SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HOW! OF AFTEPHEN CZULEGER, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 101 3 - SECOND DIST. IN RE 5 JOSEPH HUNT 6 Ek NO. A 090435 7 ON HABEAS CORPUS. 8 9 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 10 VOLUME 8 11 TUESDAY, MAY 7, 1996 12 PAGE 1181 THROUGH 1301, INCL. 13 APPEARANCES: 14 FOR THE PETITIONER ROWAN KLEIN JOSEPH HUNT: 15 ATTORNEY AT LAW 3201 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 16 SUITE 312 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 17 AND MICHAEL CRAIN 18 ATTORNEY AT LAW 3201 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 19 SUITE 312 90403 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 20 21 FOR THE RESPONDENT THE PEOPLE OF THE 22 STATE OF CALIFORNIA: GIL GARCETTI 23 DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: ANDREW MC MULLEN, DEPUTY 24 AND IMOGENE KATAYANA, DEPUTY 18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING 25 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 26 M. HELEN THEISS, CSR, #2264 27 PAUL RUNYON, CSR, #8797 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 101 HON. J. STEPHEN CZULEGER, JUDGE 5 IN RE JOSEPH HUNT 7 NO. A 090435 ON HABEAS CORPUS. 8 9 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 10 VOLUME 8 11 TUESDAY, MAY 7, 1996 12 PAGE 1181 THROUGH 1301, INCL. 13 APPEARANCES: 14 FOR THE PETITIONER JOSEPH HUNT: 15 ROWAN KLEIN ATTORNEY AT LAW 16 3201 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SUITE 312 17 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90403 AND MICHAEL CRAIN 18 ATTORNEY AT LAW 3201 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 19 SUITE 312 20 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90403 21 FOR THE RESPONDENT THE PEOPLE OF THE 22 STATE OF CALIFORNIA: GIL GARCETTI 23 DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: ANDREW MC MULLEN, DEPUTY 24 AND IMOGENE KATAYANA, DEPUTY 25 18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET COPY 26 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 27 M. HELEN THEISS, CSR, #2264 PAUL RUNYON, CSR, #8797 28 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

L

1

• :

DAY	DATE	PAGE 1181 - SESSION	PAG
TUESDAY TUESDAY		A.M. P.M.	118 (NO
	PROCEEDIN	IGS	PAG
			_
HEARING			1

;

Γ

ı

1	·	MAS	TER	INDE	<u>X</u>				
2									
3	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES								
4	HEARING:								
5	PETITIONER'S					VOIR			
6	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	DIRE	VOL		
7	DOBRIN, DANIEL,								
8	AARON (BY MR. KLEIN)	1184	1206	1212			8		
9	BARENS, ARTHUR								
10	(BY MR. KLEIN) (RESUMED)			1226	1262		8		
11	(FURTHER)	1077	1206	1268			8		
12	BRODEY, JEFFREY	12//	1286	1288	1290		8		
13									
14	RESPONDENT'S					WOID			
15		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE	VOL		
16	(NONE)								
17	(NONE)								
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24	,								
25									
26									
27									
28									
ŀ						•			

/

)

ALI	PHABETICAL	LINDE	X OF WITNI	ESSES		
PETITIONER'S					VOIR	
WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	DIRE	VOI
DOBRIN, DANIEL,					-	
AARON (BY MR. KLEIN)	1184	1206	1212			8
BARENS, ARTHUR						
(BY MR. KLEIN) (RESUMED) (FURTHER)			1226 1268	1262		8 8
BRODEY, JEFFREY	1277	1286		1290		8
DRODEL, GEFFREI	12//	1200	1200	1290		O
RESPONDENT'S					VOIR	
WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS		
(NONE)						
(NONE)						

1		MAST	ER IN	DEX				
2		EXHIBITS						
3	PETITIONER'S		FOR	IN	WITHDRAWN OR			
4	EXHIBITS	IDENTI:	FICATION PG.	EVIDENCE VOL. PG.				
5	274 - DOCUMENT	8	1190					
6	275 - DOCUMENT 276 - DOCUMENT	8 8	1256 1278					
7								
8								
9	RESPONDENT'S		FOR	IN	WITHDRAWN OR			
0	EXHIBITS		FICATION PG.		REJECTED VOL. PG.			
1	(NONE)							
2								
3								
4								
5								
6			•					
7								
8								
9								
0					•			
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								

ť

ļ

PROOF WITH RESPECT TO THEIR WITNESS.

28

28 INTERVIEW WITH MR. BARENS. IT WAS VERY BRIEF. HE

27

MR. MC. MULLEN: YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS A PREHEARING

MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL

2 1	BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH,
2	SO HELP YOU GOD?
3	THE WITNESS: YES.
4	THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED.
5	STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD AND SPELL YOUR
6	FIRST AND LAST NAME, PLEASE.
7	
8	DANIEL AARON DOBRIN, +
9	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PETITIONER, WAS SWORN AND
10	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
11	
12	THE WITNESS: DANIEL AARON, D-O-B-R-I-N.
13	THE COURT: YOU MAY INQUIRE.
14	MR. CRAIN: JUST A MINUTE, YOUR HONOR.
15	
16	(PAUSE.)
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION +
19	
20	BY MR. KLEIN:
21	Q MR. DOBRIN, WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
22	A I'M AN ATTORNEY.
23	Q WHAT WAS YOUR OCCUPATION BACK IN 1987?
24	A I WAS AN ATTORNEY. I WAS MAINLY HANDLING
25	CRIMINAL APPEALS AT THAT TIME.
26	Q AND AT SOME POINT DID YOU HAVE SOME
27	CONNECTION WITH THE JOE HUNT CASE?
28	A I WAS JOE HUNT'S COURT APPOINTED LAWYER ON

APPEAL FROM JANUARY OF 1988 UNTIL 1994.

O NOW --

THE COURT: YOU SAY "ON APPEAL." DO YOU MEAN YOU ALSO REPRESENTED HIM IN THE HABEAS CORPUS APPLICATION?

THE WITNESS: YES. AT THAT TIME I ALSO REPRESENTED HIM ON THE HABEAS CORPUS.

BY MR. KLEIN:

O WHEN DID YOUR REPRESENTATION END?

A WHEN CERTIOARI WAS DENIED BY THE SUPREME COURT ON OCTOBER 13TH, 1994, IS WHEN I DETERMINED IT TO TERMINATE.

Q NOW, HOW IS YOUR MEMORY OF EVERYTHING YOU DID
IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER?

A I TRIED TO REFRESH MYSELF TO SOME EXTENT ON THE MATTERS THAT I UNDERSTOOD I WOULD BE QUESTIONED ABOUT, BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO THIS CASE, HAVING TURNED THEM OVER TO HIS PRESENT LAWYER. SO IT WAS A BIT DIFFICULT TO DO THAT. AND WITHOUT REFRESHING, MY MEMORY IS SPOTTY.

Q OKAY.

WOULD REVIEWING YOUR DECLARATION THAT YOU SIGNED, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 1-C TO THE PETITION, HELP REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?

A I REVIEWED THAT RECENTLY, BUT I MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT IT AGAIN AT SOME APPROPRIATE POINT.

MR. KLEIN: MAY I LEAVE IT WITH THE WITNESS --

THE COURT: YES.

MR. KLEIN: -- WHILE I EXAMINE THE WITNESS?

1	BY MR. KLEIN:
2	Q NOW, IN CONNECTION WITH REVIEWING THE CASE
3	DID YOU ATTEMPT TO CONTACT PREVIOUS COUNSEL?
4	A I HAD NUMEROUS CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM AND
5	NUMEROUS LETTERS.
6	Q AND WHO WERE THE PREVIOUS COUNSEL?
7	A THE THERE WERE SEVERAL, BUT THE MAIN ONES
8	THAT I CONTACTED WERE RICHARD CHIER AND ARTHUR BARENS.
9	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU PULL THAT MICROPHONE UP
10	UNDERNEATH YOUR CHIN.
11	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
12	BY MR. KLEIN:
13	Q DID YOU HAVE SOME CONVERSATIONS WITH
14	MR. BARENS ABOUT HOW HE BECAME APPOINTED ON THE CASE?
15	A YES, I DID.
16	Q AND WAS A DECLARATION PREPARED AS A RESULT OF
17	THOSE CONVERSATIONS?
18	A YES, IT WAS.
19	Q WHO PREPARED THAT DECLARATION, IF YOU
20	REMEMBER?
21	A TO MY BEST RECOLLECTION, I DID BASED ON MY
22	CONVERSATIONS WITH HIM.
23	Q AND WHAT HAPPENED THEN?
24	A MAY I SEE IS IT IN THIS DOCUMENT?
25	Q THE DECLARATION ITSELF?
26	A YES, YES.
27	Q NO. I'LL SHOW YOU THE DECLARATION.
28	A I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHETHER IT LOOKS LIKE

SOMETHING THAT CAME FROM MY COMPUTER. 1 MR. KLEIN: THE DECLARATION IS EXHIBIT 11-A TO THE 2 PETITION. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE COPIED IT YET, YOUR HONOR. 3 WE DID MARK IT. 5 THE COURT: IS THAT YOUR 201 AND 202? 6 MR. KLEIN: COULD BE. MAY BE WE DID MARK IT. NO, THOSE ARE THE FEE DECLARATIONS, YOUR 7 HONOR. THESE ARE TWO DECLARATIONS THAT MR. BARENS 8 EXECUTED IN 1988. SO IT WOULD PROBABLY BE AT THE END OF 9 10 YOUR --THE COURT: WHICH EXHIBITS ARE THEY? 11 12 MR. KLEIN: 11-A. THE COURT: THEY'RE PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS 269 AND 13 14 270. MR. KLEIN: COULD I HAVE A MINUTE. THANK YOU. 15 16 17 (PAUSE.) 18 BY MR. KLEIN: 19 20 WERE TWO DECLARATIONS EXECUTED BY MR. BARENS DURING THAT TIME FRAME? 21 22 LET ME SHOW YOU EXHIBITS 269 AND 270 AND SEE 23 IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY AS TO WHOM WAS THE AUTHOR. 24 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBITS.) 25 26 27 YES, I BELIEVE IT DOES. Α 28 AND WHO ORIGINALLY DRAFTED THE DOCUMENTS? Q

3 Α EXHIBIT 12-B, WHICH IS DATED JULY 21ST OF 1 1988, WAS A DOCUMENT THAT I DRAFTED, TO THE BEST OF MY 2 RECOLLECTION, IN SUPPORT OF THE AUGMENTATION APPLICATION. 3 EXHIBIT 11-A, WHICH IS DATED NOVEMBER 30TH OF 5 '88 WAS MOST LIKELY DRAFTED BY BARENS. POSSIBLY IT WAS A REVISION OF A DOCUMENT WHICH I SENT HIM. I THINK THAT'S 6 7 PROBABLY WHAT HAPPENED. THE COURT: PLEASE DON'T USE THE PETITION EXHIBITS 8 BECAUSE WE HAVE MARKED THEM SEPARATELY. 9 MR. KLEIN: I THINK THEY'RE MARKED ON THE BACK OF 10 THE DOCUMENT. 11 THE WITNESS: EXHIBIT 269 APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN 12 13 PREPARED BY ARTHUR BARENS, THOUGH FROM A DRAFT PREPARED BY 14 ME, POSSIBLY. AND 270 APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN DRAFTED BY ME. 15 MR. MC MULLEN: WE WOULD OBJECT ON THE GROUNDS OF 16 RELEVANCY AS TO ANY --17 THE COURT: I'LL SEE WHERE THE NEXT QUESTION IS 18 19 GOING. MR. MC MULLEN: THANK YOU. 20 21 MR. KLEIN: COULD I JUST HAVE A MINUTE, YOUR HONOR? 22 THE COURT: YES. 23 24 (A CONFERENCE WAS HELD BETWEEN COUNSEL 25 AND THE PETITIONER, NOT REPORTED.) 26 27 BY MR. KLEIN: 28 Q NOW, DURING THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE CASE

1 THE COURT: 274 2 3 (MARKED FOR ID = PETITIONER'S 274, DOCUMENT.) 5 BY MR. KLEIN: 6 7 IS THIS A DOCUMENT THAT YOU CREATED, MR. DOBRIN? 8 YES, IT IS. 10 DID YOU CREATE IT AT OR NEAR THE TIME OF THE CONVERSATION? 11 Α YES, I DID. 12 IS YOUR BEST MEMORY NOW BASED ON THAT, THAT 13 14 THE CONVERSATION HAPPENED ON NOVEMBER 22ND, 1988? 15 I HAVE NO INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION, BUT I BELIEVE IT HAPPENED ON OR ABOUT THAT DATE FROM LOOKING AT 16 THE MEMO. 17 NOW, PRIOR TO ASKING MR. BARENS WHY MR. HUNT 18 0 DIDN'T TESTIFY, HAD YOU OBTAINED ANY WRITTEN WAIVER OF THE 19 ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE THAT YOU HAD FORWARDED TO 20 MR. BARENS? 21 22 NO, I HAD NOT. Α IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION ABOUT WHY 23 MR. HUNT DIDN'T TESTIFY DID MR. BARENS PROVIDE YOU WITH 24 SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST? 25 26 I BELIEVE HE DID, YES. Α AND WOULD READING YOUR TYPED REPORT REFRESH 27 28 YOUR MEMORY?

A YES, IT WOULD. 1 AS TO WHAT MR. HUNT -- I MEAN, WHAT 2 MR. BARENS TOLD YOU. 3 YES, I BELIEVE IT WOULD. WHY DON'T YOU READ YOUR REPORT AND THEN TELL 5 THE COURT WHAT MR. BARENS TOLD YOU. 6 MR. MC MULLEN: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH? I'M 7 NOT FAMILIAR WITH THESE NOTES. 8 THE COURT: YES. 9 10 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBITS.) 11 12 THE WITNESS: IT DOES REFRESH MY RECOLLECTION. CAN 13 I ADD SOMETHING ABOUT THE PREPARATION OF IT? 14 BY MR. KLEIN: 15 16 O PLEASE. IT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN PREPARED FROM A TAPE 17 THAT I DICTATED. I WOULDN'T THINK I WOULD HAVE SPELLED 18 LEVIN L-O-V-V-O-N. I USED VARIOUS INDEPENDENT TYPISTS. I 19 BELIEVE THIS WAS TYPED FROM A TAPE THAT I DICTATED. 20 NOW, YOU ASKED MR. BARENS WHAT WERE THE --21 THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, WHY DON'T YOU GO BACK TO THE 22 LECTERN. 23 MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. 24 BY MR. KLEIN: 25 WOULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR HANDWRITTEN 26 NOTES THAT ARE ATTACHED TO THAT AND SEE IF THEY APPEAR TO 27 BE ACCURATE AS TO WHAT MR. BARENS TOLD YOU. 28

MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 1 THE COURT: I THINK YOU ALREADY LOOKED AT THAT. 2 THE WITNESS: WHEN I TALK TO PEOPLE I KEEP SORT OF 3 A RUNNING LOG WITH MY PEN. I COULDN'T SAY THAT ANYTHING 4 THERE WAS PARTICULARLY ACCURATE. IT'S JUST WHAT I WROTE 5 DOWN WHILE I WAS TALKING AND USED TO REFRESH MY 6 RECOLLECTION. I THINK IT MAY PROVIDE SOME GUIDANCE, BUT I 7 WOULD TRUST THE TYPEWRITTEN VERSION OVER WHAT I WROTE WHEN 8 I WASN'T THINKING ABOUT WHAT I WAS WRITING. 9 10 HOW SOON DID YOU PREPARE THE DICTATED NOTES AFTER YOUR HANDWRITTEN NOTES? 11 I TRY DO IT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. IT WOULD 1.2 Α USUALLY BE WITHIN A WEEK. 13 YOU DID THAT AFTER YOUR MEMORY OF WHAT 14 MR. BARENS TOLD YOU WAS REFRESHED? 15 I USED THESE NOTES TO PREPARE THE MEMO, YES. 16 Α WHEN YOU ASKED MR. BARENS WHY MR. HUNT DIDN'T 17 TESTIFY WHAT DID HE TELL YOU? 18 MAY I LOOK AT THIS? 19 Α 0 SURE. 20 21 22 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.) 23 24 HE SAID THEY DID A MOCK CROSS-EXAMINATION. 25 BELIEVE THIS WAS THE SUNDAY BEFORE THEY HAD STARTED THE 26 DEFENSE CASE OR THE SUNDAY BEFORE THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE 27 JOE TESTIFY, AND HE WASN'T HAPPY WITH THE WAY THAT JOE DID 28 ON THAT. AND THEN BARENS PROCEEDED TO EXPOUND ON THE

5	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

24

25

26

27

ANY	OF	THE	EXPI	LANATIONS	TI	TAH	MR.	HUN	1 T	HAD	GIVEN	HIM	WITH
RESP	ECI	то	THE	SEVEN-PAG	3E	LIS	ST,	DID	HE	?			

- A HE NEVER TOLD ME THAT.
- Q AFTER YOU INTERVIEWED MR. BARENS ON THE TELEPHONE, DID IT BECAME INCREASINGLY AND INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT TO TALK WITH HIM OVER THE TELEPHONE ABOUT YOUR PERPARATION OF THE CASE?
- A THE POINT AT WHICH IT BECAME DIFFICULT WAS IN APPROXIMATELY JANUARY OF 1991 WHEN I CALLED HIM TO EXPLICITLY ASK HIM ABOUT INEFFECTIVNESS ISSUES. AFTER THAT I DIDN'T GET MUCH COOPERATION FROM HIM.
 - O OKAY.
- ON APRIL 30TH, 1991, DID YOU SEND HIM A
 DETAILED LETTER IN RESPONSE TO A TELEPHONE CALL FROM HIM?
- A YES. I BELIEVE HE HAD WANTED ME TO PUT MY
 INQUIRIES IN WRITING, AND AT CONSIDERABLY LENGTH AND
 CONSUMING CONSIDERABLE TIME I DID THAT.
 - Q LET ME SHOW YOU THIS, EXHIBIT 203, AND ASK
 YOU IS THIS THE CORRESPONDENCE THAT YOU SENT TO
 MR. BARENS?
 - A YES, IT IS WITH SOME MINOR DELETIONS.
 - Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF SENDING HIM THIS CORRESPONDENCE?
 - A WHENEVER YOU CHARGE SOMEBODY WITH -- SOMEBODY WITH INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE IT'S GOOD PRACTICE TO GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND BEFORE YOU PRESENT YOUR POSITION TO THE COURT, WHICH I WAS TRYING TO DO THAT.
 - Q IS THERE A COVER LETTER ATTACHED TO THAT,

5 1 THAT, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 204? MR. MC MULLEN: AT THIS POINT WE'D OBJECT TO THAT 2 AS IRRELEVANT. 3 THE COURT: OVERRULED. THE WITNESS: YES, THERE WAS. 5 BY MR. KLEIN: 6 DID YOU RECEIVE A RESPONSE TO THAT 7 CORRESPONDENCE? 8 9 Α NOT FROM MR. BARENS. 10 SUBSEQUENTLY DID YOU LEARN THAT -- LET ME SHOW YOU ONE MORE DOCUMENT, EXHIBIT 205. 11 12 THE COURT: DID YOU GET A RESPONSE FROM SOMEONE OTHER THAN BARENS? 13 14 THE WITNESS: YES, I DID. THE COURT: WHO? 15 THE WITNESS: JEFF BRODEY. 16 MR. KLEIN: I'LL GET TO THAT IN A MINUTE, YOUR 17 18 HONOR. BY MR. KLEIN: 19 20 Q SHOWING YOU EXHIBIT 205, IS THIS PROOF THAT 21 204 AND 203 WERE RECEIVED BY MR. BARENS? THE COURT: WELL --22 BY MR. KLEIN: 23 24 Q THE MANNER IN WHICH YOU SENT IT TO 25 MR. BARENS. 26 Α I CONSIDER IT TO BE PROOF, YES. 27 WELL, WHAT IS IT? Q 28 Α TO ME IT'S THE EQUIVALENT OF A CERTIFIED MAIL

RECEIPT. IT'S A SIGNATURE FROM UPS SHOWING THE DOCUMENT 5 1 THAT I SENT WAS IN FACT RECEIVED BY THE ADDRESSEE. 2 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. INAPPROPRIATE OPINION 3 OF THE WITNESS. 4 5 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. MR. MC MULLEN: MOVE TO STRIKE. 6 7 THE COURT: LAST ANSWER WILL GO OUT. BY MR. KLEIN: 8 WHAT IS THE DOCUMENT IN FRONT OF YOU? 9 DOCUMENT IS A UPS RECEIPT. 10 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION. 11 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 12 IS THIS A DOCUMENT THAT YOU USE IN THE COURSE 13 14 OF YOUR BUSINESS IN SENDING OUT MATERIAL? MR. KLEIN: IT'S MORE THAN THAT --15 THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE 16 SEVERAL DOCUMENTS. 17 18 THE COURT: UPS RECEIPT YOU JUST TESTIFIED ABOUT 19 THAT, DOES YOUR OFFICE USE THAT --20 THE WITNESS: NOT -- THIS IS THE RECEIPT SHOWING 21 THAT I SENT IT, AND THEN -- I HOPE I'M ANSWERING YOUR QUESTION, YOUR HONOR. 22 23 THEN AFTER THAT I ASKED THEM TO SEND ME PROOF 24 THAT IT WAS IN FACT RECEIVED. I HAVEN'T DONE THAT VERY OFTEN AS FAR AS THE PROOF OF RECEIPT. IT'S NOT SOMETHING 25 26 I DO VERY OFTEN, BUT IT IS WHAT UPS GAVE ME. 27 THE COURT: IS THIS WHAT YOU USED TO SEND THIS PACK

OF MATERIAL TO MR. BARENS THROUGH UPS?

```
5
        1
                    THE WITNESS: YES.
        2
                    THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
             BY MR. KLEIN:
        3
        4
                    0
                          OKAY.
                          SOMETIME AFTER BEING CONTACTED BY MR. --
        5
             WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, DID YOU SEND ANOTHER FOLLOW-UP
        6
        7
             LETTER TO MR. BARENS DATED MAY 20, 1991, EXHIBIT 206?
                          YES, I DID.
        8
                    Α
                          DID YOU GET A RESPONSE TO THAT?
        9
                    Q
                    Α
                          I DID FROM JEFF BRODEY ABOUT A DAY OR TWO
       10
       11
             LATER.
                          DID YOU THEN SEND A LETTER TO JEFF BRODEY
       12
       13
             DATED MAY 31ST, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 207?
       14
                    MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT.
                    THE COURT: OVERRULED.
       15
       16
                    THE WITNESS: YES, I DID.
             BY MR. KLEIN:
       17
       18
                    0
                         DID YOU GET A RESPONSE TO THAT LETTER?
                          I DON'T RECALL RIGHT NOW.
       19
                    Α
       20
                          TAKE A LOOK AT THE DECLARATION AND SEE IF
       21
             THAT REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY AS TO WHETHER YOU RECEIVED IT.
       22
       23
                          (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.)
       24
                          IT REFRESHES MY MEMORY THAT SHORTLY AFTER
       25
                    Α
       26
             THAT OR -- EXCUSE ME.
       27
       28
                          (PAUSE.)
```

1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	١
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

A I -- THIS SHOWS THAT I CALLED BRODEY ON MAY 31ST, AND I ALSO -- THERE WAS CONTACT ON JUNE 18TH. THE ONLY TIME THAT I RECALL BRODEY CALLING ME WAS ON MAY 22ND OF '91.

Q BUT DID YOU EVER GET A RESPONSE FROM ANYBODY

TO THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU ASKED IN YOUR LENGTHY LETTER,

WHICH IS -- WE'LL FIND IT HERE -- EXHIBIT 203?

A NO, I DID NOT. NOT FROM BARENS OR ANYBODY WHO -- WHO TO MY KNOWLEDGE WAS REPRESENTING BARENS.

Q NOW, DID YOU CONDUCT A SEARCH OF THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY'S FILES IN THE HUNT CASE IN ORDER TO PREPARE FOR
THE APPEAL OR THE WRIT?

MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT.

THE COURT: WHERE ARE YOU GOING?

MR. KLEIN: ON PROGRESSIVE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHERE ARE YOU GOING, THOUGH?

MR. KLEIN: HE'S THE ONE WHO FOUND THE DOCUMENTS IN THE D. A.'S FILE THAT MR. BARENS CLAIMS HE NEVER SAW.

MR. MC MULLEN: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, RELEVANCY. THIS
IS PART OF ISSUE 5 IN THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHICH HAS
BEEN EXCLUDED BY YOUR HONOR ON MARCH 29TH.

THE COURT: IT'S ALSO PART OF 2.

MR. KLEIN: RIGHT.

