS YOUNGER
4	BROTHERS HAD BEEN ARRESTED.
5	AS A MATTER OF FACT, I THINK WE GAVE HIM SOME
6	MONEY TO GET HIM OUT OF JAIL.
7	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT HIS NAME WAS?
8	A ALI, I THINK.
9	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, DO YOU INTEND TO TAKE CROSS-
11	EXAMINATION?
12	MR. BARENS: MR. CHIER WILL TAKE IT.
13	THE COURT: YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE MATTER, I SUGGEST
14	YOU TAKE IT.
15	MR. BARENS: FOR THE PENALTY PHASE, MR. CHIER WILL
16	CROSS-EXAMINE, YOUR HONOR.
17	MR. CHIER: IS SOMETHING WRONG, YOUR HONOR?
18	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT ME TO PUT IT ON THE RECORD?
19	MR. BARENS: WHY DON'T
20	MR. CHIER: I CAN APPROACH IF YOU LIKE.
21	THE COURT: GO ON AHEAD.
22	
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. CHIER:
25	Q MR. KARNY, HOW IS YOUR APPLICATION FOR
26	ADMISSION TO THE STATE BAR COMING?
27	A I WAS REJECTED WHEN I ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED
28	IT AND I HAVEN'T TRIED TO GET IN TO THE BAR SINCE THEN,

1 SO AS IT STANDS, I AM REJECTED. 2 O THERE IS NOTHING NEW SINCE THE LAST TIME YOU 3 WERE HERE? 4 A NO, THERE ISN'T. 5 O NOW PRIOR TO TODAY, HOW MANY DIFFERENT TIMES 6 WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU HAVE BEEN INTERVIEWED BY LAW 7 ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS CONCERNING YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF THESE 8 EVENTS INVOLVING THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MR. LEVIN AND MR. 9 ESLAMINIA? 10 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 11 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 12 MR. CHIER: THIS GOES TO --THE COURT: WELL, DON'T ARGUE WITH ME. I SUSTAINED 13 14 THE OBJECTION. GO ON TO ANOTHER QUESTION. 15 BY MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT. NOW YESTERDAY, MR. 16 KARNY, YOU TESTIFIED CONCERNING STATEMENTS ALLEGEDLY MADE 17 BY MR. HUNT CONCERNING MR. SWARTOUT AND CONCERNING A SHOOT-18 OUT AT THE JACK COKER FACTORY. 19 MR. WAPNER: IS THAT JERRY COKER? 20 MR. CHIER: JERRY COKER. I AM SORRY. 21 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT, SIR? Q 22 YES. 23 O NOW PRIOR TO YESTERDAY, DID YOU RECEIVE A 24 PHONE CALL FROM EITHER MR. ZOELLER OR MR. WAPNER ASKING 25 YOU ABOUT THOSE MATTERS IN PARTICULAR? 26 A I DON'T BELIEVE I RECEIVED A PHONE CALL BUT 27 I SPOKE ON THE PHONE WITH HIM ABOUT IT.

I THINK I CALLED HIM.

1 Q THEN I STAND CORRECTED AS TO HOW OR WHAT THE 2 ORIGIN OF THE CALL WAS. 3 BUT YOU HAD A TELEPHONE CALL CONCERNING THOSE 4 MATTERS, RIGHT? 5 А YES. 6 DID MR. ZOELLER TELL YOU THAT THE EVIDENCE 7 HAD THUS FAR BEEN INSUFFICIENT TO CONNECT MR. HUNT TO THOSE 8 ALLEGED ACTS OF MISCONDUCT, MR. KARNY? 9 A NO. 10 HE JUST ASKED ME WHAT I KNEW ABOUT EITHER OF 11 THESE TWO SITUATIONS. 12 THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE. WILL YOU APPROACH THE 13 BENCH, PLEASE? 14 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 15 AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE 16 JURY:) 17 THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO INTRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE 18 THAT MR. ZOELLER TOLD HIM THAT THERE WAS INSUFFICIENT 19 EVIDENCE TO PROSECUTE ON THESE PARTICULAR CHARGES. ARE YOU 20 GOING TO PRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE THAT HE TOLD HIM THAT? 21 MR. CHIER: I WAS ABOUT TO ELICIT --22 THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO PRODUCE ANY EVIDENCE 23 THAT MR. ZOELLER TOLD HIM THAT THEY HAD INSUFFICIENT 24 EVIDENCE IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY THAT PARTICULAR PROSECUTION? 25 26

20

27

```
MR. CHIER: IF I MAY BE PERMITTED TO CONTINUE EXAMINING --
1
          THE COURT: NO. I WANT TO FIND OUT FROM YOU WHETHER
2
    OR NOT YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT PARTICULAR
3
    QUESTION.
4
          MR. CHIER: ONLY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.
5
          THE COURT: WHAT CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE DO YOU HAVE
6
    TO --
7
          MR. CHIER: CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE THAT HE IN TWO --
8
          THE COURT: THAT MR. ZOELLER TOLD HIM THERE WAS
9
    INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE?
10
          MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, I CAN'T --
11
          THE COURT: I ASKED YOU WHETHER OR NOT YOU --
12
          MR. CHIER: I CAN'T ANSWER YOU IF YOU DON'T LET ME SPEAK.
13
          THE COURT: DON'T YOU EVER DARE ASK ANY QUESTIONS WHICH
14
    YOU KNOW THERE IS NO BASIS FOR IT TO ANY WITNESS. THERE IS
15
    NO BASIS FOR IT. YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE TO JUSTIFY THE
16
    STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE.
17
                THAT IS WHY I ASKED YOU PARTICULARLY ABOUT THIS
18
19
    STATEMENT.
                 DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT MR. ZOELLER TOLD
20
    HIM THAT THEY HAVE INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE?
21
          MR. CHIER: THERE IS CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE --
22
          THE COURT: WHAT CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE? THERE CAN'T
23
24
    BE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. THERE MUST BE DIRECT EVIDENCE
25
    THAT MR. ZOELLER TOLD HIM THAT. DID MR. ZOELLER TELL HIM
26
    THAT?
27
          MR. CHIER: THERE MUST BE DIRECT EVIDENCE?
```

THE COURT: YOU SAID THAT --

20 - 1

ALTHOUGH I KNOW THAT I MADE MENTION AT LEAST THAT I HAD KNOWLEDGE

1 REGARDING THE INCIDENT WITH MR. SWARTOUT BEFORE I EVER WENT 2 AND SAW OSCAR BREILING FOR THE FIRST TIME.

Q HAD YOU EVER MADE MENTION OF A MEETING IN THE

BBC OFFICES BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MR. SWARTOUT CONCERNING OR

JUST PRIOR TO THIS ALLEGED ACID THROWING INCIDENT?

A I AM -- WHEN I SAID WHAT I KNEW, I DIDN'T ALWAYS

DESCRIBE THINGS IN TERMS OF SPECIFIC MEETINGS. I SAID WHAT

HAPPENED TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

I DON'T REMEMER IF I DESCRIBED AN ACTUAL

CONVERSATION OR A MEETING. I JUST SAID THAT I KNEW ABOUT

IT.

Q PRIOR TO TESTIFYING HERE IN THESE PROCEEDINGS,

HAVE YOU REVIEWED ANY POLICE REPORTS OR INTERVIEWS OF YOURSELF

AS GIVEN TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSON TO REFRESH YOUR

RECOLLECTION?

A YES I HAVE.

Q AND DO YOU RECALL HAVING REVIEWED ANY INTERVIEWS
WHEREIN YOU GAVE THE DETAILS THAT YOU OFFERED IN THE
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION OF MAY 17?

A ALL I REALLY REVIEWED WAS TRANSCRIPTS OF PRIOR COURT PROCEEDINGS AND I DON'T THINK IT EVER CAME UP.

Q OKAY. YOU HAVE NOT THEN AS I UNDERSTAND IT,

REVIEWED ANY INTERVIEWS BETWEEN YOURSELF AND MR. BREILING

FOR EXAMPLE?

A NO. I DID -- ACTUALLY, TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH,

THERE WAS A STATEMENT THAT I MADE THE VERY FIRST DAY THAT

I MET HIM IN FRONT OF A COURT REPORTER.

Q RIGHT.

21F

A AND ALTHOUGH THERE WAS SOME MENTION MADE ABOUT
THE SWARTOUT INCIDENT, I DON'T THINK HE ASKED ME ANY QUESTIONS
ABOUT IT.

Q WELL, IF I MIGHT ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS NOW, AS YOU RECALL IT, THE INCIDENT INVOLVING MR. SWARTOUT OCCURRED IN THE MONTH OF JULY OR AUGUST?

A THE BEST RECOLLECTION I HAVE IS THAT IT WAS OVER THE SUMMER OF 1984. I REALLY DON'T REMEMBER WHICH MONTHS.

Q YOU ARE FAIRLY CLEAR IN YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT

IT WAS OVER THE SUMMER AS DISTINGUISHED FROM THE SPRING, THE

EARLY SPRING?

A I AM MOST CLEAR ABOUT IT IN RELATION TO WHEN IT

OCCURRED WITH REGARD TO OUR NEGOTIATIONS WITH MR. KILPATRICK.

IT WAS DURING THAT TIME. AND I CAN'T PINPOINT WHEN DURING THE YEAR WE WERE HAVING THE NEGOTIATIONS WITH BILL KILPATRICK.

IF THAT WAS IN THE SUMMER, IT HAPPENED IN THE SUMMER. IF THAT WAS IN THE SPRING, IT HAPPENED IN THE SPRING.

SO I CAN'T TELL YOU WITH REGARD TO THE DATE BUT ONLY WITH REGARD TO WHAT ELSE WAS HAPPENING.

1	Q WELL NOW AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THERE HAD BEEN
2	A FAILED MERGER BETWEEN MR. SWARTOUT AND THE BBC PEOPLE,
3	CORRECT?
4	A A FAILED MERGER?
5	Q A MERGER OF THE TWO COMPANIES THAT DIDN'T
6	THAT WAS ABORTED OR RESCINDED.
7	A YES.
8	Q IS THAT CORRECT?
9	A YES.
10	Q AND THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT GOING AHEAD
11	WITH SOME OF THE PLANS WITH MR. KILPATRICK AND MAKING AN
12	END RUN, SO TO SPEAK, AROUND MR. SWARTOUT FOR REASONS BASED
13	UPON MISREPRESENTATIONS, CORRECT?
14	A BASED ON MISREPRESENTATIONS?
15	Q OF MR. SWARTOUT TO THE BBC PEOPLE?
16	A I DON'T REALLY KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE MIS-
17	REPRESENTATIONS.
18	THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT AN END RUN BUT TO
19	BE HONEST, ANY TALK ABOUT MISREPRESENTATIONS WAS JUST A
20	POSTURE THAT WE WOULD HAVE ASSUMED TO GET OUT OF IT LEGALLY.
21	Q YOU ARE NOT AWARE OF THE FACT THAT MR. SWARTOUT'S
22	COMPANIES WERE FOUND TO HAVE MASSIVE DEBT FAR IN EXCESS
23	OF THAT DISCLOSED TO THE BBC PEOPLE?
24	A I AM NOT AWARE OF THAT, NO.
25	Q NOW, WOULD IT STILL MAKE THE CLAIM OF
26	MISREPRESENTATION AS POSTURING, IF IN FACT THERE HAD BEEN
27	UNDISCLOSED MASSIVE DEBT, MR. KARNY?
28	MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.

```
1
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
                 BY MR. CHIER: NOW, THE TIME THAT THIS ALLEGED
2
     ATTACK ON MR. SWARTOUT OCCURRED, THERE WAS NO PARTICULAR
3
4
     MOTIVE TO BE GAINED BY HARASSING HIM, WAS THERE? WAS THERE
5
     ANY END TO BE ACHIEVED?
                 AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT THAT HE DESCRIBED?
6
           Α
7
                 YES.
           Q
8
                 YES, THERE WAS.
9
                 WHAT WAS THE END TO BE ACHIEVED BY THIS?
                 TO STOP HIM FROM GETTING IN THE WAY OF A
10
     CONTRACT THAT WE HOPED TO PUT TOGETHER WITH MR. KILPATRICK.
11
12
                 AND WERE YOU ADVISED ABOUT THIS ATTACK ON
           Q
13
     MR. SWARTOUT BEFORE IT TOOK PLACE?
14
           Α
                 YES.
15
                 AND DID YOU CONCUR IN THE WISDOM OF DOING THIS?
           Q
16
           A I GUESS I DID.
17
                 WHAT DO YOU MEAN YOU GUESS YOU DID?
           Q
18
           Α
                 I WENT ALONG WITH IT.
19
                 AND WHO WAS IT THAT EXPLAINED IT TO YOU?
           Q
20
                 IT WAS DISCUSSED BETWEEN JOE AND MYSELF AND
           Α
21
     JIM AND. AS I SAID BEFORE, I THINK BEN DOSTI AS WELL.
22
                  DID YOU HAVE A MEETING SPECIFICALLY TO DISCUSS
23
     THIS INTENDED -- WELL, AS FAR AS YOU KNEW, IT WAS ELIMINATION
24
     OF MR. SWARTOUT, WASN'T IT?
25
                 YES, IT WAS.
           Α
26
                 AND MR. PITTMAN HAD ACQUIRED THIS CONTACT POISON,
27
     AS IT WERE?
28
                 THAT IS WHAT HE SAID.
```

1	Q AND WAS PREPARED TO GO DOWN THERE AND PUT IT
2	ON MR. SWARTOUT AND TO ELIMINATE HIM AS A BUSINESS COMPETITOR?
3	A THAT'S RIGHT.
4	Q AND THIS WAS CONDUCT WHICH YOU SAY NOT ONLY
5	OCCURRED WHICH WAS SANCTIONED BY YOU AT A MEETING WHERE
6	IT WAS FULLY DISCLOSED?
7	A I WAS PRESENT AT A MEETING.
8	IT WASN'T A MEETING THAT WAS CALLED SPECIFICALLY
9	FOR THAT PURPOSE.
10	AND I WENT ALONG WITH THE IDEA. I LISTENED.
11	BY MY PRESENCE AND MY ATTITUDE, I GAVE MY ENCOURAGEMENT,
12	YES.
13	Q WHEN YOU SAY YOU WENT ALONG WITH IT, WERE YOU
14	UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ANY TYPE OF SUBSTANCE OR DURESS OR
15	COERCION?
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
1
           Α
                  NO.
2
           Q
                  HAD ANYBODY THREATENED YOU?
3
           Α
                  NO.
                  WERE YOU HYPNOTIZED?
4
           Q
5
                  NO.
           Α
                  AND MR. PITTMAN HAD REPRESENTED HIMSELF AS
6
           0
7
     A BLACK BELT IN SOME TYPE OF MARTIAL ARTS?
8
                  THAT'S RIGHT.
9
                  WAS THAT TRUE?
10
                  I DON'T KNOW.
                  DID MR. PITTMAN TELL YOU THAT HE HAD STUDIED
11
     MARTIAL ARTS IN OKINAWA UNDER A MASTER KONG?
12
           THE COURT: I DON'T GET THE MATERIALITY OF THIS. ON
13
14
     THE COURT'S OWN OBJECTION, I WILL SUSTAIN IT.
15
                  YOU GO AHEAD ONTO SOMETHING ELSE.
16
           MR. CHIER: MAY I APPROACH AND MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF?
           THE COURT: NO, YOU MAY NOT APPROACH, NO. JUST GET
17
18
     ON TO SOMETHING ELSE, WILL YOU?
19
           MR. CHIER: LET ME GO BACK A MINUTE. EXCUSE ME, PLEASE.
20
                  (PAUSE.)
21
                  BY MR. CHIER: NOW, DID YOU HAVE A LADY FRIEND
22
     IN THE SUMMER OF 1984 BY THE NAME OF RENEE?
23
           Α
                  NO.
24
                  THAT WAS JEFF RAYMOND'S GIRLFRIEND.
25
                  DID YOU HAVE A GIRLFRIEND WHOSE LAST NAME WAS
           Q
26
     GOBEL?
27
                  YES, SOBEL.
           Α
28
                  DID SHE LOOK SOMETHING LIKE BROOKE ROBERTS,
```

```
THE SAME TYPE?
1
2
                 YES.
           Α
3
                 WAS SHE WITH YOU ON ONE OF THE OCCASIONS THAT
           O
4
     YOU WERE EXAMINING HOMES ON BEVERLY GLEN?
5
           Α
                 NO.
6
                 AT NO TIME WAS SHE WITH YOU DURING THE COURSE
7
     OF THAT SEARCH?
8
                 ABSOLUTELY NOT.
           Α
9
                 WAS THE HOME YOU LOOKED AT IN LAKE ARROWHEAD
10
     FURTHER AWAY FROM THE NEIGHBORING HOUSES THAN THE ONE ON
11
     BEVERLY GLEN?
12
           A WE LOOKED AT SEVERAL HOMES IN ARROWHEAD. SOME
13
     WERE CLOSER. SOME WERE FARTHER.
14
             DID YOU SEE ONE IN PARTICULAR WHICH WAS MORE
           Q
15
     SECLUDED THAN THE ONE IN THE MIDDLE OF WEST LOS ANGELES?
16
                 YES.
           А
17
                 NOW IT IS YOUR TESTIMONY, MR. KARNY, THAT MR.
18
     HUNT ASSIGNED A NUMBER OF TASKS TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE GOING
19
     TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS ESLAMINIA ABDUCTION, CORRECT?
20
                 WHAT I SAID WAS THAT WE ASSIGNED THE TASKS
           Α
21
     IN A COMMITTEE AND THAT JOE COORDINATED THAT COMMITTEE
22
     ACTIVITY.
23
                 DID YOU ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR PURCHASING
           Q
24
     HANDCUFFS?
25
           Α
                  YES.
26
                 WERE YOU TOLD TO DO THAT BY ANYBODY?
27
                  I REALLY DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER I WAS TOLD
28
     TO DO IT OR WHETHER IT CAME UP AS SOMETHING THAT HAD TO
     BE DONE AND I SAID I WOULD DO IT, I DON'T REMEMBER.
```

I THINK THAT IS WHEN IT WAS.

AND THAT WAS AFTER MR. HUNT HAD BEEN ARRESTED

27

28

Α

Q

