88DA0269

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

VS.

JOE HUNT, AKA JOSEPH HUNT,

AKA JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

OCT 0 9 1387

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
HONORABLE LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT:

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3580 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

ROOM 800

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT:

IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 95 OF 101 (PAGES 14350 TO 14510 , INCLUSIVE)



ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT WEST C HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

NO. A-090435

JOSEPH HUNT,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1987

VOLUME 95

PAGES 14350 TO 14510, INCL.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: IRA REINER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BY: FREDERICK N. WAPNER, DEPUTY

1725 MAIN STREET

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANT: ARTHUR H. BARENS, ESQ.

> 10209 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

> > AND

RICHARD C. CHIER, ESQ. 10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

ROSEMARIE GOODBODY, CSR NO. 932 SALLY YERGER, CSR NO. 2008 OFFICIAL REPORTERS



i	N TI O TI	æπ C N Φ Ι ξ
LEGEND: B - MR. BARENS	ALPHABETICAL BREILING, OSCAR CLASON, RICHARD EISENBERG, JEROME J. ZOELLER, LESLIE H.	WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1987 CHRONOLOGICAL CHRONOLOGICAL CHASON, RICHARD EISENBERG, JEROME J. BREILING, OSCAR
M Z S	14453 14412 14417 14417	VOLUME 95 A.M. P.M. P.M. DIRECT 14365 14412 14417 14453
	WITNESSES 14475-B 14414-B 14431-B 14403-B	PAG WITNESSE WITNESSE CROSS 14403-B 14414-B 14431-B
	14444	ES 14350 - 14510 14350 14411 S REDIRECT RECROSS 14411

28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

EXHIBITS FOR VOLUME 95

•	
2	
_	
_	

4	
5	
6	

PEOPL	E'S EXHIBITS:	FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
<u></u>			
P-30	BLUE NOTEBOOK	14366	14510
P-31	DIARY (BY REFERENCE)	14368	-
P-32	FILE FOLDER LABELED "REZA: ASSETS RE CONSERVATORSHIP"	14370	14510
P-32-	A PIECE OF PAPER STARTING WIT NUMBER 1, "REZA'S"	H 14373	14510
P-33	FOLDER MARKED "SWARTOUT"	14375	14382
P-34	DEPOSIT SLIP TO ACCOUNT OF MINA HAKIMI	14388	14510
P-35	COPY OF BANK STATEMENT WITH T NAME HADAYET ESLAMINIA	HE 14390	14510
P-36	COPY OF DRIVER'S LICENSE	14411	14510
P-37	CONSERVATORSHIP DOCUMENTS	14428	14510
P-38	COPIES OF CHECKS	14465	14510
P-39	COPY OF LIST ON YELLOW PAPER	14468	14510

(ALL DOCUMENTS PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BUT NOT RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE ARE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AT PAGE 14510 EXCEPT FOR P-31 WHICH WAS NOT RECEIVED.)

TO TAKE THE STAND?

1

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1987; 10:37 A.M. 1 HON. LAURENCE J. RITTENBAND, JUDGE 2 DEPARTMENT C 3 (APPEARANCES AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.) (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 5 IN CHAMBERS, THE DEFENDANT BEING 6 7 PRESENT.) 8 MR. WAPNER: I JUST NEED TO TELL YOU THAT I HAVE THREE MORE WITNESSES, TWO OF THEM ARE HERE AND THEY ARE POLICE 9 OFFICERS AND ONE, I GOT A CALL THIS MORNING FROM THE SECRETARY, WHO SAYS THAT HE CALLED HER AND HIS CAR IS BROKEN 11 DOWN ON THE FREEWAY SO I DON'T KNOW WHEN HE IS GOING TO 12 13 GET HERE. 14 BUT I WILL START WITH THE TWO THAT I HAVE. 15 I GAVE THE SECRETARY THE TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR 16 THE COURTROOM. 17 THEN I AM GOING TO BE FINISHED TODAY AND I 18 THINK THAT IT WILL PROBABLY TAKE THE MORNING MAYBE AND 19 DEPENDING UPON WHAT TIME HE GETS HERE, EITHER THE EARLY 20 AFTERNOON. 21 I CALLED COUNSEL -- I TOLD MR. CHIER YESTERDAY 22 BEFORE HE LEFT THE COURTHOUSE AND THEN I CALLED MR. BARENS 23 LAST NIGHT TO TELL HIM THAT I MIGHT BE FINISHING AT SOME 24 POINT TODAY. 25 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD NOT HAVE A WITNESS 26 AVAILABLE TODAY. 27 THE COURT: ARE YOU CALLING -- IS THE DEFENDANT GOING

MR. BARENS: NO, SIR, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, SIR. 1 THE COURT: ARE YOU TELLING ME HE IS NOT GOING TO 2 3 TAKE THE STAND? MR. BARENS: IT IS STILL BEING DISCUSSED WITH THE 5 DEFENDANT. THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT, DISCUSS IT WITH HIM AND 6 LET HIM KNOW BEFORE WE GET FINISHED THIS MORNING. 7 8 MR. BARENS: MAY I HAVE THE NOON HOUR TO SPEAK TO 9 HIM? 10 THE COURT: SURELY. MR. BARENS: THE ONLY THING I AM POINTING OUT TO THE 11 COURT IS THAT BASED UPON THE STATEMENT WE HAD, THAT WE HAD 12 13 SCHEDULED OUR WITNESSES FOR TUESDAY AND WE HAVE NOW TRIED TO MOVE THEM BACK TO TOMORROW, BECAUSE OF BEING NOTIFIED 14 YESTERDAY THAT MR. WAPNER COULD FINISH THIS WEEK. 15 I JUST DIDN'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET ANYBODY 16 FOR TODAY, JUDGE. IT JUST WAS NOT A PRAGMATIC POSSIBILITY. 17 THE SUBPOENAES WE HAD ISSUED FOR TUESDAY, WE HAVE NOW 18 19 TELEPHONED THE PEOPLE DILIGENTLY TO HAVE AS MANY OF THEM 20 HERE TOMORROW AS WE CAN. HALF OF OUR WITNESSES ARE OUT OF THE CITY TYPE 21 22 PEOPLE THAT HAD TO MAKE PLANE ARRANGEMENTS, ET CETERA, AND 23 I AM BEING AS CANDID AS I CAN BE WITH THE COURT. THE WITNESSES, 24 THE LOCAL PEOPLE SUCH AS WE HAVE AVAILABLE, WE HAVE NOW 25 RESCHEDULED TO HAVE THEM HERE TOMORROW. 26 THERE IS NO WAY THE DEFENSE COULD HAVE ANYBODY 27 HERE TODAY. I DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THIS UNTIL LAST NIGHT. 28 I SPOKE TO MR. WAPNER, WHO COURTEOUSLY CALLED ME AT 6:30 LAST NIGHT.

THE COURT: WELL, IF THE DEFENDANT IS GOING TO TAKE
THE STAND, WE WILL FILL IN ALL OF THAT TIME WITH HIM. YOU
LET ME KNOW BY NOON.

MR. BARENS: WELL, I COULDN'T NOTIFY YOU BY NOON.
I COULD NOTIFY YOU BY 1:30, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS ALL I HAVE.

MR. BARENS: NOT TO MENTION JUST FOR THE RECORD, IF

I WERE GOING TO CALL THE DEFENDANT, YOUR HONOR, MY ORIENTATION

HAS ALWAYS BEEN THAT I WOULD CALL HIM AS THE LAST WITNESS,

NOT AS THE FIRST WITNESS, SIR.

MR. WAPNER: IN ANY EVENT, IF MY WITNESS DOESN'T SHOW

UP, I ASSUME THAT HE CAN BE HERE THIS AFTERNOON. IT WON'T

TAKE THAT LONG, ONCE HE GETS HERE. THE WITNESS IS JERRY

EISENBERG, WHO HAD THE CAR PROBLEM. SO I MIGHT NEED UNTIL --

THE COURT: HE WAS THE LAWYER, WASN'T HE?

MR. WAPNER: RIGHT.

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS SOME OTHER MATTERS

I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE UP WHILE WE ARE HERE. IT IS IN THE NATURE

OF A MOTION IN LIMINE RESPECTING SOME DOCUMENTS MR. WAPNER

SHOWED ME AT THE CLOSE OF LAST NIGHT'S SESSION. THOSE ARE

DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE FOR THE MOST PART, CONFISCATED FROM

THE DEFENDANT AT THE TIME OF HIS ARREST AND ARE DOCUMENTS,

SOME OF WHICH ARE HOLOGRAPHIC AND SOME OF WHICH ARE TYPEWRITTEN,

WHICH RELATE TO THE ESLAMINIA CONSERVATORSHIP AND TO THE

SWARTOUT -- NOT ANYTHING -- NOTHING CRIMINAL ABOUT SWARTOUT.

THEY RELATE TO DEALINGS WITH MR. SWARTOUT. THESE DOCUMENTS WERE CONFISCATED FROM MR. HUNT IN OCTOBER OF 1984

AND AS I GLEAN FROM TALKING TO MR. WAPNER, HE INTENDS TO OFFER 1 THEM IN EVIDENCE AS SOME SORT OF CORROBORATION I SUPPOSE OF 2 MR. HUNT'S ALLEGED INTENT IN JUNE AND AUGUST OF 1984. 3 I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A HEARING OF SOME KIND BOTH 4 AS TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THESE DOCUMENTS AS EVIDENCE AND 5 EVEN MORE FUNDAMENTAL THAN THAT, THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THEM 6 AS THE RESULT OF THE MANNER OF THEIR SEIZURE. 7 IT IS NOT CLEAR EXACTLY HOW THE POLICE --8 THE COURT: YOU MEAN THE ARREST WAS UNLAWFUL? IS THAT 9 WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO SAY? THEREFORE, THE SEIZURE OF THE 10 PAPERS WAS IMPROPER? 11 MR. CHIER: THE SEARCH MAY BE UNLAWFUL. 12 THE COURT: PARDON ME? 13 MR. CHIER: THE SEARCH MAY HAVE BEEN IMPROPER. I DON'T 14 KNOW UNTIL WE HAVE SOME SORT OF A HEARING. 15 THE COURT: WE ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE A HEARING. 16 MR. WAPNER: WELL, THE SEARCH WAS PURSUANT TO A SEARCH 17 WARRANT. THERE WAS A SEARCH WARRANT ISSUED THAT WAS THE BASIS 18 FOR THE SEARCH OF THE OFFICE ON THIRD STREET, THE CONDOMINIUM 19 ON WILSHIRE AND IT WAS USED ALSO TO SEARCH THE BRIEFCASE. 20 21 THE BRIEFCASE WAS SEIZED FROM MR. HUNT AT THE TIME OF HIS ARREST, KEPT IN A LOCKED, SEALED CONDITION FROM 22 23 SEPTEMBER 28 WHEN IT WAS TAKEN, UNTIL OCTOBER 3RD WHEN IT 24 WAS SEARCHED PURSUANT TO A WARRANT AT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE 25 STATION. 26 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PROBLEM? 27 MR. CHIER: WELL, THE PROBLEM IS PRIMARILY ONE OF

ADMISSIBILITY, YOUR HONOR, UNDER 352.

```
THE COURT: WELL, IT WAS PROPERLY SEIZED. THEY CAN
1
    USE IT IF IT PERTAINS TO RELEVANT EVIDENCE.
2
          MR. CHIER: I AM NOT SURE THE SEARCH WARRANT DESCRIBED
3
    THE BRIEFCASE AS IT WAS.
4
          THE COURT: DON'T YOU HAVE THE SEARCH WARRANT?
5
          MR. CHIER: I DON'T HAVE IT HERE.
6
          THE COURT: NOT HERE? BUT DID YOU EVER SEE IT?
7
          MR. CHIER: I AM NOT SURE.
8
          THE COURT: YOU ARE NOT SURE THAT YOU SAW IT?
9
          MR. CHIER: NO. I SAW --
10
          THE COURT: DID YOU SEE THE SEARCH WARRANT?
11
          MR. BARENS: NO. I NEVER HAVE SEEN THE SEARCH WARRANT
12
    AT ANY TIME INCLUDING AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. I DID HAVE
13
    A DISCUSSION ABOUT IT.
14
                 BUT I HAVE NOT SEEN IT. I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH --
15
          THE COURT: WELL AT ANY RATE --
16
          MR. WAPNER: THIS IS ANOTHER --
17
          THE COURT: THIS IS ANOTHER ONE, I KNOW.
18
          MR. WAPNER: THIS IS ANOTHER ONE OF THOSE DILEMMAS
19
    BECAUSE I KNOW THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS PART OF THE ORIGINAL
20
    DISCOVERY MATERIALS THAT WERE TURNED OVER TO COUNSEL AT THE
21
    TIME THAT THE CASE WAS FILED. AND THEY WERE IN THE CASE AND
22
23
    IT WAS BEFORE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. SO THIS IDEA THAT
24
     WE -- I KNOW THAT WE GAVE IT TO THEM.
25
                 SO NOW THE IDEA THAT THEY HAVE NOT READ IT I THINK,
     PRESENTS THE SAME DILEMMA I SUGGESTED YESTERDAY. AND IN
26
     ADDITION, I THINK WE HAVE ALREADY HAD LITIGATION MUCH EARLIER
27
```

ON IN THIS CASE ABOUT THE VALIDITY OF THESE SEARCHES.

AND IN ANY EVENT, SINCE IT IS THE SAME WARRANT AUTHORIZING THE SEARCH OF THE OFFICES AND THE APARTMENT AND THERE WAS NO OBJECTION BEFORE -- I MEAN, I AM NOT SURE THAT THAT NECESSARILY MEANS IT IS WAIVED. BUT SINCE --

3F

THE COURT: I THINK IT IS A LITTLE LATE TO TALK ABOUT
A SEARCH WARRANT THAT WAS ISSUED TWO YEARS AGO THAT IS BEING
BROUGHT UP AT THIS PARTICULAR MOMENT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM NOT EVEN SEEKING TO FOCUS ON THAT RIGHT NOW.

ON, THAT I DO HAVE AN ISSUE ABOUT AND PERHAPS THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COULD DISCUSS WITH THE COURT NOW.

YESTERDAY, AT THE END OF THE DAY, I WAS SHOWN
FOR THE FIRST TIME EVER A HANDWRITING ATTRIBUTED TO REZA
ESLAMINIA REFERENCING, ALLEGEDLY, THE ACTIVITIES CONCERNING
HIS FATHER. I DON'T KNOW WHEN THIS WAS WRITTEN. I JUST
SAW IT.

AND MR. WAPNER AND MR. BREILING SAID TO ME, "WELL, THIS IS SOMETHING WE GOT AND THIS IS IN REZA'S HANDWRITING," ET CETERA, ET CETERA.

EVEN ASSUMING, ARGUENDO, THAT THAT IS TRUE,

THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS IN REZA'S HANDWRITING, I DON'T

KNOW HOW THAT HEARSAY DOCUMENT CAN BE ADMITTED AS AGAINST

JOE HUNT IN A PENALTY PHASE, AS I SUPPOSE, SOME CORROBORATION

ABOUT SOMETHING IN REZA'S MIND VIS A VIS HIS FATHER. KNOWING

REZA IS A CO-DEFENDANT IN THE SAN FRANCISCO CASE, HIS

STATEMENT, I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT TO THE EXTENT THAT YOUR

HONOR WANTS TO SUBSCRIBE TO A BELIEF THAT IT IS A STATEMENT

MADE BY REZA IN HIS HANDWRITING, CAN BE INTRODUCED AS AGAINST

MR. HUNT IN THIS FORUM.

MR. WAPNER: MR. BARENS IS TALKING ABOUT A BLUE SPIRAL NOTEBOOK FOUND AT THE WILSHIRE MANNING DURING THE SEARCH

THAT DETECTIVE ZOELLER CONDUCTED. HE WILL TESTIFY THAT

IT WAS FOUND IN THE BEDROOM THAT, IT IS HIS UNDERSTANDING

AT ONE TIME WAS OCCUPIED BY JEFF RAYMOND, AND THERE HAS

BEEN TESTIMONY IN THE GUILT PHASE AND I THINK IN THE PENALTY

PHASE, THAT THAT BEDROOM WAS LATER OCCUPIED BY REZA

IN ANY EVENT, THIS BOOK CONTAINS WRITING IN

IT IN ENGLISH AND ALSO IN FARSI. AND I TOLD MR. BARENS

YESTERDAY THAT IT WAS MY BELIEF THAT SINCE THERE WAS WRITING

IN FARSI, AS WELL AS ENGLISH, THAT THAT BOOK, BASED ON THAT

AND ITS LOCATION, BELONGED TO REZA ESLAMINIA. WE HAVE NOT

ANALYZED THE HANDWRITING.

THE PEOPLE DO NOT CONTEND THAT MR. HUNT EITHER READS OR WRITES IN FARSI OR THAT ANYONE ELSE CONNECTED WITH THE BBC DOES. WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT THIS IS A STATEMENT OF MR. HUNT.

THE BOOK WILL HAVE ENTRIES IN IT THAT HAVE

CERTAIN DATES ON THEM. THE PARTICULAR ENTRY IN QUESTION

IS NOT DATED. BUT BASED ON ITS LOCATION IN THE BOOK, IT

IS BETWEEN ENTRIES THAT ARE IN JUNE AND ENTRIES THAT ARE

LATER IN JULY, SO THAT BY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE REASONING,

THIS ENTRY WOULD HAVE BEEN MADE SOMETIME AT THE BEGINNING

OF JULY.

THE ONE PARTICULAR ENTRY THAT I AM INTERESTED

IN INTRODUCING SAYS "PROJECT SAM" AND THEN IT SAYS -- THEN

IT HAS A BRIEF ONE-SENTENCE STATEMENT, AND I DON'T REMEMBER

EXACTLY WHAT IT IS BUT IT IS SOMETHING ABOUT -- I THINK

IT SAYS "HADAYET AND I SHOULDN'T BE KNOWN TO HIM" OR SOMETHING

ESLAMINIA.

OF THAT NATURE.

BUT THE IMPORTANCE, AS FAR AS THE PROSECUTION

IS CONCERNED, IS THAT IT SAYS "PROJECT SAM," WHICH CONNECTS

UP WITH MR. KARNY'S TESTIMONY THAT THIS WAS THE NAME OF

THE PROJECT.

AND WITH A DOCUMENT THAT WE WILL SEEK TO INTRODUCE
THAT WAS TAKEN FROM THE BELLAGIO ROAD HOUSE DURING A SEARCH
IN JANUARY OF THIS YEAR, BELIEVED TO BE IN MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING, THAT ALSO --

THE COURT: BELLAGIO ROAD, IS THAT WHERE HE LIVED BEFORE?

MR. WAPNER: NO. THAT IS THE ROBERTS' HOUSE.

THE COURT: OH, YES, THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. WAPNER: WHERE MR. HUNT WAS LIVING DURING THIS

TRIAL.

AND THAT NOTE SAYS, I THINK "SAM EXPENSES" AND HAS THREE DIFFERENT ENTRIES ON IT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I CAN'T BELIEVE THAT YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO ALLOW THEM TO ADMIT INTO EVIDENCE A STATEMENT WHERE THEY CANNOT CONFIRM FOUNDATIONALLY WHO THE WRITER OF THE DOCUMENT IS, THAT THEY ARE GOING TO GET THIS IN ON SOME CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE BASIS. WE HAVE NO AUTHENTICATION AS TO WHO WROTE THIS DOCUMENT.

IT ALSO OCCURS TO ME THAT IF THE PERSON THAT
LIVED THERE WAS FARSI, MOST LIKELY HE HAD FAMILY AND FRIENDS
THAT WERE FARSI AND THAT PROBABLY SPOKE AND WROTE IN FARSI
AND I THINK IT WOULD BE THE THINNEST OF REEDS.

WE CAN'T CROSS-EXAMINE THE WRITER OF THIS

3-4

INSTRUMENT AND THEY CAN'T SHOW WHO WROTE IT BUT, YET, SINCE
IT IS FOUND THERE, WE ARE GOING TO INTRODUCE THAT AND THEN
SAY CIRCUMSTANTIALLY, BECAUSE THIS GUY LIVED THERE, HE MUST
HAVE WRITTEN IT. I DON'T THINK THERE IS ADEQUATE PRECEDENT
FOR THAT TYPE OF PROCEEDINGS IN A DEATH PENALTY PHASE WHERE
WE HAVE GOT THESE SERIOUS KINDS OF CONSIDERATIONS HERE.

MR. WAPNER: MY RESPONSE TO THE IDENTIFICATION OF WHO 1 IS THAT SINCE IT IS FOUND IN A ROOM KNOWN TO BE OCCUPIED 2 BY THIS PERSON, THAT IT HAS FARSI WRITING IN IT, THAT I 3 THINK IT CAN BE REASONABLY INFERRED THAT AND FURTHER THAT --THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT YOU TO INTRODUCE IT. 5 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR. THE OTHER ISSUE APPLICABLE 6 TO THAT, JUST SO THAT I CAN EXPRESS MYSELF, YOUR HONOR, 7 NOT ONLY DON'T WE KNOW WHO WROTE THE INSTRUMENT, WE DON'T 8 KNOW WHETHER IT IS FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY OR NOT. 9 WE DON'T KNOW HOW TO TRANSLATE THE REST OF 10 THAT FARSI STUFF THAT COULD GO TOWARD EITHER EXPLAINING 11 12 IT OR MITIGATING IT. THEY HAVE HAD POSSESSION OF THIS AND HAVEN'T 13 TRANSLATED IT THEMSELVES. 14 I JUST SAW IT FOR THE FIRST TIME, NOR DID I 15 HAVE A COPY OF IT. I SAW IT FOR THE FIRST TIME LAST NIGHT. 16 17 YOUR HONOR, AGAIN, I THINK THAT I HAVE NEVER SEEN A SETTING BEFORE, YOUR HONOR, WHERE THE PEOPLE COULD 18 19 INTRODUCE A WRITING AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANT IN A HOMICIDE SETTING WHERE THE PEOPLE CAN'T AUTHENTICATE THE WRITER OF 20 21 THE INSTRUMENT. 22 THE FACT THAT IT IS FOUND IN A PLACE WHERE 23 PEOPLE LIVE, YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF THINGS WRITTEN IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE IN MY OFFICE THAT WERE NOT 24 25 WRITTEN BY MYSELF THAT CERTAINLY ARE ANALOGOUS TO THIS 26 SITUATION. SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY ARE THERE AND THEY ARE IN 27 THE LANGUAGE I SPEAK, THEY CAN'T BE, TO USE MR. WAPNER'S

EXPRESSION, INFERRED THAT I WROTE THEM.

THE COURT: THEY WERE FOUND IN THE POSSESSION OF THE DEFENDANT, WERE THEY?

MR. BARENS: NO, SIR.

THE COURT: THEY WERE FOUND WHERE HE WAS LIVING? WASN'T THERE A SEARCH WARRANT? IS THAT WHERE YOU GOT IT?

MR. WAPNER: IT WAS FOUND IN THE WILSHIRE MANNING, APARTMENT NUMBER 1505 WHERE THE DEFENDANT WAS LIVING AT ANOTHER TIME. AT THE IMMEDIATE TIME OF THE SEARCH, HE WAS IN CUSTODY, HAVING BEEN ARRESTED ON SEPTEMBER THE 28TH. HE WAS LATER RELEASED AND LIVED THERE AGAIN UNTIL OCTOBER THE 22ND, WHEN HE WAS ARRESTED THE SECOND TIME.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE TESTIMONY THAT A LOT OF OTHER PEOPLE LIVED ON THE SAME PREMISES, THAT REZA LIVED THERE AND KARNY LIVED THERE AND BROOKE ROBERTS LIVED THERE AND THE RAYMOND BOY LIVED THERE AND THEY HAD STREAMS OF PEOPLE THERE. WE HAVE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT THE PEOPLE CONSTANTLY WERE COMING IN AND OUT OF THEIR HOUSE. THERE IS NO CONTENTION BY THE PEOPLE THAT --

THE COURT: I THINK THAT CIRCUMSTANTIALLY -- ALL OF
THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS OUTLINED,
I THINK THAT WOULD BE ADMISSIBLE TO --

MR. BARENS: WE HAVE NO RIGHT OF CONFRONTATION AND -THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU CAN CONFRONT IT. YOU
HAVE ACCESS TO REZA. ASK HIM ABOUT IT.

MR. BARENS: HE IS IN CUSTODY SOMEWHERE IN NORTHERN

CALIFORNIA, YOUR HONOR. I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW ABOUT THIS UNTIL

LAST NIGHT. THERE IS NO -- THIS IS NOT FAIR, YOUR HONOR.

THIS IS JUST ONE OF THOSE NOT FAIR SITUATIONS

THAT WE CAN'T JUST PUT DOWN OUR THROATS AT A TIME LIKE THAT,

YOUR HONOR.

MR. WAPNER: AS FAR AS THE BOOK IS CONCERNED, ALTHOUGH
THE CONTENTS AREN'T REFERRED TO, THE BOOK IS LISTED IN AN
EVIDENCE REPORT THAT WAS WRITTEN ON OCTOBER THE 3RD THAT AGAIN,
WAS PART OF THE ORIGINAL DISCOVERY TURNED OVER PRIOR TO THE
PRELIMINARY HEARING.

ALL OF THE ITEMS HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE FOR
INSPECTION FOR TWO AND HALF YEARS AT THE BEVERLY HILLS
POLICE DEPARTMENT.

MR. CHIER: THERE IS NO NOTICE GIVEN OF THEIR INTENT

TO USE THIS, YOUR HONOR. 1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO ON. YOU CAN --2 MR. CHIER: WE HAVE NOT FINISHED THE IN LIMINE MOTION 3 WITH RESPECT TO THE FILES TAKEN FROM THE BRIEFCASE. YOU DENIED 4 THE MOTION TO SUPPRESS. 5 THE COURT: I AM DENYING YOUR MOTION IN LIMINE. 6 MR. BARENS: WELL, WE HAVE NOT MADE IT YET. 7 THE COURT: THE MOTION WAS TO SUPPRESS EVERYTHING TAKEN 8 OUT OF THE BRIEFCASE, IS THAT RIGHT? 9 MR. BARENS: WELL, NOW THAT IT IS GOING TO BE ADMITTED, 10 I BELIEVE THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO RESTRICT SOME OF THE CONTENTS. 11 THE COURT: WHAT IS IT THAT YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE FROM 12 THE CONTENTS OF THAT? 13 MR. WAPNER: THERE ARE -- I WAS NOT PREPARED THAT YOU 14 WERE GOING TO DO THIS NOW. BUT THERE IS A FILE THAT SAYS 15 "SWARTOUT" ON IT THAT CONTAINS SEVERAL OF WHAT APPEAR TO BE 16 DRAFTS OF A LETTER THAT MR. HUNT WAS GOING TO SEND TO -- WELL, 17 IT WAS APPARENTLY IN MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING TO MR. SWARTOUT, 18 TALKING ABOUT HIS FEELINGS ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 19 HIS COMPANY AND MR. SWARTOUT'S COMPANY. AND MR. SWARTOUT 20 HAD CONNED THEM. AND I CAN SHOW THE COURT THE LETTER. 21 22 BUT I DON'T HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME. MR. CHIER: THERE IS NOT EVEN A DATE ON THAT LETTER, 23 AS I RECALL. SO THE PROBATIVE VALUE OF IT IS DE MINIMIS. 24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: YOU MEAN THAT IT IS NOT ADMISSIBLE BECAUSE THERE IS NO DATE ON IT? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO TELL ME? IF IT IS IN HIS HANDWRITING AND IT RELATES TO SWARTOUT, IT IS --

MR. CHIER: IF YOU WOULD JUST LET ME --THE COURT: COME ON, LET'S GO IN.