MR. MC MULLEN: WITH RESPECT TO 2, IT'S WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BECAUSE MR. BARENS DID NOT UTILIZE THAT EVIDENCE. WHAT WERE -- WHAT COUNSEL IS ATTEMPTING TO ELICIT FROM THE WITNESS

28 IS --

6 1 THE COURT: WHICH PARAGRAPH 2 IS IT? 2 MR. CRAIN: 2-E, YOUR HONOR. 3 (COURT REVIEWING FILE.) 5 THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW A QUICK INQUIRY INTO THIS. 6 7 VERY QUICK INQUIRY. BY MR. KLEIN: 8 AS PART OF YOUR PREPARATION, WERE YOU ALLOWED 9 TO REVIEW THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S FILES IN THE HUNT CASE? 10 YES. I WAS ACTUALLY ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE 11 HUNT CASE UP NORTH, BUT IT OBVIOUSLY SERVED A DUAL 12 13 PURPOSE. 14 Q AND HOW MANY BOXES DID YOU LOOK THROUGH? 15 A I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY, BUT IT WAS OVER A DOZEN. 16 17 Q DID YOU INVENTORY THESE BOXES? I DICTATED NOTES, WHICH SUMMARIZED WHAT WAS 18 19 IN THE BOX. I WOULDN'T SAY THAT I ACTUALLY INVENTORIED EACH DOCUMENT. 20 21 DID YOU DISCOVER A SERIES OF DOCUMENTS 22 RELATING TO AN F.B.I. INVESTIGATION INTO MR. LEVIN 23 RELATING TO PROGRESSIVE SAVINGS & LOAN? 24 Α YES, I DID. 25 THIS IS EXHIBIT 230 OR EXHIBIT 19-B TO THE Q 26 PETITION. 27 MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YES.

6 1 BY MR. KLEIN: 2 Q IS THIS THE SET OF DOCUMENTS THAT YOU FOUND 3 IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S FILES? 5 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBITS.) 6 Α I BELIEVE THAT IT IS. WAS DISTRICT ATTORNEY RICHARD STONE PRESENT 8 9 WHEN YOU FOUND THOSE DOCUMENTS? 10 YES, HE WAS. Α 11 AFTER YOU FOUND THOSE DOCUMENTS, YOU SENT THOSE DOCUMENTS TO MR. BARENS BY WAY OF A LETTER, WHICH IS 12 EXHIBIT 209, AND GET THIS RESPONSE FROM MR. BARENS, WHICH 13 14 IS DATED OCTOBER 16, 1990? MR. MC MULLEN: WE WOULD RENEW OUR RELEVANCY 15 16 OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: OVERRULED. WHICH EXHIBIT NUMBER IS THAT AGAIN? 18 19 MR. KLEIN: 209, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE WITNESS: I'M SURE THAT I DID GET THIS 21 RESPONSE. 22 23 BY MR. KLEIN: 24 DURING THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE WRIT IN 25 THIS CASE, DID YOU COME ACROSS A PERSON BY THE NAME OF 26 NEIL ADELMAN AND A CONNECTION TO MICROGENESIS AND A 200 27 MILLION DOLLAR DEAL WITH WILLIAM KILPATRICK? 28 A YES, I DID.

MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY. 1 THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF THIS? 2 MR. KLEIN: HE IS THE ONE THAT FOUND IT, YOUR 3 HONOR. 4 THE COURT: THERE IS NO ALLEGATIONS THAT MR. BARENS 5 DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT. MR. BARENS SAID THAT HE KNEW ABOUT 6 7 IT, BUT HE INTENTIONALLY DID NOT UTILIZE THE INFORMATION. MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME. IT'S OUR POSITION THAT MR. BARENS LIED UNDER OATH. 9 THE COURT: THAT HE DID NOT KNOW ABOUT IT? 10 MR. KLEIN: THAT HE SAID THAT HE KNEW MR. ADELMAN 11 BECAUSE MR. ADELMAN TESTIFIED AT THE TRIAL AND HE 12 INTERVIEWED HIM AT THE COURTHOUSE DURING THE TRIAL. 13 MY POSITION IS -- IS HE WAS ON NOTICE ABOUT 14 ADELMAN. ADELMAN ALSO TESTIFIED THAT HE NEVER TALKED TO 15 BARENS. I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE, WHEN IT'S ALL IN, WILL BE 16 THAT BARENS WAS ON NOTICE ABOUT ADELMAN, BUT MADE NO 17 EFFORTS TO FIND HIM. 18 THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME EVIDENCE ABOUT 19 20 ATTEMPTS BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO FIND MR. ADELMAN, AND THEN EVIDENCE OF HOW EASY IT WAS TO FIND HIM THROUGH 21 22 MR. DOBRIN. 23 THE COURT: WASN'T MR. BARENS' POSITION PRETTY 24 CLEAR THAT HE HAD NO INTENTION OF UTILIZING ANY OF THIS 25 INFORMATION BECAUSE HE CALLED IT SNAKE OIL. 26 MR. KLEIN: MR. BARENS TESTIFIED TO HIS POSITION ON 27 IT. OUR ARGUMENT IS THAT MR. BARENS DIDN'T UNDERSTAND OUR 28 OFFER OF PROOF AS TO WHY WE THINK THIS INFORMATION WOULD

6 HAVE BEEN IMPORTANT FOR THE JURY. 1 MR. BARENS REPEATEDLY TALKED ABOUT THE FACT 2 THAT, "WHY WOULD RON LEVIN SIGN A CHECK FOR A MILLION AND 3 7 A HALF DOLLARS AND TURN IT OVER AS AN INVESTMENT IN MICROGENESIS?" THAT IS NOT THE THRUST OF THE TESTIMONY OF NEIL ADELMAN ABOUT THE CONTRACTS AND NEGOTIATIONS. IT HAD 6 NOTHING TO DO WITH RON LEVIN'S PARTICIPATION. 7 THE COURT: UNDERSTAND. 8 SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 9 MR. KLEIN: SO THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO ALLOW ME 10 TO PUT ON EVIDENCE THAT MR. DOBRIN --11 THE COURT: FOUND MR. ADELMAN. 12 MR. KLEIN: -- FOUND MR. ADELMAN IN 20 MINUTES? 13 THE COURT: YES, THAT'S CORRECT. 14 MR. KLEIN: THE COURT DOESN'T THINK IT'S RELEVANT 15 AS TO WHETHER OR NOT MR. BARENS COULD HAVE FOUND 16 17 MR. ADELMAN AT THE TIME THAT HE -- THAT HE SHOULD HAVE LOOKED FOR HIM. 18 19 THE COURT: I HAVE RULED. MOVE ON. MR. KLEIN: THE OFFER OF PROOF, IT WOULD HAVE TAKEN 20 21 10 MINUTES. THE COURT: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? 22 BY MR. KLEIN: 23 O DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION DID 24 YOU DISCOVER THE NAME OF A PERSON BY THE NAME OF OLIVER 25 26 WENDELL HOLMES? 27 A YES.

MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION, RELEVANCY.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 1 MR. KLEIN: WELL, AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, MR. DOBRIN IS 2 THE ONE THAT DISCOVERED THE EVIDENCE THAT LED TO 3 MR. HOLMES' DECLARATIONS AND HIS TESTIMONY, AND HE ALSO 4 FOUND MR. HOLMES IN 10 OR 15 MINUTES BY PICKING UP THE 6 TELEPHONE. 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. 8 MOVE ON. 9 BY MR. KLEIN: 10 NOW, WHEN YOU WERE TAKING TO MR. BARENS ABOUT 11 Q 12 IF MR. HUNT WERE TO TESTIFY, DID MR. BARENS ALWAYS SAY TO 13 YOU THAT -- THAT IF MR. HUNT WERE GOING TO TESTIFY HE WAS GOING TO TESTIFY TO THE FACT THAT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST WAS 14 15 USED AS A SCRIPT AND THAT THE MAFIA WAS GOING TO PUT 16 PRESSURE ON MR. LEVIN? 17 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. LEADING AND RELEVANCE. 18 THE COURT: SUSTAINED ON LEADING GROUNDS. 19 BY MR. KLEIN: 20 0 OKAY. WHAT WAS THE THEORY THAT MR. BARENS TOLD YOU 21 THAT HE WOULD PRESENT TO THE COURT IF MR. HUNT WERE TO 22 23 HAVE TESTIFIED IN THE CASE? 24 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. 25 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 26 DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION LIKE THAT WITH 27 HIM? 28 THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE THAT AS MUCH CONVERSATION

2 POSSIBLE THEORIES. I CAN'T RECALL ANY OTHERS RIGHT NOW. 3 BY MR. KLEIN: 4 0 COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THE MEMO AND SEE IF IT REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY? 5 6 MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I APPROACH? I DON'T HAVE A 7 COPY OF THAT MEMCRANDUM --8 THE COURT: YES. 9 10 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.) 11 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR PORTION YOU 12 13 WANT TO REFER HIM TO, MR. KLEIN? 14 THE PETITIONER: IT'S LIKE THE THIRD PARAGRAPH. 15 YOUR HONOR. 16 THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY, WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? BY MR. KLEIN: 17 DID MR. BARENS TELL YOU WHAT THEORY MR. HUNT 18 WERE TO TESTIFY ON IF HE WERE TO TESTIFY? 19 OTHER THAN THE TWO THAT HE MENTIONED, I CAN'T 20 21 RECALL A SPECIFIC THEORY ABOUT THE SEVEN PAGES. 22 0 OKAY. 23 MR. KLEIN: THAT'S ALL I HAVE AT THIS MOMENT. 24 THE COURT: CROSS-EXAMINATION. MR. MC MULLEN: YES. 25 26 MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT TO REVIEW THIS, IF I 27 MIGHT, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES. 28

AS I HAD WITH HIM WAS IN THAT MEMO, AND HE MENTIONED THOSE

7

(PAUSE.) 1 2 MR. KLEIN: MAY I BORROW THE EXHIBIT TO LOOK AT IT 3 FOR A MINUTE, YOUR HONOR? Δ THE COURT: I BELIEVE MR. MC MULLEN HAS IT. 5 ΗE HASN'T SEEN IT YET. 6 MR. CRAIN: I THINK THAT WAS GIVEN TO MR. MC MULLEN 7 OUITE SOME TIME AGO IN DISCOVERY. 8 THE COURT: I WOULD HOPE IT HAS. 9 10 (PAUSE.) 11 12 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION @ 15 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 16 17 SIR, WITH RESPECT TO YOUR TESTIMONY ABOUT EXHIBIT 277, I NOTICED ON DIRECT EXAMINATION YOU WERE 18 19 REFERRING TO THIS MEMO, TO FILE MEMO, I TAKE IT, QUITE A 20 BIT? 21 YES, I WAS. Α IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DON'T HAVE AN 22 23 INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION TODAY AS YOU TESTIFY ABOUT THE 24 CONTENTS OF THIS EXHIBIT, PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 277? 25 I DO HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF THAT 26 CONVERSATION, BUT I DON'T RECALL EVERYTHING THAT WAS SAID INDEPENDENTLY. 27 28 0 I TAKE IT THAT PRIOR TO YOUR CONVERSATION

WITH MR. BARENS THAT'S MEMORIALIZED IN THIS PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 277 YOU HAD OTHER CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. BARENS?

- A YES, I HAD.
- Q AND DID MR. BARENS -- ISN'T IT TRUE THAT

 MR. BARENS WAS RELUCTANT TO DISCUSS WITH YOU WHAT HE FELT

 WERE ATTORNEY-CLIENT -- ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED

 COMMUNICATIONS WITH HIS CLIENT, MR. HUNT?
- A I DON'T BELIEVE IT WAS, NO. I WAS THE APPELLATE LAWYER. I THINK WE HAD A COMMON INTEREST.
- Q SO YOUR TESTIMONY IS HE NEVER EXPRESSED

 CONCERN ABOUT REVEALING TO YOU COMMUNICATIONS THAT HE HAD

 WITH HIS CLIENT, MR. HUNT?
- A I THINK SOMETIMES HE WOULD SAY THAT HE WANTED THINGS TO BE QUOTE, "OFF THE RECORD", UNQUOTE, BUT THAT DEALT WITH A LOT OF THINGS AND NOT JUST CONVERSATIONS WITH HUNT.
- Q WITH RESPECT TO DISCUSSES THAT CENTERED

 AROUND THE SEVEN-PAGE TO-DO LIST, DID YOU EVER ASK HIM -
 DID YOU EVER ASK MR. BARENS WHETHER HE HAD -- HE FELT HE

 HAD ANY ETHICAL PROBLEMS WITH RESPECT TO PUTTING

 MR. HUNT ON THE WITNESS STAND WITH RESPECT TO THAT LIST?
 - A I'M NOT -- I DON'T RECALL.
 - Q SO HE MAY HAVE EXPRESSED SOME CONCERN?

 MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- MR. MC MULLEN: I'LL WITHDRAW THE QUESTION.
- 26 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
- 27 BY MR. MC MULLEN:

Q DID YOU EVER ASK HIM ABOUT ANY GENERAL

ETHICAL CONCERNS HE MIGHT HAVE WITH RESPECT TO THE 8 1 SEVEN-PAGE LIST? 2 Α NO. THE CONCERNS HE EXPRESSED WERE PRIMARILY 3 STRATEGIC, AND THAT'S WHAT I WAS INTERESTED IN WAS 4 STRATEGIC REASONS. 5 YOU SAY IT BECAME INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT TO 6 TALK TO MR. BARENS. WHY IS THAT? 7 I HAD A CONVERSATION WITH HIM IN EARLY 1991 8 AT WHICH POINT IT BECAME CLEAR THAT I HAD FOCUSED IN ON AN 9 10 INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE INQUIRY. I BELIEVE AFTER THAT TIME WE HAD MORE OR LESS AGREED THAT THE INQUIRIES WOULD BE 11 EITHER BY LETTER OR IN PERSON. BEFORE THAT I HAD NO REAL 12 PROBLEM. 13 WELL, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT WITH RESPECT TO 14 15 EXHIBIT 277, THIS MEMO DATED NOVEMBER 22, '88, THAT YOU 16 WERE FOCUSING ON MR. BARENS AT THAT PARTICULAR POINT FOR A POTENTIAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM? 17 IT'S ALWAYS A POTENTIAL ISSUE WHEN YOU ARE AN Α 18 APPELLATE LAWYER. 19 20 IN FACT, YOU WERE ASKING HIM QUESTIONS WITH 21 RESPECT TO STATEMENTS MR. BARENS MADE IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT TO THE JURY WITH REFERENCE TO HIS CLIENT TAKING 22 23 THE WITNESS STAND. YOU WERE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT, 24 WHY HE DIDN'T CALL MR. HUNT TO THE WITNESS STAND; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

> MAYBE IF I CAN CLARIFY MY LAST RESPONSE, I THINK IT WENT FROM SORT OF A CASUAL AND ROUTINE FOCUS TO A SERIOUS FOCUS THAT BECAME CLEAR TO MR. BARENS IN '81.

25

26

27

WHENEVER I WOULD HANDLE AN APPEAL I WOULD ASK TRIAL 8 1 LAWYERS QUESTIONS LIKE THAT, BUT I HAD PRETTY FREE 2 COMMUNICATION WITH THEM. PRIOR TO THIS CASE HAD YOU HANDLED OTHER INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE CLAIMS? 5 YES, I HAD. 6 Α 7 IS IT YOUR EXPERIENCE IN TALKING TO THE TRIAL ATTORNEYS THAT THERE IS SOME DEFENSIVE POSTURE ON THE PART Я OF THE TRIAL ATTORNEY WHEN YOU ARE TALKING TO THAT TRIAL 9 10 ATTORNEY ABOUT POTENTIAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 11 CLAIMS? 12 MR. KLEIN: I HAVE A COMMENT, BUT POSSIBLY AN OBJECTION. 13 14 THE COURT: I DON'T CARE ABOUT COMMENTS. DO YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION? 15 16 MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR, IT'S IRRELEVANT. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 17 18 BY MR. MC MULLEN: ISN'T IT A FACT THAT MR. BARENS, WHEN YOU 19 20 TALKED TO HIM WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT 277, MEMO -- DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1988, WHEN YOU ASKED HIM ABOUT THE OPENING 21 22 STATEMENT THAT HE HAD GIVEN, AND HE SAID HIS CLIENT WOULD 23 TESTIFY AND IN FACT HE DIDN'T, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT 24 MR. BARENS WAS SOMEWHAT DEFENSIVE ABOUT THAT IN HIS ANSWERING QUESTIONS WITH REGARD TO THAT? 25 26 Α HE IS VERY GLIB, SO IT WAS VERY HARD FOR ME 27 TO TELL IF HE WAS BEING DEFENSIVE OR NOT. I SUPPOSE HE

COULD HAVE BEEN BECAUSE IT WAS OBVIOUSLY A QUESTION ABOUT

8 HIS TACTICAL CHOICES. 1 2 Q IN THIS EXHIBIT 277, THIS MEMO, IS IT PRETTY MUCH THE WAY -- THE WAY IT'S WRITTEN, IS IT IN 3 CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER WITH RESPECT TO THE SUBJECTS YOU TALKED ABOUT IN YOUR CONVERSATION? 5 6 THE TYPEWRITTEN MEMO ISN'T. THE HANDWRITTEN MEMO ALMOST CERTAINLY IS AS FAR AS I KEPT A RUNNING PEN. 7 SO HOW DID YOU ORGANIZE THE TYPEWRITTEN MEMO? 8 JUST LOOKED AT MY HANDWRITTEN NOTES AND 9 DICTATED IT. 10 SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT ON THE FIRST 11 0 PAGE --12 MR. MC MULLEN: WELL, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS? 13 THE COURT: YES. 14 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 15 IF YOU WILL LOOK AT PARAGRAPH THREE ON THE 16 Q FIRST PAGE. THAT REFERENCES A DISCUSSION WITH MR. BARENS 17 18 WITH RESPECT TO THE OPENING STATEMENT; IS THAT CORRECT? 19 Α YES. 20 AND THAN THEREAFTER IN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH THERE IS A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST? 21 22 YES. Α 23 IS IT -- WOULD IT BE A CORRECT ASSUMPTION, 24 THEN -- YOU HAD A DISCUSSION WITH HIM ABOUT THE OPENING 25 STATEMENT FIRST, AND THEN THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST? 26 Α COULD I LOOK AT MY HANDWRITTEN NOTES? 27 PLEASE, IF THAT WILL REFRESH YOUR

28

RECOLLECTION.

8 1 THE COURT: WHERE ARE WE GOING? 2 MR. MC MULLEN: I'M ALMOST DONE WITH THIS. IN 3 FACT, THIS WILL BE THE LAST QUESTION ON THIS. THE COURT: GOOD. 4 5 6 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.) 8 THE WITNESS: HE -- ACCORDING TO MY HANDWRITTEN NOTES, WHICH WERE OBVIOUSLY TAKEN IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER, 9 AS THE CONVERSATION PROGRESSED HE TALKED ABOUT THE 10 EXPLANATION BEFORE HE TALKED ABOUT -- OR HE TALKED ABOUT 11 12 THE OPENING STATEMENT BEFORE HE TALKED ABOUT THE EXPLANATIONS HE CLAIMED THAT JOE GAVE HIM ABOUT THE SEVEN 13 14 PAGES. MR. MC MULLEN: THANK YOU. 15 NOTHING FURTHER. 16 THE COURT: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? 17 MR. KLEIN: I NEED TO LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT FOR A 18 MINUTE, YOUR HONOR. 19 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 21 22 (PAUSE.) 23 24 THE COURT: MR. KLEIN? 25 MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS? 26 27 MR. KLEIN: I NEED ONE MORE MINUTE. 28

9 (A CONFERENCE WAS HELD BETWEEN COUNSEL 1 AND THE PETITIONER, NOT REPORTED.) 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION + 5 BY MR. KLEIN: 6 TO THE BEST OF YOUR MEMORY THE NOTES AND THE 7 TYPED MEMO CONTAIN ALL THE VERSIONS THAT MR. BARENS TOLD 8 YOU THAT JOE OFFERED WITH RESPECT TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST? 9 NOTHING ELSE STANDS OUT IN MY MIND. 10 AND YOU WOULD HAVE WANTED TO RECORD ALL OF 11 THE DIFFERENT VERSIONS ON THIS MEMO AS THAT WAS SOMETHING 12 THAT WAS IMPORTANT IN YOUR PREPARATION? 13 14 I THINK IT WAS, YES. Α 15 (A CONFERENCE WAS HELD BETWEEN COUNSEL 16 17 AND THE PETITIONER, NOT REPORTED.) 18 BY MR. KLEIN: 19 IN YOUR CONVERSATION WITH MR. BARENS DID HE 20 21 TELL YOU THAT JOE HAD PROVIDED SOME SORT OF MAFIA STORY 2.2 WITH RESPECT TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST? YES. 23 Α 24 WHAT WAS THAT? 25 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE OF CROSS. AND I BELIEVE IT'S BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED. 26 THE COURT: IT HAS BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED. 27 28 MR. KLEIN: NOT ABOUT MAFIA, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YES, I HAVE GOT IT IN MY NOTES. 9 1 HE GAVE TWO STORIES, THAT LEVIN LEFT THE NOTE 2 BEHIND, AND THAT THE MAFIA WAS BEHIND THE NOTE THAT WAS 3 GIVEN TO HUNT TO GET HIS ATTENTION. BY MR. KLEIN: 5 WELL, IF THAT -- IN THAT VERSION THAT 6 MR. HUNT TOLD MR. BARENS, DID MR. HUNT SAY THAT THE 7 SEVEN-PAGE LIST WAS USED AS A PROP OR A PLAN TO INTIMIDATE 8 MR. LEVIN? I BELIEVE SO, THAT -- I BELIEVE ONE OF THE 10 11 VERSIONS WAS THAT HUNT WAS TAKING NOTES WHILE HE WAS 12 TALKING TO THESE MAFIA PEOPLE. AND IT WAS LEFT AT LEVIN'S HOUSE, LEFT BEHIND 13 14 TO INTIMIDATE MR. LEVIN? MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED. 15 BEYOND THE SCOPE OF CROSS. 16 THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER. 17 IS THE ANSWER "YES"? 18 THE WITNESS: I'D HAVE TO LOOK AT MY --19 THE COURT: NEED TO REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION? 20 THE WITNESS: YES. 21 22 23 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.) 24 THE WITNESS: RIGHT, YES. 25 MR. KLEIN: THAT'S ALL I HAVE. 26 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 27 MR. MC MULLEN: NOTHING FURTHER. 28

THE COURT: MAY THE WITNESS BE EXCUSED? 9 1 MR. KLEIN: NO OBJECTION. 2 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 3 MR. MC MULLEN: NO OBJECTION EXCEPT -- WE WOULD REQUEST THAT HE REMAIN ON CALL FOR IMPEACHMENT PURPOSES. 5 6 THE COURT: SUBJECT TO SUFFICIENT SHOWING. YOU MAY STEP DOWN AT THIS TIME. 7 THE WITNESS: I APOLOGIZE FOR BEING LATE. IT WAS 8 REALLY HORRENDOUS, THE TRAFFIC. 9 THE COURT: YOU DON'T WANT TO BE LATE TO THIS 10 COURT, TRUST ME. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I KNOW. THE COURT: MR. BARENS IS HERE. I SAW HIM WALK IN 13 A MOMENT AGO. 14 BUT YOU WANTED TO BRING UP SOMETHING IN 15 DISCOVERY, MR. CRAIN? 16 MR. CRAIN: YES. MR. KLEIN HAD A CONVERSATION WITH 17 18 THE DISTRACT ATTORNEY YESTERDAY. HE INOUIRED AS TO 19 WHETHER OR NOT THERE WERE ANY REPORTS OF ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH ARTHUR BARENS IN WHICH MR. BARENS RELATED THAT 20 MR. HUNT HAD MADE THE -- THE FIRST STATEMENT THAT WAS 21 22 REFERRED TO YESTERDAY, AND WAS TOLD THAT THERE HAD BEEN 23 SUCH A MEETING, AND THAT NOTES WERE TAKEN AND NO REPORT HAD BEEN PREPARED, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS HE WAS TOLD 24 THAT -- WHATEVER THEY HAD WOULD BE TURNED OVER TODAY. 25 26 THIS WAS THE FIRST THAT WE LEARNED OF SUCH A 27 MEETING, FIRST WE LEARNED OF SUCH NOTES, AND IT APPEARS --

IT WAS ONLY BY HAPPENSTANCE AND FORTUNATE THAT WE LEARNED

28

1 OF IT AT ALL.

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

16

24

26

28

9

THIS TESTIMONY OF MR. BARENS, I'M SURE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS GOING TO PUT EMPHASIS ON IT IN THEIR FINAL ATTEMPT TO PERSUADE THE COURT THAT MR. HUNT WAS NOT RENDERED INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.

THE COURT HAS HAD LONG-STANDING DISCOVERY
ORDERS. I'M NOT ASKING TO HAVE THE PROSECUTION SANCTIONED
MONETARILY. I DON'T REALLY --

THE COURT: WHAT OTHER REMEDY IS THERE?