```
IN CONNECTION WITH THE LEVIN CASE?
1
               YES.
2
               AND IT WAS AFTER YOU SAW THE PICTURE OF
3
    MR. ESLAMINIA WHICH CAUSED YOU TO HAVE REGRETS ABOUT THINGS
4
    THAT YOU CLAIM TO HAVE DONE?
5
         A YES.
6
               AND IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY SIR, THAT YOU NEVER
7
    TOUCHED THE TRUNK, UNTIL THE TIME THAT IT WAS IN SOLEDAD
8
    CANYON?
9
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. THAT MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE.
10
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED. HE TESTIFIED TO WHAT HE DID
11
    TO THE TRUNK WHEN HE WAS IN THE BACK OF THE PICKUP TRUCK.
12
          MR. CHIER: JUST A MOMENT, PLEASE.
13
                (PAUSE.)
14
                BY MR. CHIER: LET ME COME BACK TO THAT IN A MINUTE.
15
          Q
16
                MR. KARNY, YOU SAY THAT YOU MET MR. REZA
    ELAMINIA FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 1984?
17
18
          Α
                THAT'S RIGHT.
19
                DO YOU RECALL WHAT MONTH IT WAS?
           Q
20
                I REALLY DON'T.
          Α
21
                DO YOU RECALL WHETHER YOU MET HIM BEFORE JOE
           Q
22
    MET HIM?
23
                NO I DON'T.
24
                DO YOU RECALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF YOUR MEETING
           Q
25
    HIM?
26
                YES.
          Α
27
                AND WHO INTRODUCED YOU TO HIM?
           Q
28
                BEN DOSTI.
           Α
```

```
Q AND WHAT DID MR. BEN DOSTI TELL YOU ABOUT WHO
1
    HE WAS?
2
         A AT THAT TIME? I DON'T RECALL HIM TELLING ME
3
   ANYTHING ABOUT HIM.
4
          Q DID MR. DOSTI TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT WHO HIS
5
    FATHER WAS AT THE TIME YOU MET HIM?
6
         A I DON'T THINK SO.
7
              AND HOW WAS HE DESCRIBED OR CHARACTERIZED TO YOU
8
    BY MR. DOSTI AT THE TIME?
9
         A I DON'T THINK THERE REALLY WAS A CHARACTERIZATION.
10
    IT WAS A PARTY. THIS IS A FRIEND THAT BEN BROUGHT.
11
          Q AND DID MR. DOSTI AT THAT INITIAL MEETING, PROPOSE
12
    THAT THE BBC PEOPLE TAKE MR. ESLAMINIA IN AS A MEMBER?
13
         A I DON'T THINK SO ALTHOUGH IT WAS SOMETHING WE
14
    TALKED ABOUT EVENTUALLY.
15
16
               ON THE FIRST OCCASION OF YOUR MEETING HIM?
          A NO.
17
              WHEN WAS THE SECOND OCCASION THAT YOU MET HIM
18
19
    OR SAW MR. ESLAMINIA?
20
         A I SAY THAT I THINK IT WAS ONE TIME SHORTLY AFTER
21
    THAT WHEN HE CAME TO VISIT BEN AT THE OFFICE.
22
         Q AND EITHER AT THAT TIME OR AFTER HIS DEPARTURE,
    DID MR. DOSTI TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT MR. REZA ESLAMINIA?
23
24
          A EVENTUALLY, YEAH. HE DID TELL US THAT HIS FATHER
25
    HAD BEEN AN IMPORTANT PERSON IN IRAN.
26
         THE COURT: WHO IS "US"?
27
          THE WITNESS: JOE AND MYSELF, AS I RECALL. WE SPENT
```

A LOT OF TIME TOGETHER. AND AT SOME POINT BEFORE WE STARTED

22-16

28

PLANNING THIS CRIME BUT AFTER MY FIRST MEETING, BEN DID TELL 1 SOME MORE INFORMATION ABOUT WHO REZA WAS AND WHO HIS FATHER 2 WAS. 3 Q BY MR. CHIER: WHAT SPECIFICALLY DID REZA TELL 4 YOU ABOUT WHAT REZA'S RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS FATHER WAS? 5 THE COURT: DO YOU MEAN BEN DOSTI? 6 MR. CHIER: SORRY. 7 Q WHAT DID BEN DOSTI TELL YOU ABOUT REZA'S 8 RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS FATHER? 9 A HE SAID THAT IT WAS A VERY BAD RELATIONSHIP AND 10 THAT HIS FATHER HAD A LOT OF MONEY BUT HE WAS NOT HELPING 11 REZA AT ALL. AND IT WAS A BAD RELATIONSHIP. 12 Q DID MR. DOSTI TELL YOU ABOUT A STATEMENT MADE 13 BY REZA ESLAMINIA CONCERNING ALLEGED ACTS OF TORTURE COMMITTED 14 15 BY REZA ESLAMINIA? 16 THE COURT: BY REZA? 17 MR. CHIER: YES. THE WITNESS: ACTS OF TORTURE COMMITTED BY REZA? 18 19 Q BY MR. CHIER: YES, IN IRAN. 20 A NO. Q YOU NEVER HEARD THAT? 21 22 Α BY REZA ESLAMINIA? 23 MR. CHIER: SORRY. IT WAS HADAYET ESLAMINIA. 24 THE COURT: YOU ARE GETTING A LITTLE CONFUSED. THINK 25 OVER YOUR QUESTIONS BEFORE YOU ASK THEM. 26 THE WITNESS: NO, NOTHING ABOUT ACTS OF TORTURE. BUT 27 HE DID SAY THAT HE HAD BEEN A HIGH RANKING OFFICIAL IN THE

SHAH'S GOVERNMENT. THAT WAS REALLY WHAT HE SAID.

1	Q BY MR. CHIER: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER WHEN REZA
2	ESLAMINIA WAS INTRODUCED TO YOU, THE IDEA OF ABDUCTING HIS
3	FATHER WAS ALREADY HIS OWN?
4	A I HAVE NO IDEA.
5	Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE THAT IDEA CAME FROM?
6	A I ONLY KNOW WHERE I FIRST HEARD IT. I DON'T KNOW
7	WHO ORIGINATED THE IDEA.
8	Q DID YOU EVER TALK TO REZA ESLAMINIA ABOUT HIS
9	FATHER?
10	A YES.
11	Q WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT HIS FATHER?
12	A HE SAID A LOT OF THINGS OVER THE COURSE OF OUR
13	DISCUSSIONS. HE TALKED ABOUT HIS FATHER'S WEALTH.
14	HE TALKED ABOUT HOW HIS FATHER TREATED HE AND
15	HIS MOTHER BADLY.
16	HE TALKED ABOUT A DRUG HABIT THAT HE SAID HIS
17	FATHER HAD.
18	Q WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT THE TREATMENT RECEIVED BY
19	HIM AND HIS MOTHER?
20	A WELL BASICALLY, THAT HIS FATHER HAD CUT THEM OFF
21	FINANCIALLY IN THE COURSE OF THE DIVORCE.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

23F

1	Q AND ANYTHING WORSE THAN THAT THAT YOU RECALL?
2	A NOT THAT HE SAID TO ME.
3	Q DID HE SAY ANYTHING IN THE COURSE OF YOUR
4	CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM THAT MOTIVATED YOU TO BE THE PERSON
5	WILLING TO TAPE THE HOLE, THE AIR HOLES IN THE FOOT LOCKER
6	IN THE BACK OF THE TRUCK?
7	A THAT WAS DONE FOR A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT REASON,
8	UNDER COMPLETELY DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES.
9	THERE WAS NO MOTIVATION THAT WAS BORNE FOR
10	THAT BEFOREHAND.
11	Q OKAY, ALL RIGHT. SO THE MOTIVATION OPERATIVE
12	AT THAT TIME WAS FINANCIAL GAIN AND NOT REVENGE ON
13	A I
14	MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.
15	MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION, IF YOU CAN, WILL
17	YOU, PLEASE?
18	THE WITNESS: I HAD I DIDN'T KNOW MR. ESLAMINIA
19	AND THE FACT THAT REZA MAY HAVE BEEN MISTREATED BY HIM
20	DIDN'T DIDN'T MAKE ME ESPECIALLY UPSET SO I DIDN'T HAVE
21	A REVENGE MOTIVATION.
22	THE REASON FOR FIRST INVOLVING MYSELF IN THAT
23	PLAN TO BEGIN WITH WAS WHAT I FELT WAS SURE, IT WAS FOR
24	FINANCIAL GAIN SO THAT THE BBC COULD REBUILD ITSELF AND
25	WE COULD GET ON WITH WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO DO.
26	Q BY MR. CHIER: SO YOU IN NO WAY ATTEMPTED TO
27	JUSTIFY WHAT YOU DID BY THE BAD CONDUCT OF MR. HADAYET
28	ESLAMINIA?

```
NO.
           Α
1
                  NOW, MR. HUNT ASSIGNED HIMSELF THE TASK OF
2
     RESEARCHING THE TORTURE METHODS?
3
                 YES.
           Α
4
5
           Q
                 AND DID HE DO THAT?
           A I THINK HE DIDN'T.
6
                  YOU THINK HE DID NOT?
7
           Q
           Α
                  RIGHT.
8
                  YOU KNOW THAT HE DID NOT, RIGHT?
9
           Q
           Α
                 I DON'T KNOW THAT HE DID NOT, BUT I DON'T THINK
10
     HE DID.
11
                 DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT HE DID IT?
           Q
12
              HE NEVER TOLD ME THAT HE DID.
           Α
13
           Q
                 SO YOU ASSUME THAT HE DID NOT?
14
15
           А
                  RIGHT.
                  NOW, DID YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC UNDERSTANDING
16
     WITH REZA ESLAMINIA AS TO WHAT THE DIVISION OF THE PROCEEDS
17
18
     WOULD BE IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL IN COMMANDEERING HIS ASSETS?
19
           THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "YOU", HIMSELF OR
     DO YOU MEAN THE WHOLE GROUP?
20
21
           MR. CHIER: THE GROUP.
22
           THE COURT: INCLUDING MR. HUNT?
23
           MR. CHIER: WHOEVER WAS PART AND PARTY TO THIS
24
     AGREEMENT, YOUR HONOR.
25
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
26
           THE WITNESS: THERE WAS AN UNDERSTANDING, ALTHOUGH
27
     I NEVER -- I NEVER REALLY DISCUSSED THAT WITH REZA MYSELF.
28
                  I WAS TOLD BY JOE THAT THERE WOULD BE SOME
```

KIND OF A SPLIT AND I KNOW THERE WAS A PERCENTAGE MENTIONED BUT I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS. AND AS I SAY, WE WERE TRYING TO INTEGRATE REZA INTO OUR GROUP ANYWAY SO THE ISSUE OF THE PARTICULAR DIVISION WASN'T THAT IMPORTANT. Q BY MR. CHIER: THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT YOU WOULD REALIZE FROM THIS TRANSACTION WAS NOT IMPORTANT? A NO. THE ISSUE OF A DIVISION WASN'T THAT IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE FELT THAT REZA WAS ONE OF US ANYWAY AND WE USED TO SHARE WHATEVER WE HAD. 

1	Q SO AS I UNDERSTAND THAT, THIS THING WAS UNDER-
2	TAKEN WITHOUT ANY CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT AND HOW
3	MUCH THE BENEFIT WAS GOING TO BE IN A SPECIFIC SENSE?
4	A AS I TOLD YOU, THERE WAS A PERCENTAGE MENTIONED
5	BUT IT WASN'T GIVEN A GREAT DEAL OF IMPORTANCE AND EMPHASIS
6	BECAUSE WE FELT THAT AS A RELATIONSHIP HAD DEVELOPED BETWEEN
7	US AND REZA, IT DIDN'T MATTER THAT MUCH.
8	Q NOW, THE PLAN, AS YOU HAVE DESCRIBED IT,
9	EVOLVED OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, RIGHT?
10	A RIGHT.
11	Q A PERIOD OF WEEKS?
12	A A FEW WEEKS.
13	Q AND EACH OF THOSE WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THIS
14	PLAN CONTRIBUTED SOMETHING TO THE PLAN, CORRECT, BY WAY
15	OF CONCEPT OR
16	A AS I SAID, WE TALKED ABOUT IT AS A GROUP
17	AND
18	
	Q YOUR ANSWER IS YES?
19	Q YOUR ANSWER IS YES?  A YES.
19 20	
	A YES.
20	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND
20 21	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND  CAN YOU TELL US TODAY WHAT YOUR SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION WAS
20 21 22	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND  CAN YOU TELL US TODAY WHAT YOUR SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION WAS  TO THIS PLAN, OTHER THAN GOING SHOPPING FOR THESE PEOPLE,
20 21 22 23	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND  CAN YOU TELL US TODAY WHAT YOUR SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION WAS  TO THIS PLAN, OTHER THAN GOING SHOPPING FOR THESE PEOPLE,  IN A CONCEPTUAL SENSE?
20 21 22 23 24	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND  CAN YOU TELL US TODAY WHAT YOUR SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION WAS  TO THIS PLAN, OTHER THAN GOING SHOPPING FOR THESE PEOPLE,  IN A CONCEPTUAL SENSE?  A I PARTICIPATED IN DISCUSSIONS REGARDING
20 21 22 23 24 25	A YES.  Q ALL RIGHT. AND HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT, AND  CAN YOU TELL US TODAY WHAT YOUR SPECIFIC CONTRIBUTION WAS  TO THIS PLAN, OTHER THAN GOING SHOPPING FOR THESE PEOPLE,  IN A CONCEPTUAL SENSE?  A I PARTICIPATED IN DISCUSSIONS REGARDING  VIRTUALLY EVER ASPECT OF THE PLAN.

1	Q AND TO SECURE A SECLUDED PLACE TO KEEP HIM,
2	RIGHT?
3	A THAT'S RIGHT.
4	Q AND TO GET HIM TO TELL WHAT HIS ASSETS WERE?
5	A THAT'S RIGHT.
6	Q AND TO TRANSFER HIS ASSETS TO THE BBC?
7	A EITHER TO THE BBC OR TO REZA OR TO SOME ENTITY
8	WHICH WE WERE GOING TO CREATE FOR THAT PURPOSE.
9	Q SO IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT MR. HUNT HAD
10	DRAWN UP A NUMBER OF PAPERS IN ANTICIPATION OF THE TRANSFER
11	OF THESE ASSETS; I ASSUME THAT THESE PAPERS ASSUMED SOME
12	SPECIFIC STRUCTURE FOR THIS TRANSFER?
13	A NOT REALLY.
14	WHAT HE DID WAS, HE JUST GOT TOGETHER A
15	BUNCH OF STUFF. IT WAS DONE KIND OF HURRIEDLY. NOTHING
16	WAS MADE UP SPECIFICALLY AT THAT TIME.
17	YOU SEE, THIS WAS DONE BEFORE THE ABDUCTION.
18	Q I UNDERSTAND.
19	A SO THESE AREN'T THESE AREN'T PAPERS LIKE
20	THE POWER OF ATTORNEY WHICH HAD MR. ESLAMINIA'S NAME ON
21	THEM PER SE. BUT THEY WERE JUST MODELS AND FORMATS, JUST
22	ANYTHING THAT COULD BE USED LATER DURING THE COURSE OF THE
23	SEVERAL WEEKS THAT WE INTENDED TO KEEP MR. ESLAMINIA.
24	Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT THESE PAPERS WERE THAT
25	WERE PREPARED IN ADVANCE OF THE ABDUCTION OF MR. ESLAMINIA?
26	A I DIDN'T REALLY LOOK TOO CAREFULLY AT THEM.
27	Q WHAT WAS IT ABOUT THEM THAT ENABLES YOU TO
28	CHARACTERIZE THEM AS DOCUMENTS PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION

OF THE TRANSFER OF THESE ASSETS?

A I WOULD HAVE TO TELL YOU THAT THEY WERE MORE GATHERED AND COMPILED THAN PREPARED.

FORMS IN OUR LAW ROOM, THESE BLUE, I THINK THEY ARE CALLED MATTHEW BENDER BOOKS, WHICH CONTAINED FORMS OF BUSINESS

AT THE OFFICE, WE HAD A LIBRARY OF BUSINESS

CONTRACTS AND TRANSACTIONS, A NUMBER OF THOSE BOOKS, JOE

CONTRACTS THAT WE HAD WRITTEN FOR OTHER PURPOSES, JUST THINGS

THAT WOULD BE USEFUL AS FORMS OR AS IDEAS ONCE WE STARTED

BROUGHT. HE BROUGHT A TYPEWRITER. HE BROUGHT A BUNCH OF

EVEN THINKING ABOUT HOW AND WHAT WE WERE GOING TO OBTAIN

FROM MR. ESLAMINIA.