THE DEFENDANT: COULD I MAKE A FEW COMMENTS --

THE COURT: NO. LET'S GO IN. COME ON, I HAVE HAD ENOUGH OF THIS NONSENSE.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 1 IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND 2 HEARING OF THE JURY:) 3 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. 4 OUR USUAL APOLOGIES. 5 CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 6 MR. WAPNER: YES. WE CALL DETECTIVE ZOELLER. 7 8 LESLIE H. ZOELLER, 9 RECALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY 10 SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS: 11 THE CLERK: STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 12 THE WITNESS: LESLIE H. ZOELLER, Z-O-E-L-L-E-R. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. WAPNER: 16 DETECTIVE ZOELLER, AT THE TIME THAT YOU DID A 17 SEARCH OF THE WILSHIRE MANNING CONDOMINIUM NUMBER 1505, DID 18 YOU GO INTO ALL OF THE BEDROOMS THERE? 19 20 A YES. WHO WAS THERE DURING THE SEARCH, CIVILIANS AS 21 OPPOSED TO POLICE OFFICERS? 22 EVAN DICKER AND BROOKE ROBERTS. 23 WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY WHOSE BEDROOMS -- WHICH 24 Q BEDROOMS BELONGED TO WHICH PEOPLE? 25 26 YES. 7 27 HOW MANY BEDROOMS WERE THERE? Q 28 THREE BEDROOMS.

```
AND DID YOU GO INTO A BEDROOM -- DID YOU GO INTO
          Q
1
    ALL OF THE BEDROOMS?
2
               YES.
          Α
3
               AND WHO DID THE VARIOUS BEDROOMS BELONG TO, AS
4
    FAR AS YOU KNOW?
5
          A THE CONDO WAS SITUATED ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER
6
    OF THE BUILDING. AND ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE CONDO ITSELF,
7
    WERE THE BEDROOMS.
8
                AND TOWARD THE NORTH WAS THE MASTER BEDROOM WHICH
9
    WAS DETERMINED TO HAVE BROOKE AND JOE'S BELONGINGS INSIDE.
10
                THE MIDDLE BEDROOM GOING SOUTH WAS VIRTUALLY EMPTY
11
    AT THAT TIME. I BELIEVE THAT DEAN KARNY HAD HAD THAT ROOM.
12
                THEN TO THE SOUTH, WAS A ROOM WHICH WAS OCCUPIED
13
    BY REZA ESLAMINIA AND BEFORE HIM, IT WAS JEFFREY RAYMOND.
14
    AND IN THAT ROOM, DID YOU FIND A NOTEBOOK OF SOME SORT?
15
                IN THE THIRD BEDROOM, THE SOUTH BEDROOM, YES.
16
          Q AND DID YOU SEIZE IT?
17
          Α
                YES.
18
          MR. WAPNER: I WANT TO SHOW YOU WHAT APPEARS TO BE A
19
    BLUE NOTEBOOK AND ALSO A DOCUMENT THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE
20
21
    MARKED AS 30.
          THE COURT: YES.
22
                 BY MR. WAPNER: DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE NOTEBOOK?
23
           Q
24
            YES I DO.
           Α
25
                WHAT IS IT?
           Q
                THIS IS THE NOTEBOOK THAT WAS FOUND IN THE THIRD
26
           À
     BEDROOM. IT WAS LOCATED ON A NIGHTSTAND NEXT TO THE BED.
27
                 WHAT DID YOU DO WITH IT AFTER YOU TOOK IT FROM
28
           Q
```

1	THE APARTMENT?
2	A BOOKED IT INTO EVIDENCE AT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE
3	DEPARTMENT AND SUBSEQUENTLY RELEASED IT TO BUD BENNETT OF THE
4	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
5	Q AND DID YOU RELEASE THE ORIGINAL TO MR. BENNETT?
6	A YES, THE NOTEBOOK ITSELF.
7	Q WAS MR. BENNETT THE INVESTIGATOR FOR THE ATTORNEY
8	GENERAL'S OFFICE THAT WAS HANDLING THE MATTER AT THE TIME,
9	IN THE ABSENCE OF MR. BREILING?
10	A THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q PURSUANT TO THE SAME SEARCH WARRANT THAT YOU HAD
12	FOR THE HOUSE, DID YOU ALSO GO THROUGH THE BRIEFCASE OF
13	MR. HUNT?
14	A YES.
15	Q WHERE HAD YOU GOTTEN THAT BRIEFCASE FROM?
16	A THE BRIEFCASE WAS IN THE POSSESSION OF MR. HUNT
17	ON THE ARREST OF SEPTEMBER 28, 1984.
18	Q THAT IS THE MAROON BRIEFCASE IN THE JEEP THAT
19	YOU TOLD US ABOUT DURING THE GUILT PHASE OF THE TRIAL?
20	A THAT'S CORRECT.
21	Q AND WHEN YOU TOOK IT FROM MR. HUNT AT THE TIME
22	THAT HE WAS ARRESTED, WHERE DID YOU TAKE THE BRIEFCASE?
23	A I BOOKED THE BRIEFCASE INTO EVIDENCE AND KEPT
24	IT IN THE EVIDENCE ROOM AT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT.
25	Q AND DID YOU SEARCH IT AT SOME TIME?
26	A I OBTAINED A SEARCH WARRANT ON THE 1ST AND SEARCHED
27	THE BRIEFCASE ON THE 3RD OF OCTOBER, 1984.
28	Q AND IN SEARCHING THE BRIEFCASE ON THE 3RD OF

OCTOBER OF 1984, DID YOU FIND A MAROON LEATHER OR LEATHERETTE PLANNING DIARY IN THERE? A YES. MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY THIS DOCUMENT BE MARKED AS 31 BY REFERENCE AND MAY I HAVE THE STIPULATION THAT A COPY OF AN ITEM FOUND IN HERE MAY BE SUBSTITUTED IN EVIDENCE IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL? MR. BARENS: JUST A MOMENT. (PAUSE.) Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID THE ITEM THAT YOU TOOK FROM THE BRIEFCASE THAT WAS A PLANNING DIARY, HAVE MR. HUNT'S NAME IN IT? A YES.

```
1
                  DID IT ALSO HAVE A PLACE FOR ADDRESSES AND
2
     TELEPHONE NUMBERS AND THINGS LIKE THAT?
3
                 YES, IT DID.
                  DID YOU TAKE SEVERAL DIFFERENT ITEMS FROM THAT
     BRIEFCASE?
5
                  I BOOKED IN ALL OF THE ITEMS FROM THE BRIEFCASE.
6
                 AND IN FACT, KEPT THE BRIEFCASE AND STILL HAVE
7
    THE BRIEFCASE IN EVIDENCE AT THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE
8
9
     DEPARTMENT?
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
10
           Α
             DID YOU FIND A FILE FOLDER IN THERE THAT SAID --
11
12
     THAT HAD TO DO WITH MR. ESLAMINIA?
13
           Α
                 YES.
14
                  WAS THERE ALSO A FILE FOLDER IN THERE WITH
15
     REGARD TO MR. SWARTOUT?
16
           Α
                 YES.
17
                  AND ALSO IN THAT BRIEFCASE, DID YOU FIND AN
18
     ENVELOPE WITH CERTAIN PAPERS IN IT?
19
                YES.
           Α
20
                  WHO DID THOSE PAPERS PERTAIN TO?
           Q
21
                 TO MR. ESLAMINIA.
                 AND WHEN WE SAY "MR. ESLAMINIA," WHICH ESLAMINIA
22
23
     ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?
24
                  THERE WAS A FOLDER IN THERE THAT SAID, "REZA'S
25
     ASSETS" AND THEN THERE IS, I BELIEVE, A FOLDER THAT STATED
26
     "HADAYET ESLAMINIA".
27
           MR. WAPNER: I HAVE A MANILA ENVELOPE HERE THAT HAS
28
     A MANILA FILE FOLDER IN IT, YOUR HONOR, AND THE FILE FOLDER
```

5 - 1

```
1
    SAYS "REZA: ASSETS RE CONSERVATORSHIP" AND THEN IT HAS SOME
2
    PAPERS IN IT, I WOULD LIKE TO MARK A COPY OF THAT AS 31
3
    FOR IDENTIFICATION.
4
          THE COURT: 32.
5
          THE CLERK: WE HAVE 31.
6
          THE COURT: 32.
7
          MR. WAPNER: 32, THANK YOU.
8
          THE COURT: THE DIARY WAS 31.
9
                  BY MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
          Q
10
                  DETECTIVE ZOELLER, SHOWING YOU A FOLDER THAT
11
    HAS GOT A BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT PROPERTY TAG ON
12
    IT, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
13
          Α
                 YES, I DO.
14
                  WHAT IS IT?
          0
                  THIS IS THE -- A FILE THAT WAS, WITH CONTENTS
15
16
    THAT WERE FOUND IN THE BRIEFCASE ON THE SEARCH WARRANT BEING
17
    SERVED.
18
          Q AND WHAT DOES IT SAY ON THE FILE TAB, WHAT
19
    DOES IT SAY?
20
                 IT WAYS "REZA: ASSETS RE CONSERVATORSHIP."
21
                  WHAT HAS IT GOT ON THE INSIDE OF THAT FILE?
22
                  IT HAS EIGHT AND A HALF BY FOURTEEN YELLOW
23
    LEGAL PAPER, FOUR SHEETS OF WHICH THE TOP ONE STATES "NUMBER
24
    I, REZA'S BARCLAYS" AND IT HAS VARIOUS BANK NAMES.
25
                  DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION OF
          0
26
    THIS CASE OVER THE LAST TWO AND A HALF, GOING ON THREE YEARS
27
    NOW, HAVE YOU BECOME FAMILIAR WITH MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING?
28
                  VERY MUCH SO, YES.
```

THE FIRST SHEET, WOULD YOU JUST TAKE THAT OUT AGAIN?

THAT FIRST SHEET THAT REFERS TO --

MR. BARENS: I PRESUME YOUR HONOR IS GOING TO PERMIT MR. ZOELLER TO EXPRESS AN EXPERT OPINION AS AN EXPERT IN HANDWRITING NOW, SIR?

THE COURT: HE DOESN'T HAVE TO BE AN EXPERT.

MR. BARENS: THE RULING IS --

THE COURT: THE RULING IS THAT HE MAY TESTIFY WITH RESPECT TO IT, IF IT LOOKS LIKE THE HANDWRITING, HE HAS A RIGHT TO DO SO.

```
MR. BARENS: THANK YOU FOR THE RULING, SIR.
1
                 BY MR. WAPNER: IN ANY EVENT, THIS WAS IN MR.
2
    HUNT'S BRIEFCASE, RIGHT?
3
          A THAT'S CORRECT.
4
               AND DO YOU FEEL THAT IN THE COURSE OF YOUR
5
    INVESTIGATION OF THIS CASE, THAT YOU HAVE BECOME FAIRLY
6
    FAMILIAR WITH MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING?
7
          A YES, VERY MUCH SO.
8
                THERE ARE FOUR PIECES OF YELLOW PAPER THERE;
9
    IS THAT RIGHT?
10
         A THAT'S CORRECT.
11
          O ON HOW MANY OF THOSE DOES IT APPEAR TO YOU,
12
    DOES THERE APPEAR WRITING THAT YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE IN
13
14
    ATTRIBUTING TO MR. HUNT?
          A THEY ALL APPEAR TO HAVE MR. HUNT'S PRINTING
15
16
    ON IT.
                 THE SECOND PAGE, I AM NOT SURE OF, JUST BY
17
    LOOKING AT IT.
18
          MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY A COPY OF THAT BE MARKED
19
20
    52?
21
          THE CLERK: WE HAVE 32.
          THE COURT: WE HAVE 32, THE WHOLE FOLDER WE HAVE IS
22
23
    32.
24
                 NOW WHAT DO YOU WANT, 32-A OR SOMETHING LIKE
25
    THAT?
          MR. WAPNER: 32 IS THE 1TEM THAT HE IS LOOKING AT,
2€
27
    THAT IS IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL.
28
          THE COURT: 32 IS THE FOLDER?
```

```
MR. WAPNER: CORRECT. BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO
1
     IN LIEU OF MARKING THE ORIGINAL, IS MARK THE COPY.
2
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, SUBSTITUTE THAT, WE HAVE 32
3
    THEN.
               BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU PEOPLE'S 32, DOES
5
           Q
6
    THAT APPEAR TO BE A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT THAT YOU
7
    SEIZED?
8
          Α
                YES.
9
          Q THE FIRST PAGE ON THAT COPY, WHAT IS THAT A
    COPY OF?
10
11
                THE FIRST PAGE IS JUST A COPY OF THE WRITING
          Α
12
    OF THE FOLDER ITSELF.
13
                 WAS IT THE MANILA, THE OUTSIDE FOLDER?
14
                 THAT IS CORRECT, THAT IS CORRECT.
15
                AND THEN THE SECOND PAGE OF THAT DOCUMENT,
16
    WHAT IS THAT?
17
                 IT IS A COPY OF ONE OF THE PAGES THAT STARTS
18
    OFF "JOE" DATED 9-24-84.
19
               AND THE THIRD PAGE OF THAT DOCUMENT, WHAT IS
20
    THAT?
21
                THIS IS THE PAGE I STATED EARLIER, "NUMBER
22
    1, REZA'S," AND THEN IT HAS NAMES OF BANK ESTABLISHMENTS.
23
                AND DOES THAT APPEAR TO YOU TO BE IN MR. HUNT'S
          Q
24
    HANDWRITING?
25
          A YES.
26
          MR. WAPNER: MAY THAT PAGE BE MARKED 32-A FOR IDENTIFI-
27
    CATION, YOUR HONOR?
```

THE COURT: JUST THAT ONE PAGE?

5A

MR. WAPNER: I GUESS THAT IS THE NUMBER. THE CLERK: WERE YOU PUTTING NUMBERS ON ONE? THE COURT: JUST THAT ONE PAGE? MR. WAPNER: JUST THAT ONE PAGE, YES. I INTEND TO SEEK TO HAVE THE ENTIRE ITEM RECEIVED AT SOME POINT BUT JUST FOR THE PURPOSES OF IDENTIFYING THAT PAGE SEPARATELY, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT MARKED AS 32-A. THE COURT: 32 IS THE FOLDER CONTAINING ALL OF THE PAGES AND NOW YOU WANT 32ASPECIFICALLY MARKED FOR THAT ONE PAGE? MR. WAPNER: CORRECT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BARENS: COULD WE BE SHOWN ON OUR COPY WHAT 32-A IS? BECAUSE I AM NOT ABLE TO FOLLOW. O BY MR. WAPNER: IT SAYS AT THE TOP "NUMBER 1" AND THEN IT SAYS, "REZA'S"? A THAT'S CORRECT.

6A-	1	Q AND ARE THERE SEVERAL NUMBERED ITEMS ON THAT PAGE?
	2	A YES. IT IS 1 THROUGH 7 ON THE LEFT SIDE. THEN
	3	IT STARTS AGAIN, 1 AND 2 ON THE RIGHT SIDE.
	4	Q AND UNDER REZA ESLAMINIA, WHAT DOES IT SAY?
	5	A IT SAYS, "BARCLAYS, GLENDALE FEDERAL, CENTRAL,
	6	SANTA ROSA EXCHANGE AND WELLS FARGO."
	7	Q AND WHAT IS NUMBER 4 ON THAT LIST?
	8	A NUMBER 4 STATES "SWITZERLAND" AND THEN THERE IS
	9	A DASH AND "MARTIN LEVIN".
	10	Q ALSO FROM THE BRIEFCASE, YOU TOOK A FILE FOLDER
	11	THAT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH MR. SWARTOUT?
ı	12	A YES.
	13	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY A COPY OF THAT, THE CONTENTS
· -	14	OF THAT FOLDER BE 33 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
331D	15	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
	16	Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU A MANILA ENVELOPE
	17	ACTUALLY, MAYBE WE WILL JUST INTRODUCE THE ORIGINAL OF THAT
ı I	18	DOCUMENT.
· !	19	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT, 33 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
l I	20	A YES.
	21	Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS IT?
: !	22	A IT IS A FOLDER WHICH ON THE FOLDER, IT STATES
!	23	"SWARTOUT." AND IT CONTAINS NINE PAGES OF HANDWRITTEN NOTES.
!	24	AND THE FIRST PAGE STATES WHICH IS SCRATCHED OUT
	25	"TRUTH MR. SWARTOUT," AND IT CONTINUES
	26	THE COURT: IT SAYS WHAT?
•	27	THE WITNESS: "TRUTH MR. SWARTOUT," AND IT CONTINUES
	28	ON FROM THERE.

```
O BY MR. WAPNER: DID THE HANDWRITING ON THOSE APPEAR
6A-
           1
               TO YOU TO BE FAMILIAR?
           2
                      А
                           YES.
           3
                        WHOSE DID IT APPEAR TO BE, TO YOU?
                     A IT APPEARS TO BE JOE HUNT'S.
           5
                          DID YOU SUBMIT THAT TO --
                      Q
           6
                     MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. FOR THE SAKE OF
           7
               CLARITY, IN MY KNOWLEDGE OF THE EVIDENCE CODE, WE ARE NOW
           8
               SAYING THAT "APPEARS TO BE" IS SUFFICIENT FOR FOUNDATION FOR
           9
           10
               THIS WITNESS?
                     THE COURT: YES, VERY MUCH SO.
          11
                     MR. BARENS: "IT APPEARS TO BE" IS SUFFICIENT?
          12
                     THE COURT: YES.
          13
                     MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
          14
                     THE COURT: IT IS YOUR OPINION IT APPEARS TO BE IN HIS
          15
          16
               HANDWRITING, IS THAT CORRECT?
           17
                     THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.
                          BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU SUBMIT THAT TO MR. CLASON
           18
                      Q
               OF THE HANDWRITING -- THE HANDWRITING EXPERT FROM THE POLICE
           19
               DEPARTMENT FOR VERIFICATION?
          20
          21
                          YES I DID.
           22
                     MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT?
          23
                           (PAUSE.)
          24
                           BY MR. WAPNER: ALL OF THOSE ITEMS THAT ARE
          25
               CONTAINED IN THE FOLDER THAT IS 33 FOR IDENTIFICATION WERE
          26
               TAKEN BY YOU FROM THE BRIEFCASE THAT WAS IN JOE HUNT'S CAR
          27
               AT THE TIME HE WAS ARRESTED?
```

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AND THE FILE FOLDER ITSELF, DOES THAT HAVE A TAB ON IT THAT HAS SOMETHING WRITTEN ON IT? YES. Α WHAT IS WRITTEN ON THERE? Q "SWARTOUT." Α DID MOST OF THESE PAGES APPEAR TO BE DRAFTS OF Q A LETTER OF SOME SORT? IT APPEARS TO BE, YES. Q AND SOMEWHERE IN THE MIDDLE OF THOSE, IS THERE A PAGE THAT SAYS "SWARTOUT" ON THE TOP? A THERE ARE THREE PAGES THAT START OUT WITH "SWARTOUT." ONE HAS "SWARTOUT" UNDERLINED.

6A-

```
Q AND THE SWARTOUT THAT IS UNDERLINED, WHAT IS ON
1
     THERE?
2
                IT IS A LIST OF FOUR ITEMS. NUMBER 1 IS "MAILING
3
     LIST" AND NUMBER 2 --
           THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU INTRODUCE IT BEFORE IT GETS
5
     BEFORE THE JURY? IT ISN'T IN EVIDENCE YET.
6
           MR. WAPNER: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH?
7
           THE COURT: YES.
8
                 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
9
                AT THE BENCH:)
10
           MR. WAPNER: PERHAPS WHAT I WILL DO, INSTEAD OF ASKING
11
     HIM TO READ IT IS TO JUST DEFER THIS. I WILL LET COUNSEL
12
     CROSS-EXAMINE HIM ON IT.
13
                 THEN IF THEY HAVE ANY MOTIONS TO EXCLUDE IT, BASED
14
15
     ON THE CONTENTS, WE CAN LITIGATE IT AT THAT TIME. IF IT COMES
     IN, THEN I WILL USE IT IN ARGUMENT. IF IT DOESN'T, I WON'T.
16
          MR. BARENS: I AM CONFUSED.
17
           THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND? YOU DON'T WANT TO --
18
     YOU DON'T WANT HIM TO TESTIFY WHAT IS IN IT NOW? DON'T YOU
19
     WANT TO ASK HIM AND GET IT BEFORE THE JURY?
20
          MR. WAPNER: WELL, WHAT I THINK I WILL DO IS, FOR THE
21
22
     PURPOSE OF PROTECTING THE RECORD --
           THE COURT: LET'S NOT WORRY ABOUT THE RECORD. IF YOU
23
24
     WANT TO MOVE TO INTRODUCE THIS INTO THE RECORD, I WILL
25
     RECEIVE IT. THEN IT IS IN EVIDENCE. AND IT IS HIS OPINION
26
     THAT IT IS THE HANDWRITING OF THE DEFENDANT. IT WAS FOUND --
          MR. WAPNER: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT?
27
```

(PAUSE.)

```
MR. WAPNER: OKAY. FOR THE RECORD --
1
          THE COURT: DID YOU TRY TO GET A STIPULATION FROM THEM?
2
    THAT IS, THAT THE HANDWRITING IS OF THE DEFENDANT?
3
          MR. WAPNER: WE ARE JUST ASKING --
4
          THE COURT: THEY DIDN'T WANT A STIPULATION?
5
          MR. WAPNER: THEY ARE THINKING ABOUT IT. SO IN ANY
6
    EVENT, I AM SURE THE JURY CAN CONCLUDE, BASED ON THE HANDWRITING
7
    THAT THEY HAVE SEEN ON THE LIST OF SEVEN PAGES --
8
          THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU WANT TO DO SO, I WOULD RECEIVE
9
10
    IT.
          MR. WAPNER: I WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE IT IN EVIDENCE.
11
          THE COURT: I WILL RECEIVE IT IN EVIDENCE.
12
          MR. BARENS: WE OBJECT. I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT,
13
    BECAUSE I AM STANDING HERE, ANYHOW. BUT I THINK THAT YOU
14
15
    ARE BEING HELPFUL TO MR. WAPNER AND --
          THE COURT: IT IS NOT A QUESTION OF BEING HELPFUL TO
16
    HIM. IT IS WHAT IS ADMISSIBLE AND WHAT IS NOT.
17
          MR. BARENS: I AM CONFUSED ABOUT IT. I HAVE BEEN
18
    CONFUSED SINCE WE CAME UP HERE. WHAT IS IT YOU ARE TALKING
19
20
    ABOUT?
          MR. WAPNER: THIS DOCUMENT.
21
          THE COURT: DID YOU GIVE HIM A COPY OF IT?
22
          MR. WAPNER: YES. I SHOWED IT TO THEM YESTERDAY AFTER
23
    COURT, ALSO. I THINK WE PROVIDED THEM WITH A COPY OF THIS
24
25
    EARLIER --
          MR. BARENS: NO, NO. NOW, THAT IS JUST NOT THE TRUTH.
26
27
     I DON'T HAVE THIS.
```

THE COURT: LET'S NOT HAVE ANY ARGUMENTS ABOUT IT. DO

YOU WANT TO INTRODUCE IT INTO EVIDENCE? I WILL RECEIVE IT. MR. BARENS: NOTABLY, OVER OUR OBJECTION? THE COURT: YES. THAT IS UNDERLINED. MR. BARENS: AND WHAT IS THIS THING OFFERED TO PROVE? THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW YET. MR. BARENS: WELL, WE ASK FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF. THE COURT: WHAT IS IT IN THAT THAT IS MATERIAL AND --MR. WAPNER: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MR. SWARTOUT. SPECIFICALLY, THERE IS IN HERE ON SEVERAL OF THE LETTERS INCLUDING ONE THAT SAYS FOR EXAMPLE "MR. SWARTOUT" IS ON IT AND THEN THERE IS A LETTER AND THE WORDING LOOKS LIKE IT SAYS "INCARNATED" AND "WRATH OF THE GODS MADE FLESH, SENT TO SCOURGE THE WORLD OF MY FOUL SCHEME AND TREACHEROUS, VIOLENT SOUL."

7 F

2€

THE COURT: YOU WANT TO SHOW HIS ATTITUDE, THE FACT OF THE WHOLE INCIDENT INVOLVING SWARTOUT AND HIS ANIMUS AGAINST HIM, IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO SHOW?

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS THE WHOLE IDEA.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT IS ALL RIGHT, I WILL PERMIT YOU TO DO THAT.

MR. BARENS: MAY I RESPOND TO THAT?

THE COURT: SURELY, YOU MAY. I HAVE NEVER NOT WANTED

TO LET YOU BE HEARD. I HAVE NOT DENIED YOU SAYING ANYTHING.

MR. BARENS: THERE IS NO SHOWING THAT HE SAID IT TO ANYBODY.

THE COURT: IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE SENT TO ANYBODY.

MR. BARENS: ALSO, THE FACT THAT HE WROTE A NOTE HIMSELF, SOMEWHAT POETICALLY, ALTHOUGH WE MIGHT DISAGREE WITH
THE SENTIMENTS EXPRESSED, I DON'T SEE HOW THAT CAN BE USED
IN ANY PENALTY PHASE TO SHOW A VIOLENT ACT WAS COMMITTED.

THE FACT THAT HE HAD WRITTEN A LETTER, WHICH
IS VERY SUBJECT TO INTERPRETATION EITHER WAY, BECAUSE THIS
DOESN'T REFER TO -- ACTUALLY, IF YOU LOOK AT THIS, LITERALLY
IT IS A CRITICISM OF MR. HUNT, NOT OF MR. SWARTOUT.
THE CRITICISM GOES INTERNALLY HERE.

THE COURT: ARE YOU OFFERING THIS TO SHOW WHAT THE ANIMUS AND ATTITUDE HE HAD TOWARDS MR. SWARTOUT WAS?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL PERMIT YOU TO DO THAT.

MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE CLERK: IS THAT IN EVIDENCE?

MR. BARENS: IT WILL BE MOMENTARILY.

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 2 IN OPEN COURT IN THE HEARING AND PRESENCE 3 OF THE JURY:) 4 MR. WAPNER: ONE OF THE ITEMS THAT IS IN THERE IS 5 APPARENTLY A LIST, IS THAT RIGHT, THAT HAS MR. SWARTOUT'S 6 NAME AND THEN A LINE UNDER IT? 7 THAT'S CORRECT. Α 8 AND THERE IS ANOTHER PAGE ON THERE THAT STARTS Q 9 OUT WITH THE WORDS, THAT LOOKS LIKE "FLY FLY," CROSSED OUT 10 AND THEN IT SAYS "MR. SWARTOUT" UNDERNEATH. 11 Α YES. 12 MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY THIS ENTIRE DOCUMENT, 13 WHICH IS 33. BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE? 14 THE COURT: I HAD INDICATED THAT. 15 BY MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. 16 DETECTIVE ZOELLER, COULD YOU READ THAT PORTION 17 OF THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU CAN IN FACT READ AND UNDERSTAND 18 THE WORDS OF, INCLUDING ANY PORTIONS THAT MIGHT BE CROSSED 19 OUT AND TELL US WHEN YOU ARE READING WORDS THAT APPEAR TO 20 BE CROSSED OUT. 21 IT STARTS OUT "FLY FLY," WHICH IS CROSSED OUT. 22 "MR. SWARTOUT. IT APPEARS THAT MR. SWARTOUT 23 IS THE -- IT LOOKS LIKE "INCARNATED" WHICH IS CROSSED OUT --24 "WRATH OF GODS MADE FLESH, SENT TO SCOURGE --25 IS THAT "SCOURGE"? Q 26 "SCOURGE THE WORLD OF MY FOUL SCHEMING, TREACHER-27 OUS, LYING, VIOLENT SOUL."