MR. CRAIN: THE REMEDY IS TO STRIKE MR. BARENS'

TESTIMONY. MR. BARENS -- WITH REGARD TO MR. -- --

THE COURT: HE IS YOUR WITNESS, THOUGH.

MR. CRAIN: YES. BUT IT CAME OUT ON

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION. WE WENT ALL THROUGH DIRECT

15 EXAMINATION. WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO GET COOPERATION FROM

MR. BARENS. WE HAD TO TAKE HIS DEPOSITION ORDERED BY THIS

17 | COURT. OTHERWISE HE WASN'T GOING TO COOPERATE WITH US IN

18 ANY FASHION. HE STONEWALLED US WITH REGARD TO CRITICAL

19 QUESTIONS AT THE DEPOSITION, AND THIS COURT HAD TO ORDER

20 | HIM TO ANSWER INTERROGATORIES, WHICH WE BELIEVE HE DID NOT

21 ANSWER FULLY AND COMPLETELY, AND IN FACT, IS PLAYING A

22 GAME WITH THE COURT. SO WE WENT THROW ALL OF HIS DIRECT

23 EXAMINATION WITH REGARD --

THE COURT: HASN'T EVERYONE KNOWN THAT THIS WAS

25 GOING TO COME OUT AT SOME POINT?

MR. CRAIN: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU WERE TELLING ME ABOUT THE SITUATION

| MONTHS AGO. ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I GAVE YOU THE

)

DEPOSITION, I ASSUMED THAT THERE WAS SOMETHING HIDING IN THE WOODSHED SOMEWHERE, AND IT WAS GOING TO COME OUT AT SOME POINT, AND THAT WAS RE-EMPHASIZED WHEN YOU CAME BACK AND ASKED FOR FURTHER DEPOSITION BECAUSE MR. BARENS REFUSED TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT HUNT TOLD HIM.

AND THEN WE AGREED THAT WE WOULD DO THAT BY
WAY OF INTERROGATORIES, AND THEN THE APPLICATION WAS MADE
THAT I FILE THE ANSWERS UNDER SEAL, WHICH I GRANTED.

I MEAN, WE HAVE ALL KNOWN THAT THIS WAS PRETTY MUCH OUT THERE AND GOING TO COME.

MR. CRAIN: NO, YOUR HONOR, I DID NOT --

THE COURT: AM I THE ONLY ONE THAT FIGURED IT OUT?

MR. CRAIN: NO, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE I BELIEVED THAT WHEN MR. BARENS ANSWERED COURT ORDERED INTERROGATORIES

THAT HE WASN'T GOING TO PERJURE HIMSELF.

ALTHOUGH I BELIEVE THAT HE DID PERJURE
HIMSELF IN HIS RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION THAT HE WAS
SPECIFICALLY ASKED ABOUT IN MR. KLEIN'S EXAMINATION OF HIM
ON REDIRECT YESTERDAY, AND THAT WOULD BE HIS RESPONSE TO
INTERROGATORY NUMBER 15.

SO AFTER WE RECEIVED MR. BARENS -- YES, WE WERE INTERESTED IN KNOWING WHAT MR. BARENS WOULD SAY ON THIS PARTICULAR SUBJECT, AND THAT'S WHY WE ATTEMPTED TO TAKE HIS DEPOSITION. AND WHEN HE WOULDN'T ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS AT THE DEPOSITION, THAT'S WHY WE ASKED TO HAVE HIS INTERROGATORIES TAKEN.

AND WHEN WE RECEIVED HIS ANSWERS SUPPOSEDLY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY WITH REGARD TO QUESTION NUMBER

ł

15, AND KNOWING THAT MR. BARENS HAD A BACKGROUND TO SOME EXTENT IN CRIMINAL LAW, AND THAT ANYBODY WHO HAS BEEN IN LAW SCHOOL FOR THE FIRST MONTH OR SO KNOWS THE LIABILITY IN THIS STATE OF AIDERS AND ABETTORS AS PRINCIPALS, THAT WE COULD TAKE HIS ANSWER TO QUESTION 15 AS BEING A DENIAL. AND SO THE POINT IS, NEVERTHELESS -- SO WE PROCEEDED THROUGH THE DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. BARENS.

THEN MR. MC MULLEN WAS ALLOWED TO ELICIT THIS
TESTIMONY FROM MR. BARENS. THEN MR. KLEIN RESUMED HIS
DIRECT EXAMINATION, WHICH WAS INTERRUPTED BY THE
CONCLUSION OF THE COURT DAY.

AND THEN AND ONLY THEN, DUE TO MR. KLEIN'S
INQUIRING OF MR. MC MULLEN AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS
SUCH A STATEMENT EVER MADE TO THE PROSECUTION, WE LEARN
THAT ON APRIL 19TH IN AN INTERVIEW WITH MR. MC MULLEN AND
MR. SIMPSON, THE INVESTIGATOR, AND PERHAPS OTHERS, WHO ARE
HERE, HE PURPORTEDLY MADE THE STATEMENT, AND THAT
MR. SIMPSON MADE NOTES OF IT. SO THE SANCTION -THE COURT: I'M AGREEING YOU SHOULD HAVE GOTTEN

MR. CRAIN: YES.

THAT STATEMENT.

THE COURT: HOW CAN I -- THE SANCTION I CAN'T SEE

IS TAKING THAT PORTION OF THE TESTIMONY AND STRIKING IT

BECAUSE IT'S RESPONSIVE TO THE DIRECT EXAMINATION. IT IS

THE ENTIRE MOTIVATION FOR MR. BARENS CONDUCTING PART OF

THE DEFENSE THAT HE DID.

MR. CRAIN: LET ME JUST SAY THIS, I BELIEVE THAT I
WOULD HAVE CONSIDERED AND PERHAPS -- BECAUSE -- THIS HAS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

COME UP SINCE THE END OF THE COURT DAY YESTERDAY.

FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT MR. BARENS' STRANGE VIEW OF ETHICS, EVEN IF HE -- IF WHAT HE SAID TO THIS COURT YESTERDAY WERE TRUE, WOULD HAVE PRECLUDED HIM IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM FROM PRESENTING EVIDENCE OF THE -- THE KAREN MARMOR EVIDENCE, ANY MORE THAN IT PREVENTED HIM FROM PRESENTING EVIDENCE OF THE SIGHTING WITNESSES AND PRESENTING THE -- THE ALIBI EVIDENCE THAT HE DID.

BUT BE THAT AS IT MAY, WE WOULD HAVE --CONSIDERED, AND I HAVE TO CONSULT FURTHER WITH MR. KLEIN AND MR. HUNT, I CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE CONSIDERED THE WITHDRAWAL OF THAT I.A.C. ISSUE. IT DOESN'T RELATE TO ANY OF THE OTHER ISSUES.

MR. BARENS' CLAIM THAT THIS STATEMENT WAS MADE DOESN'T RELATE TO THE OTHER I.A.C. ISSUES. IT ONLY GOES TO THE KAREN MARMOR ISSUE. ARGUABLY I WOULD HAVE CONSIDERED WITHDRAWING IT, AND WE WOULDN'T HAVE HAD THIS TESTIMONY IN THE RECORD.

WHAT IT IS, YOUR HONOR, IS REALLY AN ATTEMPT TO POISON THE WELL HERE AND TO TAKE SOMETHING THAT REALLY HAS NO LEGAL BEARING ON WHETHER OR NOT MR. BARENS COULD ETHICALLY PRESENT THE KAREN MARMOR TESTIMONY IN AN ATTEMPT TO PUT SOMETHING INFLAMMATORY BEFORE THIS COURT, I BELIEVE TO COLOR THIS COURT'S VIEW OF THE ENTIRE MATTER WITH REGARD TO ISSUES 1 AND 2.

I ASK THAT THE SANCTION BE THAT -- WE WERE AMBUSHED.

)

THE COURT: WAIT. CAN YOU REALLY SAY THAT? I
FIGURED THIS OUT MONTHS AGO, THAT THIS MUST BE COMING.
YOU GUYS ARE MUCH CLOSER TO IT THAN I, AND YOU ARE DEALING
WITH MR. HUNT. YOU MUST HAVE KNOWN IT WAS COMING. I
CAN'T BELIEVE THAT I AM THAT SMART, THAT I COULD FIGURE
THIS --

MR. CRAIN: I BELIEVE MR. BARENS' TESTIMONY IS

FALSE. I BELIEVE IT'S WILLFULLY FALSE. I DON'T BELIEVE

ANY SUCH STATEMENT WAS EVER MADE.

MR. BARENS CONVENIENTLY IS ONE OF THOSE

ATTORNEYS WHO WHEN THE I.A.C. CLAIM STARTS COMING BEHIND

HIM HE NO LONGER HAS ANY FILE, HE HAS NO WITNESSES, HE HAS

NO NOTES OF ANY SUCH CONVERSATION, HE HAS NOTHING.

HE HAS ONLY HIS ATTEMPT TO DEFEND HIS
REPUTATION TO PREVENT HIMSELF FROM BEING SUED AND PREVENT
HIS NAME FROM GOING BEFORE THE STATE BAR AS AN INCOMPETENT
ATTORNEY. THAT'S WHAT HE HAS.

THE COURT: I ASSUME MR. HUNT WILL TESTIFY AND
PERHAPS SAY SOMETHING DIFFERENTLY. THE STATE OF THE
RECORD RIGHT NOW IS THAT MR. HUNT CONFESSED TO HIM. THAT
IS THE REALITY.

MR. CRAIN: THE POINT IS THAT THIS ISSUE MIGHT WELL HAVE NOT BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COURT BECAUSE IT IS -- IT'S AN INFLAMMATORY EVIDENCE. I KNOW WE ARE NOT DEALING WITH A JURY HERE, BUT NEVERTHELESS, IT'S AN -- IT'S INFLAMMATORY EVIDENCE. IT'S FALSE EVIDENCE.

AND HOW IN THE WORLD THE PEOPLE CAN JUSTIFY
SITTING ON THIS -- YOU SAY YOU SAW IT COMING. AS I SAY

,

FOR THE LAST TIME, I SAW IT AS A POTENTIAL PROBLEM BECAUSE
I DIDN'T KNOW, BECAUSE MR. BARENS WAS UNCOOPERATIVE, WHAT
MR. BARENS WOULD EVER SAY UNTIL HE ANSWERED THE
INTERROGATORIES UNDER OATH.

MR. BARENS WOULD HAVE THE TEMERITY TO COME INTO COURT AND GIVE THE -- I MEAN, SOMETHING ABOUT THE OATH, YOUR HONOR, A WITNESS IS SUPPOSED TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, THAT MR. BARENS WOULD GIVE THE -- THE VERY CRAFTY AND CLEVERLY CONSTRUCTED ANSWER THAT HE GAVE YESTERDAY TO ATTEMPT TO AVOID BEING FOUND A PERJURER. HIS ANSWER TO NO. 15 --

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, HE DID EVERYTHING HE COULD TO AVOID LAYING YOUR CLIENT OUT.

MR. CRAIN: THAT IS HIS CLAIM.

THE COURT: JUST LOOKING AT THE RECORD RIGHT NOW, I
MEAN, I HAVE TO TELL YOU WHEN I READ THE
INTERROGATORIES -- I DIDN'T GET THE INTERROGATORIES UNTIL,
I DON'T KNOW, A MONTH OR TWO AGO. I SAT THERE, AND I
COULD SEE IT, THAT HE WAS -- HE WAS ANSWERING LIKE A
LAWYER AND NOT LIKE A HUMAN BEING, AND THAT HE WAS PLAYING
LAWYER GAMES, AND THAT THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT I THOUGHT
WAS -- WAS LYING THERE, AND I DIDN'T KNOW IF ONE SIDE OR
THE OTHER WAS GOING TO RAISE IT, BUT IT CERTAINLY CAME
OUT.

MR. CRAIN: AS I SAID THE OTHER DAY IN ANOTHER
CONTEXT WHEN THE COURT WAS CHASTISING ME FOR ALLEGEDLY
SHOWING UP TWO MINUTES LATE TO COURT, I BELIEVE ALL OF US

ARE HUMAN BEINGS AND, NEVERTHELESS, THAT INCLUDES LAWYERS, 11 1 I'M PROUD TO SAY. 2 AND I THINK MR. BARENS HAS LEGAL 3 RESPONSIBILITY TO NOT GIVE PERJURED ANSWERS EITHER IN THIS 4 5 COURT OR IN INTERROGATORIES. WE TOOK HIS ANSWER WITH REGARD TO THE INTERROGATORIES AS A STATEMENT THAT WAS --6 THAT WAS IN DIRECT CONTRADICTION TO WHAT MR. BARENS' CLAIMED YESTERDAY. 8 9 THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS WHY WASN'T THIS TURNED OVER? I'M NOT ASKING FOR MONETARY SANCTIONS. 10 THAT'S MEANINGLESS. AND I DON'T THINK THAT ANY OF US HERE 11 WHO ARE STANDING BEFORE YOU -- YOU KNOW, MONEY -- CONTRARY 12 13 TO WHAT THE COURT MAY BELIEVE, MONEY DOESN'T COME EASY --THE COURT: IF YOU CAN GIVE ME ANOTHER APPROPRIATE 14 15 SANCTION, I'LL CONSIDER IT. 16 MR. CRAIN: WELL --THE COURT: THE ONLY ONE I CONSIDER THAT IS 17 18 APPROPRIATE IS MONETARY UNDER THE FACTS OF THIS CASE. MR. CRAIN: THE SANCTION I'M ASKING FOR -- PERHAPS 19 20 MR. KLEIN HAS SOME OTHER IDEA HERE IS -- COULD I CONSULT 21 WITH HIM? THE SANCTION THAT I AM PROPOSING IS THAT HIS 22 TESTIMONY BE STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD. 23 THE COURT: I WON'T DO THAT. 24 MR. MC MULLEN? 25 MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I BE HEARD? FIRST OF ALL, IT WAS NOT MY INTENT TO OPERATE 26

28 UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WERE DIRECTED TO TURN OVER --

27

OR BEHAVE CONTRARY TO THE COURT'S ORDER. IT'S MY

THE COURT: THAT WAS THE EFFECT; RIGHT? 11 1 MR. MC MULLEN: NO, YOUR HONOR --2 THE COURT: YOU HAD A STATEMENT OF A WITNESS. YOU 3 TURNED IT OVER TO THEM YESTERDAY. YOU KNEW BARENS WAS GOING TO TESTIFY. YOU INTERVIEWED HIM. 5 THE FIRST THING YOU SHOULD HAVE DONE IS GONE. 6 7 TO YOUR INVESTIGATOR AND SAY, "DID YOU WRITE ANYTHING DOWN," AND YOUR INVESTIGATOR WOULD HAVE SAID YES OR NO. 8 9 AND IF THE INVESTIGATOR HAD SAID YES, YOU 10 SHOULD HAVE SAID, "GIVE IT TO ME, I BETTER TURN IT OVER TO THE OTHER SIDE BECAUSE IT'S A STATEMENT OF A WITNESS." 11 12 YOU HAD ASKED TO CALL MR. BARENS --MR. MC MULLEN: IT DID NOT RELATE TO THIS ISSUE. 13 THE COURT: LET'S NOT PLAY LAWYER GAMES. IT'S A 14 STATEMENT OF A WITNESS. 15 16 WHY DIDN'T YOU TURN OVER THE STATEMENT? 17 MR. MC MULLEN: BECAUSE I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS 18 NECESSARY BECAUSE IT WASN'T REDUCED TO A REPORT. 19 APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT. I WAS MISTAKEN, OBVIOUSLY. 20 WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IT CLEAR, THOUGH --THE COURT: IF YOU GOT THAT UNDERSTANDING OF 21 22 DISCOVERY, I SUGGEST YOU READ THE DISCOVERY RULES. 23 MR. MC MULLEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 24 THE COURT: DOESN'T HAVE TO BE TYPED IN A 25 PARTICULAR FASHION, DOESN'T HAVE TO BE IN A PARTICULAR 26 FORMAT. IT IS A STATEMENT OF THE WITNESS. IT DOES NOT 27 HAVE TO SAY "REPORT."

MR. MC MULLEN: I UNDERSTAND.

28

}

•

I WANT TO MAKE ONE THING PERFECTLY CLEAR AND THAT IS WHAT OCCURRED DURING THE INTERVIEW OF MR. BARENS.

I WAS TALKING TO HIM ABOUT HIS TESTIMONY AND THE ISSUES THAT HAD BEEN NARROWED. DURING THE CONVERSATION HE TOLD MR. SIMPSON AND MYSELF THAT HIS CLIENT HAD CONFESSED TO THE LEVIN MURDER. HE DID NOT ELABORATE ON THE WITNESS STAND AS TO HOW IT RELATED TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST.

IN FACT, IN RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9

MR. BARENS SAID THAT, "EARLY IN OUR RELATIONSHIP ON

SEVERAL OCCASSIONS MR. HUNT DESCRIBED THE TO-DO LIST AS A

LIST THAT HE PREPARED AS A GUIDE FOR HIMSELF."

I THINK THAT PUTS THE OTHER SIDE ON SOME NOTICE THAT THIS IS COMING. I WAS NOT AWARE OF THE DETAILED INFORMATION THAT OCCURRED ON THE WITNESS STAND WITH MR. BARENS.

QUITE FRANKLY, MR. BARENS HAS STEADFASTLY

THROUGH ALL OF OUR INTERVIEWS WITH HIM CHOOSE ON MOST -
IN ALL INSTANCES, VIRTUALLY ALL INSTANCES NOT TO REVEAL

THINGS THAT WOULD VIOLATE WHAT HE PERCEIVES TO BE AN

ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. KLEIN: CAN I ADD ONE THING?

THE COURT: YES.

MR. KLEIN: I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS OTHER
INFORMATION THAT WAS CONVEYED IN THE INTERVIEW WITH
MR. BARENS AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY THAT'S NOT CONTAINED
IN THOSE NOTES. I WANT EVERYTHING REDUCED TO WRITING SO

THAT I COULD PROPERLY CROSS-EXAMINE MR. BARENS. 12 1 THE COURT: I CAN'T ORDER THE CREATION OF 2 DISCOVERY. 3 MR. KLEIN: OKAY. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 5 MR. KLEIN: ALL RIGHT. 6 7 THE COURT: LET'S GET MR. BARENS IN HERE AND 8 CONTINUE. 9 THE PETITIONERS ARE NOT ASKING FOR SANCTIONS 10 THAT ARE PROPERLY -- PROPERLY THAT CAN BE DELIVERED FROM 11 THE RESPONDENT. THEREFORE. THERE IS NO REQUEST FOR A MONETARY SANCTION AT THIS POINT. 12 MR. MC MULLEN: I WANT TO GET THIS TO COUNSEL AS 13 SOON AS I CAN. 14 15 ALONG THE LINES OF DISCOVERY, I GOT A CALL THIS MORNING FROM MR. BILL PIERCE. MR. BILL PIERCE IS THE 16 MAN WHO SUPERVISED MR. IVAN WERNER. MR. PIERCE HAS BEEN 17 LOOKING THROUGH ALL THESE RECORDS THROUGH 1985 TO TRY TO 18 LOCATE THE MAN THAT WAS BURIED AS DESCRIBED BY MR. WERNER. 19 20 HE SAID HE WAS UNABLE TO LOCATE IT, BUT IN TALKING TO ONE OF HIS EMPLOYEES HE DID -- THE EMPLOYEE DID 21 22 REMEMBER A SIMILAR KIND OF BURIAL INVOLVING A MR. JOHN 23 SAXON, S-A-X-O-N, WHO DIED BY ASPHYXIATION IN HIS -- IN 24 HIS JACUZZI. 25 MR. BAR -- MR. PIERCE DID HAVE A RECOLLECTION OF THAT, AND HE DID PULL UP THAT NAME AND MR. SAXON WAS 26 27 BURIED BY THAT MORTUARY, BY THE WESTWOOD MORTUARY IN

28

OCTOBER OF 1983.

12 1 I JUST WANTED TO CONVEY THAT TO COUNSEL ON THE RECORD. 2 THE COURT: PARENTHETICALLY, I WOULD HAVE IMPOSED 3 SANCTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250 AGAINST RESPONDENT, BUT THEY ARE NOT BEING REQUESTED BY PETITIONER. MR. CRAIN: I DO NOT WANT TO HIT MR. MC MULLEN IN 6 7 THE POCKET. I DON'T THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE. 8 THE COURT SAID THIS MORNING, YOU WERE KIND OF 9 ACTING IN HASTE, THAT YOU DID READ THE OFFER OF PROOF THAT 10 I SUBMITTED THIS MORNING WITH REGARD TO THE PROPOSED CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. BARENS. 11 12 THE COURT: YES. MR. CRAIN: I GATHER THE COURT'S RULING IS THE SAME 13 AS YESTERDAY? 14 15 THE COURT: IT IS. ALL RIGHT. 16 MR. KLEIN, YOU MAY CONCLUDE YOUR REDIRECT. 17 18 19 ARTHUR BARNES, + CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PETITIONER, HAVING BEEN 20 21 PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER 22 AS FOLLOWS: 23 24 THE COURT: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD? 25 26 THE WITNESS: ARTHUR BARENS. 27 THE COURT: YOU ARE REMINDED, SIR, YOU ARE STILL 28 UNDER OATH.

12 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. 1 2 THE COURT: GO. 3 (COUNSEL CONFER.) 5 THE COURT: RECORD WILL ALSO HE REFLECT THAT 6 MR. BRODEY, MR. BARENS' COUNSEL, IS ALSO PRESENT. 7 8 MR. BRODEY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. 9 10 (PAUSE.) 11 12 THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, GO AHEAD. 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED + 15 BY MR. KLEIN: 16 NOW, AFTER THIS PURPORTED FIRST CONVERSATION 17 WITH MR. HUNT AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE 18 LIST, YOU CAME TO BELIEVE THAT MR. HUNT WAS POSTURING, AND 19 THIS WAS DUE TO FACTORS PECULIAR TO YOUR OWN MIND AS WELL 20 AS MR. HUNT'S MAKE-UP; IS THAT RIGHT? 21 22 YES. Α 23 AND YOU EXPLAINED TO HIM IN THIS SECOND MEETING THAT YOU FELT HE WAS FALSELY -- HE WAS CAPABLE OF 24 25 FALSELY CLAIMING TO YOU THAT HE HAD PARTICIPATED IN THE 26 KILLING OF RON LEVIN? 27 Α YES. 28 Q AND THAT YOU BELIEVED THAT HE HAD NOT

PARTICIPATED IN THE KILLING OF RON LEVIN? 13 1 I BELIEVED HE HAD BEEN UNTRUTHFUL WITH ME IN 2 THE FIRST CONVERSATION. 3 COULD YOU ENUMERATE THE FACTORS THAT LEAD YOU TO THAT CONCLUSION, PLEASE? 5 SEVERAL THINGS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, 7 YOU HAVE TO PLACE YOURSELF, COUNSEL, IN THE ENVIRONMENT 8 EXISTENT AT THE TIME THIS CRIME ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED IN 9 1984. IT SEEMED INCONCEIVABLE TO ME THAT A YOUNG 10 MAN AS BRIGHT AND TALENTED AS JOE HUNT WOULD HAVE FOUND IT 11 NECESSARY TO BE INVOLVED IN A HOMICIDE. 12 13 SECONDARILY, IT SEEMED INCONCEIVABLE TO ME 14 THAT ANYONE THAT BRIGHT COULD HAVE A MEETING ON JUNE 24TH 15 AND TELL A WHOLE BUNCH OF KIDS THERE THAT HE AND A FELLOW 16 NAMED PITTMAN HAD JUST ASSASSINATED SOMEBODY. 17 I HAD A BELIEF IN MY OWN MIND TO SOME DEGREE 18 THAT THIS MAY HAVE BEEN A GRAND CONNING OF SOME KIND THAT 19 LEVIN AND HUNT WERE INVOLVED IN, AND THAT MR. LEVIN 20 ORCHESTRATED SOME SORT OF A CON THAT MR. HUNT WAS COVERING 21 UP FOR. 22 AND THAT I ALSO, TO BE FRANK WITH YOU, THOUGHT MR. ROBERTS MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN IT, AND THAT 23 24 THEY WERE ALL HAVING SOME GREAT JOKE AT EVERYONE'S EXPENSE. 2.5 THAT LATER ON IT WOULD COME OUT. 26 AND THIS SECOND MEETING THAT YOU HAD AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE, IT WASN'T TOO LONG AFTER THE FIRST 27 28 PURPORTED MEETING; RIGHT?