```
O SO THAT IN FACT. THERE WERE NO SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS
1
    PREPARED AS A MATTER OF SPEAKING?
2
               BEFORE THE ABDUCTION. I DON'T THINK THERE WERE.
3
               OKAY. NOW, DID YOU AS A GROUP COLLECTIVELY HAVE
4
    ANY IDEA WHAT THESE ASSETS CONSISTED OF OR WHAT FORM THEY
5
    WERE IN?
6
         A REZA HAD MADE MENTION OF CASH, OF COURSE. AND
7
    HE SAID THAT HIS FATHER MAY HAVE OWNED VARIOUS RESIDENCES.
8
                BUT IT WAS MORE THE FACT THAT HE HAD A GREAT DEAL
9
    OF ASSETS. THAT WAS STRESSED, NOT EXACTLY WHAT FORM THEY
10
    WERE IN.
11
          O WELL. THE GREAT DEAL OF ASSETS WERE BELIEVED TO
12
    BE IN THE FORM OF CASH?
13
         A IT WAS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS DISCUSSED IN
14
15
    PARTICULAR.
16
          Q
               CASH?
          A MONEY, YES.
17
18
               A LOT OF CASH?
          Q
19
          А
               YES.
          Q ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHY IT TOOK
20
21
    A LOT OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND OTHER ELABORATE PREPARATIONS
22
    FOR THE TRANSFER OF CASH FROM ONE ENTITY TO ANOTHER?
23
          A WELL, THE PLAN WAS THAT IT CONSIDERED THAT IT
24
    WOULD APPEAR VERY UNUSUAL IF A MAN SUDDENLY TRANSFERS ALL
25
    OF HIS NET WORTH TO SOMEBODY ELSE.
26
          Q HIS SON?
27
          A HIS SON.
```

THE COURT: DID YOU FINISH YOUR ANSWER? FINISH YOUR

24 2 ANSWER. 1 THE WITNESS: WELL, OKAY. AND THE IDEA OF PERHAPS MAKING 2 SOME PHONY TRANSACTIONS WHERE SOMETHING THAT COULD APPEAR AS 3 AN INVESTMENT OR IT WAS EVEN CONSIDERED THAT MR. ESLAMINIA, SR. 4 WOULD BE PHONIED UP AS AN INVESTOR IN ONE OF OUR COMPANIES. 5 THE IDEA BEING THAT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO TRACE 6 AND IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO DISPROVE. A LOT OF CONFUSION 7 COULD BE CREATED SO THAT THINGS WOULDN'T LOOK AS STRANGE. 8 BY MR. CHIER: BUT THERE WERE NO SUCH DOCUMENTS 9 DRAFTED TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE? 10 I TOLD YOU THAT THERE WAS. 11 ALL RIGHT. OTHER THAN THE COLLECTION OF A 12 TYPEWRITER AND SOME BOOKS FROM THE LAW LIBRARY, COULD YOU 13 TELL US EACH PREPARATION THAT WAS MADE BY MR. HUNT FOR THE 14 PREPARATION -- FOR THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS TO HIS SON OR TO 15 THE BBC NOMINEE? 16 PREPARATION OF THINGS PRIOR TO THE ABDUCTION? 17 Α 18 Q YES. 19 Α PREPARATORY TO TRANSFERRING ASSETS? 20 Q YES. 21 Α I DON'T REMEMBER ANY OTHERS. 22 AND WAS THE PLAN FOR THE TRANSFER OF THESE ASSETS 23 TO BE THE IDEA OF MR. HUNT OR WAS IT TO BE A CONSENSUS OF 24 A GROUP THAT WAS EMBARKED UPON THIS BUSINESS? 25 HOW IT WAS ACTUALLY GOING TO HAPPEN OR --26 WHO WAS GOING TO MAKE THE DECISION ABOUT HOW THE

ASSETS WERE TO BE TRANSFERRED?

WELL, WE WERE DOING THINGS AS A GROUP.

27

24-3 IN REALITY, JOE WAS THE LEADER OF OUR GROUP AND 1 NOTHING WAS DECIDED THAT HE DIDN'T EITHER SUGGEST OR AGREE 2 WITH. 3 WAS IT TO BE MR. HUNT'S PLAN OR A GROUP PLAN? 4 A GROUP PLAN. 5 OKAY. WAS THERE ANY SPECIFIC PLAN DEVISED BY 6 Q THE GROUP FOR THE TORTURE OF MR. HADAYET ESLAMINIA? 7 Α NO. 8 WAS THERE ANY SPECIFIC PLAN DEVISED BY THE GROUP 9 FOR THE MURDER OF MR. ESLAMINIA? 10 Α NO THERE WAS NOT. 11 AND AS OF THE DATE OF JULY 30, WAS THERE A SPECIFIC 12 Q PLAN IN EFFECT FOR THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS OF MR. ESLAMINIA? 13 14 А NO. NOW, HOW MANY DIFFERENT LOCALS DID YOU INVESTIGATE 15 16 THAT WERE IN YOUR OPINION, SUITABLE FOR THIS ABDUCTION AND KIDNAPPING OF MR. ESLAMINIA? 17 18 Α FOR THE RENTAL OF THE HOUSE, YOU MEAN? 19 Q PALM SPRINGS, LAKE ARROWHEAD AND LOS ANGELES? 20 Α RIGHT. 21 0 AND HOW MANY DIFFERENT DWELLINGS OR HOUSES DID 22 YOU LOOK AT IN THE COURSE OF THIS SEARCH OF YOURS? 23 Α PERHAPS SEVEN. 24 AND ON THE SEVEN OR SO OCCASIONS THAT YOU INSPECTED 25 THE FACILITIES, HOW MANY OCCASIONS WAS MR. HUNT WITH YOU?

HE WAS WITH ME EVERY TIME.

GLEN HOUSE ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE?

WAS HE WITH YOU THE FIRST -- DID YOU SEE THE BEVERLY

26

27

28

· A

24-4

28

Α

YES.

															-								25F			٠.	24-5	
 28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	<b>1</b> 5	14	<del>1</del> 3	12	11	10	φ	ω	7	თ 	<b>O</b> 1	4	ω	2	-4	
																								A YES.	BEING WHO WOULD BE SCREAMING FOR WEEKS?	FOR THEIR SUITABILITY, THAT YOU MIGHT BE DEALING WITH A HUMAN	Q AND YOU ANTICIPATED IN INSPECTING THESE HOUSES	

28

AND WITHOUT PHYSICALLY INSPECTING THE BASEMENT Q 1 OF THIS BEVERLY GLEN HOUSE, HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THAT WOULD 2 BE SUITABLE FOR THESE PURPOSES? 3 A IT SEEMED -- FIRST OF ALL, WE INSPECTED IT TO 4 THE EXTENT THAT WE COULD, WITHOUT APPEARING OBVIOUS THAT WE 5 WERE REALLY INTERESTED IN THE BASEMENT, SO WE LOOKED IN THE 6 CLOSET AND WE SAID, "GEE, WHAT IS DOWN THERE?" 7 AND WE DID OPEN THE DOOR AND WE -- I KNOW WE 8 NOTICED THAT IT WAS CEMENT DOWN THERE. 9 BUT WE WERE ALSO FIGURING THAT WE COULD USE GAGS 10 AND THINGS LIKE THAT SO THAT IT WOULDN'T BE TOO LOUD. 11 AND WE JUST MADE OUR DETERMINATION AS BEST WE 12 COULD AT THE TIME. 13 NOW YOU SAY THAT MR. HUNT WROTE OUT A MASTER LIST 14 INCORPORATING THIS ENTIRE PLAN AND EVERYBODY'S DELEGATED 15 FUNCTION? 16 A HE COORDINATED THE PLANNING AND EVERYONE ELSE 17 HAD LITTLE LISTS AND HE HAD A BIG LIST. 18 19 Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT LIST? NO, I DON'T. 20 Α DID YOU EVER SEE HIS LIST, I MEAN ACTUALLY READ 21 Q 22 IT? 23 Α NO, I DIDN'T. 24 HOW DO YOU KNOW HE HAD SUCH A LIST? 25 BECAUSE HE WAS MAKING IT DURING THE TIME THAT 26 WE WERE SITTING AROUND TOGETHER AND PLANNING.

Q HOW DO YOU KNOW HE WAS MAKING SUCH A LIST?

HE WAS WRITING ON HIS YELLOW PADS OF PAPER AND

```
IT SEEMED TO CORRESPOND WITH THE TIMES THAT WE SAID VARIOUS
1
    THINGS, THAT IS ALL I CAN TELL YOU.
2
               SO IT IS JUST AN ASSUMPTION ON YOUR PART THAT
3
    HE WAS MAKING A MASTER LIST?
4
         MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.
5
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
6
          Q BY MR. CHIER: YOU DIDN'T ACTUALLY SEE THE LIST,
7
    DID YOU, MR. KARNY?
8
          A I SAW WRITING, I SAW THE PAPER AND I WAS THERE.
9
          Q YOU DIDN'T SEE THE CONTENT?
10
               NO, I DIDN'T.
          А
11
               SO YOU CAN'T SAY OF YOUR OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE
12
    THAT THERE WAS SUCH A LIST OR HE HAD MADE ONE, CAN YOU?
13
         A I TOLD YOU EVERYTHING I CAN SAY OF MY OWN PERSONAL
14
    KNOWLEDGE.
15
              NOW, MR. HUNT TOLD YOU THAT TO LEAVE THE TORTURE
16
    TO HIM BECAUSE HE FELT YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE EMOTIONAL GRIT
17
    TO DO SUCH A THING; WAS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY YESTERDAY?
18
19
               THAT IS THE GIST OF IT.
          Α
20
            ESSENTIALLY?
          0
21
          A HE DIDN'T TELL ME PERSONALLY BUT HE TOLD IT TO
22
    THE GROUP OF US WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING THAT.
23
               HE SAID THAT TO AN ENTIRE GROUP?
          Q
24
          A YES.
25
              ALL RIGHT. AND WAS MR. HUNT IN THE REAR OF THE
26
    TRUCK WITH YOU WHEN THE VOICE FROM THE TRUNK WAS ASKING TO
27
    BE LET OUT?
```

A NO.

```
WAS MR. HUNT WITH YOU WHEN THE HOLES WERE TAPED
         0
1
    OVER?
2
         Α
               NO.
3
               AND IT WAS YOU THAT TAPED OVER THE HOLES, WASN'T
4
5
    IT. MR. KARNY AND NOT MR. DOSTI?
         A IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN BOTH OF US BUT I RECALL DOING
6
    IT A COUPLE OF TIMES.
7
              DO YOU RECALL GIVING A STATEMENT -- I AM SORRY --
8
          Q
                DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING ON JANUARY 23, 1986 IN
9
   SAN MATEO COUNTY?
10
         A YES.
11
          MR. CHIER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT?
12
          THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY. COULD I GET SOME MORE WATER,
13
    PLEASE?
14
          THE COURT: YES.
15
          MR. CHIER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT HERE, YOUR HONOR?
16
          THE COURT: SHE IS GETTING WATER FOR YOU.
17
               (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
18
19
               BY MR. CHIER: YOU RECALL TALKING TO
    MR. BREILING ON NOVEMBER 28, 1984, RIGHT?
20
21
          THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU WERE ON THAT SUBJECT OF THE
22
    TESTIMONY WHICH HE HAD GIVEN.
23
          MR. CHIER: I AM WITHDRAWING THAT QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.
24
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
25
          THE WITNESS: YES.
26
          Q BY MR. CHIER: DO YOU RECALL TALKING TO
27
    MR. BREILING?
28
         A YES.
```

Q ROUGHLY TWO MONTHS OR SO AFTER THIS SUPPOSEDLY HAPPENED, RIGHT? A RIGHT. AND DO YOU REMEMBER --THE COURT: PART OF THE QUESTION IS "THAT SUPPOSEDLY HAPPENED" AND YOU ANSWERED "RIGHT" TO THAT, YOU SAY IT SUPPOSEDLY HAPPENED? THE WITNESS: IT HAPPENED. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BY MR. CHIER: DO YOU REMEMBER BEING ASKED WHO ACTUALLY MADE THE TAPE APPLICATION, YOU OR BEN, HE BEING MR. BREILING? A I THINK I WAS ASKED. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOUR ANSWER WAS? Q I AM SURE THAT I SAID THAT I HAD DONE IT. AS I SAY, IT WAS DONE A NUMBER OF TIMES AND I AM REPEATING THAT I KNOW I DID IT SOME OF THOSE TIMES. 

26F0

	,	

```
Q DO YOU RECALL WHOSE IDEA IT WAS TO PURCHASE
1
2
     OR TO HAVE MOUTH GAGS?
3
          A NO. I DON'T.
          THE COURT: YOU ARE THE ONE THAT GOT THEM HOWEVER,
4
5
     DIDN'T YOU?
6
          THE WITNESS: I BOUGHT THEM, YES.
          Q BY MR. CHIER: HAD YOU HAD EXPERIENCE IN BUYING
7
     BONDAGE PARAPHERNALIA PRIOR TO THIS?
8
9
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.
10
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
          MR. CHIER: MAY WE APPROACH?
11
          THE COURT: NO YOU MAY NOT. THAT IS CLEARLY AN IMPROPER
12
13
     QUESTION.
          Q BY MR. CHIER: DO YOU KNOW A PERSON NAMED
14
15
     DEBRA CORDAY, MR. KARNY?
16
          A YES.
          THE COURT: WHAT WAS THAT NAME AGAIN?
17
18
          THE WITNESS: DEBRA CORDAY.
19
           Q BY MR. CHIER: DID YOU USED TO HAVE A PICTURE
20
     OF HER --
21
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE. MAY I APPROACH
22
     THE BENCH? I THINK WE HAVE HAD TESTIMONY ABOUT THIS IN
23
     THE GUILT PHASE. I AM PRETTY SURE I KNOW WHAT IS COMING.
24
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I AM, TOO. I WILL SUSTAIN
25
     THE OBJECTION.
26
           MR. CHIER: I WOULD LIKE TO BE HEARD.
27
           THE COURT: NO. YOU WERE HEARD IN THE GUILT PHASE
28
     OF IT OR AT LEAST WE HEARD --
```

```
MR. CHIER: NOT I.
1
2
          THE COURT: YOUR DISTINGUISHED ASSOCIATE.
3
          Q BY MR. CHIER: NOW AS I UNDERSTAND IT, YOU
4
    WENT OUT AND FETCHED A NUMBER OF REPLICA WEAPONS TO BE USED
5
     WITH YOUR UNIFORM?
6
          A RIGHT.
7
                AND HAD YOU SEEN A NUMBER OF REAL FIREARMS
8
     EITHER IN THE WILSHIRE MANNING OR THE BBC OFFICES PRIOR
9
     TO THIS?
10
         Α
                YES.
11
          Q AND IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THERE WAS IN
12
     FACT. A REAL FIREARM IN THE FILA BAG THAT WAS IN THE BACK
13
     OF THE TRUCK WITH YOU?
14
          A YES.
15
              AND THE FIREARMS THAT YOU GOT TO COMPLIMENT
16
     THE UNIFORMS WERE REPLICA FIREARMS?
17
          A RIGHT.
18
              AND WHEN YOU LEFT YOUR PERSONAL CHECK FOR THE
          Q
19
     DEPOSIT ON THE COSTUMES AT THE WESTERN COSTUME --
20
          A RIGHT.
21
                 -- DID ANYBODY TELL YOU TO LEAVE A PERSONAL
22
     CHECK?
23
              NO. EXCEPT THE PERSON AT THE COSTUME PLACE.
24
     I COULDN'T HAVE RENTED THE COSTUMES WITHOUT IT.
25
                MR. HUNT DID NOT ADVISE YOU TO DO THAT?
           Q
26
           Α
                NO.
27
           Q OR INSTRUCT YOU OR ORDER YOU?
28
                 NO. MY JOB WAS TO GET THE COSTUMES.
```

THE COURT: HAVE YOU FINISHED THE ANSWER? THE WITNESS: WELL, IT IS OKAY. I MEAN, I WAS NOT GIVEN INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO GO ABOUT RENTING COSTUMES. I COULD FIGURE THAT OUT. Q BY MR. CHIER: WAS THERE SOME REASON THAT YOU COULDN'T HAVE COME BACK AND LEFT A CASH DEPOSIT THE NEXT DAY? A I OFFERED TO LEAVE A CASH DEPOSIT. I DON'T BELIEVE THEY ACCEPTED IT AT THE TIME. Q DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHERE THE \$9,000 CAME FROM FOR THE POWERS' BEVERLY GLEN LEASE. A I REALLY DON'T. MR. CHIER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT? THE COURT: YES. (PAUSE.) 