AND THEN THE "HOW" IS CROSSED OUT AND I CAN'T

```
1
    READ THE SECOND WORD WHICH IS CROSSED OUT. AND THEN COMMA.
2
    AND THEN IT SAYS "HOW DOES HE FIND SUCH VEHEMENCE" -
3
          Q
                 V-E-H-E-M-E-N-C-E?
          Α
                 YES, WHICH IS CROSSED OUT.
5
                 AND "FROM WHAT POISONED WELL DOES HE DRAW HIS
6
    VEHEMENCE."
7
                 VEHEMENCE MENTIONED AGAIN?
          0
8
          Α
                 WHICH IS AGAIN CROSSED OUT.
9
                 AND THEN THE REST OF IT IS ALL CROSSED OUT.
                 "MR. SWARTOUT APPEARS CROSSED OUT.
10
                "THOUGH"IT LOOKS LIKE "ADDRESSES MR. SWARTOUT,
11
    IT IS, OF COURSE IN THIS LETTER AND THEN ABOVE THAT WHOLE
12
13
                "THIS LETTER" AND IT IS ALL CROSSED OUT.
    THING IS
14
          Q
                 THANK YOU.
15
                 AND THAT AGAIN, WAS TAKEN FROM MR. HUNT'S BRIEF-
16
    CASE?
17
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
18
                 DID HE ALSO TAKE AN ENVELOPE WITH SOME PAPERS
          Q
19
    REGARDING HADAYET ESLAMINIA FROM HIS BRIEFCASE?
20
          Α
                YES.
21
                 AND DID YOU KEEP THOSE AT THE BEVERLY HILLS
22
    POLICE DEPARTMENT AT THE TIME -- STRIKE THAT.
23
                 WHEN YOU TOOK THE BRIEFCASE, WAS THERE AN
24
    ENVELOPE WITH SOME PAPERS IN IT WITH THE NAME OF HADAYET
25
    ESLAMINIA ON IT?
26
          A YES.
27
                 DID YOU KEEP THOSE IN THE BRIEFCASE AT THE
28
    BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?
```

1	А	YES.
2	Q	DID YOU EVENTUALLY TURN THEM OVER TO SOMEONE?
3	А	YES.
4	Q	WHO DID YOU TURN THEM OVER TO?
5	А	TO OSCAR BREILING OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
6	Q	WHEN WAS THAT DONE?
7	А	ON THE 6TH OF FEBRUARY 1985.
8	Q	DID YOU ARREST JOE HUNT AGAIN SOMETIME LATER
9	IN 1984	AFTER THE 28TH OF SEPTEMBER?
10	А	YES.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Q	WHEN WAS THAT DONE?	
2	Α	THE 22ND OF OCTOBER.	
3	Q	OF 1984?	
4	Α	THAT'S CORRECT.	
5	Q	WHERE WAS HE ARRESTED AT THAT TIME?	
6	А	IN THE HALLWAY OF THE BEVERLY HILLS MUNICIPAL	
7	COURT BUILDING.		
8	Q	AFTER HE WAS ARRESTED IN THE HALLWAY OF THE	
9	MUNICIPAL CO	URT, WHERE DID YOU TAKE HIM?	
10	А	TO THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT.	
11	Q	AND WAS HE BOOKED AT THAT TIME?	
12	Α	YES.	
13	Q	WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?	
14	Α	IT MEANS THAT THERE IS A RECORD MADE OF HIS	
15	ARREST, HIS BOOKING PROCEDURE, ALL OF HIS PERSONAL PROPERTY		
16	BASICALLY GONE THROUGH AND ANY EVIDENCE IS THEN TAKEN AND		
17	BOOKED IN.		
18	Q	AND WHO DID THE BOOKING IN IN THIS CASE?	
19	Α	I DID.	
20	Q	AND WHEN YOU DO THAT, DO YOU DO SOMETHING TO	
21	ATTEMPT TO G	ET ANY IDENTIFICATION?	
22	Α	YES.	
23	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO IN THIS CASE?	
24	Α	IN THIS CASE, HE HAD A DRIVER'S LICENSE.	
25	Q	AND WHAT WAS THE NAME ON THE DRIVER'S LICENSE?	
26	A	THE DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS JOSEPH HENRY GAMSKY.	
27	Q	DID YOU NOTE DOWN THE FACT THAT HE HAD A DRIVER'S	
28	LICENSE IN T	HAT NAME IN YOUR REPORT?	

```
1
           A YES.
2
                  AND DID YOU NOTE DOWN ALSO THE NUMBER ON THE
3
     DRIVER'S LICENSE IN YOUR REPORT?
4
           Α
                 YES.
5
                  AND DO YOU HAVE THAT REPORT IN FRONT OF YOU?
6
                 I DON'T HAVE THE REPORT IN FRONT OF ME, NO.
7
                 IF YOU SAW IT, WOULD YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE
           Q
8
     DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER WAS?
9
           Α
                  YES.
10
                  DOES THIS APPEAR TO BE A COPY OF THE REPORT
     THAT YOU PREPARED OF THE ARREST OF MR. HUNT ON OCTOBER 22,
11
12
     1984?
13
           Α
                YES. .
                 WHAT WAS THE NUMBER ON THE DRIVER'S LICENSE
14
           Q
15
     THAT HE HAD?
16
                  N6969502, IT IS A STATE OF CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S
           Α
17
     LICENSE.
18
                  THAT WOULD BE IN THE NAME OF JOSEPH HENRY
19
     GAMSKY?
20
                 THAT'S CORRECT.
           Α
21
                 YOU ACTUALLY SAW THAT IN HIS POSSESSION ON
           Q
22
     THAT DATE?
23
           A ON THAT DATE AND ON THE 28TH, HE PRODUCED IT
24
     THEN ALSO.
25
           Q ON THE 22ND OF OCTOBER, AFTER MR. HUNT WAS
26
     ARRESTED, DID YOU GO THROUGH HIS PERSONAL PROPERTY?
27
                  YES.
           Α
28
                  WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU FIND IN HIS WALLET?
```

7 B

```
WHO DID YOU KNOW HER TO BE OR LATER FIND HER OUT
                     0
           1
               TO BE?
           2
                           THE MOTHER OF REZA ESLAMINIA, THE EX-WIFE OF
           3
               HADAYET ESLAMINIA.
           4
                          WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THAT ITEM?
           5
                        I PUT IT INTO EVIDENCE.
           6
                     MR. WAPNER: MAY A COPY OF THAT BE MARKED AS 34 FOR
           7
               IDENTIFICATION?
           8
341D
                     THE COURT: YES.
           9
                     Q BY MR. WAFNER: SHOWING YOU PEOPLE'S 34 FOR
          10
               IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
          11
                     THE COURT: WHAT IS IT, A CHECK?
          12
                     THE WITNESS: IT IS A DEPOSIT SLIP OUT OF A CHECKBOOK.
          13
               YES, I DO RECOGNIZE IT.
          14
                          BY MR. WAFNER: WHAT IS IT?
          15
                     Q
                     A IT IS A DEPOSIT SLIP TO THE ACCOUNT OF MINA
          16
               HAKIMI AND IT GIVES A PHONE NUMBER AND AN ADDRESS.
          17
                          SHOWING WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE ORIGINAL OF THE
          18
                     Q
               DOCUMENT IN A PLASTIC SLEEVE, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
          19
                          YES. IT IS THE ORIGINAL OF PEOPLE'S 34.
          20
                     Α
                          IS THAT AN ITEM THAT YOU FOUND ON MR. HUNT AT
          21
          22
               THE TIME HE WAS ARRESTED?
          23
                     A YES.
          24
                     THE COURT: IS THERE AN AMOUNT ON IT INDICATED?
          25
                     THE WITNESS: NO. IT IS BLANK, YOUR HONOR.
          26
                     THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
          27
                     Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHAT ELSE DID YOU FIND ON
          28
               MR. HUNT? EXCUSE ME. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE ITEM,
```

```
MR. WAPNER: MAY THAT BE MARKED AS 35 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
1
           THE COURT: SO MARKED.
2
           MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY A COPY OF THAT BE MARKED
3
     AS 35 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
4
           THE COURT: YES.
5
                 BY MR. WAPNER: DOES THE DOCUMENT WE HAVE MARKED
           Q
6
     AS 35. WHICH IS A COPY, APPEAR TO BE IN FACT, A COPY OF THE
7
     ORIGINAL THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU?
8
                 YES.
           Α
9
                 DID YOU GO UP WITH DEAN KARNY TO SOLEDAD CANYON?
10
           Q
                 YES.
           Α
11
                 WHEN WAS THAT DONE?
           Q
12
                 THE 30TH OF NOVEMBER, 1984.
13
           Α
                 WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF GOING UP THERE?
           Q
14
                 TO POSSIBLY LOCATE THE REMAINS OF HADAYET
15
           Α
16
     ESLAMINIA.
                 WHEN YOU WENT TO SOLEDAD CANYON WITH MR. KARNY,
17
           0
18
     WHO
         ELSE WENT ALONG?
                 DETECTIVE DECUIR, IDENTIFICATION TECHNICIAN KURT
19
           Α
     KUHN FOR PHOTOGRAPHS AND SERGEANT JIM MC ELMAN, ALONG WITH
20
21
     DEAN KARNY.
                 SPELL THE LAST NAME.
22
           0
23
                 M-C-E-L-M-A-N.
           Α
                 WHEN YOU WENT THERE, WERE YOU IN A VEHICLE WITH
24
           Q
25
     MR. KARNY?
26
                 YES.
           Α
27
                 DID HE DIRECT YOU AS TO WHERE TO GO?
           Q
28
           Α
                 YES.
```

8B-

5 I D

Q WHERE DID YOU IN FACT, GO?

WENT TO SOLEDAD CANYON AREA OF CANYON COUNTRY. TRAVELED EAST TO AN AREA WHERE HE POINTED OUT THE DIRT ROAD WHICH I ALREADY KNEW AS INDIAN CANYON.

Q I WANT TO SHOW YOU A PICTURE WE HAVE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 17 FOR IDENTIFICATION. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?

Α YES I DO.

Q WHERE IS IT?

THAT IS THE AREA I JUST STATED AS SOLEDAD CANYON. Α THE ROAD IS APPROXIMATELY IN THE LOWER MIDDLE HERE AND INDIAN CANYON GOES THROUGH THE CENTER OF IT, UP TO THE TOP.

WOULD THIS BE SOLEDAD CANYON ROAD WHICH IS APPARENTLY THE PAVED ROAD THAT GOES ALL OF THE WAY FROM HERE, THE RIGHT-HAND PORTION THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THE PHOTOGRAPH AND ENDS UP IN THE APPROXIMATE MIDDLE OF THE LEFT-HAND PORTION OF THE PHOTOGRAPH?

A YES.

19

4

26

27

```
1
           Q
                  DID YOU ACTUALLY WALK DOWN OR HIKE DOWN THE
2
     HILL?
3
                 YES.
           А
4
           Q
                AND AS YOU HIKED DOWN THE HILL, WHAT DID YOU
5
     FIND?
6
           Α
                  I FIRST FOUND A SMALL WHITE BONE.
7
                  AND WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU SAW THAT BONE
8
     THERE?
9
                  I JUST LEFT IT THERE.
10
           MR. BARENS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. COULD I SPEAK
11
     TO MR. WAPNER FOR A MINUTE?
12
                  (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS
13
                  AND MR. WAPNER.)
14
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, JUST THE TWO OF YOU.
15
           MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE JUST A MOMENT
16
     TO TAKE A PHONE CALL REGARDING A WITNESS?
17
           THE COURT: YES.
18
                  (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
19
                  (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
20
                  AT THE BENCH:)
21
           MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I ASKED TO APPROACH THE BENCH --
22
           THE COURT: YOU HAVE EXCESSIVE CONCERN ABOUT THE
23
     CHILDREN IN THE COURTROOM?
24
           MR. BARENS: SIR, IF I MIGHT BE HEARD. I DON'T BELIEVE
25
     AS A PARENT AND AS A CITIZEN, I HAVE AN EXCESSIVE CONCERN
26
     ABOUT THE CHILDREN. I HAVE A HUMAN BEING'S CONCERN THAT
27
     THERE ARE FIVE-YEAR-OLDS APPARENTLY IN THE COURTROOM, FIVE
28
     AND SIX-YEAR-OLDS, AND I HAVE SOME CONCERN THAT THEIR TEACHER
```

```
SHOULD BE ASKED IF SHE THOUGHT IT WAS APPROPRIATE THAT THEY
1
     REMAIN HERE BECAUSE THERE MIGHT BE SOME PARENT WHO WOULD
2
     OBJECT.
3
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL SEE WHAT SHE SAYS.
           MR. BARENS: THERE MIGHT BE SOME PARENTS THAT WOULD
5
     SHOW SOME DISEAVOR ABOUT THAT LATER ON. THAT IS THE ONLY
6
     REASON I ASKED.
7
                   (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. WAPNER
8
                  AND JUDGE ROTHMAN.)
9
           MR. WAPNER: I INQUIRED OF JUDGE ROTHMAN, WHO APPARENTLY
10
     ASKED THE CHILDREN TO COME IN. AND HE IS TALKING TO THE
11
     TEACHER NOW.
12
           THE COURT: HE IS FINISHED TALKING TO HER.
13
                   (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN MR. WAPNER
14
15
                   AND JUDGE ROTHMAN.)
           MR. WAPNER: ACCORDING TO JUDGE ROTHMAN, AFTER
16
     CONFERRING WITH THE TEACHER, THE TEACHER SAYS THE TEACHER
17
18
     DOESN'T MIND AND IF IT DOESN'T AFFECT THE TRIAL, THEN IT
19
     IS OKAY WITH HIM.
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS FINE WITH ME.
20
           THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR YOUR EXCESSIVE CONCERN.
21
22
     I APPRECIATE IT.
23
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
24
                   (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
25
                   OPEN COURT IN THE HEARING AND PRESENCE
26
                   OF THE JURY:)
27
           THE COURT: IS THERE A PENDING QUESTION?
```

MR. WAPNER: I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS ONE.

1	(THE COURT REPORTER READ THE RECORD.)
2	
3	·
	RECOGNIZE THE AREA THAT IS DEPICTED ON THE DIAGRAM THAT
4	IS PEOPLE'S 18 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
5	A YES.
6	Q WHAT IS IT?
7	A THAT IS THE CANYON WHERE I INDICATED WE LOOKED
8	FOR THE REMAINS.
9	Q AND WHEN YOU SAW THIS FIRST BONE THERE AND
10	YOU SAID YOU LEFT IT THERE, WHY WAS THAT?
11	A IT IS NOT IT IS NOT MY JOB TO PICK UP BONES
12	OF REMAINS.
3	THE CORONER'S OFFICE IS THEN CALLED, ONCE WE
4	DETERMINE THAT THERE ARE HUMAN REMAINS.
15	AT THAT POINT, WE HADN'T EVEN DETERMINED IF
16	THAT BONE WAS ANYTHING AT ALL.
17	Q AFTER YOU SAW THAT BONE, WHAT DID YOU DO?
18	A WE CONTINUED DOWN THE CANYON.
19	Q AND AS YOU CONTINUED DOWN THE CANYON, WHAT
20	DID YOU SEE?
21	A I SAW ANOTHER BONE WHICH WAS A BROWNISH COLOR,
22	NOT WHITE LIKE THE FIRST BONE.
23	Q WHEN YOU SAW THAT BONE, WHAT DID YOU DO?
24	A IN BETWEEN THE THREE OF US, WE STARTED LOCATING
25	MORE BONES OF THE SAME BROWN COLOR.
26	Q THE THREE OF YOU BEING YOU, DETECTIVE DECUIR
27	A AND KURT KUHN.
28	O HE IS THE GENTLEMAN FROM THE IDENTIFICATION

DIVISION OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT WHO TESTIFIED 1 ABOUT SOME FINGERPRINTS EARLIER IN THE GUILT PHASE OF THIS 2 TRIAL? 3 A THAT IS CORRECT. 4 WHEN YOU AND DETECTIVE DECUIR AND KURT KUHN 5 FOUND THESE REMAINS, DID YOU FORM ANY OPINION IN YOUR MIND 6 7 AS TO WHAT THEY WERE? AT THAT TIME, I AM NOT SURE. AS SOON AS A SKULL AND JAWBONE WERE FOUND, 9 THEN AN OPINION WAS MADE THAT THEY WERE HUMAN REMAINS. 10 Q AND WHEN WAS IT, AS YOU CONTINUED TO WALK DOWN 11 THE HILL, THAT YOU FOUND THIS SKULL AND THE JAWBONE, HOW 12 FAR DOWN THE HILL DID YOU GET? 13 IT WAS APPROXIMATELY HALFWAY DOWN THE HILL, 14 EVEN A LITTLE BIT BEFORE THAT. I BELIEVE THE SKULL WAS FOUND IN POSITION OR SITE NUMBER 6 (INDICATING). 16 17 18 19 20 2: 22 23 24 25 26 27

_

10-WHEN YOU FIRST WENT DOWN THE HILLSIDE, DID YOU Q 1 MAKE ANY NOTATIONS AS TO SITE NUMBERS? 2 3 Α AT THAT TIME WHEN WE FIRST WENT DOWN THE HILL, NO. 4 WHEN YOU FIRST WENT DOWN, AFTER YOU GOT DOWN THE 5 Q HILL, WHAT DID YOU DO? 6 WHAT WE DID INITIALLY IS, WE WENT DOWN TO TRY 7 8 TO IDENTIFY ANY REMAINS THAT WE COULD, WHICH WE DID. AND WE LEFT THEM THERE AND THEN I RESPONDED TO A TELEPHONE AND 9 10 CALLED THE CORONER'S OFFICE. DID SOMEBODY FROM THE CORONER'S OFFICE ARRIVE? 11 Q Α YES. 12 13 WHO WAS THAT? Q LYNN HEROLD AND SCOTT CARRIER, THE INVESTIGATOR 14 Α 15 FROM THE CORONER'S OFFICE. 16 WERE SOME PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN OF THE ITEMS THAT Q 17 YOU RECOVERED? 18 A YES. 19 ONE SET OR TWO SETS? Q 20 ACTUALLY, THREE SETS. INITIALLY WHEN THEY WERE Α 21 OBSERVED, PHOTOGRAPHS WERE TAKEN. THEY WERE NUMBERED AS FAR 22 AS THE SITE POSITIONS. PHOTOS WERE TAKEN AGAIN AND THEN UPON 23 COLLECTION, THEY WERE TAKEN. 24 AND WERE YOU THERE WHEN THE PHOTOGRAPHS WITH THE Q 25 SITE NUMBERS WERE TAKENS 26 YES. Α 27 WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF NUMBERING THOSE VARIOUS

SITES AND WHAT DID THE NUMBERS REPRESENT?

10-JUST THE LOCATION. IF I COULD BACK UP, WHEN THE 1 CORONER'S REPRESENTATIVES ARRIVED, THEY GAVE NAMES TO THE 2 BONES, THE REMAINS THAT WERE FOUND. 3 AND THE DIFFERENT SITES WERE NOTHING MORE THAN 4 THE AREAS WHERE THESE REMAINS WERE LOCATED. 5 Q DID YOU MAKE A REPORT INDICATING THE VARIOUS SITES 6 7 WHERE THE REMAINS WERE LOCATED? YES. 8 Α AND DID YOU INCLUDE IN THAT REPORT, A DIAGRAM 9 OF THE SITES? 10 Α YES. 11 AND IS THE DIAGRAM THAT IS ON THE BOARD AS 12 0 PEOPLE'S 18, AN ENLARGEMENT OF THE DIAGRAM THAT YOU PREPARED? 13 IT IS. 14 Α WHAT CAN YOU TELL US THAT DIAGRAM SHOWS? 15 0 16 THE DIAGRAM, THE TOP IS NORTH. IN THIS CASE, THE PHOTO BEING THE TOP -- THAT WOULD BE SOUTH. SO IT IS 17 INVERTED. 18 19 IT SHOWS THE ROAD, SOLEDAD CANYON. IT SHOWS INDIAN 20 CANYON ROAD TO THE TURNOUT AND THEN THE CANYON FROM THE 21 TURNOUT GOING NORTH. 22 WHERE IS THE TURNOUT LOCATED ON THIS DIAGRAM? 0 23 THE TURNOUT IS INDICATED BY LINES AND THE BROKEN Α 24 LINE. 25 AT THE BOTTOM LEFT-HAND CORNER? Ç 26 THAT'S CORRECT. 27 AS FAR AS THIS DIAGRAM IS CONCERNED, WHERE IT

SAYS NUMBER "1" WOULD THAT BE AT THE TOP OF THE HILLS?

```
0B- 1
                             THAT IS THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SKULL AS IT APPEARS
                       0
           1
                THE WAY THAT YOU FOUND IT?
           2
                      Α
                             THAT'S CORRECT.
            3
                             WAS IT PHOTOGRAPHED AGAIN LATER THAT DAY?
                       Q
                      Α
                             YES.
           5
                             SHOWING YOU A PHOTOGRAPH WE HAVE MARKED AS 19-C
                      Q
           6
                FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
           7
                      Α
                             YES.
           8
                      Q
                             IS THERE A HAND IN THAT PICTURE HOLDING THE TOP
           9
                OF A SKULL?
           10
                            YES.
                      Α
           11
                      Q
                            WHOSE HAND IS THAT?
           12
                      Α
                            MY HAND.
           13
                            I TAKE IT THAT THAT MEANS THAT YOU WERE THERE
                      Q
           14
                WHEN THE PICTURE WAS TAKEN?
           15
                      Α
                            THAT'S CORRECT.
           16
                      C
                            THAT WAS TAKEN AS A PHOTOGRAPH TO REPRESENT WHAT,
           17
                THE SKULL LOCATED AFTER IT WAS REMOVED FROM SITE NUMBER 7?
           18
                            THAT'S CORRECT. IT WAS UPON COLLECTION.
                      Α
           19
                            AND DO YOU HAVE AN INDICATION IN YOUR REPORT AS
                      Q
           20
                TO WHERE A PORTION OF THE JAW WAS RECOVERED?
           21
                      Α
                            YES.
           22
                            WHERE IS THAT?
                      Q
           23
                      A
                            THE JAW WAS FOUND AT SITE NUMBER 6.
           24
           25
                      Q
                            SHOWING YOU A PHOTOGRAPH MARKED AS 19-G FOR
               IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
           26
           27
                      Α
                            YES.
```

WHAT IS THAT?

28

Q

```
THIS IS THE JAW AS WE FOUND IT WITH AN INDICATOR
          Α
1
2
    NUMBER 6.
               CAN YOU JUST HOLD UP THE PICTURE WITH THE NUMBER
          Q
3
    7, THE SKULL AS IT WAS FOUND TO SHOW SO THE JURY CAN SEE THAT?
4
                 THANK YOU.
5
                 WERE SOME BLACK PANTS RECOVERED?
6
                 YES.
7
          Α
                 WHERE WERE THEY RECOVERED?
8
           0
                 IF I CAN REFER TO MY REPORT --
          Α
9
                 WOULD YOU PLEASE.
10
           Q
                 THE BLACK PANTS WERE FOUND IN SITE NUMBER 5.
          Α
11
                 WERE THERE SOME UNDERPANTS RECOVERED?
           Q
12
                 YES.
          Α
13
                 WHERE WERE THEY RECOVERED?
14
           0
                 THE UNDERWEAR THAT WAS FOUND WAS AT SITE NUMBER 13.
15
                 SHOWING YOU TWO PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE 19-D AND -E
16
    FOR IDENTIFICATION. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?
17
18
                 YES.
          Α
                 WHAT ARE THEY?
           Q
                THE PHOTO 19-D SHOWS THE PANTS AS I FOUND THEM.
20
    AND THE PHOTO 19-E IS THE UNDERWEAR AS WE FOUND THEM.
21
             I WANT TO SHOW YOU THREE OTHER PHOTOGRAPHS THAT
22
23
    ARE 19-H, -I AND -A. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?
24
           Α
                YES.
25
                 WHAT ARE THEY?
           C
26
                 THOSE ARE PHOTOS OF -- IF I COULD REFER TO MY --
27
                 I DON'T THINK IT IS NECESSARY TO KNOW SPECIFICALLY
           Ç
28
     WHAT THE BONES WERE.
```

0 R

10B BUT ARE THERE OTHER BONES THAT WERE RECOVERED AS WELL AS THE TAGS WITH THE SITE NUMBERS WHERE THEY WERE FOUND? A YES. l1F 2€

1	Q AND SHOWING YOU A PHOTOGRAPH THAT WE HAVE	
2	MARKED 19-F FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?	
3	A YES, I DO.	
4	Q WHAT IS THAT?	
5	A IT IS A PHOTO OF THE SKULL INVERTED, SHOWING	
6	THE TEETH.	
7	Q DO ALL OF THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE MARKED	
8	19 ACCURATELY REFLECT THE BONES AND THE SITE WHERE THEY	
9	WERE RECOVERED AS THEY APPEARED THAT DAY ON NOVEMBER THE	
10	30TH OF 1984?	
11	A YES, THEY DO.	
12	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.	
13		
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. BARENS:	
16	Q DETECTIVE ZOELLER, FIRST WITH REFERENCE TO	
17	THAT BLUE NOTEBOOK YOU FOUND; YOU FOUND THAT IN ONE OF THE	
18	BEDROOMS AT THE MANNING?	
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
20	Q AND YOU HAVE HAD POSSESSION OF THAT NOTEBOOK	
21	SINCE WHEN, SIR?	
22	A SINCE THE TIME OF THE SEARCH WARRANT, WHICH	
23	WAS THE 2ND OF OCTOBER, I BOOKED IT INTO EVIDENCE AND IT	
24	WAS RELEASED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.	
25	Q SO LAW ENFORCEMENT FOLKS, IN ADDITION TO YOUR-	
26	SELF, HAD ACCESS TO THIS SINCE NOVEMBER OF '84; IS THAT	
27	CORRECT, SIR?	

```
WELL, SOMEBODY HAS HAD POSSESSION OF IT, I
           Q
1
2
     PRESUME?
3
           Α
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
                  OKAY. YOU KNOW WHO WROTE THIS NOTEBOOK?
4
           0
5
           Α
                  PERSONALLY, NO.
                  DID YOU EVER HAVE THE HANDWRITING IN THIS NOTE-
6
     BOOK ANALYZED?
7
8
                  I DID NOT, NO.
                  DID YOU EVER COMPARE ANY HANDWRITING TO ANY OF THE
9
     HANDWRITING WE KNOW OF THAT MIGHT HAVE HAD ANYTHING TO DO
10
11
     WITH THIS CASE?
12
           A NO.
                 SO WOULD IT BE A FAIR STATEMENT TO SAY THAT
13
     YOU HAVE TESTIFIED THIS MORNING ABOUT A NOTEBOOK YOU FOUND
14
15
     BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHO WROTE IT: IS THAT CORRECT?
16
                  THAT'S CORRECT.
17
                  OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER IT WAS WRITTEN BY
           Q
18
     ONE OR MORE THAN ONE PERSON?
19
             I DON'T, NO.
           Α
20
                  DO YOU KNOW WHEN IT WAS WRITTEN?
           Q
21
                  THERE IS DATES IN THERE.
22
                  I SEE DATES IN THERE THAT REFER -- THERE ARE
23
     SOME DATES AND THEN THERE ARE SOME DATES BUT THEY ARE NOT
24
     EXACTLY CONSECUTIVE, ARE THEY, SIR, AND CONSISTENT?
25
               THEY APPEAR TO BE CONSECUTIVE, NOT ONE AFTER
26
     ANOTHER BUT AS FAR AS --
27
                 ARE THEY ALL THERE OR ARE SOME MISSING?
28
                  SOME DATES THAT ARE NOT HERE.
           Α
```

UH-HUH. DOES IT APPEAR TO YOU, SINCE YOU HAVE 1 TESTIFIED FOR US TODAY AS TO WHAT HANDWRITING LOOKS LIKE, 2 DOES IT APPEAR TO YOU THAT IT IS ALL THE HANDWRITING OF 3 THE SAME PERSON? 4 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS WHILE WITNESS 5 EXAMINES AN EXHIBIT.) 6 THE WITNESS: MOST OF IT APPEARS TO BE WRITTEN BY 7 THE SAME PERSON. 8 BY MR. BARENS: DOES IT ALL APPEAR TO BE WRITTEN 9 10 BY THE SAME PERSON? WELL, THERE IS SOME, IT APPEARS TO BE FARSI 11 IN THERE, WHICH I WOULDN'T KNOW. 12 Q YOU JUST CAN'T TELL. SIR? 13 THE COURT: YOU MEAN THOSE PAGES WHICH ARE WRITTEN 14 IN FARSI, YOU CAN'T TELL IF THEY ARE WRITTEN BY THE SAME 15 16 PERSON AS THOSE PAGES WRITTEN IN ENGLISH? 17 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. THE COURT: THOSE PAGES WRITTEN IN ENGLISH, THEY APPEAR 18 19 TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SAME PERSON? 20 THE WITNESS: THEY SURE APPEAR TO BE. 21 BY MR. BARENS: AT LEAST MOST OF THEM, SIR? Q. 22 YES. A 23 DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE PERSON WHO WROTE THE PAGE, AND IT LOOKS TO ME LIKE THE LETTERS "SAED" IT IS PRINTED 24 25 AND THEN IT LOOKS LIKE -- WE CAN EITHER PROBABLY AGREE IT 26 IS FITHER "KHK" OR "KAK". DOES IT APPEAR THAT THE SAME 27 PERSON WROTE EVERYTHING ELSE IN THE ENGLISH PORTION IN THAT

28

BOOK?

```
I AM NOT A HANDWRITING EXPERT. I JUST CAN'T
1
           Α
2
     TELL.
3
                  OH, I SEE.
           Q
           THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU SEE, SIR?
4
5
           MR. BARENS: INDEED.
                  I BEG PARDON, YOUR HONOR?
6
           THE COURT: YOU MADE SOME REMARK "I SEE." I JUST
7
8
     ASKED YOU WHAT YOU SEE.
9
           MR. BARENS: I SAID INDEED. I WAS JUST COMMENTING
10
     OUT LOUD, SIR, THAT HE WASN'T A HANDWRITING EXPERT, OR IS
11
     HE?
12
          THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
13
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
                  THERE IS ONE MORE THING IN THERE I SEE WRITTEN
14
     IN ENGLISH UNDER DATE OF 6-28-84, THERE IS A LIST, 1 THROUGH
15
16
     9, SIR?
17
                YES.
           Α
18
                  WHAT DOES IT SAY?
           Q
19
           Α
             "$20 TO REZA."
20
                  I SEE, ALL RIGHT.
           Q
21
                  NOW, IN THE FILE FOLDER, WHICH HAS BEEN
22
     IDENTIFIED, I THINK, AS PEOPLE'S 32, THERE WAS SOME WRITING
23
     TO MR. SWARTOUT THAT YOU READ TO US, WAS THAT THE SUBJECT
24
     OF A LETTER?
25
              I TESTIFIED THAT IT WAS NOTES, IT APPEARED
26
     TO BE NOTES.
27
                  NOTES?
           Q
28
                  WAS IT EVER PUT INTO A LETTER FORM?
```

1	А	I CAN'T ANSWER THAT. I DON'T KNOW.
2	Q	WAS THAT EVER SENT TO MR. SWARTOUT?
3	А	I DON'T KNOW.
4	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHY IT WAS WRITTEN?
5	А	NO, I DON'T.
6	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHETHER IT WAS EVER COMMUNICATED
7	TO MR. SWART	OUT IN ANY FASHION OR MANNER?
8	А	NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
9	Q	I SEE.
10		DO YOU KNOW WHEN IT WAS WRITTEN?
11	A	NO.
12	Q	DO YOU KNOW IF IT WAS IN RESPONSE TO ANYTHING?
13	А	NO.
14	Q	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH MR. KARNY'S HANDWRITING?
15	А	I WOULD SAY NO.
16		
17		
18	•	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Y 	

1.2

```
DID YOU SEE ANYTHING IN THOSE PAGES THAT YOU
          Q
1
    REMOVED FROM MR. HUNT'S BRIEFCASE, THAT DIDN'T APPEAR TO BE
2
    IN HIS HANDWRITING?
3
            I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T RECALL EVERYTHING THAT
4
    WAS IN THERE, RIGHT OFFHAND.
5
                IF YOU SHOW ME SOMETHING, I COULD POSSIBLY TELL
6
    YOU.
7
               ALL RIGHT. AND THE DEPOSIT SLIP THAT YOU SAW
8
          0
    AS PEOPLE'S 34, DID YOU TALK TO MINA HAKIMI?
9
                NO.
          Α
10
                YOU NEVER SPOKE TO HER?
          Q
11
          Α
                NO.
12
          Q YOU NEVER ASKED HER HOW MR. HUNT WOULD HAPPEN
13
    TO HAVE A DEPOSIT SLIP OF HERS?
14
                NO.
          Α
15
             DID YOU EVER MAKE ANY EFFORTS TO FIND OUT ANYTHING
16
          Q
    ABOUT THAT?
17
          Α
                NO.
18
             THE BANK STATEMENT OF MR. ESLAMINIA THAT WAS FOUND
19
           0
     IN THE BRIEFCASE, DO YOU KNOW HOW THAT HAPPENED TO BE THERE?
20
                WHICH ITEM IN THE BRIEFCASE?
21
           Α
                ITEM PEOPLE'S 35, A BANK STATEMENT OF
22
     MR. ESLAMINIA. DO YOU KNOW HOW THAT HAPPENED TO BE IN THE
23
24
     BRIEFCASE?
           MR. WAPNER: OBJECTION. THAT MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE,
25
     THAT IT WAS IN THE BRIEFCASE.
26
          MR. BARENS: STRIKE THAT.
27
           Q INSIDE THE INSIDE FLAP OF THE PLANNING DIARY
28
```

2-.