13	1	A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY HOW LONG IT WAS, BUT
<u>(</u>	2	NOT A LONG TIME THE WAY I WOULD DESCRIBE A LONG TIME.
	3	Q IT WAS STILL BEFORE THE PRELIMINARY
	4	EXAMINATION?
)	5	A I BELIEVE SO.
,	6	Q AND WHEN YOU HAD THIS SECOND MEETING WITH
	7	MR. HUNT HAD YOU TALKED TO MR. HUNT ABOUT SOME OF HIS
	8	BACKGROUND?
	9	A WE HAD.
	10	Q AND HAD YOU TALKED TO HIM ABOUT SOME OF HIS
	11	CHILDHOOD?
	12	A TO SOME DEGREE.
	13	Q AND HAD YOU LEARNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT HE
•	14	HAD A STRANGE RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS FATHER WHERE HIS
	15	FATHER HAD MADE HYPNOTIC SUGGESTIONS TO MR. HUNT THAT
	16	WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE TRUTH IS ONLY WHAT HE MIGHT WANT IT
	17	OR CONCEIVE IT TO BE?
	18	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY.
	19	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
	20	MR. KLEIN: IT GOES TO THE TO HIS BELIEF IN THE
	21	SECOND CONVERSATION.
	22	THE COURT: HIS BELIEF ACTUALLY IS NOT THAT
1	23	RELEVANT. WHAT HE HAS SAID IS THAT HE HAS CONFLICTING
,	24	INFORMATION. I'LL ALLOW SOME INQUIRY. I WILL ALLOW YOU
	25	TO GO INTO THE DETAILS OF WHY HE TENDED TO DISBELIEVE THE
	26	FIRST. BUT TO GET INTO SOME SORT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILE
<u>.</u>	27	OF MR. HUNT IS NOT RELEVANT.
	20	MD VIETNO TE INCUAC DADO DE UTO DELTES MUAN

MR. HUNT COULD -- PART OF HIS BELIEF THAT WAS NOT TRUE. 13 1 AND IT'S PART OF HIS RATIONALE FOR NOT BELIEVING IT WAS 2 TRUE, I THINK IT IS RELEVANT. 3 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 4 5 BY MR. KLEIN: Q AS YOU SAID YESTERDAY, AT THIS SECOND MEETING 7 AFTER YOU HAD THIS LONG CONVERSATION MR. HUNT MADE IT 8 CLEAR TO YOU THAT WHAT HE PURPORTEDLY SAID AT THE FIRST MEETING WAS NOT TRUE AND HE RECANTED ANY RESPONSIBILITY IN 9 THE DEATH OF MR. LEVIN? 10 A SUFFICE IT THAT HE APPEARED TO WITHDRAW FROM 11 HIS EARLIER COMMENT WITHOUT FURTHER ELUCIDATION AT THAT 12 POINT. 13 Q NOW, IN MAKING THE DETERMINATION THAT YOU 14 BELIEVED MR. HUNT IN THIS SECOND MEETING --15 16 THAT'S YOUR TESTIMONY, COUNSEL. I SAID -- I TESTIFIED THAT I HAD CONFLICTING POINTS OF VIEW, AND I 17 WASN'T SURE AT THAT POINT IN TIME WHAT TO BELIEVE. 18 19 Q AS A RESULT OF THE SECOND MEETING, YOU 20 ETHICALLY BELIEVED THAT YOU COULD GO FORWARD WITH AN ALIBI DEFENSE OR PRESENTING WITNESSES THAT SAW MR. LEVIN ALIVE 21 22 AFTER JUNE 6, 1984; CORRECT? 23 A I DID. Q AND WHEN IS IT THAT YOU WOULD BELIEVE THAT 24 25 YOU COULD NOT ETHICALLY PRESENT SUCH EVIDENCE OF AN ALIBI 26 DEFENSE OR A -- A DEFENSE THAT INDIVIDUALS HAD SEEN THE 27 SUPPOSED DEAD MAN AFTER HE WAS SUPPOSED TO BE KILLED? 28 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. COMPOUND.

THE COURT: YEAH. 13 1 BREAK IT DOWN. BY MR. KLEIN: 3 WELL, IN YOUR MIND WOULD IT ETHICALLY MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE THE TYPE OF DEFENSE THAT YOU WOULD PRESENT 5 TO SHOW THAT YOUR CLIENT WAS NOT GUILTY WHETHER IT BE 6 7 SIGHTINGS WITNESSES OR AN ALIBI DEFENSE? MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. VAGUE AND AGAIN 8 COMPOUND. 9 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 10 CAN YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION. DO YOU 11 12 UNDERSTAND IT? 13 THE WITNESS: I ACTUALLY DO NOT WITHOUT APPLYING SPECIFIC ELEMENTS OF EVIDENCE THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, 14 COUNSEL. IF YOU COULD GIVE ME -- EITHER A HYPOTHETICAL OR 15 ACTUAL EVIDENTIARY EXAMPLE, I COULD BE OF ASSISTANCE. 16 BY MR. KLEIN: 17 18 Q OKAY. AFTER YOU HAD THE SECOND CONVERSATION WITH 19 20 MR. HUNT YOU ETHICALLY BELIEVED THAT YOU COULD PRESENT A 14 21 DEFENSE OF ALIBI; CORRECT? NOT IN A THEORETICAL SENSE. I BELIEVED IT AS 22 23 I CONSIDERED EACH WITNESS, EACH PIECE OF EVIDENCE AS I 24 PROCEEDED. I HAD NO -- IF YOU ARE SAYING DID I HAVE SOME 25 SORT OF A PHILOSOPHICAL ORIENTATION AT THAT MOMENT, I HAD 26 A PHILOSOPHICAL ORIENTATION TO CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE ON AN 27 AD HOC BASIS. 28 WELL, BASED UPON THE TWO CONVERSATIONS -- LET

14	1	ME ASK YOU THIS, DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONVERSATIONS WITH
•	2	MR. HUNT ABOUT THE SUBJECT OF THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST PRIOR TO
	3	YOU MAKING AN OPENING STATEMENT?
	4	A I'M NOT SURE.
)	5	Q YOU MAY HAVE?
	6	A I MAY HAVE; I MAY NOT HAVE.
	7	Q OKAY.
	8	WHERE WOULD THE CONVERSATIONS HAVE TAKEN
	9	PLACE?
	10	A IT MAY HAVE IF SUCH A CONVERSATION TOOK
	11	PLACE, AND I'M NOT SURE THAT IT DID, IT MIGHT HAVE
	12	OCCURRED EITHER AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE OR AT THE
	13	COURTHOUSE IN BEVERLY HILLS.
	14	Q HOW ABOUT AFTER THE PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION.
	15	DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. HUNT
	16	CONCERNING THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
	17	A YES.
	18	Q AND THIS WAS PRIOR TO YOUR MAKING YOUR
	19	OPENING STATEMENT?
	20	A I BELIEVE SO, BUT AGAIN, I CANNOT BE CERTAIN
	21	AS TO WHEN THESE CONVERSATIONS TOOK PLACE.
	22	Q AND NOW, HOW MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY YOU
)	23	TALKED TO MR. HUNT ABOUT THE SUBJECT OF THE SEVEN-PAGE
	24	LIST BETWEEN THE SECOND CONVERSATION HE ALLUDED TO AT THE
	25	HALL OF JUSTICE AND PRIOR TO YOU MAKING AN OPENING
	26	STATEMENT IN THIS CASE?
	27	A I HAVE NO IDEA.
	28	O MORE THAN FIVE?

14	1	A I BELIEVE MORE THAN FIVE.
{	2	Q NOW, WHAT IS THE NEXT MATERIAL INFORMATION
	3	THAT MR. HUNT RELATED TO YOU CONCERNING A POSSIBLE
	4	EXPLANATION RELATING TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
1	5	A A DISCUSSION WHERE I WAS ADVISED THAT THIS
,	6	DOCUMENT WAS A A TOOL THAT WAS TO BE USED TO INTIMIDATE
	7	MR. LEVIN TO PAY MONIES MR. HUNT RIGHTFULLY BELIEVED WERE
	8	OWED TO HIM.
	9	THE COURT: THIS IS WHAT HUNT TOLD YOU?
	10	THE WITNESS: YES.
	11	BY MR. KLEIN:
	12	Q WAS ANYBODY PRESENT WHEN MR. HUNT TOLD YOU
	13	THIS?
	14	A I BELIEVE RICHARD CHIER WAS.
	15	Q DID YOU TAKE ANY NOTES OF THIS CONVERSATION?
	16	A I BELIEVE I DID.
	17	Q WHAT HAPPENED TO THOSE NOTES?
	18	A I BELIEVE THEY WERE TURNED OVER TO BOBBY
	19	ROBERTS.
	20	MR. CRAIN: COULD I HAVE JUST A MOMENT WITH
	21	COUNSEL?
	22	THE COURT: YES.
1	23	
,	24	(COUNSEL CONFER.)
	25	
	26	BY MR. KLEIN:
	27	Q DID THIS CONVERSATION HAPPEN BEFORE OR AFTER
	28	THE PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION?

1.4	1	A I BELIEVE THAT WAS AFTER THE PRELIM.
	2	Q WAS IT BEFORE OR AFTER MR. HUNT WAS RELEASED
	3	FROM CUSTODY?
	4	A I BELIEVE IT WAS AFTER HE WAS RELEASED FROM
}	5	CUSTODY.
•	6	Q WAS THE MAFIA MENTIONED DURING THIS
	7	DISCUSSION?
	8	A I BELIEVE DURING THAT DISCUSSION AN ALTERNATE
	9	EXPLANATION WAS POSED STRIKE THAT.
	10	I BELIEVE THAT THERE WAS A DISCUSSION THAT
	11	MR. HUNT WAS GOING TO SUGGEST THAT BASED ON HIS RELIANCE
	12	OF MR. LEVIN TELLING HIM HE HAD MADE CERTAIN AMOUNTS OF
	13	MONEY IN SECURITIES TRADING, THAT MR. HUNT HAD SOMEHOW
	14	BECAME INDEBTED TO THE MAFIA, AND THAT MR. PITTMAN WAS A
	15	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MAFIA, AND THAT SOME BUSINESS
	16	THAT PITTMAN WAS THERE, I THINK, TO COLLECT A DEBT ON
	17	BEHALF OF THE MAFIA, AND HE WAS TO THREATEN HUNT AND HUNT
_	18	WOULD APPEAL TO LEVIN TO WRITE THE CHECK, AND IT WAS
	19	SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES, COUNSEL.
	20	Q DID HUNT TELL YOU WHEN, IF EVER, HE LEFT THE
	21	SEVEN-PAGE LIST AT LEVIN'S HOUSE DURING THIS DISCUSSION?
	22	A I BELIEVE THAT COULD HAVE EITHER BEEN ON A
ì	23	TIME ON JUNE THE 6TH OR A TIME PRIOR THERETO.
,	24	Q WHEN IS THE NEXT TIME THAT YOU REMEMBER
	25	HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH MR. HUNT REGARDING THE SEVEN-PAGE
	26	LIST?
	27	A AT THE SAME TIME.
	28	Q OKAY.

WHAT DID MR. HUNT TELL YOU REGARDING THE 14 1 2 SEVEN-PAGE LIST? THAT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST COULD HAVE BEEN A 3 SCRIPT OF SOME SORT FOR A THEATRICAL PRESENTATION THAT HE WAS PREPARING WITH MR. LEVIN. 5 THE COURT: I'M SORRY, YOU LOST ME. WHEN WAS THIS 6 STORY? 7 THE WITNESS: AT THE SAME TIME. 8 THE COURT: AS THE MAFIA THREAT LIST? 9 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. 11 BY MR. KLEIN: 12 AND WAS THIS KIND OF JUST A MUSING BY 13 0 MR. HUNT OR WAS THIS A SERIOUS DISCUSSION? 14 Α IT IS DIFFICULT RETROSPECTIVELY FOR ME TO 15 EVALUATE THAT BASED ON EVENTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED IN THE 16 PAST DECADE, COUNSEL. I CANNOT CHARACTERIZE IT ONE WAY OR 17 ANOTHER. AT THE TIME I MAY HAVE HAD THE IMPRESSION THAT 18 MR. HUNT WAS MUSING. AS I SIT HERE TODAY, I CANNOT BE 19 20 CERTAIN. 21 WHEN, IF AT ANY TIME, DID MR. HUNT TELL YOU 22 WHEN HE DISCUSSED THIS SCRIPT IDEA WHEN THE SEVEN-PAGE 23 LIST WAS LEFT AT MR. LEVIN'S? 24 Α ON JUNE 6TH OR AT SOME TIME PRIOR THERETO. 15 25 Q WHERE DID THIS CONVERSATION OCCUR, AGAIN? 26 I DO NOT RECALL WHERE WE WERE SITUATED DURING THAT CONVERSATION. 27 28 Q AND WHO ELSE --

15	1	A I BELIEVE I AM NOT SURE, SIR.
<i>f</i>	2	Q WHO ELSE WAS PRESENT?
	3	A I BELIEVE MR. CHIER WAS PRESENT, BUT I'M NOT
	4	100 PERCENT SURE OF THAT, BUT I THINK HE WAS.
	5	Q OKAY.
	6	WHEN IS THE NEXT TIME THAT YOU HAD A
	7	CONVERSATION WITH MR. HUNT ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
	8	A I BELIEVE SUBSEQUENT TO THE CONVERSATION I'M
	9	DESCRIBING THE SAME MATTERS WERE COVERED AGAIN.
	10	Q HOW LONG AFTER THIS CONVERSATION?
	11	A THIS CONVERSATION WOULD HAVE BEEN CLOSE TO
	12	CLOSE TO OR AT THE TIME THAT A DECISION WAS MADE THAT
	13	MR. HUNT WOULD NOT TESTIFY.
	14	Q SO THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN AFTER THE TRIAL
	15	STARTED?
	16	A YES, SIR. AS WAS THE CONVERSATION I JUST
	17	DESCRIBED.
	18	Q WERE THERE ANY OTHER CONVERSATIONS WITH
	19	MR. HUNT PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL ABOUT THE
	20	SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
	21	A I DO NOT RECALL.
	22	Q THERE COULD HAVE BEEN?
)	23	A COULD HAD BEEN; COULD NOT HAVE BEEN.
	24	Q ACCORDING TO YOUR TESTIMONY YESTERDAY AND
	25	TODAY, WE HAVE HEARD THREE POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS RELATING
	26	TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST. DID MR. HUNT EVER PROVIDE YOU
3	27	WITH ANY OTHER EXPLANATIONS ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
	28	A NOT THAT I RECALL.

L5	1	Q	AFTER MR. HUNT'S CASE WAS OVER WERE YOU EVER
	2	CONTACTED BY	HIS APPELLATE ATTORNEY?
	3	A	YES.
	4	Q	WHO WAS THAT?
)	5	A	A MAN NAMED DOBRIN.
	6	Q	WHEN DID HE CONTACT YOU?
	7	A	I DON'T RECALL.
	8	Q	WHEN WAS THE TRIAL OVER?
	9	A	I DON'T SPECIFICALLY RECALL THAT, COUNSEL,
	10	BUT I BELIEV	E IT WAS SOMETIME IN THE SPRINGTIME.
	11	Q	HOW ABOUT 1987, DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR
	12	MEMORY?	
	13	А	IF YOU SAY SO, IT DOES.
	14	Q	HOW LONG AFTER THE TRIAL WAS OVER WERE YOU
	15	CONTACTED BY	MR. DOBRIN?
	16	A	I DON'T KNOW.
	17	Q	A YEAR, TWO YEARS?
	18	A	NO I DON'T KNOW, ACTUALLY. I'M SURE THERE
	19	MUST BE SOME	SORT OF RECORD OF THIS, COUNSEL.
	20	Q	DURING THE COURSE OF MR. DOBRIN'S CONTACT
	21	WITH YOU, DI	D YOU REQUEST FROM HIM SOME KIND OF WAIVER OF
	22	THE ATTORNEY	-CLIENT PRIVILEGE FROM MR. HUNT BEFORE YOU
•	23	TALKED TO HI	M ABOUT ANYTHING THAT MR. HUNT TOLD YOU?
,	24	A	I DON'T RECALL THAT.
	25	Q	DID YOU?
	26	A	PERHAPS YOU DID NOT HEAR ME, SIR. I SAID I
	27	DIDN'T RECAL	L.
	28	Q	YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER HE DID OR DIDN'T?

15	1	A I DON'T RECALL, FOR THE THIRD TIME.
	2	Q DURING THE COURSE OF ANY OF THE DISCUSSIONS
	3	THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. DOBRIN INCIDENTALLY, WERE THEY
	4	OVER THE TELEPHONE?
)	5	A YES, SIR, I HAVE NEVER MET MR. DOBRIN.
,	6	Q WERE ANY OF THESE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT
	7	MR. HUNT TOLD YOU WITH RESPECT TO THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?
	8	A I DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFIC CONTENTS OF MY
	9	CONVERSATIONS, BUT I DID HAVE AN OVERRIDING CONCERN THAT I
	10	NOT VIOLATE WHAT I BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN THE APPROPRIATE
	11	ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OF MR. HUNT.
	12	Q LET ME ASK YOU THIS, MR. BARENS, DID
	13	MR. DOBRIN ASK YOU WHY MR. HUNT DIDN'T TESTIFY IN THE
	14	CASE?
	15	A YES.
	16	Q AND DID YOU TELL HIM WHY MR. HUNT DIDN'T
	17	TESTIFY IN THE CASE?
_	18	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY.
	19	THE COURT: OVERRULED?
	20	THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW IT.
	21	THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE I GAVE HIM A PARTIAL REASON
	22	AS TO WHY.
ì	23	BY MR. KLEIN:
r	24	Q DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND YOU HAD CERTAIN ETHICAL
	25	RESPONSIBILITIES TOWARDS MR. DOBRIN AS MR. HUNT'S
	26	APPELLATE COUNSEL?
	27	A I WAS NOT CLEAR ON ANY RESPONSIBILITIES I HAD
	28	TO MR. DOBRIN, SIR.

15 0 WHAT DID YOU THINK YOUR ETHICAL 1 2 RESPONSIBILITIES WERE WITH RESPECT TO MR. DOBRIN? 3 MR. BRODEY: I'LL OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR HONOR. MR. MC MULLEN: I'LL -- GO AHEAD. THE COURT: WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE? MR. KLEIN: IT GOES TO HIS STATE OF MIND AS TO HIS 6 RESPONSE TO MR. DOBRIN, AND I THINK IT ALSO GOES TO THE 7 COURT'S ABILITY TO DETERMINE HIS CREDIBILITY AS TO ANSWERS 8 9 ON THESE SUBJECTS. 10 THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. IT'S IRRELEVANT. 11 12 MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME. 13 14 (COUNSEL CONFER.) 15 16 BY MR. KLEIN: 17 SO MR. DOBRIN ASKED YOU WHY MR. HUNT DIDN'T 18 TESTIFY, AND YOU TOLD HIM WHAT MR. HUNT TOLD YOU ABOUT THE 19 SEVEN-PAGE LIST; RIGHT? 20 I DID NOT SAY THAT, COUNSEL. THAT IS YOUR 21 TESTIMONY. MY TESTIMONY WAS THAT I GAVE HIM A PARTIAL 22 ANSWER AS TO WHY MR. HUNT DID NOT TESTIFY. 23 WHAT WAS THAT PARTIAL ANSWER, MR. BARENS? 24 I TOLD HIM THAT THE DEFENSE HAD CONCERNS THAT 25 JUDGE RITTENBAND WOULD HAVE ATTEMPTED TO INJECT HIMSELF 26 INTO THAT PART OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN SUCH AWAY AS TO HAVE 27 A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON MR. HUNT. 28 Q UM-HMN.

THE COURT: I AM SORRY, "WOULD ATTEMPT TO INTERJECT 15 1 HIMSELF"? BY THAT YOU MEAN THAT JUDGE RITTENBAND WOULD 2 HAVE JUMPED ALL OVER HIM IN FRONT OF THE JURY? 3 THE WITNESS: PRECISELY. I'M SURE THAT'S WHAT I SAID TO MR. DOBRIN, OR 5 WORDS TO THAT EFFECT I SAID TO MR. DOBRIN. 6 7 BY MR. KLEIN: 16 WHAT ELSE DID YOU TELL THEM ABOUT WHY 8 Q MR. HUNT DID NOT TESTIFY? 9 10 ALTHOUGH I HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION OF THE LAST COMMENT I MADE TO YOU, I AM NOT AS CERTAIN OF THE 11 12 NEXT COMMENT. BUT I BELIEVE I ALSO INDICATED TO 13 MR. DOBRIN THAT ON MOCK CROSS-EXAMINATION THAT MR. HUNT 14 HAD DIFFICULTY DEALING WITH THE SEVEN PAGES. 15 BUT YOU -- BUT YOU -- EXCUSE ME. 0 16 THE REPORTER: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR, I NEED TO 17 CHANGE PAPER. 18 19 (PAUSE.) 20 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 22 GO AHEAD. BY MR. KLEIN: 23 Q WHY DID YOU THINK JUDGE RITTENBAND WOULD DO 24 25 THAT --26 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT. THE COURT: OVERRULED. 27 28 THE WITNESS: THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL JUDGE RITTENBAND

	·
1	HAD ACTED IN AN ANTAGONISTIC AND PROTAGONISTIC MANNER
2	TOWARDS MR. HUNT, I BELIEVE, ON EVERY POSSIBLE OCCASION
3	AND INJECTED HIS POINT OF VIEW CONCERNING MR. HUNT'S
4	CULPABILITY THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL.
5	BY MR. KLEIN:
6	Q OKAY.
7	NOW, GOING BACK TO EXPLAINING TO MR. DOBRIN
8	WHY MR. HUNT DIDN'T TESTIFY, DID THIS HAPPEN ON ONE
9	OCCASION OR MORE THAN ONE OCCASION?
10	A I'M NOT SURE.
11	Q AND IN IN NONE OF THESE OCCASIONS IN WHICH
12	YOU TALKED TO MR. DOBRIN DID YOU RELATE YOUR SUPPOSED
13	CONVERSATION WITH MR. HUNT AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE EARLY ON
14	IN THE CASE, WHICH WE TERMED CONVERSATION ONE; CORRECT?
15	JUST "YES" OR "NO."
16	A I REFUSED TO DO SO.
17	Q DID HE ASK YOU TO DO THAT?
18	A HE ASKED ME QUESTIONS ABOUT COMMUNICATIONS I
19	HAD HAD WITH MR. HUNT SIMILAR TO THOSE ASKED ME ON MY
20	DEPOSITION THAT WERE MADE REFERENCE TO IN THIS COURTROOM
21	YESTERDAY, AND I GAVE HIM THE SAME ANSWER.
22	Q WELL, YOU DIDN'T TELL MR. DOBRIN IN ANY OF
23	THESE CONVERSATIONS THAT
24	MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME, I NEED AN EXHIBIT.
25	
26	(PAUSE.)
27	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

16	1	BY MR. KLEIN:
:	2	Q YOU NEVER TOLD MR. DOBRIN IN ANY OF THESE
	3	CONVERSATIONS THAT HUNT TOLD YOU HE WOULD TESTIFY AND
	4	LET ME RESTATE THE QUESTION.
)	5	YOU NEVER TOLD MR. DOBRIN IN ANY OF THOSE
,	6	CONVERSATIONS THAT LEVIN TOLD JOE THAT HE WAS WRITING A
	7	SCRIPT AND THAT HE HAD HUNT TAKE NOTES ABOUT A PLAN TO
	8	CONCOCT THE PREFECT CRIME, AND THAT IN FACT LEVIN WAS ALL
	9	ALONG GOING TO SPLIT AND LEAVE THE NOTES IN ORDER TO FRAME
	10	HUNT FOR HIS MURDER? YOU NEVER TOLD MR. DOBRIN THAT?
	11	A I'M NOT SURE.
	12	Q ARE YOU SAYING NOW THAT YOU
	13	A WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THE WORD "NOW," COUNSEL?
	14	I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.
	15	Q ARE YOU TESTIFYING THAT YOU NOW REMEMBER
	16	TELLING MR. DOBRIN IN ANY ONE OF THESE CONVERSATIONS
	17	SOMETHING MR. HUNT TOLD YOU ABOUT THE MURDER OF RON LEVIN?
	18	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.
	19	THE COURT: I HAVE LOST THE QUESTION.
	20	THE WITNESS: I DON'T I FRANKLY
	21	THE COURT: THERE IS NO QUESTION PENDING.
	22	PUT A QUESTION.
	23	MR. KLEIN: MAYBE THE REPORTER CAN READ IT BACK.
)	24	THE COURT: WE'RE ALL OVER THE PLACE.
	25	PUT A QUESTION.
	26	BY MR. KLEIN:
	27	Q IS IT NOW YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU REMEMBER
	28	TELLING MR. DOBRIN ABOUT COMMUNICATIONS FROM MR. HUNT
	~~	, int bobiti iboot comfortonitono from mr. mont