```
27 - 1
                      Q
                            NOW I BELIEVE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY WAS,
            1
                MR. KARNY, THAT THE BROWN WRAPPING PAPER THAT THE TRUNK WAS
            2
                IN ON THE WAY IN WAS NOT ON THE TRUNK WHEN IT WAS ON THE WAY
            3
                OUT, CORRECT?
            4
                            THAT IS CORRECT.
                      Α
            5
                            DO YOU KNOW WHERE THAT BROWN PAPER WAS?
                      Q
            6
                      Α
                            NO, I DON'T.
            7
                            DID YOU EVER SEE IT AGAIN?
                      Q
            9
                      Α
                            NO, I DIDN'T.
                      Q
                            IT WASN'T IN THE TRUNK; YOU LOOKED IN THERE, RIGHT?
           10
                            IT MAY HAVE BEEN IN THE TRUNK.
           11
                            I DESCRIBED WHEN IT WAS THAT I LOOKED IN THE TRUNK,
           12
                ALL I SAW WAS MR. ESLAMINIA.
           13
                            IF THE PAPER WAS IN THERE, TOO, I DIDN'T SEE IT.
           14
                      Q
                            IT WASN'T LEFT IN THE CONDO, WAS IT?
           15
           16
                      Α
                            I DON'T KNOW.
                            DID YOU SEE ANYBODY CARRYING IT?
           17
           18
                            I NEVER SAW THE PAPER AFTER THE TRUNK WAS CARRIED
           19
                INTO THE CONDOMINIUM BY BEN AND JOE.
           20
                      THE COURT: YOU WEREN'T THERE AT THE TIME, WERE YOU?
           21
                      THE WITNESS: NO.
           22
                            I WAS IN THE TRUCK.
           23
                            BY MR. CHIER: NOW WHEN THE TRUNK WAS BROUGHT
           24
                OUT OF THE CONDO, I BELIEVE YOU SAID YESTERDAY IT WAS LOADED
           25
                WITHOUT YOU, WITHOUT YOUR ASSISTANCE?
           26
                      Α
                            LOADED ONTO THE TRUCK, YES.
           27
                           YOU DIDN'T HELP LOAD THAT TRUCK -- I MEAN THE
```

TRUNK INTO THE TRUCK?

I GOT OUT TO HELP. IF I PUT MY HAND ON THE SIDE 1 OF IT AND GAVE IT A PUSH, THAT IS POSSIBLE. BUT I RECALL 2 THAT I DIDN'T REALLY PICK UP THE WEIGHT OF IT. 3 Q DO YOU RECALL WITH MR. BREILING, YOUR INTERVIEW, 4 DON'T YOU? 5 YES. Α 6 DO YOU RECALL AT PAGE 47, LINE 20 TO 23 --7 MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT? MR. CHIER: I AM SORRY. 18 TO 23: 9 "O WHAT TRANSPIRED FROM THIS POINT ON 10 FROM YOUR INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION? 11 OKAY. THEY CAME OUT OF THE SIDE GATE 11 A 12 AND WHEN THEY GOT UP TO THE TRUCK, JOE TOLD ME TO 13 GET OUT OF THE TRUCK AND HELP THEM PUT THE TRUNK 14 IN THE BACK, WHICH I DID." 15 DO YOU REMEMBER GIVING THAT ANSWER? 16 Α YES, I DO. 17 SO THAT IS IN FACT WHAT HAPPENED? 18 Q JUST AS I DESCRIBED IT, I GOT OUT OF THE TRUCK 19 AND I THINK WHAT I REMEMBER RIGHT NOW IS THAT, THOUGH I WAS 20 OUT OF THE TRUCK TO HELP LOAD IT, I WAS MORE JUST STANDING 21 THERE, THE WAY YOU STAND WHEN YOU ARE WATCHING SOMEONE ELSE 22 23 DO SOMETHING AND YOU FEEL LIKE YOU ARE HELPING. 24 LET ME ASK YOU SOMETHING ELSE. NOW LET ME ASK 25 YOU IF YOU MADE THE FOLLOWING, IF YOU WERE ASKED THE FOLLOWING 26 QUESTIONS AND GAVE THE FOLLOWING ANSWERS AT THE SAME 27 INTERVIEW BY MR. BREILING ON PAGE 48, LINES 16 THROUGH 19:

ALL RIGHT, NOW YOU ARE OUT OF THE

TRUCK, YOU ARE ASSISTING THEM LOAD THE TRUNK INTO THE REAR OF THE PICKUP TRUCK; IS THAT CORRECT? "A RIGHT." A I GUESS THAT IS WHAT I SAID. 

28F

1	Q OKAY. NOW, IN ADDITION TO THE RENTAL OF THE
2	U-HAUL TRUCK, THERE WERE SOME OTHER PARAPHERNALIA RENTED
3	SUCH AS BOXES AND DOLLIES, CORRECT?
4	A THERE WAS SOME OF THOSE SORT OF BLANKETS THAT
5	YOU WRAP BREAKABLE FURNITURE IN, MOVING BLANKETS. I THINK
6	THAT THERE WAS A DOLLY AND PERHAPS SOME BOXES THAT WERE
7	ALSO IN THE TRUCK.
8	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO THE CARDBOARD
9	BOXES?
10	A NO, I DON'T.
11	Q DO YOU KNOW WHY THEY WERE RENTED IN THE FIRST
12	PLACE?
13	A I DON'T HAVE ANY SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE. I CAN
14	SPECULATE.
15	Q WAS THERE A SPECIFIC PLAN WHICH INCORPORATED
16	THE USE OF THOSE BOXES?
17	A NO.
18	MR. CHIER: COULD WE TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS TIME?
19	THE COURT: YES.
20	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL TAKE
21	OUR CUSTOMARY 15-MINUTE RECESS AT THIS TIME. THE SAME
22	ADMONITION STILL APPLIES.
23	(RECESS.)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MAY CONTINUE.
1
2
             BY MR. CHIER: MR. KARNY, AS SOMEONE WHO WAS
3
     CONVERSANT AND FAMILIAR WITH THE PLANS, DID THE PLAN
4
     CONTEMPLATE MR. HUNT GOING TO BELMONT PRIOR TO THE ACTUAL
5
     ABDUCTION DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, LET'S SAY?
6
           Α
                NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
7
           Q
                 AND DID MR. HUNT EVER DISCUSS THAT WITH YOU?
8
           A HIM GOING?
9
           Q
              YES.
10
           Α
                NO.
11
              CAN YOU THINK OF ANY REASON WHY IT WOULD HAVE
           0
12
     BEEN NECESSARY FOR MR. HUNT TO GO THERE THE WEEK PRIOR TO
13
     THIS?
14
          A WE WERE DOING RECONNAISSANCE AT THE TIME, FINDING
15
     OUT WHERE MR. ESLAMINIA LIVED AND THAT SORT OF THING.
16
                  IT WAS PART OF THE PLAN FOR SOMEONE TO GO.
17
     WHETHER JOE PERSONALLY --
18
           Q
             WELL --
19
           THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND FINISH YOUR ANSWER.
20
           THE WITNESS: NOT NECESSARILY JOE PERSONALLY.
21
           Q BY MR. CHIER: WOULD YOU HAVE BEEN AWARE IF
22
     MR. HUNT WENT THERE?
23
                PROBABLY.
24
                 IF HE STAYED OVERNIGHT, I WOULD HAVE.
25
                CAN YOU THINK OF ANY REASON WHY MR. HUNT WOULD
           Q
26
     HAVE BEEN IN A RECREATION ROOM OF THE BELMONT PRIOR TO THE
27
     ABDUCTION?
28
           A OF THE BELMONT CONDOMINIUMS?
```

```
1
                YES.
          Q
2
         А
                NO, I CAN'T.
3
                DID THE PLAN CONTEMPLATE THE USE OF AN ALARM
          Q
4
    CLOCK?
5
         A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
               DID THE PLAN CONTEMPLATE MR. HUNT BEING IN
6
7
    A PART OF THE BELMONT CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX GOING OVER A SERIES
8
    OF PAPERS?
9
          A NO.
          Q CAN YOU THINK OF ANY REASON THAT WOULD HAVE
10
11
    BEEN SERVED BY HIS DOING THAT?
12
          A PRIOR TO THE ABDUCTION?
13
          Q
               YES.
14
                NO, SIR.
          Α
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

30-1 0 ALL RIGHT. WERE THERE ANY CONTACTS BETWEEN 1 MR. JOE HUNT AND REZA ESLAMINIA THAT YOU WERE NOT AWARE OF? 2 YES. 3 WERE THERE CONTACTS BETWEEN JOE HUNT AND HADAYET 4 ESLAMINIA YOU MAY NOT HAVE BEEN AWARE OF? 5 А I DON'T THINK SO. 6 DID YOU SEE PITTMAN ON THE 31ST OF JULY? Q 7 А THE DAY AFTER? YES. 8 WAS HE IN LOS ANGELES? 9 Q YEAH. HE WAS IN LOS ANGELES ALTHOUGH HE DID GO 10 BACK UP TO BELMONT. 11 I THINK IT WAS THE NEXT DAY. 12 WHO TURNED THE TRUCK IN? 0 13 14 BEN AND I. DO YOU KNOW PITTMAN DID GO BACK TO THE BAY AREA? Q 15 I THINK HE DID GO BACK TO THE BAY AREA. I AM 16 A LITTLE CONFUSED AS TO THE SPECIFIC DATES RIGHT NOW, THOUGH. 17 DID MR. PITTMAN EVER TELL YOU THAT HE HAD MADE 18 19 FRIENDS AT THE BUILDING, THE CONDOMINIUM COMPLEX? 20 Α FRIENDS? NO. 21 WAS OVERT SOCIALIZING PART OF THE OVERALL PLAN? Q 22 Α NO, NOT NECESSARILY. 23 DID MR. PITTMAN TELL YOU THAT HE HAD MET THE 24 MANAGER OF THE CONDO COMPLEX? 25 Α I THINK HE DID. 26 DID MR. HUNT TELL YOU THAT HE HAD BEEN UP TO THE Q

TOP OF THE CONTIGUOUS BUILDING THE PREVIOUS WEEK, THE SO-CALLED

27

28

SKY ROOM?

```
NO HE DIDN'T.
          Α
1
                DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE HAD A KEY TO THE SKY ROOM?
          0
2
                NO.
3
                DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT HIS HAVING A KEY FIT
4
    INTO THE PLANNING AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT, MR. KARNY?
5
                SIR, I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT THE SKY ROOM IS. IT
6
    DOES NOT MEAN ANYTHING TO ME.
7
               DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT MR. HUNT WOULD HAVE
          Q
8
    BEEN DOING THERE IN THE WEEK PRIOR TO THE ABDUCTION?
9
               NO IDEA. OTHER THAN AS I SAY, THERE WAS
10
    RECONNAISSANCE GOING ON.
11
          Q DID MR. HUNT TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, PRIOR TO THE 30TH
12
    OF JULY, HAVE A KEY TO ANY DOORS IN THE CONDO COMPLEX?
13
          Α
               NOT THAT I KNOW OF.
14
               DID HE EVER MENTION THE KEY TO THE RECREATION
15
    ROOM TO THE CONDO COMPLEX?
16
17
          А
               HE NEVER DID.
               DID JOE OR ANYONE ELSE THAT WAS INVOLVED IN THIS
18
    PLAN HAVE A KEY TO THE STAIRWAY DOORS OF THE CONDOMINIUM
19
    COMPLEX AT 400 DAVEY GLEN?
20
21
          A NOT THAT I KNOW OF.
22
               AND ACCORDING TO YOUR TESTIMONY, THE PLAN
23
    CONTEMPLATED OPENING OF THE DOORS FROM THE INSIDE BY
24
    MR. PITTMAN?
25
         A RIGHT.
26
          O AND DO YOU KNOW WHETHER AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO THE
27
     30TH OF JULY, ANYONE MANAGED TO OBTAIN A KEY TO ANY PORTION
28
```

OF THE BUILDING?

30-2

A JIM SAID THAT HE HAD OBTAINED A GARAGE, AN ELECTRONIC GARAGE DOOR OPENER. THAT IS AN ELECTRONIC KEY, I SUPPOSE. BUT OTHER THAN THAT, I DON'T HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE. 

31F

```
1
                 DID MR. PITTMAN ADVISE YOU THAT THE ELECTRONIC
2
     GARAGE DOOR OPENER WAS ALSO ABLE TO UNLOCK THE INTERIOR
     DOORS, OTHER THAN DOORS OF THE COMPLEX, THE PASSAGE DOORS?
3
4
           Α
                 NO.
5
                 NOW. LET ME SEE IF I CAN JUST RECAPITULATE
6
     HERE. MR. KARNY.
7
                  YOU WERE APPARENTLY WILLING TO KIDNAP THIS
8
     PERSON FOR FINANCIAL GAIN, MR. ESLAMINIA?
9
                 AT THE TIME, YES.
10
                 AND YOU WERE WILLING TO ALLOW THAT PERSON TO
11
     BE TORTURED?
12
           A YES.
                 AND YOU WERE WILLING TO CUT OFF THAT PERSON'S
13
14
     AIR SUPPLY TO RENDER HIM UNCONSCIOUS?
15
                 YES.
16
                 AND HOW DID YOU FEEL WHEN YOU DISCOVERED THAT
17
     PERSON WAS DEAD, MR. KARNY?
18
                 I WAS UPSET.
19
                 AND YOU WERE AFRAID TO OPEN THE TRUNK WHEN
20
     YOU FEARED HE MIGHT BE DEAD OR WHETHER HE MIGHT BE ALIVE?
21
                  I WAS AFRAID THE WHOLE TIME, SIR.
22
           THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU SAY BEFORE HE INTERRUPTED
23
     YOU? WHAT DID YOU SAY?
24
           MR. CHIER: I WASN'T FINISHED WITH THE QUESTION.
25
           THE COURT: HE HASN'T FINISHED HIS ANSWER.
26
           MR. CHIER: I HADN'T FINISHED THE QUESTION.
27
           THE COURT: WILL YOU PLEASE ANSWER THE QUESTION?
28
           THE WITNESS: I JUST SAID I WAS AFRAID THE WHOLE TIME.
```

```
BY MR. CHIER: WERE YOU AFRAID TO OPEN THE
1
           Q
2
     TRUNK BECAUSE HE MIGHT BE DEAD OR MIGHT BE ALIVE?
3
                 I DON'T REALLY KNOW.
                 WHEN YOU WERE PUNCHING HOLES IN THE SURFACE
4
     OF THIS FOOT LOCKER, WERE YOU AFRAID THAT YOU MIGHT STRIKE
5
     THE PERSON INSIDE?
6
7
             I WAS NOT PUNCHING HOLES, SIR.
8
                 YOU WERE DRILLING HOLES?
9
                 I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DESCRIBE IT, OTHER THAN
10
     THE WAY I HAVE DESCRIBED IT.
11
           Q
                 YOU WERE MAKING HOLES?
             I WAS MAKING HOLES.
12
                 WHICH INVOLVED THE INTRUSION OF A POINTED OBJECT
13
           Q
14
     INTO THE INTERIOR OF THE FOOT LOCKER?
15
                 THAT'S RIGHT.
           Α
16
               DID ANYONE EVER TELL YOU THAT IT WAS A GOOD
17
     IDEA FOR YOU TO CUT OFF THE AIR SUPPLY IF YOU HEARD THE
18
     PERSON INSIDE THE TRUNK MAKING NOISES?
19
                 NO.
           Α
20
                  DID ANYBODY ORDER YOU TO DO THAT?
           Q
21
           Α
                  NO.
22
                  DID YOU EVER TELL THE PERSON INSIDE TO BE QUIET?
           Q
23
                  NO.
24
                  DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY COMMUNICATION AT ALL
           Q
25
     WITH THE PERSON INSIDE THE TRUNK?
26
                 NO, I DIDN'T.
           Α
27
              WERE THERE CUFF LINKS AROUND THE ANKLES OF
28
     THIS PERSON?
```