IDENTIFIED AS PEOPLE'S 31, HOW THAT --1 THE BANK STATEMENT I FOUND WITH MR. ESLAMINIA'S 2 NAME, WAS FOUND ON MR. HUNT WHEN HE WAS ARRESTED ON THE 22ND 3 OF OCTOBER. Q ALL RIGHT. I DON'T KNOW WHY I THOUGHT WE SAW 5 IT ON THE INSIDE FLAP OF --6 THE COURT: NO. HE TESTIFIED HE FOUND IT ON HIS PERSON. 7 MR. BARENS: IT MIGHT HAVE JUST SHOWN UP HERE SOMEHOW, 8 BEING CONFUSED IN THE NOTES, YOUR HONOR. 9 IN ANY EVENT, DO YOU KNOW HOW IT HAPPENED TO BE 10 THERE, HOW HE HAPPENED TO HAVE POSSESSION OF THAT? 11 THE COURT: I THOUGHT I SAW YOUR COLLEAGUE PUT IT IN 12 THERE. 13 MR. BARENS: NOW, NOW. THAT ISN'T ACCURATE. THE COURT: I SAW HIM PUT IT IN THERE I THOUGHT. 15 MR. BARENS: THAT ISN'T ACCURATE. BUT BE THAT AS IT 16 MAY, ACTUALLY, IT WAS NOT IN THERE. 17 BUT, YOU WOULDN'T KNOW. WHAT WAS INSIDE THERE, 18 19 IS A TYPEWRITTEN THING. I WAS MISTAKEN ALTOGETHER. WHAT IS INSIDE HERE IS WHAT WE CAN ALL SEE. SO 20 WHETHER MR. CHIER DID SOMETHING BAD OR NOT, IT IS A TYPEWRITTEN 21 22 THING THAT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE POWER OF ATTORNEY 23 AND --24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 25 MR. BARENS: NO BANK SLIP AT ALL. 26 BUT AS TO THE BANK SLIP, DO YOU KNOW HOW MR. HUNT Q 27 HAPPENED TO HAVE POSSESSION OF THAT?

12-

28

Α

NO.

DID YOU EVER TALK TO THE BANK WHERE THAT STATEMENT 1 GENERATED FROM TO SEE IF THEY KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT HOW MR. HUNT 2 MIGHT HAVE HAD THAT OR NOT, SIR? 3 Α NO. 4 NOW WITH REFERENCE TO YOUR GOING OVER TO 5 SOLEDAD CANYON AND LOOKING AT AND LOCATING THE BONES, HOW 6 MANY BONES DID YOU LOOK AT THERE? 7 I DIDN'T COUNT THEM. 8 WE HAVE 16 SITES OF BONES, HEY? Q 9 THAT'S CORRECT. $\dot{\rightarrow}$ 10 SO WE DO HAVE AT LEAST 16 BONES? 17 ÷ YES. 12 THE COURT: I THINK WE ARE NOW ONTO A NEW SUBJECT. 13 LET'S TAKE OUR BREAK. 14 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL 16 TAKE OUR RECESS AT THIS TIME UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. 17 THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I GAVE YOU STILL APPLIES. HOPEFULLY, 18 IT WILL BE 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. 19 20 (AT 12 NOON A RECESS WAS TAKEN UNTIL 21 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME DAY.) 22 23 24 25 26 27

12-

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU MAY

27

28

THAT I CAN THINK OF.

```
1
     STEP DOWN.
          MR. WAPNER: CALL RICHARD CLASON TO THE STAND.
2
3
                            RICHARD CLASON,
4
5
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY
6
     SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
7
           THE CLERK: YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN.
8
           THE COURT: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, MR. CLASON.
9
           THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10
           THE COURT: BE SEATED.
-1
          THE CLERK: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE
12
     RECORD?
13
          THE WITNESS: RICHARD L. CLASON, C-L-A-S-O-N.
14
15
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
     BY MR. WAPNER:
           Q MR. CLASON, YOU ARE THE HANDWRITING EXPERT
18
     FOR THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?
19
           A YES, SIR.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
2.7
28
```

AND IN THAT CONNECTION, ON MAY THE 12TH OF THIS Q 1 YEAR. DID DETECTIVE ZOELLER ASK YOU TO COMPARE SOME HANDWRITING, 2 CERTAIN DOCUMENTS TO THE HANDWRITING THAT YOU KNOW TO BE OF 3 JOE HUNT? 4 A YES, SIR. 5 O I WANT TO SHOW YOU SOME DOCUMENTS, A GROUP OF 6 DOCUMENTS WE HAVE MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 33 FOR IDENTIFICATION. 7 I WILL ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE. 8 A YES. SIR. THESE ARE THE DOCUMENTS THAT I 9 EXAMINED FOR DETECTIVE ZOELLER. 10 AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS THAT YOU COMPARED Q 11 12 THEM TO? A YES. I COMPARED THEM TO SOME NOTES THAT WERE 13 PURPORTEDLY WRITTEN BY MR. HUNT TO ACQUAINTANCES OF HIS. 14 WERE THOSE THE NOTES THAT YOU USED INITIALLY TO 15 MAKE YOUR HANDWRITING COMPARISONS OF JOE HUNT'S HANDWRITING 16 THE FIRST TIME YOU EVER COMPARED HANDWRITING IN THIS CASE? 17 A YES IT IS. 18 Q THAT WAS BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY HAD THE EXEMPLAR 19 20 OF MR. HUNT? 21 A THAT'S TRUE. AND DID YOU AT SOME POINT, COMPARE MR. HUNT'S 22 KNOWN EXEMPLAR TO THE NOTES PURPORTEDLY WRITTEN BY MR. HUNT 23 24 TO HIS FRIENDS? 25 A YES I DID. DID YOU DETERMINE THAT IN FACT, THOSE NOTES WERE 26 Q 27 MADE BY THE SAME PERSON WHO MADE THE EXEMPLAR? 28

A YES I DID MAKE THAT DETERMINATION.

O ALL RIGHT. SO WHEN YOU WERE COMPARING THE 1 4 1 DOCUMENTS, PEOPLE'S 33, YOU WERE THEN COMPARING THEM TO 2 HANDWRITING YOU HAD ALREADY DETERMINED WAS MADE BY MR. HUNT? 3 THAT'S CORRECT, YES, SIR. AND DID YOU FORM AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT Q 5 THE DOCUMENTS, THE PAPERS CONTAINED IN PEOPLE'S 33 WERE WRITTEN 6 BY MR. HUNT? 7 YES. I DID DETERMINE THAT THEY WERE. 8 Α WAS THERE ANY QUESTION ABOUT THAT IN YOUR MIND? 9 10 NO, NONE WHATSOEVER. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 11 MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MR. BARENS: Q I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU AND I ARE 16 DETERMINING 33 ON THE SAME BASIS AND WHAT ITS COMPOSITION 17 18 IS, MR. CLASON. AS I UNDERSTAND IT IN THIS FOLDER, THERE ARE ONE, 19 20 TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE PIECES OF 21 PAPER? 22 A YES, SIR. 23 15F 24 25 26 27

1 AND IT WOULD BE YOUR TESTIMONY, SIR, THAT ALL 2 NINE OF THESE WOULD BE IN MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING, WITHOUT 3 EXCEPTION? 4 THE ONLY DOUBT THAT I HAVE IN MY MIND RIGHT 5 AT THE PRESENT IS THE NINTH SHEET ON HERE. I DON'T REMEMBER 6 HOW WELL I STUDIED THAT OVER. 7 THE OTHER EIGHT, I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH WHATEVER. 8 MR. WAPNER: FOR THE RECORD, THE NINTH SHEET -- WHAT 9 DOES IT SAY -- FOR THE RECORD, IT IS THE SHEET THAT HAS 10 THE TELEPHONE NUMBER, EACH CONSISTING OF TEN DIGITS, AS 11 WELL AS TWO NAMES WRITTEN AFTER TWO OF THE PHONE NUMBERS. 12 THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT. 13 IT IS JUST THAT I DON'T REMEMBER HAVING 14 THOROUGHLY STUDIED THAT ONE AND I WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT 15 BEFORE I MADE FURTHER COMMENTS ABOUT IT. 16 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU. I AM SATISFIED. THANK YOU, 17 SIR. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CLASON, 19 YOU WILL BE EXCUSED. 20 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 21 MR. WAPNER: JERRY EISENBERG. 22 MR. BARENS: WE HAVE A MOTION IN LIMINE, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: ON EISENBERG? 24 MR. BARENS: YES, WE SHOULD, SIR. 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE. 26 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 27 AT THE BENCH:)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THE MOTION IS, I WOULD
ANTICIPATE THAT DURING THIS TESTIMONY THE PEOPLE WOULD
SOLICIT MR. EISENBERG TO RELATE STATEMENTS ALLEGEDLY MADE
TO HIM BY JIM PITTMAN-GRAHAM SUBSEQUENT TO THE CONDUCT THAT
WOULD BE DISCUSSED.

THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT WITH REFERENCE TO

THE SWARTOUT, OR THAT SHOOTING INCIDENT AT -- I WANT TO

SAY FIC, BUT I'M PROBABLY WRONG.

MR. CHIER: FCI.

MR. BARENS: THAT AS TO THOSE MATTERS, IT WOULD NOT BE IN FURTHERANCE OF ANY SORT OF CONSPIRACY. IT WOULD BE WELL AFTER THE INCIDENT ALLEGED AND THERE WAS NO COVER-UP ALLEGED OF THOSE INCIDENTS, THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY CONSPIRACY IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. TO THE EXTENT THOSE ARE BEING DISCUSSED, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT THE PEOPLE IN RESPONSE TO MY MOTION -- I WOULD ASK FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF AS TO WHAT THOSE WOULD BE.

MR. WAPNER: REGARDING THE STATEMENT OF MR. PITTMAN,
THE ONLY THING THAT I INTEND TO ELICIT FROM THIS WITNESS
IS THE FACT THAT HE IN FACT TALKED TO MR. PITTMAN AND NOT
THE CONTENT OF THE CONVERSATION.

THE ONLY REASON THAT IT IS RELEVANT THAT HE TALKED TO MR. PITTMAN IS BECAUSE MR. EISENBERG WAS INVOLVED IN THE ATTEMPTS TO OBTAIN THE CONSERVATORSHIP IN BEHALF OF REZA ESLAMINIA AND AFTER TALKING TO MR. PITTMAN, HE WILL TESTIFY THAT HE ATTEMPTED TO SABOTAGE THE GAINING OF THAT CONSERVATORSHIP.

BUT I DON'T SEEK TO ELICIT THE CONTENTS OF THE STATEMENT THAT MR. PITTMAN MADE TO HIM.

1

3

Δ 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

THE BBC.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

NOT?

MR. BARENS: LET ME ASK THEN WHAT THE RELEVANCY WOULD BE IN TERMS OF PROVING THAT SOMETHING UNTOWARD HAD HAPPENED TO MR. ESLAMINIA AT THE HANDS OF MY CLIENT ALLEGEDLY WOULD BE THE FACT THAT THE LAWYER ATTEMPTED TO UNDERMINE THE OBTAINING OF CONSERVATORSHIP -- WHAT WOULD THE RELEVANCY BE IN THE CHARGING ELEMENT AS INDICATED IN THE PEOPLE'S ADVICE TO THE DEFENSE THAT THIS WOULD BE A PART OF THE PENALTY PHASE? MR. WAPNER: THE ONLY RELEVANCY IS THAT IT COMPLETES THE PICTURE OF WHAT HE DID IN TERMS OF HIS ACTIONS IN WORKING ON THE CONSERVATORSHIP AND THEN EVENTUALLY LEAVING

IT JUST GIVES A COMPLETE PICTURE OF WHAT IT WAS THAT HE WAS DOING.

THE COURT: WELL, I WILL LET HIM TESTIFY TO IT. GO AHEAD.

> (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY:)

> > JEROME J. EISENBERG,

CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

THE CLERK: STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: JEROME J. EISENBERG.

THE CLERK: SPELL YOUR NAME.

THE WITNESS: E-I-S-E-N-B-E-R-G.

THE COURT: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, HAVE YOU

```
THE WITNESS: YES.
1
2
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
3
    BY MR. WAPNER:
         Q MR. EISENBERG, IN AUGUST OF 1984, WERE YOU STILL
5
    WORKING FOR THE BBC AS A LAWYER?
6
               UP THROUGH AUGUST 24.
          А
7
          Q AND WERE YOU STILL WORKING THERE DURING JUNE AND
8
    JULY OF 1984?
9
         A CORRECT.
10
       Q AT SOME POINT IN 1984, DID YOU MEET SOMEONE NAMED
11
    REIA ESLAMINIA?
12
         Á
               YES I DID.
13
               HOW DID YOU MEET HIM?
          Ç
                THROUGH MY AUNT'S FIANCE, A GENTLEMAN NAMED LEON
15
    KASSCRLA.
16
          \zeta
               SPELL THAT.
17
               K-A-S-S-O-R-L-A.
18
         A
         Q AFTER MEETING REZA ESLAMINIA, DID YOU INTRODUCE
19
    HIM TO ANYONE IN THE BBC?
20
         A DIRECTLY, NO. MR. KASSORLA INTRODUCED HIM TO
21
    BEN DOSTI. I HAD INTRODUCED MR. KASSORLA TO BEN AND BEN
22
23
    INTRODUCED -- NO, LEON INTRODUCED REZA TO BEN.
24
         2 DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN IT WAS APPROXIMATELY THAT
25
    MR. KASSORLA INTRODUCED REZA ESLAMINIA TO BEN DOSTI?
26
         THE EXACT DATE, I DON'T REMEMBER. H WOULD SAY
27
    THAT IT WOULD BE LATE SPRING, EARLY SUMMER. AROUND MAY, JUNE.
          15 THERE SOME DATE THAT STICKS OUT IN YOUR MIND
28
```

6-

```
IN TERMS OF REZA ESLAMINIA MEETING MOST OF THE MEMBERS OF
1
     THE BBC?
2
                EVAN DICKER'S BIRTHDAY PARTY.
3
          Α
          Q
                WAS THAT THE BEGINNING OF JULY, 1984?
          Α
                YES IT WAS.
5
                WERE YOU AT THAT PARTY ALSO?
6
          Q
7
          Α
                YES. I WAS.
                AND AT SOME POINT AFTER BEING WITH REZA ESLAMINIA.
8
           0
9
     DID HE MOVE INTO THE WILSHIRE MANNING CONDO, AS FAR AS YOU
    KNEW?
10
              YES. HE MOVED IN. STEVE LOPEZ WAS OUT OF THE
          Α
11
12
     COUNTRY. SO REZA MOVED INTO THE CONDO UNIT WITH BEN.
          Q DID YOU EVER GO THERE TO THE WILSHIRE MANNING
13
     TO VISIT REZA OR TO VISIT JOE AND SEE WHAT ROOM REZA WAS LIVING
14
15
     IN?
          A I WAS THERE TO VISIT BEN. REZA WAS IN STEVE
16
17
    LOPEZ' OLD BEDROOM.
18
          Q
               DID REZA EVENTUALLY MOVE UPSTAIRS TO THE CONDO
19
     JOE AND DEAN KARNY SHARED?
20
          Α
               I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T KNOW.
21
                WERE YOU TOLD AT ANY TIME WHO REZA ESLAMINIA'S
          Q
22
    FATHER WAS?
23
          A REZA HAD STATED THAT HIS FATHER WAS A FAMOUS
24
    OFFICIAL UNDER THE SHAH, THE NUMBER THREE RANKING OFFICIAL
25
    IN ALL OF IRAN AND HAD LEFT THE COUNTRY WITH A GREAT DEAL
26
    OF MONEY AND HAD CONTACTS ALL THROUGH THE ARAB WORLD.
27
          Q WHAT DID REZA SAY INITIALLY TO THE MEMBERS OF
```

THE BBC ABOUT HIS ABILITY TO HAVE CONTACT WITH PEOPLE IN THE

MIDDLE EAST AND DO BUSINESS WITH THEM? WELL, THAT WAS THE BASIS THAT HE WAS INTRODUCED TO BEN, WAS THAT HE COULD USE HIS EXTENSIVE CONTACTS IN THE MIDDLE EAST TO PROMOTE THE FIRE SAFETY PRODUCT AND SET UP DISTRIBUTORSHIPS AND SELL THOSE PRODUCTS. DID ANYTHING EVER COME OF THAT? Q NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. AT SOME POINT, DID YOU LEARN THAT REZA'S FATHER HAD DISAPPEARED OR SOMETHING HAD HAPPENED TO HIM? A YES. IT WAS A MEETING -- OR I THINK I RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM BEN DOSTI THAT REZA'S FATHER HAD BEEN KIDNAPPED OR WAS MISSING. AND IT WAS EXPRESSED TO ME THAT --THE COURT: WAS HE KIDNAPPED OR MISSING? THE WITNESS: WELL, IT WAS MISSING. BUT IT WAS ASSUMED HE WAS KIDNAPPED BY THE MOSLEM BROTHERHOOD, EXILES FROM --NOT EXILES BUT PEOPLE WORKING AT THE DIRECTION OF KHOMENI HAD KIDNAPPED HIM.

1	Q BY MR. WAPNER: WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
2	A THAT WAS BY REZA. THAT PART OF IT WAS BY REZA.
3	Q AND AFTER YOU WERE TOLD THAT, WERE YOU ASKED
4	TO DO ANYTHING IN REGARDS TO HIS FATHER'S DISAPPEARANCE?
5	A JOE AND REZA WERE UP IN STANFORD, CALIFORNIA,
6	AND THE POLICE HANDLING IT, I THINK, WAS THE BELMONT POLICE
7	DEPARTMENT AND I WAS ASKED TO COME UP TO SAN FRANCISCO TO
8	HELP NEGOTIATE THE ENTRANCE OF JOE AND REZA INTO MR.
9	ESLAMINIA'S APARTMENT TO SEQUESTER AND OBTAIN HIS PAPERS,
10	HIS PERSONAL PAPERS.
11	
12	A IT WAS A GENERAL REQUEST, I THINK, BEN, AND
13	WHEN I GOT THERE, THERE WAS A MEETING WITH JOE AND REZA.
14	Q WHEN WAS THAT APPROXIMATELY?
15	A EARLY JULY.
16	EARLY JULY OR EARLY AUGUST?
77	EARLY AUGUST OF '86 '84. I AM SORRY.
18	Q WAS IT THE SAME YEAR AS THE OLYMPICS?
19	A IT WAS THE SAME YEAR AS THE OLYMPICS AND IT
20	WAS THE SAME DAY OF THE FINALS IN THE VOLLEYBALL AT THE
21	OLYMPICS.
22	Q HOW DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
23	A BECAUSE I FLEW HOME EARLY TO GO.
24	Q AND SO WE ARE NOW FOR SURE TALKING ABOUT 1984?
25	1984.
26	THERE WERE NO OLYMPICS IN 1986 THAT YOU
27	REMEMBER?
28	A NO.

1	Q AND YOU LEFT THE BBC ON THE 24TH OF AUGUST?
2	A RIGHT.
3	Q AND APPROXIMATELY WHEN WAS THIS TRIP TO SAN
4	FRANCISCO IN RELATION TO WHEN YOU LEFT?
5	A APPROXIMATELY THREE WEEKS BEFORE.
6	Q SO THAT WOULD PUT IT SOMEWHERE IN THE VERY
7	BEGINNING OF AUGUST?
8	A YES.
9	Q WHEN YOU WENT UP TO THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA,
10	WHERE DID YOU GO?
11	A WE RENTED A CAR. WE FLEW INTO SAN FRANCISCO
12	AIRPORT, RENTED A CAR AND DROVE TO THE STANFORD COURT HOTEL
13	WHERE REZA AND JOE WERE STAYING.
14	Q WHO DID YOU GO WITH?
15	A DEAN KARNY, AND I THINK NEIL ADELMAN WAS THERE,
16	I AM NOT SURE.
17	BEN DOSTI DID NOT ACCOMPANY US ON THAT TRIP.
18	Q WHEN YOU WENT TO THE STANFORD COURT HOTEL,
19	WHO WAS THERE?
20	A JOE AND REZA.
21	Q WHEN YOU GOT THERE, WHAT WAS SAID TO YOU?
22	A THAT, YOU KNOW, WE HAD TO HELP OUT REZA, HIS
23	FATHER WAS MISSING. "WE ASSUMED HE WAS KIDNAPPED. HE HAS
24	GOT A LOT OF VERY IMPORTANT PAPERS THERE. OLGA VASQUEZ
25	IS A HORRIBLE PERSON. YOU KNOW, SHE PROBABLY COOPERATED
26	WITH THEM TO HAVE MR. ESLAMINIA TAKEN AWAY AND IT IS VERY
27	IMPORTANT TO REZA TO GET ALL OF HIS FATHER'S PAPERS AND

REVIEW THEM AND HOLD THEM BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF MONEY

AT STAKE AND THERE WAS A LOT OF, YOU KNOW, PERSONAL PAPERS 1 THAT THEY WANTED TO GET." 2 3 WHO SAID THAT? Q A REZA SAID IT. 4 JOE -- JOE SPOKE FOR REZA MOST OF THE TIME. 5 SO THESE STATEMENTS WERE MADE BOTH BY REZA 6 Q 7 ESLAMINIA AND JOE HUNT? 8 Α YES. 9 AFTER THE MEETING WITH THEM AT THE STANFORD 10 COURT HOTEL. WHAT DID YOU DO? IT WAS DECIDED THAT THERE WAS A COUPLE OF OPTIONS 11 DISCUSSED AND THE OPTION THAT WAS DECIDED AS BEING THE MOST 12 BENEFICIAL THAT I COULD HANDLE, WAS TO GO TO THE POLICE 13 DEPARTMENT IN BELMONT AND ATTEMPT TO HAVE THEM LET REZA 14 15 INTO THE UNITS, INTO THE APARTMENT UNDER THEIR DIRECTION 16 SO HE COULD TAKE THE PAPERS AND CONTROL THEM, PUT THEM UNDER 17 HIS CONTROL. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Q DID YOU DO THAT?
2	A PARTIALLY.
3	Q DID YOU GO TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT?
4	A WE WENT TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
5	Q WHEN YOU WENT TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, DO
6	YOU REMEMBER WHO YOU TALKED TO?
7	A THERE WAS A SERGEANT, I DON'T REMEMBER HIS
8	NAME. AN OLDER GENTLEMAN, RED HAIR.
9	Q DID YOU TELL THEM WHAT YOU WANTED?
10	A I TOLD HIM WHAT I WANTED.
11	THEY DISCUSSED IT AND THEY SET UP A MEETING
12	WITH THE F.B.I. THAT SAME DAY.
13	Q DID YOU MEET WITH THE F.B.I.?
14	A YES.
15	Q DID YOU TELL THEM WHAT YOU WANTED?
16	A YES.
17	Q AND DID YOU TELL THEM THAT YOU WANTED TO GET
18	ACCESS INTO THE APARTMENT?
19	A ACCESS INTO THE APARTMENT.
20	THEY HAD A MEETING, THEY KNEW ALL ABOUT REZA'S
21	FATHER ALREADY, THEY HAD A WHOLE FILE ON MR. ESLAMINIA.
22	Q AT LEAST, THAT IS WHAT THEY TOLD YOU?
23	A THAT IS WHAT THEY TOLD ME.
24	Q DID YOU OR ANYONE IN THE BBC GET ACCESS TO
25	MR. ESLAMINIA'S APARTMENT THAT DAY?
26	A MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THE BELMONT POLICE
27	HAD AGREED TO GO INTO THE APARTMENT AND TAKE THE PAPERS
28	AND HOLD THEM BUT THEY WERE GOING TO HOLD THEM FOR THEIR
	1

BY ANYONE TO ASSIST IN THE OBTAINING OF A CONSERVATORSHIP

OVER HADAYET ESLAMINIA'S ESTATE?

27

1	A SUBSEQUENT TO THAT DATE, I WAS REQUESTED BY
2	REZA AND JOE TO PREPARE A CONSERVATORSHIP FOR THE ESTATE
3	OF MR. ESLAMINIA, YES.
4	Q WERE YOU ASKED BY REZA ESLAMINIA AND JOE HUNT
5	TO DO THAT?
6	A YES.
7	Q WHEN WERE YOU ASKED TO DO THAT?
8	A IT WAS AFTER THAT DATE I CAME BACK, I THINK
9	A COUPLE OF DAYS LATER.
10	Q WHAT DID YOU DO IN THAT REGARD?
11	A NOT MUCH.
12	NEIL ADELMAN AND EVAN DICKER PREPARED ALL OF
13	THE PAPERS.
14	Q AND AFTER THE PAPERS WERE PREPARED, DID YOU
15	TAKE THEM UP TO THE COURT IN SAN FRANCISCO?
16	A YES, I DID.
17	Q BEFORE YOU DID THAT, HAD YOU TALKED TO ANYBODY
18	IN LAW ENFORCEMENT?
19	A I TALKED TO THE F.B.I. AND THE BELMONT POLICE.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

WITH RESPECT TO THE CONSERVATORSHIP DOCUMENTS?

28

18A

8 A	1	A I DIDN'T HELP PREPARE THEM. IN FACT, I TRIED
	2	TO PREPARE THEM SO THAT THEY WOULD NOT BE EFFECTIVE.
	3	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO IN THAT REGARD?
	4	A USUALLY MOST COURTS MAKE SURE EVERY X IS CROSSED
	5	THAT IS, THE T'S ARE CROSSED AND THE I'S ARE DOTTED AND THE
	6	BOXES ARE ALL PROPERLY CHECKED. AND AT LEAST WHEN I HAD THE
	7	PAPERS, I TRIED TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN.
	8	Q NOTWITHSTANDING THAT, WHAT HAPPENED WITH REGARD
	9	TO THIS CONSERVATORSHIP?
	10	A IT WAS GRANTED.
	11	Q AND AFTER THE CONSERVATORSHIP WAS GRANTED THAT
	12	DAY, WHAT DID YOU DO?
	13	A WELL, A REQUIREMENT WAS TO OBTAIN A BOND FOR THE
	14	CONSERVATORSHIP.
	15	WE WENT ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE COURTHOUSE
	16	AND BASED ON A DRIVER'S LICENSE AND NO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS,
	17	A BOND WAS ISSUED IN THE REQUIRED AMOUNT.
	18	THEN WE, REZA AND BEN DECIDED TO GO TO LUNCH TO
	19	CELEBRATE AND WE CAME BACK TO LOS ANGELES.
	20	MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A GROUP OF PAPERS HERE
	21	THAT APPEAR TO BE CERTIFIED COPIES OF SOME CONSERVATORSHIP
	22	DOCUMENTS.
	23	MAY THESE AS A GROUP BE MARKED AS 37 FOR
	24	IDENTIFICATION?
	25	THE COURT: SO MARKED.
l	26	Q BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU A GROUP OF DOCUMENTS
	27	THAT ARE MARKED AS 37 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE
7 I D	28	THOSE?