16 1 ABOUT EXPLANATIONS FOR THE SUPPOSED DISAPPEARANCE OF RON 2 LEVIN? MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE AND 3 MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE. 4 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 5 THE WITNESS: I DON'T OUITE UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU 6 MEAN BY THE WORD "NOW" IN YOUR QUESTION, COUNSEL. 7 BY MR. KLEIN: 8 I THOUGHT A FEW MINUTES AGO THAT YOU 9 STEADFASTLY ASSERTED THE PRIVILEGE NOT TO TELL MR. DOBRIN 10 ANYTHING THAT MR. HUNT COMMUNICATED TO YOU. DID I MISS 11 12 WHAT YOU SAID? A QUITE SO. YOU DID, COUNSEL. LET ME MAKE 13 SURE YOU HAVE A CLEAR GRASP ON THIS. 14 OKAY. 15 Q A MY STATEMENT WENT TO THE FACT THAT I WAS NOT 16 ABOUT TO TELL MR. DOBRIN, YOURSELF DURING MY DEPOSITION OR 17 ANYONE ELSE THAT MR. HUNT HAD EVER ADMITTED TO ME THAT HE 18 HAD ANY COMPLICITY IN THIS HOMICIDE --19 20 0 EXCUSE ME --21 EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL. YOU ASKED ME A QUESTION. 22 I BELIEVE I'M ENTITLED TO ANSWER. 23 THE COURT: HOLD ON, MR. BARENS. I'LL LET YOU KNOW 24 IF YOU ARE ENTITLED TO ANSWER. 25 DON'T INTERRUPT THE ANSWER. 26 PLEASE FINISH YOUR ANSWER. 27 THE WITNESS: UNTIL I WAS DULY ORDERED BY A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION. AS I SAID TO MR. DOBRIN, AND 28

AS I SAID TO YOU UNDER OATH, I WAS NOT ABOUT TO ADMIT TO 17 1 THAT CONVERSATION BETWEEN MR. HUNT AND MYSELF. 2 3 I AM OF A BELIEF THAT MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THE EXPLANATIONS THAT WERE CONSISTENT WITH MR. HUNT'S INNOCENCE I WOULD HAVE DISCUSSED WITH MR. DOBRIN AND MOST 5 LIKELY DID, SIR. 6 BY MR. KLEIN: 7 SO THEN, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY NOW THAT IN 8 9 THIS -- IN YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. DOBRIN THAT YOU 10 WOULD HAVE DISCUSSED THE TWO OTHER STORIES OR TWO OTHER VERSIONS, EXCUSE ME, THAT MR. HUNT RELATED TO YOU 11 REGARDING THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MR. LEVIN? 12 13 MY BEST RECOLLECTION IS THAT I WOULD MOST LIKELY HAVE DISCUSSED THOSE STORIES, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER 14 15 WHETHER I DID OR DID NOT. 16 AND YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME THAT IF MR. HUNT 17 TOLD YOU THAT INFORMATION THAT IT WAS A PRIVILEGED 18 COMMUNICATION? 19 20 (PAUSE.) 21 22 I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU ONLY TO THE EXTENT 23 THAT I WOULD REPEAT TO MR. DOBRIN, AS I DID TO THE JURY AND TO OTHER THIRD PARTIES, STATEMENTS THAT MY CLIENT MADE 24 25 THAT WAS CONSISTENT WITH HIS INNOCENCE. 26 THAT'S BECAUSE YOU BELIEVED THAT HE WAS Q INNOCENT AFTER THE SECOND CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH 27 HIM AT THE COUNTY JAIL? 28

17	1	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.
ĺ	2	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
	3	MR. KLEIN: WELL, I THINK IT'S
	4	THE COURT: COUNSEL, I HAVE RULED.
)	5	BY MR. KLEIN:
	6	Q OKAY.
	7	DO YOU REMEMBER ANY OTHER VERSIONS OF THE
	8	DISAPPEARANCE OF RON LEVIN THAT MR. HUNT MAY HAVE EVER
	9	RELATED TO YOU?
	10	A AS I MENTIONED A FEW MOMENTS AGO, NONE THAT I
	11	RECALL AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
	12	
	13	(PAUSE.)
	14	
	15	Q DO YOU REMEMBER BEING ASKED IN YOUR
	16	DEPOSITION IN YOUR INTERROGATORIES AFTER IT WAS CLEAR
	17	TO YOU THAT MR. HUNT HAD WAIVED ANY PRIVILEGE THE
	18	FOLLOWING QUESTION (READING):
	19	"WHAT DID JOE HUNT TELL YOU
	20	ABOUT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST?"
	21	MR. MC MULLEN: MAY WE HAVE A PAGE REFERENCE,
	22	COUNSEL?
)	23	MR. KLEIN: SURE. IT'S PAGE TWO, QUESTION 9 OF THE
	24	INTERROGATORIES.
	25	BY MR. KLEIN:
	26	Q REMEMBER THAT QUESTION?
	27	A YES.
	28	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE ANSWER THAT YOU GAVE?
	1	

	!	
17	1	A NO.
,	2	Q WOULD YOU LIKE TO LOOK AT IT TO REFRESH YOUR
	3	MEMORY?
	4	A THANK YOU.
)	5	Q IT'S QUESTION 9.
	6	
	7	(WITNESS REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
	8	
	9	A I SEE THAT.
	10	Q YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT
	11	A THAT'S TESTIMONY, COUNSEL. WHY DON'T YOU ASK
	12	ME A QUESTION.
	13	THE COURT: IF YOU HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE
	14	QUESTION, YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND IT, PLEASE ADVISE ME, AND
	15	I'LL HAVE HIM REFRAME IT. DON'T QUESTION.
	16	BY MR. KLEIN:
	17	Q YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT THE ANSWER, THE
	18	INFORMATION THAT YOU SAY MR. HUNT PURPORTEDLY PROVIDED TO
	19	YOU IN THAT FIRST CONVERSATION AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE;
	20	CORRECT?
	21	A YOUR TESTIMONY IS INACCURATE, COUNSEL.
	22	Q WHAT IS THE ANSWER, MR. BARENS?
•	23	A YOUR TESTIMONY IS INACCURATE. YOU ARE
,	24	TESTIFYING AND NOT ASKING ME A QUESTION, IN MY OPINION.
	25	MR. KLEIN: WOULD THE COURT ASK MR. BARENS TO
	26	ANSWER THE QUESTION.
	27	THE COURT: PLEASE ANSWER THE QUESTION.
	28	BEFORE YOU DO I NEED TO SEE THE INTERROGATORY

17 AND ANSWER. I DON'T RECALL THEM OFFHAND. 1 MR. KLEIN: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 2 THE COURT: YES. 3 I DON'T NEED THE QUESTION. I JUST NEED TO READ THE ANSWER. 6 (COURT REVIEWING DOCUMENT.) 7 8 THE COURT: READ BACK THE LAST QUESTION, WOULD YOU? 9 10 (THE QUESTION WAS READ.) 11 12 THE COURT: ANSWER THE QUESTION. 13 THE WITNESS: AS I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION, I 14 CAN'T. I'M SORRY. BUT I'M NOT SURE WE'RE ON THE SAME 15 POINT HERE. MR. ROWAN --16 17 THE COURT: MR. KLEIN THE WITNESS: MR. KLEIN, RATHER, YOU ARE ASKING ME 18 IF I INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT OF THAT ANSWER TO 19 INTERROGATORY THE -- THE COMMENT MR. HUNT TOLD ME AS TO 20 21 HIS CULPABILITY. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE ASKING ME? BY MR. KLEIN: 22 I'M ASKING YOU WHETHER YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT 23 24 OUT THE PURPORTED CONVERSATION AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE THE 25 FIRST TIME WITH MR. HUNT, YEAH. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE 26 SAME THING, MR. BARENS. 27 DID YOU? 28 Α IF YOU'D GIVE ME A MOMENT, COUNSEL.

17 {	1	Q SURE.
	2	
	3	(WITNESS REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
	4	
)	5	A COULD I SEE THE WRITTEN VERSION OF THE
	6	QUESTION, COUNSEL?
	7	MR. KLEIN: MAY I APPROACH?
	8	
	9	(A CONFERENCE WAS HELD BETWEEN THE
	10	WITNESS AND HIS COUNSEL, NOT REPORTED.)
	11	
	12	THE WITNESS: THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS NO.
	13	THE COURT: HOW MUCH MORE DO YOU HAVE ON REDIRECT,
	14	MR. KLEIN.
	15	MR. KLEIN: 10 MINUTES.
	16	THE WITNESS: I'M TRAILING IN 85.
	17	THE COURT: THAT WAS MY NEXT QUESTION.
_	18	THE WITNESS: I'D SAID I HAD BEEN TOLD YESTERDAY
	19	CERTAIN PARAMETERS FOR TODAY THAT I AM RELYING UPON.
	20	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ON RECROSS?
	21	MR. MC MULLEN: VERY LITTLE UP TO THIS POINT.
	22	THE COURT: LET'S SEE IF WE CAN FINISH THIS UP.
}	23	BY MR. KLEIN:
	24	Q AND YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT OF YOUR ANSWER
	25	TO QUESTION 10, WHICH SAYS, FOLLOWING QUESTION 9
	26	(READING):
	27	"WHAT WAS THE CONTEXT OF SUCH
	28	CONVERSATIONS?"

17	1	YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT YOUR CONVERSATION
	2	WITH MR. HUNT THAT YOU SUPPOSEDLY HAD THE FIRST TIME AT
	3	THE COUNTY JAIL; CORRECT?
1.0	4	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE. ASKED
18 i	5	AND ANSWERED.
,	6	THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT.
	7	BY MR. KLEIN:
	8	Q I'LL SHOW YOU YOUR ANSWER.
	9	A COULD I SEE THE QUESTION, PLEASE, COUNSEL?
	10	Q SURE.
	11	A THE QUESTION I READ SAYS:
	12	"WHAT WAS THE CONTEXT OF SUCH
	13	CONVERSATIONS?"
	14	THE ANSWER I READ SAYS:
	15	"THESE STATEMENTS WERE MADE
	16	DURING THE COURSE OF OUR
	17	RELATIONSHIP. THEY TOOK PLACE
	18	DURING CONVERSATIONS IN WHICH MR.
	19	HUNT AND I WERE DISCUSSING HIS
	20	DEFENSE.
	21	"AS WE DISCUSSED HIS DEFENSE
	22	QUITE OFTEN AND QUITE IN DEPTH, I
ı	23	CANNOT RECALL THE EXACT CONTEXT IN
,	24	WHICH THESE STATEMENTS WERE MADE."
	25	NOW, PRAY WHAT IS YOUR QUESTION, SIR?
	26	Q YOU UNDERSTOOD WHAT MR. HUNT SUPPOSEDLY TOLD
ţ.	27	YOU AT THAT FIRST INTERVIEW AT COUNTY JAIL, DIDN'T YOU?
,	28	A IN MY READING OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE,

18	1	COUNSEL, WHEN YOU ASK ME ABOUT CONTEXT HERE I PRESUMED YOU
(2	TO MEAN THE CONTEXT OR SETTING IN WHICH THE DISCUSSION
	3	TOOK PLACE, AND SINCE I DIDN'T RECALL THE CONTEXT OR
	4	SETTING IN WHICH IT TOOK PLACE, I GAVE YOU THE MOST
)	5	ACCURATE AND COMPREHENSIVE ANSWER I COULD. IF YOU WISH TO
	6	MAKE A DIFFERENT QUESTION AT THIS POINT, DO SO.
	7	Q WELL, YOU ANSWERED THE INTERROGATORIES
	8	A A MOMENT IF YOU WOULD, COUNSEL.
	9	MR. KLEIN: EXCUSE ME, THERE'S NO QUESTION PENDING,
	10	YOUR HONOR.
	11	THE COURT: HOLD ON.
	12	PUT A QUESTION. LET'S GO.
	13	BY MR. KLEIN:
	14	Q MR. BARENS, BACK WHEN YOU ANSWERED THOSE
	15	INTERROGATORIES YOU REMEMBERED WHAT MR. HUNT HAD
	16	SUPPOSEDLY TOLD YOU BACK AT THE FIRST MEETING AT THE HALL
	17	OF JUSTICE; CORRECT?
	18	A I REMEMBERED WHAT HE HAD ACTUALLY TOLD ME.
	19	Q AND YOU REMEMBERED ACTUALLY WHERE IT
	20	HAPPENED; RIGHT?
	21	A I REMEMBERED THAT WE HAD CONVERSATIONS IN
	22	MORE THAN ONE LOCATION AND IN MORE THAN ONE CONTEXT AT
,	23	DIFFERENT POINTS IN TIME.
	24	Q BUT YOU REMEMBERED WHEN YOU SIGNED THESE
	25	INTERROGATORIES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY WHERE THIS
	26	SUPPOSED FIRST CONVERSATION TOOK PLACE, DIDN'T YOU?
	27	A I UNDERSTOOD WHERE THAT CONVERSATION TOOK
	28	PLACE AND I ALSO UNDERSTOOD THE CONVERSATIONS TOOK PLACE

AT A VARIETY OF LOCATIONS, AND I READ THE QUESTION IN A 18 1 2 MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE ANSWER I PROVIDED --AND YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT THE INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD A CONVERSATION WITH MR. HUNT AT THE HALL OF JUSTICE? THE HALL OF JUSTICE DOESN'T APPEAR, 5 6 DOES IT, IN YOUR ANSWERS? 7 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED. THE WITNESS: I DID NOT --8 THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. 9 HE READ THE ANSWER. IT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF. 10 IT'S IN THE RECORD. THE WORDS "HALL OF JUSTICE" DO NOT 11 APPEAR. LET'S WRAP THIS UP. 12 BY MR. KLEIN: 13 YOU INTENTIONALLY LEFT OUT THE REFERENCE TO 14 0 15 THE HALL OF JUSTICE, ISN'T THAT CORRECT? I DID NOT. THAT IS NOT CORRECT. 16 Α 17 NOW, YOU KNEW THAT MR. CRAIN AND I WANTED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT ANY INFORMATION YOU HAD ABOUT WHAT 18 MR. HUNT TOLD YOU JUST LIKE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY DID; 19 2.0 CORRECT? 21 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED. I THINK THIS WAS COVERED. 22 23 THE COURT: OVERRULED. THERE WAS NEW DISCOVERY 24 TURNED OVER FOR THE FIRST TIME THIS MORNING. 25 MR. MC MULLEN: THANK YOU. 26 THE WITNESS: I FRANKLY HAD BEEN TOLD ONLY THAT --27 IF I COOPERATED WITH YOU, FOLKS, IT WOULD BE LESS EMBARRASSING FOR ME. THAT IS THE REFERENCE I WAS TOLD.

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q SO YOU DIDN'T KNOW AT THE TIME THAT YOU MADE
THIS MOST RECENT INTERVIEW WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY ON
APRIL 19, 1996, THAT MR. CRAIN AND I WERE EQUALLY
INTERESTED IN ANY INFORMATION THAT YOU PROVIDE THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY? YOU DID NOT KNOW THAT?

A MY SENSE OF IT, SIR, AS WAS BORNE OUT IN YOUR EXAMINATION OF MYSELF, WAS THAT YOUR SOLE INTEREST WAS TO INTIMIDATE AND EMBARRASS ME.

Q BUT YOU WEREN'T AWARE THAT MR. CRAIN AND I WERE INTERESTED IN THE INFORMATION THAT YOU'RE GOING TO PROVIDE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY?

A MY KNOWLEDGE WAS THAT YOUR INTEREST WAS TO EMBARRASS AND INTIMIDATE ME, SIR.

Q OKAY.

SO YOU WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY HAD THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GAVE THEM ON APRIL
19TH AND NOT MR. CRAIN, MYSELF OR ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF
MR. HUNT?

- A NO, SIR, THAT IS NOT A TRUTHFUL STATEMENT.
- Q WELL, DID YOU OR -- DIRECT YOUR ATTORNEY TO PROVIDE US WITH THAT INFORMATION?

A I DID NOT BELIEVE I HAD ANY OBLIGATION TO

ASSIST YOU IN EMBARRASSING AND INTIMIDATING ME ANY FURTHER

THAN YOU HAVE ALREADY OR WERE PLANNING TO DO SO ON YOUR

OWN WITHOUT MY HELP.

Q NOW, AT THE TIME THAT YOU MADE YOUR OPENING STATEMENT YOU TOLD MR. -- YOU TOLD THE JURY THAT MR. HUNT

18 WAS GOING TO EXPLAIN EVERYTHING; CORRECT? 1 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 2 THE COURT: I ASSUME --3 19 MR. KLEIN: MOVING ONTO ANOTHER AREA OF THE EXAMINATION BY MR. MC MULLEN. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 I'M ACTUALLY TRYING TO FINISH IT. 6 THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I WAS ABOUT TO SAY. 7 WOULD HOPE IT'S PREPARATORY TO SOMETHING ELSE. YOU TOLD 8 ME IT IS, SO LET'S DO IT. BY MR. KLEIN: 10 LET ME BACK UP ONE MORE LAST -- ONE MORE LAST 11 OUESTION -- ABOUT THE INTERROGATORY. 12 BASED ON THE HISTORY OF WHAT LEAD TO THOSE 13 14 INTERROGATORIES, MR. BARENS, YOU KNEW THAT MR. CRAIN AND I 15 WANTED TO KNOW THE INFORMATION THAT YOU ULTIMATELY PROVIDED TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY ON APRIL 19TH, THAT'S 16 17 WHAT WE WANTED YOU TO PROVIDE US IN THOSE INTERROGATORIES; 18 CORRECT? 19 NO. I COULD NOT READ YOUR MIND, COUNSEL. 20 ALL RIGHT. 21 NOW, BACK TO YOUR OPENING STATEMENT WHERE YOU SAID MR. HUNT WAS GOING TO EXPLAIN THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST. 22 23 REMEMBER THAT? 24 Α 25 AT THAT TIME YOU HAD IN MIND WHAT MR. HUNT HAD TOLD YOU SUPPOSEDLY AT THIS FIRST MEETING AND THEN 26 THESE TWO OTHER EXPLANATIONS THAT HE HAD PROVIDED TO YOU 27 28 ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS; CORRECT?

19 Α NO. 1 WHAT AM I MISSING? 2 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU REFRAME THAT QUESTION? 3 BY MR. KLEIN: AT THE TIME YOU HAD YOUR -- YOU MADE YOUR 5 OPENING STATEMENT AND YOU SAID MR. HUNT WAS GOING TO 6 EXPLAIN THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST, YOU HAD IN MIND THE FIRST 7 CONVERSATION THAT YOU SUPPOSEDLY HAD WITH HIM AT THE HALL 8 OF JUSTICE: CORRECT? 9 А NO. 10 YOU DID NOT HAVE THAT IN MIND? 0 11 12 Α NO. TON YHW 13 Q I HAD SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND. 14 Α WELL, YOU HAD THAT INFORMATION. IT WAS 15 AVAILABLE TO YOU? 16 17 YOU ASKED ME, COUNSEL, IF I HAD IT IN MIND. Α THE ANSWER IS NO. IF YOU ARE ASKING ME IF I WAS AWARE OF 18 THE CONVERSATION, THE ANSWER IS YES. 19 20 Q OKAY. 21 AND YOU WERE AWARE OF ANOTHER CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. HUNT WHERE HE TOLD YOU THAT THE 22 23 EXPLANATION FOR THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST WAS THAT, YOU KNOW, IT 24 WAS TO INTIMIDATE LEVIN AND THAT IT WAS TAKEN THERE 25 SOMETIME PRIOR TO JUNE 6TH AND THAT PITTMAN WAS A 26 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MAFIA. YOU WERE AWARE OF THAT 27 INFORMATION? 28 A COUNSEL, AT THAT MOMENT IN TIME I WAS ALSO

AWARE OF THE SCORE OF THE 1961 U. S. C. VERSUS WISCONSIN GAME IN THE ROSE BOWL, BUT I DIDN'T HAVE THAT IN MIND ANY MORE THAN I DID THAT SECOND CONVERSATION AT THE TIME WHEN I MADE MY OPENING STATEMENT.

Q AT THE TIME YOU MADE THE OPENING STATEMENT
YOU WERE AWARE OF THE INFORMATION THAT MR. HUNT PROVIDED
YOU THAT THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST COULD HAVE BEEN A SCRIPT AND
IT WAS TAKEN THERE PRIOR TO JUNE 6, 1984; CORRECT?

A I REFER TO MY PREVIOUS ANSWER, COUNSEL, AS THE SAME FOR THIS.

Q SO THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO ETHICAL REASON

THAT YOU WOULD HAVE HAD NOT TO PRESENT EVIDENCE, IF YOU

HAD IT, OF KAREN MARMOR, THAT SHE SAW THE SEVEN-PAGE LIST

AT RON LEVIN'S SOMETIME PRIOR TO JUNE 6, 1984?

A I DID NOT HAVE EVIDENCE THAT SHE SUBMITTED TWO YEARS AFTER THAT.

Q THE QUESTION WAS: IF YOU HAD THE INFORMATION.

A I DON'T KNOW IF I WOULD HAVE OR I WOULDN'T HAVE. I WOULD HAVE HAD SOME HESITATION.

THE COURT: WHY WOULD YOU HAVE HAD SOME HESITATION?

THE WITNESS: BECAUSE UNTIL SUCH TIME AS MR. HUNT

WAS GOING TO TESTIFY, WHICH I WASN'T SURE OF UNTIL THE

VERY END OF THE CASE, IT REMAINED POSSIBLE TO ME THAT

MR. HUNT'S ULTIMATE EXPLANATION OF THE FACTS WOULD HAVE

DIFFERED FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND MS. MARMOR SOME TWO YEARS

LATER TESTIFIED TO.

19 BY MR. KLEIN: 1 SINCE MR. HUNT NEVER TESTIFIED THERE WOULD 2 HAVE BEEN NO ETHICAL IMPEDIMENT TO HAVE PRESENTED HER 3 TESTIMONY, IF YOU HAD IT, AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE TRIAL? BEG PARDON -- HOW COULD YOU ASK SUCH A THING? 5 HOW WOULD I KNOW HOW I WOULD HAVE REACTED TO TESTIMONY 6 7 THAT WAS NEVER GIVEN WHICH MAY HAVE CHANGED WHETHER MY 8 CLIENT TESTIFIED ULTIMATELY OR NOT, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. 9 IT IS PUTTING ONE PRESUMPTION ON ANOTHER PRESUMPTION AND LEAVES ME UNABLE TO DRAW A LOGICAL COLLUSION. 10 11 MR. KLEIN: COULD I HAVE A MINUTE, YOUR HONOR? 12 THE COURT: VERY QUICKLY, THOUGH. WE HAVE GOT TO 13 FINISH UP HERE. 14 WHAT TIME ARE THEY EXPECTING YOU OVER IN 85? THE COURT: IF YOUR CLERK COULD ASK THEM ASK IF 15 THEY STILL HAVE WANG ET AL. VERSUS ATTORNEY GENERAL. 16 17 THE COURT: MR. CLERK, IF YOU COULD APPROACH THE WITNESS AND GET SOME INFORMATION TO ASK IF THEY HAVE - 18 19 CALLED A CASE. 20 THE WITNESS: SORRY. 21 THE COURT: YOU CAN TELL THEM THAT HE'S STILL ON 22 THE STAND AND HE WOULD BE DONE QUICKLY. 20 23 RIGHT, MR. KLEIN? 1 24 MR. KLEIN: YEAH. BY MR. KLEIN: 25 26 WITH RESPECT TO LOUISE WALLER, DO YOU 27 REMEMBER WHO SHE WAS? 28 Α YES.