```
1
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION TO THE FORM OF THE QUESTION.
2
     "CUFF LINKS"?
3
          THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT?
4
          MR. CHIER: YOU SAY THERE WERE HANDCUFFS ON MR.
5
     ESLAMINIA, HADAYET ESLAMINIA?
6
          A YES.
7
                DID YOU NOTICE HANDCUFFS AROUND HIS ANKLES?
8
                NO, I DIDN'T.
9
              DID ANYONE EVER TELL YOU NOT TO LET MR.
10
     ESLAMINIA OUT OF THE TRUNK?
11
          Α
                DID ANYONE EVER TELL ME NOT TO LET HIM OUT?
12
          Q YES.
13
          A IT WASN'T DISCUSSED.
14
                 IT WAS PRETTY WELL UNDERSTOOD THAT HE WAS IN
15
     THE TRUNK SO THAT HE COULD BE KEPT THERE UNTIL WE GOT HIM
16
     WHERE WE WERE GOING TO TAKE HIM.
17
          O DID YOU HAVE AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO LET HIM OUT
18
     AT ANY TIME BETWEEN THE TIME YOU LEFT SAN FRANCISCO AND
19
     ARRIVED IN LOS ANGELES?
20
          A OF COURSE.
21
                AND DID YOU HAVE AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO LET HIM
22
     OUT AND LEAVE THE TRUCK YOURSELF?
23
          А
             AND WHAT?
24
           O AND LEAVE THE TRUCK YOURSELF, AND YOURSELF
25
     LEAVE THE TRUCK?
26
          A WHILE IT WAS DRIVING?
27
             WHEN IT WAS STOPPED.
           Q
28
                 TO LET HIM OUT OF THE TRUCK AND TO LEAVE? OF
```

1	COURSE.
2	Q EITHER/OR?
3	A OF COURSE.
4	Q YES. AND YOU WERE ACTUALLY THE ONE MANAGING
5	THE SITUATION IN THE BACK OF THE TRUCK, WEREN'T YOU?
6	A BEN AND I WERE.
7	Q AND THERE WERE AT SOME POINTSWHERE YOU WERE
8	THE PERSON MANAGING THE SITUATION, CORRECT?
9	A THERE WAS A 45-MINUTE PERIOD WHERE I WAS THE
10	ONLY ONE BACK THERE. AS I DESCRIBED, I WAS OCCUPIED
11	EXCLUSIVELY HOLDING THE DOOR SHUT.
12	Q AND THE DECISIONS MADE IN THE BACK OF THOSE
13	TRUCKS WERE YOUR DECISIONS, CORRECT?
14	A THINGS THAT I DID WERE, YES.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
32-1
                      Q YES. AND WHEN MR. HUNT SUGGESTED THAT YOU GIVE
            1
                MOUTH-TO-MOUTH RESUSCITATION TO MR. ESLAMINIA, DID YOU DO
            2
                THAT?
            3
                           NO I DIDN'T.
            4
                      Q DID THE THOUGHT DISGUST YOU?
            5
                     A I WAS SCARED.
            6
                      THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW HOW TO GIVE MOUTH-TO-MOUTH
            7
                RESUSCITATION?
            8
                      THE WITNESS: NO I DON'T.
            9
                     THE COURT: IS THAT ONE OF THE REASONS WHY YOU DIDN'T
           10
                GIVE IT?
           11
                     THE WITNESS: I SUPPOSE I WOULD HAVE BEEN LESS SCARED
           12
                IF I KNEW HOW TO DO IT.
           13
                     Q
                          BY MR. CHIER: IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU NEVER
           14
                MET OR SAW HADAYET ESLAMINIA BEFORE JULY 30, 1984?
           15
                     Α
                           YES.
           16
                      Q YOU ARE ENTIRELY POSITIVE OF THAT?
           17
           18
                     А
                           YES.
                          THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD EVER LAID EYES ON HADAYET
           19
                ESLAMINIA WAS ON JULY 31ST, 1984?
           20
           21
                     MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. THAT MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE,
                YOUR HONOR, AS TO THE --
           22
           23
                      Q BY MR. CHIER: WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD
           24
                EVER SEEN HIM?
           25
                          IT WAS THE DAY OF THE ABDUCTION.
                     Α
           26
                      Q
                          ALL RIGHT.
           27
                      A I GUESS THAT IS THE 30TH.
```

DID YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO WRITE A NOTE ON JULY 27,

28

Q

```
1984. REFERRING TO A MEETING YOU HAD WITH HADAYET ESLAMINIA
32-2
           1
                PRIOR TO JULY 30, 1984?
           2
                          TO A MEETING THAT I HAD HAD?
           3
                           YES.
                      Q
           4
           5
                      Α
                          NO.
                      Q SO MR. KARNY, THEN WE CAN ASSUME OR ACCEPT FROM
           6
                YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU WERE AN AIDER OR ABETTOR IN THE
           7
           8
                MURDER AND ROBBERY OF RON LEVIN, IS THAT CORRECT?
                     MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION.
           9
                     THE COURT: RON LEVIN? WHAT HAS THAT GOT TO DO WITH
           10
               ESLAMINIA?
           11
                     MR. WAPNER: IT CALLS FOR A LEGAL CONCLUSION. HE ALREADY
           12
                TESTIFIED AS TO WHAT HE KNEW ABOUT THAT.
           13
                     THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
           14
           15
                     MR. CHIER: MAY I APPROACH?
           16
                      THE COURT: NO.
                     MR. CHIER: THIS IS THE PENALTY PHASE IN THE ALLEGED --
           17
           18
                     THE COURT: NO.
           19
                      O BY MR. CHIER: YOU CONSCIOUSLY AIDED IN THE PROCESS
           20
                THAT RESULTED IN --
           21
                      THE COURT: HE TESTIFIED FULLY AS TO WHAT HE DID. THOSE
           22
                ARE CONCLUSIONS THAT YOU ARE ASKING HIM.
           23
                            THAT IS WHY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OBJECTED. THAT
           24
                IS WHY I SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION. THEY ARE LEGAL CONCLUSIONS
           25
                AS TO WHETHER HE IS OR IS NOT.
           26
                            DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? MAYBE?
           27
                      MR. CHIER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
```

DO YOU UNDERSTAND MR. KARNY THAT BUT FOR THE FACT

```
YOU HAVE AN IMMUNITY DEAL, YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN PUNISHED FOR
1
     YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE RON LEVIN --
2
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
          THE COURT: ALSO TESTIFIED TO ON THE GUILT PHASE.
4
    SUSTAINED.
5
          Q BY MR. CHIER: YOU WERE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT
6
    AND PLOTTED IN THE KIDNAPPING OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA?
          А
                YES.
8
          Q ARE YOU AWARE OF THE PENALTY FOR THAT?
9
          A NOT SPECIFICALLY. BUT IT IS SEVERE.
10
               YOU ARE NOT AWARE OF THE SPECIFIC PENALTY FOR
11
    THAT BECAUSE YOU WILL NEVER HAVE TO SUFFER ANY PENALTY, IS
12
    THAT RIGHT?
13
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
14
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED. LET'S GET ON TO SOMETHING ELSE
15
    IF YOU HAVE GOT SOMETHING ELSE TO GO ON TO. ALL OF THIS IS
16
    ARGUMENTATIVE.
17
                YOU ARE NOT ADDUCING ANY EVIDENCE HERE.
18
          MR. CHIER: MAY I APPROACH?
19
          THE COURT: NO YOU MAY NOT ..
20
          MR. CHIER: THERE IS A SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION --
21
22
          THE COURT: YOU CAN DO IT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE
    EXAMINATION.
23
24
          MR. CHIER: WELL, I AM WINDING DOWN TO THAT.
          THE COURT: WELL, WIND DOWN.
25
26
          Q BY MR. CHIER: MR. KARNY, AS A RESULT OF YOUR
27
    IMMUNITY, YOU WILL NEVER BE PUNISHED FOR ANYTHING YOU DID
```

IN CONNECTION WITH MR. ESLAMINIA. IS THAT CORRECT?

```
THE COURT: IS THERE AN OBJECTION?
1
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
2
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
3
          Q BY MR. CHIER: DID MR. ESLAMINIA DIE AS A RESULT
4
    OF BEING SHOT BY MR. HUNT?
5
          A NO.
6
          Q HE DIED IN THE TRUNK, RIGHT?
7
          Α
               THAT'S RIGHT, SIR.
8
               AND WHERE WAS THE TRUNK?
9
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.
10
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
11
          Q BY MR. CHIER: DID MR. HUNT HEAR THE SCREAMS OF
12
    MR. ESLAMINIA?
13
          THE COURT: YOU ASKED HIM THAT BEFORE, DIDN'T YOU?
14
          MR. CHIER: I DON'T THINK I DID.
15
          THE COURT: WELL, I THOUGHT YOU DID. YOU CAN ASK IT
16
    AGAIN, THEN.
17
          THE WITNESS: WHILE WE WERE TRANSFERRING THE TRUNK FROM
18
19
    VEHICLE TO VEHICLE, MR. HUNT WAS THERE AND WE ALL HEARD THE
    NOISES THAT CAME FROM THE TRUNK.
20
21
          Q BY MR. CHIER: WAS MR. ESLAMINIA PLEADING WITH
22
    MR. HUNT?
23
         Α
               HE WAS PLEADING WITH ANYONE WHO WOULD LET HIM
24
    OUT.
25
          Q WAS HE PLEADING WITH MR. HUNT?
26
                SIR, I DON'T KNOW WHO HE WAS PLEADING WITH. THE
27
    MAN WAS BEGGING TO GET OUT OF THERE.
```

WAS IT MR. HUNT THAT TAPED OVER THE AIR HOLES?

28

Q

```
Α
               NO. IT WAS ME.
1
          Q DID MR. ESLAMINIA DIE BECAUSE THE AIR WAS CUT
2
    OFF?
3
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION ON
4
    THE PART OF THE WITNESS.
5
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
6
          Q BY MR. CHIER: AT THE TIME THAT HE DIED, HIS LIFE
7
    WAS IN YOUR HANDS, WAS IT NOT, MR. KARNY?
8
          MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR A CONCLUSION. ALSO
9
    ARGUMENTATIVE.
10
          THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
11
          MR. CHIER: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
12
          THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? ALL
13
    RIGHT.
14
                REDIRECT, PLEASE.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

33F

1 MR. WAPNER: JUST BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WAPNER: 4 5 Q THE PLAN REGARDING MR. ESLAMINIA, DID THAT CONTEMPLATE THAT AT THE END HE WOULD BE KILLED? 6 7 A YES. 8 WERE THE SPECIFICS OF HOW THAT WAS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED DISCUSSED BEFORE HE WAS ABDUCTED? 9 10 A NO, THEY WERE NOT. DO YOU KNOW WHY MR. PITTMAN WENT BACK UP TO 11 12 THE SAN MATEO AREA AFTER THE ABDUCTION? 13 A FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS. HE WAS GOING TO, I THINK HE WAS GOING TO REGROUP 14 15 WITH REZA IN CASE ANYTHING WAS GOING TO BE DONE TO MR. 16 ESLAMINIA'S GIRLFRIEND AND --17 DO YOU MEAN RIGHT AFTERWARD OR AT ANY OTHER TIMES? BECAUSE HE WENT UP SEVERAL TIMES AND FOR DIFFERENT 18 19 REASONS. 20 Q I AM TALKING ABOUT THE FIRST TIME THAT HE WENT 21 UP AFTERWARDS, WHICH YOU SAID MAY HAVE BEEN THE NEXT DAY. 22 A IT WAS FOR HIM TO BE THERE IN CASE WE DECIDED 23 TO DO SOMETHING. IT WAS SORT OF A CONTINGENCY TO HAVE HIM 24 THERE. 25 I DON'T SPECIFICALLY KNOW WHAT HE WAS GOING 26 TO DO. 27 ALL RIGHT. THE POWER OF ATTORNEY THAT YOU 28 SAW MR. HUNT TYPE, WAS THAT TYPED AT THE MANNING?

1 YES. Α AND DID HE TYPE ANYTHING -- WHAT FORM DID IT 2 END UP IN WHEN HE FINISHED TYPING IT AT THE MANNING? 3 OTHER WORDS, WAS THERE THE POWER OF ATTORNEY LANGUAGE AND 4 SPECIFIC LINES AND NAMES ON THE BOTTOM TYPED IN OR WAS IT 5 6 JUST THE LANGUAGE ITSELF? 7 I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THAT I DON'T REMEMBER SEEING THE WHOLE DOCUMENT FINISHED. WHETHER I SAW NAMES ON THE 8 9 BOTTOM OR NOT, I REALLY DON'T REMEMBER. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 10 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT JUST BRIEFLY --11 12 THE COURT: SORRY. HE WILL HAVE TO. 13 MR. BARENS: COULD I TALK TO MR. CHIER? 14 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN DEFENSE 15 COUNSEL.) 16 MR. CHIER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. 17 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 18 MR. CHIER: NOTHING. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. MR. WAPNER: JUST ONE THING. I JUST MOVE TO HAVE 20 PEOPLE'S 24, WHICH IS THE RENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE BEVERLY 21 22 GLEN HOUSE, RECEIVED AT THIS TIME. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT WILL BE RECEIVED. 24 MR. WAPNER: BEFORE THE WITNESS LEAVES THE STAND, 25 MAY I APPROACH IT JUST BEFORE ABOUT SCHEDULING? 26 THE COURT: PARDON ME? 27 MR. WAPNER: BEFORE HE LEAVES THE STAND, MAY WE APPROACH 28 THE BENCH BRIEFLY ABOUT SCHEDULING?

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH:)

THE COURT: FIRST, AS LONG AS WE ARE AT THE BENCH, I TOLD COUNSEL THAT HE HAS A RIGHT AFTER HE FINISHES THE EXAMINATION, TO PUT SOMETHING ON THE RECORD THAT HE WAS ANXIOUS TO DO SO I WILL LET HIM PUT IT ON THE RECORD.

MR. BARENS: DO YOU REMEMBER BEFORE, YOU SAID THAT YOU WERE INTENDING --

> (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN DEFENSE COUNSEL.)

MR. CHIER: ONE OF THE MATTERS, WHICH IS RECOGNIZED AS BEING IN MITIGATION IN A PENALTY PHASE, IS THE NOTION OF FAIRNESS BETWEEN TWO INDIVIDUALS WHO CO-EQUALLY ARE CULPABLE IF ONE OF THEM HAS IMMUNITY AND ONE OF THEM IS THE DEFENDANT IN A CASE WHERE THE DEATH PENALTY IS BEING SOUGHT. THE FACT THAT THERE IS BUT FOR THE FACT OF AN IMMUNITY AGREEMENT, CO-EQUAL CULPABILITY OF BLAME-WORTHINESS, IS A FACT WHICH CAN BE CONSIDERED BY THE JURY. THE LINE OF QUESTIONS I WAS ASKING WAS DESIGNED TO UNDERSCORE THEIR CO-EQUALNESS IN TERMS OF THE PARTICIPATION AND THE EXECUTION OF THIS ALLEGED PLAN AND I FEEL --

THE COURT: YOU HAVE ALREADY ESTABLISHED THE FACT THAT HE IS AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN IT AND HE ADMITTED IT. WHAT MORE DO YOU WANT?

MR. CHIER: I FEEL --

THE COURT: THE EXTENT TO WHICH HE PARTICIPATED, HE TESTIFIED FULLY. HE TESTIFIED FULLY TO THE EXTENT WHICH MR. HUNT PARTICIPATED AND HE TESTIFIED FULLY TO HIS

34

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE MORE WITNESSES? 1 MR. WAPNER: I DO HAVE SOME MORE WITNESSES TODAY. THE COURT: WELL, FINE. LET'S HAVE THEM. 3 MR. WAPNER: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO PRODUCE THEM. 4 MR. KARNY, BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION AS A PROTECTED WITNESS --5 UNELSS THERE IS ANY REALISTIC POSSIBILITY THAT HE IS GOING 6 TO BE RECALLED, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HIM EXCUSED AT THIS TIME 7 8 AND --THE COURT: IF YOU WANT HIM AGAIN, JUST GIVE HIM 24 9 10 HOURS NOTICE. MR. BARENS: I GUESS THAT IS THE DEAL. THE ONLY REASON 11 THAT I SAID THAT AND I WILL REPEAT WHAT I SAID IN CHAMBERS, 12 IS OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, I AM PRESUMING THAT THE 13 BALANCE OF THE PEOPLE'S WITNESSES WOULD SEEK TO CORROBORATE 14 THE TESTIMONY THAT THE WITNESS HAS SPOKEN SO FAR. 15 16 AND TO THE EXTENT THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO SHOW AN INCONSISTENCY IN THAT TESTIMONY, THAT MIGHT WELL IMPEACH 17 THE WITNESS OR SUBSEQUENT WITNESSES TO A DEGREE --18 19 THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO RECALL THIS WITNESS? IS 20 THAT IT? 21 MR. BARENS: IF THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT THE DEFENSE --22 THE COURT: WELL, I AM NOT FORECLOSING YOU FROM DOING 23 IT THAT WAY. 24 MR. BARENS: I CAN'T DECIDE THAT NOW. 25 THE COURT: JUST GIVE HIM NOTICE BECAUSE YOU KNOW WHAT 26 HIS CONDITION IS. 27 MR. BARENS: I WANT TO BE AS COURTEOUS AS I CAN BE TO

BOTH THE WITNESS AND THE PROSECUTOR. I JUST DON'T KNOW.

31.1