1	A TO MY RECOLLECTION, THOSE ARE THE PAPERS THAT
2	WERE FILED.
3	Q AND IN THE FIRST DOCUMENT THAT YOU LOOKED AT
4	WELL, ARE THOSE STAMPED AS FILED BY THE COURT ON A CERTAIN
5	DATE?
6	A AUGUST 16.
7	Q AND ARE THOSE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE FILED TO OBTAIN
8	THE CONSERVATORSHIP IN THE FIRST PLACE?
9	A THE TEMPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP, YES.
10	Q WHO WAS APPOINTED CONSERVATOR AT THAT TIME?
11	A REZA ESLAMINIA.
12	Q AND THEN THE NEXT DOCUMENTS OR SET OF DOCUMENTS
13	THAT YOU HAVE THERE ARE DOCUMENTS WELL, IS THAT A
14	DECLARATION? IS THAT RIGHT?
15	A YES IT IS.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

- A8

18* ". 1	Q	ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS THE DATE ON THAT?
2	A	AUGUST 21.
3	Q	WHO IS IT THE DECLARATION OF?
4	A	MR. HUNT, JOSEPH HUNT.
5	Q	OTHER THAN SEEING THAT AS A PART OF THE COURT
6	DOCUMENTS, D	OO YOU KNOW WHEN IT WAS PREPARED OR BY WHOM?
7	A	I DO NOT KNOW BY WHOM. THIS IS NOT ON MY
8	STATIONERY,	WHEREAS THE OTHER DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED ON MY
9	LEGAL STATIC	DNERY.
10	THE CC	DURT: WHAT IS THAT DOCUMENT YOU ARE HOLDING IN
11	YOUR HAND?	
12	THE WI	TNESS: THAT IS THE DECLARATION OF JOSEPH HUNT.
13	Q	BY MR. WAPNER: AND THE BALANCE OF THOSE DOCUMENTS
14	ARE FILED OF	R STAMPED ON DATES AFTER YOU LEFT THE BBC, IS THAT
15	RIGHT, START	TING SEPTEMBER 25 AND GOING THROUGH NOVEMBER 7?
16	A	YES THEY ARE.
17	ς	SO, YOU DID NOT AID IN PREPARING THOSE DOCUMENTS?
18	А	NO I DID NOT.
19	Q	WHEN YOU WENT TO THE BELMONT POLICE AND THEN TO
20	THE FBI TO C	CONVINCE THEM TO TAKE CONTROL OF MR. ESLAMINIA'S
21	PAPERS, WAS	THAT DONE BEFORE THE CONSERVATORSHIP WAS SOUGHT?
22	А	YES IT WAS.
23	Q	DID JOE HUNT EVER TELL YOU WHAT THE PURPOSE OF
24	GETTING THE	PAPERS OR THE CONSERVATORSHIP WAS?
25	4	JUST THAT THEY WERE IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS, THAT
26	MS. VASQUEI	WAS NOT FRIENDLY TO REZA AND WOULD IF SHE HAD
27	THE OPPORTU	NITY, PROBABLY TAKE ALL MR. ESLAMINIA'S MONEY.
	į.	

AND THERE WERE SOME VERY IMPORTANT PAPERS AND

FOR REZA'S PURPOSES, WE NEEDED TO HAVE THE PAPERS OR HE NEEDED 1 2 TO HAVE THE PAPERS. MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. BARENS: Q MR. EISENBERG, YOU FIRST MET REZA AT THE END OF 7 8 JUNE, 1984? A I THINK IT WAS A LITTLE EARLIER. THE EXACT DATES, 9 10 I AM NOT SURE OF. Q HAD YOU MET HIM BEFORE ANY OTHER BBC PEOPLE MET 11 12 HIM? RIGHT BEFORE BEN MET HIM. 13 Α SO, YOU WOULD BE THE FIRST ONE IN THAT GROUP TO 14 YOUR KNOWLEDGE, THAT HAD ANY FAMILIARITY WITH HIM? 15 16 CORRECT. Α WHEN YOU WERE INTRODUCED TO HIM BY MR. KASSORLA, 17 WERE YOU TOLD ANYTHING ABOUT HIM LIKE FOR INSTANCE WHAT HE 18 MIGHT HAVE DONE FOR A LIVING OR WHO HE WAS, INFORMATION OTHER 19 20 THAN HIS NAME? A HE AND MR. KASSORLA HAD ATTEMPTED TO GO INTO 21 BUSINESS TOGETHER. THAT ATTEMPT NEVER MATERIALIZED. SO I 22 ONLY KNEW ABOUT REZA FROM CONVERSATION WITH LEON AND JUST 23 A BRIEF MEETING BEFORE HE MET BEN AND WHAT HE TOLD ME. 24 Q DID HE TELL YOU WHAT REZA DID FOR A LIVING AT 25 26 THAT PARTICULAR TIME? 27 A DID REZA TELL ME OR DID MR. KASSORLA? 28 Q EITHER, SIR.

```
THAT THEY WERE JUST EXPORTING. REZA WAS AN
1
           Α
     EXPORTER OF GOODS TO THIRD WORLD ARAB COUNTRIES.
2
              AFTER YOU FIRST MET HIM, WHEN WAS THE SECOND TIME
 3
     YOU SAW REZA?
4
                 I SAW HIM ON A REGULAR BASIS AFTER THEN. BUT
5
     THE FIRST TIME THAT HE WAS INTRODUCED I THINK TO THE GENERAL
6
     POPULOUS OR MEMBERSHIP OF THE BBC, WAS AT MR. DICKER'S
7
     BIRTHDAY PARTY.
8
                 WHERE WOULD YOU SEE HIM REGULARLY AFTER THAT?
           Q
9
                 HE CAME INTO THE OFFICE OCCASIONALLY.
           Α
10
                 SO HE WOULD SEE YOU, SIR?
11
           Q
                 TO SEE BEN.
           Α
12
                 WHEN WAS THE FIRST TIME YOU EVER DISCUSSED REZA
13
           0
    ESLAMINIA'S FAMILY WITH HIM OR HE WITH YOU, SIR?
14
                 WE HAD LUNCH. HE TOLD ME THE FIRST MEETING THAT
15
16
    HIS FATHER WAS CAPABLE OF DOING THESE THINGS AND HIS CONTACTS.
          Q
               AND HE TOLD YOU THAT HE HIMSELF, ACTING IN
17
18
    CONJUNCTION WITH HIS FATHER AND THINGS HIS FATHER COULD
19
    ENTREE FOR HIM. COULD CREATE THESE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES
20
    IN PERHAPS, THE MIDDLE EAST?
21
                HE DIDN'T SAY IN CONJUNCTION WITH HIS FATHER.
22
    HE SAID USING HIS FATHER'S CONTACTS.
23
          O DID HE TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT HIS RELATIONSHIP
24
    WITH HIS FATHER?
25
          A NO HE DID NOT.
26
               SUBSEQUENTLY, DID HE TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT HIS
27
    RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS FATHER, LET'S SAY, ANY TIME PRIOR TO
28
    AUGUST 1ST, 1984?
```

19F

```
DID YOU EVER GET A SENSE FOR HOW MR. REZA
1
            Q
2
     ESLAMINIA MAINTAINED HIMSELF FINANCIALLY --
                   NO.
3
4
            Q
                  -- DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME?
5
                  HE MOVED IN WITH BEN. SO I NEVER REALLY
     QUESTIONED WHAT HIS SOURCE OF FUNDS WERE.
6
7
                   HE LIVED WELL SO IT WOULD SEEM LIKE HE HAD
8
     MONEY.
                 DID YOU GET A SENSE THAT HE WAS BEING SUPPORTED
     BY HIS FAMILY?
10
           Α
                  NO.
11
                 YOU JUST DIDN'T KNOW?
12
           Q
                   DIDN'T KNOW. IT DIDN'T COME UP.
13
            Α
14
                   DID YOU EVER HEAR THAT HE HAD RECEIVED AT ANY
15
     POINT IN TIME A POWER OF ATTORNEY FROM HIS FATHER?
16
                  YES, HE TOLD ME HE HAD THAT.
           Α
17
           Q
                   WHEN DID HE TELL YOU THAT?
18
           Α
                  WHEN WE WERE IN SAN FRANCISCO.
19
                  ABOUT WHEN WAS THAT?
           0
20
                  THE DAY WE WENT TO THE POLICE, THE BELMONT
21
     POLICE. IT WAS THE FIRST TIME, THE DAY OF THE VOLLEYBALL
22
     GAME.
23
                  DIDN'T I SEE YOU AT THE VOLLEYBALL GAME --
           Q
24
     THAT IS A LITTLE JOKE, MR. EISENBERG. LET ME THINK HERE.
25
                  THAT IS MY ONLY REFERENCE IN TIME.
           Α
26
           Q
                  I AM THINKING.
27
                  MAYBE THE 5TH OR THE 6TH OF AUGUST?
28
                   THE EXACT DATE, I DON'T REMEMBER.
```

1	Q ALL RIGHT. AND IT IS AT THAT POINT IN TIME
2	THAT HE TOLD YOU HE HAD A POWER OF ATTORNEY FROM HIS FATHER;
3	DID HE DESCRIBE THE POWER TO YOU?
4	A HE SAID IT WAS GENERAL POWER OF ATTORNEY, THAT
5	IT WAS SITTING IN A SAFE DEPOSIT BOX IN A BANK IN MIAMI,
6	FLORIDA.
7	Q DID HE EVER TELL YOU HE HAD UTILIZED THE POWER?
8	A NO, HE DID NOT.
9	Q DID YOU SEE IT?
10	A NO.
11	Q DID YOU TELL HIM THAT AS AN ATTORNEY YOU MIGHT
12	WISH TO SEE THAT IN ORDER TO FACILITATE THE CONSERVATORSHIP?
13	A I HAD SAID IT WOULD MAKE THINGS A LOT EASIER
14	TO EVEN GET THE PAPERS, THAT WE WEREN'T AT THE POINT OF
15	A CONSERVATORSHIP YET. WE WEREOBTAINING THE DOCUMENTS.
16	Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU IN RESPONSE TO YOUR
17	INQUIRY?
18	A IT IS IN A SAFE DEPOSIT BOX, FAMILY MEMBERS
19	ARE HERE AND THERE.
20	IT WAS GENERALLY AN ISSUE THAT WAS MUFFLED
21	OVER.
22	Q WHEN THE FIRST DISCUSSION OF CONSERVATORSHIP
23	CAME UP, DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT WAS, THE FIRST TIME YOU
24	HEARD ABOUT HIM BEING APPOINTED CONSERVATOR?
25	A I THINK IT WAS A COUPLE OF DAYS AFTER WE CAME
26	BACK FROM AFTER I CAME BACK FROM SAN FRANCISCO THE FIRST
27	TIME.
28	Q DID YOU INQUIRE AS TO THE CANDIDACY OF EITHER

HIS MOTHER OR OTHER CITIZENS IN TERMS OF THEIR CANDIDACY FOR CONSERVATORSHIP? A I REALLY DIDN'T HAVE THAT MUCH TO DO TO PREPARE THE PAPERS. I TRIED TO STAY OUT OF IT AT THAT POINT. THAT IS AFTER YOU SPOKE TO MR. PITTMAN --Q A YES, IT IS.

9 – 3

```
1
                   -- EVIDENTLY?
           Q
2
                   YES.
                   WHEN YOU WENT UP THERE TO GET POSSESSION OF
3
     THOSE PAPERS AND YOU TALKED TO THE BELMONT POLICE, IN WHAT
4
5
     CAPACITY WAS MR. ESLAMINIA, REZA, DESCRIBED TO THEM; WERE
6
     YOU COUNSEL FOR A CONSERVATOR OR A HOLDER OF A POWER, WHAT
7
     WAS THE STATUS THEN?
8
                  IT WAS REZA DESCRIBED TO THEM OR I DESCRIBED
           А
9
     TO THEM?
10
                  YOU DESCRIBED REZA.
           Ç
                  AS A DISTRAUGHT SON.
11
12
           Ç
                   PERIOD?
13
                   PERIOD.
           Α
14
                  AND AS A RESULT OF THAT STATEMENT, THEY THEN
           0
     TOOK POSSESSION OF ALL OF MR. ESLAMINIA'S PAPERS?
15
16
                  THAT IS THOSE STATEMENTS AND THE STATEMENTS
     OF THE F.B.I. CONCERNING MR. ESLAMINIA.
17
18
                 UOY, MIH NO BILE A FILE ON HIM, YOU
           Q
19
     INDICATED?
20
                  THEY KNEW HIM FAIRLY WELL.
           A
21
                  DID YOU GO WITH THE F.B.I. AND THE BELMONT
22
     P.D. TO HIS CONDOMINIUM AND WATCH THEM TAKE POSSESSION?
23
                  I DID NOT GO THERE.
           A
24
                   I WENT HOME.
25
                   BUT YOUR SENSE WAS THAT THEY WERE DISPATCHED
26
     TO THAT LOCATION?
27
                   WAS THE F.B.I. GOING WITH THE BELMONT PEOPLE?
28
                   NO.
           A
```

1 JUST THE BELMONT PEOPLE. 2 DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE BELMONT PEOPLE DID WITH 3 THOSE PAPERS THEY TOOK POSSESSION OF? 4 Α NO. 5 YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY RETAINED THEM OR 0 6 GAVE THEM TO THE F.B.I.? I HAVE NO IDEA. 8 YOU NEVER SAW ANY OF THOSE PAPERS, DID YOU, 9 MR. EISENBERG? 10 NO, I DID NOT. 11 THEN WHEN YOU GET BACK, THERE WAS A DISCUSSION 12 OF REZA BECOMING CONSERVATOR OR HAVING A CONSERVATORSHIP; 13 WHO TELLS YOU ABOUT THAT? 14 IT WAS A DISCUSSION, I THINK, WITH JOE -- IT 15 WAS A DISCUSSION WITH REZA, JOE AND I THINK DEAN WAS THERE. 16 HOW ABOUT WITH THE OTHER LEGAL PERSONNEL IN 17 THE FIRM, IN THE BBC, DID YOU TALK ABOUT THAT WITH ADELBERG 18 (SIC) OR DICKER? 19 BOTH. Α 20 IN WHAT CONTEXT DID YOU DISCUSS IT WITH THEM? Q 2: JUST THAT IT HAD TO BE DONE AND JOE DISCUSSED --22 IN FACT, THEY MAY HAVE BEEN THERE AT THE SAME TIME WHEN 23 IT WAS DISCUSSED. 24 AND I WAS NOT TOO ANXIOUS TO PREPARE THESE 25 PAPERS BUT, NONETHELESS, THE PAPERS WERE PREPARED. 2€ WAS MR. GRAHAM AROUND AT THAT TIME? Q 27 Α I DON'T REMEMBER. 28 WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HIM, I GUESS DICKER AND Q

ADELBERG (SIC) WERE AROUND AT THE SAME TIME? MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME. I ASSUME THAT IS NEIL ADELMAN? MR. BARENS: ADELMAN. 1 STAND CORRECTED, SIR. THE COURT: A-D-E-L-M-A-N. MR. BARENS: I STAND CORRECTED. THE WITNESS: AT THAT TIME, THE ONLY PEOPLE IN THE OFFICE WAS MYSELF AND MR. PITTMAN.

```
BY MR. BARENS: THOSE OTHER FOLKS, THOUGH,
1
     CAME UP TO THE OFFICE, DID THEY NOT, ON A REGULAR BASIS?
2
3
                  YES.
           Α
                  BUT THIS WAS MORE OR LESS AFTER NORMAL BUSINESS
4
5
     HOURS.
6
                 OKAY. THEN WHEN THEY WERE PREPARING THOSE
           0
     PAPERS. TO YOU IT APPEARED THE ULTIMATE CLIENT IN INTEREST
7
8
     WAS REZA ESLAMINIA?
           A THE ULTIMATE CLIENT IN INTEREST WAS HADAYET
9
10
     ESLAMINIA.
               I KNOW, BUT AS A BBC LAWYER, YOUR ULTIMATE
11
     CLIENT WAS WHO THEN, THE CONSERVATOR OR THE CONSERVATEE?
12
13
                  I THINK THE CLIENT AT THE POINT WAS THE BBC,
14
     NOT NECESSARILY REZA. BUT TO BE SPECIFIC THEN, REZA.
15
                  REZA WAS THE CLIENT, I SUPPOSE WE COULD DESCRIBE
           Q
16
     HIM.
17
                  WHAT WAS THE BBC INTEREST, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
18
                  THE WHOLE PROJECT WAS THE BBC TO HELP OUT
           Α
19
     REZA, THAT IS THE WAY IT WAS PRESENTED INITIALLY, THAT REZA
20
     IS A MEMBER OF THE BBC, IS IN NEED AND THAT WE HAVE TO COME
21
     TO HIS AID.
22
                  SO DID YOU THINK THAT THE BBC STOOD A FINANCIAL
23
     INTEREST. SIR?
24
                  THE FIRST TIME I WENT UP?
           Α
25
                   YES. LET'S TAKE IT ONE AT A TIME.
           Q
26
           Α
                   NO.
27
                  YOU DID NOT?
           Q
28
           Α
                   NO.
```

1	Q YOU THOUGHT THIS WAS SOME SORT OF A PLATONIC
2	GESTURE TOWARDS A MEMBER OF THE GROUP?
3	A YEAH, IT WAS A SIGN OF GOOD WILL.
4	Q AND THE SECOND TIME YOU HAD A NEW CONCEPT?
5	A YES, I DID.
6	Q AND THE CONCEPT THE SECOND TIME WOULD GENERALLY
7	BE DESCRIBED AS THAT THE BBC WOULD HAVE A FINANCIAL INTEREST
8	IN THE SUCCESS OF REZA IN OBTAINING THE CONSERVATORSHIP?
9	A CORRECT.
10	Q AT THAT POINT IN TIME, DID YOU HAVE ANY
11	DISCUSSIONS WITH ANYONE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE OTHER
12	MEMBERS OF THE ESLAMINIA FAMILY HAD INVOLVED THEMSELVES
13	IN THE CONSERVATORSHIP?
14	A I GENERALLY LEFT THAT UP TO MR. DICKER AND
15	TO MR. ADELMAN TO HANDLE.
16	Q WERE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE FACT THAT MR.
17	ESLAMINIA'S FORMER WIFE AND MOTHER OF HIS CHILDREN, INCLUDING
18	REZA, HAD SIGNED PAPER WORK IN SUPPORT OF REZA BEING
19	APPOINTED CONSERVATOR?
20	A AFTER AFTER I HAD RECEIVED DOCUMENTS WITH
21	THEIR SIGNATURE ON THEM, YES, I DID.
22	Q AND SHE WAS ENDORSING HIS RECEIPT OF THAT
23	STATUS BY THE COURT?
24	A MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THE RELATIONSHIP
25	BETWEEN MR. AND MRS. ESLAMINIA WAS STRAINED AT BEST.
26	Q I AM ACTUALLY NOT TALKING ABOUT MR. AND MRS.
27	ESLAMINIA.
28	T AM TALMING AROUT MOTHER AND SON IN THIS CONTEXT

MR. EISENBERG.

A MY UNDERSTANDING FROM REZA WAS THAT HE HAD A VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS MOTHER.

Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT SHE HAD ENDORSED -MY QUESTION ACTUALLY IS, MR. EISENBERG, OR WAS: DID YOU
UNDERSTAND THAT SHE HAD ENDORSED HIS RECEIPT OF THE
CONSERVATORSHIP BY THE SUPERIOR COURT?

A I AM NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND.

IF YOU ARE SAYING DID I RECEIVE A DECLARATION OR SEE A DECLARATION EXECUTED BY MRS. ESLAMINIA, YES.

DO I KNOW WHETHER SHE WOULD HAVE APPROVED SUBSEQUENTLY AND ENDORSED THE APPOINTMENT OF HIM BEING EXECUTOR, I DO NOT KNOW.

```
SHE CERTAINLY APPEARED TO BE ENDORSING HIS
          0
1
    APPLICATION TO BECOME EXECUTOR?
2
               AT THAT TIME, YES.
3
               FOR THE PETITION I SUPPOSE?
          Q
4
              AT THAT TIME.
          Α
5
          Q OKAY. WERE YOU IN COURT WHEN THE CONSERVATORSHIP
6
    WAS GRANTED?
7
          A YES I WAS.
8
          C AND THE JUDGE JUST -- WAS THERE ANY OPPOSITION
9
    IN COURT TO THE GRANTING BY ANY LEGAL INSTITUTION?
10
         A I NEVER SAW THE JUDGE. IT WAS SUBMITTED BY THE
11
    CLERK TO CHAMBERS AND ABOUT 45 SECONDS LATER, SIGNED AND
;2
    BROUGHT BACK OUT.
13
          O WERE YOU AWARE OF THE PRESENCE OF ANYONE WHO WAS
14
    LEGALLY CONTESTING OR DISPUTING THE APPOINTMENT?
15
              NO I WAS NOT.
16
          MR. BARENS: UUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
• 7
                (PAUSE.)
18
          MR. BARENS: CAN I JUST HAVE A MOMENT TO APPROACH THE
19
    PAPERWORK WE ARE REFERRING TO, THOSE PLEADING PAPERS? I DON'T
20
21
    HAVE THEM, YOUR HONOR.
                COULD I JUST HAVE A MOMENT AT THE COUNSEL TABLE?
22
                (PAUSE.)
23
24
          MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, MR. EISENBERG. I WILL RETURN
25
    THE EXHIBITS TO THE PEOPLE.
26
          THE COURT: ANNTHING FURTHER?
27
          MR. BARENS: NOTHING FURTHER. THANK YOU.
28
          THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?
```

0A-1

```
MR. WAPNER: I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION.
1
2
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3
     BY MR. WAPNER:
4
          O HAD YOU SERVED NOTICE ON ANY OTHER PARTY REGARDING
5
     YOUR INTENT TO SEEK THE APPOINTMENT OF REZA AS THE
6
     CONSERVATOR?
7
                YES, THE FBI AND THE BELMONT POLICE.
          А
8
                HAD YOU SERVED NOTICE ON OLGA VASQUEZ?
           Q
9
          А
                NO.
10
          MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURHTER.
11
          THE COURT: DID YOU SERVE NOTICE ON THE OTHER HEIRS?
12
          THE WITNESS: NO.
13
          THE COURT: AREN'T YOU REQLIRED TO?
14
          THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T PREPARE THE PAPERS.
15
          THE COURT: WELL, WHO PREPARED THEM?
16
          THE WITNESS: EVAN DICKER AND NEIL ADELMAN.
17
          THE COURT: BUT YOU KNOW AS A LAWYER YOU ARE SUPPOSED
18
     TO GIVE NOTICE TO THE WIDOW. IF THERE IS A WIDOW AND --
19
          THE WITNESS: THEY SIGNED DECLARATIONS AGREEING TO THE
20
21
     APPOINTMENT.
          THE COURT: THEY DID? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. YOU MAY
22
23
     BE EXCUSED, MR. EISENBERG.
24
          MR. WAPNER: WE CALL OSCAR BREILING.
25
26
                            CSCAR BREILING,
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED
27
28
     AS FOLLOWS:
```

A-3

THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE TESTIMONY
YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS
COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT
THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?

THE WITNESS: I DO.

THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED. STATE AND SPELL IT FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: OSCAR BREILING, B-R-E-I-L-I-N-G.

MR. BARENS: COULD WE PLEASE APPROACH THE BENCH

MOMENTARILY CONCERNING THIS WITNESS?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD AT THE BENCH OUT OF THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY:)

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, AS YOUR HONOR IS AWARE, MR. BREILING IS THE HEAD INVESTIGATOR FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO CASE. AND I WOULD LIKE TO FIRST ASK FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF AS TO THE GENERAL AREAS THAT THE PEOPLE SEEK TO EXAMINE, AT THIS POINT IN TIME.

MR. WAPNER: CERTAINLY, THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY OF THE VARIOUS DOCUMENTS THAT DETECTIVE ZOELLER TESTIFIED HE TURNED OVER TO MR. BREILING, SOME OF WHICH HAVE BEEN INTRODUCED OR MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION IN THIS PROCEEDINGS, SPECIFICALLY THE DOCUMENT THAT SAYS "REZA ASSETS CONSERVATORSHIP." THE BANK STATEMENT THAT WAS FOUND ON MR. HUNT AND THE DEPOSIT SLIP FROM MINA HAKIMI ON MR. HUNT AT THE TIME THAT HE WAS ARRESTED, AS WELL AS THE NOTEBOOK THAT WAS TAKEN FROM THE RESIDENCE OF JOE HUNT AND REZA ESLAMINIA, CONDO NUMBER 1505 AT THE WILSHIRE MANNING.

AND SPECIFICALLY A PORTION OF THAT BOOK WE SEEK TO INTRODUCE.

THE COURT: THAT WHAT?

MR. WAPNER: THE PORTION OF THAT NOTEBOOK THAT WE SEEK TO INTRODUCE IS A PAGE AS I SAID TO YOU BEFORE THAT HAS WRITING ON IT, "PROJECT SAM."

THE COURT: WHAT? HAS WRITING?

MR. WAPNER: HAS A PAGE IN THIS BLUE NOTEBOOK THAT HAS WRITING ON IT THAT SAYS, "PROJECT SAM."

IF YOU WILL GIVE ME JUST A MOMENT, I WILL FIND

THAT PARTICULAR PAGE. IT SAYS, "PROJECT SAM," AND THEN IT SAYS, "I SHOULD NOT BE SEEN TO CREATE ANY MORE FEAR ... " THEN IT LOOKS LIKE IT HAS THE MARK FOR "AND" AND THEN IT LOOKS LIKE IT MAY SAY "HADAYET" OR MAYBE "AND HE DIDN'T KNOW." I AM READING FROM THE COPY. AND THE DATE, THE NEXT DATE IN THE BOOK IS JULY 13TH OF 1984. THAT IS THE NEXT DATE AFTER THAT. THE MOST RECENT DATE PRECEDING THAT LOOKS LIKE JULY 1ST, 1984. AND THE DATES IN THIS BOOK APPEAR TO BE IN CHRONOLOGICAL SEQUENCE.

1F0

AND WELL, THERE IS ONE HERE APPARENTLY THAT

HAS AN EARLIER DATE. IT LOOKS LIKE IT IS 7-23-84.

AND THERE IS ONE PRECEDING THAT 7-20 AND ALSO

ONE THAT IS PRECEDING THAT WHICH IS 7-19 AND THEN 7-16.

SO PERHAPS I WAS READING THE EARLIER DATE WRONG.

MR. BARENS: I JUST WANT TO KEEP THE PAGE --

THE COURT: WHAT ELSE?

MR. WAPNER: THAT IS ALL.

9 MR. BARENS: THAT IS ALL YOU SEEK FROM THIS WITNESS,
10 MR. WAPNER?

MR. WAPNER: OH, NO. I AM SORRY.

AND THERE ARE TWO ITEMS THAT WERE TAKEN OUT

OF MR. HUNT'S RESIDENCE ON BELLAGIO ROAD. ONE IS THE LETTER

THAT SAYS -- THE LIST.

THE COURT: WHEN WAS THAT?

MR. WAPNER: EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL.

THERE ARE TWO ITEMS THAT WERE TAKEN OUT OF MR. HUNT'S RESIDENCE ON BELLAGIO ROAD WHERE HE WAS LIVING WITH THE ROBERTS. ONE IS A LIST THAT SAYS "SAM EXPENSES" ON IT AND IT HAS CERTAIN NUMBERS. IT SAYS "LEASE \$4800" AND IT HAS TWO OTHER REFERENCES. IT SAYS SOMETHING ABOUT "REZA, BAIL," AND IT HAS AN AMOUNT. THEN IT HAS ONE OTHER ENTRY ON THAT LIST.

AND THE OTHER THING IS OR ARE CHECK BOOKS OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA THAT WERE FOUND IN MR. HUNT'S ROOM AT THE ROBERTS' RESIDENCE.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE IT WOULD BE VERY
POOR LAW TO PERMIT THE INTRODUCTION OF THE BLUE SPIRAL

NOTEBOOK OR THE PAGE THEREOF.

WHAT DO YOU WANT TO MARK, ONE THERE?

MR. WAPNER: WHAT I WANT TO INTRODUCE IS THE PAGE
THAT SAYS "PROJECT SAM" ON IT AND HAVE HIM REFER TO DATES
BEFORE AND DATES AFTER IN THE BOOK IN TERMS OF TRYING TO
SET IT IN TIME.

MR. BARENS: OKAY.

MR. WAPNER: AND TO HAVE HIM MAKE REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT THERE IS FARSI WRITING IN THIS BOOK, IF THAT HAS NOT BEEN DONE ALREADY.

MR. BARENS: ASSUREDLY, IT HAS BEEN DONE.

THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN DONE.

MR. BARENS: IN ANY EVENT, AS YOUR HONOR IS WELL AWARE, WE HAVE NO IDEA WHO THE AUTHOR OF THAT DOCUMENT IS.

WE HAVE NO IDEA WHOSE HANDWRITING IT IS IN.

THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT THERE ARE AT LEAST TWO, IF NOT AT LEAST THREE, I WOULD SUBMIT TO THE COURT, THREE PERSON'S HANDWRITING PRESENT IN THAT SPIRAL BOOK.

THE COURT: I TRIED TO TELL YOU BEFORE THAT THE FACT IS THAT THIS BOOK WAS FOUND IN HIS ROOM, NUMBER 1.

AND NUMBER 2, IN THIS VERY BOOK APPEARS WRITING IN FARSI AND HE WAS DEMONSTRABLY AN IRANIAN. THAT IS AN INFERENCE THE JURY CAN DRAW, THAT IS A CONNECTION BETWEEN THE PERSON WHO OCCUPIED THE ROOM AND REZA. THAT WILL BE FOR THE JURY TO DETERMINE.

IF YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT IT WASN'T HIS HANDWRITING, THAT THE BOOK WASN'T HIS, INTRODUCE IT.