20	1	Q DID YOU PRESENT HER TESTIMONY IN THE CASE?
í	2	A I BELIEVE SHE TESTIFIED DURING THE GUILT
	3	PHASE. I COULD BE WRONG, BUT I BELIEVE SHE TESTIFIED IN
	4	THE GUILT PHASE.
)	5	Q AND WHO WAS YOUR INVESTIGATOR LATE IN THE
	6	CASE?
	7	A A GENTLEMAN NAMED HAP LEE.
	8	MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A A DOCUMENT
	9	THAT'S EIGHT PAGES LONG. IT'S A REPORT BY MR. LEE OF AN
	10	INTERVIEW OF LOUISE WALLER. MAY THAT BE MARKED AS NEXT IN
	11	ORDER?
	12	THE COURT: IT WOULD BE 275, BUT IS THIS RESPONSIVE
	13	TO SOMETHING THAT CAME OUT ON DIRECT?
	. 14	MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. MC MULLEN
	15	QUESTIONED THE WITNESS ABOUT ALL THE SIGHTING WITNESSES,
	16	AND YOUR HONOR ALLOWED HIM TO DO IT
	17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
	18	THAT'S WHAT I AM ASKING.
	19	MR. KLEIN: UNDER ISSUE 1.
	20	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
	21	THIS IS, AGAIN, A REPORT OF A PRIVATE
	22	INVESTIGATOR?
ì	23	MR. KLEIN: HAP LEE, LOUISE WALLER.
	24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
	25	
	26	(MARKED FOR ID = PETITIONER'S 275,
	27	DOCUMENT.)
	28	

20 BY MR. KLEIN: 1 O IS THIS THE REPORT YOU USED TO PUT HER ON THE 2 STAND, MR. BARENS? 3 (WITNESS REVIEWING DOCUMENTS.) 5 6 I'M NOT SURE WHETHER I EXAMINED HER OR 7 8 MR. CHIER DID. I NOTICE THE REPORT I'M LOOKING AT IS ADDRESSED TO MR. CHIER. I DON'T RECALL. I BELIEVE THAT 9 MR. CHIER PUT HER ON THE STAND, BUT I'M NOT SURE. 10 BUT YOU SAW THAT REPORT IN CONNECTION WITH 11 THE PREPARATION OF HER TESTIMONY; CORRECT? 12 13 Α I'M NOT SURE. MR. CHIER WAS CO-COUNSEL AT THAT TIME? 14 15 Α YES. 16 Q LET ME ASK YOU A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION, 17 MR. BARENS. 18 LET ME JUST ASK YOU A QUESTION. IF YOU PRESENTED LOUISE WALLER'S TESTIMONY AT THE PENALTY PHASE 19 20 AND HAD THE INFORMATION PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT THE JURY WENT OUT OR WHEN THE JURY WAS DELIBERATING DURING THE 21 GUILT PHASE, WOULD YOU HAVE DONE SOMETHING TO USE HER 22 23 TESTIMONY? 24 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY. IT'S 25 OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE. THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE OUESTION ASKED. 26 BY MR. KLEIN: 27

28

Q

ASSUME THAT YOU HAD THE INFORMATION CONTAINED

20	1	IN MR. LEE'S REPORT ABOUT LOUISE WALLER AND ASSUME THAT
	2	YOU CALLED HER AS A WITNESS IN THE PENALTY PHASE, BUT THAT
	3	YOU HAD THAT INFORMATION PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT THE JURY
	4	WENT OUT IN THE GUILT PHASE OR DURING THE TIME THAT THE
)	5	JURY WAS DELIBERATING IN THE GUILT PHASE, WOULD YOU HAVE
	6	DONE SOMETHING TO ATTEMPT TO USE HER TESTIMONY?
	7	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY. OUTSIDE OF
	8	THE SCOPE OF YOUR MARCH 29TH RULING WITH RESPECT TO ISSUE
	9	1-A.
	10	THE COURT: IS YOUR QUESTION: WOULD YOU HAVE USED
	11	WALLER'S TESTIMONY IN THE GUILT PHASE IF YOU KNEW ABOUT
	12	IT?
	13	MR. KLEIN: COULD I JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT?
	14	THE COURT: WE HAVE TO MOVE, MR. KLEIN.
	15	
	16	(A CONFERENCE WAS HELD BETWEEN COUNSEL
	17	AND THE PETITIONER, NOT REPORTED.)
_	18	
	19	MR. KLEIN: I'LL JUST WITHDRAW THE QUESTION.
	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
	21	MR. KLEIN: THIS WAS 275.
	22	THE COURT: YES.
	23	MR. KLEIN: I'D ASK THE INDULGENCE THE COURT TO GET
	24	A COPY OF THIS BECAUSE THIS WAS MY LAST COPY.
	25	THE COURT: JUST MAKE SURE THE CLERK HAS IT.
	26	ANYTHING FURTHER?
	27	MR. KLEIN: I HAVE A COUPLE OF MORE QUESTIONS.
	1	

```
20
             BY MR. KLEIN:
         1
                    Q NADIA GHALEB, G-H-A-L-E-B, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY
         3
             MEMORY OF HOW YOU FOUND OUT ABOUT HER?
                         NOT SPECIFICALLY, BUT I BELIEVE I HEARD ABOUT
         5
             HER SECONDHAND.
                        AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHEN YOU FOUND OUT
         7
             ABOUT HER?
         8
                    A I BELIEVE IT WAS AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE
         9
             TRIAL. PERHAPS DURING THE PENALTY PHASE, BUT I'M NOT
             SURE.
        10
        11
                    Q
                         AND YOU NEVER INTERVIEWED HER?
                    Α
                        I NEVER INTERVIEWED HER.
        12
        13
                        YOU NEVER CAUSED ANYONE TO INTERVIEW HER?
                    Q
        14
                    A I NEVER CAUSED ANYONE TO INTERVIEW HER.
                    Q WHAT IS THE SECONDHAND INFORMATION WE'RE
        15
            TALKING ABOUT AGAIN?
        16
        17
                   A I WASN'T TALKING ABOUT ANYTHING, SIR. I
        18
             SAID -- YOU ASKED ME HOW I KNEW ABOUT IT, AND I SAID I
        19
             BELIEVE I KNEW ABOUT HER ON SOME SECONDHAND BASIS SEEING
        20
             AS I HAD NEVER SPOKEN TO HER MYSELF.
                    MR. MC MULLEN: MR. KLEIN, IF YOU ARE ASKING FOR 13
        21
        22
             AND 14, I HAVE THEM HERE.
        23
                    MR. KLEIN: OH, THANK YOU.
        24
                          YOU ARE READING MY MIND.
        25
                    MR. MC MULLEN: I JUST OVERHEARD YOU.
        26
            BY MR. KLEIN:
        27
                    Q SHOWING YOU EXHIBIT 13. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN
        28
             THAT DOCUMENT BEFORE?
```

20 (WITNESS REVIEWING EXHIBIT.) 1 2 I AM NOT SURE WITH CERTAINTY THAT I SAW IT. 3 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER FROM 4 EXHIBIT 267, PAGE 50, LINE 24. 5 6 BY MR. KLEIN: 7 (READING.) "0 AFTER THIS DOCUMENT WAS 8 MARKED AS AN EXHIBIT DID YOU EVER 9 10 SEE THIS MAY 4, 1987, BEFORE? 11 Α YES, SIR. IN THIS" --12 0 13 WELL, -- LET ME MOVE ON. 14 YOU TESTIFIED YESTERDAY YOU HAVE NO IDEA WHAT INVESTIGATION WAS DONE CONCERNING IVAN WERNER; IS THAT 15 16 CORRECT? 1 17 NO. I MEAN IT'S CORRECT IN THE SENSE THAT I DON'T -- KNOW HANDS-ON WHAT WAS DONE. I DO KNOW THERE WAS 18 19 SOME INVESTIGATION MADE OF IVAN WERNER, ALTHOUGH I'M SOMEWHAT FOGGY ON THAT AT PRESENT. I RECALL THERE WAS 2.0 21 SOME IMPEACHMENT OF HIS CREDIBILITY. 22 0 OKAY. 23 MR. KLEIN: I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THESE ANSWERS 24 WHICH START ON PAGE 51 OF EXHIBIT 267 AFTER YES, SIR. 25 BY MR. KLEIN: 26 Q (READING.) 27 "DO YOU REMEMBER SEEING THIS 28 PERSON'S NAME IN THIS LETTER WHEN

1	1	YOU RECEIVED IT IN MAY OF 1988?
	2	A I BELIEVE, YES, I DO RECALL
	3	SEEING THAT NAME IN THAT LETTER.
	4	Q WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME YOU
ì	5	EVER SAW THAT NAME?
,	6	A I BELIEVE I HEARD SOMETHING
	7	ABOUT THAT INDIVIDUAL BEFORE I SAW
	8	IT IN THE LETTER.
	9	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT
	10	THAT INDIVIDUAL?
	11	A I BELIEVE IT WAS IN COURT.
	12	Q FROM WHOM?
	13	A I'M NOT SURE. IT MIGHT HAVE
	14	BEEN MR. WAPNER.
	15	Q IS IT ON THE RECORD?
	16	A I DON'T KNOW, SIR. AND
	17	AGAIN, I'M NOT SAYING I'M NOT
	18	EVEN SURE THAT COMMUNICATION
	19	occurred."
	20	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. INAPPROPRIATE
	21	IMPEACHMENT.
	22	THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER TO STAND, OR
ì	23	QUESTION, RATHER.
,	24	BY MR. KLEIN:
	25	Q SHOWING YOU PAGE 2 TO EXHIBIT 14, HAVE YOU
	26	EVER SEEN THAT BEFORE?
	27	
	28	(WITNESS REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
		l

```
I MAY HAVE, MAY NOT HAVE.
1
                    Α
        1
        2
                           (COUNSEL CONFER.)
        3
         Δ
                    MR. KLEIN: SORRY, YOUR HONOR.
        5
                           I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.
        6
                    THE COURT: MR. MC MULLEN?
        7
                    MR. MC MULLEN: IF I COULD JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT.
        8
        9
                          (PAUSE.)
       10
       11
                    MR. MC MULLEN: I HOPE THIS WON'T TAKE TOO LONG,
       12
       13
            YOUR HONOR.
       14
                    THE COURT: ME, TOO.
       15
                    THE WITNESS: ME, THREE.
       16
       17
                               RECROSS-EXAMINATION @
       18
       19
             BY MR. MC MULLEN:
       20
                         ON CROSS EXAMINATION YOU TESTIFIED THAT
             MR. HUNT GAVE A VERSION OF THE SEVEN-PAGE -- REGARDING THE
       21
             SEVEN-PAGE TO-DO LIST TO YOU THAT INVOLVED -- THAT
       22
             INCLUDED AN EXPLANATION THAT IT WAS A SCRIPT OR FOR A
       23
             THEATRICAL PRODUCTION THAT HE WAS WORKING ON WITH
       24
       25
             MR. LEVIN. DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING TO THAT?
       26
                    Α
                         YES.
       27
                          AND YOU TESTIFIED THAT THAT CONVERSATION
             OCCURRED WHEN MR. HUNT WAS OUT OF CUSTODY; IS THAT
       28
```

CORRECT? 1 1 2 Α YES. 3 AND WAS THAT PRIOR TO THE TRIAL OR AFTER THE TRIAL COMMENCED? 4 TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, AFTER THE TRIAL COMMENCED. 6 DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA HOW FAR ALONG THE TRIAL 7 HAD PROGRESSED WHEN THAT CONVERSATION OCCURRED? 8 9 I CANNOT IDENTIFY ON THAT BASIS. SIR, YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT DISCOVERING A 10 SIGHTING WITNESS BY THE NAME OF ROBBIE ROBINSON. WHY 11 12 DIDN'T YOU CALL ROBBIE ROBINSON --13 MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S BEYOND THE SCOPE AND 14 IRRELEVANT. THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW IT. 15 MR. CRAIN: YOUR HONOR, EXCUSE ME. IT'S NOT PART 16 OF ISSUE 2. WHETHER OR NOT -- ROBBIE ROBINSON ONLY 17 AFFECTS ISSUE 1. WHY HE DIDN'T CALL SOMEONE IS NOT PART 18 OF THE ISSUES EITHER DESIGNATED BY THE COURT OF APPEAL OR 19 20 CERTAINLY BY THIS COURT IN IT'S RULING ON MARCH 29TH -- SO 21 IT'S TOTALLY IRRELEVANT. THE COURT: OVERRULED. 22 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 23 24 IF YOU CAN ANSWER. 0 25 MY PRIMARY REASON WAS THAT I FOUND 26 MR. ROBINSON TO BE AN INCREDIBLE WITNESS, AND I DID NOT 27 WANT TO DO ANYTHING TO CHEAPEN OR DENIGRATE THE TESTIMONY

OF CANCHOLA AND LOPEZ, WHICH I FELT WAS EXTREMELY

```
1
            CREDIBLE.
        1
                        YOU BECAME AWARE OF MR. ROBINSON'S SIGHTING
        2
                   0
            ALLEGATIONS DURING THE DELIBERATIONS OF THE GUILT PHASE;
        3
            IS THAT CORRECT?
        5
                   Α
                         YES.
        6
                         DID YOU HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT MOVING TO
            REOPEN YOUR CASE DURING THAT PHASE WITH RESPECT TO ROBBIE
        7
        8
            ROBINSON?
        9
                        YES.
                   Α
                         AND WHAT WERE THOSE CONCERNS?
       10
                         I --
       11
                   Α
                   MR. KLEIN: AGAIN, OBJECTION. THIS IS AGAIN
       12
       13
            IRRELEVANT.
       14
                   THE COURT: ISN'T IT AT THIS POINT --
                   MR. MC MULLEN: SUBMITTED, YOUR HONOR.
       15
       16
                   THE COURT: IN THAT CASE, IT'S SUSTAINED.
       17
           BY MR. MC MULLEN:
                   Q WITH RESPECT TO MR. WERNER, WHY DIDN'T YOU
       18
            CALL MR. WERNER TO THE WITNESS STAND?
       19
       20
                   MR. CRAIN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT.
       21
                   MR. KLEIN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION.
       22
                   MR. CRAIN: IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ISSUE 2.
                   THE COURT: OVERRULED.
       23
       24
                   MR. KLEIN: THERE IS NO FOUNDATION THAT HE HAD ANY
       25
            INFORMATION TO --
                   THE COURT: MAYBE THAT WILL BE THE ANSWER.
       26
       27
                   MR. KLEIN: FIRST, HE SHOULD HAVE TO ESTABLISH IT.
       28
                   THE COURT: COUNSEL, I HAVE RULED.
```

ANSWER THE QUESTION. 1 1 THE WITNESS: I LACKED SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO 2 MAKE ME BELIEVE THAT MR. WERNER WOULD HAVE BEEN A 3 BELIEVABLE WITNESS OR A CREDIBLE ONE. MR. MC MULLEN: IF I COULD HAVE JUST HAVE A MOMENT, 5 YOUR HONOR. 6 7 8 (PAUSE.) 9 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 10 DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE YOU FIRST HEARD ABOUT 11 Q MR. WERNER, WHO TOLD YOU? 12 A I BELIEVE IT WAS -- I BELIEVE, TO THE BEST OF 13 14 MY RECOLLECTION, IT WAS THROUGH A -- A COMMUNICATION WITH MR. WAPNER. 15 O DO YOU REMEMBER GETTING THE INFORMATION WITH 16 RESPECT TO MR. WERNER FROM MR. CHIER? 17 I MAY HAVE -- I'M NOT SURE IN WHAT SEQUENCE I 18 RECEIVED THAT INFORMATION. I BELIEVE I HAVE BEEN 19 INCONSISTENT ON WHETHER I RECOGNIZED THE LETTER THAT I 20 2 21 RECEIVED FROM MR. WAPNER AT THAT POINT IN TIME IN 1987. MY FIRST KNOWLEDGE OF WERNER MAY HAVE BEEN IN THAT LETTER, 22 23 OR IT MAY HAVE BEEN IN COMMUNICATION WITH MR. CHIER. JUST DON'T -- KNOW. 2.4 IS YOUR RECOLLECTION SOMEWHAT FOGGY ON THAT 25 ISSUE AT THIS POINT IN TIME? 26 I WILL CONCEDE THAT I DID NOT SPEND MUCH TIME 27

FOCUSED ON MR. WERNER THEN OR SUBSEQUENTLY. I DID NOT,

```
2
            FOR WHATEVER EXISTED IN MY MIND AT THAT TIME, FIND THAT TO
        1
            BE SIGNIFICANT.
                    MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
        3
            HONOR?
        4
                    THE COURT: YES.
        5
                    MR. MC MULLEN: REFERRING THE COURT AND COUNSEL TO,
        6
            I BELIEVE IT'S PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 262, STARTING AT THE
        7
            BOTTOM OF PAGE 51.
        8
            BY MR. MC MULLEN:
        9
                        WOULD YOU PLEASE READ STARTING AT LINE --
       10
                    THE COURT: I AM SORRY, 262?
       11
                    MR. MC MULLEN: I'M NOT SURE. IT'S THE DEPOSITION.
       12
       13
                   MR. KLEIN: 267.
                   MR. MC MULLEN: 267. I STAND CORRECTED.
       14
                   MR. KLEIN: WHAT PAGE?
       15
       16
                   MR. MC MULLEN: STARTING AT PAGE 51, LINE 11.
           BY MR. MC MULLEN:
       17
                        IF YOU COULD READ TO THERE AND OVER TO THE
       18
            NEXT PAGE AT LINE 11. JUST TO -- TO YOURSELF TO SEE IF
       19
       20
            THAT REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION.
       21
       22
                          (WITNESS REVIEWING DOCUMENTS.)
       23
       24
                    Α
                          YES, SIR.
       25
                          DO YOU REMEMBER NOW AFTER READING THAT HOW
            YOU HEARD ABOUT IVAN WERNER?
       26
       27
                          WELL, I SAID THROUGHOUT THIS TESTIMONY THAT I
            WASN'T SURE, THAT BASICALLY IT COULD HAVE COME EITHER FROM
       28
```

2 1 MR. CHIER MENTIONING IT TO ME OR FROM CONTACT WITH 2 MR. WAPNER, WRITTEN OR ORAL. I'M NOT SURE. 3 MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT? THE COURT: YES. 4 5 (PAUSE.) 6 7 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 8 9 DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU MIGHT HAVE HAD THIS CONTACT WITH MR. WAPNER, WHAT POINT IN TIME? 10 11 NO. Α MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT? 12 13 14 (PAUSE.) 15 16 MR. MC MULLEN: NOTHING FURTHER, THEN. 17 THE COURT: JUST TWO QUESTIONS. 18 YOU INDICATED ON REDIRECT THAT YOU DID NOT 19 INTERVIEW MS. GHALEB OR CAUSE ANYONE TO INTERVIEW 20 MS. GHALEB. WHY WAS THAT? 21 THE WITNESS: WE HAD NO DIRECT KNOWLEDGE OF HER. 22 THE ONLY THING I EVER HEARD ABOUT MS. GHALEB, I BELIEVE, WAS AFTER THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED. I DON'T 23 RECALL CONTEMPORANEOUSLY HEARING THAT NAME. 24 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 26 YOU SAID THAT YOU GAVE A PARTIAL ANSWER TO MR. DOBRIN AS TO WHY HUNT DIDN'T TESTIFY AND PART OF THAT 27 WAS YOUR REACTION TO THE TRIAL JUDGE AND COMMENTS TO -- AS 28

TO HOW HUNT HAD DONE IN A TRIAL CROSS-EXAMINATION OUTSIDE 2 1 OF COURT. WERE THERE MORE REASONS THAN THAT? 2 THE WITNESS: THERE WERE OTHER REASONS IN MY MIND 3 THAT -- YES, THERE WERE. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 5 I HAVE TO ASK YOU WHAT ARE THOSE REASONS. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I HAD CONCERN -- I HAD CONCERN THAT MR. HUNT ON EXAMINATION COULD HAVE OUTSMARTED HIMSELF. 8 9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 10 ANY REDIRECT? MR. KLEIN: JUST ONE MINUTE. 11 12 13 (COUNSEL CONFER.) 14 THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY BY "OUTSMARTED HIMSELF," 15 YOU MEAN HE WOULD HAVE COME ACROSS AS A CON? 16 THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE SO. AND I ALSO BELIEVE 17 18 THAT IF A -- IF A JURY FELT THAT HE WAS A LIAR AND HAD COMMITTED A FIRST DEGREE MURDER FOR FINANCIAL GAIN, THEY 19 WOULD HAVE GIVEN HIM THE DEATH PENALTY. I DIDN'T VERY 20 21 WELL CONTENANCE THAT RISK. 22 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 23 24 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION + 25 26 BY MR. KLEIN: 27 Q YOU DIDN'T PERSONALLY INTERVIEW MR. ROBINSON 28 OR CAUSE ANYBODY TO PERSONALLY INTERVIEW MR. ROBINSON;

2 1 CORRECT? 2 I WAS PRESENT WHILE MR. ROBINSON WAS INTERVIEWED. 3 IN COURT? Q YES. AND I BELIEVE -- I BELIEVE THAT I HAD 5 CONVERSATION WITH MR. ROBINSON, ALTHOUGH IT MAY BE 6 UNSTRUCTURED, BUT I BELIEVE I HAD SOME CONVERSATION WITH 7 HIM. 8 9 ACTUALLY, DIDN'T YOU TELL THE COURT, JUDGE RITTENBAND, AT PAGE 13262 OF THE TRANSCRIPT WHEN THE 10 11 DISCUSSIONS ABOUT MR. ROBINSON WHEN HE WAS IN COURT WITH 12 YOU -- THE JUDGE SAID (READING), "DO YOU WANT TO TALK TO 13 ROBINSON," AND MR. BARENS SAID, "WELL, I AM NOT SAYING THAT, YOUR HONOR. YOUR HONOR, COULD WE SPEAK OFF THE 14 15 RECORD?" 16 WHY DON'T WE LOOK AT THE REST OF THE TRANSCRIPT, COUNSEL. COULD YOU APPROACH ME AND SHOW ME 17 THE REST OF THAT TRANSCRIPT? 18 THE COURT: SHOW HIM THE REST OF THE TRANSCRIPT. 19 20 WHAT PAGE WAS IT? 21 MR. KLEIN: IT'S MARKED. 22 THE COURT: OKAY. 23 MR. MC MULLEN: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES. 24 25 26 (WITNESS REVIEWING TRANSCRIPT.) 27 28 THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT, COUNSEL.

NOW, DIRECTLY BELOW THE QUOTE YOU JUST GAVE 2 1 TO THE COURT TO IMPUGN ME, COUNSEL, IT SAYS (READING): 2 3 "MR. BARENS: LET'S SAY FOR THE RECORD THAT THE DEFENSE WILL MAKE 5 INOUIRY AND DO ALL THINGS INCUMBENT 6 UPON THE DEFENSE TO INVESTIGE THIS 7 MATTER." 8 9 LATER ON THE COURT WILL, HAVING READ THIS TRANSCRIPT, RECALL THAT I ASKED HIM FOR HIS TELEPHONE 10 NUMBER, AND STOOD BY WHILE HE HAD CONVERSATION WITH THE 11 COURT AND ASKED MY OWN OUESTIONS." 12 13 I ALSO REVIEWED AND MADE VIGOROUS OBJECTIONS TO THE COURT'S INQUIRY WITH THE WITNESS, AND I REVIEWED 14 INVESTIGATION REPORTS THAT WERE MADE. 15 16 THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. KLEIN? 17 MR. KLEIN: YES. BY MR. KLEIN: 18 19 WHY DON'T -- LET ME READ IT TO YOU AT THE Q 20 END. CONTINUED AT 13263. THE COURT IS TALKING TO YOU AND MR. WAPNER. WHERE MR. WAPNER IS ACTUALLY SUGGESTING HE 21 MIGHT CALL MR. ROBINSON --22 23 WAIT -- WHY DON'T WE READ IT --24 THE COURT: PUT A QUESTION. 25 BY MR. KLEIN: 26 THEN YOU SAID (READING): Q 27 "I WILL OBJECT." 28 AND THE COURT SAYS: "I WILL SUSTAIN

1	THE OBJECTION."
2	THEN YOU SAY: "NOW THAT COMPELS ME
3	THAT I WOULD HAVE TO DO AN
4	INTERVIEW, INTERVIEW WITH THIS MAN
5	AND A BACKGROUND CHECK WITH THIS MAN
6	AND SPEND A LOT OF TIME WITH THIS
7	MAN AND DIGNIFY THIS MAN IN A MANNER
8	THAT I MAY NOT CHOSE TO DO SO."
9	THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID IN COURT; CORRECT?
10	A EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL. NOW WHILE WE'RE IN OUR
11	ZEAL TO IMPUGN
12	THE COURT: THE ANSWER
13	MR. CRAIN: CAN THE WITNESS BE ORDERED TO ANSWER
14	THE QUESTION?
15	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. ONE AT A TIME, GUYS.
16	IF MR. KLEIN IS GOING TO DO THE EXAMINATION,
17	LET MR. KLEIN DO THE EXAMINATION.
18	IS THAT THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION, THAT'S
19	WHAT YOU SAID AT THE TRIAL, MR. BARENS?
20	THE WITNESS: THOSE WORDS ARE THERE. BUT THEY'RE
21	OUT OF CONTEXT.
22	THE COURT: LET'S PUT IT IN CONTEXT. REALLY
23	GETTING INTO MINUTIA HERE.
24	THE WITNESS: THE CONTEXT WAS THAT WAPNER HAD
25	STRUCTURED THIS WITNESS TO EMBARRASS THE DEFENDANT, TO
26	SHOW THAT HE WAS AN INCREDIBLE WITNESS AND THAT HE WAS
27	THREATENING TO REOPEN WITH AND PUT BEFORE THE JURY.
28	I WAS NOT ABOUT TO HAVE THIS SIGHTING WITNESS

OR ANYONE ELSE WHO I THOUGHT WAS A FLAKE TESTIFY TO THE 3 1 JURY. MR. HUNT AGREED WITH ME AT THE TIME THAT 2 MR. ROBINSON WAS NOT A VERY CREDIBLE WITNESS --3 MR. KLEIN: MOVE TO STRIKE. 4 THE WITNESS: YOU OPENED IT UP. 5 MR. CRAIN: MOVE TO STRIKE --6 7 THE COURT: FINISH THE ANSWER. THEN YOU CAN MAKE A MOTION TO STRIKE. 8 THE WITNESS: MR. HUNT AGREED WITH ME AT THE TIME. 9 I DID NOT WANT TO IMPUGN OR DENIGRATE OR CHEAPEN THE 10 TESTIMONY OF THE ARIZONA SIGHTING WITNESSES, WHICH I HAD 11 CONCLUDED MY CASE WITH. I HAD RELIED UPON THEM AT THE 12 VERY LEAST TO BRING OFF A HUNG JURY BECAUSE I WAS 13 CONCERNED ABOUT OUR FATE THERE IN GENERAL. 14 AND I FIGURED I WAS TRYING TO QUIT WHILE I 15 WAS DEFINITELY AHEAD AND NOT DENIGRATE MY OWN POSITION 16 WITH A GENTLEMAN WHO ADMITTED THAT HE HAD LIED TO THE 17 18 POLICE, ADMITTED THAT HE HAD LIED ABOUT HIS EMPLOYMENT, 19 ADMITTED THAT HE HAD LIED ABOUT HIS KNOWLEDGE CONCERNING 20 THE CASE. HE HAD ADMITTED TO THREE CATEGORIC LIES THAT 21 THE PEOPLE WERE AWARE OF, AND NOW THE PEOPLE WANT TO PUT 22 HIM ON AS A SIGHTING WITNESS? 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 24 ANYTHING ELSE? 25 MR. KLEIN: MOVE TO STRIKE THE PORTION OF THE 26 ANSWER THAT MR. HUNT AGREED WITH HIM. 27 THE COURT: DENIED.