```
341-2
                IS WHAT MY PROBLEM IS.
           1
                      MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
            2
                      THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO THE BEST YOU CAN, WILL YOU?
            3
                            SO. THIS WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED?
            4
                      MR. BARENS: MAYBE I COULD JUST HAVE A MOMENT WITH
            5
                MR. WAPNER.
            6
                            (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN COUNSEL.)
            7
                      MR. BARENS: THAT IS THE WAY IT STANDS.
            8
                      THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE ALL RIGHT?
            9
                      MR. WAPNER: YES.
           10
                      THE COURT: SO, HOW WILL WE GET HIM OFF THE SEAT, THERE?
           11
                      MR. BARENS: PLEASE TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE RECESS. I WOULD
           12
                LIKE A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK. OTHERWISE THE JURY IS GOING TO
           13
                HAVE THE INEVITABLE CONCLUSION THAT THIS GUY THINKS HE IS
           14
                THREATENED BY HUNT. NOW, I DON'T WANT THEM THINKING THAT.
           15
           16
                      THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE GOT AN IDEA. HE CAN WALK TOWARD
           17
                THE EXIT DOOR.
                     MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT BEFORE YOU DO
           18
           19
                THAT? I HAVE TO --
           20
                            (THERE WAS A BRIEF PAUSE.)
           21
                      MR. WAPNER: IF IT IS ALL RIGHT WITH THE COURT AND
           22
                COUNSEL, IF HE CAN JUST LEAVE THE WITNESS STAND BY THE BACK
           23
                DOOR WITHOUT ANYBODY APPROACHING, IT WILL BE ALL RIGHT. IF
           24
                NOT --
           25
                      THE COURT: YOU MEAN OUT THIS DOOR?
           26
                      MR. WAPNER: YES.
           27
                      THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HE CAN GO OUT THAT WAY.
           28
                      MR. WAPNER: IS THERE ANY OBJECTION, COUNSEL?
```

MR. BARENS: NO. (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) THE COURT: YOU WILL BE EXCUSED. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER WITNESSES? MR. WAPNER: YES. WE CALL EVAN DICKER. 

EVAN GEORGE DICKER, 1 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY 2 SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 3 THE COURT: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. STATE YOUR 4 NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 5 THE WITNESS: EVAN GEORGE DICKER. 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. . WPANER: 9 Q MR. DICKER, DURING THE TIME THAT YOU WERE EMPLOYED 10 BY THE BBC AND A MEMBER OF THE BBC, WERE YOU ALSO A NOTARY 11 PUBLIC? 12 A I BECAME A NOTARY PUBLIC I BELIEVE IN JANUARY 13 OF 1984. 14 Q IN OCTOBER OF 1984, DID MR. HUNT ASK YOU TO PERFORM 15 SOME SERVICE FOR HIM REGARDING NOTARIZING SOME DOCUMENTS? 16 17 Α YES HE DID. AND WHERE DID THAT TAKE PLACE? 18 Q 19 AT MY APARTMENT ON SWALL DRIVE. 20 Q WHAT WAS THE ADDRESS ON SWALL DRIVE? 21 143 SOUTH SWALL. DID MR. HUNT COME OVER THERE OR DID HE CALL YOU 22 Q 23 FIRST OR DID HE JUST ARRIVE? 24 A I BELIEVE HE JUST ARRIVED. 25 WHAT HAPPENED WHEN HE GOT THERE? 26 HE ARRIVED AND HE HAD APPROXIMATELY SOMEWHERE 27 BETWEEN SIX AND TWELVE POWERS OF ATTORNEY, WHICH WERE EXECUTED 28 IN FAVOR OF -- EXCUSE ME -- IT WAS IN FAVOR OF REZA ESLAMINIA

AND THEY WERE SIGNED BY HADAYET ESLAMINIA. 1 AND HE INFORMED ME THAT THE SIGNATURE ON THE POWER 2 OF ATTORNEYS WAS IN FACT, NOT HADAYET'S BUT THAT HE HAD 3 FORGED IT FROM AN IDENTICAL OR SIMILAR POWER OF ATTORNEY THAT 4 HAD IN FACT, BEEN EXECUTED BY HADAYET IN FAVOR OF REZA BUT 5 HAD BEEN LOST. 6 Q WHEN HE TOLD YOU THAT, WHAT DID HE ASK YOU TO 7 DO, IF ANYTHING? 8 A HE ASKED ME TO EXAMINE THE FORGED POWER OF 9 ATTORNEYS AGAINST WHAT WAS HE TOLD ME, AN ACTUAL SIGNATURE 10 CARD OR SOME OTHER BANK DOCUMENT ACTUALLY EXECUTED BY 11 HADAYET ESLAMINIA AND DETERMINE WHICH SIGNATURE I BELIEVED 12 WAS THE CLOSEST. 13 Q DID YOU IN FACT, DO THAT? 14 A YES I DID. THIS MAY BY THEN, HAVE MOVED OVER 15 TO THE OFFICE. 16 BECAUSE AT SOME POINT IN TIME, WE DID MOVE OVER 17 TO THE OFFICE FROM MY APARTMENT. 18 Q THAT IS, LEAVE YOUR APARTMENT AND GO TO THE OFFICES 19 20 ON THIRD STREET? A THAT'S CORRECT. 21 22 WAS THERE ANYONE THERE BESIDES YOU AND MR. HUNT? Q 23 BROOKE ROBERTS WAS THERE. 24 O WHEN YOU WENT OVER TO THE OFFICE, DID YOU IN FACT, 25 EXAMINE THE SIGNATURES? 26 A YES I DID. 27 DID YOU MAKE A DETERMINATION OF WHICH ONE YOU Q 28 FELT WAS THE CLOSEST TO THE EXEMPLAR YOU HAD BEEN PROVIDED

```
WITH?
1
            YES I DID.
2
          Α
               AFTER DOING THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?
3
               MR. HUNT TOOK THAT ONE AND TYPED THE WORDINGS
4
    OF THE DOCUMENTS FOR MY SIGNATURE AND FOR MY STAMP.
5
               CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT THAT IS?
          Q
6
               WHAT HE DID WAS, HE TYPED ON THE WORDS THAT
7
    BASICALLY HADAYET ESLAMINIA APPEARED PERSONALLY IN FRONT OF
8
    ME AND ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE EXECUTED THE DOCUMENT.
9
                AND THEN THERE WAS A PLACE FOR THE DATE ALSO.
10
    AND IT SAYS WITHIN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND THEN SS,
11
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THEN A PLACE FOR THE NOTARY TO SIGN.
12
                DID YOU IN FACT, SIGN IT?
13
          Q
                YES I DID.
14
          А
                DID YOU PLACE YOUR NOTARY STAMP ON IT?
15
          Q
16
                YES I DID.
                HAD HADAYET ESLAMINIA IN FACT, SIGNED THAT
17
    DOCUMENT IN FRONT OF YOU?
18
19
                HE HAD NOT SIGNED THE DOCUMENT IN FRONT OF ME.
          Α
20
               HAD YOU EVER MET HADAYET ESLAMINIA IN YOUR LIFE?
          0
21
                NO I HAVE NOT.
          Α
                CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU
22
23
    NOTARIZED SAID, IN ESSENCE?
24
               IT WAS A POWER OF ATTORNEY GRANTING TO REZA
25
    ESLAMINIA ALL OF THE RIGHTS TO TRANSACT ALL OF THE POWERS
26
    TO DEAL WITH HADAYET'S PROPERTY AS REZA SAW FIT.
27
          Q AFTER NOTARIZING THIS DOCUMENT, WHAT DID YOU DO
28
```

WITH IT?

A THE DOCUMENT WAS KEPT BY MR. HUNT. THEN AT SOME TIME SUBSEQENT TO THAT DATE, I WENT WITH THAT DOCUMENT TO THE SWISS EMBASSY TO HAVE MY SIGNATURE AUTHENTICATED. 

35F

{

7	Q DID YOU GET YOUR SIGNATURE AUTHENTICATED AT
2	THE SWISS EMBASSY?
3	A YES, I DID.
4	Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF GOING TO THE SWISS
5	EMBASSY TO GET THE SIGNATURE AUTHENTICATED?
6	A THAT AUTHENTICATION WAS NEEDED SO THE DOCUME
7	COULD BE USED OVERSEAS.
8	Q DID YOU GO TO THE SWISS EMBASSY ONCE OR MORE
9	THAN ONCE?
10	A I WENT THERE MORE THAN ONCE.
11	THE COURT: IS THAT THE EMBASSY OR THE CONSULATE?
12	THE WITNESS: THE CONSULATE.
13	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14	Q WAS IT CONTEMPLATED THAT THAT DOCUMENT WAS
15	GOING TO BE USED OVERSEAS?
16	A IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING FROM THE TIME I
17	NOTARIZED IT, THAT IT WOULD BE.
18	Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WOULD
19	BE USED FOR?
20	A TO BASICALLY BOLSTER THE CONSERVATORSHIP PAPE
21	THAT HAD ALREADY BEEN RECEIVED.
22	Q BEEN RECEIVED WHERE?
23	A THEY HAD BEEN ISSUED BY THE SUPERIOR COURT,
24	I BELIEVE, IN SAN MATEO COUNTY.
25	Q HAD THEY BEEN SENT BY SOMEONE IN THE BBC SOME
26	PLACE?
27	A I BELIEVE THAT THE CONSERVATORSHIP PAPERS WERI
28	EITHER SENT TO OR WERE TAKEN WITH BEN DOSTI AND REZA ESLAM.

1 WHEN THEY WENT TO EUROPE.

Q AND WHEN YOU WENT TO THE SWISS CONSULATE THE SECOND TIME, WAS IT WITH THE SAME POWER OF ATTORNEY IN HAND?

A IT WAS EITHER WITH THE POWER OF ATTORNEY OR WITH THE CONSERVATORSHIP PAPERS POSSIBLY ALSO, I THINK, TO GET THOSE DOCUMENTS CERTIFIED.

Q AND AFTER YOU GOT THE POWER OF ATTORNEY AND THE CONSERVATORSHIP DOCUMENT CERTIFIED, WHAT DID YOU DOWITH THEM?

A I RETURNED THEM TO THE OFFICE AND IT IS MY
UNDERSTANDING THEY WERE COURIERED TO BEN AND REZA IN EUROPE.

Q DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY DISCUSSION WITH MR. HUNT ABOUT WHAT THE INTENTION WAS TO DO WITH THOSE DOCUMENTS IN EUROPE?

A AT ONE TIME, MR. HUNT INFORMED ME THAT THEY

HAD DISCOVERED A BANK WITH, I BELIEVE, APPROXIMATELY A HUNDRED

TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN IT IN AN ACCOUNT HELD BY HADAYET

ESLAMINIA AND THE DOCUMENTS WERE TO BE USED TO GET THAT

MONEY.

Q I WANT TO SHOW YOU AN EXHIBIT THAT WE HAVE

MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 29 FOR IDENTIFICATION, WHICH IS A XEROX,

AS WELL AS WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE ORIGINAL OF THAT, WHICH

IS IN A PLASTIC SLEEVE, AND ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT

DOCUMENT?

A I DON'T RECALL THIS AS BEING THE DOCUMENT THAT I SIGNED.

THERE IS A NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ATTACHED

TO IT AND AS I SAID EARLIER, I BELIEVE THAT ON THE POWER

(

OF ATTORNEY THAT WE WERE DISCUSSING. THE ONE DURING OCTOBER OF 1984, THAT ACTUALLY THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT HAD BEEN TYPED ON IT. BUT THE SIGNATURE AND THE WRITING ON THE ACKNOW-LEDGEMENT DOES APPEAR TO BE MINE. Q THERE IS AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT IS ACTUALLY TYPED ONTO A SEPARATE PIECE OF PAPER THAT HAS BEEN THEN AFFIXED TO THE ORIGINAL OF PEOPLE'S 29; IS THAT RIGHT? A THIS IS PRINTED AND THE WORDS, THE SPECIFIC ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ARE WRITTEN OR TYPED ON IT AND THEN, YES, IT IS AFFIXED TO THE POWER OF ATTORNEY. Q DO ME TWO FAVORS. FIRST OF ALL, TALK A LITTLE SLOWER. A OKAY. 

28

16TH OR ON ANY DATE?

```
1
          O SECOND OF ALL, HOLD THE PAPER UP, THE PIECE
     OF PAPER WHICH IS THE ORIGINAL OF PEOPLE'S 29 AND POINT
2
3
     TO THAT PART OF THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU REFERRED TO AS THE
4
     ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, JUST SO THE JURY CAN SEE IT.
5
          A THIS PART, IT IS A YELLOW CARD (WITNESS
     INDICATING.)
7
                ON THE BOTTOM PORTION OF THE DOCUMENT?
8
                 YES.
           Α
           O AND DOES THAT DOCUMENT, PEOPLE'S 29, PURPORT
10
     TO BE A POWER OF ATTORNEY SIGNED BY HADAYET ESLAMINIA, GRANTING
11
     HIS SON REZA, POWER OF ATTORNEY OVER HIS AFFAIRS?
12
          A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, IT DOES PURPORT
13
     TO BE THAT, YES.
14
           Q DID MR. ESLAMINIA SIGN THAT DOCUMENT IN YOUR
15
     PRESENCE AS IT SAYS?
16
          A IT JUST -- JUST TO CLARIFY IT, FOR AN
17
     ACKNOWLEDGEMENT HE DOESN'T HAVE TO SIGN IT IN YOUR PRESENCE
18
     BUT HE MUST ACKNOWLEDGE IN YOUR PRESENCE THAT HE DID SIGN
19
     IT.
20
           Q DID HE IN FACT ACKNOWLEDGE IN YOUR PRESENCE
21
     THAT HE DID SIGN IT?
22
          Α
                HE DID NOT.
23
              DOES THAT DOCUMENT IN FACT SAY THAT MR. HADAYET
24
     ESLAMINIA MADE THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO YOU ON APRIL 16 OF
25
     1984?
26
           A YES, IT DOES.
27
           O DID YOU SEE MR. HADAYET ESLAMINIA ON APRIL
```

1	А	NO, I DID NOT.
2	Q	DOES THE SIGNATURE ON THAT DOCUMENT AS A NOTARY
3	APPEAR TO BE	YOUR SIGNATURE?
4	А	IT DOES APPEAR TO BE MY SIGNATURE.
5	Q	AND ON THE DOCUMENT WHERE THERE ARE WRITTEN
6	IN THE WORDS	"LOS ANGELES, 16, APRIL 1984, HADAYET ESLAMINIA
7	AND REZA ESLA	AMINIA," DOES THAT APPEAR TO BE YOUR WRITING?
8	А	THAT DOES APPEAR TO BE MY WRITING.
9	Q	DO YOU RECALL, OTHER THAN RECOGNIZING IT AS
10	YOUR WRITING	, DO YOU RECALL PLACING IT ON THERE?
11	А	NO, I DO NOT.
12	Q	DID YOU EVER GO TO SAN MATEO COUNTY WITH ANYONE
13	IN THE BBC FO	OR THE PURPOSE OF TRYING TO LOCATE ASSETS OF
14	HADAYET ESLAI	MINIA?
15	А	YES, I DID.
16	Q	WHOM DID YOU GO WITH?
17	А	I KNOW REZA WAS AMONG THE GROUP AND SO WAS
18	JOE AND I BE	LIEVE THERE WERE OTHER MEMBERS AMONG THE GROUP
19	THAT DAY ALS	ο.
20	Q	WAS IT ONE DAY THAT THE WHOLE GROUP OF PEOPLE
21	WENT UP?	
22	А	THERE WAS ONE DAY I WENT UP.
23		I DON'T KNOW IF THERE WERE OTHER DAYS THAT
24	OTHER PEOPLE	WENT UP.
25	Q	WHEN YOU WENT UP TO SAN MATEO COUNTY, DID YOU
26	SPECIFICALLY	GO TO THE TOWN OF BELMONT?
27	А	YES, I DO RECALL GOING TO THE TOWN OF BELMONT.
28	Q	DID YOU SPLIT INTO TEAMS OR GROUPS OF PEOPLE?

35A

i	
1	A YES, WE DID.
2	Q WHO DID YOU SPEND MOST OF YOUR TIME WITH THAT
3	DAY?
4	A DURING THE PORTION OF THE DAY THAT WE WERE
5	GOING FROM BANK TO BANK, I SPENT IT MOSTLY WITH JOE.
6	Q JOE HUNT?
7	A YES.
8	Q WHAT DID YOU MEAN, YOU WERE GOING FROM BANK
9	TO BANK?
10	A WE WENT TO DIFFERENT BANKS WITH THE CONSERVATOR
11	I BELIEVE IT WAS THE CONSERVATORSHIP PAPERS AND WE ATTEMPTED
12	TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT HADAYET ESLAMINIA HAD ANY ACCOUNTS
13	AT THOSE BANKS.
14	Q OF THE BANKS THAT YOU WENT TO WITH JOE HUNT,
15	DID YOU LOCATE ANY ACCOUNTS THAT HADAYET ESLAMINIA HAD?
16	A I BELIEVE I DON'T RECALL IF IT WAS OUR
17	SPECIFIC GROUP THAT LOCATED IT, BUT I BELIEVE ONE GROUP
18	DID LOCATE A BANK WITH A NOMINAL AMOUNT OF MONEY IN THE
19	ACCOUNT.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Q DID YOU HAVE A BIRTHDAY PARTY IN JULY OF 1984?
2	A YES I DID.
3	Q WAS THAT PARTY ACTUALLY ON YOUR BIRTHDAY?
4	A I BELIEVE IT WAS THE SATURDAY EITHER PREVIOUS
5	OR FOLLOWING.
6	Q ALL RIGHT. WHEN IS YOUR BIRTHDAY?
7	A JULY 9TH.
8	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. BARENS:
12	Q MR. DICKER, WHEN WAS IT THAT THAT REQUEST TO DO
13	THIS NOTARIZATION FIRST CAME TO YOUR ATTENTION?
14	A I BELIEVE IT WAS OCTOBER OF 1984.
15	Q AND PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT YOU WERE ACTUALLY ASKED
16	TO NOTARIZE THIS DOCUMENT, HAD THERE BEEN ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT
17	THE NEED FOR SUCH AN INSTRUMENT?
18	A I BELIEVE THAT JOE HAD DISCUSSED A WEEK EARLIER
19	THAT HADAYET HAD EXECUTED A POWER OF ATTORNEY IN FAVOR OF
20	REZA AND THE ORIGINAL OF THAT ACTUALLY, THAT THE DOCUMENT
21	HAD BEEN LOST.
22	Q AND HAD YOU EVER TALKED TO REZA ABOUT HIS
23	RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS FATHER?
24	A NO I DID NOT.
25	Q DID YOU KNOW REZA ESLAMINIA?
26	A YES. I DID KNOW REZA ESLAMINIA.
27	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST MEET HIM?
28	A IT WOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETIME IN JULY OF 1984. IT

364-1

AND WE HAD A DISCUSSION, YOU KNOW, SOMETHING DEALING

WITH THAT. I HAD BEEN INFORMED THAT HIS FATHER DISAPPEARED. 3 £ ^ ~ 1 HE HAD BEEN INFORMED THAT MY FATHER DIED. AND WE EXCHANGED 2 CONDOLENCES. 3 Q IT WAS REZA THAT TOLD YOU HIS FATHER DISAPPEARED? 4 MY RECOLLECTION WAS THAT IT WAS NOT REZA WHO TOLD 5 ME HIS FATHER DISAPPEARED BUT SOMEBODY ELSE HAD AND HE HAD 6 KNOWN MY FATHER PASSED AWAY. SO THAT IS WHY WE EXCHANGED --7 Q DO YOU RECALL WHO IT WAS THAT TOLD YOU THAT HE 8 DISAPPEARED? 9 A NO I DO NOT. 10 AND OTHER THAN TELLING YOU THAT HE DISAPPEARED, 11 DID YOU HEAR ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT HIS FATHER AT THAT PARTICULAR 12 TIME, LIKE WHERE HE MIGHT BE OR WHAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED TO 13 14 HIM? A I THINK SOMEBODY INFORMED ME THAT HIS FATHER HAD 15 BEEN INVOLVED POLITICALLY IN IRAN AND THAT SOMETHING MIGHT 16 HAVE HAPPENED TO HIM DEALING WITH THAT. 17 WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN DURING AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER 18 19 THAT YOU WERE TOLD THAT? A THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE MOST LIKELY PERIOD OF 20 21 TIME, YES. Q BETWEEN AUGUST AND OCTOBER OF 1984, DID ANYBODY 22 TELL YOU DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY OR SUGGEST TO YOU IN ANY MANNER, 23 24 THAT ANYONE INVOLVED WITH THE BBC HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH 25 THE DISAPPEARANCE OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA? 26 NO. Α 27 Q NO ONE DID AT ALL, DID THEY?

NO ONE DID AT ALL.

364-4 Q YOU SAW DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME ON A REGULAR BASIS, PITTMAN, DID YOU NOT? A YES. Q AND BEN DOSTI? A BEN AT SOME POINT IN TIME, LEFT FOR EUROPE. SO THEN OBVIOUSLY, I STOPPED SEEING HIM THEN. BUT UP UNTIL HE DID, I SAW HIM REGULARLY. BFO 

JOE HUNT? Q 1 2 Α YES. REZA ESLAMINIA? 3 I DON'T RECALL HOW REGULARLY I SAW REZA BECAUSE 4 HE WAS NOT IN THE OFFICE ON A DAILY BASIS. 5 BUT I DID SEE HIM CERTAINLY MORE THAN HALF A DOZEN 6 TIMES. 7 DEAN KARNY? Q 8 A YES. O YOU SAW HIM A LOT? 10 YES. 11 Q OKAY. DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, WHAT IF ANYTHING, 12 DID ANY OF THOSE FIVE PEOPLE TELL YOU ABOUT REZA ESLAMINIA 13 OR HADAYET ESLAMINIA? 14 MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, CALLING FOR HEARSAY. 15 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 16 THE WITNESS: JUST GENERALLY. I MEAN, DEALING WITH 17 THE FACT THAT WE WERE GOING TO ATTEMPT TO HAVE REZA OR HAD 18 HAD REZA APPOINTED AS THE CONSERVATORSHIP OF HIS FATHER'S 19 ESTATE. AND THERE REALLY WAS NOT A GREAT DEAL MORE THAN JUST 20 SIMPLY EFFECTUATING THAT PROCESS. 21 A BY MR. BARENS: SO THUS, IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING 22 THAT THERE WAS A DISAPPEARANCE OF A PARTY AND WE ARE GOING 23 TO HAVE A CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE ESTATE OF THAT PERSON, WHICH 24 IS GOING TO BE REPRESENTED BY REZA ESLAMINIA? WAS THAT YOUR 25 26 BODY OF KNOWLEDGE, SIR? A WITH THE EXCEPTION OF DEALING WITH THE NOTARY 27

STUFF WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED IN OCTOBER OF 1984, YES.

G BUT THAT WAS YOUR BODY OF KNOWLEDGE, SIR, PRIOR 1 TO OCTOBER OF 1984? 2 A YES. 3 WHO DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE ATTORNEY FOR THE 4 CONSERVATOR TO BE? 5 A AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME, THERE WERE I GUESS, 6 THREE ATTORNEYS IN THE OFFICE. I THINK THEY ALL WORKED ON 7 IT. 8 Q DID YOU EVER MAKE INQUIRY AS TO WHETHER OR NOT 9 MR. ESLAMINIA'S WIFE OR OTHER CHILDREN WERE REPRESENTED BY 10 COUNSEL? 11 A I DID NOT MAKE ANY INQUIRIES WHETHER OR NOT THEY 12 WERE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL. 13 O HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THAT THERE HAD BEEN ANY 14 CONFERENCES AS BETWEEN YOUR STAFF COUNSEL AND THE BALANCE 15 OF THE ESLAMINIA FAMILY? 16 A I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF THAT. 17 O AND YOU HAD SOME LEGAL TRAINING AT THAT POINT 18 19 IN TIME, DID YOU NOT, SIR? A IN OCTOBER OF 1984 I GUESS I WOULD HAVE COMPLETED 20 21 I BELIEVE, MY FIRST YEAR OF LAW SCHOOL. I THINK I COMPLETED 22 ONE FULL YEAR OF LAW SCHOOL. 23 O THAT PROBABLY QUALIFIES AS LEGAL TRAINING. IN 24 ANY EVENT, DID YOU HAVE -- LET'S SEE. DURING THE FIRST AND 25 SECOND YEAR, DID YOU TAKE ANY CLASSES ON WILLS AND TRUSTS? 26 A I BELIEVE I TOOK WILLS AND TRUSTS IN THE SECOND 27 SEMESTER OF MY SECOND YEAR. SO I BELIEVE IF IT ENDED IN 1984, 28 I HAD COMPLETED MY FIRST YEAR. SO I HAD NOT HAD WILLS AND

TRUSTS. Q DID YOU HAVE A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING MAYBE FROM YOUR CONTRACTS COURSE WHICH INEVITABLY OCCURS IN THE FIRST YEAR AS I RECALL IT, ANYTHING ABOUT CONSERVATORSHIPS OR WHAT A CONSERVATOR IS ABOUT AT ALL OR WHAT THAT MEANS? A THAT WAS IN THE FIRST YEAR, CONTRACTS. 

37F