MR. BARENS: THE POINT IS THEY DON'T HAVE ANY

HANDWRITING EXPERT NOR WAS THERE ANY EVIDENCE THAT IT WAS

THE COURT: THE FACT THAT THIS DIARY WAS FOUND IN
HIS ROOM AND THAT THIS DIARY CONTAINED FARSI AND ENGLISH,
ALL RIGHT, WOULD BE ENOUGH TO CREATE AN INFERENCE THAT THE
JURY MAY CONSIDER, THAT THE BOOK BELONGED TO REZA, THAT
IS CLEAR. IT IS CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. THE INFERENCE
CAN CLEARLY BE DRAWN FROM THAT. LET THE JURY DECIDE THAT.
I CAN'T MAKE A RULING NOW THAT IT IS NOT HIS

10 BOOK.

MR. BARENS: IF YOUR HONOR IS COMMITTED THEN TO THE 1 ADMISSION OF THIS EVIDENCE AS TENDERED, THE DEFENSE WOULD 2 LIKE THE WHOLE THING PUT IN RATHER THAN THE SINGLE PAGE. 3 THE COURT: WELL, IF THERE IS ANY REASON FOR PUTTING 4 5 THE WHOLE THING IN. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND PUT IT IN. YOU ONLY WANT TO PUT IN THAT ONE PAGE: IS THAT 6 7 RIGHT? MR. WAPNER: I WAS PUTTING IN ONE PAGE BECAUSE I 8 THOUGHT THEY WOULDN'T WANT THE WHOLE BOOK IN WITH THIS WHOLE 9 10 BUNCH OF STUFF. - -THE COURT: IF YOU WANT IT IN, GO AHEAD. 12 MR. BARENS: I THINK IF WE ARE GOING TO DEAL, WE HAD BETTER DEAL WITH THE WHOLE MATTER BECAUSE NOW THE WHOLE 13 THING HAS BEEN DISCUSSED IN FRONT OF THE JURY ANYHOW. 14 WHILE I AM UP HERE, I THINK I WOULD LIKE FOR 15 16 THE RECORD, RELATIVE TO MR. EISENBERG NOW, TO MAKE MY MOTION CONCERNING HIS TESTIMONY, TO THE EXTENT THAT YOUR 17 HONOR PERMITTED A QUESTION AND ANSWER TO TAKE PLACE WHEREIN 18 MR. EISENBERG TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD HAD A CONVERSATION WITH 19 MR. PITTMAN, AFTER WHICH HE TOOK ACTION TO NEGATE THE 20 CONSERVATORSHIP OR ALTERNATIVELY TO DISASSOCIATE HIMSELF. 22 THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO ASK HIM WHAT THE CONVERSATION 23 IS. YOU ARE AT LIBERTY TO DO SO. 24 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, OBVIOUSLY THERE IS NO NEED 25 TO LIKE THE WITNESS WHAT THE CONVERSATION WAS. IT WAS AMPLY 26 SUEAR TO THE JURY AND EVERYONE IN THIS COURTROOM THAT MR. 27 FITTMAN OBVIOUSLY TOLD HIM SOMETHING OF A BAD NATURE OF

28

THE GOINGS ON.

:7

THE COURT: HE DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT A BAD NATURE OR ANYTHING. IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING COMPLETELY UNRELATED TO THE KIDNAPPING.

MR. BARENS: I DON'T THINK YOUR HONOR COULD BELIEVE THAT THE JURY COULD BELIEVE THAT.

THE COURT: HOW DO I KNOW?

IF YOU WANT TO CLEAR IT UP WITH THE JURY, ASK HIM WHAT THE CONVERSATION WAS THAT MOTIVATED THIS THING.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR KNOWS THAT WOULD BE, NUMBER ONE, TANTAMOUNT TO LEGAL MALPRACTICE FOR MY TO DO SUCH AN 43T.

THE COURT: MALPRACTICE?

MR. BARENS: I WOULD NOT INVITE UPON MYSELF A BLIGHT THAT THE LAW WOULD IMPOSE.

AND THE PROBLEM I HAVE HERE IS THAT THROUGH
THE DEVICE OF MR. WAPNER ASKING HIM ABOUT HERE
ALS NO RELEVANCY FOR MR. WAPNER TO ASK HIM ABOUT A CONVERSATION HE HAD WITH MR. PITTMAN, OTHER THAN TO SUGGEST TO
THE JURY THAT MR. PITTMAN TOLD HIM SOMETHING OF A MYSTERIOUS
NATURE THAT HAD OCCURRED IN SAN FRANCISCO. NOW THAT IS
REAL OBVIOUS TO THE COURT AND IT IS REAL OBVIOUS TO ME.

WHAT OTHER RELEVANCE WAS THERE TO THE JURY ABOUT THE PITTMAN CONVERSATION?

THE COURT: WELL, IT WILL EXPLAIN THE FACT THAT HE

IID NOT -- IT WILL EXPLAIN WHY HE DIDN'T CROSS ALL OF THE

T'S AND DOT ALL OF THE I'S.

MR. BARENS: RIGHT. BUT YOU SEE, THAT WASN'T PERMISSIBLE IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. IN THE DEFENSE OPINION, YOU CAN'T

1 DO THAT. THE COURT: WE HAVE GONE OVER THAT IN CONNECTION WITH THE 2 PREVIOUS ARGUMENT THAT YOU HAVE MADE AND I PERMITTED HIM 3 TO ASK THE QUESTIONS WHICH HE INTENDED TO ASK AND YOU HAVE 4 GOT THE RECORD MADE AND IF I WAS IN ERROR, THEN IF HE IS --5 IF ANYTHING HAPPENS, YOU CAN ALWAYS AFTER THAT ARGUE IT 6 WITH THE SUPREME COURT. 7 MR. BARENS: AGAIN, I JUST WANTED -- I DON'T DO APPEALS, JUDGE, BUT I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE RECORD WAS CLEAR. 9 THE COURT: THE RECORD IS PERFECTLY CLEAR THAT YOU 10 HAD OBJECTED TO IT BEFORE. 11 MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 13 IN OPEN COURT IN THE HEARING AND 14 PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. WAPNER: 19 MR. BREILING, BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED? Q THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. 20 Α 21 WHAT DO YOU DO FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE? 0 22 I AM A SPECIAL AGENT. 23 HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED FOR THEM AS A SPECIAL 24 AGENT? 25 THIRTEEN YEARS. Α 26 IN YOUR CAPACITY AS A SPECIAL AGENT FOR THE 27 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ARE YOU AN INVESTIGATING OFFICER

IN THE CASE IN SAN MATEO COUNTY THAT INVOLVES MR. HUNT,

```
1
     REZA ESLAMINIA, BEN DOSTI AND JAMES PITTMAN?
2
         A I AM.
3
          Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN ASSIGNED TO WORK ON
     THAT CASE?
4
         A SINCE APPROXIMATELY AUGUST 16, 1984.
5
          Q AND WAS THERE A PERIOD OF TIME BETWEEN THEN
6
7
    AND NOW THAT YOU DID NOT WORK ON THE CASE?
8
         A YES.
          Q WHEN WAS THAT?
9
10
         A I SELIEVE IT WAS THE LATTER PART OF FEBRUARY,
   1985 UNTIL SEPTEMBER, 1985.
1 -
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2:
22
23
24
25
2€
27
28
```

- Q WHY WERE YOU OFF THE CASE?
- A I BROKE MY BACK IN A HIGH SPEED AUTO CRASH.
 - Q AND DURING THAT TIME, WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THE AGENT THAT REPLACED YOU?
 - A CORWIN BUD BENNETT.
- Q IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR DUTIES AS THE INVESTIGATING
 OFFICER IN THIS CASE, DID YOU PICK UP CERTAIN EVIDENCE FROM
 LES ZOELLER OF THE BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT?
 - A YES I DID.
- Q DID YOU AMONG OTHER THINGS, PICK UP FROM HIM SOME DOCUMENTS THAT WE HAVE MARKED THE ORIGINAL OF THE DOCUMENTS AND THEY HAVE BEEN MARKED AS 34 AND 35 FOR IDENTIFICATION WHICH WOULD BE 34, THE DEPOSIT SLIP OF MINA HAKIMI AND 35, THE BANK STATEMENT OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA?
- A THE ORIGINALS OF THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS WERE TURNED OVER TO ME BY DETECTIVE ZOELLER.
 - Q AND DID YOU KEEP THOSE IN YOUR POSSESSION?
- A YES I DID.
 - Q DID YOU BRING THEM DOWN TO COURT WITH YOU?
- 20 A YES I DID.
 - Q ALL RIGHT. WE WILL COME BACK TO THOSE IN A MINUTE.

 AND DID YOU AS A PART OF YOUR DUTIES -- WELL, I WANT TO SHOW

 THE ORIGINALS OF 34 AND 35 AND ASK YOU IF THOSE ARE THE ITEMS

 THAT YOU COLLECTED FROM DETECTIVE ZOELLER.
 - A YES THEY ARE.
 - Q AND THOSE ARE THE ITEMS THAT YOU KEPT IN YOUR POSSESSION AND THEN BROUGHT TO COURT FOR USE IN THIS PHASE OF THE PROCEEDINGS?

IDENTIFICATION, DID YOU IN FACT OBTAIN THE ORIGINAL OF THAT

22A 2

FROM MR. KARNY? 22A = 1 A THE ORIGINAL OF THIS I OBTAINED FROM MR. KARNY 2 THROUGH A PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR THAT HAD BEEN EMPLOYED BY HIS 3 ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT MR. KARNY. 4 Q WHAT WAS MR. KARNY'S INVESTIGATOR'S NAME? 5 A I BELIEVE IT IS IF I RECALL CORRECTLY, BOB HELDER, 6 H-E-L-D-E-R, I BELIEVE. THEY WERE CONFIRMED BY MR. KARNY AS COMING FROM HIM, HOWEVER. 8 Q AND WAS THAT IN THE CONVERSATION THAT YOU 9 SUBSEQUENTLY HAD WITH MR. KARNY? 10 • A YES. Q AND IN YOUR CAPACITY AS THE INVESTIGATOR IN THE 12 CASE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, DID YOU DO ANYTHING WITH RESPECT 13 TO THE CONSERVATORSHIP THAT HAD BEEN GRANTED REZA ESLAMINIA 14 OVER HIS FATHER'S ESTATE? 15 A YES I DID. 18 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

WHAT WAS THAT? 0 1 I TOOK STEPS TO HAVE IT WITHDRAWN. 2 WAS SOMEONE ELSE SUBSEQUENTLY APPOINTED AS THE 3 Q CONSERVATOR? 4 YES. Α 5 WHO WAS THAT? 0 6 THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO. Α 7 DID YOU ALSO TAKE -- EXCUSE ME FOR BEING A LITTLE 8 DISJOINTED. BUT SHOWING YOU WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE ORIGINAL 9 PEOPLE'S 29, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? 10 YES. IT WAS THE ORIGINAL OF 29 THAT I USED TO 11 PRODUCE THE COPY THAT IS MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 29. 12 AND DID YOU KEEP THE ORIGINAL IN YOUR POSSESSION 13 Q AND CUSTODY FROM THE TIME IT WAS TURNED OVER TO YOU BY 14 MR. HELDER? 15 16 A YES. SHOWING YOU A DOCUMENT, THE COPY OF WHICH WE HAVE 17 MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 30 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE 18 19 THAT? 20 Α YES I DO. WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN THAT BEFORE? 21 Q THAT WAS A DOCUMENT THAT WAS OBTAINED FROM THE 22 BEVERLY HILLS POLICE DEPARTMENT BY AGENT BENNETT DURING THE 23 PERIOD OF MY ABSENCE ON DISABILITY LEAVE. 24 AND WAS THAT RETAINED BY YOU BECAUSE YOU FELT 25 C IT HAD SOME SIGNIFICANCE IN YOUR CASE? 26 27 YES. Α SPECIFICALLY, IS THERE A NOTATION IN THAT DOCUMENT 28 Q

2-

THAT YOU FEEL IS OF SIGNIFICANCE? 22. -YES. MR. BARENS: OBJECTION, IF YOU DON'T MIND. OBJECTION, IT IS CONCLUSIONARY AND ARGUING THE EVIDENCE FOR HIM TO SAY WHAT IS OF SIGNIFICANCE IN THIS. WE OBJECT. IT IS CLEARLY CONCLUSIONARY --THE COURT: THAT IT MAY BE PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION. ALL RIGHT? MR. BARENS: THAT IS INVITING ARGUMENT, SIR. 2€

THE COURT: WELL, THEN I WILL KEEP THE SAME RULING 3 - " 1 PERTINENT TO HIS INVESTIGATION. 2 MR. BARENS: SIR? 3 THE COURT: I AM OVERRULING YOUR OBJECTION. 4 MR. BARENS: SIR, I KNOW WE OUGHT TO DO THAT AFTER WE 5 MAKE IT MORE COMPREHENSIVE. 6 THE COURT: YOU HAVE MADE IT AT THE BENCH ALREADY. 7 YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE IT EVEN MORE COMPREHENSIVELY. 8 MR. BARENS: I KNOW, BUT NOW YOU ARE ASKING THE WITNESS 9 TO ARGUE THE PROSECUTION'S CASE. 10 THE COURT: LET'S GO ON. YOU ARGUED NOW CONSIDERABLY 11 AT THE BENCH. 12 Q BY MR. WAPNER: SPECIFICALLY AT THE BACK OF THAT 13 NOTEBOOK, IS THERE A REFERENCE TO SOMETHING CALLED "PROJECT 14 SAM"? 15 A YES. 16 THE COURT: HASN'T THAT ALREADY BEEN TESTIFIED IN THIS 17 CASE AS TO WHAT THAT WAS, "PROJECT SAM"? 18 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR MIGHT WISH TO --19 THE COURT: WE KNOW ABOUT THAT NOW, DON'T WE? 20 MR. WAPNER: I AM JUST SEEKING TO OBTAIN THE REFERENCE 21 22 THAT IS IN THIS BOOK. THE COURT: YES. 23 24 O BY MR. WAPNER: IS THERE A DATE ON THE PARTICULAR 25 PAGE WHERE THAT REFERENCE APPEARS? 26 A NO. 27 Q CAN YOU FIND, ARE THERE DATES IN THAT BOOK ON

28

OTHER PAGES?

```
YES.
           Α
1
                 CAN YOU FIND THE CLOSEST DATES ON EITHER SIDE
           Q
2
    OF THAT REFERENCE, BOTH BEFORE AND AFTER?
3
                THE PRECEDING PAGE HAS A DATE OF 7-9-84.
           Α
4
                 THE NEXT PAGE HAS NO DATE.
5
                 THE FOLLOWING PAGE HAS NO DATE.
6
                 THE PAGE AFTER THAT HAS A DATE OF 7-13-84.
7
                 WOULD YOU GO BEFORE THE PAGE THAT HAS 7-9, AND
8
     SEE IF THERE ARE OTHER PAGES THAT HAVE DATES THAT APPEAR TO
9
     BE ACTUALLY LATER THAN THAT?
10
                THE PAGE THAT SAYS "7-9." THE FACING PAGE, HAS
11
     7-9-84.
12
                 TURNING THE PAGE BACK THE NEXT TWO PAGES HAVE
13
    NO DATES.
14
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES ARE BLANK.
15
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES HAVE NO DATE.
16
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES HAVE NO DATE.
17
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES ARE BLANK.
18
19
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES HAVE NO DATE.
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES ARE BLANK.
20
                 THE NEXT TWO PAGES, ONE HAS WRITING, AND ONE BLANK.
21
22
     NEITHER HAVING A DATE.
                 THE NEXT PAGE HAS A DATE OF 7-23-84.
23
24
                 THANK YOU.
           Q
25
                 DID YOU PERFORM A SEARCH OF MR. HUNT'S RESIDENCE,
26
     JOE HUNT'S RESIDENCE, SIMETIME THIS YEAR?
27
           Α
                 YES.
28
                 WHEN DID YOU PERFORM THAT SEARCH?
           Q
```

1	A I BELIEVE THE DATE WAS JANUARY THE 8TH OF 1987.
2	Q WAS THAT PURSUANT TO A SEARCH WARRANT?
3	A YES, IT WAS.
4	Q WHEN YOU PERFORMED THAT SEARCH, WHAT WAS THE
5	LOCATION THAT YOU SEARCHED?
6	A IT WAS AN ADDRESS ON BELLAGIO, THE EXACT NUMBERS
7	OF WHICH I DON'T INDEPENDENTLY RECALL AT THIS MOMENT.
8	Q DO YOU RECALL WHO WAS LIVING AT THAT HOUSE BESIDES
9	MR. HUNT?
10	A MR. BOBBY ROBERTS, MRS. LYNNE ROBERTS AND MISS
11	BROCKE ROBERTS.
12	Q AND WHEN YOU WENT TO THE HOUSE TO SERVE THE SEARCH
13	WARRANT, WHO WAS THERE?
14	A ALL OF THE PEOPLE THAT I HAVE MENTIONED.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
27	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2€	
27	
28	

3.4.F

```
Q WERE YOU SPECIFICALLY GOING TO THE RESIDENCE FOR
3A 1
          1
              THE PURPOSE OF SEARCHING FOR ITEMS RELATED TO JOE HUNT?
          2
                         I WAS.
          3
                         WERE YOU DIRECTED TO A PORTION OF THAT LOCATION
          4
              WHERE YOU WERE TOLD HE RESIDED?
          5
                    A I WAS.
          6
                          WHERE WAS THAT?
                    Q
          7
                          UPSTAIRS.
                    А
          8
                    Q AND WHEN YOU WENT UPSTAIRS, WHAT PORTION OF THE
          9
              UPSTAIRS DID YOU GO TO?
          10
                   A I EXAMINED THE ENTIRE HOME AND THE FOCUS OF MY
          11
              SEARCH WAS NARROWED TO TWO BEDROOMS ON THE SECOND FLOOR, WHICH
          12
              WERE IDENTIFIED TO ME BY MR. BOBBY ROBERTS AS BEING THOSE
          13
              OCCUPIED BY JOSEPH HUNT.
          14
                    DID YOU SEE ITEMS IN THERE THAT WOULD CONFIRM
          15
              THAT IN FACT THEY WERE OCCUPIED BY MR. HUNT?
          16
                   A I DID.
          17
                    Q DID YOU, PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT, SEIZE SEVERAL
          18
              ITEMS FROM THE RESIDENCE?
          19
          20
                   A I DID.
                         DID YOU BRING A COUPLE OF THOSE HERE WITH YOU
          21
                    Q
          22
              TODAY?
          23
                         I DID.
                   Α
          24
                    O FIRST OF ALL, HOW MANY OF THOSE DID YOU BRING,
          25
              THOSE ITEMS?
          26
                    A I BELIEVE, IF I RECALL CORRECTLY, I BROUGHT FOUR
          27
              CHECKBOOKS, A HANDWRITTEN NOTE AND A RENTAL AGREEMENT.
```

Q THE CHECKBOOKS, WHERE WERE THEY FOUND?

```
THE CHECKBOOKS WERE FOUND SPECIFICALLY IN A LARGE
1
    CARDBOARD BOX BEHIND A KIND OF HIGHBOY CLOSET, AN ANTIQUE
2
    CLOSET THAT WAS MOVABLE.
3
                 SO YOU HAD TO MOVE THE CLOSET TO GET TO THIS BOX?
          Q
                THAT IS CORRECT.
5
                 AND WHEN YOU MOVED THE CLOSET AND LOOKED IN THE
          Q
6
    BOX, WHY DID YOU TAKE THOSE PARTICULAR CHECKBOOKS?
7
                 BECAUSE I RECOGNIZED THE NAME AND THE ADDRESS
8
    THAT WAS ON THE FACE OF THE CHECKS.
9
                 WHAT WAS THE NAME THAT WAS ON THE FACE OF THE
          Q
10
    CHECKS?
11
                 IT IS HADAYET ESLAMINIA.
           Α
12
                 HOWEVER, THEY HAVE MISSPELLED HIS LAST NAME.
13
     INSTEAD OF USING AN "E" FOR THE BEGINNING OF HIS LAST NAME,
14
     IT SAYS IT IS AN "F" SO IT ACTUALLY SAYS HADAYET FSLAMINIA.
15
                 DID YOU RECOGNIZE THE ADDRESS ON THOSE CHECKS?
16
           Q
                YES, IT WAS A HILLSBOROUGH ADDRESS THAT HE HAD
           Α
17
     ONCE OCCUPIED.
18
                THAT MR. ESLAMINIA HAD ONCE OCCUPIED?
19
           Q
                 THAT IS CORRECT.
20
           Α
                 I WANT TO SHOW YOU A FOLDER THAT APPARENTLY HAS
21
     FOUR CHECKBOOKS IN IT AND ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE
22
23
     CHECKBOOKS.
                 THESE ARE THE CHECKBOOKS THAT I TOOK FROM THE
24
           Α
     RESIDENCE OF JOSEPH HUNT ON JANUARY THE 8TH, 1987.
25
           Q DID YOU MAKE COPIES OF THE FIRST CHECK IN EACH
26
27
     ONE OF THOSE BOOKS?
```

I DID.

Α

```
MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY THOSE COPIES BE MARKED
1
    AS 38 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
2
          THE COURT: THEY WILL BE SO MARKED.
3
          Q BY MR. WAPNER: MR. BREILING, WHAT IS THE ADDRESS
4
    ON THE CHECKS THAT ARE MARKED 38 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
5
         A 3300 RALSTON, R-A-L-S-T-O-N, AVENUE, HILLSBOROUGH,
6
    H-I-L-L-S-B-O-R-O-U-G-H, CALIFORNIA, ZIP CODE 94010.
7
            IS THAT AN ADDRESS THAT YOU CAME TO REALIZE
8
    THROUGH THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION THAT MR. ESLAMINIA
9
    HAD OCCUPIED AT ONE TIME?
10
          YES.
11
          Q THE RENTAL AGREEMENT THAT YOU SEIZED, WHERE WAS
12
    THAT TAKEN FROM, IF YOU REMEMBER?
13
               THAT WAS TAKEN, ALONG WITH SEVERAL MISCELLANEOUS
14
    PAPERS, FROM A CARDBOARD BOX SITTING ON THE FLOOR NEAR A
15
16
    FIREPLACE.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

```
Q WHY DID YOU TAKE THAT PARTICULAR RENTAL
1
2
     AGREEMENT?
                BECAUSE IT RELATED TO THE RENTAL OF THE HOME
3
4
     LOCATED AT 155 BEVERLY GLEN.
5
           O SHOWING YOU THE DOCUMENT --
          THE COURT: 155 NORTH BEVERLY GLEN?
6
7
           THE WITNESS: I STAND CORRECTED, YES. I AM NOT
     FAMILIAR WITH YOUR AREA. HERE.
8
           O BY MR. WAPNER: SHOWING YOU A DOCUMENT WE HAVE
9
     MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 24 AND WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE ORIGINAL
10
     OF THAT DOCUMENT, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
11
           A PEOPLE'S 24 IS THE COPY THAT I PRODUCED FROM
12
     THE ORIGINAL OF THE RENTAL AGREEMENT.
13
           O AND THAT IS THE RENTAL AGREEMENT FOR THE HOUSE
14
15
     AT 155 NORTH BEVERLY GLEN IN LOS ANGELES?
16
          A THE ADDRESS LISTED ON THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
17
     IS 155 BEVERLY GLEN.
           Q AT SOME POINT DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR
18
19
     INVESTIGATION --
20
          THE COURT: PARDON ME. IT MIGHT VERY WELL BE BEVERLY
21
     GLEN.
22
           MR. BARENS: SORRY?
           THE COURT: IT MIGHT VERY WELL BE THAT THE NUMBERS
23
24
     START OUT AT 100 UP THERE AND THEN COME DOWN.
25
           MR. BARENS: THEY DO, SIR.
26
           THE COURT: YES, AS YOU GO SOUTH.
27
           MR. BARENS: RIGHT, SOUTH OF SUNSET, ACTUALLY.
28
           Q BY MR. WAPNER: DID YOU GO TO THAT HOUSE?
```

YES, I DID. 1 Α DID YOU CAUSE PICTURES TO BE TAKEN OF THE HOUSE? 2 Q 3 Α YES, I DID. DID YOU GO INTO THE BASEMENT OF THE HOUSE? 0 5 Α YES, I DID. SHOWING YOU THE BOOK OF PHOTOGRAPHS THAT IS 6 Q MARKED AS PEOPLE'S 23 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE 7 8 THOSE PICTURES? 9 YES, I DO. Α 10 HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE? Q I TOOK THEM. 11 Α SPECIFICALLY WITH REGARD TO THE PICTURE TOWARD 12 0 THE BACK OF THE BOOK THAT INVOLVED THE BASEMENT, CAN YOU 13 TELL US HOW ACCESS IS GAINED TO THE BASEMENT AND WHAT THOSE 14 15 PHOTOGRAPHS DEPICT? THERE IS A BRICK ENTRYWAY LEADING TO A WOODEN 16 DOOR. THAT DOOR IS THE FRONT DOOR OF THE HOME. IT OPENS 17 TO A SMALL FOYER OR ENTRY HALL. 18 19 IMMEDIATELY TO THE RIGHT OF THAT ENTRY DOOR, THERE IS WHAT APPEARS TO BE CLOSET DOOR. THAT DOOR IS 20 21 OPENED AND THERE IS WHAT APPEARS TO BE A CLOSET THERE. 22 YOU TAKE THE CLOSET POLE OUT, THE BACK OF THE 23 CLOSET FOLDS AWAY, THE FLOOR LIFTS UP AND EXPOSED IS A STAIRWAY LEADING DOWN TO A SMALL LANDING. YOU WALK DOWN 24 25 TO THAT LANDING, MAKE AN IMMEDIATE RIGHT AND DOWN A FLIGHT 26 OF STAIRS INTO A SMALL BASEMENT WITH CONCRETE FLOOR.

HAVE YOU ATTEMPTED TO DEPICT THAT IN THE PHOTO-

28

GRAPHS THAT YOU TOOK?

1	A I HAVE.
2	Q AND DO THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE IN THE BOOK
3	OF PEOPLE'S 23, DEPICT THE BASEMENT ACCURATELY, THE BASEMENT
4	OF THAT HOUSE?
5	A TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, YES.
6	Q WHEN WERE THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN?
7	A THE EXACT DATE OF ME TAKING THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS
8	IS NOT RECALLED BY ME INDEPENDENTLY AT THIS TIME.
9	Q REGARDING THE FURTHER SEARCH OF MR. HUNT'S
0	RESIDENCE, YOU SAID THAT YOU ALSO TOOK A LETTER OF SOME
1	SORT OUT OF THERE. WHY DID YOU DO THAT?
2	A THAT NOTES MAKES REFERENCE TO "SAM" AND IT
13	APPEARED TO BE A LIST.
14	Q SHOWING YOU THE ORIGINAL OF A DOCUMENT THAT
5	IS ON A YELLOW PIECE OF PAPER, A COPY OF WHICH YOUR HONOR,
6	I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MARKED AS 39 FOR IDENTIFICATION
17	THE COURT: BE SO MARKED.
18	Q BY MR. WAPNER: DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
19	A THE COPY YOU JUST MARKED, PEOPLE'S 39 IS A
20	COPY I MADE MYSELF FROM THE ORIGINAL.
21	Q AND WHERE WAS THE ORIGINAL TAKEN FROM?
22	A THIS WAS IN THE MISCELLANEOUS PAPERS IN THE
23	CARDBOARD BOX ON THE FLOOR.
24	Q IN THE BEDROOM OF THE BELLAGIO ROAD HOUSE?
25	A IN ONE OF THE TWO BEDROOMS OCCUPIED BY JOSEPH
26	TUNT.
27	Q AND WHAT DOES IT SAY ON THAT LIST?
28	A AT THE TOD IT SING MEAN MEAND UNDERNEATH THAT

```
IT HAS THE NUMBER 5.000 FOLLOWED BY THE WORDS "SUPPLIES
1
     SETUP." AND UNDERNEATH THAT IS THE FIGURE 4800 FOLLOWED
2
     BY THE WORD "LEASE".
                  UNDER THAT IS THE NUMBER 6,000 FOLLOWED BY
     THE WORDS, "CASH, TRIPS, BAIL AND REZA."
5
           Q DID YOU ACCOMPANY DEAN KARNY TO VARIOUS LOCATIONS
6
     IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA WHERE HE TOLD YOU THAT HE PURCHASED
7
8
     ITEMS THAT WERE USED IN THIS ABDUCTION OF MR. ESLAMINIA?
9
                 I DID.
10
                  SHOWING YOU THREE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT HAVE BEEN
     MARKED AS 26-A. B AND C, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?
11
12
           Α
                 YES, I DO.
                  WHAT ARE THEY?
13
           Q
                  PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN BY ME OF A STEAMER TYPE TRUNK.
14
15
                 AND WOULD YOU JUST HOLD THOSE UP SO THE JURY
16
     CAN SEE THEM?
17
                  (THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
18
                  WHERE DID YOU GET THAT TRUNK?
           Q
19
                  I PERSONALLY DID NOT GET THIS TRUCK. IT WAS
     OBTAINED BY AGENT BENNETT WHILE I WAS ON DISABILITY LEAVE.
20
21
                  AND DID YOU TAKE THE PHOTOGRAPHS OR DID HE?
           Q
22
                  I TOOK THEM.
23
                  WHERE IS THAT TRUNK NOW?
24
                  IT IS IN THE EVIDENCE LOCKER AT THE SPECIAL
25
     PROSECUTIONS UNIT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN SAN
26
     FRANCISCO.
27
                AND HAVE YOU PUT SOME KIND OF A RULER OR
```

MEASURING DEVICE TO THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS TO TRY TO DEPICT THE

4-4

+B

1	SIZE OF THAT?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND IS THAT A ONE-FOOT LONG RULER OR
4	A IT IS A 12-INCH RULER.
5	Q AND APPROXIMATELY WHAT IS THE SIZE OF THAT
6	TRUNK?
7	A IT APPEARS TO BE APPROXIMATELY TWO FEET IN
8	HEIGHT AND SLIGHTLY OVER THREE FEET IN LENGTH.
9	MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE A RECESS AT THIS
11	TIME. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE'LL TAKE A 15-
12	MINUTE RECESS AT THIS TIME. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT I
13	GAVE YOU STILL APPLIES.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

THE COURT: I ASKED YOU THIS MORNING. DIDN'T YOU TALK