MR. KLEI!: CAN I ASK THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL

NOTICE OF THE FACT THAT MR. HUNT WAS NOT EVEN PRESENT 3 1 DURING THOSE PROCEEDINGS WHEN IT OCCURRED? 2 THE COURT: I TOLD YOU MY VIEWS ON JUDICIAL NOTICE. 3 ASK FOR A STIPULATION. 4 ANYTHING FURTHER? 5 LET'S WRAP IT UP. 6 MR. CRAIN: YOU SAID YOU READ THE CASE. THAT WAS 7 APPARENTLY A SEALED PORTION. THE COURT IS FAMILIAR AND 8 HAS READ THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS THAT TOOK PLACE 9 WITH -- IN RELATION TO THE MATTERS THAT THE WITNESS HAS 10 JUST BEEN QUESTIONED ABOUT? 11 THE COURT: NO. 12 MR. KLEIN: THEN WE'LL LODGE IT WITH THE COURT. 13 MR. MC MULLEN: YOU MIGHT HAVE A COPY IF YOU HAVE 14 GOT THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL. IT'S AN 15 AUGMENTED REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT. 16 THE COURT: IT IS NOT THERE. I CAN TELL YOU I HAVE 17 GONE THROUGH EVERY PAGE OF THAT TRANSCRIPT. 18 19 MR. MC MULLEN: VERY WELL. MR. CRAIN: IF WE LODGE IT WITH THE COURT, WOULD 20 THE COURT CONSIDER IT AND READ IT? 21 THE COURT: YES. 22 23 BY MR. KLEIN: WHEN YOU SAID TO THE COURT, MR. BARENS, THAT, 24 "I WOULD HAVE TO DO AN IN-DEPTH INTERVIEW WITH THIS MAN 25 AND A BACKGROU!! CHECK WITH THIS MAN AND SPEND A LOT OF 26 TIME WITH THIS TON," WERE YOU BEING TRUTHFUL WITH JUDGE 27 28 RITTENBACK?

```
MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCY.
3
        1
                   THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
        2
           BY MR. KLEIN:
        3
                   Q WAS THAT A TRUE STATEMENT, MR. BARENS?
 )
                   MR. MC HULLEN: SAME OBJECTION.
        5
                   THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
        6
                         ARTHING FURTHER?
        7
                   MR. KLEIN: YOUR HONOR --
        8
                   THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
        9
                        ANTTHING FURTHER?
       10
                   MR. KLEIT: YES.
       11
                   THE COURT: WRAP IT UP, MR. KLEIN.
       12
            BY MR. KLEIN:
       13
                   Q WITH RESPECT TO MR. WERNER, YOU CONDUCTED NO
       14
            INVESTIGATION, CITHER YOURSELF OR ON BEHALF OF ANYBODY --
       15
            OR ANYBODY ON YOUR BEHALF UPON WHICH YOU MADE ANY DECISION
       16
            CONCERNING CALIFIED HIM AS A WITNESS; CORRECT?
       17
                   A I DON'T REMEMBER, RECALL WHAT INVESTIGATION
       18
            WAS AVAILABLE CONCERNING MR. WERNER.
       19
       20
                   MR. KLEIT: THAT'S ALL I HAVE.
                   THE COULT: ANYTHING FURTHER?
       21
       22
                   MR. MC NUMBEN: NOTHING FURTHER.
       23
                   THE COURT: MAY THE WITNESS BE EXCUSED?
                   MR. KLEIT: NO OBJECTION.
       24
       25
                   MR. MC NUBLEN: LIKE EVERYONE.
                   THE COURSE SUBJECT TO SUFFICIENT SHOWING,
       26
       27
            SUBSTANTIAL SUFFECIENT SHOWING.
       28
                         YOU MAY STEP DOWN.
```

ļ	1	WE'RE GOING TO TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS.
:	2	WHAT IS YOUR CIRCUMSTANCE, MR. BRODEY?
	3	MR. BRODEY: I HAVE AN AFTERNOON APPEARANCE.
}	4	THE COULT: THE AFTERNOON IS A LONG TIME. IT RUNS
	5	FROM 12:00 TO 6:00.
	6	MR. BRODUY: THE APPEARANCE BEGINS AT 1:30. IT'S A
	7	TWO-HOUR APPEARANCE.
	8	THE COURT: NOW LONG IS MR. BRODEY'S TESTIMONY ON
	9	DIRECT?
	10	MR. KLETT: FIVE OR 10 MINUTES.
	11	THE COUNTER LET'S TAKE A TEN, OR FIFTEEN MINUTES
	12	RECESS. LET'S O MR. BRODEY PRIOR TO LUNCH OR INTO
	13	LUNCH.
	14	A! RIGHT.
	15	WHY LL SEE EVERYBODY BACK IN 15 MINUTES.
	16	
	17	(DTOESS.)
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
)	22	
,	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	20	

```
THE BAILIFF: REMAIN SEATED, COME TO ORDER,
 1
     DEPARTMENT 101 IS AGAIN IN SESSION.
 2
            THE COURT: IN THE CASE OF JOSEPH HUNT, THE RECORD
 3
     WILL REFLECT THAT ALL COUNSEL AND PETITIONER ARE PRESENT.
                  YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
 5
            MR. KLEIN: CAN I HAVE JUST HAVE A MINUTE. I AM
 6
 7
    LOOKING FOR SOMETHING.
 8
            MR. MC MULLEN: YOUR HONOR, I CAN'T REMEMBER, I
     DON'T THINK WE ASKED FOR AN OFFER OF PROOF WITH RESPECT TO
 9
10
     THIS WITNESS.
           THE COURT: I THINK YOU DID, AND I SAID NO.
11
            MR. MC MULLEN: OKAY.
12
            THE COURT: MR. BRODEY'S NAME CAME UP IN CONNECTION
13
14
    TO A WITNESS A DAY OR SO AGO.
15
16
                  (PAUSE.)
17
           THE COURT: OKAY.
18
19
                  LET'S DO IT.
20
            MR. KLEIN: ALL RIGHT.
21
            THE COURT: COME ON, MR. BRODEY. GET UP HERE AND
    LET'S SWEAR YOU IN.
22
23
24
                         JEFFREY BRODEY, +
25
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PETITIONER, WAS SWORN AND
    TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
26
27
28
            THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, PLEASE.
```

```
THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE
 1
     TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE
 2
     THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND
 3
     NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
 4
            THE WITNESS: I DO.
 5
            THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED IN THE STAND.
 6
 7
                  STATE AND SPELL YOUR FULL NAME.
 8
            THE WITNESS: JEFFREY BRODEY, J-E-F-F-R-E-Y,
 9
    B-R-O-D-E-Y.
10
            THE COURT: YOU MAY INQUIRE.
11
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION +
12
13
14
    BY MR. KLEIN:
            Q DURING THE TIME THAT THE HUNT TRIAL WAS ON
15
     GOING IN SANTA MONICA BEFORE JUDGE RITTENBAND WERE YOU
16
17
     REPRESENTING SOMEBODY ELSE IN THE CASE?
18
            Α
                 YES. I REPRESENTED JIM PITTMAN.
19
                 AND WHEN DID YOU START REPRESENTING HIM?
20
                 1986, I BELIEVE.
            Α
                  DID YOU HAVE AN INVESTIGATOR THAT WAS WORKING
21
    ON THE CASE WITH YOU?
22
23
            A YES, I DID.
24
            Q
                 WHAT WAS HIS NAME?
25
                 KEITH ROHMAN, R-O-H-M-A-N.
26
                 AND AT SOME POINT DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF A
27
    WITNESS BY THE NAME OF LOUISE WALLER?
28
            A YES, I DID.
```

```
AND DID YOU INSTRUCT MR. ROHMAN TO INTERVIEW
            Q
 1
     HER?
 2
                 YES, I DID.
 3
            Α
                  DID YOU PERSONALLY INTERVIEW HER?
            A I HAVE A RECOLLECTION OF SPEAKING TO HER OVER
 6
     THE PHONE, BUT I AM NOT SURE IF THAT'S CORRECT.
 7
                OKAY.
 8
            MR. KLEIN: I HAVE A REPORT. MAY THAT BE MARKED
     NEXT IN ORDER?
 9
            THE COURT: NEXT NUMBER IS 276.
10
11
                  (MARKED FOR ID = PEO. 276, REPORT.)
12
13
14
            MR. MC MULLEN: IS THAT APRIL 27TH? I AM SORRY,
     COUNSEL.
15
16
            MR. KLEIN: 22ND.
            THE COURT: WE NEED TO PUT SOMETHING DOWN ON THE
17
     EXHIBIT LIST. WHAT IS IT?
18
            MR. KLEIN: IT IS A REPORT.
19
     BY MR. KLEIN:
20
21
                IS THIS MR. ROHMAN'S REPORT OF MS. LOUISE
22
     WALLER?
23
                  I DON'T HONESTLY KNOW. I SEE DOWN AT THE
24
     BOTTOM OF THE SECOND PAGE THE INITIALS "K.R." AND A DATE,
     BUT I DON'T HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF
25
26
    MR. ROHMAN'S REPORT OF LOUISE WALLER, SO I AM NOT SURE.
27
            Q
                 THOSE ARE HER INITIALS; CORRECT?
28
            Α
                 YES, THEY ARE.
```

1	Q ALL RIGHT.
2	DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER YOU TALKED TO
3	MS. WALLER BEFORE OR AFTER APRIL 22, 1987?
4	A I DON'T REMEMBER WHEN IT WAS, IF AT ALL, THAT
5	I SPOKE TO HER. I DO HAVE A VAGUE RECOLLECTION OF
6	SPEAKING TO HER ON THE TELEPHONE.
7	Q OKAY.
8	AND ACCORDING TO MR. ROHMAN'S REPORT OR
9	ACCORDING TO WHAT MS. WALLER TOLD YOU SHE FIRST CONTACTED
10	MR. BARENS' OFFICE. IS THAT WHAT HAPPENED?
11	A WELL, I DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF HER
12	TELLING ME THAT. APPARENTLY, IT IS IN THIS REPORT THAT
13	SHE CONTACTED BARENS' OFFICE.
14	Q DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF A WOMAN BY THE NAME
15	OF NADIA GHALEB AT SOME POINT?
16	A YES, I DID.
17	Q AND WAS THAT AROUND EARLY APRIL WHEN YOU HAD
18	CONTACT WITH A LAW CLERK OF YOURS IN EARLY '87?
19	A I DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE. I WAS TOLD BY MY
20	LAW CLERK, JULES BISHOP, THAT SHE HAD MET A WOMAN BY THE
21	NAME OF NADIA GHALEB.
22	Q LET ME SHOW YOU A REPORT AND DIRECT YOU TO
23	THE SECOND PAGE AND THE THIRD PAGE AND ASK YOU IF THAT
24	REFRESHES YOUR MEMORY OF ABOUT WHEN YOUR OFFICE GOT IN
25	TOUCH WITH NADIA GHALEB.
26	
27	(PAUSE.)

į.	
1	A YES, IT DOES.
2	Q WHEN DID YOUR OFFICE GET IN TOUCH WITH NADIA
3	GHALEB?
4	A APRIL THE 11TH, 1987.
5	Q THAT WAS YOUR LAW CLERK?
6	A JULES, J-U-L-E-S, BISHOP, B-I-S-H-O-P.
7	Q AFTER SHE CAME IN CONTACT WITH NADIA GHALEB
8	YOU PERSONALLY INTERVIEWED HER?
9	A YES, I DID.
10	Q ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS?
11	A ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS.
12	Q YOU WERE WORKING TOGETHER WITH MR. BARENS AND
13	MR. CHIER ON THE HUNT CASE IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR WORK ON
14	THE PITTMAN CASE?
15	A THAT'S NOT EXACTLY CORRECT. THERE WAS SOME
16	SHARING AND I DID WORK WITH RICHARD CHIER. MR. BARENS AND
17	I I DON'T THINK WE EVER REALLY MET ABOUT THE CASE AT
18	ANY TIME.
19	Q AND DID YOU OBSERVE THE TRIAL FROM TIME TO
20	TIME?
21	A I DID OBSERVE THE TRIAL FROM TIME TO TIME.
22	Q DID YOU EVER TELL ANYBODY THAT BARENS DID
23	VERY LITTLE WORK ON THIS CASE EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS ACTUALLY
24	IN TRIAL.
25	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR HEARSAY.
26	IRRELEVANT.
27	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
28	

```
BY MR. KLEIN:
 1
                BASED ON YOUR OBSERVATIONS, PARTICIPATION,
 2
     COOPERATION BETWEEN THE BARENS AND CHIER CAMP AND YOUR
 3
     CAMP DID BARENS DO VERY LITTLE WORK EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS
 4
     ACTUALLY IN TRIAL?
 5
            MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NOT RELEVANT.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: WHAT'S THE RELEVANCE OF THIS PERSON'S
     OBSERVATION.
 8
 9
            MR. KLEIN: I THINK YOUR HONOR HAS TO DECIDE
10
     WHETHER MR. BARENS TOLD THE TRUTH AND YOU HAVE TO DECIDE
11
     WHETHER HE PROVIDED REASONABLY COMPETENT COUNSEL.
     MR. BRODEY --
12
13
            THE COURT: LET'S LIMIT IT TO SEVERAL ISSUES, WHICH
     ARE SET FORTH. WE ARE ALL FAMILIAR WITH IT IN THE O.S.C..
14
            MR. KLEIN: MR. BARENS DID VERY LITTLE WORK EXCEPT
15
     WHEN HE WAS ACTUALLY IN TRIAL THAT WOULD BE CORROBORATIVE
16
17
     OF THE FACT THAT HE, FOR EXAMPLE, NEVER FOLLOWED UP ON
18
     LEADS TO FIND NEIL ADELMAN OR OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES. TO
19
     FIND KAREN SUE MARMOR, AS EXAMPLES, YOUR HONOR.
20
            MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION.
            THE COURT: LAY A FOUNDATION. I WILL ALLOW IT IF
21
     THERE IS SUITABLE FOUNDATION LAID.
22
23
     BY MR. KLEIN:
24
                  YOU HAD MEETINGS FROM TIME TO TIME WITH
            Q
    MR. CHIER?
25
26
                  YES, I DID.
27
                  YOU HAD MEETINGS FROM TIME TO TIME WHERE
28
    MR. HUNT WAS ALSO PRESENT WITH MR. CHIER?
```

1	A I DON'T RECALL ANY.			
2	Q AND YOU AUTHORIZED YOUR INVESTIGATOR TO			
3	PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS WITH MR. CHIER AND MR. HUNT AND			
4	MR. BARENS?			
5	A THERE WAS NOT A GENERAL AUTHORIZATION.			
6	Q LET ME SHOW YOU A STATEMENT BY MR. ROHMAN,			
7	SEE IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR?			
8	A WHATEVER STATEMENT MR. ROHMAN MADE IT WAS NOT			
9	A GENERAL AUTHORIZATION. IF IT HAPPENED I WOULD DO			
10	THAT FROM TIME TO TIME, I WOULD APPROVE IT IF I WOULD			
11	GIVE ADVANCE PERMISSION, BUT THERE WAS NOT A GENERAL			
12	AUTHORIZATION. HE WAS NOT THEIR INVESTIGATOR, HE WAS			
13	MINE.			
14	Q BUT FROM TIME TO TIME YOU ALLOWED MR. ROHMAN			
15	TO PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS WITH THE HUNT CAMP TO SHARE			
16	INFORMATION OR TO DISCUSS THE PROGRESS OF THE CASE?			
17	A NO. I WOULD NOT ALLOW HIM TO DO THAT.			
18	THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU LOOKING FOR, MR. KLEIN?			
19	MR. KLEIN: I AM LOOKING FOR EXHIBIT 11-B, YOUR			
20	HONOR.			
21	THE COURT: TO THE PETITION?			
22	MR. KLEIN: YES.			
23	THE COURT: WHAT IS IT?			
24	MR. KLEIN: IT IS A DECLARATION.			
25	THE COURT: IT IS A DECLARATION.			
26	i.			
27	(PAUSE.)			
28				

THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, WHAT ARE WE LOOKING FOR? 1 MR. KLEIN: EXHIBIT 11-B TO THE PETITION. 2 THE COURT: DECLARATION OF? 3 MR. KLEIN: KEITH ROHMAN. THE COURT: WHAT RELEVANCE IS THAT TO THIS WITNESS? 5 MR. KLEIN: IT MAY REFRESH HIS MEMORY. 6 THE WITNESS: I DON'T HAVE ANY DOUBT THAT I AM 7 8 BEING ACCURATE ABOUT WHAT THAT AUTHORIZATION WAS. I DON'T CARE WHAT HE SAID IN HIS DECLARATION. I KNOW HOW -- I 9 WOULDN'T BE ALLOWING SOMEBODY TO GO AND PARTICIPATE AND 10 DIVULGING WHAT IS GOING ON WITH MY CASE. I WOULDN'T GIVE 11 UP THAT CONTROL. 12 BY MR. KLEIN: 13 14 Q LET ME SHOW YOU HIS DECLARATION, IT IS 11-B 15 TO THE PETITION. THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, THERE HAS BEEN NO INDICATION 16 THAT HE HAS A FAILURE OF MEMORY. YOU ARE PLACING A 17 STATEMENT FROM ANOTHER WITNESS BEFORE THIS WITNESS WHEN HE 18 SAYS HE HAS NO FAILURE OF RECOLLECTION. 19 20 BY MR. KLEIN: 21 IS IT YOUR MEMORY THAT MR. ROHMAN MET FROM 22 TIME TO TIME WITH THE HUNT TEAM TO WORK ON THE CASE? 23 NO. THAT'S NOT MY MEMORY. 24 Q OKAY. 25 TAKE A LOOK AT IT? 26 IN THIS DECLARATION HE SAYS HE MET WITH ME 27 AND RICHARD CHIER. THAT'S NOT THE HUNT TEAM. AND I WAS 28 PRESENT AND WHEN WE MET WE TALKED ABOUT VARIOUS THINGS AND

```
MR. ROHMAN WAS NOT FREE TO DISCUSS AT WILL MY STRATEGY
1
    WITH THE HUNT PEOPLE. ANYTHING THAT WAS SAID WAS SAID IN
    THE COURSE OF OUR PREPARATION AND WAS CONFINED TO CERTAIN
 3
 4
    AREAS.
           Q OKAY.
5
                AND DID YOU EVER TALK TO MR. BARENS FROM TIME
 6
 7
    TO TIME ABOUT THE PREPARATION OF THE CASE?
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.
 8
           THE COURT: OVERRULED.
9
           THE WITNESS: I DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF
10
    REALLY DISCUSSING PREPARATION WITH MR. BARENS.
11
    BY MR. KLEIN:
12
13
           O OKAY.
                 DID YOU TALK TO MR. CHIER ON MORE THAN SAY
14
    SIX TIMES THAT YOU MET WITH HIM WITH MR. ROHMAN?
15
16
           A I SPOKE TO RICHARD A GREAT DEAL.
                BASED ON ALL THESE CONVERSATIONS DID
17
    MR. BARENS DO VERY LITTLE WORK ON THE CASE EXCEPT WHEN HE
18
19
    WAS IN TRIAL?
20
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION.
21
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
22
    BY MR. KLEIN:
23
           Q BASED ON THESE CONVERSATIONS DID MR. BARENS
    NOT READ ANYTHING CONCERNING THE CASE?
24
25
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION.
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
26
    BY MR. KLEIN:
27
28
           O BASED ON THESE CONVERSATIONS AND MEETINGS DID
```

```
MR. CHIER DO MOST OF THE INVESTIGATION?
 1
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT.
 3
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
    BY MR. KLEIN:
           O MR. BARENS TESTIFIED TO THAT --
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION.
 6
           THE COURT: COUNSEL, I HAVE RULED. SUSTAINED.
 7
    BY MR. KLEIN:
 8
           Q IN ADDITION TO THESE MEETINGS AND THESE
 9
    CONVERSATIONS BASED ON YOUR OBSERVATIONS DID BARENS DO
10
11
    VERY LITTLE WORK EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS ACTUALLY IN TRIAL?
12
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION.
13
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
          MR. MC MULLEN: IRRELEVANT.
14
          THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON.
15
    BY MR. KLEIN:
16
17
           Q DID YOU EVER SEE MR. BARENS READ ANYTHING
    CONCERNING THE CASE?
18
19
           MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. IRRELEVANT.
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
20
21
                      MOVE ON.
22
    BY MR. KLEIN:
           Q DID MR. BARENS AND MR. CHIER RELY HEAVILY ON
23
24
    YOUR INVESTIGATION?
25
          MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
26
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
27
    BY MR. KLEIN:
28
           Q WERE MR. BARENS AND MR. CHIER QUITE
```

DISORGANIZED. 1 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR CONCLUSION. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 3 COUNSEL, MOVE ONTO A DIFFERENT TOPIC. THIS IS ALL IRRELEVANT AND SPECULATION. 5 BY MR. KLEIN: 6 7 Q BASED ON YOUR OBSERVATIONS DID MR. BARENS, MR. CHIER NOT HAVE ANYTHING CROSS INDEXED? 8 9 MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. NO FOUNDATION. 10 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 11 DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER RELEVANT DIRECT 12 EXAMINATION? MR. KLEIN: MR. BARENS TESTIFIED THAT --13 14 THE COURT: COUNSEL, I HAVE RULED. DO YOU HAVE ANY RELEVANT QUESTIONING? I AM NOT GOING TO ALLOW THIS 15 WITNESS TO OFFER SPECULATION OR OPINIONS AS TO HIS 16 OBSERVATIONS ABOUT THE HUNT DEFENSE TEAM. 17 MR. KLEIN: I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS. 18 19 THE COURT: ANY CROSS EXAMINATION? 20 MR. MC MULLEN: I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION @ 23 24 BY MR. MC MULLEN: 25 SIR, YOU WERE AWARE OF THE NADIA GHALEB Q SIGHTING? 26 27 YES, I WAS. Α 28 Q AND WERE YOU THE TRIAL ATTORNEY REPRESENTING

```
MR. HUNT DURING -- EXCUSE ME -- MR. PITTMAN DURING THE
 1
     JURY TRIAL?
 2
           A YES, I WAS.
 3
                DID YOU PREVENT -- EXCUSE ME. I WITHDRAW
 4
    THAT.
 5
                  DID YOU PRESENT WITNESSES WHO HAD CLAIMED TO
 6
    HAVE SIGHTED RON LEVIN DURING THE COURSE --
 7
            MR. CRAIN: THAT'S TOTALLY IRRELEVANT.
 8
            THE COURT: WHERE ARE YOU GOING?
 9
            MR. MC MULLEN: I AM GOING TO ASK HIM WHETHER OR
10
    NOT HE CALLED NADIA GHALEB, AND IF HE DIDN'T WHY NOT.
11
12
           THE COURT: SO WHAT?
13
            MR. CRAIN: IT IS ABSOLUTELY IRRELEVANT.
14
            THE COURT: SO --
15
            MR. CRAIN: EXCUSE ME. SORRY.
16
            THE COURT: SO WHAT? WHETHER HE DID OR DIDN'T, SO
17
    WHAT?
            MR. MC MULLEN: IT GOES TO THE CREDIBILITY
18
    ASSESSMENT WITH RESPECT TO MR. -- WITH RESPECT TO
19
20
    ANALYZING THAT SIGHTING WITNESS.
21
            THE COURT: WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE WHETHER
22
    MR. BRODEY THOUGHT THAT SHE WAS THE MOST CREDIBLE OR MOST
23
    INCREDIBLE WITNESS? THAT'S PRETTY IRRELEVANT TO THE HUNT
24
     CASE.
25
            MR. MC MULLEN: UNLESS HE COMMUNICATED TO THE HUNT
    PEOPLE AND THEY USED THAT AS A FACTOR IN NOT CALLING HER.
26
            THE COURT: DID YOU LAY A FOUNDATION WITH
27
    MR. BARENS ON THAT?
```