```
LET ME ASK YOU THIS: DID YOU UNDERSTAND AND
1
     APPRECIATE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF SOMEONE BEING APPOINTED A
2
     CONSERVATOR TO HAVE, WHAT IT MEANT IN A PRACTICAL SENSE,
3
     IF NOT A LEGAL SENSE?
4
5
             I THINK I DID UNDERSTAND, YES.
                 DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THAT WAS A RESULT THAT
6
     IS ORDAINED OR SANCTIONED BY A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE ISSUING
7
8
     AN ORDER?
                 YES, I DO.
9
           Α
                 HAD YOU EVER SEEN THAT ORDER?
           Q
10
11
           Α
                 I BELIEVE I HAD.
12
           Q
                 WHO SHOWED YOU THE ORDER?
13
           Α
             I DON'T RECALL.
14
           Q
                  DO YOU KNOW HOW SUCH AN ORDER IS OBTAINED FROM
     A COURT?
15
16
           A I BELIEVE I DO, YES.
17
                 AND HOW IS THAT OBTAINED FROM A COURT?
           Q
18
                 I BELIEVE YOU FILE A PETITION WITH THE COURT
19
     AND ALONG WITH THE PETITION. THERE ARE CERTAIN DECLARATIONS
20
     AND AFFIDAVITS. IF THE COURT CONSIDERS IT TO BE IN THE
21
     BEST INTEREST OF THE MISSING PERSON, THEN THE COURT WILL
22
     APPOINT THAT PERSON.
23
                AND HAD THAT OCCURRED IN FACT PRIOR TO OCTOBER
           Q
24
     OF 1984?
25
           Α
                 IT IS MY RECOLLECTION IT HAD, YES.
26
                 DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU
27
     WERE ASKED TO NOTARIZE COULD MISLEAD A COURT?
28
           A EXCUSE ME?
```

WORD "UNTOWARD".

1 THE COURT: WHAT? 2 BY MR. BARENS: DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE 3 DOCUMENT YOU WERE ASKED TO NOTARIZE COULD BE MISLEADING 4 TO A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE? 5 A I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION. 6 WELL, DID YOU REALIZE OR CONCEIVE THAT THE 7 POWER OF ATTORNEY THAT YOU WERE NOTARIZING PROBABLY WOULD 8 BE SUBMITTED TO A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE FOR REVIEW? 9 UNDER WHAT BASIS AND WHAT PURPOSE? 10 I AM ASKING YOU IF YOU HAD ANY INTIMATION 11 SIR, THAT SOMETHING LIKE THAT WITH A CONSERVATORSHIP PENDING 12 IN A SUPERIOR COURT, THAT THAT KIND OF DOCUMENT MIGHT FIND ITS WAY BEFORE THE JUDGE HANDLING THE CONSERVATORSHIP? 13 14 A IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CONSERVATORSHIP 15 HAD ALREADY BEEN ORDAINED UPON REZA, AS YOU SAID, BY THAT 16 POINT IN TIME. 17 O SO IT NEVER OCCURRED TO YOU THAT THIS INSTRUMENT 18 COULD BE SHOWN TO A COURT? 19 Α NO --20 WELL, I WOULDN'T SAY IT NEVER OCCURRED THAT 21 THE INSTRUMENT COULD BE SHOWN TO A COURT BUT BY THAT PERIOD 22 OF TIME, IT WOULD BE KIND OF MOOT TO SHOW IT TO A COURT. 23 SO BY OCTOBER WHEN YOU WERE ASKED TO SIGN THIS, 24 YOUR STATEMENT IS THAT NOTHING YOU HAD HEARD OR SEEN AT 25 THE BBC WHATSOEVER WOULD GIVE OCCASION FOR YOU TO BELIEVE 26 THAT ANYTHING UNTOWARD HAD HAPPENED TO MR. ESLAMINIA? 27 A WELL, THERE WAS -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE LAST

1	Q LET ME ADD TO THAT THE FOLLOWING PHRASE: AS
2	A RESULT OF ANY ACTIONS BY ANY MEMBER OF THE BBC OR ANYONE
3	ASSOCIATED THEREWITH.
4	A THAT IS CORRECT, BY OCTOBER OF 1984, THAT IS
5	CORRECT.
6	Q THAT WAS YOUR BELIEF SYSTEM?
7	A YES.
8	Q DID THAT APPEAR TO BE THE BELIEF SYSTEM OF
9	EVERYONE ELSE YOU KNEW AT THE BBC?
10	MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. IT IS COMPOUND AND VAGUE
11	AND CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION. HOW DOES HE KNOW WHAT EVERYBODY
12	ELSE THINKS OR WHAT THEY BELIEVED?
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	•
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

37A

```
MR. BARENS: I ASKED, YOUR HONOR, IF IT APPEARED TO
1
2
     HIM SOLELY.
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION ON THE GROUNDS IT IS COMPOUND.
3
4
           THE COURT: REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION, PLEASE.
5
             BY MR. BARENS: HAD YOU EVER HEARD ANYONE SAY
     OR COMMIT ANY ACT AT THE BBC THAT WOULD SUGGEST TO YOU THAT
6
7
     THEY HAD DONE ANYTHING UNTOWARD TOWARD MR. ESLAMINIA PRIOR
8
     TO OCTOBER OF 1984?
9
             COULD YOU DEFINE "UNTOWARD" FOR ME?
10
                ALL RIGHT. THAT THEY HAD KIDNAPPED HIM, KILLED
11
     HIM, EXTORTED FROM HIM OR ROBBED HIM.
12
             NO.
          Α
13
                  I AM NOT SURE IF YOUR QUESTION WAS PHRASED
14
     IN THE AFFIRMATIVE OR THE NEGATIVE.
15
                 I THINK WE COMMUNICATED ON THAT, MR. DICKER.
16
             OKAY.
17
                 IN ANY EVENT, WHEN YOU ARE ASKED TO SIGN THE
18
     UNLIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY, YOU ARE TOLD, YOU ARE ASKED
19
     TO DO THAT BECAUSE THE ORIGINAL OF IT HAS BEEN LOST OR
20
     MISPLACED?
21
           A NOT -- THAT WASN'T WHY I WAS ASKED TO DO IT,
22
     BUT I HAD BEEN TOLD THAT THE ORIGINAL HAD BEEN LOST OR
23
     MISPLACED.
24
           Q WHY DID YOU BELIEVE YOU WERE BEING ASKED TO
25
     DO THAT?
26
              BECAUSE JOE HAD SAID THAT CERTAIN OF THE FUNDS
27
     IN OTHER BUSINESSES THAT MIGHT BE LOCATED OVERSEAS, IT WOULD
28
     TAKE A GREATER -- IT WOULD TAKE LONGER AND MORE TIME TO
```

```
1
     TRY AND EFFECTUATE REZA'S RIGHT TO CONTROL THESE FUNDS WITH
2
     THE CONSERVATORSHIP DOCUMENTS THAN WOULD A POWER OF ATTORNEY.
                HAD ANYONE EVER TOLD YOU WHY MR. ESLAMINIA
3
     WOULD HAVE EXECUTED THE POWER OF ATTORNEY IN FAVOR OF
4
5
     REZA IN THE FIRST INSTANCE DURING APRIL OF 1984?
6
           A NO.
7
                 DID YOU ASK?
           Q
8
                 NO, I DID NOT.
9
                 IF, IN FACT, THE DOCUMENT HAD BEEN EXECUTED
10
     IN APRIL OF 1984, WOULD A CONSERVATORSHIP, IN YOUR MIND,
11
     HAVE BEEN NECESSARY TO BEGIN WITH?
12
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR A LEGAL CONCLUSION
13
     ON THE PART OF THE WITNESS.
14
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
             BY MR. BARENS: HAS REZA ESLAMINIA OR ANYONE
15
16
     ELSE IN THE BBC TOLD YOU THAT REZA ESLAMINIA HAD EVER MADE
17
     USE TO ANY EXTENT OF THE POWER OF ATTORNEY BETWEEN APRIL
18
     AND OCTOBER OF 184?
19
           Α
             NO, THEY DID NOT.
20
             DID YOU ASK?
           Q
21
           Α
                 NO, I DID NOT.
22
                  WHEN YOU EXECUTED THE POWER OF ATTORNEY, DID
           Q
23
     YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSION WITH REZA?
24
           Α
                 I DID NOT EXECUTE THE POWER OF ATTORNEY.
25
                 I ACKNOWLEDGED IT.
26
          Q
                 I UNDERSTAND THAT.
27
                  WHEN I SAY EXECUTE, I MEAN YOU SIGNED IT. I
28
     AM USING THE WORD PERHAPS INAPPROPRIATELY.
```

BUT WHEN YOU SIGNED IT, WAS IT YOUR BELIEF THAT IT WAS SOLELY FOR A SPECIFIC TRANSACTION OR FOR A SERIES OF TRANSACTIONS? A I HAD NO SPECIFIC BELIEF AT THAT TIME, YOU KNOW, IN REGARD TO THAT. MY BELIEF -- I DON'T THINK I REALLY CONSIDERED IT BUT I PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BELIEVED THAT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN USED FOR A SERIES OF TRANSACTIONS. 