```
TO HIM SINCE I TOLD YOU ABOUT IT THIS MORNING?
1
          MR. BARENS: YES, AND HE TOLD ME QUITE POINTEDLY --
2
          THE COURT: YOU TOLD ME THAT IT WAS GOING TO BE AT 1:30,
3
    YOU WOULD LET ME KNOW.
4
          MR. BARENS: SIR, I DIDN'T GET A CHANCE.
5
          THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE ACCESS TO HIM NOW. TALK
6
7
    TO HIM.
          MR. BARENS: JUDGE, I AM TRYING TO BE --
8
          THE COURT: DON'T PLAY GAMES WITH ME.
          MR. BARENS: I AM NOT PLAYING GAMES WITH YOU.
10
          THE COURT: DO YOU INTEND TO CALL HIM OR DON'T YOU INTEND
11
    TO CALL HIM?
12
          MR. BARENS: SIR, THAT IS A DECISION THE DEFENDANT HAS
13
    TO MAKE.
14
          THE COURT: YOU SHOULD HAVE MADE THE DECISION LONG BEFORE
15
    THIS.
16
          MR. BARENS: I INQUIRED OF THE DEFENDANT BOTH YESTERDAY
17
    AND TODAY, SIR, IF HE HAD MADE A FINAL DECISION AND THE
18
    DEFENDANT HAS NOT TOLD ME HE HAS MADE A FINAL DECISION.
19
          THE COURT: WELL, TELL HIM THIS IS THE TIME FOR A
20
21
     DECISION.
          MR. BARENS: SIR, EVEN IF HE HAD MADE A DECISION, I
22
     CANNOT COMPEL HIM TO TAKE THE STAND.
23
          THE COURT: WHO ASKED YOU TO COMPEL HIM?
24
          MR. BARENS: SIR, I AM SAYING THAT IF HE HAS DECIDED
25
     TO TAKE THE STAND, HE HAS A CHOICE TO BE HIS LAST DEFENSE
26
     WITNESS, RATHER THAN HIS FIRST DEFENSE WITNESS.
27
```

THE COURT: NO, I AM NOT GOING TO WASTE DAYS AND DAYS

5-2

5 - 7 UNTIL HE MAKES UP HIS MIND, UNTIL YOU GET YOUR WITNESSES AND 1 PROCEED AT YOUR LEISURE. 2 MR. BARENS: I HAVE NOT PROCEEDED AT MY LEISURE AT ANY 3 TIME DURING THIS TRIAL. THE COURT: WHERE ARE THE WITNESSES YOU MIGHT HAVE IF 5 HE RESTS NOW? 6 MR. BARENS: SIR, MY WITNESSES WILL BE AVAILABLE 7 COMMENCING TOMORROW MORNING. 8 I WAS NOT AWARE THAT I WOULD NEED A WITNESS UNTIL 9 LAST NIGHT. 10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL TELL YOU WHAT WE WILL 11 DO, TOMORROW MORNING YOU ARE GOING TO START WITH YOUR WITNESSES 12 UNINTERRUPTED. 13 HOWEVER, WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO -- YOU ARE GOING 14 TO CROSS-EXAMINE HIM A BIT? 15 MR. BARENS: IT WILL ONLY BE BRIEF. 16 THE COURT: AFTER THAT YOU ARE GOING TO REST AND THEN 17 WE WILL DISCUSS THE QUESTION OF THE EXHIBITS WHICH ARE TO 18 BE INTRODUCED AND WHICH YOU WANT TO HAVE RECEIVED AND THOSE 19 WHICH HAVE NOT ALREADY BEEN RECEIVED. I WILL EXCUSE THE JURY 20 AND TELL THEM WE ARE GOING TO START AGAIN TOMORROW MORNING 21 22 WITH THE DEFENSE. MR. BARENS: I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF YOUR HONOR WOULD 23 NOT EMBARRASS ME IN FRONT OF THE JURY FOR NOT BEING READY 24 25 THIS AFTERNOON TO PURSUE THIS.

26

27

28

THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO EMBARRASS YOU. I WILL TELL THEM THAT THE REST OF THE AFTERNOON, WE WILL TAKE UP THE REST OF THE AFTERNOON WITH GOING OVER THE EXHIBITS AND

THEN WE WILL START WITH THE DEFENSE IN THE MORNING. 1 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I WANT TO INDICATE FOR THE COURT 2 TO SAY I AM PROCEEDING AT MY LEISURE, THAT IS JUST NOT THE 3 TRUTH AND FOR YOUR HONOR TO GIVE THAT IMPRESSION --4 THE COURT: THE RECORD WILL SPEAK FOR ITSELF. 5 MR. BARENS: I HAVE BEEN DILIGENT AND STUDIOUS THROUGHOUT 6 THIS CASE IN TRYING TO BE PREPARED. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU HAVE MADE YOUR STATEMENT. 8 WHAT I WILL TELL THE JURY IS THAT WE WILL RECESS 9 THIS AFTERNOON AFTER WE GET THROUGH WITH YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION, 10 WE WILL TAKE THE REST OF THE AFTERNOON GOING OVER THE EXHIBITS. 11 YOU WILL ANNOUNCE THAT YOU ARE RESTING AFTER THE EXHIBITS 12 ARE GOING TO BE INTRODUCED AND I WILL TELL THE JURY THAT WE 13 HAVE TO GO OVER THE EXHIBITS TO SEE WHICH ARE GOING TO BE 14 RECEIVED AND WHICH ARE NOT AND THAT WILL TAKE THE REST OF 15 THE AFTERNOON AND WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE DEFENSE TOMORROW 16 17 MORNING. 18 MR. BARENS: YES, SIR. THE COURT: YOU HAVE MADE YOUR OPENING QUOTE STATEMENT 19 20 END QUOTE ALREADY, DID YOU? MR. BARENS: YES, I DID, SIR. 21 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 23 (RECESS.) 24 25 26 27

5 F

1	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
2	IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND
3	HEARING OF THE JURY:)
4	MR. WAPNER: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
5	THE COURT: ANY CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS?
6	MR. BARENS: JUST A LITTLE, YOUR HONOR.
7	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. BARENS:
10	Q MR. BREILING, YOU HAD SOME INTERVIEWS WITH
11	A REAL ESTATE LADY NAMED RAPPAPORT CONCERNING A RENTAL ON
12	BEVERLY GLEN?
13	A YES, I DID.
14	Q THOSE WERE ON A COUPLE OF OCCASIONS, SIR?
15	A I BELIEVE SO.
16	Q DID SHE EVER TELL YOU THAT A FEMALE HAD BEEN
17	PRESENT WITH MESSRS. KARNY AND HUNT WHEN THOSE RENTALS WERE
18	MADE?
19	A I BELIEVE WHEN I ORIGINALLY INTERVIEWED HER,
20	SHE DID. I DON'T KNOW THAT I INCLUDED IT IN A FORMAL REPORT,
21	HOWEVER. I MAY HAVE INCLUDED IT IN MY NOTES.
22	Q I DIDN'T NOTICE THAT IN YOUR REPORT. WAS THERE
23	A REASON WHY?
24	A NO PARTICULAR REASON, IT PROBABLY JUST DIDN'T
25	SEEM AS IMPORTANT TO ME AT THE TIME AS HAVING JOE HUNT AND
26	DEAN KARNY IN THAT LOCATION.
27	Q OF COURSE. I UNDERSTAND. BUT IN ANY EVENT,
28	YOU NEVER FOLLOWED UP ON IDENTIFYING THAT PERSON THAT WAS

1 WITH THEM? A BROOKE ROBERTS WHO I HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY LEARNED 2 WAS THE YOUNG LADY, HAS NEVER BECOME THE FOCUS OF ATTENTION 3 AS FAR AS A SUSPECT IN THAT CASE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. 4 I DID NOT FOLLOW IT UP BECAUSE OF THAT REASON. 5 Q ALL RIGHT. YOU DIDN'T IDENTIFY HER AS A POSSIBLE 6 7 WITNESS AT THAT TIME? WITNESS TO WHAT? 8 ANYTHING, SIR? A WITNESS IF SHE WERE THERE 9 AT THE BEVERLY GLEN RESIDENCE OR ANYTHING? 10 A WELL, IF SHE HAD BEEN THERE, SHE OBVIOUSLY 11 WOULD HAVE BEEN A WITNESS TO IT, YES. BUT SHE HAS NEVER 12 13 BEEN INTERVIEWED. I BELIEVE AT ONE POINT, I ASKED YOU IF I COULD 14 INTERVIEW HER AND YOU SAID YOU WOULD GET BACK TO ME. YOU 15 16 NEVER DID. Q CORRECT. ALL RIGHT. DID YOU HAVE A LINEUP 17 18 WITH HER PICTURE AVAILABLE? BROOKE ROBERTS? NO, I DID NOT. 19 Α ON THE BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU, THE BLUE BINDER, 20 YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT A PAGE THAT SAYS "PROJECT SAM," AND 21 I GUESS YOU EVEN HAVE THE BOOK OPEN TO THAT PAGE. 22 A YES, I HAVE IT CLIPPED TO THAT PAGE. 23 ON THE FOLDER ITSELF, WHAT IS THE WORD ON THE 24 25 TOP, THERE? 26 A I CAN'T MAKE OUT THE FULL WORD. THE FIRST 27 THREE LETTERS ARE DEFINITELY "S-A-M." 28 Q AND THEN AFTER THAT, ANYTHING?

```
1
                  IT LOOKS LIKE IT MIGHT POSSIBLY BE CONNECTED
2
     TO THE M AND S-U-R, BUT I CAN'T SAY FOR CERTAIN.
3
           Q DOES THAT APPEAR TO YOU, BASED ON JUST YOUR
4
     OPINION AS A PERSON, TO BE IN THE SAME HANDWRITING AS THE
     PERSON WHO WROTE "PROJECT SAM." INSIDE THE BOOK? WE ARE
5
6
     DOWN TO THAT, MR. BREILING.
               IN MY OPINION AS A PERSON, I HAVE NO OPINION
7
8
     AS TO WHO WROTE IT OR WHETHER IT IS THE SAME PERSON.
           Q CAN YOU GIVE ME YOUR BEST ESTIMATE, JUST LOOKING
9
10
     AT THE TWO?
           A SINCE THE WORD "SAM" ON THE PAGE THAT I HAVE
11
     MARKED SEEMS TO BE WRITTEN AND THE WORD "SAM" ON THE FRONT
12
13
     IS PRINTED. THERE IS NOTHING FOR ME TO COMPARE.
14
                  (LAUGHTER IN THE COURTROOM.)
15
           THE COURT: DO YOU GIVE UP?
16
           MR. BARENS: WELL, SOME POLICE OFFICERS ARE BETTER
     AT THIS THAN OTHERS, I SUPPOSE. ARE YOU WAITING FOR US
17
18
     TO GIVE UP?
           THE COURT: NO, I SAID AFTER YOU GOT THROUGH, "DO
19
20
     YOU GIVE UP?"
           MR. BARENS: BETWEEN THE TWO OF YOU, SIR, SHOULD I
21
22
     GIVE UP NOW AFTER ALL OF THIS TIME?
23
           THE COURT: NO.
24
           MR. BARENS: THANK YOU, SIR.
25
           THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?
26
           MR. BARENS: I AM RESTING BUT NOT GIVING UP.
27
           THE COURT: ARE YOU GIVING UP?
28
           MR. BARENS: NOT GIVING UP.
```

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANY REDIRECT? 1 2 MR. WAPNER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. 4 BREILING. MR. WAPNER: THE PEOPLE REST, YOUR HONOR, SUBJECT 5 6 TO THE RECEIPT OF THE EXHIBITS. THE COURT: I THINK WHAT WE OUGHT TO DO IS GO OVER 7 8 THE EXHIBITS WHICH WILL TAKE A LITTLE WHILE AND SEE WHICH 9 ARE ADMISSIBLE AND WHICH ARE NOT. THERE IS NO SENSE KEEPING THE JURY WAITING 10 FOR THAT. YOU WILL START YOUR DEFENSE TOMORROW MORNING, 11 12 WILL YOU? 13 MR. BARENS: YES. THANK YOU. THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE 14 HAVE FINISHED WITH THE PEOPLE'S CASE. NOW WE NEED FOR COUNSEL 15 16 TO GO OVER THE EXHIBITS. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THEM. AND THAT WILL TAKE, I THINK, THE BALANCE OF THE AFTERNOON. 17 18 WHAT I WILL ASK YOU TO DO IS TO COME BACK 19 TOMORROW MORNING AT 10:30 AND THE DEFENSE WILL START THEIR CASE. THANK YOU. GOOD NIGHT. THE SAME ADMONITION THAT 20 21 I PREVIOUSLY GAVE YOU STILL APPLIES. 22 (THE JURY EXITS THE COURTROOM.) 23 24 25 26

28

5

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: THE RECORD WILL INDICATE THAT THE JURORS HAVE LEFT THE COURTROOM.

MR. BARENS, DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO ANY OF
THE EXHIBITS WHICH HAVE BEEN MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION, EXCEPT
THOSE, OF COURSE, WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN MARKED IN EVIDENCE?

MR. BARENS: I THINK PROCEDURALLY, YOUR HONOR, AN 1118

MOTION IS PENDING BEFORE THE COURT AT THIS TIME THAT WAS MADE

AT THE BENCH DURING THE PROCEEDINGS AS TO THE F.C.I. AND

SWARTOUT MATTERS, THAT IS.

THE COURT: I WILL LET YOU ARGUE THAT IF YOU WISH.

MR. BARENS: I COULD DEFER, YOUR HONOR, AND HAVE

MR. CHIER JUST ARTICULATE THAT AND CONCLUDE. I THINK HE HAD

STARTED THAT MCTION AT THE BENCH AND SHOULD CONCLUDE, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. CHIER: ALL RIGHT, I WOULD RENEW THAT MOTION, YOUR HONOR, AND REMIND THE COURT THAT THERE IS NOW, AS THERE WAS THEN, NO NEXUS TENDING TO CONNECT MR. HUNT, OTHER THAN AND EXCEPT FOR THESE ALLEGED STATEMENTS BY MR. HUNT, WHICH FOUND THEIR WAY INTO EVIDENCE AS A RESULT OF AN INTERVIEW OF MR. KARNY ON MAY 17. PRIOR TO THIS AND ASIDE FORM THAT, THERE IS STILL NO EVIDENCE INDEPENDENT OF THESE ALLEGED STATEMENTS TENDING TO CONNECT MR. HUNT TO EITHER OF THOSE ALLEGED

AND THERE IS CERTAINLY NOT EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT FROM WHICH IT COULD BE ARGUED THAT MR. HUNT

DID WHAT WAS --

THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. A MOTION UNDER 1118 IS NOT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, IS IT?

MR. CHIER: IT IS A MOTION FOR ACQUITTAL, YOUR HONOR,
IT IS IN THE NATURE OF A MOTION FOR AN ACQUITTAL. I DON'T
KNOW QUITE HOW IT WOULD, WITH RESPECT TO THE STATEMENTS IN
AGGRAVATION.

THE COURT: WELL, A MOTION UNDER SECTION 1118 SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED BECAUSE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IT HASN'T BEEN DEMONSTRATED.

MR. CHIER: NO APPELLATE COURT COULD APPROVE THAT IT WAS PROVED, I BELIEVE IS THE STANDARD, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD, YOU MAY PROCEED.

MR. CHIER: AND AS WE HAVE SEEN, YOUR HONOR, THAT

ALLEGATION IS WITH RESPECT TO MR. COKER THAT MR. HUNT ORDERED

MR. PITTMAN TO DRIVE BY AND SHOOT THE PREMISES UP.

THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE THEN AS A MATTER OF LAW THAT WOULD TEND TO SHOW THAT MR. HUNT IS GUILTY OF A VIOLATION OF SECTION 246 OF THE PENAL CODE, I BELIEVE IS THE STATUTORY SECTION.

THE COURT: PARDON ME. WASN'T THERE EVIDENCE THAT MR. HUNT WAS THERE AT THE TIME AND DID THE SHOOTING?

MR. CHIER: NO, THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE OF THAT.

MR. WAPNER: THE EVIDENCE WAS THAT MR. KARNY SAID THAT MR. HUNT HAD TOLD HIM THAT HE AND MR. PITTMAN DID IT.

MR. CHIER: THOSE ARE --

THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I THOUGHT WAS THE TESTIMONY HERE.

7-7

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

24

23

26

27

MR. CHIER: THERE WAS TESTIMONY CONSISTING OF STATEMENTS.

ONCE AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE TENDING TO SHOW MR. HUNT'S PRESENCE THERE. THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE SHOWING HE ORDERED MR. PITTMAN TO DO THAT, AS ALLEGED BY THE PEOPLE.

AND THE PEOPLE OUGHT TO BE HELD TO THE ALLEGATIONS IN THEIR NOTICE OF ALLEGATION AND THAT IS WHAT THEY ALLEGED AND THAT IS WHAT THEY FAILED TO PROVE.

THE COURT: AS I READ 1118.1:

"THE COURT SHALL ORDER THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL ON ONE OR MORE OF THE OFFENSES CHARGED IN THE ACCUSATORY PLEADING IF THE EVIDENCE THEN BEFORE THE COURT IS INSUFFICIENT TO SUSTAIN A CONVICTION OF SUCH OFFENSE."

IT DOESN'T SAY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.

WELL, THAT IS THE STANDARD, YOUR HONOR. MR. CHIER:

THE COURT: THAT IS THE FIRST TIME I HAVE HEARD THAT.

MR. CHIER: THIS IS A CRIMINAL CASE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHICH CASE --

MR. CHIER: THE PEOPLE'S BURDEN HAS ALWAYS BEEN THAT.

THE COURT: GIVE ME A CASE THAT SAYS UNDER 1118, IF

THERE IS A REASONABLE DOUBT AS TO WHETHER THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY, THE COURT MUST GRANT THE MOTION.

25

27

28

MR. CHIER: I DON'T HAVE A CASE AT MY FINGERTIPS. IT 1 IS A FAIRLY --2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD AND FINISH YOUR 3 ARGUMENT. 4 5 MR. CHIER: IT IS NOT A MATTER SUBJECT TO DISPUTE. (UNREPORTED COLLOQUY BETWEEN DEFENSE 6 COUNSEL.) 7 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, I AM ONLY SAYING THAT MY 8 UNDERSTANDING FOR MY WHOLE LIFE WAS THAT THE STANDARD IN 9 10 ALL CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS WAS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, SIR. THE COURT: WELL, YES. BUT ON THE QUESTION OF THE 11 12 DISMISSAL BEFORE IT GOES TO THE JURY, THE MOTION IS DIRECTED TO THE COURT. 13 14 MR. BARENS: HOW COULDN'T YOU DISMISS IF IT WASN'T BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THAT? 16 HAVE YOU RESEARCHED THAT? 17 18 MR. WAPNER: MY UNDERSTANDING IS ALWAYS THAT THE STANDARD 19 WAS WHETHER THE EVIDENCE WAS SUSTAINED -- WAS SUFFICIENT TO 20 SUSTAIN A CONVICTION ON APPEAL. 21 THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT. 22 HE SAYS THAT YOU HAVE TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE BEYOND 23 A REASONABLE DOUBT AND NOT HAVE THE JURY DETERMINE IT BUT 24 THE COURT DISMISS IT BECAUSE THERE IS A REASONABLE DOUBT AS 25 TO WHETHER OR NOT THE GRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED.

MR. WAPNER: I UNDERSTAND WHAT COUNSEL IS SAYING. I
GUESS I NEVER GOT INTO IT FARTHER THAN THINKING ABOUT -I NEVER GOT INTO IT FAR ENOUGH TO THINK ABOUT WHAT IT MEANS

A

3F

TO SAY THE EVIDENCE IS SUFFICIENT TO SUSTAIN A CONVICTION ON APPEAL. I MEAN I ASSUME -- ALTHOUGH, TO ME, THE WHOLE DISCUSSION IS MOOT BECAUSE I THINK THE EVIDENCE HAS PROVED THAT MR. HUNT WAS INVOLVED.

THE WAY MR. CHIER ARGUES IT IS AS IF STATEMENTS

ARE NOT EVIDENCE AND, THEREFORE, IT DOESN'T MATTER THAT

MR. HUNT SAID TO MR. KARNY, "I DID IT" BECAUSE SOMEHOW, THAT

BECOMES NONEVIDENCE IN HIS VIEW.

MR. CHIER: THAT IS NOT SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR, IF THAT IS THE EVIDENCE TENDING TO CONNECT MR. HUNT.

THE COURT: DO YOU THINK THAT IS SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE
TO SUSTAIN A CONVICTION IN THIS CASE?

MR. WAPNER: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU TELL US WHAT YOU THINK THE EVIDENCE IS.

MR. WAPNER: AS FAR AS MR. COKER IS CONCERNED, THE EVIDENCE AS TO THE SHOOTING IS PRETTY OBVIOUS THAT SOMEBODY WENT DOWN THERE AND SHOT UP THIS BUILDING WITH AN AUTOMATIC OR SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLE AND THE RIFLE WAS OBVIOUSLY CONNECTED TO MR. PITTMAN BECAUSE THE BULLETS MATCHED THE SAME BULLETS THAT WERE TAKEN FROM THE RIFLE THAT MR. PITTMAN USED FOR TRAGET PRACTICE IN GARDENA.

THE EVIDENCE FROM THE GUILT PHASE IS AMPLE
TO SHOW THE CONNECTION BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MR. PITTMAN.
AND THEN YOU HAVE THE STATEMENT BY MR. HUNT TO MR. KARNY
THAT "JIM AND I WENT DOWN THERE AND DID THIS."

SO WHEN YOU PUT ALL THOSE THINGS TOGETHER,

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT PROVES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

THAT HE IS INVOLVED IN THE SHOOTING UP OF THE PLANT.

THE COURT: HOW ABOUT THE OTHER INCIDENT?

MR. WAPNER: MR. SWARTOUT?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. WAPNER: WE HAD SOME EVIDENCE INTRODUCED ABOUT
MR. HUNT'S FEELINGS ABOUT MR. SWARTOUT AND HIS ANIMUS TOWARDS
MR. SWARTOUT AND LIKEWISE, HE MAKES A STATEMENT TO MR. KARNY
INDICATING THAT HE WAS RESPONSIBLE AND HE HAD SET MR. PITTMAN
DOWN THERE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DOING THIS AND TO THROW SOME
CONTACT POISON ON HIM.

THE FACT THAT THE SUBSTANCE TURNED OUT NOT

TO DO THE JOB THAT THEY ANTICIPATED IT COULD DO, DOESN'T

NEGATE THE FACT THAT HE MADE THE ATTEMPT, WHICH IS WHAT

AN ASSAULT IS, TO INFLICT A VIOLENT INJURY ON THE PERSON

OF MR. SWARTOUT.

IT IS NONETHELESS AN ASSAULT, EVEN IF IT WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE MOTION WILL BE DENIED UNDER 1181.

NOW, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE EXHIBITS.

MR. CHIER: DID YOU WANT ME TO ARGUE THAT?

THE COURT: ARGUE WHICH?

MR. CHIER: SWARTOUT.

MR. BARENS: WE DIDN'T ACTUALLY GET TO DO IT.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU FINISHED. YOU MAY ARGUE SWARTOUT.

(PAUSE.)

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, WITH RESPECT TO MR. SWARTOUT,
EVEN THE STATEMENT OF MR. KARNY DOES NOT CONTAIN AN ASSERTION
OR AN ADMISSION BY MR. HUNT THAT HE ORDERED MR. PITTMAN
TO DO ANYTHING VIS A VIS MR. SWARTOUT.

WHILE IT MIGHT BE EVEN ARGUABLE THAT SOMEBODY

DID SOMETING, COMMITTED A BATTERY, A MISDEMEANOR BATTERY

ON MR. SWARTOUT, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT THERE WAS ANYTHING

OF A CAUSTIC, CHEMICAL NATURE PUT ON HIM.

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT SUCH A THING AS

CONTACT POISON EXISTS. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE MR. PITTMAN

WAS ACTING EITHER IN CONCERT WITH OR AT THE DIRECTION OF

ANY OTHER PERSON. THERE IS SIMPLY A HIATUS IN THE EVIDENCE

BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MR. PITTMAN.

AND ALL THAT THE PEOPLE HAVE REALLY, IS A RELIANCE UPON AN ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THESE TWO PEOPLE. FURTHERMORE, YOUR HONOR, THIS ALLEGED DECLARATION OF ANIMUS OF MR. HUNT'S IS QUITE A MYSTERY.

NOT EVEN THE GENTLEMAN WHO TESTIFIED, MR.

ZOELLER, COULD EVEN DIVINE WHAT IT POSSIBLY MEANT OR WHAT
THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE OF ITS BEING WRITTEN, WHETHER IT
WAS SENT, WHETHER IT WAS YOU KNOW, A POOR ATTEMPT AT
POETRY OR WHATEVER IT WAS, YOUR HONOR.

CERTAINLY, THOSE MUSINGS DO NOT RISE TO THE

LEVEL OF OR THE DIGNITY OF INTENT OR EVIDENCE OF INTENT
REQUIRED IN A CASE WHERE THE JURY IS BEING ASKED TO CONSIDER
THESE ITEMS AS A BASIS FOR TAKING A MAN'S LIFE.

THIS IS THE PENALTY PHASE. THERE IS A HEIGHTENED STANDARD OF PROOF WITH RESPECT TO THESE OFFENSES IN AGGRAVATION AND TO ALLOW THE JURY TO SPECULATE OR TO INFER THAT MR. HUNT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS, IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY REAL EVIDENCE, IS SIMPLY NOT CONTEMPLATED BY THE LEGISLATURE NOR DUE PROCESS, YOUR HONOR.

AND I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY

NO EVIDENTIARY BASIS FOR THE JURY TO BE ALLOWED TO SPECULATE

AS TO MR. HUNT'S INVOLVEMENT IN THIS PECCADILLO.

THERE IS CERTAINLY NO EVIDENCE THERE WAS ANYTHING EVER BAD PUT ON MR. SWARTOUT OR THAT IT WAS AN ATTEMPT TO COMMIT GREAT BODILY HARM. AND THE EVIDENCE IS SOMEWHAT IN DISPUTE AS TO THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON WHO DID IT.

MR. SWARTOUT, THE ALLEGED VICTIM IN THIS CASE, WAS UNABLE TO IDENTIFY MR. PITTMAN EITHER IN A LINEUP OR IN PERSON.

AND THE FACT THAT A PERSON WAS DESCRIBED, WAS IDENTIFIED AS MR. PITTMAN, WHO WAS SEEN IN THE VICINITY, AGAIN, DOES NOT RISE TO THE LEVEL OF EVIDENCE REQUIRED TO HAVE THE JURY GO IN THERE AND TOTE THESE THINGS UP AS OFFENSES IN AGGRAVATION.

THAT IS WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE, JUDGE. I THINK
THAT IT IS UP TO YOU TO SORT OF DERAIL THOSE THINGS AND

IF IT IS CLEAR THAT THEY DO NOT RISE TO THE LEVEL OF BEYOND
A REASONABLE DOUBT, AND MR. HUNT CERTAINLY COULD NOT BE

OFFENSES, IF HE WERE CHARGED WITH THOSE INDEPENDENTLY AND

NOT A PART OF A CABOOSE TO A DEATH PENALTY CASE.

HE CERTAINLY COULDN'T BE CONVICTED OF THESE ON APPEAL, YOUR HONOR. SO UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES, I THINK IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE COURT TO TAKE IT AWAY FROM THE JURY AND TO NOT ALLOW THEM TO PLAY WITH THESE OFFENSES WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN PROVED, YOUR HONOR.

THE EVIDENCE WITH RESPECT TO MR. SWARTOUT IS

THAT THERE IS NOTHING CAUSTIC. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT

IT WAS CAUSTIC MATERIAL. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE ABOUT ANYTHING

THAT WAS PUT ON MR. SWARTOUT WAS HARMFUL.

AND IT DOESN'T MATTER THAT THERE WAS GOSSIP CONCERNING THIS MATTER THAT WAS PUT ON HIS CLOTHING. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE.

1 AND THE ACTUAL, PHYSICAL REACTION TO MR. 2 SWARTOUT IS CERTAINLY ENTITLED TO GREATER WEIGHT THAN IS 3 MR. KARNY'S HEARSAY TESTIMONY CONCERNING MR. HUNT'S ALLEGED 4 STATEMENT. 5 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING? 6 MR. WAPNER: I SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: WELL, 190.3 SAYS WHAT MUST BE CONSIDERED 8 BY THE JURY IS, "THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF OTHER CRIMINAL 9 ACTIVITIES BY THE DEFENDANT WHICH INVOLVED USE OR ATTEMPTED 10 USE OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE OR WHICH INVOLVED THE EXPRESS OR 11 IMPLIED THREAT TO USE FORCE OR VIOLENCE." 12 DO YOU THINK THAT IT FALLS WITHIN THAT CATEGORY? 13 MR. WAPNER: I THINK BOTH OF THEM FALL WITHIN THAT 14 CATEGORY. 15 MR. BARENS: DO YOU WANT TO ASK DEFENSE THE SAME 16 OUESTION? 17 THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE ALREADY HEARD FROM THE 18 DEFENSE. I AM NOW ASKING HIM. BECAUSE HE HAS NOT SPOKEN 19 UP AT LENGTH. 20 I THINK I HAVE HEARD AT LENGTH FROM YOU. I 21 AM GOING TO DENY THE MOTION. I WILL LET THE JURY CONSIDER 22 IT. IT INVOLVES THE USE OR ATTEMPTED USE OF FORCE, VIOLENCE 23 OR THE OTHER SECTION OF 190.3 WHICH WOULD BE APPLICABLE 24 HERE. 25 MR. CHIER: WELL, THE STATEMENT ABOUT THE ATTEMPTED

27 EXCLUDES FROM THE TYPE OF EVIDENCE THAT CAN BE CONSIDERED
28 IN A CASE, SUCH THREATS OF VIOLENCE. AND SO, I WOULD SAY

USE -- IN PEOPLE V. BOYD, THAT CASE AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS,

THAT THAT SECTION HAS BEEN CUT BACK SOMEWHAT BY THE DECISIONAL LAW, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, I WILL DENY IT AT THIS TIME WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RENEW IT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE CASE BEFORE THE JURY IS INSTRUCTED.