28

```
MR. MC MULLEN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
1
                I WOULD SUBMIT IT.
2
           THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
           MR. MC MULLEN: NOTHING FURTHER.
           THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?
6
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION +
7
8
9
    BY MR. KLEIN:
           O DID YOU COMMUNICATE TO ANYBODY REPRESENTING
10
    MR. HUNT THAT YOU HAD INTERVIEWED NADIA GHALEB?
11
           A I DID.
12
           THE COURT: I AM SORRY. YOU DID?
13
          THE WITNESS: I DID.
14
           THE COURT: WOULD YOU PULL THE MICROPHONE UP A
15
    LITTLE CLOSER.
16
    BY MR. KLEIN:
17
           Q WHO DID YOU COMMUNICATE IT TO?
18
                I DON'T RECALL WHO IT WAS.
           Α
19
                AND WHEN DID YOU DO IT?
20
           0
              SOMETIME AFTER MR. ROHMAN HAD INTERVIEWED
21
          Α
22
    HER.
           O HOW LONG AFTER MR. ROHMAN INTERVIEWED HER?
23
          A NO IDEA. I WOULD HAZARD A GUESS AND SAY
24
    WITHIN A WEEK OR TWO AFTER HE INTERVIEWED HER. THAT'S THE
25
26
    BEST I CAN DO.
           Q COULD IT HAVE BEEN AS LONG AS A MONTH?
27
                IT COULD HAVE BEEN. I WAS IN NO RUSH TO GIVE
28
           Α
```

```
UP MY WITNESSES, IF I THOUGHT THEY WERE A GOOD WITNESS OR
 1
 2
     IF I HAD SOME REASON TO PRESERVE THEM.
                 SHOWING YOU EXHIBIT P-13. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN
 3
            Q
     THAT OR DID YOU GET A LETTER LIKE THAT?
- 4
 5
            THE COURT: HAVE WE PREVIOUSLY MARKED THAT?
 6
            MR. KLEIN: YES.
            THE WITNESS: I AM AWARE OF THIS INFORMATION AND I
 7
 8
     DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER I GOT IT BY WAY OF THIS LETTER OR
     SOME OTHER FASHION. I AM SURE IF MR. WAPNER HAD PROVIDED
 9
10
     IT TO MR. BARENS HE WOULD HAVE PROVIDED IT TO ME AS WELL.
11
            THE COURT: HOLD ON ONE SECOND.
12
                  DO YOU HAVE PETITIONER'S 13 MARKED FOR
13
     IDENTIFICATION?
14
            MR. KLEIN: HERE IT IS, YOUR HONOR.
15
            THE COURT: I HAVE GOT SEVERAL EXHIBIT LISTS I AM
     TRYING TO COORDINATE.
16
17
     BY MR. KLEIN:
18
                  SHOWING YOU THE SECOND PAGE OF PEOPLE'S 14
19
     AND IT APPEARS TO BE, ACCORDING TO THE RECORD,
     MR. WAPNER'S NOTES. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THOSE BEFORE?
20
21
                 I HAVE SEEN THESE BEFORE.
            Α
                  DID YOU SEE THEM DURING THE TIME THAT YOU
22
     WERE REPRESENTING MR. PITTMAN WHEN MR. HUNT WAS IN TRIAL?
23
24
                  YOU KNOW I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.
                                                   I HAVE SEEN
     THESE BECAUSE I WAS SHOWN THEM AT SOME POINT AFTER THE
25
26
     TRIAL AND I REMEMBER THAT, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER FOR SURE
27
     SEEING THESE WHILE WE WERE IN TRIAL OR PRIOR TO TRIAL.
28
```

Q YOU SAW THEM WHEN MR. BARENS WAS DEPOSED:

1	CORRECT?		
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
3	Q COULD THAT HAVE BEEN THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU		
4	SAW THEM?		
5	MR. MC MULLEN: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE.		
6	THE COURT: OVERRULED.		
7	THE WITNESS: I THINK I HAD SEEN THEM BEFORE THAT,		
8	BUT AT THE TIME WHEN THEY WERE SHOWN TO ME AFTER THE TRIAL		
9	I HAD NO RECOLLECTION OF THEM AND SO I DIDN'T KNOW I		
10	HAD NO RECOLLECTION OF SEEING THEM BEFORE.		
11	MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU.		
12	THAT'S ALL I HAVE.		
13	THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER, MR. MC MULLEN?		
14			
15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION @		
16			
17	BY MR. MC MULLEN:		
18	Q JUST TO BE CLEAR, WHEN DID YOU WHEN DID		
19	YOUR INVESTIGATOR INTERVIEW NADIA GHALEB?		
20	A THE REPORT INDICATES MAY 11TH, I BELIEVE.		
21	Q ALL RIGHT.		
22	A THAT WAS MAY 11TH OF 1987.		
23	Q YOU WERE ADVISED OF THAT INFORMATION HOW SOON		
24	AFTER THAT, IF YOU REMEMBER?		
25	A WELL, I WAS AWARE OF NADIA GHALEB BEFORE THAT		
26	AND I INTERVIEWED HER A COUPLE OF TIMES AND I SENT MY		
27	INVESTIGATOR OUT JUST TO CONFIRM WHAT I HAD INTERVIEWED SO		
28	THAT WE HAD A RECORDED INTERVIEW. SO I WAS AWARE OF IT		

```
BEFORE MAY 11TH, BUT I DIDN'T TURN ANYTHING OVER UNTIL
 1
 2
     AFTER WE HAD FIRMED IT UP.
                 AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST -- I WITHDRAW THAT
 3
     QUESTION.
 4
            MR. MC MULLEN: NOTHING FURTHER.
 5
           THE COURT: GIVE ME ONE SECOND. I HAD A QUESTION.
 6
 7
                  (PAUSE.)
 8
 9
            THE COURT: I GUESS NOT.
10
                 ANYTHING FURTHER?
11
            MR. KLEIN: NO.
12
            THE COURT: MAY THE WITNESS BE EXCUSED?
13
            MR. KLEIN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14
            MR. MC MULLEN: YES.
15
16
            THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR.
            THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
17
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
18
                  WHO IS YOUR NEXT WITNESS?
19
20
            MR. KLEIN: IT WAS GOING TO BE MR. HUNT.
21
            MR. CRAIN: I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COURT ON
     THAT. THE COURT WAS ASKING ABOUT SANCTIONS THIS MORNING.
22
23
     IT WAS GOING TO BE MR. HUNT. WAS THE COURT INTENDING TO
     RECESS EARLY TODAY?
24
25
            THE COURT: 3 O'CLOCK.
26
            MR. CRAIN: I WOULD ASK IF WE COULD HAVE THE
27
    AFTERNOON SO THAT WE CAN MEET WITH OUR CLIENT? WE HAD NO
28
     OPPORTUNITY TO SINCE THE PEOPLE DROPPED THIS BOMBSHELL ON
```

```
US TWO AND A HALF WEEKS AFTER THEY INTERVIEWED MR. BARENS.
 1
     I HAD NO OPPORTUNITY TO CONSULT WITH MR. HUNT ABOUT IT,
 2
     AND I REALLY THINK THAT'S THE ONE SANCTION THAT OUGHT TO
 3
     BE IMPOSED IS THAT, ALTHOUGH IT IS ALSO ON THE COURT AS
     WELL, I APPRECIATE THAT, BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW ELSE TO DEAL
 5
     WITH IT. IT IS A SERIOUS MATTER.
 6
            THE COURT: I DISAGREE WITH YOUR CHARACTERIZATION
     OF BEING A BOMBSHELL. I THINK EVERYONE KNEW THIS WAS
 Я
     LAYING OUT THERE WAITING TO BLOW UP.
 9
                  LET ME ASK THIS: OTHER THAN HUNT WHO DO YOU
10
    HAVE? I THOUGHT MR. CHIER WAS COMING ON NEXT.
11
            MR. KLEIN: WE ARE NOT GOING TO CALL MR. CHIER.
12
     THE JENSENS WILL BE HERE TOMORROW. WE WILL WORK THEM IN
13
     THOUGH. THEY ARE WITNESSES THAT ARE GOING TO BE SHORT
14
     WITNESSES. AND OTHER THAN MR. HUNT WE DON'T HAVE ANY
15
    OTHER WITNESSES.
16
           THE COURT: YOU HAVE GOT MR. CHIER?
17
18
            MR. KLEIN: MR. CHIER IS NOT GOING TO BE CALLED.
            MR. CRAIN: UNLESS HE IS A REBUTTAL WITNESS, BUT AT
19
20
     THIS POINT I DO NOT ANTICIPATE IT.
21
            THE COURT: YOU HAVE GOT MR. HUNT AND POSSIBLY THE
22
     JENSENS?
23
                  WHAT HAVE YOU GOT WHO IS YOUR LEAD OFF
24
     BATTER?
2.5
            MR. MC MULLEN: TOMORROW MORNING WE WILL HAVE
    AVAILABLE MR. KILPATRICK. WE ARE -- WE HAVE MR. PEARCE,
26
27
     WE CAN BRING HIM IN EITHER IN THE MORNING OR IN THE
28
    AFTERNOON. MR. DONALD STEIER IS SET TO COME IN AT 1:30.
```

```
WE HAVE MR. RAY, AND MR. EISENBERG WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO
 1
     GET AHOLD OF AND WE ARE TRYING TO SET THEM UP FOR
 3
    TOMORROW.
            THE COURT: MAYBE -- I AM SORRY. I SHOULD KNOW
    THESE NAMES, BUT -- RAY AND EISENBERG ARE THESE NAMES I
 5
    SHOULD KNOW?
 6
            MR. MC MULLEN: MICHAEL RAY IS AN IMPEACHMENT
    WITNESS WITH RESPECT TO CONNIE GERRARD.
 8
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 9
            MR. MC MULLEN: MR. EISENBERG WILL TESTIFY WITH
10
    RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS OF HIS DECLARATION, WHICH IS --
11
           THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
12
                  I HAVE READ THE DECLARATIONS, I JUST DON'T
13
    HAVE THE NAMES IN MIND.
14
            MR. MC MULLEN: HIS TESTIMONY WILL BE ABOUT HIS
1.5
    UNDERSTANDING OF THE NEGOTIATIONS THAT HE PARTICIPATED IN
16
17
    WITH RESPECT TO THE CYCLOTRON.
            THE COURT: HOW LONG IS MR. HUNT GOING TO BE ON
18
19
    DIRECT, MR. CRAIN?
            MR. CRAIN: COULD I HAVE A MOMENT?
2.0
            THE COURT: YES.
21
            MR. MC MULLEN: MR. KILPATRICK IS IN CUSTODY, SO WE
22
    HAVE SOME FLEXIBILITY.
23
24
            THE COURT: HE IS NOT GOING ANYWHERE.
            THE BAILIFF: I NEED TO KNOW BECAUSE I NEED TO HAVE
25
26
    A BACKUP IN HERE.
27
            MR. CRAIN: AT LEAST HALF A DAY, PERHAPS
    SIGNIFICANTLY MORE.
28
```

```
1
            MR. KLEIN: I HAVE TALKED TO YOUR BAILIFF AND SHE
 2
     HAS GRACIOUSLY AGREED IF THE COURT GIVES US THE AFTERNOON
     OFF WE CAN DO THE INTERVIEW RIGHT AFTER LUNCH RIGHT HERE
 3
     AND SO THAT --
            THE COURT: I AM GOING TO HAVE TO GET MYSELF
 5
     ANOTHER BAILIFF.
 6
            THE BAILIFF: TRADE ME IN QUICK.
 7
            MR. CRAIN: WE LIKE THE BAILIFF, YOUR HONOR.
 8
            THE COURT: IN LIGHT OF THE LATE TURN OVER OF THE
 9
     DISCOVERY, ALTHOUGH I DON'T VIEW IT AS A BOMBSHELL AS
10
     DESCRIBED, I WILL GIVE YOU THE AFTERNOON TO PREPARE
11
     MR. HUNT. WE WILL START AT 9:00 TOMORROW MORNING ON
12
    MR. HUNT.
13
            MR. CRAIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE APPRECIATE
14
15
     IT.
16
            THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU THINK ON CROSS?
17
            MR. MC MULLEN: I DON'T KNOW BECAUSE I DON'T REALLY
    KNOW WHAT HE IS GOING TO TESTIFY TO.
18
19
            THE COURT: YOU HAVE A FAIR IDEA.
            MR. MC MULLEN: I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD TAKE TOO
2.0
21
     LONG, BUT I AM SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE MANNER IN WHICH
     MR. HUNT TESTIFIES AND SO WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE
22
23
     COURT THERE IS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF RAMBLING THAT GOES ON
24
     SO I --
25
            THE COURT: YOU ARE ALSO FAMILIAR WITH THE WAY I
26
    RUN A HEARING.
27
            MR. MC MULLEN: THAT'S TRUE, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T
```

28

EXPECT IT TO BE VERY LENGTHY.

```
THE COURT: I AM SURE MR. HUNT IS AWARE OF THAT AS
 1
 2
     WELL.
                  ARE YOU GOING TO CALL CHIER?
 3
            MR. MC MULLEN: NO.
            THE COURT: OKAY.
                   SO THE ONLY LONG WITNESS THEN WE HAVE IS
 6
 7
     MR. HUNT; RIGHT?
 8
            MR. KLEIN: SO THE OUESTION IS --
            THE COURT: THAT IS REMAINING?
 9
10
            MR. KLEIN: WE MAY CALL CHIER, BUT IF THEY WANT TO
     PUT ON THE WITNESSES THAT THEY HAVE PLANNED FOR THIS WEEK
11
     -- I MEAN --
12
13
            THE COURT: LET ME --
14
            MR. KLEIN: -- WE COULD PUT ON HUNT NEXT WEEK.
            THE COURT: LET ME TELL YOU -- NO. I AM GIVING YOU
15
16
     THE AFTERNOON OFF TO PUT --
17
            MR. KLEIN: WE WOULD BE READY TO DO IT TOMORROW,
18
     BUT IF THAT IS GOING TO INCONVENIENCE THEIR SCHEDULING OF
19
     WITNESSES WE COULD PUT MR. HUNT WHENEVER IT IS CONVENIENT
     TO COUNSEL AND THE COURT IS WHAT I AM SAYING.
20
21
            MR. MC MULLEN: WE ARE PRETTY FLEXIBLE, YOUR HONOR.
22
     RIGHT NOW WE HAVE BEEN SORT OF TRYING TO ANTICIPATE THAT
     WE WILL BE STARTING TOMORROW AFTERNOON.
23
24
            THE COURT: THE ONLY PROBLEM I SUPPOSE YOU HAVE,
     YOU HAVE GOT THAT WITNESS WHO IS IN THE WITNESS PROTECTION
25
26
     PROGRAM, WHOSE NAME IS KARNY?
27
            MR. MC MULLEN: YES. BASED UPON YOUR HONOR'S
28
    INFORMING US THAT YOU HAD SOME PROBLEM ON FRIDAY WE
```

1 MIGHT --2 THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I WAS GOING TO BRING UP NEXT. THAT'S WHY I AM HESITATING GIVING THEM THE 3 AFTERNOON OFF. YOU WANT TO SET HIM UP FOR MONDAY? MR. MC MULLEN: YES. 5 THE COURT: LET'S DO THIS: 6 COUNSEL? MR. CRAIN? 7 8 MR. CRAIN: OKAY. 9 THE COURT: I WILL GIVE YOU THIS AFTERNOON OFF. I HAVE GOT ANOTHER PROBLEM, ITS NOT A CRITICAL PROBLEM, I 10 COULD GET OVER IT IF YOU GUYS HAVE A PROBLEM, AND THAT IS 11 12 FRIDAY IS A BIT PROBLEMATIC. IF YOU WANT TO GO ON FRIDAY 13 WE CAN DO THAT ON FRIDAY, IF YOU WANT I CAN GIVE YOU 14 FRIDAY OFF. 15 MR. KLEIN: WE WILL TAKE FRIDAY OFF. MR. CRAIN: I HAVE A MATTER IN JUDGE PERRY'S COURT 16 THREE DEFENDANT SORT OF HAS A STRANGE ISSUE, AND I GOT 17 18 SOME OTHER THINGS THAT ARE FLOATING ABOUT. THURSDAY I THOUGHT WE WERE BREAKING EARLY. 19 20 THE COURT: WE CAN GO UNTIL AT LEAST 4:00 IF NOT 21 4:30 ON THURSDAY. 22 MR. MC MULLEN: YOU INFORMED US OF THAT. THE COURT: RIGHT. SO THEN WE WILL PLAN ON NOT 23 24 BEING IN SESSION ON FRIDAY. YOU CAN PLAN ON BRINGING 25 KARNY IN ON MONDAY AND MAKE WHATEVER ARRANGEMENTS WITH THE 26 WITNESS PROTECTION PEOPLE THAT THEY NEED. 27 MR. KLEIN: HUNT WILL GO ON TOMORROW MORNING?

THE COURT: HE WILL GO ON AT 9:00 TOMORROW MORNING.

28

MR. CRAIN: CAN I BRIEFLY ADDRESS THE COURT WITH REGARDS TO SOMETHING THAT CROSSED MY MIND WHEN MR. BARENS WAS COMPLETING HIS TESTIMONY, AT LEAST GET IT ON THE RECORD AND THE COURT CAN CONSIDER IT AND RULE ON IT.

I KNOW THE COURT HASN'T READ THE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION.

THE COURT: I HAVE GOT TO TELL YOU, I AM ON PAGE 50. AS I RECALL IT IS 100 PAGES.

MR. KLEIN: 151.

THE COURT: I AM ON PAGE 50.

MR. CRAIN: I WROTE IT MYSELF.

I WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO -- THEN THE COURT

HAS READ THE BEGINNING AT PAGE 22, THE ALLEGATIONS THAT WE

WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE.

THE COURT: IS THAT THE BILLING STUFF, THE NUMBER OF HOURS THAT HE WORKED?

MR. CRAIN: HAS TO DO WITH THE PLOT TO SUBORN
PERJURY, HIS FORMER ASSOCIATE, FORMER DEPUTY SHERIFF AND
LIEUTENANT WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT REPORTED TO, I
BELIEVE, MR. WAPNER HIMSELF THAT MR. BARENS ON HIS OWN HAD
COOKED UP THIS PLAN TO SEND ACTORS TO RIO DE JANIERO TO
CLAIM THAT THEY HAD SEEN MR. LEVIN AND WE WOULD LIKE TO
MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF BECAUSE MR. TITUS IS NOT ON THE
WITNESS LIST, THAT HE BE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY AND MR. WAPNER
AGAIN TESTIFY AS TO THIS BECAUSE I THINK IT GOES TO
MR. BARENS' CREDIBILITY THAT HE HAS ATTEMPTED TO SELL TO
THE COURT AND IT WOULD BE BASICALLY, THE OFFER OF PROOF
WOULD BASICALLY BE AS SET FORTH AT PAGE 22 AND I GUESS 23

OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION. 1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 2 MR. CRAIN: IN OTHER WORDS, I WOULD BE OFFERING IT 3 TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE THAT'S IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION BECAUSE THE COURT HASN'T RULED THAT WE COULD DO, BUT IT WOULD BE RELEVANT AS TO ISSUE TWO AND BARENS LACK OF 6 CREDIBILITY. 7 THE COURT: IT IS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE O.S.C.. 8 I DON'T THINK IT IS PROBATIVE OF ANY MATERIAL ISSUE IN 9 THIS CASE. I WILL PRECLUDE IT. 10 ALL RIGHT. 11 MR. MC MULLEN? 12 MR. MC MULLEN: THERE IS ONE ADDITIONAL MATTER, 13 YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE A WITNESS THAT WE ARE ANTICIPATING 14 FLYING IN FROM COLORADO BY THE NAME OF DECLAN O'DONNEL. 15 HAVE TALKED TO COUNSEL AND THEY ARE GOING TO OBJECTING AND 16 ASKING US TO MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF WITH RESPECT TO HIS 17 TESTIMONY, WHICH IS AS FOLLOWS THAT MR. --18 THE COURT: ARE THEY OBJECTING AND ASKING FOR AN 19 OFFER OF PROOF? 20 21 (PAUSE.) 22 23 THE COURT: MR. KLEIN, MR. CRAIN, WE ARE ON THE 24 25 RECORD. 26 MR. CRAIN: SORRY. 27 THE COURT: ARE YOU? 28 THE DEFENDANT: NO, THEY ARE NOT.

1 THE COURT: ARE YOU OBJECTING AND ASKING FOR AN 2 OFFER OF PROOF? 3 MR. CRAIN: I WOULD LIKE AN OFFER OF PROOF. 4 MR. MC MULLEN: YES. THE OFFER OF PROOF PART OF 5 WHICH IS CONTAINED OR MOST OF WHICH IS CONTAINED IN HIS 6 DECLARATION, WHICH IS EXHIBIT X WITH THE COURT, HE WAS THE 7 LAWYER WHO REPRESENTED MR. KILPATRICK. HE DRAFTED SHORTLY 8 9 AFTER THEIR -- HE DRAFTED AN ORIGINAL OWNERSHIP AGREEMENT 10 WITH CYCLOTRON MILLS BETWEEN MR. KILPATRICK AND MR. BROWNING. HE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN NEGOTIATIONS DURING 11 THE SUMMER OF '84 IN A REPRESENTATIVE CAPACITY TO 12 MR. KILPATRICK AND WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR DRAFTING DOCUMENTS 13 WITH RESPECT TO THE NEGOTIATIONS. WE HAVE --14 THE COURT: WHAT'S THE PUNCH LINE? 15 MR. MC MULLEN: WELL, THE PUNCH LINE IS REALLY IN 16 17 THE DECLARATION. THE COURT: I JUST DON'T REMEMBER. I REMEMBER 18 19 LOOKING AT THAT LAST YEAR. AND IT DIDN'T MAKE MUCH OF A 20 MARK ON ME SO --MR. CRAIN: I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO HIS 21 22 TESTIMONY. THE COURT: WELL, IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION THEN NO 23 24 PROBLEM. 25 ALL RIGHT. 26 COUNSEL AND PETITIONER ARE ORDERED TO RETURN 27 AT 9:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING. 28 MR. CRAIN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. CRAIN: SORRY.

IS THERE ANYTHING THE COURT CAN DO TO 1 ENCOURAGE BEYOND OUR BAILIFF? 2 3 MR. KLEIN: SHE IS DOING WHAT WE CAN RIGHT NOW. THE COURT: SHE IS VERY GOOD ABOUT DOING THINGS. MR. CRAIN: I REALLY APPRECIATE THIS, YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. MC MULLEN: SO YOU ARE EXPECTING US TO HAVE 6 7 WITNESSES HERE AT 1:30? THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GUYS TALK THIS AFTERNOON 9 AND GET A BETTER READ ON HOW LONG HUNT IS GOING TO BE ON DIRECT, AND THEN YOU ARE GOING TO KNOW. ONCE MR. HUNT 10 11 STARTS I WOULD RATHER WE GO STRAIGHT THROUGH WITH 12 MR. HUNT. 13 MR. MC MULLEN: I WOULD AGREE. MY SUGGESTION IS THAT YOU GUYS TALK THIS AFTERNOON AND SEE. ARGUABLY IT 14 COULD BE SHORT, ARGUABLY IT COULD BE LONG. IT DEPENDS ON 15 16 WHAT YOU GUYS WANT TO DO. 17 MR. KLEIN: IN LIGHT OF THE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS GETTING BACK AND FORTH IT REALLY MIGHT BE MORE FEASIBLE IF 18 WE JUST PUT HIM ON ON MONDAY. 19 20 THE COURT: WHO? 21 MR. KLEIN: MR. HUNT? 22 THE COURT: NO. I AM GIVING YOU THIS AFTERNOON 23 OFF. 24 MR. KLEIN: I DON'T MIND DOING THAT, I AM JUST 25 SAYING WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GET ENOUGH TIME WITH HIM 26 TODAY. 27 THE COURT: THIS IS THE TIME. HE GOES ON AT 9 28 O'CLOCK TOMORROW MORNING.

	1	MR. KLEIN: ALL RIGHT.
(2	
	3	(AT 12:30 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT WAS
	4	TAKEN UNTIL WEDNESDAY, MAY 7, 1996
)	5	AT 9:00 A.M.)
,	6	
	7	
	8.	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
_	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
ì	23	
,	24	
	25	
	26	
(27	
	28	