```
Q WHY DID YOU BELIEVE, AT LEAST BASED ON YOUR
1
     JUDGMENT AT THAT TIME, WHY WOULD YOU BELIEVE SOMEONE WOULD
2
3
     NEED A POWER OF ATTORNEY EXECUTED IN APRIL, IF YOU HAD
     A CONSERVATORSHIP GRANTED AFTER AUGUST, WHAT DID YOU THINK
5
     ABOUT THAT?
6
          A WELL, PROBABLY -- I PROBABLY KNOW BETTER NOW
7
     BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND FULL FAITH.
8
           Q I COULD JUST ASK -- I DON'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT --
9
     BUT JUST WHAT WAS YOUR MIND-SET IN OCTOBER OF '84?
10
          A MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION ON THE GROUNDS OF
11
     RELEVANCE.
12
          THE COURT: I WILL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION. YOU CAN
13
     ANSWER THAT.
14
          MR. BARENS: I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU.
15
          THE WITNESS: PROBABLY THAT IT WOULD BE SINCE THE
16
     CONSERVATORSHIP WAS -- REZA WAS APPOINTED BY THE COURT AS
17
     CONSERVATOR, A BANK DEALING WITH REZA MIGHT DEAL EASIER
18
     WITH HIM IF THERE WAS A DOCUMENT WHICH WAS SIGNED BY HIS
19
     FATHER GIVING HIM THE POWER THAT THE COURT HAD NOW GIVEN
20
     HIM ALSO.
21
                 IT WOULD JUST PUT PEOPLE DEALING WITH HIM OVER-
22
     SEAS AT EASE.
23
            BY MR. BARENS: WHO GAVE YOU THE DATE OF APRIL
24
     OF '84?
25
                 THE DATE OF APRIL OF '84 IS ON THE POWER OF
26
     ATORNEY AND THAT IS --
27
          Q ISN'T THAT IN YOUR HANDWRITING, MR. DECKER?
28
```

OH, YES, IT IS IN MY HANDWRITING ON THE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Q YES. AND --A BUT THAT WAS SIMPLY THE SIGNATURE DATE AND I ADOPTED IT FROM THERE, I GUESS. I DON'T RECALL WHO TOLD ME SPECIFICALLY TO WRITE THAT DATE DOWN. Q SO YOU JUST ADOPTED IT? I WAS TOLD TO ADOPT IT. Α Q WHEN YOU DID THAT, DID YOU EVER SPEAK TO REZA ESLAMINIA AND TELL HIM YOU WERE SIGNING THIS ON HIS BEHALF? I DON'T BELIEVE I WAS SIGNING IT ON HIS BEHALF. I DON'T UNDERSTAND. 

38A-1 ON WHOSE BEHALF WERE YOU SIGNING IT, SIR? Q 1 A WELL, I WAS NOT SIGNING IT ON ANYONE'S BEHALF. 2 I WAS ACKNOWLEDGING IT. 3 Q SO OSTENSIBLY, THE BENEFITED PARTY BY YOUR 4 5 NOTARIZATION, WAS TO BE REZA ESLAMINIA? A YES. 6 O AND DID YOU EVER SPEAK TO HIM AND SAY, "REZA, 7 I SIGNED THIS NOTARIZED DOCUMENT ON YOUR BEHALF"? 8 NOT TO MY RECOLLECTION. 9 Α DID YOU EVER DISCUSS THIS DOCUMENT WITH REZA? 10 0 NOT TO MY RECOLLECTION. 11 Α DID YOU EVER DISCUSS THIS DOCUMENT WITH ANY OTHER 12 PERSON THAN JOE HUNT PRIOR TO OCTOBER, 1984? 13 A I ONLY DISCUSSED THE DOCUMENT WITH JOE HUNT 14 DEALING WITH THE LOST COPY OF THE ONE THAT HAD BEEN EXECUTED 15 16 BY HADAYET. AND I DIDN'T DISCUSS IT WITH ANYONE ELSE. 17 O HAVE YOU EVER BEFORE THIS OCCASION, NOTARIZED 18 A POWER OF ATTORNEY THAT YOU KNEW NOT TO BE TRUE? 19 A TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, I HAD NOT NOTARIZED 20 ANY PREVIOUS POWER OF ATTORNEYS I KNEW TO BE NOT TRUE BEFORE 21 THIS DATE. 22 Q AND WHEN YOU NOTARIZED THIS ONE, DID YOU BELIEVE 23 IT TO BE TRUE? 24 A I BELIEVED THAT HE HAD GRANTED THE POWER OF 25 ATTORNEY TO REZA. I DID NOT BELIEVE THAT THIS ACTUAL SIGNATURE 26 ON THIS DOCUMENT WAS HADAYET'S. I KNEW IT TO NOT BE. 27 Q MORE IMPORTANTLY FOR MY QUESTION THOUGH, MR. DICKER,

IS THAT YOU BELIEVE AND HAD REASON TO BELIEVE I PRESUME, THAT

O

IN FACT, HADAYET ESLAMINIA IN APRIL OF 1984, HAD IN FACT AND IN TRUTH, GRANTED A POWER OF ATTORNEY IN FAVOR OF HIS SON, REZA?

MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION, COMPOUND. ALSO THE RELEVANCE OF WHAT HE BELIEVED OR HAD REASON TO BELIEVE.

MR. BARENS: I THINK THAT IS WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?

THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE I DO.

THE COURT: YOU MAY ANSWER IT. OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: I HAD BEEN TOLD BY MR. HUNT THAT

MR. ESLAMINIA HAD EXECUTED A POWER OF ATTORNEY IN FAVOR OF

HIS SON AND BASED UPON THAT, I BELIEVED IT.

Q BY MR. BARENS: WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REZA AND JOE HUNT TO BE?

A SIMPLY THAT JOE WAS GOING TO ASSIST REZA IN BECOMING -- JOE THROUGH THE BBC WAS GOING TO ASSIST REZA IN BECOMING CONSERVATORSHIP OF HIS FATHER'S ESTATE, THEREBY, ONCE REZA BECAME IN CONTROL OF HADAYET'S FUNDS, CERTAIN OF THOSE FUNDS I GUESS WOULD BE INVESTED IN MICROGENESIS AND CERTAIN OF THE FUNDS WOULD BE INVESTED IN THE COMMODITIES AND THEN HE WOULD SPLIT THE PROFITS EVENLY BETWEEN THE BBC AND THE ESLAMINIAS.

Q BETWEEN MAY OF 1984 AND AUGUST OF 1984, WHAT DID
YOU UNDERSTAND REZA'S RELATIONSHIP WITH THE BBC TO BE, SIR?

A UP UNTIL THIS, I DIDN'T -- THAT WOULD HAVE REALLY FROM MY RECOLLECTION, WOULD BE ENCOMPASSING THE MONTH OF JULY.

BECAUSE I DON'T RECALL REZA BECOMING INVOLVED

MUCH EARLIER THAN THAT. AND I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF HIS

RELATIONSHIP EXCEPT MAYBE IT WAS SIMPLY SOMEBODY WHO MIGHT 38-3 1 WANT TO BECOME A MEMBER OF THE BBC. 2 Q I SEE. AS FAR AS THE APRIL 16TH DATE ON THE POWER 3 OF ATTORNEY, DID YOU KNOW WHERE THAT DATE CAME FROM? 4 А NO IDEA. 5 Q DID YOU EVER ASK? 6 Α NO I DID NOT. 7 AND IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT AT NO TIME -- STRIKE Q 8 THAT. 9 YES, AT NO TIME DID YOU EVER MENTION OR REFERENCE 10 THIS DOCUMENT WITH REZA ESLAMINIA? 11 А THAT IS MY BELIEF. 12 O DID YOU EVER DISCUSS THIS DOCUMENT WITH THE HOUSE 13 COUNSEL THAT WORKED FOR YOU FOLKS AT THE BBC? 14 15 Α I DON'T RECALL. YOU DIDN'T FEEL IT APPROPRIATE OR DID YOU FEEL 16 IT APPROPRIATE TO DISCUSS THIS DOCUMENT WITH THE LAWYERS WHO 17 WERE WORKING ON THE CONSERVATORSHIP? 18 19 A I DIDN'T FEEL IT NECESSARY. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

THE CHILDREN AND POSSIBLY THE SPOUSE. I DON'T RECALL. BUT

Q I DON'T QUITE FOLLOW, SIR. WERE THOSE POWERS

I DO REMEMBER SOME POWERS OF ATTORNEYS.

26

27

```
OF ATTORNEY BY CONTRAST ISSUED AS AMONG THE BALANCE OF THE
1
    LINEAL FAMILY OR ARE THESE AS BETWEEN MR. HADAYET ESLAMINIA
2
    AND MEMBERS OF HIS FAMILY?
3
               IT WAS AMONG OTHER MEMBERS OF HIS FAMILY.
          Α
4
          O ONE TO THE OTHER?
5
               YES. I BELIEVE SO, THOUGH I DON'T RECALL.
6
          А
                HOW DID YOU HAPPEN TO SEE THAT SORT OF INSTRUMENT?
          Q
7
                I DON'T RECALL.
                WHERE DID YOU SEE THAT?
9
               I BELIEVE AT THE OFFICE. I SIMPLY RECALL SEEING
10
    THEM. I DON'T RECALL THE CONTEXT OF IT.
11
          Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT THEN WHEN YOU
12
    ISSUED THIS POWER OR NOTARIZED THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY THEN,
13
    IT WAS YOUR STATE OF MIND AND BELIEF THAT AS A RESULT OF YOU
14
    DOING THIS. SOMETHING OF A FINANCIAL BENEFICIAL INTEREST
15
    COULD OCCUR TO THE BBC?
16
         A YES.
17
               DID ANYONE EVER SAY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF THE LOST
18
19
    POWER OF ATTORNEY WAS DATED APRIL 16, 1984?
         A SOMEBODY MIGHT HAVE. I DON'T RECALL THEM SAYING
20
21
    IT, THOUGH.
22
          Q WHEN YOU WENT TO THE SWISS -- WHERE DID WE DECIDE
23
    YOU WENT? TO THE CONSULATE?
24
               WHEN YOU WENT TO THE SWISS CONSULATE, WHAT DID
25
    YOU DO THERE TO AUTHENTICATE YOUR SIGNATURE, SIGN SOMETHING
26
    UNDER OATH AND MAKE A STATEMENT OR SOMETHING?
27
          A I THINK YOU COUNTERSIGN IT AND THEN SHOW
```

IDENTIFICATION.

THAT WAS ALL? 0 1 YES, TO MY RECOLLECTION. 2 HAD HADAYET ESLAMINIA EVER TOLD YOU -- STRIKE 3 THAT. 4 HAD REZA ESLAMINIA EVER TOLD YOU ANYTHING ABOUT 5 HIS BUSINESS DEALINGS OR THE LACK THEREOF WITH HIS FATHER? 6 NOT TO MY RECOLLECTION. 7 OTHER THAN THE TIME YOU TOLD US THAT YOU EXCHANGED 8 CONDOLENCES WITH REZA, DID YOU EVER HAVE ANY CONVERSATION 9 WITH HIM ABOUT ANYTHING IN THE WORLD? 10 A I HAD MANY CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM. BUT THE 11 SUBSTANCE OF THEM, I DON'T RECALL. 12 O DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT YOU GENTLEMEN 13 TALKED ABOUT? 14 A WE GENERALLY TALKED MORE IN SOCIAL SETTINGS THAN 15 IN BUSINESS SETTINGS. SO, THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN YOU KNOW, 16 17 SOCIAL CONVERSATIONS WHICH I GUESS ARE QUICKLY FORGOTTEN. DID YOU EVER MEET ANY MEMBERS OF HIS FAMILY? 18 A I BELIEVE I MET HIS MOTHER. THAT IS MY 19 20 RECOLLECTION. Q WHEN WAS THAT? 21 A DURING SOMETIME IN THE SECOND HALF OF 1984. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

38R-3

39F

```
39 - 1
           1
                            AFTER OCTOBER. SIR?
                      0
           2
                            IT IS MY BELIEF THAT IT WAS BEFORE, BUT I DO
           3
                NOT RECALL.
           4
                            COULD IT HAVE BEEN AFTER AS WELL, SIR?
           5
                            IT COULD HAVE BEEN.
           6
                            DID YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING ABOUT HIS MOTHER
           7
                WHO WOULD BE, I PRESUME, HIS MOTHER WAS IN FACT THE FORMER
           8
                WIFE OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA?
           9
                            THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING.
          10
                            DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING THAT SHE ENDORSED
          11
                AND APPROVED REZA ESLAMINIA ACTING AS CONSERVATOR IN THIS
          12
                SETTING?
          13
                           IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING SHE MIGHT HAVE SUBMITTED
          14
                A DECLARATION, ALONG WITH THE CONSERVATORSHIP, ENDORSING
          15
                HIM.
          16
                            THAT THE MOTHER IN FACT HAD ENDORSED REZA AS
          17
                THE CONSERVATOR, IN FACT?
          18
                      Α
                            THAT IS MY RECOLLECTION.
          19
                      O WHEN YOU WENT TO SAN FRANCISCO, WHEN WAS THAT?
          20
                            WE DIDN'T GO TO SAN FRANCISCO.
                      Α
          21
                             ALL RIGHT. YOU WENT TO BELMONT, WHICH IS ADJACEMENT
          22
                TO SAN FRANCISCO, I BELIEVE.
          23
                            WELL, IT IS CLOSE.
          24
                            WELL, ALL RIGHT. DIDN'T YOU GO TO SAN FRANCISCO
          25
                AND THEN DRIVE TO BELMONT?
          26
                      A NO, WE DIDN'T.
          27
                            HOW DID YOU GET THERE?
                      Q
          28
                            I THINK WE FLEW TO AN AIRPORT, WHICH I DON'T
```

```
1
     RECALL WHERE. BUT I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS SAN FRANCISCO.
2
              AND YOU WENT TO BELMONT WHEN?
3
          Α
                I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT DAY.
                WAS IT BEFORE OR AFTER OCTOBER?
          Q
5
          A I BELIEVE IT WAS BEFORE OCTOBER.
6
                AND WHEN YOU WENT UP THERE, YOU WERE NOT ARMED
7
     WITH THE POWER OF ATTORNEY?
              I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
9
                 I BELIEVE WE WERE JUST ARMED WITH CONSERVATOR-
10
     SHIP DOCUMENTS.
          Q AND WHEN YOU WENT UP THERE THAT WOULD BE SOMETIME
11
12
     BETWEEN, WAS IT, SIR, AUGUST AND OCTOBER?
13
          A THAT WOULD SEEM APPROPRIATE, YES.
14
                AND WAS REZA ESLAMINIA ACTING AS A CONSERVATOR
15
     ON THAT OCCASION?
16
          A I BELIEVE HE WAS, YES.
17
           Q WHEN YOU WENT INTO THE BANKS, WHAT DDID YOU
18
     SAY TO PEOPLE?
19
          A WE INFORMED THEM THAT WE WERE EITHER -- I GUESS
20
     I WAS WITH JOE -- AND THAT WE WERE ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE
21
     CONSERVATORSHIP AND WE WERE SIMPLY TRYING TO LOCATE WHETHER
22
     THERE WERE ANY ASSETS HELD IN THE BANK IN THE NAME OF HADAYET
23
     ESLAMINIA.
24
           Q WHEN YOU MADE THOSE STATEMENTS, YOU BELIEVED
25
     THEM TO BE TRUE?
26
                YES.
           Α
27
           Q AND MR. HUNT MADE SIMILAR STATEMENTS?
28
           Α
                 YES.
```

1	Q DID YOU BELIEVE AT THAT TIME THAT MR. HUNT
2	BELIEVED THOSE STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE?
3	A DID I BELIEVE THAT HE BELIEVED THE STATEMENTS
4	TO BE TRUE?
5	MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION ON
6	THE PART OF THE WITNESS.
7	THE COURT: HOW WOULD HE KNOW THAT?
8	MR. WAPNER: ALSO IRRELEVANT, YOUR HONOR.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I HAD -- OR MY ATTORNEY HAD A DISCUSSION WITH

28

Α

1 OSCAR BREILING IN, I GUESS IT WAS NOVEMBER, MOST LIKELY 2 DECEMBER OF 1984 WHERE IMMUNITY WAS DISCUSSED. 3 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT. A MOMENT IF YOU WOULD, YOUR 4 HONOR. 5 (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS, 6 MR. CHIER AND THE DEFENDANT.) 7 BY MR. BARENS: SIR. DID YOU HAVE ANY MEETINGS 8 WITH MR. KARNY AFTER DECEMBER OF '84? 9 A I DO RECALL AT SOME POINT IN TIME, WE STOPPED 10 SEEING EACH OTHER AND IT IS MY RECOLLECTION THAT WE DID SEE EACH OTHER AFTER NOVEMBER OF 1984. 11 12 Q YOU DID? 13 A YES. 14 DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU STOPPED SEEING EACH 15 OTHER SUBSEQUENT THERETO? 16 A NO, I DON'T. 17 DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY MONTHS OR WEEKS OR YEARS, 18 OR WHATEVER WE MIGHT DESCRIBE IT BY, THAT RELATIONSHIP 19 CONTINUED? 20 A APPROXIMATELY SIX MONTHS, BUT THE LAST TIME 21 I MIGHT HAVE SEEN HIM MIGHT HAVE IN FACT BEEN IN NOVEMBER 22 OF 1984 BUT I BELIEVE I SAW HIM AFTER, BUT I AM NOT SURE 23 ABOUT THE DATES. 24 Q OR A PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS SUBSEQUENT TO NOVEMBER 25 1984 PERHAPS? 26 A PERHAPS. 27 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR. 28

THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?

28

MR. WAPNER: I JUST HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS. 1 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. WAPNER: 5 Q YOU STATED "WE WERE GOING TO GET REZA APPOINTED 6 AS CONSERVATOR OF THE ESTATE," WHO WAS "WE"? 7 I GUESS THE ATTORNEYS OF THE BBC. 8 Q AT THE TIME THAT YOU NOTARIZED THE DOCUMENT 9 OSTENSIBLY GIVING REZA THE POWER OF ATTORNEY, YOU HAD 10 ALREADY BEEN TO BELMONT LOOKING FOR THE ASSETS OF MR. 11 ESLAMINIA; IS THAT RIGHT? 12 A IT IS MY RECOLLECTION, YES. 13 AND WHEN YOU WENT TO BELMONT LOOKING FOR THE 14 ASSETS, THE CONSERVATORSHIP HAD ALREADY BEEN ESTABLISHED 15 IN FAVOR OF REZA FOR HIS FATHER'S ESTATE, CORRECT? 16 YES, IT HAD. 17 WHERE DID YOU GET YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW 18 THE BBC WAS GOING TO BENEFIT FINANCIALLY FROM THIS TRANS-19 ACTION IN TERMS OF MONEY GOING INTO THE COMMODITIES AND 20 MICROGENESIS? 21 A I BLEIEVE I WAS TOLD BY JOE HUNT. 22 23 24 25 26 27

MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY STEP DOWN. THIS WITNESS MAY BE EXCUSED? MR. WAPNER: NO OBJECTION. MR. BARENS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY PEOPLE'S 29 BE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE? IT IS THE POWER OF ATTORNEY. THE COURT: YES. YOU HAVE NOTHING FURTHER? MR. WAPNER: NO. THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL TAKE OUR ADJOURNMENT AT THIS TIME UNTIL TOMORROW MORNING AT 10:30. THE SAME ADMONITION GIVEN YOU PREVIOUSLY APPLIES. GOOD NIGHT. (AT 4:29 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN UNTIL WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.) 

40 1