```
I WANT TO THINK ABOUT IT. IT IS JUST A MATTER
1
    OF THE LAST OFFENSE WHICH WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT.
2
                 ALL RIGHT, HOW ABOUT THE EXHIBITS?
3
          MR. CHIER: WE WOULD OBJECT TO ITEMS P-3 THROUGH P-7.
          THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE NOW. P-3?
5
          MR. CHIER: THESE ARE THE COKER -- THIS IS THE COKER
6
    EVIDENCE. IF WE CAN CALL IT THAT.
7
8
          MR. WAPNER: AS WELL AS THE SWARTOUT EVIDENCE.
                 7 IS THE DIAGRAM OF THE LOCATION WHERE THE --
9
          THE COURT: LET ME SEE NOW, P-3, THAT IS THE BAG OF
10
    BULLETS, IS THAT WHAT IT WAS?
11
          MR. WAPNER: YES.
12
          THE COURT: DO YOU OBJECT TO THAT?
13
          MR. CHIER: PARDON ME, YOUR HONOR? I AM SORRY.
14
15
          THE COURT: P-3 IS THE BAG OF BULLETS.
16
          MR. CHIER: YES, I AM OBJECTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH MY
17
    MOTION TO HAVE THE 1118.1 MOTION GRANTED AND IT IS MY BELIEF --
          THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN DENIED.
18
19
                DO YOU STILL OBJECT TO THOSE?
20
          MR. CHIER: YES, FOR THE RECORD, YES, YOUR HONOR.
21
          THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, 3, 4, 5, 6 AND 7, THAT IS DENIED.
22
          MR. CHIER: THE GUN, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE TENDING TO
23
    CONNECT THIS GUN TO MR. PITTMAN, YOUR HONOR.
24
          THE COURT: THERE IS NO EVIDENCE CONNECTING THE GUN?
25
          MR. CHIER: THE GUN TO MR. HUNT.
26
          THE COURT: THE PEOPLE'S THEORY IS THAT HE IS ONE OF
27
    THE CONSPIRATORS.
```

ALL RIGHT, NOW WHAT OTHERS?

1 THE OBJECTION TO THOSE WILL BE OVERRULED.

2 MR. CHIER: I DON'T RECALL WHAT THE ITEMS P-14 AND P-15,

3 | THE DIAGRAM, WHAT WERE THEY DIAGRAMS OF?

MR. WAPNER: 14 WAS OF THE BELMONT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND 15 WAS THE OVERALL VIEW OF THE APARTMENT COMPLEX, THE 7-ELEVEN STORE, THE U-HAUL STORE.

MR. CHIER: WE WITHDRAW THAT.

MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE AN OBJECTION TO
PEOPLE'S 16. 16 WAS NEVER TIED IN TO DEFENDANT HUNT IN ANY
WAY.

THE COURT: 16-A, -B AND -C?

MR. BARENS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THE REGISTER, YOU MEAN?

MR. BARENS: YES, SIR, ALL OF THE VILLA MOTEL IN

SAN MATEO MATERIALS. THERE WAS NEVER AN IDENTIFICATION MADE

BY MR. SWIERSTRA.

THE COURT: THE HOTEL REGISTRATION, WHAT WAS THAT?

MR. WAPNER: 16 WAS THE HOTEL REGISTRATION FROM THE VILLA HOTEL AND IF THE COURT EXAMINES IT, YOU WILL FIND ON THERE A DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER.

THE COURT: OH, YES, THAT IS THE DRIVER'S LICENSE WHICH CORRESPONDS TO THE DEFENDANT'S; IS THAT IT?

MR. WAPNER: IT CORRESPONDS TO THE DEFENDANT'S DRIVER'S LICENSE, THE CERTIFIED COPY OF WHICH --

THE COURT: THAT IS IN THE NAME OF GAMSKY, CORRECT.

MR. CHIER: CORRECT, IN THE NAME OF GAMSKY AND A CERTIFIED COPY OF THAT DRIVER'S LICENSE HAS BEEN MARKED 36 FOR IDENTIFICATION.

THE COURT: ISN'T THERE TESTIMONY AS TO THE PERSON WHO 9 -PRESENTED THAT? MR. BARENS: ! ASKED HIM, I ASKED THE GENTLEMAN. THE COURT: WHO PRESENTED THAT LICENSE? MR. BARENS: I WENT INTO THAT WITH HIM, SIR, AND I ASKED HIM IF MY CLIENT WAS THE GUY THAT TURNED IN THAT LICENSE AND HE SAID NO, HE COULD NOT IDENTIFY MR. HUNT AT THAT TIME. THE COURT: MERELY BECAUSE HE COULDN'T IDENTIFY HIM DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING. MR. BARENS: I ASKED HIM IF IT WAS A REAL TALL PERSON WHO CAME UP AND HE COULDN'T SAY THAT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, HE COLLDN'T REMEMBER SEEING THE PICTURE ON THE LICENSE OF THE PERSON WHO HANDED IN THE LICENSE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, IT MAKES SOME EXPLANATION AS TO WHOENER PRESENTED IT HAD THE LICENSE OF THE DEFENDANT.

19-A THROUGHT -I?

MR. BARENS: SIR, THE FACT THAT THE DEFENSE CAN'T EXPLAIN THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT IS TRUE. I DON'T HAVE THE BURDEN ON THAT, SIR.

MR. WAPNER: LET'S PUT THE WHOLE PUZZLE TOGETHER. THE REGISTRATION, FIRST OF ALL, IS IN THE NAME OF BEN DAVIS.

SECOND OF ALL, THE HOTEL CLERK SAID THAT THE PURPOSE OF GETTING THE DRIVER'S LICENSE IS SO THAT THEY CAN MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE SOME SECURITY, IF THEY HAVE ANY DAMAGES TO THE ROOM, THEY CAN GO AFTER THE PERSON SO WHEN THEY GET THE DRIVER'S LICENSE, THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PERSON THAT IS THERE GIVING THEM THE LICENSE IS IN FACT THE SAME PERSON ON THE LICENSE. THAT IS THE FIRST ONE.

THAT IS SO THEY HAVE SOMEONE TO GO AFTER, INSTEAD OF HAVING MR. DAVIS PRESENTING SOME DRIVER'S LICENSE WITH THE PICTURE THAT LOOKS LIKE SOMEBODY ELSE. SO THAT IS THE FIRST THING.

THEN YOU PUT THAT TOGETHER WITH THE TESTIMONY

OF DEAN KARNY, WHO SAYS "I WENT UP THERE TO THE MOTEL AND

I SAID, 'DO YOU HAVE A BEN DOSTI REGISTERED HERE?'"

AND THEY LOOKED AT HIM AND THEY SAID, "NO."

HE SAID, "WELL, HOW ABOUT BEN DAVIS?" AND THEY SAID "YES,

GO TO ROOM 145."

AND HE GOES TO ROOM 145, HE KNOCKS ON THE DOOR

AND HE OPENS THE DOOR AND GUESS WHO IS THERE? PITTMAN, HUNT,

DOSTI AND ESLAMINIA.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, THAT WILL BE OVERRULED.

WHAT IS YOUR NEXT ONE?

MR. CHIER: ARE THE BONE PHOTOGRAPHS, THE PHOTOGRAPHS

MR. WAPNER: CORRECT. 1 MR. CHIER: WE WOULD OBJECT TO THE BONE PHOTOGRAPHS, 2 3 YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: WHY? 4 MR. CHIER: UNDER 352, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: PREJUDICIAL? 6 7 MR. CHIER: YES. THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, UNNECESSARILY 8 INFLAMMATORY, IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT TO SAY? 9 MR. CHIER: YES, UNDER PECPLE V. LOVE AT 53 CAL.2D. 10 THE COURT: THAT WILL BE DENIED. I DON'T THINK IT IS 11 12 INFLAMMATORY. THESE LITTLE KINDERGARTEN, SCHOOL KIDS WERE HERE 13 AND THEY DIDN'T SEEM TO BE REVOLTED BY IT. THEY SAW IT. 14 MR. CHIER: I DIDN'T KNOW THAT WAS THE STANDARD, YOUR 15 16 HONOR. THE COURT: WELL, THAT WAS AN EXTREME EXAMPLE. :7 IF YOU HAVE AN ADULT SITTING ON A JURY, THEY HAVE 18 SEEN IT BEFORE, THEY HAVE NOT BEEN REVOLTED BY IT. 19 20 MR. BARENS: ALL RIGHTY, YOUR HONOR. ALL RIGHT, THE BLUE NOTEBOOK, YOUR HONOR, ALTHOUGH 21 I FEEL I AM DEFINITELY WASTING MY TIME, THE BLUE NOTEBOOK 22 WAS NEVER IDENTIFIED AS TO WHO WROTE IT, HOW MANY PEOPLE WROTE 23 IT, WHY IT WAS WRITTEN, WHEN IT WAS WRITTEN. THERE WAS NO 24 FOUNDATION WHATSCENER. BUT I BELIEVE YOUR HONOR THINKS IT 25 IS SUFFICIENT SIMPLY BECAUSE IT WAS FOUND IN REZA'S ROOM AND 26 27 THAT THAT IS A TICKET ON THAT ONE. THE COURT: AND WRITTEN PARTLY IN FARSI. THE ONLY ONE

IN THIS CASE WHO KNOWS ANYTHING ABOUT FARSI IS REZA AND HE WAS IN THAT ROOM, SO THE INFERENCE IS EASILY DRAWN BY A JURY, IF THEY WANT TO DRAW THAT INFERENCE.

MR. BARENS: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I JUST ALSO THOUGHT THAT

IF WE ARE GOING TO ATTRIBUTE SOMETHING TO SOMEBODY, THAT MERELY

BECAUSE IT WAS WRITTEN IN THE LANGUAGE THEY SPEAK, THEY REALLY

DIDN'T MAKE IT. I WILL BET REZA ESLAMINIA PROBABLY HAD THESE

FARSI-WRITING PEOPLE IN HIS FAMILY AND IN HIS SOCIAL LIFE

AND VISITING HIM.

THE COURT: NOT OCCUPYING THAT SPECIFIC ROOM.

MR. BAREAS: I WILL BET THEY WERE IN AND OUT OF THERE.

THE COURT: IN THE APARTMENT COMPLEX?

MR. BARENS: I THINK IN THIS COURT IT IS SUFFICIENT THAT HE WROTE FARSI. IT IS A GOOD THING HE DIDN'T WRITE HESREW.

THE COURT: HOW FAR HAVE YOU GONE DOWN NOW?

MR. BARENS: AS FAR AS WE CAN.

THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, ARE THERE ANY OTHER PARTICULAR EXHIBITS YOU WANT TO OBJECT TO?

MR. CHIER: MAY WE HAVE A MOMENT, PLEASE?

(UNREPORTED COLLOGJY BETWEEN DEFENSE

COUNSEL.)

MR. CHIER: THE MATERIALS IN MR. HUNT'S BRIEFCASE
WHICH I BELIEVE HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS EXHIBITS 32, 32-A,
33, 34 AND 35, THESE ARE NOT STATEMENTS IN FURTHERANCE OF
THE CONSPIRACY. IF THEY ARE BEING OFFERED ON THE ISSUE
OF MR. HUNT'S INTENT OR STATE OF MIND, IT IS NECESSARY THAT
THE EVIDENCE BE RELEVANT TO ESTABLISH HIS STATE OF MIND
ON A PARTICULAR DATE IN QUESTION, AS OF THE DATE THIS
PARTICULAR ACT WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN COMMITTED BY MR.
HUNT.

ABSENT SOME TYPE OF CONNECTION OF THAT SORT

THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE FOUND IN HIS POSSESSION,

MERE POSSESSION OF THOSE DOCUMENTS DOES NOT ESTABLISH ANY --
IS NOT PROBATIVE IN THIS CASE.

IT DOES NOT PROVE ANY ELEMENT NECESSARY TO

BE PROVED. I WOULD OBJECT TO THE RECEIPT OF THOSE DOCUMENTS

BECAUSE THERE IS NO -- THERE IS NO PROBATIVE VALUE.

THE PEOPLE HAVE NOT MADE, ARTICULATED A THEORY

UNDER WHICH THEY SHOULD COME IN OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT

HE HAD THEM AND THERE IS A CASE MENTIONED -- THE EVIDENCE

MENTIONS THE NAMES ESLAMINIA AND SWARTOUT. THAT IS THEIR

THEORY OF ADMISSIBILITY.

MR. WAPNER: THE 32 IS A DOCUMENT THAT CONTAINS A -IT IS A FILE FOLDER. IT IS A XEROX OF A FILE FOLDER. IT
CONTAINS FOUR HANDWRITTEN PAGES.

ONE OF THE PAGES CLEARLY IS IN MR. HUNT'S HAND-WRITING. AND THE LABEL ON THE FILE FOLDER SAYS "REZA ASSETS RE CONSERVATORSHIP."

AND THEN ONE OF THESE PAGES THAT HAS BEEN MARKED

AS 32-A, SAYS "REZA" AND THEN IT SAYS "BARCLAYS, GLENDALE FEDERAL, CENTRAL, SANTA ROSA EXCHANGE, WELLS FARGO, AND THEN IT LISTS BASICALLY A LIST OF THINGS.

CLEARLY, THIS IS IN MR. HUNT'S HANDWRITING.

AND IT IS THE PEOPLE'S CONTENTION THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE ITEMS.

AND THE FACT THAT THE DEFENDANT POSSESSED A

FILE FOLDER THAT SAYS "REZA ASSETS RE CONSERVATIONSHIP,"

TENDS TO CORROBORATE THE TESTIMONY OF MR. KARNY IN TERMS

OF IDENTIFYING AND TYING MR. HUNT IN WITH THE PARTICIPATION

IN THE ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN THE ASSETS OF HADAYET ESLAMINIA.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT OBJECTION WILL BE OVERRULED.

MR. CHIER: AND FINALLY, 38 AND 39, YOUR HONOR. THESE ARE MATERIALS THAT WERE TAKEN FROM MR. HUNT'S TRIAL RESIDENCE ON BELLAGIO ROAD UNDER A RUSE SEARCH.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT I RULED ON THE FACT THAT THAT

PARTICULAR WARRANT -- SEARCH WARRANT WAS PROPER. THE SEARCH

WARRANT WAS PROPER. I DON'T WANT TO GO OVER THAT AGAIN.

MR. CHIER: WE DIDN'T HAVE A HEARING ON THE SEARCH WARRANT.

THE COURT: WE DID, TOO. I MADE A DISTINCT FINDING AT THE TIME AND WE HAD A FULL HEARING ON THE QUESTION OF THE SEARCH AND SEARCH WARRANT.

MR. CHIER: YOU DID MAKE A FINDING BUT WE NEVER HAD A HEARING.

THE COURT: A HEARING? WHAT DO YOU SUPPOSE WE DID AT THAT TIME?

MR. CHIER: WE NEVER HAD A HEARING ON THE SUFFICIENCY

50B

5

OF THE SEARCH WARRANT, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS MY RECOLLECTION.

THE COURT: WELL, I MADE A RULING. I WILL STICK WITH
THAT RULING AS TO THE SEARCH WARRANT. AND THE EVIDENCE
REVEALED WAS PERFECTLY PROPER. IT WAS DONE IN CONFORMANCE
WITH ALL OF THE RULES APPLICABLE TO SEARCH WARRANTS AND
YOU WERE THERE AT THE TIME.

YOU APPROVED OR AT LEAST DIDN'T OBJECT TO ALL

OF THE PIECES OF EVIDENCE WHICH WERE SEIZED. AT LEAST ACCORDING TO THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE AND CONSEQUENTLY EVERYTHING
THAT WAS SEIZED PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT WAS A PROPER SEIZURE
AND THE WARRANT WAS PROPER ON ITS FACE.

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR KNOWS I DIDN'T LOOK AT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: LET'S NOT GO OVER THAT AGAIN. I MADE
A RULING. I AM CONFIRMING THAT RULING AT THIS TIME.

MR. CHIER: IT WAS WORK PRODUCT, YOUR HONOR. IF I
COULD JUST GET THOSE WORDS INTO THE RECORD, 38 AND 39 WERE
DEFENSE WORK PRODUCT.

THE INVASION OF THESE THINGS AND THE CONFISCATION

OF THEM --

THE COURT: 38 AND 39 ARE WORK PRODUCT?

MR. CHIER: THEY WERE BEING --

THE COURT: COPIES OF THE CHECKS WERE WORK PRODUCT?

MR. CHIER: THEY WERE BEING HELD --

THE COURT: ESLAMINIA CHECKS YOU SAY WERE WORK PRODUCT?

MR. CHIER: THEY WERE GOING TO BE EXHIBITS. THEY
WERE DEFENSE EXHIBITS BEING HELD FOR A TRANSFER TO DEFENSE
COUNSEL.

THE COURT: MAYBE YOU CAN EXPLAIN HOW HE CAN POSSIBLY CONCEIVE OF ANYTHING LIKE THAT BEING A WORK PRODUCT.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING OF WORK

PRODUCT THAT IT IS ITEMS THAT ARE PRODUCED EITHER BY COUNSEL

OR SOMEONE ELSE FOR COUNSEL FOR THE USE IN THE CASE.

NOW, HOW ARE THEY GOING TO -- SINCE THE CHECKS

```
SAY "FESLAMINIA." INSTEAD OF "ESLAMINIA" THAT THEY HAD BEEN
1
     PRODUCED AS A SAMPLE? BUT THEY DIDN'T GET THE NAME RIGHT.
2
     SO REALLY THEY WEREN'T CHECKS OF MR. ESLAMINIA? THAT IS
4
     WORK PRODUCT?
                  I DO THINK THAT IS PRETTY FAR-FETCHED. BUT
5
     THAT IS WHAT YOU HAVE TO GET TO TO PUT THAT --
6
           MR. CHIER: WELL, IF THEY ARE RENDERED NUGATORY BY THE
     MISSPELLING, THEY SHOULDN'T BE IN THE CASE.
8
           THE COURT: I WILL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION. I WILL
9
     PERMIT THIS TO GO IN, TOO. DO YOU WANT TO HAVE DEFENDANT'S
10
     EXHIBIT P-A INTRODUCED AT THIS TIME, THE STATEMENT?
11
           MR. BARENS: DARE I, YOUR HONOR?
12
13
           THE COURT: WELL --
           MR. BARENS: DARE I SAY THAT? WHAT IS P-A?
14
           THE COURT: YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR OWN EXHIBIT IS?
15
16
           MR. BARENS: WHAT WAS IT?
           THE COURT: IT WAS A STATEMENT.
17
18
           MR. BARENS: A STATEMENT?
           THE CLERK: I HAVE P-4 AS A PHOTO I.D. SHEET FROM
19
20
     IRVINE P.D.
21
           MR. BARENS: RIGHT. THAT IS WHAT IT WAS.
           MR. WAPNER: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. AS FAR AS
22
23
     PEOPLE'S 31 --
24
           THE COURT: NOW, DO YOU WANT IT IN?
           MR. BARENS: WELL, AS LONG AS MR. WAPNER DOESN'T
25
2€
     OBJECT, I AM SURE IT WILL BE.
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU OBJECT TO IT? DO YOU
27
```

OBJECT TO IT, MR. WAPNER? I WILL ADMIT IT, ANYWAY. ALL

```
RIGHT?
1
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS A -- WELL, I AM GLAD TO SEE THAT
2
     THE DEFENSE HAS HAD A CLEAN SWEEP.
3
           MR. WAPNER: WELL, REGARDING PEOPLE'S 31, IT WAS MARKED -
4
           THE COURT: THERE IS A QUESTION AS TO WHAT PART OF
5
     THAT YOU WANT TO HAVE.
6
           MR. WAPNER: WHAT HAPPENED WAS THAT I GAVE IT TO
7
     DEFENSE COUNSEL TO LOOK AT IT. I NEVER GOT BACK TO
8
     QUESTIONING THE WITNESS ABOUT IT.
9
                  I SUBSEQUENTLY DECIDED NOT TO PRODUCE ANY
10
     TESTIMONY ABOUT IT. SO I AM NOT ASKING TO HAVE THAT RECEIVED.
11
           MR. CHIER: 31 IS MORON? WHAT IS THAT?
12
           THE COURT: 31? THAT IS THE DIARY OF THE DEFENDANT.
13
           MR. CHIER: MAROON.
14
           THE COURT: SOME KIND OF A DIARY.
15
           MR. BARENS: THAT IS THE DAY PLANNER.
16
           THE COURT: DO YOU WANT IT IN OR NOT?
17
           MR. WAPNER: I DON'T.
18
           THE COURT: YOU DON'T WANT IT IN OR DO YOU WANT IT
19
20
     IN?
           MR. BARENS: NO.
21
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN IT WON'T BE RECEIVED.
22
     31 WILL NOT BE RECEIVED.
23
           THE CLERK: IS THAT I.D. FOR REFERENCE -- BY REFERENCE
24
25
     ONLY?
26
27
```

26

27

28

MR. CHIER: YOUR HONOR, IN GOING OVER THE TRANSCRIPT FROM NESTERDAY, WE NOTICED THAT WE DIDN'T -- WE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE JUST ONE STATEMENT, A ONE-SENTENCE STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD WITH RESPECT TO MR. HUNT'S EXHIBIT NO. 37, WHICH WE THE COURT: MR. HUNT'S 37, WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? YOU MEAN SOME EXHIBIT YOU TALKED ABOUT THAT WAS SEIZED? MR. CHIER: AT THE BELLAGIO SEARCH, YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: YES. FOR THE RECORD, WHY DON'T YOU INDICATE MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THAT WAS A SUBJECT IN CAMERA MR. CHIER: A COMMUNICATION BETWEEN --MR. BARENS: THAT IS A LETTER THAT IS OF SIGNIFICANCE TO THE DEFENSE INVOLVING MR. KARNY, PERHAPS THAT MIGHT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION, YOUR HONOR.

1-2

Ĭ

THE COURT: DIDN'T I MAKE RULINGS ON THAT?

MR. BARENS: YOU HAVE JUST LEFT IT IN CAMERA, YOUR HONOR, AND WHAT WE WANT TO DO NOW IS --

THE COURT: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT TO DO?

MR. BARENS: WE DON'T WANT YOU TO DO ANYTHING EXCEPT LET US PUT ON THE RECORD THE STATUS OF THE MATTER, SIR.

THE COURT: GO AHEAD, PUT IT ON THE RECORD.

MR. CHIER: WITHOUT A HEARING, WHERE MR. HUNT COULD QUESTION THE WITNESSES, YOUR HONOR, MR. HUNT IS IN THE DILEMMA OF HAVING TO FORESAKE HIS FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT IN ORDER TO ASSERT EITHER A FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHT OR DUE PROCESS RIGHT WITH RESPECT TO THAT EXHIBIT, AND IT PUTS HIM ON THE HORNS OF A TERRIBLE DILEMMA.

MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, THE PEOPLE'S POSITION IS THAT THIS DOCUMENT NEVER DID EXIST, THAT IT WAS NEVER TAKEN BY AGENT BREILING.

WE TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE EVERY ITEM THAT
WAS TAKEN FROM THAT HOUSE. THERE WAS NEVER ANY DEFENSE 37
AND IF THERE WAS, THEN THEY LOST IT BECAUSE WE CERTAINLY DIDN'T
TAKE IT BECAUSE IT WAS NEVER TAKEN. EVERYTHING THAT WAS TAKEN
WAS TURNED OVER NOT ONLY TO THE DEFENSE BUT COPIES OF
EVERYTHING WERE MADE FOR THE COURT AND WE PROVIDED THEM TO
THE COURT HERE.

THE COURT: I DON'T REMEMBER ANY SUCH EXHIBIT AMONG
THE PAPERS I GOT. I DON'T REMEMBER SUCH AN EXHIBIT.

MR. CHIER: WELL, WE WANTED TOADDUCE SOME EVIDENCE ON ITS EXISTENCE BY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR, WHICH WE HAVE WITNESSES.

THE COURT: WHAT IS IT SUPPOSED TO BE, A LETTER FROM 1 -1 KARNY TO THE DEFENDANT? 2 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, THAT WAS A CONFIDENTIAL 3 4 COMMUNICATION TO THE COURT, SIR. THE COURT: I HAVEN'T THE REMOTEST IDEA WHAT YOU ARE 5 TALKING ABOUT. A CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION TO ME? 6 MR. CHIER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 7 MR. BARENS: YOUR HONOR, REMEMBER, WE DID THIS IN CAMERA 8 AT THE SIDE BAR? 9 THE COURT: I MADE A RULING AT THAT TIME, DIDN'T 1? 10 MR. BARENS: THE ONLY RULING I AM AWARE WAS THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE A FURTHER HEARING ON IT AT THAT TIME. 12 THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A FURTHER HEARING, LET'S 13 HAVE IT AND I WILL MAKE A RULING. 14 MR. WAPNER: THE RULING WAS, I UNDERSTAND THEY COULD 15 QUESTION THE WITNESS ABOUT IT AND APPARENTLY THE WITNESS WAS 16 CUESTIONED BRIEFLY. 17 THE COURT: WHO ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT, KARNY? 18 19 MR. WAPNER: YES, THERE WAS A QUESTION TO MR. KARNY ABOUT A NOTE OF LULY 27, 198- INDICATING A MEETING THAT HE 20 21 HAD WITH MR. ESLAMINIA. "DID YOU WRITE SUCH - NOTE?" AND AFTER HE SAID NO, AND AFTER HE HAD BEEN QUESTIONED ABOUT WHETHER 22 HE HAD SEEN MR. ESLAMINIA AT ANY TIME BEFORE HE SAW HIM DEAD 23 24 IN THE TRUNK, AND HE WAS ASKED SEVERAL QUESTIONS "HAD YOU 25 SEEN HIM BEFORE THAT DATE" AND HE SAID "NO, THE FIRST TIME I SAW HIM WAS WHEN I OPENED THE TRUNK AND HE WAS DEAD." 26 27 THE COURT: THAT IS NOT WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT.

MR. WAPNER: WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS WHAT THEY

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

ARE TALKING ABOUT BUT THE COURT'S RULING ON THIS ISSUE WAS, AFTER THE IN CAMERA HEARING, THAT THE DEFENSE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO QUESTION THE WITNESS ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT THAT THEY CLAIM WAS LOST, EVEN THOUGH THE DOCUMENT WAS NOT THERE. SO THAT WAS THE EXTENT OF THE COURT'S RULING.

WHETHER COUNSEL AVAILED THEMSELVES OF THAT OR NOT, THAT IS UP TO THEM BUT THE COURT PERMITTED THEM TO EXAMINE HIM ABOUT THE CONTENTS OF THIS AS IF THEY HAD IT.

THE COURT: THEY SAID THAT THAT IS ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WAS SEIZED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PURSUANT TO THE SEARCH WARRANT.

MR. WAPNER: I KNOW WHAT THEY ARE CLAIMING.

THE COURT: AND THEY CATEGORICALLY CLAIM THAT ANY SUCH DOCUMENT WAS NOT AMONG THE DOCUMENTS THEY SEIZED. WHAT AM I GOING TO DO?

MR. WAPNER: WELL, WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS THAT THE COURT DID NOT MAKE A RULING AND MY RECOLLECTION IS THE COURT MADE A VERY CLEAR RULING AS TO THE REMEDY THEY COULD SEEK.

THE COURT: MR. BARENS, IS THIS LETTER OF JULY 27TH, IS THAT RELATED TO THE ESLAMINIA CASE?

MR. BARENS: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: AND IT IS A LETTER FROM WHOM?

MR. BARENS: IT WAS, AS HAS BEEN EXPLAINED TO ME --

(UNREPORTED COLLOGUY BETWEEN MR. BARENS

AND THE DEFENDANT.)

MR. BARENS: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH AND HAVE THE DEFENDANT APPROACH THE BENCH ALSO, YOUR HONOR?

```
(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
2B 1
           1
                            IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE AND
           2
                            HEARING OF ALL COUNSEL:)
           3
                      MR. WAPNER: YOUR HONOR, ARE ALL OF THE EXHIBITS
           4
               RECEIVED?
           5
                      THE COURT: YES, EXCEPT FOR 31.
           6
                     MR. WAPNER: THANK YOU.
           7
                            (AT 4:12 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS TAKEN
           8
                            UNTIL THURSDAY, MAY 21, 1987, AT 10:30 A.M.)
           9
          10
          11
          12
          13
          14
          15
          16
          17
          18
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
          28
```